

IN AND AROUND ABELIAN ANYON MODELS

LIANG WANG AND ZHENGHAN WANG

ABSTRACT. Anyon models are algebraic structures that model universal topological properties in topological phases of matter and can be regarded as mathematical characterization of topological order in two spacial dimensions. It is conjectured that every anyon model, or mathematically unitary modular tensor category, can be realized as the representation category of some chiral conformal field theory, or mathematically vertex operator algebra/local conformal net. This conjecture is known to be true for abelian anyon models providing support for the conjecture. We reexamine abelian anyon models from several different angles. First anyon models are algebraic data for both topological quantum field theories and chiral conformal field theories. While it is known that each abelian anyon model can be realized by a quantum abelian Chern-Simons theory and chiral conformal field theory, the construction is not algorithmic. Our goal is to provide such an explicit algorithm for a K -matrix in Chern-Simons theory and a positive definite even one for a lattice conformal field theory. Secondly anyon models and chiral conformal field theories underlie the bulk-edge correspondence for topological phases of matter. But there are interesting subtleties in this correspondence when stability of the edge theory and topological symmetry are taken into consideration. Therefore, our focus is on the algorithmic reconstruction of extremal chiral conformal field theories with small central charges. Finally we conjecture that a much stronger reconstruction holds for abelian anyon models: every abelian anyon model can be realized as the representation category of some non-lattice extremal vertex operator algebra generalizing the moonshine realization of the trivial anyon model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of anyons has received the strongest experimental support recently for abelian anyons in fractional quantum Hall (FQH) liquids [2, 24]. But the experiments to probe anyons in the bulk are not direct, instead measurements are made for edge states, which are modeled by the chiral conformal field theories (χ CFTs) via the bulk-edge correspondence. One main physical motivation for this work is the physical question how to realize abelian anyon models by FQH states in the Chern-Simons formalism and the corresponding edge physics of χ CFTs, famously articulated as edge physics is the window to look into the bulk [30]. The mathematical question is that given an abelian anyon model, how to algorithmically find a K -matrix for a Chern-Simons theory realization and a corresponding positive definite one for a corresponding lattice χ CFT realization (with a small central charge). We answered both questions in this paper and further refine the discussion by going beyond lattice χ CFT realizations. Non-lattice χ CFT realization of anyon models can be regarded as a generalization of the Monstrous Moonshine module for the trivial anyon model, which could be regarded as the case of integer quantum Hall states. Moreover, the realization of abelian anyon

Z.W. is partially supported by NSF grant FRG-1664351 and ARO MURI contract W911NF-20-1-0082. We thank M. Bischoff, T. Gannon, C. Meng, and E. Rowell for helpful comments and discussions.

models by chiral CFTs is another positive instance of the realization conjecture for modular tensor categories in general [28].

Besides FQH physics, the K -matrix and χ CFT for abelian anyon models also play important roles in the study of topological insulators and quantum cellular automata via the Walker-Wang model and systematical construction of fracton models. There is a well-known subtlety in using abelian anyon models for quantum Hall physics: quantum Hall liquids are electron systems, while anyon models are for bosonic/spin systems. The situation is well-understood and we will regard anyon models as the statistics sectors of anyon physics and the constituent electron is modelled by a charge sector. The gluing of the statistics sectors and the charge sectors can be non-trivial, which is important for the detection of anyon statistics via edge current measurement [5]. We will not further touch upon this subtlety in this paper.

The physics of the FQH effect continues to drive the development of topological physics. It is not too much an exaggeration that topological physics is the reproduction of FQH effect in other physics systems. A salient feature of FQH effect is the prediction of anyons as strongly supported by steady progress of experiments including the most recent ones [2, 24]. The experimental probe of the bulk anyons is through the edge physics. This remarkable bulk-edge correspondence between bulk anyon model and edge chiral conformal field theory deserves and inspires continued theoretical exploration.

The most robust and experimentally studied quantum Hall samples are those for filling fraction $\nu = 1/3$. The topological model of the bulk is via the anyon model $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times sVec$, where we will refer to \mathbb{Z}_3 as the statistics sector and $sVec = \{1, f\}$ models the physical electron f , which was referred to as the charge sector. It is well-established that the statistics sector of FQH is modeled by an anyon model/CS theory while the electron wave functions are conformal blocks of the edge conformal field theory as the famous Moore-Read state exemplified [30, 23]. Further study of abelian anyon models will deepen our understanding on the one hand the physical study of edge physics of FQH states and on the other hand the general physics of bulk-edge correspondence.

Anyon models are algebraic structures that model universal topological properties in topological phases of matter and can be regarded as mathematical characterization of topological order in two spacial dimensions (e.g. see [27]). It is conjectured that every anyon model, or mathematically unitary modular tensor category, can be realized as the representation category of some chiral conformal field theory (χ CFT), or mathematically vertex operator algebra (VOA)/local conformal net (LCN) [28]. This conjecture is known to be true for abelian anyon models providing support for the conjecture, where one proof can be found in [25] and a more recent one in [15]. We reexamine abelian anyon models from several different angles. First anyon models are algebraic data for both topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) and χ CFTs. While it is known that each abelian anyon model can be realized by a quantum abelian Chern-Simons (CS) TQFT and χ CFT, the construction is not algorithmic (see e.g. [25, 15, 4] and the references therein). Our goal is to provide such an explicit algorithm for a K -matrix in CS theory and a positive definite one for a lattice χ CFT. Secondly anyon models and χ CFTs underlie the bulk-edge correspondence for topological phases of matter. But there are interesting subtleties in this correspondence when stability of the edge theory and topological symmetry are taken into consideration. Therefore, our focus is on the algorithmic reconstruction of extremal χ CFTs with small central charges. Finally we conjecture that a much stronger reconstruction holds for abelian anyon models: every abelian

anyon model can be realized as the representation category of some non-lattice extremal VOA generalizing the moonshine realization of the trivial anyon model.

Abelian anyon models are classified by metric groups—pairs (A, θ) , where A is a finite abelian group and $\theta(x) = e^{2\pi i q(x)}$, $x \in A$ a non-degenerate quadratic form on A [18]. Such a classification follows from two fundamental results: one is the classification of braided fusion categories by pre-metric groups, and the second is the classification of quadratic forms on finite abelian groups [29, 11]. The full data of an abelian anyon model can be organized using the theory of abelian cohomology (see e.g. [12]).

The construction of an abelian CS theory for each abelian anyon model follows from the existence of a K -matrix, i.e., an even non-degenerate integral symmetric matrix. The construction of a VOA for an abelian anyon model is reduced to the construction of a positive-definite (+-definite in the sequel) K -matrix regarded as a lattice. The construction of a lattice VOA from such a lattice is part of the original work on VOAs realizing the moonshine module [3].

Every anyon model corresponds to a unitary $(2+1)$ -TQFT. For abelian anyon models, the corresponding TQFTs are physically quantum abelian CS theories indexed by K -matrices. We provide an algorithmic construction of such a K -matrix for each abelian anyon model. The existence of such a K -matrix is known [25] and our refinement includes first an algorithmic construction, and secondly the chiral central charge of the resulting CS theory is very small, which is important for physical applications. We provide both a general method and concrete solutions for each case except the B_{p^r} family when $p = 1 \bmod 8$ ¹. Our result relies on the classification of abelian anyon models and an adaption of the algorithm for constructing bilinear forms on finite abelian groups to K matrices in [29].

Gannon and the second author independently conjectured that every unitary modular tensor category or anyon model \mathcal{B} can be realized as the representation category $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V})$ of a VOA \mathcal{V} [28]. This conjecture is obviously true for quantum group categories \mathfrak{G}_k as they are realized by the WZW models \mathfrak{G}_k . Another large class is the quantum double of finite groups [14]. Systematical investigation for low rank anyon models also supports the conjecture [17, 28]. The challenging anyon models are those arising as Drinfeld centers in subfactor theories such as the famous doubled Haagerup. The reconstruction conjecture would imply they could also be realized by VOAs in the same way that the toric code can be realized by $SO(16)_1$. Further evidence is provided by abelian anyon models, which all can be reconstructed as representation categories of lattice VOAs [25, 15]. The first existence proof is not constructive and a new proof can be made more constructive. Our main technical tools include an adaption of an algorithm by Wall in [29] and a complement lattice lemma in [15].

Our motivation for the reconstruction is the bulk-edge correspondence of topological phases of matter. The bulk-edge correspondence for abelian anyon models has been extensively investigated in [30, 31, 4] with a focus on boundary lattice VOAs. Instead our interests lie in stability of the edge theory, equivariant correspondence, and non-lattice VOA boundaries [20]. The stability leads us to focus on extremal χ CFTs with minimal central charge realization, hence a different emphasis from [25, 15]: [25] focuses on abstract existence, while [15] is a detailed reconstruction through lattice gluing.

¹An explicit solution to this case depends on writing down a general quadratic non-residue for all p .

The genus of a unitary χ CFT \mathcal{V} is the pair $(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V}), c)$, where $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V})$ is an anyon model and c is the central charge. By [25], the minimal genus of each abelian anyon model can always be realized. While we provided many explicit reconstructions in the minimal genera, we did not succeed in realizing every one.

Along the way, we can deduce some interesting consequences about abelian anyon models. For example, the central charge of a cyclic anyon model A is always of the opposite parity of the order of $A = p^r$ for some prime p . The number of prime factors of an abelian anyon model is not an invariant as the product of the semion theory with toric code is the same as the product with doubled semions. Finally eight copies of any abelian anyon model is always a Drinfeld center, which also follows from the structure of the pointed part of the Witt group [9].

The content of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we summarize our results and illustrate our work using some examples. In Sec. 3, we list all prime abelian anyon models and calculate their central charges and symmetry groups. In Sec. 4, we construct a K -matrix for each prime abelian anyon model explicitly. In Sec. 5., we construct a lattice VOA realization for each prime abelian anyon model. Finally we made some conjectures in and around the stability, equivariant bulk-edge correspondence, and non-lattice boundary VOAs.

1.1. Notations.

- (1) All lattices Λ in this paper are non-degenerate and integral over \mathbb{Z} except their dual lattices Λ^* and those explicitly stated otherwise.
- (2) A K -matrix K_A associated to an abelian anyon model (A, q) is an even non-degenerate integral symmetric matrix. When K_A is positive-definite (or $+$ -definite), it is also a Gram matrix for a lattice L_A .
- (3) A metric group is a pair (A, θ) , where A is a finite abelian group, and $\theta : A \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ is a non-singular quadratic form in the sense that the associated bi-character $\chi(x, y) = \frac{\theta(x+y)}{\theta(x)\theta(y)}$ is bi-multiplicative and non-degenerate.

A metric group (A, θ) will be also denoted as (A, q) for a quadratic form $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\theta(x) = e^{2\pi i q(x)}$.

- (4) An abelian anyon model is a unitary modular tensor category that realizes the metric group (A, θ) , so the two terms will be used interchangeably.
- (5) Given a lattice L , its discriminant group is $A_L = L^*/L$. The generating matrix of L will be denoted by G_L and the Gram matrix by K_L .

The discriminant form, the quadratic form on the finite discriminant group A for a non-degenerate even lattice, is $q_{2,A} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $q_{2,A}(-x) = q_{2,A}(x)$ and the associated bilinear map $b(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(q_{2,A}(x+y) - q_{2,A}(x) - q_{2,A}(y)) : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is symmetric and non-singular, where $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the extension of the bilinear \langle, \rangle on lattice Λ to its dual.

2. SUMMARY AND EXAMPLES

In this section, we summarize our results and illustrate our work using some examples. The classification of abelian anyon models can be derived from the literature from various resources [18, 11, 29, 12]. We will follow the notation and work of [29] to list all primes ones Thm. 3.1 and note that there are relations among them that are also completely known [21, 19]. The relations

among various models illustrate a known phenomenon that the prime decomposition of abelian anyon models is not unique [22], even the number of prime factors is not an invariant.

Our first contribution is to calculate the central charge and symmetry group for each prime abelian anyon model in Thms. 3.2, 3.6. The calculation of central charge can also be derived from the known literature, e.g. [15]. The symmetry group is new, though in one case we cannot decide the abstract group.

Our main interest is an algorithmic construction of K -matrices and positive definite ones for each prime anyon model with the smallest possible central charge. Their existence is already known by [25], so the new ingredient is an explicit construction, which the second author has been asked by several physicists over the years. One of the interesting future directions is to generalize the Monster moonshine module from the trivial anyon model to all prime abelian anyon models.

