## A NOTE ON WEAK COMPACTNESS CRITERIA IN $L_1$

Y. NESSIPBAYEV AND K. TULENOV

ABSTRACT. We provide a direct proof for the equivalence of K.M. Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria of weak compactness for a subset K of  $L_1(0, 1)$ . Furthermore, we prove the equivalence in  $L_1(0, \infty)$  under some additional condition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that for a subset  $K \subset L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ , where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  is a finite measure space, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) K is a relatively weakly compact set;
- (ii) K is bounded and uniformly integrable (Dunford-Pettis criterion, see [8, Theorem 15, p.76], [10], [21, Theorem 23, p.20]);
- (iii) there exists an N-function F (see Definitions 2.1 and 2.2) such that

$$\sup\left\{\int F(f)d\nu:\ f\in K\right\}<\infty$$

(De la Vallée Poussin's criterion, see [21, Theorem 22, p.19-20], see also [24, Theorem 2, p.3]);

(iv) K is contained in the orbit (see formula 1) of some positive integrable function (in the sense of the Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya submajorization) (K.M. Chongs's criterion, see [7, Theorem 4.2]).

Regarding the condition (ii), we recall that a subset K of  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  is called uniformly integrable if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_E |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $f \in K$  and  $\mu(E) < \delta$ .

The concept of uniform integrability can be easily generalized to any Banach lattice X of measurable functions over a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ . We shall say that a set  $K \subset X$  has equi-absolutely continuous norms in X if (see, e.g. [3])

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{\nu(E) < \delta} \sup_{x \in K} ||x\chi_E||_X = 0.$$

As for the condition (iii), the study of weak compactness in Orlicz spaces ( $L_1$  itself is an example of an Orlicz space) was of interest to W. Orlicz himself, who proved that each Orlicz space  $L_G = L_G(0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{G^*(2t)}{G^*(t)} = \infty$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B50, 46E30, 46B25.

Key words and phrases. weak compactness, equi-absolutely continuous norm, Orlicz spaces.

where  $G^*$  is the complementary (see [16, Chapter 1, formula (2.9)]) function to an Orlicz function G (see Definition 2.2), satisfies Dunford-Pettis criterion of weak compactness [23, assertion 1.5] (see also [1]), that is, every relatively weakly compact subset of  $L_G$  has equi-absolutely continuous norms in  $L_G$ .

As noted in [24, Ch.1] "The uniform integrability concept through its equivalence with a condition discovered by De la Vallée Poussin in 1915 has given a powerful inducement for the study of Young's functions (or N-functions) and the corresponding function spaces."

These characterisations of weak compactness have been shown time and time again to be powerful tools in functional analysis, and have served as sources of inspiration for much subsequent research (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28]).

Our main result of Section 3 (see Theorem 3.5) is of mostly pedagogical value: we prove directly the equivalence of K.M. Chong's (condition (iii)) and De la Vallée Poussin's (condition (iv)) criteria of weak compactness of a subset K of the space  $L_1(0, 1)$ . In fact, this equivalence is obvious, as both criteria are known to be equivalent to relative weak compactness of K in  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ , however, our proof does not refer to Dunford–Pettis criterion and provides a clear demonstration of powerful methods from the general theory of symmetric function spaces. We also prove that any function from  $L_1(0, \infty)$  belongs to some Orlicz space, different from  $L_1(0, \infty)$  itself (see Lemma 3.1). For the case of an integrable function from a finite measure space, this result is known (see [16, Chapter II, p.60]).

In Section 4 we discuss the equivalence in the setting of a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Using Lemma 3.1 it is straightforward to show that the Chong's condition implies the condition of De la Vallée Poussin in  $L_1(0, \infty)$ . We also show that the converse statement is also true under some additional condition (see Theorem 4.3).

### 2. Preliminaries

Let (I, m) denote the measure space, where  $I = (0, \infty)$  (resp. (0, 1)), equipped with the Lebesgue measure m. Let L(I, m) be the space of all measurable real-valued functions on I equipped with the Lebesgue measure m. Define S(I, m) to be the subset of L(I, m), which consists of all functions f such that  $m(\{|f| > s\}) < \infty$  for some s > 0. Note that if I = (0, 1), then S(I, m) = L(I, m).

