

A LIONS TYPE RESULT FOR A LARGE CLASS OF ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACE AND APPLICATIONS

CLAUDIANOR O. ALVES* AND MARCOS L. M. CARVALHO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a Lions type result for a large class of Orlicz-Sobolev space that can be nonreflexive and use this result to show the existence of solution for a large class of quasilinear problem on a nonreflexive Orlicz-Sobolev space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, a special attention has been given for quasilinear problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with } N \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where V, f are continuous functions satisfying some technical conditions and $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a C^1 -function. This type of problem appears in a lot of physical applications, such as Nonlinear Elasticity, Plasticity, Generalized Newtonian Fluid, Non-Newtonian Fluid and Plasma Physics. For more details involving this subject see [16], [19] and their references.

We cite the papers of Bonanno, Bisci and Radulescu [7, 8], Cerny [11], Clément, Garcia-Huidobro and Manásevich [12], Donaldson [17], Fuchs and Li [20], Fuchs and Osmolovski [21], Fukagai, Ito and Narukawa [18], Gossez [24], Le and Schmitt [27], Mihailescu and Radulescu [28, 29], Mihailescu and Repovš [31], Mihailescu, Radulescu and Repovš [32], Orlicz [35] and their references, where quasilinear problems like (P) have been considered in bounded and unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^N .

For the particular where $\phi(t) = |t|^{p-2}$ with $1 < p < N$, problem (P) becomes a p -Laplacian problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (P_p)$$

When V is \mathbb{Z}^N periodic, it is easy to check that the energy functional $J : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P_p) given by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)|u|^p) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

is invariant by \mathbb{Z}^N -translation. Have this in mind, in general the main tool used to get a nontrivial critical point to J is the following result due to Lions [26]

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J62, 46E30 .

Key words and phrases. Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces, Variational Methods, Quasilinear Elliptic Problems, Δ_2 -condition, Modular.

C. O. Alves is the corresponding author and he was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 304804/2017-7 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq q < p^*$. If $(u_n) \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a bounded sequence and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_r(y)} |u_n|^q dx = 0,$$

then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (p, p^)$.*

The lemma above is used of the following way: If (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J with $c > 0$, assuming good conditions on f , it is possible to prove that Theorem 1.1 does not hold, and so, there must be $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(y_n)} |u_n|^q dx \geq \beta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

After that, we consider the sequence $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$ that has a nontrivial weak limit, which is in general a nontrivial critical point to J . For more details involving this type of argument we cite Alves, do Ó and Miyagaki [5] and references therein.

If the function ϕ is a general function such that $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)s dx$ is a N-function, problem (P) becomes a quasilinear problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u + V(x)\phi(|u|)u = f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where $\Delta_\Phi u = \operatorname{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$ is the Φ -Laplacian operator. If V is \mathbb{Z}^N periodic, it is also simple to prove that the energy functional $I : W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P), given by

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Phi(|\nabla u|) + V(x)\Phi(|u|)) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

is invariant by \mathbb{Z}^N -translation. In order to repeat the same approach explored for the p -Laplacian problem, it was necessary to establish a version of Theorem 1.1 for the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which was proved by Alves, Figueiredo and Santos [3], and it has the following statement

Theorem 1.2. (A Lions type result for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces)
Assume that ϕ satisfies the following conditions:

i) The function $\phi(t)t$ is increasing in $(0, +\infty)$, that is,

$$(\phi(t)t)' > 0 \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (i)$$

ii) There exist $l, m \in (1, N)$ such that

$$l \leq \frac{\phi(|t|)t^2}{\Phi(t)} \leq m \quad \forall t \neq 0, \quad (ii)$$

where $l \leq m < l^$, $l^* = \frac{lN}{N-l}$ and $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)s dx$. If $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a bounded sequence such that there exists $R > 0$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} \Phi(|u_n|) = 0,$$

then for any N-function B verifying Δ_2 -condition with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(t)}{\Phi(t)} = 0 \quad (B_1)$$

and

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0, \quad (B_2)$$

we have

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^B(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In the lemma above, Φ_* denotes the Sobolev conjugate function of Φ defined by

$$\Phi_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

when

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds = +\infty.$$

In [3], the authors used Theorem 1.2 to establish the existence of nontrivial solution for (P) supposing that V is \mathbb{Z}^N -periodic and f satisfying some technical conditions. The approach explored follows the same lines as in the p -Laplacian case, that is, if (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I with $c > 0$, with good conditions on f , it is possible to find $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(y_n)} \Phi(|u_n|) dx \geq \beta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

After that, the authors consider the sequence $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$ that has a nontrivial weak limit, which is a nontrivial critical point for I .

Here, it is very important to say that items (i) – (ii) in Theorem 1.2 ensure that Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, and so, the space $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is reflexive.

Motivated by the papers cited above, we are led to try to answer the following question: How can we find a solution to problem (P) when $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is nonreflexive? In the present paper we intend to answer this question. The first difficulty is associated with the fact that we cannot use Theorem 1.2, because it works well only in reflexive spaces. Here, we prove the following Lions type result that can be used when $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is nonreflexive.

