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#### Abstract

In [1] the second author studied arithmetic properties of a class of sequences that generalize the sequence of derangements. The aim of the following paper is to disprove two conjectures stated in [1]. The first conjecture regards the set of prime divisors of their terms. The latter one is devoted to the order of magnitude of considered sequences.


## 1. Introduction

We denote the sets of non-negative integers, positive integers, prime numbers, integers and rational numbers by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{+}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively.

If there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that some property holds for each integer $n \geq n_{0}$, then we will write, that this property holds for each $n \gg 0$.

We call that a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ultimately geometric progression with ratio $b$ if there are $c, b$ belonging to some ring such that $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for each $n \gg 0$.

Having a given sequence $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we define the set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}: \exists_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad p \mid a_{n}\right\} .
$$

A derangement of a set with $n$ elements is a permutation of this set without any fixed points. We denote the number of derangements in $S_{n}$ by $D_{n}$. The sequence of numbers of derangements (or the sequence of derangements, for short) $\left(D_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=1, \quad D_{n}=n D_{n-1}+(-1)^{n}, \quad n>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a class $\mathcal{R}$ of generalizations of this sequence. For given $f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ we define the sequence $\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=g(0), \quad a_{n}=f(n) a_{n-1}+g(n)(h(n))^{n}, \quad n>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us notice that the class $\mathcal{R}$ contains many well-known sequences:

- if $f, h=1, g=c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=(c(n+1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an arithmetic progression;
- if $f=q \in \mathbb{Z}, g=c \in \mathbb{Z}, h=0$, then $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\left(c q^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a geometric progression;
- if $f=1, g=c \in \mathbb{Z}, h=q \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} c q^{j}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of partial sums of a geometric progression;
- if $f=X, g=1, h=0$, then $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=(n!)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of factorials;
- if $f=2 X+l, l \in\{0,1\}, g=1, h=0$, then $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=((2 n+l)!!)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of double factorials.
The second author investigated arithmetic properties of this class of sequences in [1], and he stated the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1 (Conjecture 5.1. from [1). If $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}$ is not a geometric progression, then the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is infinite.

[^0]Conjecture 2 (Conjecture 5.2. from [1). If $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}$ and there are $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \gg 0$, then $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Ultimately geometric sequences

While attempting to prove the mentioned conjectures, we found unrecoverable error in proof, which led us to a rather simple counterexamples.

Theorem 1. Let $f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. If $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$ and there are $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \gg 0$, then $h \equiv b$ or $g h \equiv 0$.

Proof. If $c=0$, then $a_{n}=0$ for each sufficiently large positive integer $n$. This yields $g h \equiv 0$. Hence, we assume that $c, g, h \neq 0$.

If $h$ is not constant, then we have $|h(n)|>|b|$ for $n \gg 0$. Thus

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|=\left|f(n) c b^{n-1}+g(n) h(n)^{n}\right| \geq|b+1|^{n}>\left|c b^{n}\right|, \quad n \gg 0
$$

Hence, $a_{n} \neq c b^{n}$ for sufficiently large $n$. If $h(n)$ is constant and $|h(n)|>|b|$, then again using the same argument we conclude that $\left|a_{n}\right|>\left|c b^{n}\right|$ for $n \gg 0$. This means that $a_{n} \neq c b^{n}$ for sufficiently large $n$. We get that $h$ is constant and $|h| \leq|b|$.

If $b=0$, then $h \equiv 0$, so from now on we assume that $b \neq 0$. If $|b|=1$, then of course $|h|=|b|$. If $|b|>1$, then we consider the prime decomposition

$$
|b|=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdot \ldots, \quad p_{i} \in \mathbb{P}, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}
$$

The divisibility $p_{i}^{n \alpha_{i}} \mid a_{n}$ combined with the recurrence for $\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$ implies

$$
p_{i}^{(n-1) \alpha_{i}} \mid f(n) c b^{n-1}+g(n) h^{n}
$$

and $p_{i}^{(n-1) \alpha_{i}} \mid g(n) h^{n}$ for $n \gg 0$. If $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \nmid h$, then we have $p_{i}^{n-\alpha_{i}} \mid g(n)$, which is a contradiction for arbitrary large $n$ as $g$ is a nonzero polynomial. As a result, $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \mid h$ for every $i$, hence $b \mid h$. Finally, $|h| \geq|b|$, which leads us to equality $|h|=|b|$.

