

**Asymptotics for Nonlinear Integral Equations with a
Generalized Heat Kernel using Renormalization Group
Technique II: Marginal Perturbations and Logarithmic
Corrections to the Time Decay of Solutions**

March 14, 2024

GASTÃO A. BRAGA

Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Caixa Postal 1621, Belo Horizonte, 30161-970, Brazil

JUSSARA M. MOREIRA

Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Caixa Postal 1621, Belo Horizonte, 30161-970, Brazil

CAMILA F. SOUZA

Departamento de Matemática
Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais
Av. Amazonas, 5.253, Nova Suíça, 30421-169, Brazil

Abstract

In this paper, we proceed with the analysis started in [13] and, using the Renormalization Group method, we obtain logarithmic corrections to the decay of solutions for a class of nonlinear integral equations whenever the nonlinearities are classified as marginal in the Renormalization Group sense.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we proceed with the analysis started in [13] where we employed the Renormalization Group (RG) method as developed by Bricmont et al. [10] to obtain the long-time behavior of solutions to the integral equation

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, s(t)) f(y) dy + \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, s(t) - s(\tau)) F(u(y, \tau)) dy d\tau, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t > 1, \quad (1)$$

where the integral kernel $G(x, t)$ satisfies the following three general conditions which we denote by **(G)**:

(i) There are integers $q > 1$ and $M > 0$ such that $G(\cdot, 1) \in C^{q+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{(1 + |x|)^{M+2} |G^{(j)}(x, 1)|\} < \infty, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, q + 1,$$

where $G^{(j)}(x, 1)$ denotes the j -th derivative $(\partial_x^j G)(x, 1)$.

(ii) There is a positive constant d such that

$$G(x, t) = t^{-\frac{1}{d}} G\left(t^{-\frac{1}{d}} x, 1\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0;$$

(iii) $G(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, t - s) G(y, s) dy$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > s > 0$.

In [13] we considered the above conditions on $G(x, t)$ and nonlinearities $F(u)$ given by a power series of u $F(u) = \lambda \sum_{j \geq \alpha} a_j u^j$, where $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ and α is an integer satisfying $\alpha > \alpha_c$, with

$$\alpha_c = \frac{p + 1 + d}{p + 1}. \quad (2)$$

The parameter d is given in (ii) of **(G)** and p is assumed to be positive and it is associated with the function $s(t)$ (see the argument of $G(x, t)$ in (1)), which is such that $s(t) \sim t^{p+1}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $f \in \mathcal{B}_q$, where \mathcal{B}_q is the Banach space (6). We have shown that, if f is small in some sense, then the asymptotics of the

solution to (1) is dictated by the asymptotics of the integral kernel $G(x, t)$, that is,

$$u(x, t) \sim \frac{A}{t^{(p+1)/d}} G\left(\frac{x}{t^{(p+1)/d}}, \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where $A = A(p, f, \lambda, F)$.

The condition $\alpha > \alpha_c$ assumed in [13] restricts the sum of F to *irrelevant* (in the RG sense) perturbations. The main contribution of this paper is to consider *marginal* perturbations u^{α_c} , besides irrelevant ones. As we will see, marginal perturbations generate logarithmic corrections to the decay (3). More specifically, we consider

$$F(u) = -\mu u^{\alpha_c} + \lambda \sum_{j \geq \alpha} a_j u^j, \quad (4)$$

where, in the above sum α is an integer satisfying $\alpha > \alpha_c$, with α_c an integer given by (2), and we shall prove in Theorem 1.1 that, if with $\mu > 0$ small and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then a logarithmic correction to the decay (3) shows up as follows

$$u(x, t) \sim \frac{A}{(t \ln t)^{(p+1)/d}} G\left(\frac{x}{t^{(p+1)/d}}, \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \text{ when } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

For the nonlinear diffusion equation with time-dependent diffusion coefficient $c(t) = t + o(t)$ and with marginal perturbations, the long time behaviour (5) with $d = 2$, where $G(x, t)$ is to be replaced by the heat kernel, was obtained by Braga and Moreira in [12]. Here, we generalize their results to the integral equation (1) with nonlinearities $F(u)$ given by (4) and integral kernels $G(x, t)$ satisfying the condition **(G)**. We refer the reader to the Introduction of [13] for references on many interesting physical and engineering problems which are modeled by equations with time-dependent difusions coefficients.

To state our result, suppose $G(x, t)$ is given and condition **(G)** is satisfied. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_q \equiv \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \widehat{f}(\omega) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \|f\| < \infty\}, \quad (6)$$

where $\|f\| = \sup(1 + |\omega|^q)(|\widehat{f}(\omega)| + |\widehat{f}'(\omega)|)$ and $q > 1$ is the integer given in (i) of **(G)**.

We will consider (1) under the following hypothesis:

(M) (M_1) $F(u)$ is given by (4), with $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and the exponent α_c , given by (2), is assumed to be an integer, i.e., p and d are chosen so that α_c is an integer;

(M_2) Given $A_0 > 0$, let $g_0 \in \mathcal{B}_q$ such that $\widehat{g}_0(0) = 0$ and $\|g_0\| < A_0^{\alpha_c}$. Consider initial conditions of form $f = A_0 f_p^* + g_0$, with f_p^* given by

$$f_p^*(x) = G\left(x, \frac{1}{p+1}\right); \quad (7)$$

(M_3) the function $s(t)$, see the argument of $G(x, t)$ in (1), is given by $s(t) = \int_1^t c(\tau) d\tau$, with $c(t)$ a positive function in $L_{loc}^1(1, +\infty)$, given by $c(t) = t^p + o(t^p)$, with $p > 0$ and such that

$$\frac{1}{L^{n(p+1)}} \int_1^{L^n} |o(t^p)| dt \leq \frac{1}{n^{(p+1)/d}}, \quad L > 1, \quad n \gg 1.$$