Theorem 1.3. (A Lions type result) *Let Φ and B be N -functions such that there exists Φ_* and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi(|t|)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi_*(|t|)} = 0.$$

If $(w_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a sequence such that $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|w_n|) dx)$ and $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_(|w_n|) dx)$ are bounded, and for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$(*) \quad \text{mes}([|w_n| > \epsilon]) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|w_n|) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Note that we can apply Theorem 1.3 in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that can be nonreflexive, because in that result Φ or $\tilde{\Phi}$ do not need to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, which is a crucial property to guarantee the reflexivity of $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, Theorem 1.3 improves Theorem 1.2.

Our next result is a Lieb type result for Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that works together with Theorem 1.3 to get a sequence whose the weak limit is nontrivial.

Theorem 1.4. (A Lieb type result) Let $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$ be a N -function and $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx \leq M$. If there are $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{mes}([|u_n| > \epsilon]) \geq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then there is $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $v_n(x) = u_n(x + z_n)$ has a subsequence whose limit in $L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is non trivial.

In order to illustrate how we can use Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, we will study the existence of nontrivial solution to problem (P) assuming that $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function verifying the following conditions:

$$(\phi_1) \quad t \mapsto t\phi(t) \quad \text{is increasing for } t > 0.$$

$$(\phi_2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\phi(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t\phi(t) = +\infty.$$

There are $l, m \in [1, N)$, $l \leq m \leq l^*$, and

$$(\phi_3) \quad l \leq \frac{t^2\phi(t)}{\Phi(t)} \leq m, \quad t > 0.$$

Next, we show some examples of functions Φ that can be considered in the present paper. If $l > 1$, we can consider

$$i) \quad \Phi(t) = |t|^p \quad \text{for } 1 < p < N.$$

$$ii) \quad \Phi(t) = |t|^p + |t|^q \quad \text{for } 1 < p < q < N \quad \text{and } q \in (p, p^*) \quad \text{with } p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}.$$

$$iii) \quad \Phi(t) = (1 + |t|^2)^\gamma - 1 \quad \text{for } \gamma \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2}).$$

$$iv) \quad \Phi(t) = |t|^p \ln(1 + |t|) \quad \text{for } 1 < p_0 < p < N - 1 \quad \text{with } p_0 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4N}}{2}.$$

For the case $l = 1$, a typical example is

$$\Phi(t) = |t| \log(1 + |t|), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here we would like to point out that if $1 < l \leq m < +\infty$, then function Φ and its complementary function $\tilde{\Phi}$ given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) = \max_{t \geq 0} \{st - \Phi(t)\}, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0,$$

satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. It is well known in the literature that $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is reflexive when Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. Thus, in our paper the space $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be nonreflexive, because we are also considering the case $l = 1$.

In what follows, the continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumptions:

$$(f_1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t\phi(t)} = 0.$$

$$(f_2) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{|t|b(|t|)} < +\infty$$

where $b : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous function satisfying:

$$(b_1) \quad 0 < b_1 \leq \frac{t^2 b(t)}{B(t)} \leq b_2, \quad t > 0,$$

where $m < b_1 < b_2 < l^*$ and $B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} sb(s) ds$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a N-function.

There is $\theta > m$ such that

$$(f_3) \quad 0 < \theta F(t) \leq f(t)t, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Finally, related to the potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we assume that it is a continuous \mathbb{Z}^N -perifunction verifying

$$0 < V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x). \quad (V_1)$$

In what follows, we say that $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to (P) whenever

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) uv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Under these conditions our main result involving the existence of nontrivial solution to (P) is the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Assume $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$, (f_1) , (f_2) , (V_1) and (b_1) . Then, problem (P) has a nontrivial solution.*

The theorem above complements to study made in [3, Theorem 1.5], because in that paper Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, while that in our paper, it is not necessary $\tilde{\Phi}$ to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition.

Quasilinear elliptic problems have been considered using different assumptions on the N-function Φ . For example in the papers [9], [10], [13], [28], [29], [30], [33], [34], [36] and [38], the authors assumed that Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, then in those papers the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space. This assertion is used several times in order to get a nontrivial solution for elliptic problems taking into account the weak topology. In the present paper, the main goal is the use of techniques that allow one to deal with problem (P) without assuming the Δ_2 -condition in one of the functions Φ or $\tilde{\Phi}$. This type of problem brings us many difficulties when we intend to apply variational methods directly in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For example, in our paper the energy functional associated with the problem may be not C^1 , then the classical variational cannot be used here. In order to overcome this difficulty we have used the minimax theory developed by Szulkin [39]. Moreover, another important difficulty is associated with the fact that we cannot use the weak topology on the space $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, because it can be nonreflexive.

