

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LOOP BRAID GROUPS FROM BRAIDED TENSOR CATEGORIES

LIANG CHANG

ABSTRACT. The loop braid group is the motion group of unknotted oriented circles in \mathbb{R}^3 . In this paper, we study their representations through the approach inspired by two dimensional topological phases of matter. In principle, the motion of loops in \mathbb{R}^3 reduces to the motions of points in a two dimensional sliced plane. We realize this physical picture in terms of braided tensor categories and their braid group representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the topological phases of matter in two spacial dimensions, the point-like excitations, called *anyons*, are modeled by a unitary modular category, equivalently, by a (2+1) topological quantum field theory (TQFT). The motion of anyons is described by the corresponding braid group representations from the modular category, which can yield topological quantum computation models [11, 10, 23].

It is naturally to consider the excitations in 3d physical systems. By the spin-statistics theorem, point-like excitations in three spatial dimensions are all bosons or fermions. Switching two identical particles results in a plus/minus sign in their wave function. In this sense, the motion of point-like excitations only give the symmetric group representations. However, other than point-like excitations, there exist string-like excitations in the 3d topological phases of matter. The groups of their motion generalize the usual braid groups and were studied in [7, 12, 16] mathematically and physically in [6, 21], etc. In particular, the motion group of unknotted oriented circles in \mathbb{R}^3 are called *loop braid group*. While the unknotted circles are all linked to another base circle, their motion forms the *necklace braid group*. Their representation theory have been studied through algebraic and gauge theory approaches ([2], [1], [4], etc.) .


In general, the topological phases of matter in three spacial dimensions are encoded by (3+1) TQFTs. Unlike the (2+1) TQFTs have been well understood by the rich theory of modular categories, the categorical theory for the (3+1) TQFTs have not been established completely. In order to obtain the representations of the motion group of links from the categorical perspective, we shall reduce three spacial dimensions to two spacial dimensions by focusing on the intersection of the links and an auxiliary plane. From this view, the motion of links is simulated by the motion of the intersecting points. Although these intersections can not recover all motions for any links, in some case they can still completely present the generators of some motion groups. In this paper, we will show the loop braid groups can be realized by the motion of pairs of points. As a result, we obtain loop braid group representations from the braid group representation based on braid tensor categories.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first review the notion of loop braid groups. Then we will show that the double-strand braids have two type of braidings and

almost realize the loop braids in Section 3. Finally, we obtain loop braid group representation whenever two anyons fuse into several bosons or fermions.

2. LOOP BRAID GROUPS

The n -component loop braid is geometrically illustrated as the motions of n unknotted oriented circles in \mathbb{R}^3 . We can present the generating loop braids diagrammatically via the trajectories of circles in the time direction as follows.




These diagrams show two types of generators of loop braid groups. The generator σ_i is to pass the i -th circle under and through the $(i+1)$ -th circle ending with the two circles' positions interchanged. The generator s_i is simply to switch the i -th and $(i+1)$ -th circles. Algebraically, the loop braid group is defined by its generators and relations.

Definition 1. *The n -component **loop braid group** LB_n is the group generated by σ_i, s_j for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ satisfying the following relations:*


- (B1) $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$
- (B2) $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ for $|i - j| > 1$
- (S1) $s_j s_{j+1} s_j = s_{j+1} s_j s_{j+1}$
- (S2) $s_j^2 = 1$
- (S3) $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ for $|i - j| > 1$
- (M1) $s_i s_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$
- (M2) $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$
- (M3) $\sigma_i s_j = s_j \sigma_i$ for $|i - j| > 1$

This set of generators and relations was introduced in [16] and [6]. It is as the same as the definition of welded braid groups in the virtual knot theory [15]. There double points are allowed in the virtual knot diagrams. That is, the welded braid groups is generated by the following set of crossings modulo the same relations as in Definition 1. Therefore it is possible to study the loop braid group LB_n as extension of the braid group B_n . We refer readers to [8] for the equivalence of various definitions of LB_n and welded diagram approaches.



3. TWO TYPES OF DOUBLE-STRAND BRAIDINGS

When a circle intersects a plane transversely, the intersection is a pair of points. While the circle travels parallel to the plane, its motion can be captured by the motion of these two points. In particular, the generating motions σ_i and s_j are shown below.




As a result, we reduce the loop braid groups to the braid groups. That is, the trajectories of the intersecting points in the time direction form the braids in B_{2n} . Correspondingly, the motions σ_i and s_j give the following braids $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j in B_{2n} , respectively.