Remark: Notice that, from hypothesis (M_3) ,

$$s(t) = \frac{t^{p+1} - 1}{p+1} + r(t), \quad p > 0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

where $r(t)$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{r(L^n)}{L^{n(p+1)}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^{(p+1)/d}}, \quad L > 1, \quad n \gg 1. \quad (9)$$

The representation (8) for $s(t)$ and the upper bound (9) for $r(t)$ are motivated by our previous experience, see [12], where we considered the special case $p = 1$ and $d = 2$. In there, $s(t) = \int_1^t c(\tau) d\tau$, $c(t) \in L_{loc}^1(1, +\infty)$, $c(t) = t + o(t)$ and $o(t)$ is a little order of t as $t \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying $L^{-2n} \int_1^{L^n} |o(t)| dt \leq n^{-1}$, $L > 1$, $n \gg 1$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G(x, t)$ satisfying condition **(G)** be given and consider equation (1) under the hypothesis **(M)**. There exist positive constants A , λ^* and ϵ such that*

- 1) *if $|A_0| < \epsilon$, where A_0 is given in (M_2) ;*
- 2) *if $0 < \mu + |\lambda| < \lambda^*$, with $|\lambda| < \mu$, where λ and μ are given in (M_1) ,*

then the solution u to the integral equation (1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(t \ln t)^{(p+1)/d} u(t^{(p+1)/d}, t) - A f_p^*(\cdot)\| = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $f_p^*(\cdot)$ is given by (7).

Remark: The pre-factor A multiplying $f_p^*(\cdot)$ in (10) can be explicitly found and we will show that

$$A = \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{p+1} \right) \left[\frac{p+1}{(2\pi)^d} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \mu R \right\}^{-(p+1)/d} \quad (11)$$

where R depends upon $G(x, t)$ and is given by (47).

This paper is a follow up of [13], being heavily based on it. In Section 2 we quickly review the results in [13] which are important for this paper. In Section 3 we prove the Renormalization Lemma and some other results which will be important in Section 4 where we prove Theorem 1.1. The heuristics behind the logarithmic correction to the decay is given in the Remark right after the proof of Lemma 3.1.

2 The RG operator

The RG approach consists in relating the long-time behavior of solutions to equations to the existence and stability of fixed points of an appropriate RG transformation. By iterating the method, the RG transformation progressively evolves the solution in time and, simultaneously, renormalizes the various terms of the equation under analysis. In [13] we established the RG method to the integral equation (1) when $F(u)$ is irrelevant in the RG sense. With some adaptations, we will show that the method also works when we add marginal perturbations. In order to study the nonlinear problem (1), with $F(u)$ in the form (4), we recall some definitions and results from [13] regarding the RG operator for the linear problem.

Given a time scale $L > 1$ and a function f , define $f_0 \equiv f$, and

$$u_n^0(x, t) \equiv \int G(x - y, s_n(t)) f_n(y) dy, \quad t \in (1, L], \quad (12)$$

where

$$s_n(t) = \frac{t^{p+1} - 1}{p+1} + r_n(t), \quad (13)$$

with $r_n(t) = [r(L^n t) - r(L^n)]L^{-n(p+1)}$, where $r(t)$ is the remainder in (8) satisfying the upper bound (9). Furthermore, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$f_{n+1}(\cdot) \equiv R_{L,n}^0 f_n(\cdot) \equiv L^{(p+1)/d} u_n^0(L^{(p+1)/d}, L). \quad (14)$$

In Lemma II.4 of [13] we have proved that there exists a p -dependent constant $L_1 > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{6(p+1)} < \frac{s_n(L)}{L^{p+1}} < \frac{3}{2(p+1)}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad \forall L > L_1, \quad (15)$$

and that there are positive constants \tilde{K} , M , $C_{d,p,q}$ depending on d, p, q such that, for all $L > L_1$ given,

$$\|f_p^*\| < C_{d,p,q}, \quad \|R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*\| \leq \tilde{K} \text{ and } \|R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* - f_p^*\| \leq M \left| \frac{r(L^n)}{L^{n(p+1)}} \right|^{\frac{1}{d}}, \quad (16)$$

where we have denoted $R_L^0 \equiv R_{L,0}^0$, and where f_p^* is defined by (7). We have also proved the Contraction Lemma, see Lemma II.5 of [13], which asserts that there exists a constant $C = C(d, p, q) > 0$ such that

$$\|R_{L,n}^0 g\| \leq \frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} \|g\|, \quad \forall L > L_1 \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (17)$$

whenever $g \in \mathcal{B}_q$ is such that $\widehat{g}(0) = 0$.

For the nonlinear equation (1), with F given by (4), we fix $L > 1$ and formally consider $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined by

$$u_n(x, t) \equiv L^{n(p+1)/d} u(L^{n(p+1)/d} x, L^n t), \quad t \in [1, L], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (18)$$

and with u solution to

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int G(x - y, s(t)) f(y) dy - \mu \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s(t) - s(\tau)) u^{\alpha_c}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &+ \lambda \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s(t) - s(\tau)) \left[\sum_{j \geq \alpha} a_j u^j(y, \tau) \right] dy d\tau, \quad t > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We recall that, in the above sum, α is an integer satisfying $\alpha > \alpha_c$, with α_c given by (2). The renormalized equation, in the marginal case, is, for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x, t) &= \int G(x - y, s_n(t)) f_n(y) dy - \mu \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s_n(t) - s_n(\tau)) u_n^{\alpha_c}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &+ \lambda_n \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s_n(t) - s_n(q)) F_{L,n}(u_n(y, q)) dy dq \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $s_n(t)$ is given by (13) and

$$F_{L,n}(u_n) = \sum_{j \geq \alpha} a_j L^{n(\alpha-j)(p+1)/d} u_n^j, \quad (21)$$

$$\lambda_n = L^{-n(p+1)(\alpha-\alpha_c)/d} \lambda, \quad (22)$$

and $f_n(x) \equiv L^{n(p+1)/d} u(L^{n(p+1)/d} x, L^n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $f_0 = f$, where u is the solution to (19).