In recent years many researchers have studied the non-reflexive case. For example, in [22], García-Huidobro, Khoi, Manásevich and Schmitt have considered existence of solution for the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\Phi} u = \lambda \Psi(u), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain, $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a N-function and $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying some others technical conditions. In that paper, the authors have studied the situation where Φ does not satisfy the well known Δ_2 -condition. More precisely, in the first part of that paper the authors consider the function

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(t) = (e^{t^2} - 1)/2, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

More recently, Bocea and Mihăilescu [6] made a careful study about the eigenvalues of the problem

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(e^{|\nabla u|^2} \nabla u) - \Delta u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

After that, Silva, Gonçalves and Silva [14] considered existence of multiple solutions for a class of problem like (1.1). In that paper the Δ_2 -condition is not also assumed and the main tool used was the truncation of the nonlinearity together with a minimization procedure for the energy functional associated to the quasilinear elliptic problem (1.1).

In [15], Silva, Carvalho, Silva and Gonçalves study a class of problem (1.1) where the energy functional satisfies the mountain pass geometry and the N-function $\tilde{\Phi}$ does not satisfies the Δ_2 -condition and has a polynomial growth. Still related to the mountain geometry, in [2], Alves, Silva and Pimenta also considered the problem (1.1) for a large class of function Ψ , but supposing that the N-function Φ has an exponential growth like (1.2).

2. BASICS ON ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

In this section we recall some properties of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, which can be found in [1, 37]. First of all, we recall that a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a N-function if:

- (i) Φ is convex.
- (ii) $\Phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = +\infty$.
- (iv) Φ is even.

We say that a N-function Φ verifies the Δ_2 -condition, if

$$\Phi(2t) \leq K\Phi(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for some constant $K > 0$. For instance, it can be shown that $\Phi(t) = |t|^p/p$ for $p > 1$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, while $\Phi(t) = (e^{t^2} - 1)/2$ does not satisfy it.

In what follows, fixed an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a N-function Φ , we define the Orlicz space associated with Φ as

$$L^\Phi(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) dx < +\infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \right\}.$$

The space $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the Luxemburg norm given by

$$\|u\|_\Phi = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The complementary function $\tilde{\Phi}$ associated with Φ is given by its Legendre's transformation, that is,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) = \max_{t \geq 0} \{st - \Phi(t)\}, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

The functions Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are complementary each other and satisfy the inequality below

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\Phi'(t)) \leq \Phi(2t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Moreover, we also have a Young type inequality given by

$$(2.2) \quad st \leq \Phi(t) + \tilde{\Phi}(s), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Using the above inequality, it is possible to prove a Hölder type inequality, that is,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq 2 \|u\|_{\Phi} \|v\|_{\tilde{\Phi}}, \quad \forall u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall v \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

Another important function related to function Φ , it is the Sobolev conjugate function Φ_* of Φ defined by

$$\Phi_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

when

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds = +\infty.$$

The corresponding Orlicz-Sobolev space is defined by

$$W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi} + \|u\|_{\Phi}.$$

The space $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is defined as the weak* closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Here we refer the readers to the important works [23, 24]. The spaces $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive, when Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition.

If $|\Omega| < +\infty$, $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. When $|\Omega| = +\infty$, $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. In any one of these cases, $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is the dual space of $E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$, while $L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ is the dual space of $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ are separable spaces and any continuous linear functional $M : E^{\Phi}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the form

$$M(v) = \int_{\Omega} v(x)g(x) dx \quad \text{for some } g \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

We recall that if Φ verifies Δ_2 -condition, we then have $E^{\Phi}(\Omega) = L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$.

$W^1 E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is defined analogously and it is also separable. Moreover, the Banach space $W_0^1 E^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Next we state a very important lemma due to Donaldson [17, Proposition 1.1] that will be use later on.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that Φ is a N -function. If $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a bounded sequence, then there are a subsequence of (u_n) , still denoted by itself, and $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$u_n \xrightarrow{*} u \quad \text{in } W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n v dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} uv dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} w dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} w dx, \quad \forall v, w \in E^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

As an immediate consequence of the last lemma is the following result that applies an important role in our work.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that Φ is a N -function. If $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a bounded sequence with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{loc}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$.*

The lemma just above is crucial when the space $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is not reflexive, for example if $\Phi(t) = |t| \log(1 + |t|)$. However, if $\Phi(t) = |t|^p/p$ and $p > 1$, the above lemma is not necessary since Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, and so, $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is reflexive. Here we would like to point out that the condition (ϕ_3) ensures that Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ verify the Δ_2 -condition when $l > 1$, for more details see Fukagai and Narukawa [18].

In [18], it is proved the following result

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$ hold and let $\xi_0(t) = \min\{t^l, t^m\}$, $\xi_1(t) = \max\{t^l, t^m\}$, for all $t \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\xi_0(\rho)\Phi(t) \leq \Phi(\rho t) \leq \xi_1(\rho)\Phi(t) \quad \text{for } \rho, t \geq 0$$

and

$$\xi_0(\|u\|_\Phi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|) dx \leq \xi_1(\|u\|_\Phi) \quad \text{for } u \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$ hold and let $\xi_2(t) = \min\{t^{l^*}, t^{m^*}\}$, $\xi_3(t) = \max\{t^{l^*}, t^{m^*}\}$, for all $t \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\xi_2(\rho)\Phi_*(t) \leq \Phi_*(\rho t) \leq \xi_3(\rho)\Phi_*(t) \quad \text{for } \rho, t \geq 0$$

and

$$\xi_2(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|u|) dx \leq \xi_3(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}) \quad \text{for } u \in L_{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The next lemma is a technical result that will be used later on. It will be important in our approach, because we are only supposing that Φ is a N-function.

