

ByteSing: A Chinese Singing Voice Synthesis System Using Duration Allocated Encoder-Decoder Acoustic Models and WaveRNN Vocoders

*Yu Gu, Xiang Yin, Yonghui Rao, Yuan Wan, Benlai Tang,
Yang Zhang, Jitong Chen, Yuxuan Wang, Zejun Ma*

ByteDance AI Lab

{guyu.ailab, yinxiang.stephen, raoyonghui, wanyuan.0626, tangbenlai, zhangyang.elfin, chenjitong.1, wangyuxuan.11, mazejun}@bytedance.com

Abstract

This paper presents ByteSing, a Chinese singing voice synthesis (SVS) system based on duration allocated Tacotron-like acoustic models and WaveRNN neural vocoders. Different from the conventional SVS models, the proposed ByteSing employs Tacotron-like encoder-decoder structures as the acoustic models, in which the CBHG models and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are explored as encoders and decoders respectively. Meanwhile an auxiliary phoneme duration prediction model is utilized to expand the input sequence, which can enhance the model controllable capacity, model stability and tempo prediction accuracy. WaveRNN vocoders are also adopted as neural vocoders to further improve the voice quality of synthesized songs. Both objective and subjective experimental results prove that the SVS method proposed in this paper can produce quite natural, expressive and high-fidelity songs by improving the pitch and spectrogram prediction accuracy and the models using attention mechanism can achieve best performance.

Index Terms: ByteSing, Singing voice synthesis, Tacotron, WaveRNN, Duration allocated

1. Introduction

Singing voice synthesis (SVS) systems can generate songs from the given musical scores which contain both linguistic information (lyrics) and different kinds of musical features such as note and tempo information. At present, SVS technique is an indispensable basic component in various applications with human-computer interaction such as virtual avatars, voice assistants and intelligent electronic devices. Meanwhile SVS systems can be combined with other generation tasks such as automatic lyric and melody generation. The assemble of multi-modal technologies, artificial intelligence singer and artificial intelligence composer has become more and more popular. Thus the expectations for high-fidelity, high-naturalness and more accurate SVS algorithms will be increasing in future.

Similar with text-to-speech (TTS) synthesis systems which only depend on linguistic input features, SVS systems generally adopt similar acoustic and duration models with statistical parametric speech synthesis (SPSS) systems. Some conventional statistical models such as context-dependent hidden Markov models [1, 2] were employed on many popular SVS systems, which can model several acoustic features of a singing voice simultaneously. Nevertheless, suffering from the over-smoothing effect and limited modeling ability of those statistical models, the predicted musical features such as timbre and harmony by these systems had a great distinction with those extracted from ground-truth songs.

In recent years, deep learning technologies have achieved a resounding success on various speech and audio generation

tasks [3] such as TTS, voice conversion and speech enhancement. Different kinds of models based on neural networks have also been proposed for SVS systems besides TTS systems. Deep neural networks (DNNs) and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) were employed to model the mapping relationship between the music scores and the acoustic features [4, 5, 6]. RNNs with long-short term memory (LSTM) cells were also adopted on SVS systems to capture the long range temporal dependencies and produce higher singing quality [7].

Sequence-to-sequence models such as Tacotrons [8, 9] and Deep Voice 3 [10] using the content-based attention mechanism are currently the predominant paradigm in end-to-end TTS, which have demonstrated the naturalness that could rival that of human speech. Some encoder-decoder structures for end-to-end SVS [11, 12] have also been proposed and adversarial training was adopted to improve accuracy of predicted features [12]. Despite these successes of end-to-end models on TTS and SVS tasks, such methods usually suffer from a lack of robustness in the alignment procedure that leads to repeated or skipped words and incomplete synthesis. Different from the automatic and soft attention alignments in the conventional end-to-end models, some additional duration predictors were employed to address the issues of wrong attention alignments and consequently reduce the ratio of the missing or repeating words [13, 14]. Similar duration informed attention network is also applied on SVS and singing conversion tasks [15, 16] to ensure the hard alignments between the phoneme and musical score sequences and their corresponding acoustic features. Furthermore, waveform modeling algorithms such as WaveNet [17], WaveRNN [18] and WaveGlow [19] have already achieved high-fidelity audio quality and close-to-human perception and also been used in SVS systems [20].