We divide prime abelian anyon models into 8 families in Thm. 3.1. Families always come in pairs as each anyon model has a conjugate, which could be the same. The 8 families are: two for an odd prime p , and six for the prime 2. For an odd prime p , the rank is a prime power p^r for $r \geq 1$. When r is even, then the abelian anyon model is always a quantum double [1]. When r is odd, the models naturally divide into four cases by the residue of $p \bmod 8$ with central charges 0, 2, 4, 6 mod 8, respectively, and their conjugates. For the prime 2, the first four families are two pairs with central charges 1, 3, 5, 7 mod 8. There are two additional families with central charges 0, 4 mod 8, which are more interesting: the E family is a generalization of the famous toric code, while the F family is a generalization of the three fermion model.

For CS-theory, we need to find a K -matrix K_A for an abelian anyon model A . Our main tool is an adaption of an algorithm in [29], which we refer to as the Wall algorithm. For a chiral CFT realization, we need K_A to be $+$ -definite, which is significantly harder.

From each of the 8 families, we pick one example to illustrate our results. The realization of abelian anyon models by $+$ -definite K -matrices can be regarded as certain generalization of Cartan ADE matrices. The ADE lattices are the most well-known $+$ -definite K -matrices and realize the abelian anyon models $(A, q_{2,L})$ given by rows two and three in the following table:

Lattice	A_{r-1}	D_{2s}	D_{2s+1}	E_6	E_7	E_8
Discriminant group A	$\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1
Discriminant form $q_{2,L}$	$\frac{r-1}{r}$	$\{0, s/2, s/2, (s-4)/2\}$	$\frac{2s+1}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0

For the odd prime families, we will focus on $p = 3$ and $p = 5$ because they are related to the statistics sectors of FQH liquids at $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{5}$, respectively. While the edge physics of $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ is well-studied, our analysis for $p = 5$ suggests there might be interesting edge physics at $\nu = \frac{1}{5}$, even possible new edge physics for the integer quantum Hall and $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$.

For $p = 3$, the anyon model denoted as \mathbb{Z}_3 has three anyon types with central charge=2 and 6. The realization of central charge =2 is the WZW model $SU(3)_1$. The K matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, which is $+$ -definite already. The central charge=6 realization is the Cartan matrix E_6 , which is also $+$ -definite.

For $p = 5$, the anyon model denoted as \mathbb{Z}_5 has five anyon types with central charge=0 and 4 mod 8. The realization of central charge=0 mod 8 will be the minimal central charge=8. The solution

for $c = 8$ is unique given by the following K -matrix obtained from the classification of lattices [7], while our algorithm produces a solution with $c = 32$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the central charge $=4 \bmod 8$, our algorithm produces a minimal solution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 20 & -15 & 10 & -5 \\ -15 & 12 & -8 & 4 \\ 10 & -8 & 6 & -3 \\ -5 & 4 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is $+$ -definite already.

For the prime 2, the anyon model denoted as \mathbb{Z}_2 has two anyon types with central charge $=1$ and $7 \bmod 8$. They can be realized by WZW models $SU(2)_1$ and $(E_7)_1$, the semion and anti-semion pair.

The anyon model denoted as \mathbb{Z}_4 has 4 anyon types, and there are two pairs. The first pair can be realized by the K matrices (4) and Cartan matrix D_7 . The another pair can be realized by WZW models $SU(4)_1$ and $(D_5)_1$.

The toric code can be realized by WZW model $(D_8)_1$. The next one in the E family has $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ as fusion rules. By our algorithm, to construct a positive definite K -matrix, we start with one of B_4 , which can be chosen to be the D_7 as above. Then we obtain the following 16×16 $+$ -definite solution. We do not know if there is an 8×8 solution.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The three fermion model denoted as $F_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ is realized by $(D_4)_1$. The next one in the family with fusion rules $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ can be realized using the following 4×4 +-definite K -matrix by our algorithm:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 20 & -8 & -4 \\ 20 & -40 & 16 & 8 \\ -8 & 16 & -6 & -3 \\ -4 & 8 & -3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For topological symmetries, for odd prime and the first 4 families of prime=2, the only possible faithful symmetry is the charge conjugation \mathbb{Z}_2 . The E families and F families have very interesting large faithful symmetries. For example, the generalized three fermion model with fusion rules $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ has the topological symmetry group the dihedral group with 12 elements D_6 . It would be very interesting to explore the large symmetry groups in gauging and physical applications.

The enumeration, central charges, and relations among abelian anyon models can be used to deduce and realize the structure theorem of the pointed part of the Witt group [10]. The pointed part of the Witt group decomposes into direct sum over primes. The prime=2 part is $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the generators are a \mathbb{Z}_4 model and the three-fermion. When an odd prime $p = 3 \bmod 4$, the \mathbb{Z}_4 is generated by one with central charge $c = 2$, while $p = 1 \bmod 4$, the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by $c = 4$ models.

3. CLASSIFICATION OF ABELIAN ANYON MODELS AND THEIR CENTRAL CHARGES AND TOPOLOGICAL SYMMETRY GROUPS

In this section, we list the classification of prime abelian anyon models and calculate their central charges and topological symmetry groups. While the classification and central charges can be deduced from the literature, the calculation of topological symmetry groups seems to be new.

The calculation of central charges is useful for identifying conjugate pairs of prime abelian anyon models and studying the pointed part of the Witt group of modular categories.

Abelian anyon models play an important role in the study of topological order and topological phases of matter. As algebraic data for abelian quantum Chern-Simons theories, they are realized by abelian fractional quantum Hall states.

Mathematically, abelian anyon models \mathcal{A} are pointed unitary modular tensor categories. There is a one-one correspondence between metric groups (A, q) and abelian anyon models \mathcal{A}^2 . Thus, the classification of abelian anyon models is reduced to the classification of metric groups, which can be deduced from Wall's classification of unimodular symmetric bilinear forms $b(\cdot, \cdot) : L_A \times L_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on free abelian groups L_A and many other places [29, 11].

3.1. Prime decomposition of abelian anyon models. In an abelian anyon model \mathcal{A} , the anyon types form a finite abelian group A under fusion or tensor product. The topological twist $\theta : A \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ of each anyon type is a non-degenerate quadratic form on A . Besides θ , we will also use the notation (A, q) to denote a metric group, where $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form such that $\theta(a) = e^{2\pi i q(a)}$.

Every modular tensor category decomposes as a Deligne product \boxtimes of prime ones [22]. Prime modular categories are those that are not any non-trivial products. Such a prime decomposition is not always unique. A general family of counterexamples are the Drinfeld centers of different anyon models with the same underlying unitary fusion categories because the Drinfeld center is independent of the braidings, e.g. two copies of the toric code is the same as two copies of the three-fermion. Moreover, the number of prime factors in prime decompositions can be different as the example $D\mathbb{Z}_2 \boxtimes \text{Semion} = D\text{Semion} \boxtimes \text{Semion}$ shows.

The Deligne product \boxtimes of abelian anyon models corresponds to direct sum \oplus of metric groups. Therefore, a classification of abelian anyon models is the same as a classification of finite groups with non-degenerate quadratic forms and the relations among them. Our list follows from Wall's classification of quadratic forms on finite abelian groups. Wall also found all relations among the generators for the odd prime case. The relations for the prime=2 case is much more complicated, but have been worked out in [21, 19].

3.2. Classification of prime abelian anyon models. There are eight families of prime abelian anyon models with known nontrivial relations among them. We follow Wall's notation.

Theorem 3.1. *There are eight families of prime abelian anyon models (A, θ) whose topological twists $\theta(a) = e^{2\pi i q(a)}$, $a \in A$ are given by one of the following maps $q(a) : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on the finite abelian group A .*

²The correspondence between metric groups and pointed modular tensor category is not one-to-one without unitarity. There are 8 premodular categories of fusion rule \mathbb{Z}_2 : four are modular, and four unitary. Among them, there are only two unitary modular categories: the semion and anti-semion theories. There is a non-unitary modular category with the same T matrix as semion: Temperley-Lieb theory with Kauffman variable $A = e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{12}}$ for $r = 3$.

(a): Let p be an odd prime and 1 the generator of the cyclic group $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$:

$$(1) \quad A_{p^r} : q(1) = \frac{m}{p^r}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq m < p, (m, p) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{2m}{p}\right) = 1.$$

$$(2) \quad B_{p^r} : q(1) = \frac{n}{p^r}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq n < p, (n, p) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{2n}{p}\right) = -1,$$

where $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

The different choices of m, n in each family lead to the same theory. Theories come in conjugate pairs and the two families for $p = -1 \bmod 4$ are conjugates of each other.

(b): There are six families for the prime=2. The first four of which are for the cyclic group $A = \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, where for A_{2^r} and B_{2^r} , $r \geq 1$, for C_{2^r} and D_{2^r} , $r \geq 2$:

$$(3) \quad A_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{1}{2^{r+1}},$$

$$(4) \quad B_{2^r} : q(1) = -\frac{1}{2^{r+1}},$$

$$(5) \quad C_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{5}{2^{r+1}},$$

$$(6) \quad D_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{-5}{2^{r+1}}.$$

These four families consist of two conjugate pairs. For $r = 1$, they are the Semion and anti-Semion pair.

Two additional families are for the abelian groups $A = \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$ with basis $e_1 = (1, 0), e_2 = (0, 1)$. Denote $e_\alpha = me_1 + ne_2$ for $\alpha = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, 0 \leq m, n \leq 2^r - 1$, then:

$$(7) \quad E_{2^r} : q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{mn}{2^r},$$

$$(8) \quad F_{2^r} : q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{m^2 + n^2 + mn}{2^r}.$$

For $r = 1$, the E_{2^r} model is the toric code, while F_{2^r} the three-fermion theory.

We will refer to them as families A, B, C, D, E, F in the future.

Among the odd prime= p abelian anyon models, the only nontrivial relations are $2A_{p^r} = 2B_{p^r}$. For prime $p = 2$, there are many nontrivial relations which are determined and completely listed in [21, 19]. Of particular interest is the fact that four copies of any theory with the same group A give the same theory for all theories for $p = 2$. The braidings and $6j$ -symbols of all the anyon anyon models are given by third abelian cohomology, which can be found e.g. in [12].

3.3. Central charges. Given any modular tensor category with label set Π , there is a rational number c which is defined modulo 8 by the equation:

$$\frac{\sum_{a \in \Pi} \theta_a d_a^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{a \in \Pi} d_a^2}} = e^{\frac{\pi i c}{4}}.$$

For abelian anyon models, all $d_a = 1$, hence the central charge c is determined by

$$\frac{\sum_{a \in A} \theta_a}{\sqrt{|A|}} = e^{\frac{\pi i c}{4}}.$$

Since the central charge is additive under Deligne product, one consequence of our calculation is that the central charge of an abelian anyon model is always an integer, and with the opposite parity of the order of A in the families A, B, C, D .

The central charges of all eight families of prime abelian anyon models in Thm. 3.1 are calculated and listed below. They can also be deduced from the literature, e.g. [15]. Since the central charge is additive with respect to the direct sum decomposition, therefore the central charge of any abelian anyon model follows.

Theorem 3.2. *The central charges of the eight families of prime abelian anyon models are as in Table 3.2.*

TABLE 1. The relations of p, r, c

	r even	r odd			
		$p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$	$p \equiv -1 \bmod 8$	$p \equiv -3 \bmod 8$	$p \equiv 3 \bmod 8$
A_{p^r}	0	0	2	4	6
B_{p^r}	0	4	6	0	2

	$all\ r$
A_{2^r}	1
B_{2^r}	7
E_{2^r}	0

	r even	r odd
C_{2^r}	5	1
D_{2^r}	3	7
F_{2^r}	0	4

More concretely, if p is an odd prime and 1 the generator of \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , then:

$$(9) \quad A_{p^r} : q(1) = \frac{m}{p^r}, \text{ where } (m, p) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{2m}{p}\right) = 1,$$

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & r \text{ even ; or } r \text{ odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 2 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ 6 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 4 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}.$$

$$(10) \quad B_{p^r} : q(1) = \frac{n}{p^r}, \text{ where } (n, p) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{2n}{p}\right) = -1,$$

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & r \text{ even ; or } r \text{ odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8} \\ 4 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 6 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ 2 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

(11)

For the other six families, four of which for \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} are listed as below:

$$(12) \quad A_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}, c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}.$$

$$(13) \quad B_{2^r} : q(1) = -\frac{1}{2^{r+1}}, c \equiv 7 \pmod{8}.$$

$$(14) \quad C_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{5}{2^{r+1}}, c \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & r \text{ odd} \\ 5 & r \text{ even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

$$(15) \quad D_{2^r} : q(1) = \frac{-5}{2^{r+1}}, c \equiv \begin{cases} 7 & r \text{ odd} \\ 3 & r \text{ even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

Note that for A_{2^r} and B_{2^r} , $r \geq 1$, for C_{2^r} and D_{2^r} , $r \geq 2$.