Lemma 2.5. *(Almost weak converge in $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$) Let $(w_n) \subset L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence with $w_n(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Then, $w \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_n v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w v dx, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof. To begin with, we will prove that $w \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $\|w_n\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$, we have $w_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $w = 0$, finishing the proof.

In what follows, we will assume that $\|w_n\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)} \not\rightarrow 0$, consequently for some subsequence, still denoted by (w_n) ,

$$\|w_n\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\|w_n\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow \alpha > 0.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi\left(\frac{|w_n|}{\|w_n\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)}}\right) dx \leq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

the Fatou's Lemma leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi\left(\frac{|w|}{\alpha}\right) dx \leq 1,$$

from where it follows that $w \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, for a fixed $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we set $\Omega = \text{supp}(v)$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Omega_k = \{x \in \Omega : \forall n \geq k, |w_n(x) - w(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

Since $w_n(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , a simple computation gives

$$\text{mes}(\Omega_k) \rightarrow \text{mes}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mes}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, let us fix k such that $\|v\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k)} < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}$, where

$$M = \max \left\{ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|w_n\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)}, \|w\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)} \right\}.$$

Using this information, we find

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w_n v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} w v \, dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega_k} |w_n - w| |v| \, dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By definition of Ω_k , for $n \geq k$ we have

$$|w_n(x) - w(x)| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_k.$$

Hence by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega_k} |w_n - w| |v| \, dx = 0.$$

Thus, there is $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w_n v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} w v \, dx \right| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

as asserted. □

Before concluding this section, we are going to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi(|t|)} = 0,$$

given $\tau > 0$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$B(|t|) \leq \frac{\tau}{3M} \Phi(|t|), \quad \forall t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon],$$

where $M := \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|w_n|) \, dx$. Moreover, as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(|t|)}{\Phi_*(|t|)} = 0,$$

there is $T > 0$ such that

$$B(|t|) \leq \frac{\tau}{3M^*} \Phi_*(|t|), \quad \forall |t| > T,$$

where $M^* := \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|w_n|) \, dx$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|w_n|) \, dx \leq \left(\int_{|w_n| \leq \epsilon} + \int_{\epsilon < |w_n| \leq T} + \int_{|w_n| > T} \right) B(|w_n|) \, dx \leq \frac{2\tau}{3} + B(T) \text{mes}(|w_n| > \epsilon).$$

Now, the theorem follows using the fact that τ is arbitrary and that $\text{mes}(|w_n| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

The main goal of this section is to show a Lieb type result for a large class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, without assuming the Δ_2 -condition. A version of Lieb type result for Sobolev space can be found in Kavian [25, 6.2 Lemme].

The first lemma this section is a technical result that is a key point in the proof of the Lieb type result for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$ be a N -function and $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \leq M$. Then, there is $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ that depends on u and $C_0 > 0$ that does not depend on u and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\left(2 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1}\right)^N \text{mes}[K(y_0) \cap \text{supp}(u)] \geq C_0,$$

where $K(z) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(z_i - \frac{1}{2}, z_i + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. First of all we claim that there is $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) \chi_{K(y_0)} dx < \left(1 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) \chi_{K(y_0)} dx,$$

where $\chi_{K(y_0)}$ is the characteristic function associated with the set $K(y_0)$.

Otherwise, we must have

$$M \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx \geq \left(1 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx > M,$$

which is impossible.

Claim 3.2. $\Phi(|u/2|) \in W^{1,1}(K(y_0))$.

Indeed, since Φ is increasing

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \leq \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|u|) dx < +\infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{K(y_0)} |\nabla \Phi(|u/2|)| dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi'(|u/2|) |\nabla u| dx.$$

By Young's inequality

$$\int_{K(y_0)} |\nabla \Phi(|u/2|)| dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K(y_0)} \tilde{\Phi}(\Phi'(|u/2|)) dx.$$

Recalling that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\Phi'(t)) \leq \Phi(2t), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

we get

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{K(y_0)} |\nabla \Phi(|u/2|)| dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|u|) dx.$$

The claim follows from (3.2) and (3.3).