In Lemma III.1 of [13] we have proved that, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > 1$, there exists $\epsilon_n > 0$ such that, if $\|f_n\| < \epsilon_n$, then the integral equation (20) has a unique solution in

$$B_{f_n} \equiv \{u_n \in B^{(L)} : \|u_n - u_n^0\| \leq \|f_n\|\}$$

where u_n^0 is the solution to (20) with $\mu = \lambda_n = 0$ (equivalently, given by (12)) and

$$B^{(L)} = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R} \times [1, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{B}_q, \forall t \in [1, L], \|u\|_L = \sup_{t \in [1, L]} \|u(\cdot, t)\| < \infty \right\}.$$

In fact, Lemma III.1 of [13] is valid for equation (20) with nonlinearity and coupling constant given by (21) and (22), respectively.

Since we are now interested in the effect of the marginal term in the asymptotics, we will rewrite the operator $T_n : B^{(L)} \rightarrow B^{(L)}$, as $T_n(u_n) \equiv u_n^0 + V_n(u_n)$, where $V_n = -M_n + N_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$M_n(u_n)(x, t) = \mu \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s_n(t) - s_n(\tau)) u_n^{\alpha_c}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \quad (23)$$

and

$$N_n(u_n)(x, t) = \lambda_n \int_1^t \int G(x - y, s_n(t) - s_n(\tau)) F_{L,n}(u_n(y, \tau)) dy d\tau. \quad (24)$$

Therefore, there exists ϵ_n such that, if $\|f_n\| < \epsilon_n$, T_n has a unique fixed point which is the unique solution $u_n(x, t)$ for the renormalized integral equation (20) for $t \in [1, L]$, which leads to the definition of the RG operator for the nonlinear equation

$$L^{(p+1)/d} u_n(L^{(p+1)/d} x, L) \equiv (R_{L,n} f_n)(x) = f_{n+1}(x) \quad (25)$$

for $n \geq 0$, where $f_0 = f$.

3 Renormalization

In this section we obtain the Renormalization Lemma for the marginal case. As in the irrelevant case treated in [13], we write $f_n = A_n R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* + g_n$ but we shall see that in this case the sequence (A_n) goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we have to keep track of this convergence in a certain way, which will be done in the next Lemma.

From now on, we denote $(e^{s_n(t)\mathcal{L}}f)(x, t) \equiv \int G(x - y, s_n(t))f(y)dy$. Remember that, in (1), the nonlinearity $F(u)$ is given by (4), where $\alpha_c \geq 2$ is an integer, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that if $\mu < 0$ then solutions may blow up at finite time, see [4, 5]. We shall prove that, in this case, the nonlinearity affects the asymptotic behavior, adding a logarithmic factor in the decay rate of convergence.

Before stating and proving the Renormalization Lemma, we recall from [13] (see Lemma II.1 of [13] for details), that $\widehat{G}(\omega, t)$, $(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1, \infty)$, as well as

$$K \equiv \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{G}(\omega, 1)|, \quad K_1 \equiv \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{G}'(\omega, 1)|, \quad (26)$$

are well defined and we can rewrite condition (ii) of **(G)** in the Fourier space as

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t) = \widehat{G}(t^{\frac{1}{d}}\omega, 1), \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and } \omega \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (27)$$

Also, condition (iii) of **(G)** implies that

$$\widehat{G}(\omega, t) = \widehat{G}(\omega, t - s)\widehat{G}(\omega, s) \quad t > s > 0 \text{ and } \omega \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (28)$$

Finally, defining

$$\nu_n^*(x) = \nu_n^*(x, L) \equiv \int_0^{L-1} e^{[s_n(L) - s_n(L-\tau)]\mathcal{L}} (e^{s_n(L-\tau)\mathcal{L}} R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*)^{\alpha_c} d\tau \quad (29)$$

and $\beta_n \equiv \widehat{\nu_n^*}(0)$, it is not hard to see that $\|\nu_n^*\| \leq \bar{C}$ for all n , with

$$\bar{C} = (L-1) \left(\frac{C_*}{2\pi} \right)^{\alpha_c - 1} \{2K + K_1[3L^{p+1}/2(p+1)]^{1/d}\}^{\alpha_c + 1} \tilde{K}^{\alpha_c}, \quad (30)$$

with $C_* \equiv (2^{q+1} + 3) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [1 + |x|^q]^{-1} dx$, K and K_1 given in (26) and \tilde{K} the constant in (16).

Lemma 3.1 (Renormalization Lemma). *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > L_1$, suppose that f_n given by (25) is well defined for $n = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$. Then, for each n , there is a constant A_n and a function $g_n \in \mathcal{B}_q$ with $\widehat{g}_n(0) = 0$ such that*

$$f_0 = A_0 f_p^* + g_0, \quad f_{n+1} = A_{n+1} R_{L^{n+1}}^0 f_p^* + g_{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots, k). \quad (31)$$

Furthermore, there exist n -independent positive constants γ and Λ such that, if $|A_n| \leq 1$, $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ and $0 < \mu + |\lambda| < \gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{n+1} - A_n + \mu \beta_n A_n^{\alpha_c}| &\leq \Lambda [\mu(|A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} + |A_n|^{\alpha_c-1} \|g_n\| + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c}) + \\ &\quad (\mu + 1)|\lambda|(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{n+1}\| &\leq \frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} \|g_n\| + \Lambda [\mu(|A_n|^{\alpha_c} + |A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} + |A_n|^{\alpha_c-1} \|g_n\| + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c}) + \\ &\quad + (\mu + 1)|\lambda|(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Proof: Decomposition (31) follows from induction, exactly like in the proof of Lemma III.2 of [13], where we have defined for $n \geq 0$,