For  $f \in S(I, m)$ , we denote by  $\mu(f)$  the decreasing rearrangement of the function |f|. That is,

$$\mu(t, f) = \inf\{s \ge 0: m(\{|f| > s\}) \le t\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

We say that f is submajorized by g (both in S(I,m)) in the sense of Hardy– Littlewood–Pólya (written  $f \prec \prec g$ ) if

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, f) ds \le \int_0^t \mu(s, g) ds, \quad t \ge 0.$$

For a positive function  $g \in L_1(I, m)$  we define the following set

(1) 
$$\mathcal{C}_g := \{ f \in L_1(I, m) : |f| \prec \prec g \}$$

which is called the orbit of a function g.

2.1. Marcinkiewicz spaces. Let  $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be an increasing concave function such that  $\psi(0+) = 0$ . For any such function  $\psi$  the Marcinkiewicz space  $M_{\psi}(I)$  is defined by setting

$$M_{\psi}(I) = \{ f \in S(I) : \|f\|_{M_{\psi}(I)} < \infty \},\$$

equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{M_{\psi}(I)} = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{\psi(t)} \cdot \int_0^t \mu(s, f) ds.$$

For more details on Marcinkiewicz spaces of functions, we refer the reader to [5, Chapter 2.5] and [17, Chapter II.5].

## 2.2. Orlicz spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** An N-function is a continuous, convex function  $G : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following properties (cf. [1, Proposition 1.1]):

(i) G(0) = 0, (ii)  $G(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , (iii)  $\frac{G(\lambda)}{\lambda} \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0+$ , (iv)  $\frac{G(\lambda)}{\lambda} \to \infty$  as  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

For our purposes, the behavior of an N-function G for small arguments (condition (iii)) is not crucial.

**Definition 2.2.** An Orlicz function is a function  $G : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  with the following properties (cf. [15, p.258]):

- (i) G(0) = 0,
- (ii) G is not identically equal to zero,
- (iii) G is convex,
- (iv) G is continuous at zero.

It is worth noting that while every N-function is also an Orlicz function, the converse is not always true. Hereafter, unless stated otherwise, we denote by G an N-function. For such a function, we consider the (extended) real-valued functional  $\mathbf{G}(f)$ , also referred to as the modular defined by an N-function G. This functional is defined on the class of all measurable functions f on I by

$$\mathbf{G}(f) = \int_{I} G(|f(t)|) dt.$$

The set

$$L_G = \{ f \in S(I, m) : \| f \|_{L_G} < \infty \},\$$

where

$$||f||_{L_G} = \inf\left\{c > 0: \int_I G\left(\frac{|f|}{c}\right) dm \le 1\right\},$$

is said to be an Orlicz space defined by the Orlicz function G.

We denote by  $G^*$  the function complementary (or conjugate) to G in the sense of Young, defined as (cf. [16, Chapter 1, p.11])

$$G^*(t) = \sup\{s|t| - G(s): s \ge 0\}.$$

It's noteworthy that  $G^*$  is an Orlicz function (cf. [15, p.258]).

Definition 2.3. A function

$$\varphi_{L_G}(x) = \|\mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}\|_{L_G}, \quad x \ge 0$$

is called the fundamental function of the Orlicz space  $L_G$  (1 stands for the characteristic function).

## 3. Equivalence of Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria in $L_1(0,1)$

In this section, we discuss the equivalence of K.M. Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria of relative weak compactness of a subset  $K \subset L_1(0,1)$  in terms of an Orlicz function G. The following result presents an extension of [16, Chapter II, p.60] to  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any integrable function f on  $I = (0, \infty)$ , there exists an N-function G such that G(|f|) is integrable on I.

