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#### Abstract

In this article we study homotopes of finite-dimensional algebras (not necessarily, associative). In the case of associative algebras we study homotopes by methods of Category theory and give description of so-called well-tempered elements of finite-dimensional associative algebra in algebraic terms.


## 1 Introduction

Non-associative algebras is a branch of algebra which is far from complete understanding even in finite-dimensional case. There are many examples of applications of non-associative algebras in many branches of math and physics: Lie algebras, Jordan algebras etc. Important concepts for studying of non-associative algebras are isotopy and homotopy of algebras. Isotopy and homotopy were introduced by Albert (see [1]) and intensively studied by many investigators (see [8], [17], [13] etc).

Isotopy permits us to deform of multiplication structure of algebras. Namely, consider two algebras $\left(A, m_{A}\right)$ and $\left(B, m_{B}\right)$ with multiplication laws $m_{A}$ and $m_{B}$ respectively. Homotopy (isotopy) of algebras $A$ and $B$ is a three linear (bijective linear) maps $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}: A \rightarrow B$ such that $f_{3}\left(m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right)=m_{B}\left(f_{1}\left(a_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)\right)$. Of course, the concept of homotopy(isotopy) is a generalization of the concept of homomorphism (isomorphism) of algebras.

One of the interesting partial cases of homotopy is a concept $a$-homotope of algebra. Consider algebra $(A, m)$ and fix element $a \in A$. Define new multiplication laws $L_{a}(m)$ and $R_{a}(m)$ by formulas: $L_{a}(m)(x, y)=m(x, m(a, y))$ and $R_{a}(m)(x, y)=m(m(x, a), y)$ respectively. Algebras $\left(A, L_{a}(m)\right)$ and $\left(A, R_{a}(m)\right)$ are called left and right homotopes of $A$ with respect to

[^0]element $a$. Also, there are many generalizations of the notion $a$-homotope in partial cases: Jordan algebras, alternative algebras etc.

In our work we will consider $a$-homotopes of associative algebras. Of course, if algebra $(A, m)$ is associative then $L_{a}(m)=R_{a}(m)$ for any element $a \in A$. Denote by $A_{a}$ the homotope of $A$ with respect to element $a$. Adding unit element to $A_{a}$ externally, we get augmented homotope $\widehat{A}_{a}$.

Augmented homotopes play important role in Quantum Information Theory. Firstly, recall that one of important notion of Quantum Information Theory is mutually unbiased bases. These bases were introduced by Schwinger (cf. [25]) and used in construction of quantum protocol BB84 (see [3]). Two orthonormal bases $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ in Hermitian vector space are mutually unbiased if $\left|\left(e_{i}, f_{j}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{n}$ for any $i, j$. One of the hardest problem of Quantum Information Theory is a classification of MUBs. This problem is completely solved only if $n \leq 5$.

Explain the role of augmented homotopes in the problem of classification of MUBs. For this purpose, recall the notion of reduced Temperley-Lieb algebra (see [27], 6]). Let $\Gamma$ be a simply-laced graph. Reduced Temperley-Lieb algebra $B_{r}(\Gamma)$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}\left[r, r^{-1}\right]$ with generators $x_{v}$ labeled by vertices of $\Gamma$. These generators subject to the following relations: $x_{v}^{2}=x_{v}, x_{v} x_{w}=x_{w} x_{v}=0$ if $(v, w)$ is not an edge in $\Gamma$ and $x_{v} x_{w} x_{v}=r x_{v}, x_{w} x_{v} x_{w}=r x_{w}$ if $(v, w)$ is an edge in $\Gamma$. Consider complete bipartite graph $K_{n, n}$. In this case classifications of $n$ dimensional representations of $B_{r}\left(K_{n, n}\right)$ is a "complexification" of the problem of classification of MUB's in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In [6] algebra $B_{r}(\Gamma)$ is intensively studied and, in particular, it was shown that algebra $B_{r}(\Gamma)$ is a homotope of path algebra of graph $\Gamma$ with respect to laplacian $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$. Using general theory developed in [6], it was shown the existence of four-dimensional family of MUBs in dimension 6 (cf. [7]) and description of one-dimensional family in algebraic terms in dimension 7 (see [14], [15]).

This work was motivated by the following concept of homotopes of associative algebras. Recall the notion well-tempered elements [6]. Let $A$ be a unital associative algebra $A$. Fix element $x \in A$. Consider augmented homotope $\widehat{A}_{x}$. One can construct two homomorphisms of unital algebras: $\psi_{i}: \widehat{A}_{x} \rightarrow A, i=1,2$ defined by rules: $\psi_{1}: a \mapsto a x$ and $\psi_{2}: a \mapsto x a$. We will say that $x$ is a well-tempered if $x$ subject to the following conditions:

1. $A$ is a projective left and right $\widehat{A}_{x}$-module, where structure of left (resp. right) $\widehat{A}_{x}$ module is obtained from $\psi_{1}$ (resp. $\psi_{2}$ )
2. $A x A=A$.

In [6] it was proven that if $x$ is well-tempered then abelian categories $\mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$ are full subcategories of $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{x}}-\operatorname{Mod}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod}, \widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{x}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$ are in recollement situation in the sense of [18], [22]. This situation permits to study $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{x}}-\bmod$ in terms of $A-\bmod$. In particular, one can get the estimation of global homological dimension of $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{x}-\bmod$ in terms of global dimension of $\mathrm{A}-\bmod$.

Main result of this article is the following statement:
Theorem 1. Consider finite-dimensional associative algebra $A$ and element $x \in A$ such that $A x A=A$ then $x$ is well-tempered element of $A$.

Note that if $A$ is a finite-dimensional algebra and $A$ is left and right projective $\widehat{A}_{x}$ - module, then $A x A=A$. Thus, we get the complete description of well-tempered elements in terms of two-sided ideals of the algebra $A$. Also, note the following property of non-well-tempered elements: if $x$ is not well-tempered element then global homological dimension of $\widehat{A}_{x}$ if $x$ is infinite.