$$A_{n+1} = A_n + \widehat{\nu}_n(0) \quad (34)$$

and

$$g_{n+1}(x) = R_{L^{n+1}}^0 g_n(x) + L^{(p+1)/d} \nu_n(L^{(p+1)/d} x) - \widehat{\nu}_n(0) R_{L^{n+1}}^0 f_p^*(x), \quad (35)$$

with the difference that now $\nu_n(x) = V_n(u_n)(x, L) = -M_n(u_n)(x, L) + N_n(u_n)(x, L)$, where $M_n(u_n)$ and $N_n(u_n)$ were defined in (23) and (24), respectively. In order to obtain estimates (32) and (33), we define $w_n = \nu_n + \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^*$ with ν_n^* given by (29) and, since $\beta_n \equiv \widehat{\nu}_n^*(0)$, we have $\widehat{w}_n(0) = A_{n+1} - A_n + \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \beta_n$. In Lemma 3.2 we will prove that there exist positive constants γ and E such that, if $|A_n| \leq 1$, $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ and $0 < \mu + |\lambda| < \gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n\| &\leq E [\mu(|A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} + |A_n|^{\alpha_c-1} \|g_n\| + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c}) \\ &\quad + (\mu + 1)|\lambda|(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1})], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

which will prove (32), for all $\Lambda \geq E$. In order to prove (33), we use definition (35) and inequalities (16) and (17) to obtain:

$$\|g_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} \|g_n\| + (L^{q(p+1)/d} + \tilde{K}) \|\nu_n\|.$$

Furthermore, since $\|\nu_n\| \leq \mu |A_n|^{\alpha_c} \|\nu_n^*\| + \|w_n\|$, we bound $\|g_{n+1}\|$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} \|g_n\| + (\tilde{C} + E)(L^{q(p+1)/d} + \tilde{K}) [\mu(|A_n|^{\alpha_c} + |A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} + |A_n|^{\alpha_c-1} \|g_n\| + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c}) + \\ & + (\mu + 1)|\lambda|(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L > 1$, defining $\Lambda \equiv (\tilde{C} + E)(L^{q(p+1)/d} + \tilde{K})$, the proof is finished. ■

Remark: At this point it is possible to understand, heuristically, how the logarithmic correction to the decay pops up and inequality (32) is crucial for that. In Lemma 4.2 we will show that $\|g_n\| < A_n^2$ for all n and that $A_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Together with $\alpha_c \geq 2$, this implies that the right hand side of (32) is a little order of $A_n^{\alpha_c}$, meaning that it can be dropped off when compared with the left hand side so that

$$A_{n+1} - A_n + \mu \left(R \left[\frac{p+1}{(2\pi)^d} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \ln L \right) A_n^{\alpha_c} \approx 0,$$

where we have used that $\beta_n \approx (R \left[\frac{p+1}{(2\pi)^d} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \ln L)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Lemma 4.1).

Integrating out the above equation gives

$$A_n \approx A \left[\frac{1}{\ln t_n} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{d}}, \quad t_n = L^n,$$

where A is given by (11). For the rigorous argument, see the proof of Lemma 4.3, in particular Equation (60).

From now on we will denote u_{A_n} instead of u_n to emphasize the relation between the solution and the decomposition of the initial data given by the Renormalization Lemma, that is, given $L > L_1$, let u_{A_n} be the solution to (20) with initial data $f_n = A_n R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* + g_n$. Furthermore, let $u_{A_n}^*$ be the solution to problem (20) with

$\lambda_n = 0$ and initial data $f_n^* = A_n R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*$. Notice that $u_{A_n}^*$ “measures” the effect of the critical nonlinearity on the component of the initial condition which is in the direction of the asymptotic fixed point of the linear RG operator. Therefore, if the norm of g_n is small, we expect that u_{A_n} is somehow “close” to $u_{A_n}^*$, which motivates the estimates we will obtain next. Notice that, for $w_n = \nu_n + \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^*$, with ν_n^* given by (29), we can write down the upper bound

$$\|w_n\| \leq \|M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(L) - \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^*\| + \|M_n(u_{A_n}) - M_n(u_{A_n}^*)\|_L + \|N_n(u_{A_n})\|_L.$$

We will then obtain, in the next lemma, estimates for the norms on the right hand side of the inequality above, thus proving (36). We refer of ϵ_n given by (43) of [13].

Lemma 3.2. *Given $L > L_1$ and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ suppose that the initial condition f_n for problem (20) can be written as $f_n = A_n R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* + g_n$, with $g_n \in \mathcal{B}_q$, $\|g_n\| \leq 1$, $|A_n| \leq 1$ and $\|f_n\| < \epsilon_n$. Then, there exist positive constants E and γ such that, if $0 < |\lambda| + \mu < \gamma$, then*

$$\|M_n(u_{A_n}) - M_n(u_{A_n}^*)\|_L \leq \mu E[|A_n|^{\alpha_c-1} \|g_n\| + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c} + |\lambda|(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1})], \quad (37)$$

$$\|M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(L) - \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^*\|_L \leq \mu E|A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\|N_n(u_{A_n})\|_L \leq |\lambda|E(|A_n|^{\alpha_c+1} + \|g_n\|^{\alpha_c+1}). \quad (39)$$

Proof: First of all, since $\|f_n\| < \epsilon_n$, then u_{A_n} and $u_{A_n}^*$ are the only solutions to the respective equations in B_{f_n} e $B_{f_n}^*$ given by

$$u_{A_n}^*(t) = A_n e^{s_n(t)\mathcal{L}} R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* - M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(t) \quad (40)$$

and

$$u_{A_n}(t) = A_n e^{s_n(t)\mathcal{L}} R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* + e^{s_n(t)\mathcal{L}} g_n + V_n(u_{A_n})(t). \quad (41)$$