*Proof.* Note that if f = 0 on a set I, then  $G(f) \equiv 0$  on I. Hence  $\int_I G(f(t))dt = 0$ , so G(f) is integrable on I. We set

$$\operatorname{supp} f = \{ t \in [0, \infty) : f(t) \neq 0 \}.$$

Consider the family of pairwise disjoint sets

$$I_n = \{t \in \text{supp } f : 2^n \le |f(t)| < 2^{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then  $(0,\infty) = I \supseteq \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n$ , and f is integrable on  $I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^n \cdot m(I_n) \le \int_0^{\infty} |f(t)| dt < \infty.$$

By Lemma 5.1 in the Appendix below there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  with  $\alpha_n = 0$  for all  $n \leq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and

(2) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} \cdot 2^n \cdot m(I_n) < \infty.$$

We set

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 \le t < 1, \\ \alpha_n & \text{if } 2^{n-1} \le t < 2^n \ (n = 1, 2, ...) \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\alpha_1 \geq 1$ . Since p(t) is nondecreasing and right-continuous, p(0) = 0, p(t) > 0 whenever t > 0, and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = \infty$  we may define an N-function G (see [1, Definition 1.1, p.3]) by

$$G(x) = \int_0^x p(t)dt, \quad x \ge 0.$$

Since

$$G(2^{n}) = \int_{0}^{2^{n}} p(t)dt \le \int_{0}^{2^{n}} \alpha_{n}dt = 2^{n} \cdot \alpha_{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

it follows, in virtue of (2), that

$$\int_0^\infty G(|f(t)|)dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \int_{I_n} G(|f(t)|)dt$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty G(2^{n+1})m(I_n) \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty 2^{n+1} \cdot \alpha_{n+1} \cdot m(I_n) < \infty.$$

Hence, G(|f|) is integrable on  $(0, \infty)$ . The condition  $\frac{G(t)}{t} = \frac{\int_0^t p(s)ds}{t} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  follows immediately by applying the L'Hôpital's rule.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.2.** Observe, that if we had asked in Lemma 3.1 for an Orlicz function G (instead of an N-function), then there would be nothing to prove as one may choose  $G(t) \equiv t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

Recall, in [7, Lemma 4.1] K.M. Chong proved that a weakly compact set in  $L_1$  associated with a finite measure space is a subset of the orbit of some positive integrable function.

Another characterization of uniform integrability (or relative weak compactness) is given in a theorem of De la Vallée Poussin [21, Theorem 22, p.19-20], which states the following: A subset K of  $L_1(I,m)$  (with  $m(I) < \infty$ ) is bounded and uniformly integrable if and only if there is an Orlicz function G such that  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  so that

$$\sup\left\{\int_{I}G(|f|)dm: f\in K\right\}<\infty.$$

**Remark 3.3.** In the theorem of De la Vallée Poussin above, we may omit the boundedness condition as uniform integrability trivially implies boundedness.

The following lemma may be found in [13, Proposition 2.4] for a finite measure space (see also [20, p. 22, Theorem D.2] and [26]), or in [11, Proposition 2.3], [12, Proposition 1.2] for an infinite measure space.

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that  $f = \mu(f)$  and  $g = \mu(g)$  are integrable functions on  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $\int_0^t f(s)ds \leq \int_0^t g(s)ds$  for every  $0 < t < \infty$ , then for every increasing continuous convex function  $\varphi$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , we have  $\int_0^t \varphi(f(s))ds \leq \int_0^t \varphi(g(s))ds$  for every  $0 < t < \infty$ .

The following theorem, the main result of this section, provides the direct proof for the equivalence of K.M. Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria of weak compactness of a subset K of  $L_1(0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let K be a bounded subset of  $L_1(0,1)$ , then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) there exists an Orlicz function G with  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  so that

$$\sup\left\{\int_0^1 G(|f|)ds: \ f \in K\right\} < \infty;$$

(ii) there exists a positive function  $g \in L_1(0,1)$  such that  $|f| \prec \prec g$  for all  $f \in K$ , that is, K is contained in the ordit of g.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Suppose (i) holds. Hence, K is a bounded subset of  $L_G = L_G(0, 1)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that K is in the (closed) unit ball of  $L_G$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a fundamental function of  $L_G$ . The function  $\varphi$  is quasiconcave. Let  $\psi$  be its least concave majorant, so  $\frac{1}{2}\psi \leq \varphi \leq \psi$  (see e.g. [5, p. 71, Proposition 5.10]). The Marcinkiewicz space  $M_{\psi}$  contains the Orlicz space  $L_G$  (see [5, Theorem II. 5.13, p.72], see also [5, Corollary II. 5.14, p.73]). By Theorem II.5.7 from [17] we know that K lies in a unit ball of  $M_{\psi}$ . Hence, by (2.12) in [17, p.64], we have