The last two families have abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$ with basis $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$. Denote $e_\alpha = me_1 + ne_2$ for $\alpha = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

$$(16) \quad E_{2^r} : q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{mn}{2^r}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$F_{2^r} : q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{m^2 + n^2 + mn}{2^r}, c \equiv \begin{cases} 4 & r \text{ odd} \\ 0 & r \text{ even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

(17)

Proof. First a well-known result for Gauss sums $\mathcal{G}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{n}}$ is:

$$\mathcal{G}(n) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ i\sqrt{n}, & n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ (1+i)\sqrt{n}, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

and for $\mathcal{G}(n, m) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i m j^2}{n}}$, where n is odd and $(n, m) = 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}(n, m) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \mathcal{G}(n, 1) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \mathcal{G}(n),$$

where $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

Similar to quadratic reciprocity, the following holds:

$$\sum_{n=1}^c e^{\frac{\pi i a n^2}{c}} = \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^a e^{-\frac{\pi i c n^2}{a}},$$

where a and c are positive integers and ac is even.

- For A_{p^r} : $q(1) = \frac{m}{p^r}$, where $(m, p) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2m}{p}\right) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{\pi i c}{4}} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i m j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{p}\right)^r \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^r \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \begin{cases} 1 & r \text{ even ; or } r \text{ odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ i & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ -i & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & r \text{ even ; or } r \text{ odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 2 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ 6 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 4 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

- For B_{p^r} : $q(1) = \frac{n}{p^r}$, where $(n, p) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{2n}{p}\right) = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{\pi i c}{4}} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i n j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \left(\frac{n}{p^r}\right) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^r \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = (-1)^r \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^r \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{p^r}}}{p^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \begin{cases} 1 & r \text{ even; or } r \text{ odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ -i & r \text{ odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ i & r \text{ odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{r even ; or r odd } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8} \\ 4 & \text{r odd } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 6 & \text{r odd } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ 2 & \text{r odd } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

- For A_{2^r} : $q(1) = \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}, e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{\pi i j^2}{2^r}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{2^{\frac{r}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$.
For the second last equality, quadratic reciprocity is used for $c = 2^r, a = 1$. It follows that $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

- For B_{2^r} : $q(1) = -\frac{1}{2^{r+1}}, e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{-\frac{2\pi i j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\overline{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}$.
Therefore, $c \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$.

- For C_{2^r} : $q(1) = \frac{5}{2^{r+1}}, e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i 5j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{\pi i 5j^2}{2^r}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sum_{j=1}^5 e^{-\frac{\pi i 2^r j^2}{5}}$
 $= \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \left(\frac{2^r-1}{5}\right) \sum_{j=1}^5 e^{\frac{2\pi i j^2}{5}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} (-1)^{r-1} \sqrt{5} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} (-1)^{r-1}$.

For the fourth equality, quadratic reciprocity is used for $c = 2^r, a = 5$. For the second last equality, $(\frac{2}{5}) = -1$ and $\mathcal{G}(5) = \sqrt{5}$ are used.

Hence

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{r odd} \\ 5 & \text{r even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

- For D_{2^r} : $q(1) = \frac{-5}{2^{r+1}}, e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{2\pi i q(j)}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{-2\pi i 5j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \frac{\overline{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i 5j^2}{2^{r+1}}}}}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} = \overline{e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} (-1)^{r-1}} = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} (-1)^{r-1}$

Thus

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} -1 & \text{r odd} \\ -5 & \text{r even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

- For E_{2^r} : $q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{mn}{2^r}, e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}} e^{2\pi i q(e^\alpha)}}{2^r} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r}$.

Set $f(r) = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r}$, an easy calculation shows $f(1) = f(2) = 1$. When $r \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(r) &= \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ odd.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ even.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ odd.}}^{2^r} \sum_{l=1, l=mn.}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ even.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ even.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{s=1, s=\frac{m}{2}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i 2sn}{2^r}}}{2^r}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i mn}{2^r}} = \sum_{l=1, l=mn.}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{2^r}}$, we use the fact that when m runs a complete residue system modulo 2^r , then $l = mn$ runs a complete residue system modulo 2^r if m is odd. Suppose $1 \leq s, t \leq 2^r$, and $ms \equiv mt \pmod{2^r}$, then $2^r | m(s - t)$. As m is odd, thus $2^r | (s - t)$. But $1 \leq s, t \leq 2^r$, then $s = t$, thus claim verified! Next

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(r) &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^r} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i sn}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i sn}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{t=1, t=n-2^{r-1}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i s(t+2^{r-1})}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{f(r-1)}{2} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i st}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^r} \\
 &= \frac{f(r-1)}{2} + \frac{f(r-1)}{2} \\
 &= f(r-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, $f(r) = 1$ for all $r \geq 1$. Then $e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = f(r) = 1$. It follows that $c \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

$$\bullet \text{ For } F_{2^r} : q(e_{(m,n)}) = \frac{m^2+n^2+mn}{2^r} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}} e^{2\pi i q(e^\alpha)}}{2^r} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r}.$$

Set $g(r) = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r}$, an easy calculation shows $g(1) = -1, g(2) = 1$. When $r \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(r) &= \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ odd.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ even.}}^{2^r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ odd.}}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i m^2}{2^r}} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(n^2+mn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{s=1, s=\frac{m}{2}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{m=1, m \text{ odd.}}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i m^2}{2^r}} \sum_{t=1, t \text{ even.}}^{2^r} 2e^{\frac{2\pi i t}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^r} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^r} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{n=1}^{2^r} e^{\frac{2\pi i(n^2+mn)}{2^r}} = \sum_{t=1, t \text{ even.}}^{2^r} 2e^{\frac{2\pi i t}{2^r}}$, we use the fact that when n runs a complete

residue system modulo 2^r , then $t = n^2 + mn$ runs a complete even residue system modulo 2^r twice if m is odd. First, when m is odd, $t = n^2 + mn \equiv n^2 + n = n(n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ which shows that t must be even residue. Suppose $1 \leq a, b \leq 2^r$, and $a^2 + ma \equiv b^2 + mb \pmod{2^r}$, then $2^r | (a-b)(a+b+m)$. As $a-b$ and $a+b+m$ have different parities, then $2^r | (a-b)$ or $2^r | (a+b+m)$ and only one can be true which means that for every even residue $d \pmod{2^r}$, we have two solutions of a which satisfies $a^2 + ma \equiv d \pmod{2^r}$, thus

claim verified! Next

$$\begin{aligned}
g(r) &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{m=1, m=n-2^{r-1}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+(m+2^{r-1})^2+2s(m+2^{r-1}))}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^r} + \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+m^2+2sm)}{2^r}}}{2^r} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{n=1, n \text{ odd}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^{r-1}} + \frac{\sum_{n=1, n \text{ even}}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+n^2+2sn)}{2^r}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
\\
g(r) &= \frac{\sum_{n=1, n \text{ odd}}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n^2}{2^r}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2s^2+sn)}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^{r-1}} + \frac{\sum_{t=1, t=\frac{n}{2}}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(4s^2+4t^2+2s2t)}{2^r}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{n=1, n \text{ odd}}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n^2}{2^r}} \sum_{l=1, l=2s^2+sn}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{2^{r-1}}}}{2^{r-1}} + \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(s^2+t^2+st)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(s^2+t^2+st)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(s^2+t^2+st)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}} + \frac{\sum_{m=1, m=s-2^{r-2}}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i((m+2^{r-2})^2+t^2+(m+2^{r-2})t)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2s^2+sn)}{2^{r-1}}} = \sum_{l=1, l=2s^2+sn}^{2^{r-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{2^{r-1}}}$, we use the fact that when s runs a complete

residue system modulo 2^{r-1} , then $l = 2s^2 + sn$ runs a complete residue system modulo 2^{r-1} if n is odd. Suppose $1 \leq s, t \leq 2^{r-1}$, and $2s^2 + sn \equiv 2t^2 + tn \pmod{2^{r-1}}$, then $2^{r-1} | (s-t)(2(s+t)+n)$. As n is odd, then $2(s+t)+n$ is odd, hence $2^{r-1} | (s-t)$. But

$1 \leq s, t \leq 2^{r-1}$, then $s=t$, thus claim verified!

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(r) &= \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(s^2+t^2+st)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}} + \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(m^2+t^2+mt)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-1}} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{2^{r-2}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{r-2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(s^2+t^2+st)}{2^{r-2}}}}{2^{r-2}} \\
 &= g(r-2)
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$g(r) = \begin{cases} -1 & r \text{ odd} \\ 1 & r \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$e^{\frac{\pi ic}{4}} = g(r) = \begin{cases} -1 & r \text{ odd} \\ 1 & r \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$c \equiv \begin{cases} 4 & r \text{ odd} \\ 0 & r \text{ even} \end{cases} \pmod{8}.$$

□

- Corollary 3.3.** (1) *The central charge of an abelian anyon model in the A, B, C, D classes is always an integer with the opposite parity of the order $|A|$ of A .*
 (2) *The prime abelian anyon models come in conjugate pairs indexed by the central charges in Table 3.2 that are complementary modulo 8.*
 (3) *Any 8 copies of an abelian anyon model is a Drinfeld center.*

The proofs are all straightforward inspections.

3.4. Symmetries of all prime abelian anyon models. The topological symmetry group of an anyon model is the decategorification of the categorical group of braided tensor auto-equivalences. For abelian anyon models, they are the same as the isometry group of the metric group (A, q) : group isomorphisms γ of A to itself that preserve the quadratic form in the sense that $q(\gamma(x)) \equiv q(x) \pmod{1}$. In this subsection, we calculate the topological symmetry group $\text{Aut}((A, q))$ of the eight families of prime abelian anyon models.

First we need two lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *Let p be an odd prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, p) = 1$. Then the equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$$

- *either has no solution if $(\frac{a}{p}) = -1$;*

- or has two solutions x_1 and $-x_1$ if $(\frac{a}{p}) = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let a be an odd integer. Then the following holds:*

- (1) *The equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2}$$

has the unique solution $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$

- (2) *The equation either*

- *has no solution if $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; or*

- *has two solutions $x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

- (3) *When $k \geq 3$, the equation*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{2^k}$$

either

- *has no solution if $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$; or*

- *has four solutions $x_1, -x_1, x_1 + 2^{k-1}, -(x_1 + 2^{k-1})$ if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

Theorem 3.6. *The topological symmetry group of the eight families of prime abelian anyon models are given below where for the F family, only the order of the symmetry group is found when $r \geq 4$.*

- (1) *For the odd prime A, B families:*

$$\text{Aut}(A_{p^r}) = \text{Aut}(B_{p^r}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

for p odd prime and $r \geq 1$.

- (2) *For the prime=2 families of A, B, C, D ,*

$$\text{Aut}(A_2) = \text{Aut}(B_2) \cong 1,$$

$$\text{Aut}(A_{2^r}) = \text{Aut}(B_{2^r}) = \text{Aut}(C_{2^r}) = \text{Aut}(D_{2^r}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

for $r \geq 2$.

- (3) *For the family E ,*

$$\text{Aut}(E_{2^r}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & r = 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, & r = 2; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{r-2}}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 & r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

- (4) *For the F family, $\text{Aut}(F_2) \cong D_3, \text{Aut}(F_{2^2}) \cong D_6, \text{Aut}(F_{2^3}) \cong D_6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

$$|\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})| = 3 \times 2^r \text{ for } r \geq 4.$$

Proof. $\forall f \in \text{Aut}(A_{p^r})$, where p is odd prime and $r \geq 1$. As \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} is cyclic, so f is determined by its image $f(1)$. Suppose $f(1) = j$, then $q(f(1)) \equiv q(1) \pmod{1}$ is equivalent to $\frac{mj^2}{p^r} \equiv \frac{m}{p^r} \pmod{1}$. As $(m, p) = 1$, so it reduced to $j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}$. According to Lem3.4, the equation has two solutions for j as $(\frac{1}{p}) = 1$ always holds. The two solutions for j is ± 1 , so $\text{Aut}(A_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Parallel to the proof above, we easily get $\text{Aut}(B_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

$\forall f \in \text{Aut}(A_{2^r})$, as \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} is cyclic, so f is determined by its image $f(1)$. Suppose $f(1) = j$, then $q(f(1)) \equiv q(1) \pmod{1}$ is equivalent to $\frac{j^2}{2^{r+1}} \equiv \frac{1}{2^{r+1}} \pmod{1}$. It reduced to $j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{r+1}}$.