Defining $\bar{C}_0 \equiv 2K + K_1[3L^{p+1}/2(p+1)]^{1/d}$, using (15) and the properties of the kernel G , we get

$$\|M_n(u_{A_n}) - M_n(u_{A_n}^*)\|_L \leq \mu \alpha_c \bar{C}_1 \|u_{A_n} - u_{A_n}^*\|_L (\|u_{A_n}\|_L^{\alpha_c-1} + \|u_{A_n}^*\|_L^{\alpha_c-1}), \quad (42)$$

with $\bar{C}_1 = \bar{C}_0(L-1)(C_*/2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}$. Now we recall that, if $\|f_n\| < \epsilon_n$, then $\|u_{A_n}\|_L < \rho_0$ (see proof of Lemma III.1 in [13]). Therefore, defining $S_1(z) = (C_*/2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}\rho_0^{\alpha_c-1} + \sum_{j \geq \alpha} (C_*/2\pi)^{j-1}|a_j|z^{j-1}$, $\gamma_0 = [2\bar{C}_0(L-1)S_1(\rho_0)]^{-1}$ and $\bar{C}_2 = 2(\tilde{K}+1)\bar{C}_0$, taking $\mu + |\lambda| < \gamma_0$ gives

$$\|u_{A_n}^*\|_L \leq \bar{C}_2|A_n|, \quad (43)$$

$$\|u_{A_n}\|_L \leq \bar{C}_2(|A_n| + \|g_n\|) \quad (44)$$

and therefore,

$$\|u_{A_n}\|_L^{\alpha_c-1} + \|u_{A_n}^*\|_L^{\alpha_c-1} \leq 2\bar{C}_2^{\alpha_c-1}(|A_n| + \|g_n\|)^{\alpha_c-1}. \quad (45)$$

Similarly, since $|\lambda_n| < |\lambda|$ for all n ,

$$\|N_n(u_{A_n})\|_L \leq |\lambda|\bar{C}_3\bar{C}_2^2(|A_n| + \|g_n\|)^2, \quad (46)$$

with $\bar{C}_3 = \bar{C}_0(L-1)S_2(\rho_0)$, where $S_2(z) = \sum_{j \geq \alpha} (C_*/2\pi)^{j-1}|a_j|z^{j-2}$. Defining

$$\gamma = \min \left\{ 1, \gamma_0, \frac{1}{2^{\alpha_c+1}\alpha_c\bar{C}_1\bar{C}_2^{\alpha_c-1}} \right\},$$

if $\mu < \gamma$, since $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ and $|A_n| \leq 1$, using (45) and (46) in (42), we get (37) with $E = E_1 \equiv 4(\alpha_c+2)!\alpha_c\bar{C}_1\bar{C}_2^{\alpha_c-1}(1 + \bar{C}_3\bar{C}_2^2)\{1 + K + K_1[3L^{p+1}/2(p+1)]^{1/d}\}$.

In order to prove (38), notice that we can write $M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(L) = \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^* + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_c-1} I_j$,

with ν_n^* given by (29) and I_j given by

$$\binom{\alpha_c}{j} \int_0^{L-1} e^{[s_n(L)-s_n(L-\tau)]\mathcal{L}} [(A_n e^{s_n(L-\tau)\mathcal{L}} R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*)^j [-M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(L-\tau)]^{\alpha_c-j}] d\tau.$$

Noticing that $\|M_n(u_{A_n}^*)\|_L \leq \mu\bar{C}_1(\bar{C}_2|A_n|)^{\alpha_c}$, if

$C_j^* = \alpha_c! \tilde{K}^j \bar{C}_0^j \bar{C}_1^{\alpha_c-j+1} \bar{C}_2^{\alpha_c(\alpha_c-j)}$, $\|I_j\| \leq \bar{C}_j^* |A_n|^{j+\alpha_c(\alpha_c-j)} \mu^{\alpha_c-j}$. Therefore,

$$\|M_n(u_{A_n}^*)(L) - \mu A_n^{\alpha_c} \nu_n^*\| \leq \mu |A_n|^{2\alpha_c-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_c-1} \bar{C}_j^* |A_n|^{\alpha_c^2 - \alpha_c(j+2) + j+1} \mu^{\alpha_c-j}$$

and using that $|A_n| \leq 1$ and $\mu \leq 1$ we prove (38) with $E = E_2 \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_c-1} \bar{C}_j^*$.

Finally, from (44) and from the fact that $\|N_n(u_{A_n})\|_L \leq |\lambda|\bar{C}_0 S_3(\rho_0) \|u_{A_n}\|_L^{\alpha_c+1}$,

where $S_3(z) = \sum_{j \geq \alpha} (C_*/2\pi)^{j-1}|a_j|z^{j-\alpha_c-1}$, we obtain inequality (39) with $E =$

$E_3 \equiv (\alpha_c+2)!\bar{C}_0 S_3(\rho_0) \bar{C}_2^{\alpha_c+1}$. Defining $E \equiv \max\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, we conclude the

proof. ■

4 Asymptotic Behavior

In order to obtain the asymptotic limit (10), we first prove that $\beta_n(L) = \widehat{\nu_n^*}(0, L)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\widehat{\nu_n^*}$ is given by (29), is a convergent sequence as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that, from the properties of the kernel G , the integral

$$R = \int \widehat{G}(-x_1, 1) \widehat{G}(x_1 - x_2, 1) \cdots \widehat{G}(x_{\alpha_c-1}, 1) dx_1 \cdots dx_{\alpha_c-1} \quad (47)$$

is well defined.

Lemma 4.1. *Consider Equation (19) under the hypothesis **(M)**, with $G(x, t)$ satisfying **(G)**. Let $\beta_n = \widehat{\nu_n^*}(0)$, with ν_n^* given by (29) and*

$$\beta = R \left[\frac{p+1}{(2\pi)^d} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \ln L, \quad (48)$$

where R is given by (47). Then, there exists a constant $C(d, L, p)$ such that

$$|\beta_n - \beta| \leq C(d, L, p) \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{d}}, \quad (49)$$

for n sufficiently large.