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, f) ds \le \|f\|_{M_{\psi}} \cdot \int_0^t \psi'(s) ds \le \|f\|_{M_{\psi}} \cdot \int_0^t \mu(s, \psi') ds \le \int_0^t \mu(s, \psi') ds$$

for all  $f \in K$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , i.e.  $|f| \prec \prec \psi'$  for all  $f \in K$ . Thus, the assertion (b) holds with  $g = \psi'$ .

(ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Suppose there is a positive function  $g \in L_1(0, 1)$  such that  $|f| \prec \prec g$  for all  $f \in K$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 (see also [16, Chapter II, p.60]) there exists an N-function G (hence an Orlicz function) with  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  such that  $\int_0^1 G(g(s))ds < \infty$ . In other words,  $g \in L_G(0,1)$ . We have  $\int_0^t |f(s)|ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, f)ds$  for all  $t \in (0,1]$  (see e.g. [17, (2.12), p.64]). By the assumption, we have  $\int_0^t \mu(s, f)ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, g)ds$  for all  $f \in K$  and for all  $t \in (0,1]$  and so, by Lemma 3.4 and [14, Lemma 2.5 (iv)], we have

$$\int_0^t G(|f(s)|)ds \le \int_0^t G(\mu(s,f))ds \le \int_0^t G(\mu(s,g))ds < \infty.$$
 tes the proof

This completes the proof.

# 4. Equivalence of Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria in $L_1(0,\infty)$

In this section, we prove the equivalence of Chong's and De la Vallée Poussin's criteria in  $L_1$  over a  $(0, \infty)$  under natural additional condition.

**Remark 4.1.** Recall that the classical Dunford's criterion identifies bounded and uniformly integrable subsets of  $L_1(I)$  (where  $m(I) < \infty$ ) with relatively weakly compact sets ([8, Theorem 15, p.76], [21, Theorem 23, p.20]). Note, however, that this criterion of weak compactness is no longer valid in  $L_1(0, \infty)$  as the following example illustrates.

Let  $M = \{f_n = \frac{1}{n}\chi_{[n.2n]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Clearly, M is norm bounded in  $L_1(0,\infty)$  and uniformly integrable. However, M is not relatively weakly compact in  $L_1(0,\infty)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Neither De la Vallée Poussin's criterion (condition (i) in Theorem 3.5), nor Chong's criterion (condition (ii) in Theorem 3.5) describe relatively weakly compact subsets in  $L_1(0, \infty)$ .

For example, let  $K = \{f_n = \chi_{[n,n+1]}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Obviously, K is a bounded subset of  $L_1(0,\infty)$ , which is not relatively weakly compact in  $L_1(0,\infty)$ . However,  $|f_n| \prec \not\prec g$  for all  $f_n \in K$ , where  $g(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x) + \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(x)$ , where  $\alpha > 1$ .

Also, taking  $G(x) = x^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ , we obtain an Orlicz function G with  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \to \infty$ as  $t \to \infty$  such that

$$\sup\left\{\int_0^1 G(|f|)ds: \ f \in K\right\} < \infty.$$

6

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 3.5 to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, provided some additional condition on a set K.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let K be a bounded subset of  $L_1(0,\infty)$  satisfying the following condition:

(3) 
$$\sup_{f \in K} \int_{N}^{\infty} \mu(s, f) ds \to 0, \quad N \to \infty.$$

Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) there exists an Orlicz function G with  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$  so that

$$\sup\left\{\int_0^\infty G(|f|)ds:\ f\in K\right\}<\infty;$$

(ii) there exists a positive function  $g \in L_1(0,\infty)$  such that  $|f| \prec \prec g$  for all  $f \in K$ .