Our article is organized as follows. There are two sections of the article. Firstly, we recall the concepts of homotopy and isotopy of algebras. We remind the classification of Bruck and using Popov's result [23] we get that generic algebra is left-simple and right-simple. Further, we recall the notion of $a$-homotope and formulate some results on it. Second chapter is devoted to study well-tempered elements in finite-dimensional case. In particular, proof of the main theorem is in this section. Last parts of this chapter are devoted to commutative algebras. In this case the notion of well-tempered elements is trivial. Thus, we consider homotopes of infinite-dimensional noetherian algebras with respect to non-well-tempered element. In this case $A-\operatorname{Mod}$ is not a full subcategory of $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{x}}-\operatorname{Mod}$, but there is a common full subcategory of $\mathrm{A}-\bmod$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{x}}-\bmod$.
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## 2 Homotopy of algebras: previous remarks.

### 2.1 Isotopy of algebras. Generic algebras.

Firstly, recall the notion of homotopy and isotopy of algebras.
Fix $d$-dimensional vector space $V$ over algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. It is easy that tensor $m \in \mathcal{M}=V^{*} \otimes V^{*} \otimes V=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(V \otimes V, V)$ defines multiplication law. Denote by $(V, m)$ the algebra with fixed multiplication law $m$.

Albert [1] introduced the notion of isotopy of algebras as follows. Algebras ( $V, m_{1}$ ) and $\left(V, m_{2}\right)$ are isotopic iff there are bijective linear maps $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}(V), i=1,2,3$ such that $f_{1}\left(m_{1}\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=m_{2}\left(f_{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right), f_{3}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.$ for any $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime} \in V$. Also, there is a notion of homotopy of two algebras. Namely, algebras $\left(V, m_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V, m_{2}\right)$ are homotopic iff there is a set of three linear maps: $f_{i} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}(V), i=1,2,3$ such that $f_{1}\left(m_{1}\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=m_{2}\left(f_{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right), f_{3}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ for any $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime} \in V$. It is easy that the notions of homotopy and isotopy are generalizations of homomorphism and isomorphism of algebras. Actually, if $f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{3}=f$ then $f: V \rightarrow V$ is a homomorphism (if $f$ is bijective then $f$ is isomorphism) of algebras.

Consider group $\mathbf{G}=G L(V)^{\times 3}$ with natural action on $\mathcal{M}$. It is easy that isotopic classes are in bijection with $\mathcal{M} / \mathbf{G}$. If we consider diagonal group $G \subset \mathbf{G}$ and its action on $\mathcal{M}$, we get that isomorphic classes of algebras on $V$ are in bijection with points $\mathcal{M} / G$. Note that classification of the orbits of the action $\mathbf{G}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is very hard problem which is completely solved only in the case $d \leq 3$ (cf. [5], [20]).

Recall the following well-known results about isotopy of algebras. Consider algebra ( $V, m$ ). If there are elements $a, b \in V$ such that $l_{a}=m(a,-)$ and $r_{b}=m(-, b)$ are invertible operators,
then algebra $(V, m)$ is isotopic to some unital algebra $\left(V, m^{\prime}\right)$. Actually, define $m^{\prime}$ by formula: $m^{\prime}(x, y)=m\left(r_{b}^{-1}(x), l_{a}^{-1}(y)\right)$. One can check that $m(a, b)$ is a unit of algebra $\left(V, m^{\prime}\right)$. Note that converse statement is true. These statements are called by Kaplanski's trick. It is clear that algebras may be divided into four classes under isotopy (see [8]):

1. Algebras with at least one left invertible and one right invertible element
2. Algebras with at least one left invertible but with no right invertible element
3. Algebras with no left invertible but at least one right invertible element
4. Algebras with no left invertible and with no right invertible element.

We have the following maps: $l, r: \mathcal{M} \times V \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ given by formulas: $(m, v) \mapsto r_{v}=$ $m(-, v)$ and $(m, v) \mapsto l_{v}=m(v,-)$. It is easy that this morphism is surjective. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ the set of $m$ such that $(V, m)$ is an algebra of first type. Standard arguments of algebraic geometry give us the following proposition:

Proposition 2. - $\mathcal{M}_{1} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is Zarisski-open dense subset

- For fixed $m \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and generic $v \in V$ operators $l_{v}=m(v,-)$ and $r_{v}=m(-, v)$ are invertible.
- For fixed nonzero $v \in V$ there is Zarisski-open dense subset $\mathcal{M}(v) \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $l_{v}=$ $m(v,-)$ and $r_{v}=m(-, v)$ are invertible.

Associative algebras play important role in isotopy classes of algebras:
Proposition 3. (cf. [1]) If unital algebra $(V, m)$ is isotopic to unital associative algebra ( $V, m^{\prime}$ ). Then $(V, m)$ and $\left(V, m^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Note that we can reformulate this statement in the terms of $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and variety of unital associative algebras $\mathcal{A}$ : natural map: $\mathcal{A} / G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1} / \mathrm{G}$ is injective.

Using proposition 2, we get the following
Corollary 4. Generic algebra $(V, m)$ is isotopic to unital algebra.
Further, consider generic algebras. For this purpose, fix $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(m), \mathcal{R}(m)$ and $\mathcal{U}(m)$ be an associative algebras of generated by space $l_{v}, v \in V, r_{v}, v \in V$ and both $l_{v}, v \in V$ and $r_{v}, v \in V$ respectively. Algebra $(V, m)$ is said to be right-simple iff it contains no proper right ideals. Left-simplicity and simplicity are defined analogously.

It is easy that algebra ( $V, m$ ) is right-simple, (left-simple or simple) iff $V$ is a simple $\mathcal{R}(m)$ $(\mathcal{L}(m)$ or $\mathcal{U}(m))$ - module. Popov proved (see [23]) that generic algebra is simple. Repeating his proof with small changes, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 5. Generic algebra ( $V, m$ ) is left-simple and right-simple.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{M}(r)$ the set of $m$ such that $(V, m)$ has r-dimensional left ideal. Pickup basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ of $V$. Let $v^{1}, \ldots, v^{d}$ is a dual basis of $V^{*}$. Let $V_{r}$ be linear span of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$. Let $M(r)$ be the set of algebras $(V, m)$ such that $V_{r}$ is a left ideal of $(V, m)$. It is easy that $\mathcal{M}(r)=G \cdot M(r)$, where $G$ is a diagonal subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $m=\sum c_{i j}^{l} e^{i} \otimes e^{j} \otimes e_{l}$. Since $V_{r}$ is a left ideal then $c_{i j}^{s}=0$ for $s>r$ and $j \leq r$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} M(r)=d^{3}-r d^{2}+r^{2} d$. Further, stabilizer of $M(r)$ is a parabolic subgroup $P_{r}$ of $G$ of dimension $d^{2}-r d+r^{2}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathcal{M}(r) \leq$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k} G-\operatorname{dim}_{k} P_{r}+\operatorname{dim}_{k} M(r)=d^{2}-\left(d^{2}-d r+r^{2}\right)+d^{3}-r d^{2}+r^{2} d=d^{3}-r(d-1)(d-r)<d^{3}$ if $d>1$ and $r \geq 1$ and $r<d$. One can prove that generic algebra is right-simple analogously.