Now, using the continuous Sobolev embedding $W^{1,1}(K(y_0)) \hookrightarrow L^{1^*}(K(y_0))$ where $1^* = \frac{N}{N-1}$, there is $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \|w\|_{L^{1^*}(K(y_0))} dx \leq \int_{K(y_0)} (|\nabla w| + |w|) dx, \quad \forall w \in W^{1,1}(K(y_0)).$$

Hence

(3.4)

$$C_1 \left(\int_{K(y_0)} |\Phi(|u/2|)|^{1^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1^*}} \leq \int_{K(y_0)} (|\nabla(\Phi(|u/2|))| + |\Phi(|u/2|)|) dx, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

From (3.1)-(3.4),

$$C_1 \left(\int_{K(y_0)} |\Phi(u/2)|^{1^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1^*}} \leq \left(2 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1} \right) \int_{K(y_0)} \Phi(|u/2|) dx,$$

leading to

$$C_1 \leq \left(2 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1} \right) \text{mes}[K(y_0) \cap \text{supp}(u)]^{\frac{1}{N}},$$

that is,

$$C_0 \leq \left(2 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u/2|) dx \right)^{-1} \right)^N \text{mes}[K(y_0) \cap \text{supp}(u)].$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. To begin with, we will apply Lemma 3.1 for function $(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2})^+$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right) dx \geq \int_{|u_n| > \epsilon} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right) dx \geq \Phi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) \text{mes}[|u_n| > \epsilon] \geq \Phi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) \delta,$$

from where it follows that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right) dx \right)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\Phi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) \delta}.$$

Since

$$C_0 \leq \left(2 + M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right) dx \right)^{-1} \right)^N \text{mes} \left[K(y_n) \cap \text{supp} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right],$$

we get

$$C_0 \leq \left(2 + M \frac{1}{\Phi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) \delta} \right)^N \text{mes} \left[K(y_n) \cap \text{supp} \left(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^+ \right].$$

On the other hand, as $\text{supp}(|u_n| - \frac{\epsilon}{2})^+ = [|u_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$, we derive that

$$\text{mes}[K(y_n) \cap [|u_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}]] \geq C_2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $C_2 > 0$. Now, using the fact that there is $z_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$K(y_n) \subset \tilde{K}(z_n) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(z_{n,i} - \frac{3}{2}, z_{n,i} + \frac{3}{2} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\tilde{K}(0)} \Phi(|v_n|) dx \geq \int_{K(y_n) \cap [|u_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}]} \Phi(|u_n|) dx \geq \Phi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) \text{mes}[K(y_n) \cap [|u_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}]]$$

that is,

$$\int_{\tilde{K}(0)} \Phi(|v_n|) dx \geq \Phi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) C_2 = C_3 > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As (v_n) is bounded, the compact embedding $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^\Phi(\tilde{K}(0))$ ensures that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^\Phi(\tilde{K}(0))$ for some subsequence. Thus,

$$\int_{\tilde{K}(0)} \Phi(|v|) dx \geq C_3 > 0,$$

showing that $v \neq 0$, as asserted. \square

4. TECHNICAL RESULTS

The energy functional $I : W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P) given by

$$(4.1) \quad I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(|u|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

is well defined. The functional $\mathcal{F} : W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

belongs to $C^1(W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\mathcal{F}'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Related to the functional $Q : W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(4.2) \quad Q(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \Phi(|u|) dx,$$

we know that it is strictly convex and l.s.c. with respect to the weak* topology. Moreover, $Q \in C^1(W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ when Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. Therefore, in our case when $l = 1$, we cannot guarantee that $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. From this, the functional I is not C^1 when $\ell = 1$.

From the above commentaries, in the present paper we will use a minimax method developed by Szulkin [39]. In this sense, we will say that $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point for I if $0 \in \partial I(u) = \partial Q(u) - \mathcal{F}'(u)$. Then $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of I if, and only if, $\mathcal{F}'(u) \in \partial Q(u)$, what, since Q is convex, is equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad Q(v) - Q(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)(v - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of I in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then u is a weak solution to (P) .*

Proof. Since Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, we claim that Q is Gâteaux differentiable, that is, $\frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v}$ exists for all $u, v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) uv dx.$$

Indeed, for each $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $t \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\Phi(|\nabla u + t\nabla v|) - \Phi(|\nabla u|) = t\phi(|\nabla u + st\nabla v|)(\nabla u + st\nabla v)\nabla v,$$

for some $s \in (0, 1)$. Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(|\nabla u + t\nabla v|) - \Phi(|\nabla u|)}{t} \right| = \phi(|\nabla u + st\nabla v|)|\nabla u + st\nabla v||\nabla v|.$$

Since Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, by Young inequality (2.2) and (2.1), there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\phi(|\nabla u + st\nabla v|)|\nabla u + st\nabla v||\nabla v| \leq C\Phi(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) + \Phi(|\nabla v|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

A similar argument works to show that

$$\phi(|u + stv|)|u + stv||v| \leq C\Phi(|u| + |v|) + \Phi(|v|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Now, by using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we derive that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{Q(u + tv) - Q(u)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)\phi(|u|)uv) dx,$$

showing (4.4).