If $h=-b$, then using the recurrence for $\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$ and $n \gg 0$ we have the following chain of equalities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c b^{n} & =f(n) c b^{n-1}+g(n) b^{n}(-1)^{n} \\
c b & =f(n) c+g(n) b(-1)^{n} \\
f(n) & =b+g(n) \frac{b}{c}(-1)^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g$ is not constant, then the value of $f(n)$ changes sign infinitely many times, which is impossible for a polynomial. If $g$ is a nonzero constant then $f(n)$ would attain two values alternately which is also impossible for a polynomial. Finally we get $h=b$.

A formula for a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$ with $g h \equiv 0$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=g(0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(i), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If such a sequence is an ultimately geometric progression, then $f$ is constant and the sequence is a geometric progression. However, if $g h \not \equiv 0$, then it is possible that $\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$ is an ultimately geometric progression but not a geometric progression.

Theorem 2. Let $f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. If $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$, $g h \not \equiv 0$ and there are $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \gg 0$, then

$$
g=c-\frac{c}{b} f .
$$

Moreover, if $n_{0}=\min \{n \in \mathbb{N}: f(n)=0\}$, then $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ if and only if $n \geq n_{0}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $b c=0$ would imply $g h \equiv 0$. We already know from previous theorem that $h=b$, so for $n \gg 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c b^{n} & =f(n) c b^{n-1}+g(n) b^{n} \\
c b & =f(n) c+g(n) b \\
g(n) & =c-f(n) \frac{c}{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

If two polynomials are equal for infinitely many values, then they are equal, so $g=c-\frac{c}{b} f$.

Now, if $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ and $a_{n-1} \neq c b^{n-1}$, then

$$
c b^{n}=a_{n}=f(n) a_{n-1}+g(n) b^{n}=f(n) a_{n-1}+c b^{n}-c b^{n-1} f(n)
$$

which implies

$$
0=f(n)\left(a_{n-1}-c b^{n-1}\right)
$$

Thus, $f(n)$ must be zero. On the other hand, if $a_{n-1}=c b^{n-1}$, then

$$
a_{n}=f(n) c b^{n-1}+g(n) b^{n}=f(n) c b^{n-1}+c b^{n}-f(n) c b^{n-1}=c b^{n}
$$

If $f(n)=0$, then

$$
a_{n}=f(n) a_{n-1}+g(n) b^{n}=f(n)\left(a_{n-1}-c b^{n-1}\right)+c b^{n}=c b^{n}
$$

From this we can see, that if $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$, then $n_{0}$ is the least non-negative integer zero of the polynomial $f$.

## 3. Counterexamples

The following are examples of sequences $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}$ being ultimately geometric progressions but not geometric progressions and such that the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite. The presented sequences are counterexamples for both Conjectures 1 and 2
Example 1. The following example shows that for each pair $(b, c)$ of integers there exists a sequence $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that it is not a geometric progression but $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $f=b(2-X), g=c(X-1), h=b$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\mathbf{a}(f, g, h)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=-c, \\
& a_{1}=b \cdot(-c)+0 \cdot b=-c b, \\
& a_{2}=0 \cdot(-b c)+c \cdot b^{2}=c b^{2}, \\
& a_{n}=b(2-n) \cdot c b^{n-1}+c(n-1) \cdot b^{n}=c b^{n} \text { for } n>2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we see that this sequence is not a geometric progression despite being ultimately geometric. Because $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}=\{p \in \mathbb{P}: p \mid b c\}$, we thus see that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite on condition that $b c \neq 0$.