Proposition 1. *The braidings $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j satisfy the braid relations: $\tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} \tilde{\sigma}_i = \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} \tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}$, $\tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_{j+1} \tilde{s}_j = \tilde{s}_{j+1} \tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_{j+1}$, the mixed relation (M1): $\tilde{s}_k \tilde{s}_{k+1} \tilde{\sigma}_k = \tilde{\sigma}_{k+1} \tilde{s}_k \tilde{s}_{k+1}$, and all the far commutativities (B2), (S3), (M3).*

Proof. It is straightforward to check the following braid diagram equalities through several Reidemeister III moves.



$\tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_{j+1} \tilde{s}_j = \tilde{s}_{j+1} \tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_{j+1}$

$\tilde{s}_k \tilde{s}_{k+1} \tilde{\sigma}_k = \tilde{\sigma}_{k+1} \tilde{s}_k \tilde{s}_{k+1}$

Finally, (B2), (S3), (M3) are obvious from the far commutativities of B_{2n} . \square

Therefore, the motions of pairs of points give rise to a subgroup \widetilde{LB}_n of B_{2n} which consists of the braids of double-strands and is generated by $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j . The following proposition shows \widetilde{LB}_n is the image of B_n embeded in B_{2n} in two ways. Similar construction appeared in [3]. Here we aim to get linear representations of LB_n in terms of braid category data.

However, the symmetric relation (S2) $\tilde{s}_j^2 = 1$ and the mixed relation (M2) $\tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} \tilde{s}_i = \tilde{s}_{i+1} \tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}$ are not automatically satisfied. From physical point of view, the symmetric relation means that the pair of points fuse into some bosons or fermions. But this is not true in the 2d topological order where more general anyons other than bosons or fermions may appear after the fusion process. Therefore, the symmetric relation should naturally be a sufficient condition for the existence of LB_n representations. In fact, we show that (M2) results from the symmetric relation in the next section.

4. THE LOOP BRAID GROUP REPRESENTATIONS


Braided tensor categories ([9]) are rich resource of the braid group representations. These are tensor categories equipped with a family of natural transformations, called *braiding*s. That is, for each pair of objects x, y of \mathcal{C} , one has a natural transformation $c_{x,y} \in \text{Hom}(x, y)$ satisfying certain compatibility equations that are regarded as the categorical version of

Yang-Baxter equation. For an object x , $R_i = \text{id}^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes c_{x,x} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n-i-1)}$ induces a homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow \text{End}(x^{\otimes n})$ by $\sigma_i \mapsto R_i$. Applying diagram calculus for braided categories, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j as morphisms in \mathcal{C} expressed in terms of the braiding c .

Let x, y be objects such that the double braiding of $x \otimes y$ is trivial, i.e.,

$$c_{x \otimes y, x \otimes y} \circ c_{x \otimes y, x \otimes y} = \text{id}_{x \otimes y, x \otimes y}.$$

This is the symmetric equation (S2) in the categorical setting. Equivalently, it means that the (x, y) -labeled double-strands can pass through the crossing in the braid diagrams.




The following theorem tells that this trivial double braiding suffices to imply the mixed relation (M2) and induce a loop braid group representation.

Theorem 1. *Let x and y be objects in a braided tensor category \mathcal{C} such that the double braiding of $x \otimes y$ is trivial. Then the braidings $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j induces a homomorphism $LB_n \rightarrow \text{End}((x \otimes y)^{\otimes n})$.*

This is the corollary of Proposition 1 and Lemma 1 below which implies the mixed relation (M2). Recall that in [2] the notion of *standard extension* is introduced for the representation of LB_3 with $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = k s_1 s_2$ for some constant k . Now Lemma 1 asserts that the LB_3 representations in Theorem 1 are all standard.

Lemma 1. *If $x \otimes y$ has trivial double braiding, then $\tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1} = \tilde{s}_i \tilde{s}_{i+1}$*

Proof. It can be verified by the following diagram calculus.




The last diagram is actually equal to $\tilde{s}_i \tilde{s}_{i+1}$. In the second and fourth equalities, we push the double lines through the crossings using the symmetric relation. \square

In order to compute $\text{End}((x \otimes y)^{\otimes n})$ explicitly, we assume \mathcal{C} is a *ribbon fusion category* ([9]). In this setting, the objects decompose into direct sum of simple objects. Then for any simple object z , $\text{Hom}(z, (x \otimes y)^{\otimes n})$ is a linear representation of LB_n . By fusion rules, $x \otimes y = \oplus z_i$ for some simple objects z_i 's. Suppose each z_i is bosonic or fermionic, then $x \otimes y$ has trivial double braiding. Recall that an object z is bosonic/fermionic if $z \otimes z^* = \mathbf{1}$ and its twist or topological spin is $+1/-1$. The followings are examples along this line.