Algebra ( $V, m$ ) is isotopically left(right)-simple if any isotope of ( $V, m$ ) is left(right)-simple. Recall the following theorem of Bruck (see [8]):

Proposition 6. If right-simple(left-simple) algebra ( $V, m$ ) has right unit (left unit) then ( $V, m$ ) is isotopically right-simple (left-simple).

Proof. Let ( $V, m$ ) be a left-simple algebra. It is easy that one can consider only principal isotopes. Consider principal isotope ( $V, m^{\prime}$ ) defined by rule: $m^{\prime}(x, y)=m\left(g_{2}^{-1}(v), g_{3}^{-1}(v)\right)$ for some $g_{2}, g_{3} \in G L(V)$. Consider algebra $\mathcal{L}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. It is easy that $l_{v}^{\prime}=m^{\prime}(v,-)=l_{g_{2}^{-1}(v)} \circ g_{3}^{-1} \in$ $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ for $v \in V$. Since $(V, m)$ is unital algebra, we get that $g_{3} \in \mathcal{L}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}(m) \subset \mathcal{L}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ and hence, $V$ is a simple $\mathcal{L}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ - module. q.e.d.

Using this proposition, proposition 5 and proposition 2, we get that
Corollary 7. Generic algebra $(V, m), m \in \mathcal{M}$ is isotopically left and right-simple.
This corollary demonstrates the difference between associative algebras and non-associative algebras. There are many non-isotopic simple non-associative algebras but simple unital associative algebra is one up to isotopy. Also, it is well-known that affine scheme parameterized unital associative algebras is reducible. Asymptotically, dimension of any components is less or equal than $\frac{4}{27} d^{3}+o\left(d^{3}\right)(d \rightarrow \infty)$ (see [19]). It was shown for any $d$ there is the component consisting of metabelian algebras, constructed by Vergne (see [28]). Asymptotic of dimension of this component is the same. Also, recall that simple unital associative algebra is rigid, and hence, component corresponding to simple algebra has dimension $d^{2}-1$. It means that generic unital associative algebra is not simple.

## $2.2 a$-homotopes.

In this subsection we introduce the partial case of homotopy - $a$-homotope.
Fix algebra $(V, m)$ and $a \in V$. Define maps $L(a), R(a): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(a) m\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right):=m\left(v^{\prime}, l_{a}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), R(a) m\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right):=m\left(r_{a}\left(v^{\prime}\right), v^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Algebras $(V, L(a) m)((V, R(a) m))$ are called by left a-homotope(right a-homotope) of algebra $(V, m)$ with respect to element $a$. For simplicity, we will call left or right $a$-homotope by homotope if it does not lead to confusion. Sometimes, $a$-homotope is called mutation. These notions were established in fifties and studied by many investigators. Of course, if element $a$
is left-invertible (or right-invertible) then algebra ( $V, L(a) m$ ) (or ( $V, R(a) m$ ) ) and ( $V, m$ ) are isotopic.

Note that there are several generalizations of the notion of homotope. For example, $(u, v)$ - homotope (see [17]) is an algebra with multiplication law defined by formula: $m^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right):=m\left(r_{u}\left(v^{\prime}\right), l_{v}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right),(a, b)$ - mutation $S(a, b)$ defined by rule: $S(a, b) m\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $L(a) m\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)-R(b) m\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)$ etc.

Note the following property of morphisms $R(v)$ and $L(v)$ for nonzero $v \in V$ :
Proposition 8. For $v \in V \backslash 0$ morphisms $R(v)$ and $L(v)$ are dominant. Moreover, $\operatorname{deg} L(v)=$ $\operatorname{deg} R(v)=2^{d}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{deg} R(v)=2^{d}$. Fix nonzero $v \in V$. Let us prove that $\left|R(v)^{-1}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right|=2^{d}$ for generic $m^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Assume that $m^{\prime}=R(v)(m)$. Fix basis $v_{1}=v, \ldots, v_{d}$ of $V$. Denote by $R_{i}, R_{i}^{\prime}$ the operators $m\left(-, v_{i}\right), m^{\prime}\left(-, v_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{k}}(V)$. One can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}^{\prime}=R_{i} R_{1}, i=1, . ., d \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, solve equations (2) for generic $R_{i}^{\prime}$. Denote by $S=\left\{s \in G L(V) \mid \lambda_{i}(s) \neq \lambda_{j}(s), i, j=\right.$ $1, \ldots, d\}$ where $\lambda_{i}(s), i=1, \ldots, d$ are eigenvalues of $s$. It is easy that $S$ is a dense open subvariety of $G L(V)$ (and hence, $\operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ ). One can show that if $R_{1}^{\prime} \in S$ then there are $2^{d}$ solutions of first equation. Also, one can find $R_{i}, i=2, \ldots, d$ uniquely from another equations. Analogous arguments prove the rest.

Note the following categorial description of homotopes. Let Alg be a category of algebras (not necessary associative).Morphisms of Alg are homomorphisms of algebras. Consider category $\mathcal{C}$ defined as follows. Objects of $\mathcal{C}$ are pairs $(A, a)$, where $A \in \operatorname{Alg}, a \in A$ is an element of $A$. Morphism $\phi:(A, a) \mapsto\left(A^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphism of algebras such that $\phi(a)=a^{\prime}$. Consider $\operatorname{map} L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Alg defined by formula: $L:(A, a) \mapsto A^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}$ is left homotope of $A$ with respect to $a$. Analogously, one can define map $R: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}$, where $R(A, a)$ is right homotope of $A$ with respect to $a$.

Proposition 9. - Maps $L, R: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}$ are well-defined functors.

