

Reentrant superconductivity in UTe_2

V.P.Mineev^{1,2}

¹*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, INAC, PHELIQS, GT, F-38000 Grenoble, France*

²*Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia*

(Дата: 10 февраля 2022 г.)

The reentrant superconductivity is the peculiar phenomenon observed in paramagnetic metal UTe_2 in magnetic field parallel to the hard magnetisation axis. It is difficult to explain it in terms of field dependent intensity of magnetic fluctuations like it is done for explanation of the formally similar phenomena in the ferromagnetic uranium superconductors URhGe , UCoGe . Extremely large initial slope of the upper critical field temperature dependence suggests that the phenomenon has quasi-two-dimensional nature. Indeed, according to the recent band structure calculations by Yuanji Xu et al, *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **123**, 217002 (2019) the Fermi surface of UTe_2 consists of two types slightly corrugated cylinders. The theory of reentrant superconductivity in UTe_2 based on its quasi-two-dimensional structure is presented here.

I. INTRODUCTION

The superconductivity in the metallic compound UTe_2 with orthorhombic structure has been discovered in December 2018 by Nicholas Butch and colleagues [1]. Almost immediately the discovery has been confirmed by the mixed French-Japanese team [2]. Since that time dozens studies of different properties of this material have been published. The most impressive observation [3, 4] was that the superconducting state UTe_2 in the magnetic field aligned along the b -axis, which is perpendicular to the direction of easy magnetisation parallel to a -axis, persists up to 34.5 T where the superconductivity is destroyed by the metamagnetic transition. The magnetic field first reduces transition temperature to superconducting state, then in the field interval (10 T, 27 T) $T_c(H)$ is almost field independent and finally near the metamagnetic transition the transition temperature increases practically recreating its zero field magnitude [5], see Fig.1. Even more astonishing was that sweeping magnetic fields above the metamagnetic transition through the angular range 20° - 40° from the b -axis towards the c -axis reveals a superconducting phase inside the dome, with the maximum value exceeding 65 T, the maximum field possible in the measurements (see Fig. 1 a,c in Ref.3.) The critical temperature of transition in superconducting state in this material is 1.5 K. The extremely high upper critical field at such modest critical temperature indicates that in this compound the paramagnetic depairing mechanism does not work and the superconducting state is formed by the electron pairs with spin $S = 1$. At the same time, the usual orbital suppression of superconductivity also occurs ineffective.

The reentrant superconducting state under magnetic field perpendicular to the direction of easy magnetisation revealed in UTe_2 reminds phenomenon known in ferromagnetic uranium compounds URhGe , UCoGe [6]. For instance, in UCoGe the magnetic field directed parallel to b -axis, which is perpendicular to the direction of spontaneous magnetisation along c -axis, first suppresses the critical temperature but then at higher fields the critical temperature begins to increase. On the contrary, the field directed along spontaneous magnetisation effectively suppresses the superconducting state. The situation looks as a magnetic field in direction perpendicular to the direction of easy magnetisation stimulates pairing strength and a field directed along the easy magnetisation suppresses the pairing interaction. The observations are not compatible with ordinary electron-phonon mechanism formation of superconducting state. This suggests that pairing interaction is produced by magnetic fluctuations that is the pairing amplitude is determined by the magnetic susceptibility. Magnetic moment saturates under magnetic field parallel to spontaneous magnetisation. This leads to susceptibility suppression which yields the decrease of the electron effective mass. Both these effects produce the reduction of pairing interaction. As a result, in addition to the usual orbital unpairing mechanism, another mechanism is added that accelerates the suppression of superconductivity, and the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ along the c -axis exhibits an upward curvature [7]. The magnetic field perpendicular to the direction of spontaneous magnetisation reduces the Curie temperature thereby increasing susceptibility in the direction of spontaneous magnetisation [8]. The enhancement of susceptibility causes the electron effective mass increase. Thus, a magnetic field perpendicular to spontaneous magnetisation strongly stimulates the superconductivity providing the high field reentrance of superconducting state.