*Proof.* A quick analysis of the proof of the implication (ii)  $\implies$  (i) in Theorem 3.5 shows that it holds verbatim for bounded subsets K in  $L_1(0,\infty)$  (even without the condition (3)).

Now, we show that the implication (i)  $\implies$  (ii) holds under an additional assumption (3). Define a concave function  $\psi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  analogously as in the proof of the Theorem 3.5. Hence, we have  $\int_0^t \mu(s, f) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, \psi') ds$  for all  $f \in K$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Due to (3) there exists a real number N > 1 such that

$$\sup_{f \in K} \int_N^\infty \mu(s, f) ds < \varepsilon.$$

We define

$$g(s) := \begin{cases} \psi'(s) + \varepsilon & \text{if } 0 \le s \le N, \\ 1/s^{\alpha} & \text{if } s > N, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 1$ . Clearly,  $g \in L_1(0, \infty)$  is a positive function and  $|f| \prec \not\prec g$  for all  $f \in K$ , which completes the proof.

## 5. Appendix

The following lemma is, most probably, well known. However, since we could not find any suitable reference, we include its proof here for the sake of convenience.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|$  is convergent. Then there exists a sequence of real numbers  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \infty$  and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n|$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Let us construct a (strictly) increasing sequence of natural numbers  $\{n_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  as follows. By the Cauchy's theorem we can find  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n_1}^{n} |x_k| < 1, \text{ for any } n > n_1.$$

Similarly, we can find  $n_2 > n_1$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n_2}^{n} |x_k| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for any} \ n > n_2.$$

Continuing this procedure we construct the sequence  $\{n_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $n_{l+1} > n_l$ for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

(4) 
$$\sum_{k=n_l}^n |x_k| < \frac{1}{2^{l-1}}, \text{ for any } n > n_l.$$

Now we construct a nondecreasing sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \infty$ . Put  $y_n = 1$  for any  $1 \le n \le n_1$  and

$$y_n = l - 1$$
 for any  $n_{l-1} < n \le n_l, \ l \ge 2.$ 

It is easy to see that  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is nondecreasing. Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n \ge \sup_{l \ge 1} y_{n_l} = \infty.$$

Finally, we show that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n|$  is convergent by using the Cauchy's theorem.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^k}$  is convergent we can choose  $l_0 = l_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $\sum_{k=l_0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^k} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n > n_{l_0}$ . Let m > n, consider the sum

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} |x_k y_k| = \sum_{k=n}^{m} |x_k| y_k.$$

Define  $s > l_0$  by condition  $n_{s-1} < m \le n_s$ . We have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} |x_k| y_k \le \sum_{k=n_{l_0}+1}^{n_s} |x_k| y_k = \sum_{i=l_0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |x_k| y_k.$$

Since  $y_k = i$  for any  $n_i < k \le n_{i+1}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=l_0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |x_k| y_k = \sum_{i=l_0}^{s-1} i \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |x_k|.$$

By the definition of the sequence  $\{n_i\}$  and inequality (4), we have

$$\sum_{i=l_0}^{s-1} i \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |x_k| \le \sum_{i=l_0}^{s-1} \frac{i}{2^{i-1}} \le \sum_{i=l_0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i-1}} \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) = n_{l_0}$  such that for any  $n > n_0$ and any m > n

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} |x_k y_k| \le 2\varepsilon$$

which completes the proof.

### 6. Acknowledgment

This work is dedicated to celebrating the 60th anniversary of Fedor Sukochev. In fact, the present paper was written in collaboration with Fedor Sukochev several years ago. Many of our research papers stand as a testament to his invaluable mentorship and support, enabling numerous research endeavors. The authors also would like to thank A.A. Sedaev, Y.M. Semenov, J. Huang and T. Scheckter for helpful discussions, and thank A. Mukanov for his assistance in proving Lemma 5.1.

The authors were supported by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14869301).