- If $I$ is a two-sided ideal of algebra $A$, then $I$ is a two-sided ideal of algebras $L(A, a)$ and $R(A, a)$ for any $a \in A$.
- Consider algebra $A$, its quotient $A / I$ by two-sided ideal I and natural morphism: $\phi: A \rightarrow$ $A / I$. Then we have the isomorphism of algebras: $L(A, a) / I \cong L(A / I, \phi(a))$.

Proof. Straightforward.

## 3 Homotopes of associative algebras.

In this section all algebras are presumed associative.

### 3.1 Homotopes of associative algebras: previous properties.

We will write $a \cdot b$ or $a b$ instead of $m(a, b)$ in the case of associative algebras for simplicity. It is easy that left and right $a$-homotopes are the same for associative algebras. We will call it briefly $a$-homotope (or simply homotope). Let $A$ be an associative algebra and fix $\Delta \in A$. Consider $\Delta$-homotope $A_{\Delta}$. Denote by $*_{\Delta}$ the multiplication law of $A_{\Delta}$. It is easy that $A_{\Delta}$ is associative algebra.

Using proposition 3 and trivial calculations we get the following property of homotopes:
Proposition 10. Let $A$ be an associative algebra.

- Assume that $c, d$ are invertible elements of algebra $A$. Denote by $\Delta^{\prime}$ the element $c \cdot \Delta \cdot d$. Then algebras $A_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ and $A_{\Delta}$ are isomorphic.
- Assume that $A$ has unit. In this case $A \cong A_{\Delta}$ iff $\Delta$ is invertible element of $A$.

Proof. One can check that morphism $a \mapsto d^{-1} a c^{-1}$ is an isomorphism between $A_{\Delta}$ and $A_{\Delta^{\prime}}$. The rest is trivial.

Assume that $A$ is unital. It is clear that if $\Delta$ is not invertible then algebra $A_{\Delta}$ is not unital. Adding the identity element to algebra $A_{\Delta}$ externally, we obtain algebra $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. We will call algebra $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ by augmented homotope.

Further, for simplicity, denote by $B$ the augmented homotope $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ for fixed $\Delta$. Consider algebra $B$ as deformation of $A \oplus k \cdot 1$. Since set of invertible elements of unital algebra $A$ is dense Zarisski-open subset of $A$, one can show that augmented homotopes as infinitesimal deformations correspond to zero element of $\mathrm{HH}^{2}(A \oplus k \cdot 1, A \oplus k \cdot 1)$. Of course, augmented homotopes as global deformations of $A \oplus k \cdot 1$ may be non-trivial. In the next sections we will study algebras $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ for various $\Delta \in A$.

Further, construct morphisms $\psi_{i}: B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta} \rightarrow A, i=1,2$ as follows. We have the following identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b *_{\Delta} a_{1}\right) a_{2}=b *_{\Delta}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) *_{\Delta} b=a_{1}\left(a_{2} *_{\Delta} b\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $b \in B$ and $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$. Using standard arguments, we get two morphisms of unital algebras: $\psi_{i}: B \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{A}(A)=A, i=1,2$, defined by rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}: a \mapsto a \cdot \Delta, \psi_{2}: a \mapsto \Delta \cdot a . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\psi_{1}(B)=k \cdot 1+A \Delta$ and $\psi_{2}(B)=k \cdot 1+\Delta A$, i.e. sum of scalar space and left (or right) principal ideal.

### 3.2 Recollement of abelian categories and well-tempered elements.

In this subsection we recall the notion of recollement of abelian categories. Using [6], we recall the notion of well-tempered element of unital associative algebra and remind that category $\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{\Delta}-\bmod$ is a gluing of categories $\mathrm{A}-\bmod$ and $k-\bmod$.

Recollement of categories was introduced first by (see [4]) in context of triangulated categories. Recollement situation in abelian categories appeared in the work of McPherson and

Vilonen (see [18]). Following [22], recall the notion of recollement situation between abelian categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram:

satisfying to conditions:

- $(l, e, r)$ is an adjoint triple,
- $(q, i, p)$ is an adjoint triple,
- functors $i, l$ and $r$ are fully faithful,
- $\operatorname{Im} i=$ Kere

Definition of recollement situation of triangulated categories is the same. Psaroudakis showed that if

- abelian categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ are in recollement situation
- they have enough projective objects
- functors satisfy some natural conditions (see for details [22])
then bounded derived categories $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{A}), \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{B}), \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{C})$ are in recollement situation.
Come back to homotopes. For fixed algebra $A$ denote by A Mod the category of all left $A$-modules. Consider algebra $A$, element $\Delta \in A$ and homotope $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. In the work [6] it was shown that if element $\Delta$ satisfy to some natural conditions then abelian categories k - Mod, B - Mod and A - Mod are in recollement situation. Remind the proof of this fact. For this purpose, recall the following exact sequence of $B$-bimodules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow B^{+} \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon: B \rightarrow k$ is augmentation map and $B^{+} \cong{ }_{\psi_{1}} A_{\psi_{2}}$ as $B$-bimodule. We have natural functors: $\psi_{1 *}: \mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\psi_{2 *}: \mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod}$. Also, we have functors: $\psi_{i}^{!}: \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}, i=1,2$ defined by formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}^{!}, \psi_{2}^{!}: V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{B}(A, V) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is endowed with structure of $B$-modules via $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ respectively. Also, there are two functors $\psi_{i}^{*}: \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}, i=1,2$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}^{*}, \psi_{2}^{*}: V \mapsto A \otimes_{B} V \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a right $B$-module via $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ respectively. Using [6], we have the natural transformation of functors: $\mu: \psi_{1}^{!} \rightarrow \psi_{2}^{*}$. $\mu$ is defined as follows. Fix $V \in \mathrm{~B}-\bmod$. Let $\rho: B \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ be a corresponding representation. In this case $\mu_{V}$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{V}: a \otimes v \mapsto \phi_{a \otimes v} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{B}(A, V), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{a \otimes v}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(a^{\prime} \cdot{ }_{A} a\right) v$
Also, we have natural functor: $\epsilon_{*}: \mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and adjoint functors: $\epsilon^{!}, \epsilon^{*}$ : $\mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod}$ defined by rules: $\epsilon^{!}: V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{B}(k, V)$ and $\epsilon^{*}: V \mapsto k \otimes_{B} V$.