UTe_2 is not a ferromagnet. Its low temperature magnetic susceptibility along b -axis keeps a constant value till the metamagnetic transition [9]. The specific heat coefficient $\gamma = C/T$ proportional to electron effective mass is also practically constant till the fields about 30 Tesla and its increase appears only near the metamagnetic transition [9]. Thus, the mechanism responsible for the reentrance of superconducting state in uranium ferromagnets in huge magnetic fields perpendicular to spontaneous magnetisation is not available in UTe_2 and cannot serve as an explanation of stability of superconductivity in this compound.

The Fermi surface of UTe_2 found in the recently reported first-principles band structure calculations [10] consists of two separate electron and hole cylinders with axes parallel to c -direction. Thus, UTe_2 looks as quasi-two-dimensional metal with conducting layers parallel to (a, b) plane. It is known [11] that the magnetic field parallel to conducting layers of quasi-two-dimensional metal first suppresses the superconducting state and then at magnetic energy $\hbar\omega_c$ comparable with the hopping amplitude between the conducting planes starts to recreate superconductivity. In high fields the electrons move almost free along the open trajectories perpendicular to the conducting layers. Thus, the physical reason for the suppression of the orbital mechanism of the Cooper pair destruction is the suppression of the modulation of electron motion caused by the crystal field, in other words, the suppression of the trajectories curvature.

The observed experimentally [1, 2, 4, 5] abnormally large initial slope of the upper critical field temperature dependence (see Fig.1) serves as an other solid argument in support of quasi-two-dimensional nature of phenomenon of reentrant superconductivity in UTe_2 .

Here I apply the theory of superconductivity in quasi-two-dimensional metals developed in the papers [11, 12] to description of the upper critical field temperature dependence in UTe_2 .

II. UPPER CRITICAL FIELD

In the magnetic field parallel to b -axis it is reasonable to choose (x, y, z) coordinate axes directed along (c, a, b) crystallographic directions. The corresponding elementary cell of reciprocal space is limited by intervals $-\frac{\pi}{d} < p_x < \frac{\pi}{d}$, $-\frac{\pi}{a} < p_y < \frac{\pi}{a}$, $-\frac{\pi}{b} < p_z < \frac{\pi}{b}$. In this coordinate system according to the paper [10] there are two different conducting bands with the Fermi surfaces consisting of two pairs corrugated cylinders with axes parallel to p_x direction located in the points $(0, 0, \pm\frac{\pi}{b})$ and $(0, \pm\frac{\pi}{a}, 0)$. Thus, the conducting layers of quasi-two-dimensional metal are parallel to the plane (a, b) and located at a distance d from each other. We will consider simplified single band model taking into account only the first band with following electron spectrum near the Fermi surface

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_y^2 + \left(p_z \mp \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^2 \right) - 2t \cos(p_x d) - \varepsilon_F, \quad (1)$$

such that $t \ll \varepsilon_F$. In the magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$, $\mathbf{A} = (-Hy, 0, 0)$ parallel to the b direction the electron wave function has the form

$$\Psi(x, y, z) = \psi(p_x, y, p_z) \exp \left(i p_x x + i \left(p_z \mp \frac{\pi}{b} \right) z \right) \quad (2)$$

and $\psi(p_x, y, p_z)$ obeys the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left(p_z \mp \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^2 \right) - 2t \cos(p_x d - \frac{\omega_c y}{v_F}) \right] \psi(p_x, y, p_z) = \varepsilon \psi(p_x, y, p_z), \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_c = ev_F d H / c$, and $\hbar = 1$.