According to Lem3.5, when $r = 1$, j has one solution 1 which means $Aut(A_2) = 1$; when $r \geq 2$, j has four solutions $1, -1, 1 + 2^r, -(1 + 2^r)$. But 1 and $1 + 2^r$ are the same in \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} which means $Aut(A_{2^r}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ when $r \geq 2$. Parallel to the proof of $Aut(A_{2^r})$, we can easily get $Aut(B_2) = Aut(A_2) = 1$ and $Aut(B_{2^r}) = Aut(C_{2^r}) = Aut(D_{2^r}) = Aut(A_{2^r}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $r \geq 2$.

$\forall f \in Aut(E_{2^r})$, as $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$ has two generators, suppose $f(e_1) = ae_1 + be_2, f(e_2) = ce_1 + de_2$ where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ and $0 \leq a, b, c, d \leq 2^r - 1$, then some necessary conditions are $q(f(e_1)) \equiv q(e_1) \pmod{1}$ and $q(f(e_2)) \equiv q(e_2) \pmod{1}$ and the determinant of transformation is invertible. All these conditions are equivalent to $\frac{ab}{2^r} \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ and $\frac{cd}{2^r} \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ and $ad - bc$ is odd. It reduced to $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$ and $cd \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$ and $ad - bc$ is odd. If a and b are all even, then $ad - bc$ is even which is a contradiction, if a and b are all odd, then $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$ can not happen, so a and b have different parities. As $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$, so one of them must be 0. Suppose $a = 0$, then parallel to the analysis of a and b and $ad - bc$ is odd, we have $d = 0$ and b and c are all odd. As $q(f(e_{(m,n)})) = q(e_{(m,n)})$ where $e_{(m,n)} = me_1 + ne_2$, so $q(cne_1 + bme_2) = q(me_1 + ne_2)$ which reduced to $\frac{bcmn}{2^r} \equiv \frac{mn}{2^r} \pmod{1}$ for any $0 \leq m, n \leq 2^r - 1$. So $bc \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r}$ which means b and c are inverse to each other in the sense of mod 2^r . When $a = d = 0$, the transformation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b^{-1} \pmod{2^r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to an element of order 2 in $Aut(E_{2^r})$. We define $B = \{B_b : B_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b^{-1} \pmod{2^r} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } b \text{ runs a complete residue of } \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}^*\}$. When $b = c = 0$, the transformation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \pmod{2^r} \end{pmatrix}$ composite the group

$$\begin{cases} 1, & r = 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & r = 2; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{r-2}} & r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We define $A = \{A_a : A_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \pmod{2^r} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } a \text{ runs a complete residue of } \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}^*\}$.

Thus $Aut(E_{2^r}) = \{A_a, B_b : \text{where } a \text{ and } b \text{ run a complete residue of } \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}^*\}$.

As we have $A_{a_1}A_{a_2} = A_{a_1a_2}, B_{b_1}B_{b_2} = A_{b_1b_2^{-1}}, A_aB_b = B_{ab}$, so $Aut(E_{2^r}) = \langle A_a, B_1 : \text{where } a \text{ runs a complete residue of } \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}^* \rangle$. As A is a group of order 2^{r-1} and has index 2 in $Aut(E_{2^r})$, so $A \trianglelefteq Aut(E_{2^r})$. Besides, every element of $Aut(E_{2^r})$, if it is some A_a , it equals $A_a \times B_1^2$; if it is some B_b , it equals $A_b \times B_1$ which means $Aut(E_{2^r}) = A \langle B_1 \rangle$, as $A \cap \langle B_1 \rangle = 1$, so

$$Aut(E_{2^r}) = A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & r = 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, & r = 2; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{r-2}}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 & r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$\forall f \in Aut(F_{2^r})$, as $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$ has two generators, suppose $f(e_1) = ae_1 + be_2, f(e_2) = ce_1 + de_2$ where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ and $0 \leq a, b, c, d \leq 2^r - 1$. As $q(f(e_{(m,n)})) = q(e_{(m,n)})$ where $e_{(m,n)} = me_1 + ne_2$, so $q((am + cn)e_1 + (bm + dn)e_2) = q(me_1 + ne_2)$ which reduced to $\frac{m^2(a^2+b^2+ab)+n^2(c^2+d^2+cd)+(2ac+2bd+ad+bc)mn}{2^r} \equiv \frac{m^2+n^2+mn}{2^r} \pmod{1}$ for any $0 \leq m, n \leq 2^r - 1$.

So

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r} \\ c^2 + d^2 + cd \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r} \\ 2ac + 2bd + ad + bc \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r} \\ ad - bc \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

When $r = 1$,

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ c^2 + d^2 + cd \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 2ac + 2bd + ad + bc \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ad - bc \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

it reduced to

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ c^2 + d^2 + cd \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ad + bc \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

so a and b can not be both even, so they can be both odd or have different parities, a complete discussion shows that the solution of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ has 6 elements

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculation shows $A^3 = C = 1, F^2 = 1, FAF^{-1} = A^{-1}, A^2 = B, AF = D, A^2F = E, A^3F = F$. So $\text{Aut}(F_2) = D_3$, the dihedral group of order 6.

When $r = 2$,

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ c^2 + d^2 + cd \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2ac + 2bd + ad + bc \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ ad - bc \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

so a and b can not be both even, so they can be both odd or have different parities. When they are both odd, they have different residue module 4; when they have different parities, one of them is 0 and another is odd. A complete discussion shows that the solution of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ has 12 elements

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ G &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, K = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation shows $A^6 = F = 1, L^2 = 1, LAL^{-1} = A^{-1}, A^2 = B, A^3 = C, A^4 = D, A^5 = E, AL = G, A^2L = H, A^3L = I, A^4L = J, A^5L = K, A^6L = L$. So $\text{Aut}(F_{2^2}) = D_6$, the dihedral group of order 12.

When $r \geq 3$, we can verify that the matrix A, L above also satisfy conditions associate with $(\text{mod } 2^r)$ which means $D_6 \leq \text{Aut}(F_{2^r})$, we will show $\text{Aut}(F_{2^3}) = D_6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

When $r = 3$,

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \\ c^2 + d^2 + cd \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \\ 2ac + 2bd + ad + bc \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \\ ad - bc \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We rewrite the first equation to be $(a+b)^2 \equiv ab+1(\text{mod } 8)$. According to Lem3.5, when ab is even, $1+ab$ is odd, the existence of a, b requires $1+ab \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8)$ which means $ab \equiv 0(\text{mod } 8)$, as $a+b$ is odd, so one of them must be 0 and another one is odd, we can check that all such pairs satisfy $a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8)$. When ab is odd, a and b are all odd, $a^2 \equiv b^2 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8)$, so we have $ab \equiv -1(\text{mod } 8)$, we can check that $a = -b$ and all such pairs satisfy $a^2 + b^2 + ab \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8)$. A complete discussion shows that the solution of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ has 24 elements

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ G &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, K = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, O = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ S &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, X = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation shows $A^6 = F = 1, L^2 = 1, LAL^{-1} = A^{-1}, A^2 = B, A^3 = C, A^4 = D, A^5 = E, AL = G, A^2L = H, A^3L = I, A^4L = J, A^5L = K, A^6L = L$. So $\langle A, L \rangle = D_6 \leq \text{Aut}(F_{2^3})$. As D_6 has an index 2, so $D_6 \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(F_{2^3})$. We show $\text{Aut}(F_{2^3}) = D_6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Take R as a generator of \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have

$$AR = M, A^2R = N, A^3R = O, A^4R = P, A^5R = Q, A^6R = R,$$

$$ALR = S, A^2LR = T, A^3LR = U, A^4LR = V, A^5LR = W, A^6LR = X.$$

So $\text{Aut}(F_{2^3}) = \langle A, L \rangle \langle R \rangle = D_6 \mathbb{Z}_2$, as D_6 is normal and $D_6 \cap \mathbb{Z}_2 = 1$, so $\text{Aut}(F_{2^3}) = D_6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

In general, we have $|\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})| = 3 \times 2^r$. Let us try to lift every solution of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})$ to two solutions of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^{r+1}})$ and all lifted solutions are different, thus we would have $|\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})| = 3 \times 2^r$ by induction.

If $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^{r+1}})$, then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})$ where $\bar{a} \equiv a(\text{mod } 2^r), \bar{b} \equiv b(\text{mod } 2^r), \bar{c} \equiv c(\text{mod } 2^r), \bar{d} \equiv d(\text{mod } 2^r)$. It means every solution of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^{r+1}})$ can be obtained by lifting the solution of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})$. We want to explore how many ways the lifting can be. This is to say if we have a solution $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of $\text{Aut}(F_{2^r})$, then what element should be

lifted to get a solution of $Aut(F_{2^{r+1}})$. Here, by saying lifting, we mean by adding 2^r . If the element do not need lifted, we will keep the symbol the same one in the solution of $Aut(F_{2^{r+1}})$, otherwise we will use the lifted symbol such as $\bar{a} = a + 2^r$.

When we consider such lifting of solutions from $Aut(F_{2^r})$, we need to split the conditions to be three one. The fourth one $ad - bc$ is odd does not need to consider because it is always true when we do such lifting.

$$(18) \quad a^2 + b^2 + ab \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 1 \pmod{2^{r+1}}$$

$$(19) \quad c^2 + d^2 + cd \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 1 \pmod{2^{r+1}}$$

$$(20) \quad 2ac + 2bd + ad + bc \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 1 \pmod{2^{r+1}}$$

We know $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is the solution of $Aut(F_{2^r})$, but it need not to be the solution of $Aut(F_{2^{r+1}})$. We need to consider the parity of a, b, c, d when we do such lifting. For convenience and simplicity, we use 1 to represent the element is odd and use 0 to represent the element is even. Then the type $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ means a, d are even and b, c are odd. There are six types of them which corresponds to $|GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = 6$. We call $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ type A, B, C, D, E, F respectively. In fact, we just need to consider the lifting of type A, B, C because the lifting of type D, E, F can be easily obtained by the lifting of type B , just consider the symmetry.

Next, we list how to lift according to the type and how many conditions they satisfy in $Aut(F_{2^{r+1}})$.

From the chart, we know every solution of $Aut(F_{2^r})$ can be lifted to two solutions of $Aut(F_{2^{r+1}})$, and for a fixed type, the liftings are all different; for different types, the liftings are also different because of the parities of elements. Thus all of the lifted solutions are different, so our claim verified.

Let us take $r = 2$ for example, we will lift every solution in $Aut(F_{2^2})$ to two solutions in $Aut(F_{2^3})$. □

3.5. Gauging symmetries of prime abelian anyon models. It would be very interesting to classify the anyon models obtained from gauging the symmetries of prime abelian anyon models [6, 8]. But as the three fermion example shows, it is in general a very difficult problem [6]. In this subsection, we derive the total quantum dimensions of the resulting anyon models and then Property F for those modular categories follows.

Proposition 1. *The braided G -crossed extension of $\mathcal{B} = (A, q)$ is denoted as \mathcal{B}_G^\times , where $G = Aut(\mathcal{B})$. Let $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_G^{\times, G}$ be the G -equivariantization of \mathcal{B}_G^\times , the anyon model $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{B}$ is called the G -gauging of \mathcal{B} . Then $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{B}$ has Property F for prime anyon models \mathcal{B} follows from the following:*

TABLE 3. Solutions lifting from $Aut(F_{22})$ to $Aut(F_{23})$

	(18), (19),(20)	(18), (19)	(18), (20)	(19),(20)
$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$				
$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$				
$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$				
$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$				
$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$				
$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$				
	(18)	(19)	(20)	\times
$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$			$C \rightarrow S, C; F \rightarrow F, V$	
$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$			$G \rightarrow O, G; J \rightarrow J, R$	
$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$			$I \rightarrow Q, I; L \rightarrow L, N$	
$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$			$A \rightarrow W, A; D \rightarrow D, T$	
$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$			$B \rightarrow B, X; E \rightarrow U, E$	
$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$			$H \rightarrow H, P; K \rightarrow M, K$	

$$\begin{aligned}
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})) &= |G|^4 (FPdim(\mathcal{B}))^2, \text{ and specifically} \\
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_{p^r}})) &= FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{B_{p^r}})) = 2^4 p^{2r}; \\
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_2})) &= FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{B_2})) = 2^2; \\
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_{2^r}})) &= FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{B_{2^r}})) = FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{C_{2^r}})) = FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{D_{2^r}})) = 2^{2r+4} \\
\text{for } r \geq 2; \\
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{E_{2^r}})) &= 2^{8r}; \\
FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{F_{2^r}})) &= 2^{8r} 3^4 \text{ for } r \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. $FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})) = |G|^2 FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}_G^\times)) = |G|^2 |G|^2 FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})) = |G|^4 (FPdim(\mathcal{B}))^2$.

Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is braided, there exists a canonical braided tensor functor $F : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Thus it suffices to prove Property F for $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Since $FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}))$ are of all the form $p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes and a, b are nonnegative integers. Thus all $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$ are weakly group-theoretical and therefore have Property F [16]. \square

4. REALIZATION VIA QUANTUM ABELIAN CHERN-SIMONS THEORIES

Quantum abelian Chern-Simons (CS) theory has been used to model abelian fractional quantum Hall states. In physical literature, such a theory is indexed, not necessarily unique, by a K -matrix, i.e., a non-singular integral symmetric matrix. Our interest is only for boson/spin theories, so the K -matrix has to be even. Abelian CS theories are gauge theories with torus gauge group T^n , so the K -matrix simply represents the level in $H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z})$ of CS theories.

Given an abelian anyon model $\mathcal{A} = (A, \theta)$, \mathcal{A} is said to be realized by a CS theory if there is an even K -matrix K_A such that the associated anyon model is \mathcal{A} as described below.

4.1. Lattice abelian anyon models. A lattice Λ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank n with an integral non-singular symmetric bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Its dual lattice is the module $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$, which is not an integral lattice unless Λ is unimodular ($|\det \Lambda| = 1$) or self-dual ($\Lambda \cong \Lambda^*$), which are equivalent in our case. There is an obvious inclusion of $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^*$ and the discriminant group A_Λ of Λ is the finite abelian group Λ^*/Λ of order $|\det \Lambda|$.

There is a well-known subtlety between quadratic forms on finite abelian groups to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} and even lattices. In order for a quadratic form to be able to be always lifted to a bilinear form over \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , we follow the use of quadratic forms to $\mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for discriminant forms of even lattices below, denoted as $q_{2,\Lambda}$ for a lattice Λ .

The bilinear form \langle, \rangle on Λ extends to bilinear form $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ on Λ^* , which descends to a \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued bilinear form on A_Λ by $b([x], [y]) = \langle x, y \rangle \bmod \mathbb{Z}$. If Λ is even, i.e. $b(x, x)$ is even for any $x \in \Lambda$, then Λ induces a $\mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -valued quadratic form $q_{2,\Lambda}$ on A_Λ , called the discriminant form, by:

$$2b([x], [y]) = q_{2,\Lambda}([x+y]) - q_{2,\Lambda}([x]) - q_{2,\Lambda}([y]), q_{2,\Lambda}([0]) = 0.$$

Then the metric group $(A_\Lambda, q_{2,\Lambda})$ determines an abelian anyon model (A_Λ, θ) with $\theta([x]) = e^{\pi i q_{2,\Lambda}([x])}$, and will be called the associate abelian anyon model to the even lattice Λ .

If a basis of Λ is chosen so that $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, then its Gram matrix K_Λ is a K -matrix K_A .

In the sequel, we will use K_A for a K -matrix for an abelian anyon model (A, θ) and W_A the inverse matrix of K_A , which is the Gram matrix of the dual lattice L_A^* . The lattice with K_A as Gram matrix will be denoted by L_A .

If K_A is regarded as a map $K_A : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$, then the discriminant group of L_A is isomorphic to cokernel group $\mathbb{Z}^n / K_A(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The central charge of the associated anyon model of L_A is the signature of K_A and the order of the discriminant group is $|\det(K_A)|$.

If K_A is $+$ -definite, then there is an orthogonal matrix O such that $K_A = O^t D O$, where D is diagonal with positive diagonal entries. Then the row vectors $\{e_i\}$ of O^t are eigen-vectors of K_A and the lattice L_A is generated by the row vectors of $O^t \sqrt{D}$, which is a generating matrix of the lattice L_A .

4.2. Wall algorithm. The following algorithm is used to construct bilinear forms on finite abelian groups in [29]. We adapt the algorithm to find K -matrices for abelian anyon models explicitly, first not necessarily $+$ -definite in this section and then $+$ -definite ones later for VOAs.

The input of the algorithm is a prime power p^r for some positive integer r and a natural number n such that $(n, p) = 1$ and $0 < n < p^{r3}$. The output of the algorithm is a tri-diagonal matrix W_A whose inverse K_A is an even integral matrix with $|\det(K_A)| = p^r$, not necessarily definite.

Since $(n, p^r) = 1$, then the integral equation $1 = nd_1 - p^r d_2$ has solutions for some d_1 and d_2 .

The original Wall algorithm is to find integers $\{d_i, a_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ in k steps as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= nd_1 - p^r d_2 \\ d_1 &= a_1 d_2 - d_3 \\ &\vdots \\ d_{k-1} &= a_{k-1} d_k - d_{k+1} \\ d_k &= a_k d_{k+1} \\ \text{where } d_{k+1} &= \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

For each i , $a_{i-1}d_i$ is taken to be the closest even multiple of d_i to d_{i-1} , and the remainder d_{i+1} would satisfy $|d_{i+1}| < |d_i|$. The algorithm terminates when the remainder d_{k+2} is 0, then d_{k+1} would be a common divisor of d_1 and d_2 , which forces $d_{k+1} = \pm 1$.

The output $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -matrix W_A of the algorithm is:

$$(21) \quad W_A = \begin{pmatrix} np^{-r} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{k-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_k \end{pmatrix}$$

³In [29], the integer n is the value in the bilinear form $b(x, x) = \frac{n}{p^r}$ for a generator x of a cyclic prime abelian anyon model. In the applications below, we are mainly interested in the case that n is actually the central charge. It is not always true that we will obtain the right central charge or discriminant form from the output of the algorithm.

We denote the determinant of the last i rows and columns by A_i . Suppose $d_{k+1} = \epsilon = \pm 1$, then $A_1 = a_k = \epsilon d_k$, $A_2 = a_{k-1}a_k - 1 = \epsilon a_{k-1}d_k - 1 = \epsilon d_{k-1}$. Inductively, suppose $A_i = \epsilon d_{k+1-i}$, then $A_{i+1} = a_{k-i}A_i - A_{i-1} = a_{k-i}(\epsilon d_{k+1-i}) - \epsilon d_{k-i+2} = \epsilon d_{k-i}$. Then the determinant of K_A is $np^{-r}A_k - A_{k-1} = np^{-r}(\epsilon d_1) - (\epsilon d_2) = \epsilon p^{-r}$. In either case, $|\det(K_A)| = |A|$ as $K_A = W_A^{-1}$.

4.3. Construction of K-matrices for abelian anyon models. The prime decomposition of abelian anyon models reduces the construction of K -matrices to the prime ones. In this section, we construct a K -matrix for each prime abelian anyon model using the Wall algorithm.

Let p be an odd number, and let $c = 2m$ or $2n$ for A_{p^r} or B_{p^r} as in Thm. 3.1, respectively, excluding the case A_3 , which can be realized by E_6 or its complement. Note that $(c, p) = 1$ and $1 \leq c < p$. It follows that $1 = cd_1 - p^r d_2$ has integral solutions for d_1 and d_2 .

Suppose d'_1 and d'_2 are one particular solution of the equation $1 = cd_1 - p^r d_2$, then the general solution of $1 = cd_1 - p^r d_2$ would be $d_1 = d'_1 + p^r s$, $d_2 = d'_2 + cs$, where s is a parameter integer. Suppose $0 < c < p^r$, we can choose a large s such that $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > 0$, then it follows that $0 < d_2 < d_1$. Since p^r is odd, c is even, then d_2 would be odd as d_1 can always be chosen to be even by a shift of p^r . Note that in Wall algorithm, d_s has the same parity as d_{s+2} for $1 \leq s \leq k-1$, so in this case, k must be odd.

Parallel to above discussion, set $c = 1$ for A_{2^r} , $r \geq 1$; set $c = 3$ for D_{2^r} , $r \geq 2$; set $c = 5$ for C_{2^r} , $r \geq 3$; set $c = 7$ for B_{2^r} , $r \geq 3$. We always have $(c, 2) = 1$ and $1 \leq c < 2^r$. Suppose Wall's algorithm terminates with $d_{k+1} = \pm 1$, then k must be even.

We will denote the resulting K matrices as $K_{A_{p^r}}$, $K_{B_{p^r}}$, $K_{A_{2^r}}$, $K_{B_{2^r}}$, $K_{C_{2^r}}$, $K_{D_{2^r}}$ according to the types of c and p .

As seen in last section, the determinant of K_A is as desired. Lem 4.1 and Cor 4.2 below will be used to verify that the signature of K_A equals to the central charge of A for an explicit K_A .

Lemma 4.1 (Sylvester 1852, Gundelfinger 1881, Frobenius 1895). *The eigenvalues $\lambda_k(S)$ of a regular symmetric $n \times n$ matrix S have the signs of the successive minor quotients*

$$\text{sign}(\lambda_k(S)) = \text{sign}(\mu_k(S)/\mu_{k-1}(S)) \in \{-1, 1\}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with $\mu_0(S) = 1$. The signature is

$$\tau(S) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{sign}(\mu_k(S)/\mu_{k-1}(S)) \in \{-n, -n+1, \dots, n\}$$

Corollary 4.2. *If S is an invertible symmetric $n \times n$ matrix which is not regular then for sufficiently small $\epsilon \neq 0$ the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $S_\epsilon = S + \epsilon I_n$ is regular, with eigenvalues $\lambda_k(S_\epsilon) = \lambda_k(S) + \epsilon \neq 0$, and*

$$\text{sign}(\lambda_k(S_\epsilon)) = \text{sign}(\lambda_k(S)) \in \{-1, 1\},$$

$$\tau(S) = \tau(S_\epsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{sign}(\mu_k(S_\epsilon)/\mu_{k-1}(S_\epsilon)) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 4.3. *For each of the six A, B, C, D families of abelian anyon models (A, q) , an even K -matrix K_A can be constructed such that $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}/K_A(\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}) \cong A$, where Wall's algorithm terminates with $d_{k+1} = \pm 1$ and the signature of K_A equals to the central charge of A .*

- (1) For abelian anyon models $A = A_{p^r}, B_{p^r}$, the choices of $2m$ and $2n$ as above lead to even K -matrices K_A that realize the abelian anyon models (A, q) .
- (2) For abelian anyon models $A = A_{2^r}, B_{2^r}, C_{2^r}, D_{2^r}$, the choices of $c = 1, 3, 5, 7$ as above lead to even K -matrices that realize the abelian anyon models (A, q) .
- (3) For abelian anyon models $A = E_{2^r}, F_{2^r}$, even K -matrices K_A can be directly constructed such that $\mathbb{Z}^2/K_{E_{2^r}}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong E_{2^r}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^4/K_{F_{2^r}}(\mathbb{Z}^4) \cong F_{2^r}$, the signature of K_A equals the central charge of A that realize the corresponding anyon models.

Proof. To prove Part 1 of Thm. 4.3, suppose Wall's algorithm terminates with $d_{k+1} = s$ where $s = \pm 1$, then $\det(W_A) = sp^{-r}$, $\det(K_A) = sp^r$ and k is odd.

First, we explain that K_A is an even matrix. As $K_A = W_A^{-1} = sp^r W_A^*$, all elements in W_A^* are integers or at most a denominator of p^r coming from the element $\frac{c}{p^r}$, thus K_A is an integral matrix.