We have the following diagram of functors:


Direct checking shows us that $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{*}=\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{2}^{*}$. Also, functors $\psi_{1 *}$ and $\psi_{2_{*}}$ are exact iff $B^{+}$is right and left projective $B$-module. One can show that $\psi_{1}^{!} \psi_{1 *} \cong$ Id and $\psi_{2}^{*} \psi_{2_{*}} \cong \mathrm{Id}$. Thus, in this case functors $\psi_{1 *}$ and $\psi_{2 *}$ are fully faithful functors. Adjointness of functors is well-known and thus, recollement situation of (10) is clear.

Definition. Consider unital associative algebra $A$. Fix element $\Delta \in A, B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. We will say that $\Delta$ is well-tempered iff

1. ideal of augmentation $B^{+}$is right and left projective $B$-module
2. multiplication map $B^{+} \otimes_{k} B^{+} \rightarrow B^{+}$is surjective, i.e. $A \Delta A=A$.

Note that if $A$ is finite-dimensional then one can deduce second condition from first condition.

Proposition 11. Consider finite-dimensional algebra $A$, fix $\Delta \in A$ and $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. If $B^{+}$is projective right and left $B$-module then multiplication map $B^{+} \otimes_{k} B^{+} \rightarrow B^{+}$is surjective and hence, $A \Delta A=A$.

Proof. It is evident that algebra $B$ is finite-dimensional. Assume that $B$ has only $s$ simple $B$-modules. It is well-known that there are $s$ indecomposable projective $B$-modules $P_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, s$. Since $B^{+}$is projective finite generated $B$-module, there is the following decomposition: $B^{+}=\oplus_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}^{\oplus n_{i}}$ for some $n_{i} \geq 0$. Moreover, there are idempotents $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$ such that $P_{i}=B e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$.

Thus, $k \otimes_{B} B^{+}=\oplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(k \otimes_{B} B e_{i}\right)^{\oplus n_{i}}$. One can show that $k \otimes_{B} B e_{i}=0$ and hence, $k \otimes_{B} B^{+}=0$. Tensoring sequence (6) by $B^{+}$and using projectivity of $B^{+}$, we get that $B^{+} \otimes_{B} B^{+} \cong B^{+}$as $B$-bimodules. Further, consider multiplication map $m: B \otimes_{k} B \rightarrow B$. Tensoring it by $B^{+}$from left and right side, we get that $B^{+} \otimes_{k} B^{+} \rightarrow B^{+} \otimes_{B} B^{+}=B^{+}$is surjective.

In section 3.3 we will prove that first and second conditions are equivalent for finitedimensional algebras.
Corollary 12. [6] Let $A$ be a unital commutative algebra. Element $\Delta \in A$ is well-tempered iff $\Delta$ is invertible.

Proof. In this case $A \Delta A=A \Delta=A$ and hence, $\Delta$ is invertible. Further, we have the following isomorphism of algebras $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta} \cong k \oplus A$ and the following decomposition of unit: $1=$ $\left(1-1_{A}\right)+1_{A}$. Thus, $A$ is projective $B$-module.
Corollary 13. [6] Consider matrix algebra $M_{n}(k)$. Element $\Delta \in M_{n}(k)$ is well-tempered iff $\Delta \neq 0$.

### 3.3 Well-tempered elements of finite-dimensional algebras and properties of homotopes.

Consider finite-dimensional associative algebra $A$. Denote by $R(A)$ the Jacobson radical of $A$. By Maltzev - Wedderburn theorem, we have the following decomposition of algebra $A=$ $R(A) \oplus S$, where $S \cong A / R(A)$ is a semisimple algebra. Of course, $S=\oplus_{i=1}^{t} M_{n_{i}}(k)$. Denote by $U(A), U(R)$ and $G L(S)$ the group of units of $A$, the subgroup of $U(A)$ consisting of elements $1+r, r \in R$ and the product $\times_{i=1}^{t} G L_{n_{i}}(k)$ respectively. We have the following trivial proposition:

Proposition 14. $U(R)$ is a normal subgroup of $U(A)$. Group $U(A)$ is a semi-direct product of $G L(S)$ and $U(R)$, i.e. $U(R)$ is an unipotent radical of $U(A)$.

Using proposition 10, we obtain that if $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ are in the same double coset $U(A) \backslash A / U(A)$ then $A_{\Delta_{1}} \cong A_{\Delta_{2}}$ and $\widehat{A}_{\Delta_{1}} \cong \widehat{A}_{\Delta_{2}}$. It is clear that if $\Delta_{i}, i=1,2$ are in the same double coset $U(A) \backslash A / U(A)$ then $\Delta_{1}$ is well-tempered iff $\Delta_{2}$ is so. Study suitable view of elements in a double coset $U(A) \backslash A / U(A)$.

Lemma 15. In any double coset $U(A) \backslash A / U(A)$ there is an element $x=s+r$, where $s$ and $r$ satisfy to relations:

- $s^{2}=s$,
- $s r=r s=0$.

Proof. Consider element $x_{1}=s_{1}+r_{1}, s \in S, r \in R$. First statement is easy. Actually, there are elements $h_{1}, h_{2} \in G L(S)$ such that $h_{1} s_{1} h_{2}=s$, where $s^{2}=s$. $x_{2}=h_{1} x_{1} h_{2}=s+r_{1}, r_{2}=h_{1} r_{1} h_{2}$. It is evident that action of $U(R)$ on $S$ is trivial. Using action of $U(R)$, one can show that element $r_{1}$ can be transformed into the element $r$ satisfying to second condition of lemma. Actually, $r_{2}=s r_{2}+(1-s) r_{2}$ and $x_{2}=s\left(1+r_{2}\right)+(1-s) r_{2}$. Direct calculations show us that $x_{3}=x_{2}\left(1+r_{2}\right)^{-1}=s+(1-s) r_{2}\left(1+r_{2}\right)^{-1}$. Denote by $r_{3}$ the element $(1-s) r_{2}\left(1+r_{2}\right)^{-1}$. It is easy that $s r_{3}=0$. Analogously, $x_{3}=\left(1+r_{3}\right) s+r_{3}(1-s)$. Consider element $x=\left(1+r_{3}\right)^{-1} x_{3}=$ $s+\left(1+r_{3}\right)^{-1} r_{3}(1-s)$. Since $r_{3}$ and $\left(1+r_{3}\right)^{-1}$ commute we get that $x=s+r_{3}\left(1+r_{3}\right)^{-1}(1-s)$. Denote by $r$ the element $r_{3}\left(1+r_{3}\right)^{-1}(1-s)$. Thus, $s r=r s=0$.