Motivated by the achievements of acoustic models based on duration informed encoder-decoder architectures on audio generation tasks, we proposed a Chinese SVS system, ByteSing, to synthesize vocal waveforms from original musical scores and lyrics following an end-to-end structure and an auxiliary phoneme duration prediction model. Different from the singing synthesis systems mentioned above [15, 16], on which encoders were mainly dependent on the linguistic features and fundamental frequency (F0) trajectories, the proposed SVS system processes the embeddings of both linguistic and musical features. Besides, these systems were still dependent on traditional DSP vocoders and source-filter models, which were hard to extract accurate features from singing voice and had disadvantages of generating high quality waveforms. Therefore, the proposed ByteSing model utilizes an autoregressive decoder to convert the duration expanded input features into mel-spectrogram sequences directly, which contains more detailed




Figure 1: Block diagram of ByteSing system.

and richer acoustic information. Phoneme duration information is also predicted to improve the model stability and the tempo accuracy of synthesized songs. Furthermore, WaveRNNs are adopted as vocoders to synthesize waveform directly to exceed the limitation of traditional vocoders.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the detailed structure of the proposed system. In Section 3, the performance of different system configurations is evaluated. The conclusion and future work are given in Section 4.

2. The proposed system

2.1. Overview

Figure 1 depicts a general description of ByteSing system with its different components. To achieve the goal of imitating the timbre and the singing and pronunciation characters of the specific singer, a singing dataset is recorded following the given musical scores, which are described on MusicXML format [21]. The recorded songs are phonetically transcribed and segmented. At the training stage, a duration model, an acoustic model which is based on encoder-decoder framework and a neural vocoder are trained respectively. The duration model predicts the begin and end time of each phoneme using both linguistic and musical information and a post-processing step is conducted according to the constraints of note durations. The note-level features are converted to frame-level ones according to the interval information of adjacent phonemes. The acoustic model is established to map the expanded frame-level input feature sequences into the extracted acoustic feature sequences. Different from other SVS systems which explicitly choose F0s and spectral envelope related features as acoustic features, 80-dimensional mel-spectrograms that implicitly include all the acoustic elements such as pitch and format are directly predicted. Meanwhile, a neural vocoder based on WaveRNN is constructed using recorded songs and the mel-spectrograms extracted from the corresponding ground-truth waveforms. For the inference phase, some standard text analysis procedures such as poly-phone disambiguation are performed on the score lyrics firstly to infer the phoneme sequences for the lyrics. Long paragraphs are also segmented into short sentences for the convenience of modeling. Given the phoneme sequences and their musical scores, the phoneme durations are predicted by the duration model. The frame-level expanded feature sequences are fed into the encoder. Then the decoder generates the mel-spectrogram sequence frame-by-frame in an autoregressive

Table 1: Definition and description of the symbols in this paper.

Symbol	Description (Examples)
Ph	Chinese phoneme identities (sh, uai)
Pi	Pitch from note (C4, G3)
Du	Duration from tempo and note (0.625s)
Tp	Phoneme types (initial, final or zero-initial)
To	Tone of the corresponding syllable (0, 1, 2, 3)
Po	Frame position embedding

manner. The trained neural vocoder can transform the predicted mel-spectrograms into singing waveforms. The details of each part on ByteSing system are described as follows.

2.2. Feature representation

We convert the musical scores and lyrics into our self-designed input feature sequences and some self-defined symbols are described on the Table 1. For the duration models and acoustic models, two sets of feature composing are adopted respectively. The input features $X_D = [Ph, Tp, Du]$ in the duration models are phoneme-level ones where Ph and Tp are both categorical features and one-hot encoded and Du is the theoretical numerical duration of the note that current phoneme belongs to. Du can be obtained according to the tempo information and the note duration information. For the acoustic models, the duration expanded features $X_A = [Ph, Pi, Po]$ are frame-level ones where Pi is also categorical ones rather than a floating-point frequency value. Po is an additional three-dimensional position embedding computed as a ramp representing the advancements and reserve percentages of the phoneme for each frame and position on the current utterance for each phoneme, which are all normalised as floating point numbers in the interval [0,1].

2.3. Duration models

Different from TTS tasks on which the duration is only conditioned on the text contexts and prosody characters of the specific speaker, the duration for singing should also refer to the musical duration which has less degrees of freedom than TTS. In the case of SVS, the start timing and end timing of each phoneme should be determined more accurately. Therefore, a bidirectional RNN incorporating multiple layers of LSTM cells is utilized as the duration model to predict the duration of the target phoneme from the input phoneme-level X_D feature sequence. Then the supervised back-propagation through time algorithm was conducted to fine-tune the RNN parameters under minimum mean squared error (MMSE) criterion.