Proof: In what follows, we drop off the L -dependence on functions and parameters. Defining $g(y, \tau) \equiv \int G(y - z, s_n(L - \tau)) R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*(z) dz$ and observing that $\hat{G}(0, t) = 1$ for $t > 0$, we get from (29) that

$$\beta_n = \int_0^{L^{-1}} [\widehat{g^{\alpha_c}(\cdot, \tau)}]_{|\omega=0} d\tau. \quad (50)$$

Using the definition of the RG operator and properties of G , from (27) and (28) we get

$$\hat{g}(\omega, \tau) = \hat{G} \left(\omega, s_n(L - \tau) + \frac{1}{L^{n(p+1)}} \left[s(L^n) + \frac{1}{p+1} \right] \right)$$

so that, from above and from properties of the Fourier Transform, we can rewrite

β_n in (50) as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}} \left[\int_0^{L^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\alpha_c-1}} \hat{G}(-p_1, a) \hat{G}(p_1 - p_2, a) \cdots \hat{G}(p_{\alpha_c-1}, a) dp_1 dp_2 \cdots dp_{\alpha_c-1} \right] d\tau,$$

where $a = s_n(L - \tau) + L^{-n(p+1)}[s(L^n) + 1/(p+1)]$. Now, recalling that $\alpha_c = (p+1+d)/(p+1)$, using definitions (47) and (13) of R and $s_n(t)$, we get

$$\beta_n = R \left[\frac{(p+1)^{1/p+1}}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}} \right] \int_0^{L-1} [(L-\tau)^{p+1} + h_n]^{-1/(p+1)} d\tau, \quad (51)$$

where $h_n = (p+1)[r_n(L-\tau) + L^{-n(p+1)}r(L^n)]$. Noticing that $h_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore,

$$|\beta_n - \beta| = |R| \left[\frac{(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}} \right] \left| \int_0^{L-1} \int_0^{h_n} \frac{1}{(p+1)[(L-\tau)^{p+1} + \bar{h}]^{\frac{p+2}{p+1}}} d\bar{h} d\tau \right|. \quad (52)$$

Taking n sufficiently large so that $\bar{h} > -\frac{3}{4}$ and using the definition of h_n ,

$$|\beta_n - \beta| \leq S(d, p) \left[\int_1^L \frac{|r_n(t)|}{t^{p+2}} dt + \left| \frac{r(L^n)}{L^{n(p+1)}} \right| \int_1^L \frac{1}{t^{p+2}} dt \right],$$

where $S(d, p) = |R|[4^{p+2}(p+1)]^{\frac{1}{p+1}}/(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}$. Using condition (M_3) of **(M)** in the definition of r_n , we have that $|r_n(L)| \leq L^{p+1}(n+1)^{-(p+1)/d}$ and therefore

$$\left| \frac{r(L^n)}{L^{n(p+1)}} \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{d}},$$

which leads to (49), with $C(d, L, p) = S(d, p)[L^{2(p+1)} - 1](p+1)^{-1}L^{-(p+1)}$. ■

We notice that, if $L > L_1$, since $s_n(t)$ is an increasing function for all $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq L-1$, it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{6(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} < \left[s_n(L-\tau) + \frac{1}{L^{n(p+1)}} \left(s(L^n) + \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} < L \left(\frac{4}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$$

and therefore $\beta_* < \beta_n < \beta^*$ for all $n \geq 0$, where

$$\beta_* = \frac{R}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}} \left[\frac{p+1}{4} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{3^{1/(p+1)}} \right] \text{ and } \beta^* = \frac{R}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_c-1}} (L-1)[6(p+1)]^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.$$

We will use the previous bounds in the next lemma, where we prove that (A_n) is a decreasing sequence that goes to zero when n goes to infinity, which will allow us to obtain the unique global solution to the problem. In Lemma 4.2 we make use of the definition $L_2 \equiv \max\{L_1, C^{d/(p+1)}\}$ introduced in the proof of Theorem II.1 of [13] and we refer to σ given by (45) in [13], which is a lower bound for the sequence (ϵ_n) .

Lemma 4.2. *For $L > L_2$, there are positive constants ϵ and λ^* such that, if $0 < \mu + |\lambda| < \lambda^*$, $|\lambda| < \mu$ and $f_0 = A_0 f_p^* + g_0$ with $A_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$, $\|g_0\| < A_0^2$ and $\hat{g}_0(0) = 0$, then $f_{n+1} = R_{L,n} f_n$ is well defined for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and (31) is valid with A_{n+1} and g_{n+1} given by (34) and (35), respectively. Furthermore, $0 < A_{n+1} < A_n$, $\|g_n\| < A_n^2$ for all n and $A_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof: Notice that if $\epsilon \leq 1$, since $A_0 < \epsilon$ and $\|g_0\| < A_0^2$, we get $A_0 < 1$ and $\|g_0\| < 1$. Furthermore, since $f_0 = A_0 f_p^* + g_0$ with $A_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ and $\|g_0\| < A_0^2$, taking $\epsilon < \sigma/(C_{d,p,q} + 1)$ we guarantee that $f_1 = R_{L,0} f_0$ is well defined and from Lemma 3.1, it follows that f_1 can be written as $f_1 = A_1 R_L^0 f_p^* + g_1$ with A_1 and g_1 given respectively by (34) and (35) with $n = 0$. From (32) with $n = 0$, using that $\|g_0\| < A_0^2 < 1$, $|\lambda| < \mu < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 2$, we get $|A_1 - A_0 + \mu\beta_0 A_0^{\alpha_c}| \leq 7\Lambda\mu A_0^{\alpha_c+1}$, or

$$A_0[1 - \mu A_0^{\alpha_c-1}(\beta_0 + 7\Lambda A_0)] < A_1 < A_0[1 + \mu A_0^{\alpha_c-1}(-\beta_0 + 7\Lambda A_0)]. \quad (53)$$