We will say that $\Delta$ is suitable if decomposition $\Delta=s+r$ satisfy to lemma 15. Make some useful remark on suitable $\Delta \in A$. We have irreducible representations $\varrho_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$ of $A$. Thus, we have the decomposition of

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sum_{i=1}^{t} s_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}=\varrho(\Delta) \in M_{n_{i}}(k)$ and $s_{i} s_{j}=0$ for any $i \neq j$. If $\Delta$ is suitable then $s_{i}^{2}=s_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$. Also, we have the decomposition of unity of $A$ of the following type: $1=\sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{i}$, where $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$ are identity elements of $M_{n_{i}}(k)$. Pickup the decomposition of $e_{i}$ into sum of orthogonal idempotents: $e_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} e_{i}^{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}=\sum_{j \in I_{i}} e_{i}^{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $I_{i} \subseteq\left\{1, \ldots, n_{i}\right\}$. It is easy that $\operatorname{rank} \varrho_{i}(s)=\left|I_{i}\right|$ and $s_{i} e_{i}^{j}=e_{i}^{j} s_{i}=e_{i}^{j}$ for any $i$ and $j \in I_{i}$.
Theorem 16. Consider finite-dimensional algebra $A$ and element $\Delta$ such that $A \Delta A=A$ then $\Delta$ is well-tempered element of $A$.

Proof. Consider homotope $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. We have to prove that augmentation ideal $B^{+}$is right and left projective $B$-module. Let us prove that $B^{+} \cong{ }_{\psi_{1}} A$ is left projective $B$-module. Using lemma [15, we can consider only suitable element $\Delta$. Consider decomposition of $\Delta=s+r$, where (11) is a decomposition of $s$ and (12) is a decomposition of any $s_{i}$ in (11). Condition $A \Delta A=A$ is equivalent to $I_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for any $i=1, \ldots, t$ in (12). It is well-known the following isomorphisms of $A$-modules: $A=\oplus_{i=1}^{t} A e_{i}, A e_{i}=\oplus_{j=1}^{n_{i}} A e_{i}^{j}, i=1, \ldots, t$ and $A e_{i}^{j} \cong A e_{i}^{l}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, t$ and $j, l \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{i}\right\}$. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ pickup $j_{i} \in I_{i}$. Consider elements $e_{i}^{j_{i}}, i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}, j_{i} \in I_{i}$. In this case $e_{i}^{j_{i}} *_{\Delta} e_{i}^{j_{i}}=e_{i}^{j_{i}}$ and, hence, $B *_{\Delta} e_{i}^{j_{i}}$ is projective $B$-module for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ and $j_{i} \in I_{i}$. Direct checking shows that $B *_{\Delta} e_{i}^{j_{i}} \cong A e_{i}^{j_{i}}$ as $B$-modules. Thus, $A e_{i} \cong\left(B *_{\Delta} e_{i}^{j_{i}}\right)^{\oplus n_{i}}$ and $A=\oplus_{i=1}^{t}\left(B *_{\Delta} e_{i}^{j_{i}}\right)^{\oplus n_{i}}$.

If $\Delta$ is well-tempered element of $A$ then we have the following inequality for global dimension: gl.dim $(B) \leq \max (2, \operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A))$ (cf. [6]).

Corollary 17. If $\Delta$ is not well-tempered element of finite-dimensional algebra $A$ then algebra $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ has infinite global dimension.

Proof. If $\Delta$ is not well-tempered element then multiplication map $B^{+} \oplus_{k} B^{+} \rightarrow B^{+}$is not surjective. Denote by $M \subset B^{+}$the image of $m$. It is easy that $M$ is $B$-module and quotient $B^{+} / M$ is a direct sum of several copies of trivial $B$-modules. Further, recall the famous result of Igusa [12]: if $B$ is finite-dimensional algebra of finite global dimension then $\operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{1}(V, V)=0$ for any simple $b$-module. Let us prove that $\operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{1}(k, k) \neq 0$. Using exact sequence (6), we get that $\operatorname{Ext}_{B}^{1}(k, k)=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(B^{+}, k\right)$. It is clear that if $\Delta$ is not well-tempered element then $B^{+} / M \neq 0$ and hence, $0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(B^{+} / M, k\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(B^{+}, k\right)$ is injective. Thus, $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left(B^{+}, k\right) \neq 0$ and we get the required statement.

Further, study some properties of radical and representations of homotopes. The following proposition describes the connection between radicals of algebra $A$ and augmented homotope $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ :

Proposition 18. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional associative algebra. Fix $\Delta \in A$. Then

- $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$, and
- $R(A)=R(B)$ iff $\Delta$ is invertible element of $A$.

Proof. Direct calculations show us that $R(A)$ as two-sided $B$-ideal is nilpotent. Thus, $R(A) \subseteq$ $R(B)$. If $\Delta \in A$ is invertible then $B \cong A \oplus k$. Thus, if $\Delta$ is invertible then $R(A)=R(B)$.

Fix $\Delta=s+r, s \in s, r \in R(A)$. Note that if $\Delta \in A$ is a zero divisor, then $s$ is a zero divisor of $S$. In this case there is an element $s_{1} \in S$ such that $s s_{1}=s_{1} s=0$. Consider subspace $J \subset B$ generated by $R(A)$ and $s_{1}$. Direct calculations show us that $B *_{\Delta} J \subseteq J$ and $J *_{\Delta} B \subseteq J$ and $J *_{\Delta} J \subseteq R(A)$.

Further, consider irreducible representations of homotopes. It is clear that algebra $B$ has trivial representation given by augmentation.

Consider element $\Delta \in A$. There are $t$ functions $\operatorname{rank}_{i}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}, i=1, \ldots, t$ defined by rule: $\operatorname{rank}_{i}(a):=\operatorname{rank} \varrho_{i}(a), i=1, \ldots, t$, where $\varrho_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$ are irreducible representations of $A$. It is clear that if $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are in the same double coset $U(A) \backslash A / U(A)$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{i}\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{rank}_{i}\left(\Delta_{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, t$. Denote by $I(\Delta)$ the subset of $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ consisting of indexes $i$ such that $\operatorname{rank}_{i}(\Delta)>0$.