Although the phenomenon of time lags between start timings of musical score and start timings of real audio in actual songs is quite common, time-lag models [1] are not employed on ByteSing. On the contrary, for procedure simplification and the convenience of audio mixing with background music, a post-processing step is performed on predicted phoneme durations to constrain the whole syllable duration to be equal to the corresponding musical note durations. In fact, only the degree of freedom for the ratio of vowels and consonants in each syllable is reserved, which is a compromise of naturalness and veracity. Although the musical scores are strictly followed which is not agreed with practice situation, the naturalness is not degraded significantly from roughly subjective perception and the synthesized songs are more easily delivered to the post-productions such as automix and autotune.




Figure 2: Acoustic models in ByteSing.

2.4. Acoustic models

The acoustic models used in ByteSing are depicted in Figure 2, which are totally evolved from both Tacotron and Tacotron2. The input sequence X_A as presented on Section 2.2 is expanded to frame-level according to the given phoneme durations. The Ph and Pi are categorical features and encoded with embedding layers. A convolutional PreNet is deployed to model the long-term information of both linguistic and musical context. Inherited from Tacotron [8], the powerful CBHG module that consists of a bank of convolutional filters, highway networks and a bidirectional gated recurrent unit based RNN is also used as the encoder for extracting representations from the input sequences. Then the encoded sequences are down-sampled to the identical time-resolution with that of the output acoustic feature sequences through a convolutional layer. The GMM-based attention mechanism [22, 23] is exploited to align the input musical and linguistic features with the output spectrograms. Because the input sequences are expanded by an auxiliary duration model, the attention module can achieve fast convergence and the monotonicity and locality properties of synthesis alignment can also be guaranteed. Meanwhile, due to the attention strategy and encoder-decoder structure, the alignment between the source and target is learned automatically and controlled by the dynamic context vectors, which has the advantages of the hard alignments in conventional SPSS and SVS systems. The decoder of ByteSing follows the decoder design of Tacotron2 [9] and an autoregressive RNN predicts mel-spectrograms from the encoded input sequence multiple frames at a time. The acoustic prediction from the previous time step is first passed through a pre-net containing 2 fully-connected layers. The output acoustic feature sequence from the decoder network is passed through a convolutional post-net to predict the residuals. Losses from before and after the post-net are calculated to optimize the whole acoustic model.

2.5. WaveRNN neural vocoder

WaveRNN [18] is a generative model which was proposed for TTS synthesis and other general audio generation tasks. WaveRNNs performed autoregressive speech sample generation using GRU variants instead of depending on vocoders, which predicted the coarse and fine part of audio samples successively. The architectures of the exploited WaveRNN in ByteSing are




Figure 3: Network structures of WaveRNN.

illustrated on Figure 3, which contains of a sample generation network and a condition network. For the sample generation part, the original structure is basically followed using a single-layer RNN with a dual softmax output layer to predict the categorical distributions of the audio samples conditioned on the predicted mel-spectrograms. Multiple layers of convolutional blocks as depicted in Figure 3 are utilized to encode the frame-level mel-spectrogram condition sequences, which is motivated by the encoder structure on Deep Voice 3 [10]. The convolutional block consists of a 1-D convolutional layer, a gated-linear unit (GLU) [24] as a learnable nonlinearity, a residual connection to the input and a scaling factor of $\sqrt{0.5}$. Stacked non-causal convolutional layers with an exponentially increasing the dilation factors can result in a sufficiently large receptive field and the GLU can alleviate the vanishing gradient issue for stacked convolution blocks while retaining non-linearity. The encoded information is upsampled to the same time resolution with native audio frequency by simply repetition and then added into the biases of GRU cells.

3. Experiments

3.1. Experimental conditions

To evaluate the performance of the proposed ByteSing system, 90 Chinese songs performed by a female singer were used as the training dataset. The recorded songs were finely labelled and were decomposed into short utterances according to the rest and the lyric semantic information. The sampling rate for the singing voice was reduced to 24 kHz. Another 10 songs those were not present in the training set were used as the test dataset to measure the performances and the musical scores and lyrics were annotated on MusicXML format. To evaluate the effects of attention mechanism and using different features, the following several SVS systems were established for comparison.

- **Natural**: The ground-truth recorded songs;
- **ByteSing**: The proposed ByteSing system;
- **BS-w/o-atten**: ByteSing without attention module and directly using predicted durations as alignments;
- **BS-w-To**: ByteSing also with tones (*To* in Table 1) as inputs to evaluate the effectiveness of tone information;

Table 2: Comparison of distortion between acoustic features of natural voice and synthesized voice from different systems where Corr. represents the correlation coefficients.