Example 1. Ising category. Let us consider the Ising category whose simple objects are $\mathbf{1}$, σ and ψ with twists 1 , $e^{2\pi i/16}$, and -1 , respectively. Its fusion rules are $\sigma \otimes \sigma = \mathbf{1} \oplus \psi$, $\psi \otimes \psi = \mathbf{1}$ and $\psi \otimes \sigma = \sigma \otimes \psi = \sigma$. Therefore, $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, (\sigma \otimes \sigma)^{\otimes n})$ and $\text{Hom}(\psi, (\sigma \otimes \sigma)^{\otimes n})$ are both LB_n representations of dimension 2^{n-1} .

Example 2. Tambara-Yamagami categories. [18] Given a finite abelian group $G = \{g_i\}$, the simple objects of the TY category $\mathcal{TY}(G)$ are g_i 's together with m . Its fusion rules are group multiplication and $mg = gm = m$, $m \otimes m = \oplus g_i$. It turns out in [17] that $\mathcal{TY}(G)$ is braided if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group. That is, $g_i^2 = 1$ for any element g_i in G and so g_i 's twists are all ± 1 . Therefore, by the last fusion rule, $\text{Hom}(m, (m \otimes m)^{\otimes n})$ gives a LB_n representation.

In the rest of this section, we apply graph calculus to derive formulas for computing the general representing matrices. For simple objects x, y and z , a fusion basis for $\text{Hom}(z, (x \otimes y)^{\otimes n})$ is chosen as



Here we only consider multiplicity-free fusion rules for simplicity. The general case is completely similar. The LB_n will be calculated in terms of the F -symbols and R -symbols as follows. They are the matrix entries representing the categorical associativity and commutativity.

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \\ \quad m \\ \diagup \\ c \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} b \\ \diagup \\ \quad n \\ \diagdown \\ z \end{array} = \sum_n F_{z;nm}^{abc} \quad \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \\ \quad n \\ \diagup \\ z \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \\ \quad \circlearrowleft \\ \diagup \\ z \end{array} = R_z^{ab} \quad \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \\ \quad \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} b \\ \diagup \\ \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \\ z \end{array}$$

As a result, The action of $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and \tilde{s}_j on the basis vectors are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{s}_j \left(\begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j-1} \quad a_j \quad a_{j+1} \\ \text{---} \\ b_j \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j+1} \text{---} \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j-1} \quad a_j \quad a_{j+1} \\ \text{---} \\ b_j \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j+1} \text{---} \end{array} \\
 & = \sum_{c_j, b'_j} F_{b_{j+1}; c_j b_j}^{b_{j-1} a_j a_{j+1}} R_{c_j}^{a_{j+1} a_j} \bar{F}_{b_{j+1}; b'_j c_j}^{b_{j-1} a_{j+1} a_j} \quad , \\
 & \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j-1} \quad a_{j+1} \quad a_j \\ \text{---} \\ b'_j \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{j+1} \text{---} \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\sigma}_i \left(\begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i-1} \quad a_i \quad a_{i+1} \\ \text{---} \\ b_i \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i+1} \text{---} \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i-1} \quad a_i \quad a_{i+1} \\ \text{---} \\ b_i \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i+1} \text{---} \end{array} \\
 & = \sum_{c, k, b, p, b'_i, a'_i} \eta(c, k, b, p, b'_i, a'_i) \quad . \\
 & \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad x \quad y \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i-1} \quad a'_i \quad a_i \\ \text{---} \\ b'_i \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ b_{i+1} \text{---} \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(c, k, b, p, b'_i, a'_i) = \bar{F}_{b_{i+1};ca_{i+1}}^{b_i xy} F_{c;kb_i}^{b_{i-1}xa_i} R_k^{xa_i} \bar{F}_{c;bk}^{b_{i-1}xa_i} F_{b_{i+1};pc}^{bya_i} \bar{R}_p^{ya_i} \bar{F}_{b_{i+1};b'_ip}^{bya_i} F_{b'_i;a'_ib}^{b_{i-1}xy}$, \bar{F} is the inverse matrices of F and \bar{R} is the inverse of R .