Set A_t be the submatrix of W_A by deleting the last $k+1-t$ rows and the last $k+1-t$ columns, $f_1(t)$ is its determinant, $f(t) = sp^r f_1(t)$. Set D_{k+1-t} be the submatrix of W_A by deleting the first t rows and the first t columns, $g(k+1-t) = d_t$ is its determinant.

$$(K_A)_{1,1} = sp^r (W_A^*)_{1,1} = sp^r d_1;$$

$$(K_A)_{k+1,k+1} = sp^r (W_A^*)_{k+1,k+1} = sp^r f_1(k) = f(k);$$

$$2 \leq t \leq k,$$

$$(K_A)_{t,t} = sp^r (W_A^*)_{t,t} = sp^r f_1(t-1)g(k+1-t) = d_t f(t-1)$$

As $f_1(t+1) = a_t f_1(t) - f_1(t-1)$, so $f(t+1) = a_t f(t) - f(t-1)$ which means $f(t+1)$ has the same parity as $f(t-1)$, as $f(1) = sc$ is even, $f(2) = sp^r(\frac{ca_1}{p^r} - 1) = s(ca_1 - p^r)$ is odd, so

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \text{even} & t \text{ odd} \\ \text{odd} & t \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Besides,

$$d_t = \begin{cases} \text{even} & t \text{ odd} \\ \text{odd} & t \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

So $(K_A)_{t,t} = d_t f(t-1)$ is always even. As d_1 is even, so $(K_A)_{1,1}$ is even; as k is odd, so $(K_A)_{k+1,k+1} = f(k)$ is even. Thus all diagonal elements in K_A are all even which means K_A is an even matrix.

Next, we prove $\mathbb{Z}_{k+1}/K_A \mathbb{Z}_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. $K_A K_A^* = \det(K_A) E_{k+1} = sp^r E_{k+1}$, so

$$K_A^* = sp^r K_A^{-1} = sp^r W_A = \begin{pmatrix} sc & sp^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ sp^r & sp^r a_1 & sp^r & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & sp^r & sp^r a_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & sp^r a_k & sp^r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & sp^r & sp^r a_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We consider the invariant factor of K_A , set α_t be the t th invariant factor of K_A , $\alpha_t = \frac{d_t(K_A)}{d_{t-1}(K_A)}$. where d_t called t -th determinant divisor equals the greatest common divisor of all $t \times t$ minors of the matrix K_A and $d_0 := 1$.

$(K_A^*)_{1,1} = sc, (K_A^*)_{1,2} = sp^r$ which means $d_k \mid (sc, sp^r) = 1$, so $d_k = 1$ which means $\alpha_t = 1$ for $1 \leq t \leq k$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = p^r$. Then the Smith normal form of K_A verifies $\mathbb{Z}_{k+1}/K_A\mathbb{Z}_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$.

Finally, we show the signature of K_A equals the central charge of A by giving explicit formula of K_A and calculate its signature according to Lem4.1 and Cor4.2, comparing with central charge of Thm3.2.

For A_3 , we take $K_{A_3} = K'(3, 2)^\perp$, see Thm5.5.

For A_{p^r} except A_3 , we can always choose $m = 2$, then $c = 2m = 4$. Using Wall's algorithm, When r is even,

$$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0(\bmod 8)$.

When r is odd and $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$,

$$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0(\bmod 8)$.

When r is odd and $p \equiv -3(\bmod 8)$,

$$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r+3}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature 4 which is consistent with central charge $4(\bmod 8)$ and it is positive definite.

When r is odd and $p \equiv -1(\bmod 8)$,

$$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r+1}{4} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature 2 which is consistent with central charge $2(\bmod 8)$ and it is positive definite.

When r is odd and $p \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$,

$$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-3}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature -2 which is consistent with central charge $6(\bmod 8)$.

For B_{p^r} , r even and $p \equiv -3(\bmod 8)$ we can choose $m = 1$, then $c = 2m = 2$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$.

For B_{p^r} , r even and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $c = 2m = p - 1$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p-1}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{p-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$.

For B_{p^r} , r even and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = 1$, then $c = 2m = 2$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$.

For B_{p^r} , r odd and $p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = 1$, then $c = 2m = 2$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$.

For B_{p^r} , r odd and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $c = 2m = p - 1$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p-1}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{p-1} + 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ is $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ has signature $p-1$ which is consistent with central charge $6 \pmod{8}$.

For B_{p^r} , r odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = 1$, then $c = 2m = 2$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{p^r} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 2 which is consistent with central charge $2(\bmod 8)$ and it is positive definite.

The proof of Part 2 of Thm. 4.3 essentially follows the same steps above by observing that in this case k is even:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \text{odd} & t \text{ odd} \\ \text{even} & t \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$d_t = \begin{cases} \text{odd} & t \text{ odd} \\ \text{even} & t \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

and some calculations may be different but do not affect the results.

Finally, we show the signature of K_A equals the central charge of A by giving explicit formula of K_A and calculate its signature according to Lem. 4.1 and Cor. 4.2, comparing with central charge of Thm. 3.2.

For A_{2^r} , $c = 1$, using Wall's algorithm,

$$K_{A_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2^r} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2^r & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{A_{2^r}}$ has signature 1 which is consistent with central charge $1(\bmod 8)$.

For D_{2^r} , r even, $c = 3$,

$$K_{D_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2^r} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+2}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{D_{2^r}}$ has signature 3 which is consistent with central charge $3(\bmod 8)$ and it is positive definite.

For D_{2^r} , r odd, $c = 3$,

$$K_{D_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r-2}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{D_{2^r}}$ has signature -1 which is consistent with central charge $7(\bmod 8)$.

For C_{2^2} , we take $K_{C_{2^2}} = K_e(2)^\perp$, see Thm5.5.

For C_{2^r} , $r \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, $c = 5$,

$$K_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2^r} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r-2}{5} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{C_{2^r}}$ has signature 1 which is consistent with central charge $1(\bmod 8)$.

For C_{2^r} , $r \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, $c = 5$,

$$K_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+2}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{C_{2^r}}$ has signature 1 which is consistent with central charge 1(mod 8).

For $C_{2^r}, r \equiv 2(mod 4), c > 2, c = 5$,

$$K_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r-4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{C_{2^r}}$ has signature -3 which is consistent with central charge 5(mod 8).

For $C_{2^r}, r \equiv 0(mod 4), c = 5$,

$$K_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{C_{2^r}}$ has signature 5 which is consistent with central charge 5(mod 8).

For B_2 , we take $K_{B_2} = (2)^\perp$, see Thm5.5.

For B_{2^2} , we take $K_{B_{2^2}} = (2^2)^\perp$, see Thm5.5.

When $r \geq 3$,

For $B_{2^r}, r \equiv 1(mod 3), c = 7$,

$$K_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+6}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{2^r}}$ has signature 7 which is consistent with central charge 7(mod 8).

For $B_{2^r}, r \equiv 2(mod 3), c = 7$,

$$K_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r-4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{2^r}}$ has signature -1 which is consistent with central charge $7 \pmod{8}$.
For $B_{2^r}, r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, c = 7$,

$$K_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+6}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{2^r}}$ has signature 7 which is consistent with central charge $7 \pmod{8}$.

To prove Part 3 of Thm. 4.3, for E_{2^r} , set $K_{E_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2^{-r} \\ 2^{-r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2^r \\ 2^r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then it is easy to verify that $\mathbb{Z}^2/K_{E_{2^r}}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong E_{2^r}$. $K_{E_{2^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$.

For F_{2^r} , set

$$K_{F_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{1-r} & 2^{-r} & 0 & 0 \\ 2^{-r} & 2^{1-r} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2b \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

where $a = \frac{2^r - (-1)^r}{3}, b = (-1)^{r-1}$.

When r is odd,

$$K_{F_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2^{1+r}(1+2^r)}{3} & \frac{-2^r(1+2^{2+r})}{3} & 2^{1+r} & -2^r \\ \frac{-2^r(1+2^{2+r})}{3} & \frac{2^{1+r}(1+2^{2+r})}{3} & -2^{2+r} & 2^{1+r} \\ 2^{1+r} & -2^{2+r} & 6 & -3 \\ -2^r & 2^{1+r} & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

When r is even,

$$K_{F_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2^{1+r}(-1+2^r)}{3} & \frac{2^r(-1+2^{2+r})}{3} & -2^{1+r} & -2^r \\ \frac{2^r(-1+2^{2+r})}{3} & \frac{-2^{1+r}(-1+2^{2+r})}{3} & 2^{2+r} & 2^{1+r} \\ -2^{1+r} & 2^{2+r} & -6 & -3 \\ -2^r & 2^{1+r} & -3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathbb{Z}^4/K_{F_{2^r}}(\mathbb{Z}^4) \cong F_{2^r}$, just consider the third invariant factor of $K_{F_{2^r}}$ and then use Smith normal form as in Thm1. When r is odd, $K_{F_{2^r}}$ has signature 4 which is consistent with central charge $4 \pmod{8}$; When r is even, $K_{F_{2^r}}$ has signature 0 which is consistent with central charge $0 \pmod{8}$. □

5. REALIZATION VIA CHIRAL CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

A chiral conformal field theory (χ CFT) is the chiral part of a full conformal field theory, and mathematically is either a vertex operator algebra (VOA) or a local conformal net (LCN). In this paper, by a χ CFT we will mean a VOA. In this section, we will investigate two different approaches to realize abelian anyon models by VOAs with small central charges explicitly: the complement lattice approach and the Wall algorithm approach. The complement lattice approach was used in [15] to realize all abelian anyons models by lattice VOAs. We will make the complement lattice approach more algorithmic. The Wall algorithm is not as powerful as the complement lattice approach, but constructive and much more elementary. For applications to the bulk-edge correspondence in abelian fractional quantum Hall states, we are interested in explicit realizations of small central charges.

5.1. Lattice VOAs. Given an even $+$ -definite lattice Λ of rank= c , there associates a VOA \mathcal{V}_Λ corresponding physically to c free bosons compactified so that their momenta live in the lattice Λ . The vector space V_Λ of \mathcal{V}_Λ is $V_\Lambda = V^{\otimes c} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$, where $V = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ is the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_i]$ of x_i with $\deg(x_i) = i$, and $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ the group algebra of Λ .

An abelian anyon model (A, q) is said to be realized by a lattice VOA \mathcal{V}_Λ or simply lattice Λ for some even $+$ -definite lattice Λ if the representation category of the associated VOA is (A, q) . The central charges $c_\mathcal{V}$ and conformal weights $\{h_a\}$ of a nontrivial lattice VOA \mathcal{V}_Λ are positive rational numbers that lifts the central charge c_A of the abelian anyon model and the exponents of the topological twists $\theta_a = e^{2\pi h_a}$. The genus of a VOA is the pair $((A, q), c_\mathcal{V})$.

It is known that the representation categories of such lattice VOAs are the abelian anyon models associated to the lattice as in Sec. 4. Therefore, the reconstruction of lattice VOAs is reduced to the construction of even $+$ -definite integral lattices.

5.1.1. Minimal genus realization. Given an anyon model \mathcal{B} , a candidate genus is a pair (\mathcal{B}, c) such that c is a positive rational number $c = c_\mathcal{B} \pmod{8}$. The minimal genus of an anyon model \mathcal{B} is the genus of a VOA with the smallest realizable central c . Such minimal realizations are not unique as the trivial anyon model shows. The non-uniqueness of minimal realization is a general phenomenon.

For the eight families of abelian anyon models, if the central charge $0 \pmod{8}$ is lifted to 8 in Table 3.2 and the rest is taken as in the table, then they all can be realized. A proof follows from Corollary 1.10. 2 of [25]. A quadratic form of an abelian anyon models (A, q) can be realized by an even $+$ -definite lattice L of rank= l if the central charge of q equals to the rank of $l \pmod{8}$, and the rank of $L > 1$ for the A, B, C, D families and > 2 for the E, F families. Therefore the minimal genus realizations for all eight families do exist, though no known explicit realizations are known to the best knowledge of the authors.

The representation category of a lattice VOA for a unimodular or self-dual even $++$ -definite lattice is trivial, i.e. $\mathcal{V}ec$. Therefore, if a lattice Λ realizes an abelian anyon model (A, q) , then the direct sum $\Lambda \oplus L$ realizes (A, q) as well for any unimodular even $++$ -definite lattice L . Taking stability of edge theory into consideration to rule out some trivial constructions, we define extremal VOAs inside a given genus as in [28]. Given a genus (\mathcal{B}, c) , a VOA that realizes this genus is extremal if $rc/4 + r(r-1)/2 - 6 \sum_a h_a$ is the smallest positive integer, where r is the rank of \mathcal{B} [28].

5.2. Complement lattice approach. Anyon models come in conjugate pairs that the topological twists are complex conjugate of each other. It is often easier to realize one of the pair whose central charge is smaller. For example for the Semion and anti-Semion pair, the Semion is realized by $SU(2)_1$ by a K -matrix $K_A = (2)$, while the anti-Semion with $c = 7 \bmod 8$ is harder. It can be realized by $(E_7)_1$ with a K -matrix E_7 . So one strategy is to realize one of each pair by constructing an explicit lattice, and then taking its complement in a self-dual even lattice to realize the other in the pair as in [15]. We will carry this strategy first in this section in a more detailed elementary and algorithmic way. The drawback of the complement lattice approach is that the resulting lattice has a relatively big central charge, so does not realize the minimal genus in general.