We do not take into account the band splitting due to the Zeeman interaction. In case of equal spin triplet pairing the latter does not produce paramagnetic suppression of superconducting state. However, yielding the opposite shifts in the Fermi momenta of spin-up and spin-down bands it produces the corresponding shifts in the density of states and changes the critical temperature of transition to the superconducting state (see for instance [7]). This effect is absent In quasi - 2D case: the magnetic field parallel to the conducting layers changes the Fermi momenta but does not change the density of states near the Fermi surface.

The normal state electron Green function derived in the same manner as in the paper [11] is

$$G_{\tilde{\omega}_n}(\phi, p_x, y, y_1) = -\frac{im \operatorname{sgn} \omega_n}{p_{0y}} \exp \left[\mp \frac{m \tilde{\omega}_n}{p_{0y}} \right] \exp[\pm i p_{0y}(y - y_1)] \\ \times \exp \left\{ \pm \frac{i \lambda p_0}{p_{0y}} \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y - y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \cos \left[p_x d - \frac{\omega_c(y + y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \right\}, \quad \pm \omega_n(y - y_1) > 0. \quad (4)$$

Here, the Matsubara frequency $\omega_n = \pi T(2n+1)$ is shifted $\tilde{\omega}_n = \omega_n + \frac{1}{2\tau} \operatorname{sgn} \omega_n$ due to attenuation of electronic states produced by scattering on impurities, interaction with magnetic fluctuations etc, $\lambda = \frac{4t}{\omega_c}$, $p_{0y} = p_0 |\sin \phi|$ and p_0 is the Fermi momentum.

The simplest equal spin pairing state has the order parameter

$$\Delta(\phi, y) = \psi(\phi) \eta(y). \quad (5)$$

Here,

$$\psi(\phi) = A \left(\cos \phi + \frac{\pi}{bp_0} \right), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \frac{3\pi}{2}, \quad (6)$$

$$\psi(\phi) = A \left(\cos \phi - \frac{\pi}{bp_0} \right), \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (7)$$

where A is the normalisation constant such that $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \psi^2(\phi) d\phi = 1$. This type of the order parameter belongs to either B_{2u} or B_{3u} irreducible representation of the orthorhombic point group [10]. The treatment of the equal spin pairing state belonging to the A_u and B_{1u} representations is mathematically more cumbersome.

The function $\psi(\phi)$ is odd function in respect to the point $\frac{\pi}{2}$: $\psi(\frac{\pi}{2} + \phi) = -\psi(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi)$, whereas the Green function is even one. Hence, the corresponding to the order parameter self energy is equal to zero [12].

The linear equation for the function $\eta(y)$ determining the upper critical field or the critical temperature $T_c(H)$ of transition to the superconducting state has the form [11, 12]

$$\eta(y) = \tilde{g} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \psi^2(\phi) \frac{d\phi}{\pi} \int_{|y-y_1| > a|\sin \phi|} \frac{2\pi T dy_1}{v_F |\sin \phi|} \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{|y-y_1|}{|\sin \phi| l} \right]}{\sinh \left[\frac{2\pi T |y-y_1|}{v_F |\sin \phi|} \right]} \mathcal{I}_0 \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{|\sin \phi|} \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y-y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y+y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \right\} \eta(y_1), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_0(x)$ is the Bessel function, $\tilde{g} = \frac{mg}{4\pi d}$ is the product of the density of states and the pairing amplitude g , a is a small distance cut-off, and $l = v_F \tau$ is the mean free path.

A. Critical temperature

In the absence of a magnetic field the equation

$$1 = \tilde{g} \int_{\frac{2\pi a T}{v_F}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sinh z} \exp \left(-\frac{z}{2\pi T \tau} \right) \quad (9)$$

rewritten as

$$\ln \frac{T_c}{T_{c0}} = \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi T_c \tau} \right) \quad (10)$$

determines the critical temperature. Here, $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function,

$$T_{c0} = \frac{v_F}{\pi a} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\tilde{g}} \right) \quad (11)$$

is the critical temperature in a perfect crystal without impurities $l = \infty$. The superconducting state suppresses completely at $l < \frac{\gamma v_F}{\pi T_{c0}}$, where $\ln \gamma = C \approx 0.577...$ is the Euler constant.