Notice that, since $1 > A_0 > 0$, if $\mu[\beta_0 + 7\Lambda] < 1$, then $A_1 > 0$ from the left hand side of the inequality above and, from the right hand side, for small A_0 , that is, if $7\Lambda A_0 < \beta_0$, we get $A_1 < A_0$. It follows from (33) with $n = 0$ that

$$\|g_1\| \leq \left(\frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} + 8\Lambda\mu \right) A_0^2.$$

Since $A_0 < 1$, it follows from (53) that $A_1^2 > A_0^2[1 - \mu(7\Lambda + \beta_0)]^2$ and, therefore, if

$$\frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} + 8\Lambda\mu < [1 - \mu(7\Lambda + \beta_0)]^2, \quad (54)$$

then $\|g_1\| < A_1^2$. Inequality (54) is valid if we take

$$\mu < \frac{1 - CL^{-(p+1)/d}}{22\Lambda + 2\beta_0}.$$

Notice that if $L > L_2$, the right hand side of the above inequality is positive. Now define

$$\epsilon \equiv \min\{1, \beta_*/(7\Lambda), \sigma/(C_{d,p,q} + 1), \sigma/(\tilde{K} + 1)\} \quad (55)$$

and

$$\lambda^* \equiv \min\left\{\gamma, \frac{1}{7\Lambda + \beta^*}, \frac{1 - CL^{-(p+1)/d}}{22\Lambda + 2\beta^*}\right\}, \quad (56)$$

where γ and Λ are given by the Renormalization Lemma.

Suppose $0 < A_n < A_{n-1} < \epsilon$, $\|g_{n-1}\| < A_{n-1}^2$ for $n = 1, \dots, k$ and $\lambda < \lambda^*$. We shall prove that $0 < A_{k+1} < A_k$ and $\|g_{k+1}\| < A_k^2$. Taking $\epsilon < \sigma/(\tilde{K} + 1)$, it is easy to see from (16) that $f_{k+1} = R_{L,k}f_k$ is well defined and it can be decomposed as in (31). From (32), and using the induction hypothesis, we get

$$A_k[1 - \mu A_k^{\alpha_c - 1}(\beta_k + 7\Lambda A_k)] < A_{k+1} < A_k[1 + \mu A_k^{\alpha_c - 1}(-\beta_k + 7\Lambda A_k)]. \quad (57)$$

Since $0 < \mu < \lambda^*$, $\beta_k \leq \beta^*$ and $0 < A_k < A_{k-1} < \dots < A_0 < \epsilon$, it follows from the left hand side of (57) that $A_{k+1} > 0$ and from the right hand side of (57) that $A_{k+1} < A_k$. To show that $\|g_{k+1}\| < A_{k+1}^2$, we take (33) with $n = k$ and use the induction hypothesis $\|g_k\| < A_k^2 < 1$ and $\alpha_c \geq 2$ to get

$$\|g_{k+1}\| \leq \left(\frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} + 8\Lambda\mu \right) A_k^2.$$

Once again, since $A_k < 1$, it follows from (57) that $A_{k+1}^2 > A_k^2[1 - \mu(7\Lambda + \beta_k)]^2$, and therefore, to prove that $\|g_{k+1}\| < A_{k+1}^2$, we need that

$$\frac{C}{L^{(p+1)/d}} + 8\Lambda\lambda < [1 - \mu(7\Lambda + \beta_k)]^2,$$

which is true since $[1 - \mu(7K + \beta_k)]^2 \geq 1 - 2\mu(7K + \beta_k)$, $\lambda < \lambda^*$, $\beta_k < \beta^*$ and $L > L_2$.

We have just shown that there exists $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ and $0 \leq A < \epsilon$. We will now prove that $A = 0$. Taking the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (57), since $\beta_k \rightarrow \beta$, we have

$$\mu A^{\alpha_c - 1}(\beta - 7\Lambda A) \leq 0.$$

Since $A < \epsilon < \beta/(7\Lambda)$, it follows that $\beta - 7\Lambda A > 0$ and, since $\mu > 0$, we have $A = 0$. ■

We finally prove Theorem 1.1. We first prove that (10) holds for the sequence $t = L^n$, with $L > L_2$, and then we extend this result.

Lemma 4.3. Consider $L > L_2$ and suppose λ^* and ϵ are given respectively by (55) and (56). Suppose also that hypothesis **(M)** are valid and that $0 < A_0 < \epsilon$, $0 < \mu + |\lambda| < \lambda^*$ and $|\lambda| < \mu$. Then, the unique solution u to (19) satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L^{n(p+1)/d} u(L^{n(p+1)/d} \cdot, L^n) - [\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n]^{-(p+1)/d} f_p^*\| = 0. \quad (58)$$

Proof: From lemmas 3.1 and 4.2 and using that $\|g_n\| < A_n^2$ and $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ in (32), we get

$$A_{n+1} = A_n - \mu\beta_n A_n^{\alpha_c} + O(A_n^{\alpha_c+1}) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore

$$A_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} = A_n^{\alpha_c-1} [1 - \mu\beta_n A_n^{\alpha_c-1} + O(A_n^{\alpha_c})]^{\alpha_c-1} = A_n^{\alpha_c-1} [1 - \mu\beta_n (\alpha_c - 1) A_n^{\alpha_c-1} + O(A_n^{\alpha_c})].$$

Defining $A_n = \nu_n^{-1}$,

$$\nu_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} = \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} [1 - \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta_n A_n^{\alpha_c-1} + O(A_n^{\alpha_c})]^{-1}.$$

Since $\alpha_c \geq 2$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$, for n large enough $|\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta_n A_n^{\alpha_c-1} + O(A_n^{\alpha_c})| < 1$ and

$$\nu_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} - \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} = \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta_n + O(\nu_n^{-1}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (59)$$