System	<i>BS-w-To</i>	<i>ByteSing</i>	<i>BS-w/o-atten</i>
<i>MSD</i>	5.831	5.763	6.173
<i>F0 RMSE</i>	32.930	27.376	33.896
<i>F0 Corr.</i>	0.912	0.940	0.913




Figure 4: Attention alignment scores on a test song.

3.2. Objective evaluation

Objective tests were conducted to evaluate different SVS systems. For the convenience of comparison, all systems remained the same ground-truth duration as the target natural audio. Mel-spectral distortion (MSD), root-mean-square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficients of F0 values on a linear scale between the natural audio and synthesized voice by different SVS systems are presented in Table 2. It is worth mentioning that the compared acoustic features were re-extracted from the generated waveforms. The objective result presents that the synthesized voice from the *ByteSing* system can acquire smallest spectral distortion and achieve more precise pitch prediction. Although the tone or intonation modeling in Chinese TTS is quite indispensable, the comparison between the *ByteSing* and *BS-w-To* systems shows that the additional tone information can even reduce the prediction accuracy of acoustic features. This phenomena may be due to the limited amount of training data and too rich feature representations can also weaken the model generalization ability. Moreover, some acoustic features such as pitch contours are mainly controlled by the musical notes, which differs with TTS tasks. The MSD and F0 RMSE of the *BS-w/o-atten* system are the largest among all the systems. The superiority of the *ByteSing* system over the *BS-w/o-atten* system indicates the importance of using the attention mechanism as the soft alignment manner. Figure 4 illustrates the alignment scores in the attention module along the inference steps. Because the input sequences are expanded by the auxiliary duration model, the alignment monotonicity is much more robust than standard end-to-end models and there are nearly no attention errors such as missing and repeating in the test phase.

3.3. Subjective evaluation

To better compare the difference between the synthesized songs and recorded ground-truth songs, five professional musical experts were employed to evaluate the singing performance of the real singer and ByteSing system respectively. The same assessment standards for vocalists such as rhythm accuracy,




Figure 5: MOSs for both *Natural* and *ByteSing* systems.

pitch accuracy, pronunciation, breath and expressiveness are conducted on our synthesized singing voices and mean opinion score (MOS) from 1 (bad) to 5 (excellent) is utilized as a measure for the singing performances. All the generated songs were synthesized according to the predicted phoneme duration¹

The results of subjective tests are exhibited in Figure 5 respectively. The MOS comparisons of the *Natural* and *ByteSing* systems demonstrate the proposed ByteSing can successfully achieve close quality with natural songs. All the measure criteria for ByteSing system have exceeded 80 percent of these for original recorded songs and the synthesized voices generally sound quite natural, which proves the effectiveness of the proposed SVS system. The score of intonation or pitch accuracy for the recorded songs is the lowest among all scores. We found that the singer didn't always sing at the correct pitch following the given musical notes and falsetto problems were also serious when singing very high notes, which also decreases the pitch modeling accuracy of our SVS system. The differences for pronunciation and breath between *Natural* and *ByteSing* are much larger and more obvious than those of other criteria. We expect to address these issues by increasing training data volume. The rhythm accuracy is even better than the real singer because of the post-processing procedure on duration prediction, while this can lead in the deficiency for singing expressiveness.

4. Conclusion

This paper introduces the proposed ByteSing system, which adopts Tacotron-like acoustic models and neural vocoders. Mel-spectrograms are directly predicted utilizing encoder-decoder structures and attention modules. WaveRNNs are employed as vocoders to synthesize waveform directly to exceed the limitation of traditional vocoders. A duration model is also employed to improve the robustness, accuracy and controllability. Subject tests illustrate that ByteSing can achieve more than 80 percent of human singing level. ByteSing is our first attempt on the singing synthesis task. In future work, more training and optimization strategies such as multiple singer pre-training and data augmentation will be explored on our ByteSing systems. And a more automatic process which includes auto-labeling, pitch correction and some multi-modal methods will also be developed for the SVS online services.

¹Examples of synthesized speech by different systems are available at <https://ByteSings.github.io>.