B. Ginzburg-Landau region

Near the critical temperature $T \approx T_c \gg \frac{\omega_c}{2\pi}$ the essential region of integration in Eq.(8) is limited by inequality $\delta y < \frac{v_F |\sin \phi|}{2\pi T}$. Out this region the sub-integral expression is exponentially small. Hence, the product $\frac{\omega_c}{v_F} \delta y < \frac{\omega_c}{2\pi T} |\sin \phi| \ll 1$, and the argument of the Bessel function

$$\frac{2\lambda}{|\sin \phi|} \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y-y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y+y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \approx \frac{2t}{\varepsilon_F} \frac{\delta y}{|\sin \phi|} \omega_c m \xi < \frac{2t}{\varepsilon_F} \frac{\omega_c}{2\pi T} v_F m \xi \ll 1, \quad (12)$$

proves to be small. Here, ξ is the characteristic length on which the function $\eta(y)$ changes. If $\xi \gg \delta y$ then one can expand $\eta(y_1) \approx \eta(y) + \eta'(y)(y-y_1) + \eta''(y)(y-y_1)^2/2$ under integral in Eq.(8), and also expand the Bessel function $\mathcal{I}_0(x) \approx 1 - x^2/4$. Thus, we come to the differential equation

$$\left[\ln \frac{T_{c0}}{T} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi T \tau} \right) \right] \eta(y) = -\frac{C_\psi I(\alpha)}{2} \left(\frac{v_F}{2\pi T} \right)^2 \eta''(y) + I(\alpha) \left(\frac{t\omega_c y}{\pi v_F T} \right)^2 \eta(y), \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha = (2\pi T_c \tau)^{-1}$,

$$I(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^2 dz}{\sinh z} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{2\pi T \tau}\right) = 4 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2n+1+\alpha)^3}, \quad (14)$$

$$C_\psi = \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \psi^2(\phi) \sin^2 \phi \frac{d\phi}{\pi}. \quad (15)$$

The lowest eigen value of Eq.(13) at $T \approx T_c$ is

$$\left[\ln \frac{T_{c0}}{T} + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi T \tau}\right) \right] = \frac{\sqrt{C_\psi} I(\alpha_c) t \omega_c}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 T_c^2}. \quad (16)$$

In pure case $\alpha \approx \alpha_c = (2\pi T_c \tau)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\omega_{c2}(T) = \frac{ev_F d}{c} H_{c2}(T) = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2}{7\zeta(3)\sqrt{C_\psi} t} \left(T_{c0} - \frac{\pi\beta}{8\tau} \right) (T_c - T), \quad (17)$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function,

$$T_c = T_{c0} - \frac{\pi}{8\tau}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\beta = 2 - \frac{90\zeta(4)}{7\pi^2\zeta(3)} \approx 0.83. \quad (19)$$

C. High field region

The linear temperature dependence of $H_{c2}(T)$ near T_c changes to the more fast increase at smaller temperatures. The formal continuation of the linear dependence Eq.(17) to $T = 0$ (see Fig.1) yields

$$\omega_{c2}^{lin}(0) = \frac{ev_F d}{c} H_{c2}^{lin}(0) \approx 10 \frac{T_c^2}{t}, \quad (20)$$

which can be rewritten in dimensional units in the form

$$\frac{e\hbar}{m_0 c} H_{c2}^{lin}(0) \approx \frac{10}{\hbar v_F d m_0} \frac{T_c^2}{t} \quad (21)$$

convenient for numerical comparison with experiment. Here, m_0 is the electron mass in vacuum. According to the available experimental data [1, 2, 4, 5] the values $H_{c2}^{lin}(T=0)$ are from 25 to 30 Tesla, $T_c = 1.5$ K. This gives us the possibility to estimate the magnitude of the interlayer hopping integral