Corollary 19. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra. Fix $\Delta \in A$. Assume that $\operatorname{rank}_{i}(\Delta)=$ $r_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$. Consider augmented homotope $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. There is a bijection between the set of irreducible representations of $B$ and $I(\Delta) \cup\{\epsilon\}$, where $\epsilon$ is a trivial representation. Dimensions of irreducible representations are $r_{i}$ for $i \in I(\Delta)$ and 1 for $\epsilon$.

Proof. Consider decompositions of $A=S(A) \oplus R(A)$ and $B=S(B) \oplus R(B)$, where $S(A)$ and $S(B)$ are semisimple parts of $A$ and $B$ respectively. Also, we have decomposition of $\Delta=s+r, s \in S(A), r \in R(A)$. As we know, $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$. Using proposition 9 , we know that operation of taking quotient by two-sided ideal and operation of taking homotope are commuting. Thus, we get that $S(B)=\widehat{S(A)}_{s}$, where $s$ is semisimple part of $\Delta$. Further, we can consider only matrix algebra. The rest is a direct checking.

### 3.4 Remark on homotopes of associative commutative algebras.

In this subsection all algebras are presumed unital commutative associative algebras.
There is a deeply developed theory in the case of commutative algebras. Since any ideal of commutative algebras is two-sided then we can consider augmented homotope as a fibre
product of algebras. Of course, in the case of noncommutative algebras homotope is not fibre product.

Firstly, recall the notion of fibre product of algebras. Consider three algebras: $A, B, R$ and morphisms $f: A \rightarrow R$ and $g: B \rightarrow R$. Fibre product $A \times_{R} B$ of $A$ and $B$ over $R$ is a subalgebra of $A \times B$ consisting of pairs $(a, b)$ such that $f(a)=g(b)$.

Assume that $A$ is an integral domain. Fix element $\Delta \in A$. In this case natural morphism $\psi=\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}: \widehat{A}_{\Delta} \rightarrow A$ is an immersion and $\psi\left(\widehat{A}_{\Delta}\right)=k \cdot 1+A \Delta$. It is easy that $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ has a structure of a fibre product of some algebras. $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}=A \times_{R} k$, where $R=A / A \Delta$ and $k \subseteq R$ is a scalar subalgebra.

Recall some results on fibre product of algebras:
Theorem 20. (Ogoma [21]) Consider fibre product $B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \times{ }_{A} B$ with morphisms: $f: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ and $g: B \rightarrow A$. Let $I$ and $J$ be a kernels of $f$ and $g$ respectively. Denote by $C$ the subalgebra $f\left(A^{\prime}\right) \cap g(B) \subseteq A$. Assume that $A^{\prime}, B$ and $A$ are noetherian algebra. Algebra $B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \times{ }_{A} B$ is noetherian if and only if

- $C$ is a noetherian algebra
- $I / I^{2}$ and $J / J^{2}$ are finite-generated $C$-modules.

Proposition 21. ([11], (24]) Assume that morphism $f: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is surjective. In this case $\operatorname{Spec} B^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime} \sqcup_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \operatorname{Spec} B$, i.e. a pushout of schemes $\operatorname{Spec} B$ and $\operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Also, $\operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime} \sqcup_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \operatorname{Spec} B \backslash \operatorname{Spec} B \cong \operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime} \backslash \operatorname{Spec} A$.

We have the following commutative diagram:


Geometrically, $\operatorname{Spec} B^{\prime}$ is a "cutting" of subscheme $\operatorname{Spec} A \subset \operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime}$ and paste $\operatorname{Spec} B$ instead of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. It is easy that subscheme $\operatorname{Spec} B \subset \operatorname{Spec} B^{\prime}$ is given by ideal $I$. Thus, conormal sheaf to $\operatorname{Spec} A \subset \operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime}$ and conormal sheaf to $\operatorname{Spec} B \subset \operatorname{Spec} B^{\prime}$ are the same and isomorphic to $I / I^{2}$. It is easy that if $I / I^{2}$ is not a finite-generated $B$ - module then Spec $B^{\prime}$ is not noetherian. Ogoma proved that converse statement is true. Formulate the following evident statements:

Corollary 22. Consider noetherian algebra $A$ and element $\Delta$. In this case natural morphism: $\operatorname{Spec} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is surjective birational.

Corollary 23. Assume that $A$ is a noetherian algebra. Fix element $\Delta \in A$. Consider homotope $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ and denote by $x$ the point of $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ corresponding to augmentation $\epsilon$. Then we have the following properties of $\mathrm{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ :

- Algebra $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is noetherian if and only if scheme $\operatorname{Spec}(A / A \Delta)$ has dimension zero. In particular, if $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is noetherian then $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is an affine scheme of dimension at most 1 .
- Consider noetherian algebra $A$ and element $\Delta \in A$. If $\operatorname{dimSpec} A>1$ then tangent space of $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ at point $x$ is infinite. If $\operatorname{dimSpec} A=1$ and $\operatorname{dim}(A / A \Delta)>1$ then $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is singular curve and $x$ is a singular point of $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}_{\Delta}$

Example 24. (Ogoma [21], Schwede [24], Beil [2] and many others) Consider algebra $A=$ $k[x, y]$ and element $\Delta=x$. Using theorem of Ogoma, we get that $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is non-noetherian and isomorphic to $k\left[x, x y, x y^{2}, \ldots\right] \subset k[x, y]$.

This example is a counter-example to famous Richardson's lemma in the following sense. Recall that Richardson's lemma (see Kraft's book, [16]) is the following statement: consider two affine varieties $X$ and $Y$. Assume that $Y$ is a normal variety. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective birational morphism then $f$ is an isomorphism. By definition, $Y=\operatorname{Spec} B$ is a normal affine variety iff $B$ is noetherian integral closed ring. It is natural to ask the following question: could we dispense the condition of noethering of $B$ ? The answer is no. Actually, let $A, \Delta$ and $B$ be as in example 24. Using corollary [22, natural morphism: $\operatorname{Speck}[x, y] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} B$ is surjective birational. We have to prove that algebra $B$ is integral closed. It is easy that $B=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } B_{n}$, where $B_{n}=k\left[x, x y, \ldots, x y^{n}\right]$. It is easy that $\operatorname{Spec} B_{n}$ is an affine cone over rational normal curve and hence, $B_{n}$ is integral closed. It can be shown in usual way that direct limit of integral closed rings is integral closed.