5. References

- [1] K. Saino, H. Zen, Y. Nankaku, A. Lee, and K. Tokuda, “An HMM-based singing voice synthesis system,” in *Ninth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, 2006.
- [2] K. Oura, A. Mase, T. Yamada, S. Muto, Y. Nankaku, and K. Tokuda, “Recent development of the HMM-based singing voice synthesis system—Sinsy,” in *Seventh ISCA Workshop on Speech Synthesis*, 2010.
- [3] Z.-H. Ling, S.-Y. Kang, H. Zen, A. Senior, M. Schuster, X.-J. Qian, H. Meng, and L. Deng, “Deep Learning for acoustic modeling in parametric speech generation: A systematic review of existing techniques and future trends,” *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 35–52, May 2015.
- [4] Y. Hono, S. Murata, K. Nakamura, K. Hashimoto, K. Oura, Y. Nankaku, and K. Tokuda, “Recent development of the DNN-based singing voice synthesis system — Sinsy,” in *2018 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)*, Nov 2018, pp. 1003–1009.
- [5] M. Nishimura, K. Hashimoto, K. Oura, Y. Nankaku, and K. Tokuda, “Singing voice synthesis based on deep neural networks,” in *Interspeech*, 2016, pp. 2478–2482.
- [6] K. Nakamura, K. Hashimoto, K. Oura, Y. Nankaku, and K. Tokuda, “Singing voice synthesis based on convolutional neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.06868*, 2019.
- [7] J. Kim, H. Choi, J. Park, M. Hahn, S.-J. Kim, and J.-J. Kim, “Korean singing voice synthesis based on an LSTM recurrent neural network,” in *Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 1551–1555.
- [8] Y. Wang, R. Skerry-Ryan, D. Stanton, Y. Wu, R. J. Weiss, N. Jaitly, Z. Yang, Y. Xiao, Z. Chen, S. Bengio *et al.*, “Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis,” *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pp. 4006–4010, 2017.
- [9] J. Shen, R. Pang, R. J. Weiss, M. Schuster, N. Jaitly, Z. Yang, Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, R. Skerry-Ryan *et al.*, “Natural TTS synthesis by conditioning WaveNet on mel spectrogram predictions,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4779–4783.
- [10] W. Ping, K. Peng, A. Gibiansky, S. O. Arik, A. Kannan, S. Narang, J. Raiman, and J. Miller, “Deep Voice 3: 2000-speaker neural text-to-speech,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07654*, 2017.
- [11] O. Angelini, A. Moinet, K. Yanagisawa, and T. Drugman, “Singing synthesis: with a little help from my attention,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.05881*, 2019.
- [12] J. Lee, H.-S. Choi, C.-B. Jeon, J. Koo, and K. Lee, “Adversarially trained end-to-end Koreans singing voice synthesis system,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01919*, 2019.
- [13] Y. Ren, Y. Ruan, X. Tan, T. Qin, S. Zhao, Z. Zhao, and T.-Y. Liu, “FastSpeech: Fast, robust and controllable text to speech,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2019, pp. 3165–3174.
- [14] C. Yu, H. Lu, N. Hu, M. Yu, C. Weng, K. Xu, P. Liu, D. Tuo, S. Kang, G. Lei *et al.*, “Durian: Duration informed attention network for multimodal synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01700*, 2019.
- [15] M. Blaauw and J. Bonada, “Sequence-to-sequence singing synthesis using the feed-forward transformer,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09989*, 2019.
- [16] L. Zhang, C. Yu, H. Lu, C. Weng, Y. Wu, X. Xie, Z. Li, and D. Yu, “Learning singing from speech,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10128*, 2019.
- [17] A. v. d. Oord, S. Dieleman, H. Zen, K. Simonyan, O. Vinyals, A. Graves, N. Kalchbrenner, A. Senior, and K. Kavukcuoglu, “WaveNet: A generative model for raw audio,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03499*, 2016.
- [18] N. Kalchbrenner, E. Elsen, K. Simonyan, S. Noury, N. Casagrande, E. Lockhart, F. Stimberg, A. Oord, S. Dieleman, and K. Kavukcuoglu, “Efficient neural audio synthesis,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2018, pp. 2415–2424.
- [19] R. Prenger, R. Valle, and B. Catanzaro, “WaveGlow: A flow-based generative network for speech synthesis,” in *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3617–3621.
- [20] Y.-H. Yi, Y. Ai, Z.-H. Ling, and L.-R. Dai, “Singing voice synthesis using deep autoregressive neural networks for acoustic modeling,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08977*, 2019.
- [21] M. Good, “MusicXML in commercial applications,” pp. 9–20, 2006.
- [22] A. Graves, “Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*, 2013.
- [23] E. Battenberg, R. Skerry-Ryan, S. Mariooryad, D. Stanton, D. Kao, M. Shannon, and T. Bagby, “Location-relative attention mechanisms for robust long-form speech synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.10288*, 2019.
- [24] Y. N. Dauphin, A. Fan, M. Auli, and D. Grangier, “Language modeling with gated convolutional networks,” in *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70*. JMLR.org, 2017, pp. 933–941.