Recalling that the functional $\mathcal{F} : W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

belongs to $C^1(W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\mathcal{F}'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

it follows that I is Gâteaux differentiable with

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial I(u)}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v} - \mathcal{F}'(u)v, \quad \forall u, v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial I(u)}{\partial v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|u|)uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

As u is a critical point of I , it follows that

$$Q(w) - Q(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)(w - u) dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Thus, for each $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $t > 0$,

$$\frac{Q(u + tv) - Q(u)}{t} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx.$$

Taking the limit when $t \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\frac{\partial Q(u)}{\partial v} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|u|)uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The last inequality ensures that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|u|)uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

finishing the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The functional I satisfies the mountain pass geometry, that is,*

(i) *There exist $\rho, \eta > 0$, such that $I(u) \geq \eta$, if $\|u\| = \rho$.*

(ii) *For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, $I(t\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \mapsto +\infty$.*

Proof. (i) From assumptions $(f_1) - (f_3)$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \leq F(t) \leq \frac{\epsilon m}{\theta} \Phi(|t|) + C_\epsilon B(|t|) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,

$$I(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|) dx + \left(V_0 - \frac{\epsilon m}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|) dx - C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u|) dx$$

Hence, for ϵ small enough,

$$J(u) \geq C_1 (\xi_0(\|\nabla u\|_\Phi) + \xi_0(\|u\|_\Phi)) - C_2 \xi_3(\|u\|_B).$$

Choosing $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi + \|u\|_\Phi = \rho < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_B \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_\Phi + \|u\|_\Phi) < \rho < 1,$$

we obtain

$$I(u) \geq C_1(\|\nabla u\|_\Phi^m + \|u\|_\Phi^m) - C_2\|u\|_B^{b_1},$$

which yields

$$I(u) \geq C_3\|u\|^m - C_4\|u\|^{b_1},$$

for some positive constants C_3 and C_4 . Since $0 < m < b_1$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$I(u) \geq \eta \quad \text{for all } \|u\| = \rho.$$

(ii) From (f_3) , there exist $C_5, C_6 > 0$ such that

$$F(t) \geq C_5|t|^\theta - C_6, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Fixing $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, the last inequality leads to

$$I(t\psi) \leq \xi_1(t)(\xi_1(\|\nabla \psi\|_\Phi) + \|V\|_\infty \xi_1(\|\psi\|_\Phi)) - C_5 t^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi|^\theta dx + C_6 \text{supp } \psi.$$

Thus, for t sufficient large,

$$I(t\psi) \leq t^m(\xi_1(\|\nabla \psi\|_\Phi) + \|V\|_\infty \xi_1(\|\psi\|_\Phi)) - C_5 t^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi|^\theta dx + C_6 \text{supp } \psi.$$

Since $m < \theta$, the result follows. \square

The last lemma permits to apply a version of the Mountain Pass Theorem found in [4, Theorem 3.1] to guarantee the existence of a (PS) sequence $(v_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for I associated with the mountain pass level of I , denoted by d , that is, $I(v_n) \rightarrow d > 0$ and there is $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{R} such that

$$(4.7) \quad Q(w) - Q(v_n) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n)(w - v_n) dx - \tau_n \|w - v_n\|,$$

for all $w \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Any (PS) sequence for I is bounded.*

Proof. First of all, we recall that (u_n) is a (PS) sequence for I when there are $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{R} such that $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and

$$Q(v) - Q(u_n) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)(v - u_n) dx - \tau_n \|v - u_n\|,$$

for all $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As Q is Gâteaux differentiable, it follows that $\frac{\partial I(u_n)}{\partial u_n} = o_n(1)\|u_n\|$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|u_n|)|u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx = o_n(1)\|u_n\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$C + o_n(1)\|u_n\| \geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial I(u_n)}{\partial u_n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (f_3) ,

$$\begin{aligned} C + o_n(1)\|u_n\| &\geq \min\{1, V_0\} \left(\frac{\theta - m}{\theta} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + \Phi(|u_n|)) dx \\ &\geq \min\{1, V_0\} \left(\frac{\theta - m}{\theta} \right) \left[\xi_0(\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi) + \xi_0(\|u_n\|_\Phi) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Seeking for a contradiction, we assume that for some subsequence, $\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$. This way, we need to study the following situations:

- a) $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$,
 - b) $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|u_n\|_\Phi$ is bounded,
- and
- c) $\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi$ is bounded and $\|u_n\|_\Phi \rightarrow +\infty$.

In the first case, the Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$C + o_n(1)\|u_n\| \geq C_1 \left[\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^l + \|u_n\|_\Phi^l \right] \geq C_2 \|u_n\|^l.$$

for n large enough, which is absurd, because $l \geq 1$ and $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$.

In case b), we have for n large enough

$$C_3 + o_n(1)\|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi \geq C_2 \|\nabla u_n\|_\Phi^l,$$

which is absurd. The last case is similar to the case b). □

Lemma 4.4. *If (u_n) is a (PS) sequence for I with $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there is a subsequence of (u_n) , still denoted by itself, such that*

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. By Sobolev embedding, the embedding $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^1(B_R(0))$ is compact for every $R > 0$. Thus, for some subsequence of (u_n) , still denoted by itself,

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Given $R > 0$, let us consider $\xi = \xi_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \xi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_R(0) \text{ and } \text{supp}(\xi) \subset B_{2R}(0).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{B_R(0)} (\phi(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n - \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dx + \\ &\quad \int_{B_R(0)} V(x) (\phi(|u_n|) u_n - \phi(|u|) u) (u_n - u) dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2R}(0)} (\phi(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n - \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \xi dx + \\ &\quad \int_{B_{2R}(0)} V(x) (\phi(|u_n|) u_n - \phi(|u|) u) (u_n - u) \xi dx \\ &= \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \phi(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \xi dx - \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \xi dx + \\ &\quad \int_{B_{2R}(0)} V(x) (\phi(|u_n|) u_n - \phi(|u|) u) (u_n - u) \xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

As Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition and (u_n) is bounded, it follows that $(\xi(u_n - u))$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thereby, $\frac{\partial I(u_n)}{\partial(\xi(u_n - u))} = o_n(1)$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n \nabla (\xi(u_n - u)) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u_n|) u_n (\xi(u_n - u)) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) (\xi(u_n - u)) dx = o_n(1).$$

Now, it is enough to repeat the same argument explored in [3, Lemma 4.3] to conclude that for some subsequence

$$\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

□

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

By the previous section there exists a $(PS)_d$ sequence $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for I , where d is the mountain pass level. Since (u_n) is bounded, we can assume that for some subsequence, there is $u \in L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Corollary 2.2, we derive that $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, recalling that $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right), \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u_n|) |u_n|^2 dx \right), \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) dx \right)$ and $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u_n|) dx \right)$ are bounded, the identity (2.1) ensures that $(\phi(|\nabla u_n|) |\nabla u_n|)$ and $(\phi(|u_n|) |u_n|)$ are bounded sequences in $L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Gathering these information, we can apply the Lemma 2.5 with Φ replaced by $\tilde{\Phi}$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi(|\nabla u_n|) \nabla u_n \nabla v + V(x) \phi(|u_n|) u_n v) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v + V(x) \phi(|u|) u v) dx, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Now, using the fact that the embeddings of $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^B(B_R(0))$ and $L^\Phi(B_R(0))$ are compact for every $R > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v dx, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The last two limits yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) uv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Now, the fact that $\phi(|\nabla u|) |\nabla u|, \phi(|u|) |u| \in L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $f(u) \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ together with the fact that $\overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\|\cdot\|} = W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u|) uv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

that is, u is a critical point of I in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and so, u is a weak solution of (P) .

In this point we have the following question: Is u nontrivial? If the answer is yes, we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.5. Otherwise, we must work more a little, and in this case, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are crucial in our approach. Indeed, we claim that the sequence (u_n) does not satisfy the condition $(*)$ in Theorem 1.3, otherwise we must have the convergence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|) \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

that together with (f_1) and (ϕ_3) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) u_n \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|) |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u_n|) |u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) u_n \, dx + o_n(1),$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|) |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(|u_n|) |u_n|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

The last limit combines with (ϕ_3) to give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) + \Phi(|u_n|)) \, dx \rightarrow 0,$$

and so, by Δ_2 -condition,

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which is absurd, because $I(u_n) \rightarrow d > 0$, see (4.7).

From this, there are $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{mes}(|u_n| > \epsilon) \geq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Theorem 1.4, there is $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $w_n(x) = u_n(x + z_n)$ has a nontrivial limit $w \in L_{loc}^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.2, $w \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, fixed $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla w_n|) \nabla w_n \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|w_n|) w_n v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w_n) v \, dx + o_n(1).$$

Arguing as above, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla w|) \nabla w \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|w|) w v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