5.2.1. Complement lemma. Let L be an even $++$ -definite symmetric lattice of rank $=c$ with Gram matrix K_L . Suppose c' is a positive integer such that $c' \geq c$ and $c + c' = 8l$ for some integer l . Then there exists a primitive embedding of L into a self-dual even $++$ -definite lattice Λ by Corollary 1.12.3 in [25]. Let L^\perp be the complement lattice of $L \subset \Lambda$, then $\Lambda \cong L \oplus L^\perp$. Therefore, L^\perp is an even $++$ -definite lattice with associated abelian anyon model $(A, -q)$. But it is not known how to construct the primitive embedding $L \subset \Lambda$ for the minimal c' in general. So instead in this section, we will construct a much larger c' algorithmically by going through the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. (1) *If an abelian anyon model (A, q) is realized by an even $++$ -positive lattice L , then the diagonal embedding of L into $L^{\oplus 8}$ can be extended to a primitive embedding into a unimodular even $++$ -positive lattice $UL^{\oplus 8}$.*

(2) *For any integer $m > 0$ and lattice Λ , the lattice $\Lambda^{\oplus 8m}$ can be self glued to a self-dual lattice $U\Lambda^{\oplus 8m}$. Furthermore, for any integer $0 < l < m$, the lattice $\Lambda^{\oplus l}$ has a primitive embedding in $U\Lambda^{\oplus 8m}$.*

(3) *Let L be an even $++$ -definite lattice with Gram matrix K_A and central charge c that realizes an anyon model (A, q) . Then there exists an even self-dual $++$ -definite lattice Λ obtained from self-gluing $L^{\oplus 8}$ with L as a primitive sublattice. Furthermore, the complement lattice $L^\perp = \{x \in \Lambda : x \cdot L = 0\}$ of L in Λ is an even $++$ -definite lattice with central charge $7c$ that realizes the abelian anyon model $(A, -q)$.*

The Gram matrix of L^\perp will be denoted by K_A^\perp .

From the structure of Witt group, any 8 copies of an abelian anyon model is a Drinfeld center, hence $L^{\oplus 8}$ can be self-glued to a self-dual lattice. This is analogous to the construction the E_7 lattice from 8 copies of A_1 .

5.2.2. Construction of even $++$ -definite lattices for abelian anyon models. Among the eight families of abelian anyon models, B_{pr} for p odd and A_{2r} are easy to be realized as they are simply the WZW

models for $SU(p^r)$ and lattice VOAs with Gram matrix $K_A = (2^r)$. The most difficult ones are the doubles with $c = 0 \pmod 8$.

To construct other even $+$ -definite K -matrices, we start with some lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $p \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, $r \geq 1$, then for the two families with finite abelian group \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} and central charge $c = p^r - 1$, an even $+$ -definite matrix K_A can be constructed such that $\mathbb{Z}^c/K_A(\mathbb{Z}^c) \cong A$. This K_A gives a K -matrix for B_{p^r} , and the complement K_A^\perp provides a Gram matrix for A_{p^r} .*

Proof. The Cartan matrix of $SU(p^r - 1)$ can be directly used to realize anyon models B_{p^r} :

$$K'(p^r, p^r - 1) \triangleq K_A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By induction, the matrix K_A is even positive-definite and $\det(K_A) = p^r$. As $(K_A)_{c,1}^* = -1$, so $d_{c-1} = 1$ which means $\alpha_k = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq c-1$ and $\alpha_c = p^r$. Then the Smith normal form of K_A verifies $\mathbb{Z}_c/K_A\mathbb{Z}_c \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose A is $m \times m$ matrix, D is $n \times n$ matrix, B is $m \times n$ matrix, C is $n \times m$ matrix, if A is invertible, then*

$$\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\right) = \det(A)\det(D - CA^{-1}B)$$

Proof. Consider the column transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_m & -A^{-1}B \\ 0 & E_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Where E_m is identity matrix of order m .

Take the determinant of both sides which completes our proof. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, for $r \geq 1$ and $s = \pm 1$, $s = 1$ correspondents to A_{p^r} , $s = -1$ correspondents to B_{p^r} , there is a prime $p' \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ satisfying $(\frac{2p^r}{p'}) = 1$ and $(\frac{2p'}{p}) = s$, then for group $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ and central charge $c = p' + 1$, we can always construct an even positive definite K_A and $\mathbb{Z}_c/K_A\mathbb{Z}_c \cong A$.*

Proof. When $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, suppose $(\frac{2}{p}) = m$, where $m = \pm 1$, then $(\frac{2p'}{p}) = s$ is equivalent to $(\frac{p'}{p}) = ms$. Consider $1 = (\frac{2p^r}{p'}) = (\frac{2}{p})(\frac{p}{p'})^r = (\frac{2}{p})(\frac{p'}{p})^r = (\frac{2}{p})(ms)^r$, then $(\frac{2p^r}{p'}) = 1$ is equivalent to $(\frac{2}{p}) = (ms)^r$. Then the conditions about p' reduced to $(\frac{p'}{p}) = ms$ and $(\frac{2}{p}) = (ms)^r$. According to Chinese Residue Theorem and Dirichlet Theorem, there are infinitely many prime p' such that $p' \equiv d \pmod p$ and $p' \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3 \pmod 8$, where d is a quadratic residual of p if $ms=1$, d

is a quadratic nonresidual of p if $ms \equiv -1$. As $(\frac{2p^r}{p'}) = 1$, so there is t such that $t^2 \equiv 2p^r \pmod{p'}$, where $1 \leq t \leq p'$. Set $c = p' + 1$, we claim that

$$K''(p^r, p' + 1, s) \triangleq K_A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p'p^r+1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p^r \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 0 \\ p^r & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{2p^r+t(p'-t)}{p'} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an even positive definite matrix and $\mathbb{Z}_c/K_A\mathbb{Z}_c \cong A$, where the order of K_A is c and it has entry 1 in $(c-t, c)$ and $(c, c-t)$ position.

As $p' \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so $\frac{p'p^r+1}{2}$ is even. As $t^2 \equiv 2p^r \pmod{p'}$, so $\frac{2p^r+t(p'-t)}{p'}$ is even. Next we show K_A is positive definite by computing its principal minors.

Set A_s be the submatrix of K_A by deleting the last $c-s$ rows and the last $c-s$ columns, $f(s)$ is its determinant, we need to prove $f(s) > 0$ for all $1 \leq s \leq c$. $f(1) = \frac{p'p^r+1}{2} > 0$, $f(2) = p'p^r + 1 > 0$. In fact, when $1 \leq s \leq c-1$, we have $f(s) = \frac{(p'p^r+1)s}{2} > 0$. Expand K_A along the first column, $f(c) = \frac{p'p^r+1}{2} \times 2p^r - p^r p^r p' = p^r > 0$, where $2p^r$ is the determinant of M .

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{2p^r+t(p'-t)}{p'} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using Lem5.3 and set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$B = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^t$ which is a column vector of dimension $p' - 1$ and has 1 in $p' - t$ position and 0 otherwise. $C = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ which is a row vector of dimension $p' - 1$ and has 1 in $p' - t$ position and 0 otherwise. $D = (\frac{2p^r+t(p'-t)}{p'})$ is dimension 1. We can calculate the determinant

of M :

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(M) &= \det(A)\det(D - CA^{-1}B) \\
&= p' \left(\frac{2p^r + t(p' - t)}{p'} - A_{(p'-t, p'-t)}^{-1} \right) \\
&= p' \left(\frac{2p^r + t(p' - t)}{p'} - \frac{A_{(p'-t, p'-t)}^*}{p'} \right) \\
&= p' \left(\frac{2p^r + t(p' - t)}{p'} - \frac{(p' - t)t}{p'} \right) \\
&= p' \times \frac{2p^r}{p'} \\
&= 2p^r
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show $\mathbb{Z}_c/K_A\mathbb{Z}_c \cong A$. We consider the invariant factor of K_A , take the same notations as in Thm. 4.3, $(K_A)_{c,c}^* = \frac{(p'p^r+1)p'}{2}$, $(K_A)_{c,1}^* = p^r p'$ which means $d_{c-1} \mid (\frac{(p'p^r+1)p'}{2}, p^r p') = p'$ but $d_{c-1} \nmid p^r$, where p^r is the determinant of K_A , as $(p, p') = 1$, so $d_{c-1} = 1$ which means $\alpha_k = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq c-1$ and $\alpha_c = p^r$. Then the Smith normal form of K_A verifies $\mathbb{Z}_c/K_A\mathbb{Z}_c \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. \square

We can construct even positive-definite K_A for those groups and central charge c using Thm. 3.2, Lem. 5.2, Lem. 5.3, and Lem. 5.1.

Theorem 5.5. *Explicit even positive-definite K -matrices K_A for the 8 families of abelian anyon models are given as in Table 5.5 below. More concretely,*

TABLE 4. K_A

	$p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$	$p \equiv -1 \bmod 4$
A_{p^r}	$K''(p^r, p' + 1, 1)$	$K'(p^r, p^r - 1)^\perp$
B_{p^r}	$K''(p^r, p' + 1, -1)$	$K'(p^r, p^r - 1)$
	$all\ r$	
	A_{2^r}	(2^r)
	B_{2^r}	$(2^r)^\perp$
	$r\ even$	$r\ odd$
C_{2^r}	$K_e(r)^\perp$	$K_o(r)^\perp$
D_{2^r}	$K_e(r)$	$K_o(r)$

- If $p \equiv -1 \bmod 4$, using Lem. 5.2, we have $K_A = K'(p^r, p^r - 1)$ for B_{p^r} , using Lem. 5.1, we have $K_A = K'(p^r, p^r - 1)^\perp$ for A_{p^r} .
- If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, using Lem. 5.4, we have $K_A = K''(p^r, p' + 1, 1)$ for A_{p^r} when $s=1$, and $K_A = K''(p^r, p' + 1, -1)$ for B_{p^r} when $s=-1$.
- For A_{2^r} , $c = 1$ has $K_A = (2^r)$.
- For B_{2^r} , using Lem. 5.1, we have $K_A = (2^r)^\perp$ and we can choose $c=7$.

- For $D_{2^r}, r \geq 2, r$ even, we have $c \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. we can always choose $c=3$,

$$K_e(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2^r+2}{3} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- For $D_{2^r}, r \geq 3, r$ odd, we have $c \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. we can always choose $c=7$,

$$K_o(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2^r+4}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- For $C_{2^r}, r \geq 2$, using Lem5.1, we have $K_A = (K_{D_{2^r}})^\perp$.

Examples 5.6. • The case $B_5, p = 5, r = 1$ is an interesting example. As $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, s = -1$, using Lem. 5.4, we look for a $p' \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ satisfying $(\frac{2 \times 5}{p'}) = 1$ and $(\frac{2p'}{5}) = -1$. Since $5 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$, the second condition is equivalent to $(\frac{p'}{5}) = 1$, we can take $p' \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, The first one is equivalent to $(\frac{2}{p'}) = 1$ by quadratic reciprocity. As $p' \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, thus $p' \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ by considering $p' \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we know $p' \equiv 31 \pmod{40}$, we can take $p' = 31$, so $K''(5, 32, -1)$ is the desired matrix.

The size of our solution is not the smallest. The solution for $c = 8$ is unique given by the K -matrix in the summary.

The solutions for $c = 16$ is completed in [32].

- D_{2^4} , we know

$$K_e(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the desired matrix.

- D_{2^3} , we know

$$K_o(3) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the desired matrix.

5.2.3. *K-matrices for families E and F.* Suppose explicit K_A matrices for B_{2^r} and C_{2^r} are given, then we could obtain K_A matrix for E_{2^r} and F_{2^r} from $K_{B_{2^r}}$ and $K_{C_{2^r}}$ as follows.

Given K_A for B_{2^r} and C_{2^r} and suppose $G_{B_{2^r}}$ is the matrix consisting of the row vectors of a basis of $L_{B_{2^r}}$, i.e.

$$G_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$L_{B_{2^r}}^*/L_{B_{2^r}} \cong B_{2^r}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis of the lattice $L_{B_{2^r}}$, then $G_{B_{2^r}} G_{B_{2^r}}^t = K_{B_{2^r}}$.