$$t \lesssim \frac{1}{\hbar v_F d m_0} \text{ (Kelvin)}. \quad (22)$$

Using this estimation we see that the combination $\frac{8t}{\omega_c |\sin \phi|}$ in the argument of the Bessel function in Eq.(8) begins to be smaller than unity at fields

$$H > H_0 = \frac{8}{(\hbar v_F d m_0)^2} \text{ (Tesla)} \quad (23)$$

except the small interval of angles $(\pi - \frac{8t}{\omega_c} < \phi < \pi + \frac{8t}{\omega_c})$.

To estimate the field dependence of critical temperature at $H > H_0$ let us divide the interval of integration over the angle ϕ in the Eq.(8) as follows

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} (...) d\phi = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} (...) d\phi = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi - \frac{8t}{\omega_c}} (...) d\phi + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi - \frac{8t}{\omega_c}}^{\pi} (...) d\phi. \quad (24)$$

Thus, in the angles region $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi - \frac{8t}{\omega_c}$ at fields $H > H_0$ one can decompose the Bessel function $\mathcal{I}(x) = 1 - x^2/4$ and substituting the fast oscillating trigonometric functions by their average values we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_0 \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{|\sin \phi|} \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y - y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \sin \left[\frac{\omega_c(y + y_1)}{2v_F} \right] \right\} \approx 1 - \frac{t^2}{(\sin \phi)^2 \omega_c^2}. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, in the angles interval $\pi - \frac{8t}{\omega_c} < \phi < \pi$ it is enough to take in mind that the Bessel function is $\mathcal{I}(x) < 1$.

Making estimation of the integrals over angle ϕ we come to the equation for the critical temperature

$$1 = \tilde{g} \left[1 - \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{8t}{\omega_c} \right) \right] \int_{\frac{2\pi a T}{v_F}}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sinh z} \exp \left(-\frac{z}{2\pi T \tau} \right) \quad (26)$$

or

$$\ln \frac{T_c}{T_{c0}(H)} = \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi T_c \tau} \right), \quad (27)$$

where

$$T_{c0}(H) = T_{co} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\tilde{g}} \frac{\mathcal{O} \left(\frac{8t}{\omega_c} \right)}{1 - \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{8t}{\omega_c} \right)} \right)_{H \gg H_0} \longrightarrow T_{c0}. \quad (28)$$

Hence, in pure case

$$T_c(H) = T_{c0}(H) \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{8\tau T_{co}(H)} \right)_{H \gg H_0} \longrightarrow T_c. \quad (29)$$

Thus, in high enough fields the critical temperature of transition to superconducting state tends to its zero field value.

III. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that the quasi-two-dimensional model allows to describe the phenomenon of reentrant superconductivity in UTe₂ in magnetic fields parallel to the b -axis. The comparison of the linear upper critical field temperature dependence in the Ginzburg-Landau region with available experimental data gives the estimation of the hopping integral between the conducting layers. The smallness of its magnitude opens the possibility to the superconducting state recreation in reasonably high magnetic fields.

The treatment has several simplifications. We have considered single band model with parabolic spectrum, whereas the Fermi surface found in the paper [10] consists of sheets corresponding to one electron and one hole band with more complex spectrum. So, the given approach must be generalised taking into account the real band structure. This probably will allow to explain other peculiar observations made in UTe₂ such that half of conducting electrons seemingly do not participate in the superconductivity [1] and already mentioned the existence of the reentrant superconductivity in the extremely high magnetic fields in the angular range 20°- 40° from the b axis towards the c axis [3].