#### References

- J. Alexopoulos, De La Vallée Poussin's theorem and weakly conpact sets in Orlicz spaces, Quaestiones Math. (1994), 231–248.
- [2] T. Ando, Weakly compact sets in Orlicz spaces, Canad.J.Math. 14 (1962), 170-176.
- [3] S.V. Astashkin, Rearrangement invariant spaces satisfying Dunford-Pettis criterion of weak compactness, Contemporary Mathematics, 733, (2019), 45–59.
- [4] D. Barcenas, C.E. Finol, On Vector Measures, Uniform Integrability and Orlicz Spaces, Operator theory: Advances and Applications, 201, (2009) 51–57.
- [5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 129. Academic Press, 1988.
- [6] K.M. Chong, Doubly stichastic operators and rearrangement theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 56 (1976), 309–316.
- [7] K.M. Chong, Spectral orders, uniform integrability and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 191 (1974), 395–404.
- [8] J. Diestel, J. J., Jr. Uhl, Vector measures, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [9] P.G. Dodds, F. Sukochev, G. Schlüchtermann, Weak compactness criteria in symmetric spaces of measurable opertors, Math.Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc.(2001), 131, 363–384.
- [10] N. Dunford, B.J. Pettis, Linear operations on summable functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1940), 323–392.
- [11] F. Hiai, Majorization and stochastic maps in von Neumann algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 127 (1987), 18–48.
- [12] F. Hiai, Y. Nakamura, Majorizations for generalized s-numbers in semifinite von Neumann algebras, Math. Z. 195 (1987), no. 1, 17–27.
- [13] J. Huang, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, Logarithmic submajorization and order-preserving isometries, J. Funct. Anal. 278:4 (2020), 108352.
- [14] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators, Pacific J. Math., 123(2) (1986), 269–300.
- [15] A. Kamińska, M. Mastylo, The Schur and (weak) Dunford-Pettis properties in Banach Lattices. J.Austral.Math.Soc. 73 (2002), 251–278.
- [16] M.A. Krasnoselskii, Ya.B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, translated from russian by Leo F.Boron, Noorhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [17] S. Krein, Y. Petunin, and E. Semenov, Interpolation of linear operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [18] P. Lefévre, D. Li, H. Queffèlec, L. Rodriguez-Piazza, Weak compactness and Orlicz spaces, Colloquium Math., 2008, 112 (1), 23–32.
- [19] K. Lesnik, L. Maligranda, J. Tomaszewski, Weakly compact sets and weakly compact pointwise multipliers in Banach function lattices, (2019), 17 pages. arXiv:1912.08164
- [20] A. Marshall, I. Olkin, B. Arnold, Inequalities: theory of majorization and its applications, second edition, Springer series in statistics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [21] P. Meyer, Probability and Potentials, Blaisdell Publishing Co., 1966.
- [22] M. Nowak, A characterization of the Mackey topology  $\tau(L^{\varphi}, L^{\varphi^*})$  on Orlicz spaces, Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Mathematics, **34**:9-10, (1986), 577–583.
- [23] W. Orlicz, Über Raüme  $(L^M)$ , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. A (1936), 93–107.
- [24] M.M. Rao, Z. Ren, The Theory of Orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

- [25] A.A. Sedaev, F.A. Sukochev, V.I. Chilin, Weak compactness in Lorentz spaces, Uzb. Math. J., 1, (1993), 84–93 (In Russian).
- [26] H. Weyl, Inequalities between the two kinds of eigenvalues of a linear transformation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 35 (1949), 408–411.
- [27] C. Zhang, Y.L. Hou, Convergence of weighted averages of martingales, Sci China Math, 56, (2013), 823–830.
- [28] C. Zhang, Y.L. Hou, Convergence of weighted averages of martingales in noncommutative Banach function spaces, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 32B(2), (2012), 735–744.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Kensington, NSW, 2052, Australia; Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, 050010 Almaty, Kazakhstan;

 $Email \ address: \ {\tt y.nessipbayev@unsw.edu.au}$ 

Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, 050040 Almaty, Kazakhstan; Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, 050010 Almaty, Kazakhstan; Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

Email address: tulenov@math.kz

10