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose the method of dimension reduction to construct loop braid group representations from braid tensor categories. The advantage of this method is that we can apply the well-developed theory of braid tensor categories rather than (3+1) TQFTs. The question becomes searching for two objects whose tensor product having trivial double braiding. This kind of objects are generally few since their quantum dimensions are square root of integers. Topologically, once having LB_n representations, we can continue to acquire the invariants for welded links in the spirit of [19]. This will be discussed in another paper.

Moreover, the dimension reduction approach can be used for more motion groups of links. Another typical example is the necklace braid groups. This name comes from the shape of the motion where the moving knots are linked to a base ring just like a necklace. The case that several circles are linked to another big circle was discussed in [4, 21]. Another case is that a sequence of torus knots (like trefoil knots) are linked to a base circle. This motion group was firstly studied in [12] and should give more interesting and complicated braiding than that from the loop braid groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the support from NSFC Grant No. 11701293.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS

The data that supports the findings of this study are available within this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bardakov, *Extending representations of braid groups to the automorphism groups of free groups*. J. Knot Theory Ramifications **14** (2005), no. 8, 1087–1098.
- [2] P. Bruillard, L. Chang, S.-M. Hong, J. Plavnik, E. Rowell, M. Sun. *Low-dimensional representations of the three component loop braid group*, Journal of Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 11.
- [3] T. Brendle and A. Hatcher. *Configuration spaces of rings and wickets..* Comment. Math. Helv., **88** (2013), no. 1, 131–162.
- [4] A. Bullivant, A. Kimball, P. Martin, E. Rowell, *Representations of the Necklace Braid Group, Topological and Combinatorial Approaches*. preprint [arXiv:1810.05152](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.05152) (2018).
- [5] A. Bullivant, J. Faria Martins and P. Martin, *From Aharonov-Bohm type effects in discrete (3+1)-dimensional higher gauge theory to representations of the loop braid group*. [arXiv:1807.09551](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09551) (2018).
- [6] J. Baez, D. Wise, A. Crans, *Exotic statistics for strings in 4D BF theory*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **11** (2007), no. 5, 707–749.
- [7] D. Dahm, *A generalization of braid theory*, PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1962.
- [8] C. Damiani, *A journey through loop braid groups*, Expositiones Mathematicae, **35**(2017), no. 3, 252–285.
- [9] P. Etinghof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*. AMS. Mathematical surveys and monographs. Vol. 205. (2015).
- [10] M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M. Larsen, Z. Wang, *Topological quantum computation*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **40** (2003) no. 1, 31–38.
- [11] M. Freedman, M. Larsen, Z. Wang, *A modular functor which is universal for quantum computation*. Commun. Math. Phys. **227** (2002) no. 3, 605–622.

- [12] D. Goldsmith, *The theory of motion groups*. Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), no. 1, 3–17.
- [13] M. Hastings, C. Nayak, and Z. Wang. *On metaplectic modular categories and their applications*, Commun. Math. Phys. **330** (2014), 45–68.
- [14] Z. Kadar, P. Martin, E. Rowell, Z. Wang, *Local representations of the loop braid group*, preprint [arXiv:1411.3768](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3768) (2015).
- [15] L. Kauffman, *Virtual Knot Theory*. European J. Comb. **20** (1999), 663–691.
- [16] X.S. Lin, *The motion group of the unlink and its representations*. Topology and physics : Proceedings of the Nankai International Conference in Memory of Xiao-Song Lin, Tianjin, China, 27-31 July 2007. World Scientific, 2008.
- [17] J. Siehler, *Braided Near-group Categories*. [arXiv:0011037](https://arxiv.org/abs/0011037) (2000).
- [18] D. Tambara and S. Yamagami, *Tensor Categories with Fusion Rules of Self-Duality for Finite Abelian Groups*, Journal of Algebra **209** (1998), 692–707.
- [19] V. Turaev, *The Yang-Baxter Equation and Invariants of Links*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **92**(1988), no. 3, 527–553.
- [20] K. Walker, Z. Wang, *(3 + 1)-TQFTs and topological insulators*. Front. Phys. **7** (2) (2012), 150–159.
- [21] C. Wang, M. Levin, *Braiding Statistics of Loop Excitations in Three Dimensions* Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 080403.
- [22] J. Wang, X.G. Wen, *Non-Abelian string and particle braiding in topological order: Modular $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ representation and (3+1)-dimensional twisted gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 035134
- [23] Z. Wang, *Topological quantum computation*, CBMS monograph, vol. 112. American Mathematical Society, USA (2010)

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, CHINA 300071
E-mail address: changliang996@nankai.edu.cn