showing that w is a nontrivial weak solution to (P) .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ADAMS AND J.F. FOURNIER, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press (2003). 6
- [2] C.O. ALVES, E. D. SILVA AND M. T. O. PIMENTA, *Existence of solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic problem without Δ_2 -condition*, Analysis and Applications 17 (2019), 665-688 6
- [3] C.O. ALVES AND G.M. FIGUEIREDO AND J. A. SANTOS, *Strauss and Lions type results for a class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications*. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 44 (2014), 435-456 2, 3, 5, 16
- [4] C.O. ALVES, D. C. DE MORAIS FILHO, *Existence of concentration of positive solutions for a Schrödinger logarithmic equation*, Z Angew Math Phys, 2018, 69:144 14
- [5] C.O. ALVES, J.M. DO Ó AND O.H. MIYAGAKI, *On perturbations of a class of a periodic m -Laplacian equation with critical growth*, Nonl. Anal. 45 (2001) 849 – 863 2
- [6] M. BOCEA AND M. MIHĂILESCU, *Eigenvalue problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces for rapidly growing operators in divergence form*, J. Diff. Equations 256 (2014), 640-657. 6
- [7] G. BONANNO, G.M. Bisci AND V. RADULESCU, *Quasilinear elliptic non-homogeneous Dirichlet problems through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Nonl. Anal. 75 (2012), 4441-4456. 1
- [8] G. BONANNO, G. M. Bisci AND V. RADULESCU, *Arbitrarily small weak solutions for a nonlinear eigenvalue problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Monatshefte für Mathematik 165 (2012), 305-318. 1
- [9] M. L. M CARVALHO, J. V. GONCALVES AND E. D. DA SILVA, *On quasilinear elliptic problems without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 426 (2015), 466-483. 5
- [10] M.L.M. CARVALHO, E.D. SILVA, J. V.A. GONÇALVES AND C. GOULART, *Critical elliptic problems using Concave-concave nonlinearities*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2019), 693-726. 5
- [11] R. CERNÝ, *Generalized Moser-Trudinger inequality for unbounded domains and its application*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. DOI 10.1007/s00030-011-0143-0. 1
- [12] PH. CLÉMENT, M. GARCIA-HUIDOBRO, R. MANÁSEVICH AND K. SCHMITT, *Mountain pass type solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Calc. Var. 11 (2000), 33-62. 1
- [13] N. T. CHUNG AND H. Q. TOAN, *On a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problem without (A-R) type condition in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Appl. Math. Comp. 219 (2013), 7820-7829. 5
- [14] E. D. DA SILVA, J. V.A. GONÇALVES AND K. O. SILVA, *On strongly nonlinear eigenvalue problems in the framework on nonreflexive Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, arXiv 1610.02662v1. 6
- [15] E.D. DA SILVA, M. L. M. CARVALHO, K. SILVA AND J. V.A. GONÇALVES, *Quasilinear elliptic problems on non-reflexive Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2019), 587–612 6
- [16] E. DiBenedetto, *$C^{1,\gamma}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 7, no. 8, (1985) 827-850. 1
- [17] T. DONALDSON, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in Orlicz- Sobolev spaces*, J. Diff. Equations 10 (1971), 507-528. 1, 7
- [18] N. FUKAGAI, M. ITO AND K. NARUKAWA, *Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N* , Funkcial. Ekvac. 49 (2006), 235-267. 1, 8
- [19] N. FUKAGAI AND K. NARUKAWA, *On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186, no. 3, (2007) 539-564. 1
- [20] M. FUCHS AND G. LI, *Variational inequalities for energy functionals with nonstandard growth conditions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), 405-412. 1
- [21] M. FUCHS AND V. OSMOLOVSKI, *Variational integrals on Orlicz Sobolev spaces*. Z. Anal. Anwendungen 17, 393-415 (1998) 6. 1
- [22] M. GARCÍA-HUIDOBRO, L. V. KHOI, R. MANÁSEVICH AND K. SCHMITT, *On principal eigenvalues for quasilinear elliptic differential operators: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, Nonlinear Differ. Equat. Appl. 6 (1999), 207- 225. 5
- [23] J.P. GOSSEZ, *Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications. Leipzig: BSB B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft (1979), 59-94. <<http://eudml.org/doc/220389>>. 7
- [24] J.P. GOSSEZ, *Nonlinear Elliptic boundary value problems for equations with rapidly(or slowly) increasing coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 163-205. 1, 7
- [25] O. KAVIAN, *Introduction a la Theorie Des Points Critiques: Et Applications Aux Problemes Elliptiques*, Springer, Heidelberg 1993. 10
- [26] P.L. LIONS, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), 223-283. 1
- [27] V.K. LE AND K. SCHMITT, *Quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities with rapidly growing coefficients* J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000) 852-872 1

- [28] M. MIHAILESCU AND V. RĂDULESCU, *Nonhomogeneous Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008), 401-406. [1](#), [5](#)
- [29] M. MIHAILESCU AND V. RĂDULESCU, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a quasilinear non-homogeneous problems: An Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007), 416-432. [1](#), [5](#)
- [30] M. MIHAILESCU AND D. REPOVŠ, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 6624-6632. [5](#)
- [31] M. MIHAILESCU AND D. REPOVS, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 6624-6632. [1](#)
- [32] M. MIHAILESCU, V. RADULESCU AND D. REPOVS, *On a non-homogeneous eigenvalue problem involving a potential: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, J. Math. Pures Appliquées 93 (2010), 132-148. [1](#)
- [33] V. MUSTONEN AND M. TIENARI, *An eigenvalue problem for generalized Laplacian in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, 129A (1999), 153-163. [5](#)
- [34] D. MUGNAI AND N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Wang's multiplicity result for superlinear (p, q) -equations without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), 4919-4937. [5](#)
- [35] W. ORLICZ, *Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen*, Studia Math. 3 (1931), 200-211 [1](#)
- [36] V. RĂDULESCU AND D. REPOVŠ, *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents*, Variational methods and qualitative analysis, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (2015). [5](#)
- [37] M.N. RAO AND Z.D. REN, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1985). [6](#)
- [38] Z. TAN AND F. FANG, *Orlicz-Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizer and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402 (2013), 348-370. [5](#)
- [39] A. SZULKIN, *Minimax principle for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 3 (1986), 77-109. [5](#), [12](#)

(Claudianor O. Alves)
 UNIDADE ACADÊMICA DE MATEMÁTICA
 UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CAMPINA GRANDE
 E-MAIL: COALVES@MAT.UFCG.EDU.BR
 58429-970, CAMPINA GRANDE - PB, BRAZIL

(Marcos L.M. Carvalho)
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA
 UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE GOIAS
 E-MAIL: MARCOS_LEANDRO_CARVALHO@UFG.BR
 74001-970, GOIÂNIA, GO, BRAZIL