In our theory linear in respect of the order parameter we worked with the superconducting state belonging to B_{2u} or B_{3u} representations of the orthorhombic group D_{2h} . In the recent preprint [13] there was revealed the splitting of transition to the superconducting state on two subsequent transitions unnoticed in all earlier publications. For fields oriented along the a - and b -axes the splitting was found invariable in all fields. There was suggested the following sequence of transitions [13]. First, it is transition to the B_{2u} state and then to the non-unitary state with the order parameter presenting the sum of the order parameters belonging to B_{2u} and B_{3u} representations shifted in respect each other on the phase angle $\pi/2$. The description of the second transition is out the applicability of linear theory. However, the main conclusion about the stability of superconducting state in high fields parallel to b -axis is valid also for non-unitary superconductivity with the order parameter presenting the combination of the order parameters relating to B_{2u} and B_{3u} representations.

In conclusion I consider it my pleasant duty to express my gratitudes to Jean-Pascal Brison for the useful discussions of results and to Sheng Ran for his interest in the work.

-
- [1] S.Ran, C.Eckberg, Q.-P. Ding, Y.Furukawa, T.Metz, S.R.Saha, I-Lin Liu, V.Zic, H.Kim, J.Paglione, N.P.Butch, *Science* **365**, 684 (2019).
 - [2] D.Aoki, A.Nakamura, F.Honda, DeXin Li, Y.Homma, Y.Shimizu, Y.J.Sato, G.Knebel, J.-P.Brison, A. Pourret, D. Braithwaite, G.Lapertot, Qun Niu, M.Valiska, H.Harima, and J.Flouquet, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **88**, 043702 (2019).
 - [3] S.Ran, I-Lin Liu, YunSuk Eo, D.J.Campbell, P.M.Neves, W.T.Fuhrman, S.R.Saha, C.Eckberg, H.Kim, D.Graf, F.Balakirev, J.Singleton, J.Paglione and N.Butch, *Nature Physics* **15**,1250 (2019).
 - [4] G.Knebel, W.Knafo, A.Pourret, Qun Niu, M.Valiska, D.Braithwaite, G.Lapertot, M.Nardone, A.Zitouni, S.Mishra, I.Sheikin, G.Seyfarth, J.-P.Brison, D.Aoki, J.Flouquet, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **88**, 063707 (2019).
 - [5] Q.Niu, G.Knebel, D.Braithwaite, D.Aoki, G.Lapertot, M.Valiska, G.Seyfarth, W.Knafo, T.Helm, J.-P.Brison, J.Flouquet, and A.Pourret, arXiv:2003.08986 [cond-mat] (2020).
 - [6] D.Aoki, K.Ishida and J.Flouquet, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **88**, 022001 (2019).
 - [7] V.P.Mineev, *Annals of Physics (NY)*, to be published (2020).
 - [8] V.P.Mineev, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **187**, 129 (2017) [*Phys.-Usp.* **60**, 121 (2017)].
 - [9] A.Miyake, Y.Shimizu, Y.J.Sato, De Xin Li, A.Nakamura, Y.Homma, F.Honda, J.Flouquet, M.Tokunaga, and D.Aoki, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **88**, 063706 (2019).
 - [10] Yuanji Xu, Yutao Sheng, and Yi-feng Yang, *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **123**, 217002 (2019).
 - [11] A.G.Lebed' and K.Yamaji, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2697 (1998)
 - [12] V.P.Mineev, *J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.* **69**, 3371 (2000).
 - [13] I.M. Hayes, Di S. Wei, T. Metz, Jian Zhang, Yun Suk Eo, S.Ran, S.R.Saha, J. Collini, N. P. Butch, D.F. Agterberg, A. Kapitulnik, and J. Paglione, arXiv: 2002.02539.




Рис. 1: (Color online) Sketch of the critical temperature $T_c(H)$ magnetic field dependence in UTe_2 for field parallel to b -axis according to the paper [5]. N, S, NF stand for normal, superconducting and normal ferromagnetic phases correspondingly. Thin straight dashed line shows abnormally large initial slope of $H_{c2}(T)$ temperature dependence.