Example 2. This example shows that for each $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $\boldsymbol{a}=$ $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}$ without zero terms such that $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq n_{0}}$ is a geometric progression but $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq n_{0}-1}$ is not. Let us take some $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $f=2 b^{\prime} n_{0}!-2 b^{\prime} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j)$, $g=-c^{\prime} n_{0}!+2 c^{\prime} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j)$ and $h=b^{\prime} n_{0}$ !. Then, the terms of the sequence $\boldsymbol{a}(f, g, h)$ are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\left(1-2^{n+1}\right) c^{\prime} b^{\prime n} n_{0}!^{n+1}, \text { for } n<n_{0}, \\
& a_{n}=c^{\prime} b^{\prime n} n_{0}!^{n+1}, \text { for } n \geq n_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we can see, $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$, where $b=b^{\prime} d n_{0}$ ! and $c=c^{\prime} d n_{0}$ !. On the other hand $a_{n} \neq c b^{n}$ for any $n \in\left\{0, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$. If $b c \neq 0$, then the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite.

Example 3. This example also shows that for each $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}$ without zero terms such that $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq n_{0}}$ is a geometric progression but $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq n_{0}-1}$ is not. To the opposition of the previous example, the terms of the sequence $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}(f, g, h)$ have the same sign if $b>0$. Let us take some $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $f=b^{\prime} n_{0}!-b^{\prime} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j), g=c^{\prime}(d-1) n_{0}!+c^{\prime} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j)$ and $h=b^{\prime} d n_{0}$ !. Then, the terms of the sequence $\boldsymbol{a}(f, g, h)$ are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\left(d^{n+1}-1\right) c^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{n}\left(n_{0}!\right)^{n+1}, \text { for } n<n_{0}, \\
& a_{n}=c^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{n} d^{n+1}\left(n_{0}!\right)^{n+1}, \text { for } n \geq n_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we can see, $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$, where $b=b^{\prime} d n_{0}$ ! and $c=c^{\prime} d n_{0}$ !. On the other hand $a_{n} \neq c b^{n}$ for any $n \in\left\{0, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$. If $b c \neq 0$, then the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite.
Remark 1. If we allow to consider polynomials $f, g$, $h$ belonging to the ring $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X]:=$ $\{P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]: P(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$, then putting $f=2 b-\frac{2 b}{n_{0}!} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j), g=-c+$ $\frac{2 c^{\prime}}{n_{0}!} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j)$ and $h=b$ we obtain for any pair $(b, c)$ of integers and $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} a$ sequence of the form $\boldsymbol{a}(f, g, h)=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $a_{n}=c b^{n}$ if and only if $n \geq n_{0}$. Just as in Example 2 we obtain $a_{n}=\left(1-2^{n+1}\right) c b^{n}$ for $n<n_{0}$.

Similarly we can modify Example 3. Taking $f=b^{\prime}-\frac{b_{0}}{n_{0}!} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j), g=$ $c^{\prime}(d-1)+\frac{c^{\prime}}{n_{0}!} \prod_{j=0}^{n_{0}-1}(X-j)$ and $h=b^{\prime} d$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\left(d^{n+1}-1\right) c^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{n}, \text { for } n<n_{0}, \\
& a_{n}=c b^{n}, \text { for } n \geq n_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b=b^{\prime} d$ and $c=c^{\prime} d$.

## 4. Further study

Despite the fact that Conjectures 1 and 2 turned out to be false, there remains an open problem of classification of the sequences $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite. We know, that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}$ may be bounded but if this is the case, then $\mathbf{a}$ is an ultimately geometric progression with ratio being $-1,0$ or 1 (see [2]). Hence, at this moment, the only known sequences of the form $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}$ with finite set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ are ultimately geometric progressions. This is why we state the revised version of Conjecture 1 as follows.

Conjecture 3. If $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{R}$ is not an ultimately geometric progression, then the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is infinite.
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