It follows from (59) that there is $n_0 > 0$ such that $\nu_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} - \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} > \frac{\mu\beta_*(\alpha_c-1)}{2}$ for all $n > n_0$. Therefore, for $n > 2n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} &= \nu_{n_0}^{\alpha_c-1} + \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \nu_{k+1}^{\alpha_c-1} - \nu_k^{\alpha_c-1} > \nu_{n_0}^{\alpha_c-1} + \frac{\mu\beta_*(\alpha_c-1)(n-n_0)}{2} \\ &> \frac{\mu\beta_*n(\alpha_c-1)}{2} \left(1 - \frac{n_0}{n}\right) > \frac{\mu\beta_*n(\alpha_c-1)}{4} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\nu_n^{-1} = O(n^{\frac{-1}{\alpha_c-1}})$. Using this in (59) we get

$$\nu_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} - \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} = \mu(\alpha_c - 1)(\beta_n - \beta) + \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta + O(n^{\frac{-1}{\alpha_c-1}}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

From Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$\nu_{n+1}^{\alpha_c-1} - \nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} = \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta + O\left(n^{\frac{-1}{\alpha_c-1}}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and $\nu_n^{\alpha_c-1} = \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n + O\left(n^{\frac{\alpha_c-2}{\alpha_c-1}}\right)$ (for $\alpha_c = 2$, we have $O(\ln n)$). Therefore,

$$A_n^{\alpha_c-1} = \left\{ \mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n \left[1 + O\left(n^{\frac{-1}{\alpha_c-1}}\right) \right] \right\}^{-1}.$$

Recalling that $\alpha_c = 1 + d/(p+1)$, we obtain

$$A_n = \left[\frac{1}{\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n} \right]^{(p+1)/d} + O\left(n^{-2/(\alpha_c-1)}\right). \quad (60)$$

We use (60) to get (58). Notice that

$$\left\| L^{\frac{n(p+1)}{d}} u\left(L^{\frac{n(p+1)}{d}} \cdot, L^n\right) - A_n R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* \right\| +$$

$$\frac{1}{[\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n]^{\frac{p+1}{d}}} \|R_{L^n}^0 f_p^* - f_p^*\| + \left| A_n - \frac{1}{[\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n]^{\frac{p+1}{d}}} \right| \|R_{L^n}^0 f_p^*\|$$

is an upper bound for $\|L^{n(p+1)/d} u(L^{n(p+1)/d} \cdot, L^n) - [\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n]^{-(p+1)/d} f_p^*\|$. Then,

since $f_n(x) = L^{n(p+1)/d} u(L^{n(p+1)/d} x, L^n)$, it follows from (16), (31) and (60), that

the above bound is, for large n ,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n} \right]^{\frac{2(p+1)}{d}} + \frac{M}{[\mu(\alpha_c - 1)\beta n]^{\frac{p+1}{d}}} \left| \frac{r(L^n)}{L^{n(p+1)}} \right|^{\frac{1}{d}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2/(\alpha_c-1)}}\right). \quad (61)$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get (58). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We have proved that (10) holds for small f and $t = L^n$

($n = 1, 2, \dots$), for $L > L_2$. Recalling β given by (48) and defining

$$A \equiv \left\{ \mu(\alpha_c - 1) R \left[\frac{p+1}{(2\pi)^d} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \right\}^{-(p+1)/d}$$

it follows from (61) that if $t = L^n$, then $\|t^{(p+1)/d} u(t^{(p+1)/d} \cdot, t) - A(\ln t)^{-(p+1)/d} f_p^*\|$

is bounded by

$$\left[\frac{A}{(\ln t)^{1/(\alpha_c-1)}} \right]^2 + \frac{MA}{(\ln t)^{1/(\alpha_c-1)}} \left| \frac{r(t)}{t^{p+1}} \right|^{\frac{1}{d}} + O\left(\left(\frac{\ln L}{\ln t}\right)^{2/(\alpha_c-1)}\right),$$

where M is the constant in (16). The result is obtained by extending the above

bound as done in the proof of Theorem II.1 in [13]. ■

References

- [1] K. Ishige, T.Kawakami, and K.Kobayashi, “Asymptotics for a nonlinear integral equation with a generalized heat kernel,” *Journal of Evolution Equations*, vol. 14, pp. 749–777, 2014.
- [2] K. Ishige, T.Kawakami, and K.Kobayashi, “Global solutions for a nonlinear integral equation with a generalized heat kernel,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems S*, vol. 7, pp. 767–783, 2014.
- [3] M. Gell-Mann and F. E. Low, “Quantum electrodynamics at small distances,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 95, pp. 1300–1312, 1954.
- [4] H. Fujita, “On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$,” *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect IA*, vol. Math 13, pp. 109–124, 1966.
- [5] H. A. Levine, “The Role of Critical Exponents in Blow-up Theorems,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 32, pp. 262–288, 1990.
- [6] K. Wilson, “Renormalization group and critical phenomena i, ii,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 4, pp. 3174–3183, 3184–3205, 1971.
- [7] L. Chen and N. Goldenfeld, “Numerical renormalization group calculations for similarity solutions and travelling waves,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 51, pp. 5577–5581, 1995.
- [8] N. Goldenfeld, *Lectures on Phase Transition and the Renormalization Group*. Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1992.
- [9] J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, “Renormalizing partial differential equations,” *Constructive Physics*, vol. 446, pp. 83–115, 1995.

- [10] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen and G. Lin, “Renormalization Group and Asymptotics of Solutions of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations,” *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 47, pp. 893–922, 1994.
- [11] G. A. Braga, F. Furtado, J. M. Moreira, and L. T. Rolla, “Renormalization group analysis of nonlinear diffusion equations with time dependent coefficients: Analytical results,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B*, vol. 7, pp. 699–715, 2007.
- [12] G. A. Braga and J. M. Moreira, “Renormalization group analysis of nonlinear diffusion equations with time dependent coefficients and marginal perturbations,” *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 280–295, 2012.
- [13] G. A. Braga, J. M. Moreira and Camila F. Souza, “Asymptotics for Nonlinear Integral Equations with Generalized Heat Kernel and Time Dependent Coefficients Using Renormalization Group Technique,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 60, Issue 1, 013507, 2019.