In the end of subsection we recall the simple example on affine curves.
Example 25. Consider algebra $A=k[x]$ and element $\Delta=x^{2}+a x+b, a, b \in k$. One can deduce that Spec $\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ is a rational curve with node if roots of the polynomial $\Delta$ are simple and rational curve with cusp if $\Delta$ has double root.

### 3.5 Categorial approach to homotopes of commutative algebras.

What can we say in the case when $\Delta$ is not well-tempered? We give the partial answer on this question only in the case of commutative algebras.

Recall the notion fibre product of categories. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ are categories. Let $\mathcal{F}$ : $\mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3}$ are the functors. Define the category $\mathcal{A}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathcal{A}_{3}} \mathcal{A}_{2}$ as follows. Object of $\mathcal{A}_{1} \times_{\mathcal{A}_{3}} \mathcal{A}_{2}$ is a triple $(M, N, \alpha)$, where $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right), N \in O b\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha: \mathcal{F}(M) \cong \mathcal{G}(N)$ is an isomorphism of objects. Morphism $(M, N, \alpha) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is a pair $(a, b), a: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $b: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ are morphisms in categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ respectively such that the diagram:

is commutative. Consider three algebras $A^{\prime}, A, B^{\prime}$ and morphisms $f: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ and $g: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A$, where $f$ is surjective. Let $B=A \times_{A^{\prime}} B^{\prime}$. In this case there is a functor: $\Psi: \mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}=$ $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod} \times \times_{A^{\prime}-\operatorname{Mod}} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}-\operatorname{Mod}$ defined by formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi: L \mapsto\left(B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} L, A \otimes_{B} L, \text { can }\right), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where can is a natural isomorphism: $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A \otimes_{B} L \cong A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} L$. Conversely, let $N$ and $M^{\prime}$ be $B^{\prime}$ - module and $A^{\prime}$ - module respectively. Let $\phi$ be an isomorphism $A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} N \cong A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} M^{\prime}$ of $A$ - modules. Denote by $M$ the $A$-module $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} M^{\prime}$.

Theorem 26. [26] Functor $\Psi^{\prime}:\left(N, M^{\prime}, \phi\right) \mapsto N \times_{\phi, M} M^{\prime}$ is right adjoint to $\Psi$. Moreover, $\Psi \circ \Psi^{\prime}$ is identity on $\mathrm{A}-\bmod \times_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}-\bmod } \mathrm{B}^{\prime}-\bmod$. Morphism $L \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(L)=B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} L \times_{A^{\prime} \otimes_{B} L} A \otimes_{B} L$ is surjective.

Consider algebra $A$, ideal $I \subseteq A$ and $B=A \times_{A / I} B^{\prime}$. It is clear that we have the following exact sequence of $B$ - modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A \oplus B^{\prime} \longrightarrow A / I \longrightarrow 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tensoring (16) by $B$-module $V$, we get that $\Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(V)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(A \otimes_{B} V \oplus B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} V \rightarrow A / I \otimes_{B} V\right)$. Thus, natural morphism $V \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(V)$ is isomorphism iff $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}\left(A \oplus B^{\prime}, V\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(A / I, V)$ is isomorphism.

If $B^{\prime}=k$ then $A / I$ is a trivial $B$-module. Denote by $\overline{A / I}$ the complement of $k$ in $A / I$. In this case we have the following exact sequence of $B$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \overline{A / I} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if $I=(\Delta)$ is a principal ideal of integral domain $A$ generated by $\Delta$ (i.e. $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$ ) then we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{j} B \longrightarrow k \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ is a morphism given by rule: $a \mapsto a \Delta$. We have isomorphism of $B$-modules: $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{B}(k, V) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{B}(A, V)$ for any $B$-module $V$. Thus, we get that $W \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(V)$ is an isomorphism iff $\operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{B}(k, V) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(\overline{A / I}, V)$ is an isomorphism. Assume that $V=B /(u)$ where $u \in B$ is a non-zero element, then $\operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{B}(M, V)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(M, V)=M /(u) M$ for any $B$-module $M$. Thus, if $M$ is a trivial $B$ - module and $u \in I$ then $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(\overline{A / I}, B /(u))=\overline{A / I} \neq 0$. In the case of homotope we have the following statement:
Proposition 27. Consider algebra $A$, element $\Delta \in A$ and $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. Then $\Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(V) \cong V$ iff $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(\overline{A /(\Delta)}, V) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{B}(k, V)$. If $V=B /(u)$ where $u \in I$ then kernel of natural morphism: $V \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime} \circ \Psi(V)$ is $\overline{A /(\Delta)}$.

Consider category $\mathcal{C}\left(=\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod} \times{ }_{\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Mod}} \mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod}\right)$ and natural functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$. One can show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ for any $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ and identify $\mathcal{C}$ with a full subcategory of A Mod consisting of $A$-modules $W$ such that $A / I \otimes_{A} W$ is a free $A / I$ module. Roughly speaking, category $\mathcal{C}$ is a "cutting" of category of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}-\operatorname{Mod}$ from $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and "pasting" the category k - Mod instead of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Mod}$. Of course, if $\Delta$ is well-tempered element (invertible) of $A$ then category $\mathcal{C}$ is a "gluing" of $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\mathrm{k}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\mathrm{B}-\operatorname{Mod}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$. Using theorem [26, we get that $\mathcal{C}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Mod}$. Thus, we get the following

Proposition 28. Consider commutative algebra $A$, element $\Delta \in A$ and homotope $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. In this case category $\mathcal{C}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathrm{A}-\operatorname{Mod}$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Mod}$.

Remark. Consider algebra $A=k[x]$, element $\Delta$ of degree 2 without double roots and $B=\widehat{A}_{\Delta}$. As we know, $\operatorname{Spec} B$ is a rational curve with node. In this case construction of $\mathcal{C}$ is a local version of beautiful construction of Burban and Drozd (see [9], [10]). Using fibre product of categories, they classify indecomposable object in the category of coherent sheaves on rational curve with node.
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