Similarly, let $G_{C_{2^r}}$ be the matrix consisting of the row vectors of a basis of $L_{C_{2^r}}$, i.e.

$$G_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$L_{C_{2^r}}^*/L_{C_{2^r}} \cong C_{2^r}$, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ is a basis of the lattice $L_{C_{2^r}}$, then $G_{C_{2^r}} G_{C_{2^r}}^t = K_{C_{2^r}}$.

If $K_{B_{2^r}}$ and $K_{C_{2^r}}$ are known, then $G_{B_{2^r}}$ and $G_{C_{2^r}}$ can be constructed. To find K_A matrix for E_{2^r} and F_{2^r} , we do the following.

As $L_{B_{2^r}}^*/L_{B_{2^r}} \cong B_{2^r}$, there is a generator λ of $L_{B_{2^r}}^*/L_{B_{2^r}}$ satisfying $\lambda \cdot \lambda \equiv \frac{-1}{2^k} \pmod{2}$, then

$$G_{E_{2^r}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c|c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} & \lambda & \lambda \\ 0 & \sqrt{2^k} & & \\ \hline & & \alpha_1 & \\ & & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \vdots & \\ & & \vdots & \\ & & \alpha_n & \\ \hline & & & \alpha_1 \\ & & & \alpha_2 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \alpha_n \end{array} \right)$$

As $L_{C_{2^r}}^*/L_{C_{2^r}} \cong C_{2^r}$, there is a generator μ of $L_{C_{2^r}}^*/L_{C_{2^r}}$ satisfying $\mu \cdot \mu \equiv \frac{-3}{2^k}(\text{mod } 2)$, then

$$G_{F_{2^r}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} & \mu \\ & \sqrt{2^k} & & \\ \hline & & & \beta_1 \\ & & & \beta_2 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \beta_m \end{array} \right)$$

Then $K_{E_{2^r}} = G_{E_{2^r}} G_{E_{2^r}}^t$ and $K_{F_{2^r}} = G_{F_{2^r}} G_{F_{2^r}}^t$.

Let us take $r = 2$ for example.

$L_{B_{2^2}} = D_7$ and

$$G_{B_{2^2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\lambda = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ is the generator of $L_{B_{2^2}}^*/L_{B_{2^2}}$ satisfying $\lambda \cdot \lambda \equiv \frac{-1}{2^2}(\text{mod } 2)$, then

$$G_{E_{2^2}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c|c} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \lambda & \lambda \\ 0 & 2 & & \\ \hline & & \alpha_1 & \\ & & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \vdots & \\ & & \vdots & \\ & & \alpha_7 & \\ \hline & & \alpha_1 & \\ & & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \vdots & \\ & & \alpha_7 & \end{array} \right)$$

$$K_{E_{2^2}} = G_{E_{2^2}} G_{E_{2^2}}^t =$$

5.3. Wall algorithm approach. Wall algorithm also provides explicit small central charge realizations of abelian anyon models via χ CFTs. Inspecting the cases in Thm. 3.1 and Thm. 4.3, we obtain the following explicit Gram matrices K_A for some cases of A .

- $$K_{A_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r+3}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

(2) When r is odd and $p \equiv -1(mod 8)$,

$K_{A_{p^r}}$ has signature 2 and it is positive definite.

- For B_{p^r} ,
 - (1) r odd and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ we can choose $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $c = 2m = p - 1$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p-1}{p^r} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r-1}{p-1} + 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ is $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ has signature $p-1$ and it is positive definite.

(2) r odd and $p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 8)$ we can choose $m = 1$, then $c = 2m = 2$.

$$K_{B_{p^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{p^r} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{p^r+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{p^r}}$ has signature 2 and it is positive definite.

- For D_{2^r} , r even, $c = 3$,

$$K_{D_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2^r} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+2}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{D_{2^r}}$ has signature 3 and it is positive definite.

- For C_{2^r} , $r \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$, $c = 5$,

$$K_{C_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{C_{2^r}}$ has signature 5 and it is positive definite.

- For B_{2^r} ,

(1) $r \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$, $c = 7$,

$$K_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+6}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{2^r}}$ has signature 7 and it is positive definite.

(2) $r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $c = 7$,

$$K_{B_{2^r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2^r} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{2^r+6}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$K_{B_{2^r}}$ has signature 7 and it is positive definite.

6. BULK-EDGE CORRESPONDENCE REVISITED

Our reconstruction of χ CFTs for anyon models is motivated by the bulk-edge correspondence in topological phases of matter exemplified by the fractional quantum Hall states. Our interests lie in broadening the correspondence by taking into account of stability, symmetry, and non-lattice χ CFTs. Abelian anyon models serve as the simplest examples to understand the general reconstruction.

6.1. Stability of edge theory. When abelian anyon models are realized by fractional quantum Hall states, the corresponding boundary χ CFTs provide predictions for experiments in quantum point-contact experiments [5]. Therefore, it is an interesting problem to understand the stable edge theories [20]. Without any extra symmetry protection, we hypothesize that the stability prefers at least small central charges and conformal weights. Mathematically, we will focus on extremal χ CFTs in the minimal genus [28].

6.1.1. Non-uniqueness in the minimal genus. As discussed Sec. 5, the minimal genus can always be realized. But while the trivial abelian anyon model \mathcal{V} has a unique realization of the minimal genus $(\mathcal{V}ec, 8)$ by the E_8 lattice, this uniqueness already fails for the anyon model \mathcal{A}_{23} with two interesting K -matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$, with the smallest conformal weight of $\frac{1}{23}$ and $\frac{2}{23}$, respectively. Therefore, B_{23} has two different χ CFTS realizations in the minimal genus $(\mathcal{A}_{23}, 2)$. Of course the full set of 23 conformal weights are the same as set for both theories mod \mathbb{Z} because they realize the same anyon model.

A special case of the finiteness conjecture [28] is:

Conjecture 6.1. *Given any abelian anyon model \mathcal{A} , there are only finitely many realizations in each realizable genus (\mathcal{A}, c) .*

It would be interesting to understand the classification of extremal VOAs in each realizable genus and how many realizable genera contain extremal VOAs.

6.2. Equivariant correspondence. Let \mathcal{V}_B be the category of VOAs whose representation category is a unitary modular tensor category or anyon model, and \mathcal{M}_B the category of unitary modular tensor categories. There is a monoidal functor $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{V}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B$ that maps a VOA \mathcal{V} to its representation category $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V})$. If \mathcal{V} has a symmetry group G , then a natural question is if and how we can derive the symmetry group of the modular category of $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V})$ from G .

The reconstruction conjecture would imply that \mathcal{R} is onto, which is obviously not surjective on symmetries. For example, for the moonshine VOA \mathcal{V}^\sharp , its representation category is the trivial modular tensor category \mathcal{Vec} , which can have any group as symmetry. The map \mathcal{R} is not injective either as the WZW VOA $SU(2)_1$ has the $SO(3)$ as symmetry [26], but its representation category the Semion model has trivial topological symmetry group.

Conjecture 6.2. *The map \mathcal{R} would send the symmetry group G of a VOA \mathcal{V} to G/G_0 for its representation category $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V})$, where G_0 is the identity component of G .*

The symmetry of lattices always gives rise to symmetries of the VOAs involving extensions due to twisting. Since there are non-lattice realizations, it is not clear how big is the lattice symmetry subgroup.

6.3. Non-lattice realization. There are at least 71 VOAs of central charge=24 whose representation category is trivial, while only 24 of the 71 are lattice VOAs. We conjecture that this is not an isolated fact that for each abelian anyon models, there are non-lattice realizations which are not simply product of one with the non-lattice holomorphic VOAs. A more precise formulation would be the extremal VOAs [28].

Conjecture 6.3. *For each abelian anyon model \mathcal{B} , there exists a non-lattice realization by an extremal VOA \mathcal{V} such that $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{B}$.*

Besides the trivial \mathcal{Vec} , the genus (Semion, 33) has a non-lattice extremal realization [28, 13]. Moreover, there are only finitely many genera with extremal VOAs for the Semion model [13].

It would be very interesting to classify non-lattice extremal realizations of abelian anyon models.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ardonne, E., Cheng, M., Rowell, E. C., and Wang, Z. (2016). *Classification of metaplectic modular categories*. *Journal of Algebra*, 466, 141-146.
- [2] Bartolomei, H., et al, *Fractional statistics in anyon collisions*. *Science* 368.6487 (2020): 173-177.
- [3] R.E. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 83.10 (1986): 3068-3071.
- [4] J. Cano, et al, *Bulk-edge correspondence in (2+ 1)-dimensional abelian topological phases*. *Physical Review B* 89.11 (2014): 115116.
- [5] C. Chamon, D. Freed, and X. G. Wen, *Nonequilibrium quantum noise in chiral Luttinger liquids*. *Physical Review B* 53.7 (1996): 4033.
- [6] S. X. Cui, C. Galindo, J. Y. Plavnik, and Z. Wang, *On gauging symmetry of modular categories*. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 348(3), 1043-1064.

- [7] Conway, John Horton, and Neil James Alexander Sloane. *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*. Vol. 290. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [8] S. X.Cui, M. Shokrian Zini, and Z. Wang, *On generalized symmetries and structure of modular categories*. Science China Mathematics, 62(3), 417-446.
- [9] A. Davydov, M. Mueger, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories*. 2010. arXiv preprint arXiv:1009.2117.
- [10] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories*. Selecta Mathematica 19.1 (2013): 237-269.
- [11] A.H. Durfee, *Bilinear and quadratic forms on torsion modules*. Advances in Mathematics 25.2 (1977): 133-164.
- [12] C. Galindo, and N. Jaramillo, *Solutions of the hexagon equation for abelian anyons*. Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas 50.2 (2016): 277-298.
- [13] J.C. Grady, and J. E. Tener, *Classification of extremal vertex operator algebras with two simple modules*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.02180 (2018).
- [14] D. E. Evans, and T. Gannon, *Reconstruction and local extensions for twisted group doubles, and permutation orbifolds*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.11145 (2018).
- [15] D. E. Evans, and T. Gannon, *Tambara-Yamagami, loop groups, bundles and KK-theory*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.09672 (2020).
- [16] J. Green, and D. Nikshych, *On the braid group representations coming from weakly group-theoretical fusion categories*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02633 (2019).
- [17] N. Junla, *Classification of certain genera of codes, lattices and vertex operator algebras*. Diss. Kansas State University, 2014.
- [18] A. Joyal, and R. Street, *Braided tensor categories*. Advances in Mathematics 102.1 (1993): 20-78.
- [19] A. Kawauchi, and S. Kojima, *Algebraic classification of linking pairings on 3-manifolds*. Mathematische Annalen 253.1 (1980): 29-42.
- [20] F.D.M Haldane, *Stability of chiral luttinger liquids and abelian quantum hall states*. Physical review letters 74.11 (1995): 2090.
- [21] R. Miranda, *Nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on finite abelian 2-groups*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 284.2 (1984): 535-542.
- [22] M. Müger, *On the structure of modular categories*. Proc. London Math. Soc., 87(2):291–308, 2003.
- [23] G. Moore, Gregory, and N. Read, *Nonabelions in the fractional quantum Hall effect*. Nuclear Physics B 360.2-3 (1991): 362-396.
- [24] Nakamura, J., Liang, S., Gardner, G. C., and Manfra, M. J. (2020), *Direct observation of anyonic braiding statistics*. Nature Physics, 1-6.
- [25] V. V. Nikulin, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*. Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya 43.1 (1979): 111-177.
- [26] K. Rehren, *A new view of the Virasoro algebra*. letters in mathematical physics 30.2 (1994): 125-130.
- [27] E. Rowell, and Z. Wang, *Mathematics of topological quantum computing*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 55.2 (2018): 183-238.

- [28] J. Tener, and Z. Wang, *On classification of extremal non-holomorphic conformal field theories*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 50.11 (2017): 115204.
- [29] C.T.C. Wall, *Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics*. Topology 2.4 (1963): 281-298.
- [30] X.-G. Wen, *Theory of the edge states in fractional quantum Hall effects*, International Journal of Modern Physics B, vol. 6, no. 10, 1711–1762.
- [31] X.-G. Wen, and A. Zee. *A Classification and Matrix Formulation of the abelian FQH states*. Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992): 2290.
- [32] J. G. Yang, *Rational double points on a normal quintic K3 surface*. Acta Mathematica Sinica 10.4 (1994): 348-361.

Email address: lwangmath@pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: zhenghwa@microsoft.com; zhenghwa@math.ucsb.edu

MICROSOFT STATION Q AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, U.S.A.