

Global existence and convergence of a flow to Kazdan-Warner equation with non-negative prescribed function[☆]

Linlin Sun^{a,b}, Jingyong Zhu^{c,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China*

^b*Hubei Key Laboratory of Computational Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China*

^c*Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstrasse 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

Abstract

We consider an evolution problem associated to the Kazdan-Warner equation on a closed Riemann surface (Σ, g)

$$-\Delta_g u = 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g} - \frac{1}{\int_{\Sigma} d\mu_g} \right)$$

where the prescribed function $h \geq 0$ and $\max_{\Sigma} h > 0$. We prove the global existence and convergence under additional assumptions such as

$$\Delta_g \ln h(p_0) + 8\pi - 2K(p_0) > 0$$

for any maximum point p_0 of the sum of $2 \ln h$ and the regular part of the Green function, where K is the Gaussian curvature of Σ . In particular, this gives a new proof of the existence result by Yang and Zhu [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 9, 3953-3959] which generalizes existence result of Ding, Jost, Li and Wang [Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 2, 230-248] to the non-negative prescribed function case.

Keywords: Kazdan-Warner equation, mean field type flow, global existence, global convergence.

2010 MSC: 35B33, 58J35

1. Introduction

Let Σ be a closed Riemann surface with a fixed conformal structure. Choose a conformal metric g in the conformal class such that the area of $\Sigma_g := (\Sigma, g)$ is one. Let h be a non-negative but nonzero smooth function on Σ . We consider the following Kazdan-Warner equation

$$-\Delta_g u = 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g} - 1 \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Here Δ_g is the LaplaceBeltrami operator. The solutions to (1.1) are the critical points of the following functional:

$$J(u) := \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u|_g^2 + 8\pi u \right) d\mu_g - 8\pi \ln \left(\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g \right).$$

[☆]This research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971358, 11801420) and the Youth Talent Training Program of Wuhan University. The first author would like thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences for good working conditions when this work was carried out.

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: sunll@whu.edu.cn (Linlin Sun), jizhu@mis.mpg.de (Jingyong Zhu)

Many mathematicians have contributed to the study of Kazdan-Warner equation. Forty years ago, Kazdan and Warner [22] considered the solvability of the equation

$$-\Delta_g u = h e^u - \rho,$$

where ρ is a constant and h is some smooth prescribed function. When $\rho > 0$, the equation above is equivalent to

$$-\Delta_g u = \rho(h e^u - 1).$$

In particular, when Σ_g is the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , it is called the Nirenberg problem, which comes from the conformal geometry. It has been studied by Moser [26], Kazdan and Warner [22], Chen and Ding [10], Chang and Yang [7] and others.

The Kazdan-Warner equation can be also viewed as a special case of the following mean field equation:

$$-\Delta_g u = \rho \left(\frac{f e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} f e^u d\mu_g} - 1 \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where f is a smooth function on Σ . The mean field equation (1.2) appears in various context such as the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs models (see for example [3, 30, 31]). When $f > 0$, the equation (1.2) is equivalent to the following equation:

$$-\Delta_g u = \rho \frac{e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} e^u d\mu_g} - Q, \quad (1.3)$$

where $Q \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ is a given function such that $\int_{\Sigma} Q d\mu_g = \rho$. The existence of solutions of (1.3) has been widely studied in recent decades. Many partial existence results have been obtained for noncritical cases according to the Euler characteristic of Σ (see for example Brezis and Merle [2], Chen and Lin [9], Ding, Jost, Li and Wang [13], Lin [24], Malchiodi [25] and the references therein). Djadli [14] established the existence of solutions for all surfaces Σ when $\rho \neq 8k\pi$ by studying the topology of sublevels to achieve a min-max scheme which already introduced by Djadli and Malchiodi in [15].

The following evolution problem associated to (1.3) was also well studied by Castéras for noncritical cases.

$$\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t} = \Delta_g u + \rho \frac{e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} e^u d\mu_g} - Q, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \quad (1.4)$$

where $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Sigma)$. This flow possesses a structure that is very similar to the Calabi and Ricci-Hamilton flows. When Q is a constant equal to the scalar curvature of Σ with respect to the metric g , the flow (1.4) has been studied by Struwe [28]. A flow approaching to Nirenberg's problem was studied by Struwe in [29]. The global existence and convergence of (1.4) were proved by Castéras in [4]. However, the convergence result there does not include the critical cases, i.e. $\rho = 8k\pi$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently, when $\rho = 8\pi$, a sufficient condition for convergence was given by Li and Zhu in [23]. This gives a new proof of the result of Ding, Jost, Li and Wang in [12] which was extended by Lin and Chen to general critical cases [8] and recently generalized by Yang and Zhu to non-negative prescribed function cases in [32].

Motivated by these results, we consider the following evolution problem for (1.1) with non-negative prescribed function:

$$\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t} = \Delta_g u + 8\pi \left(\frac{h e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g} - 1 \right), \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \quad (1.5)$$

where $u_0 \in H^2(\Sigma)$ and h is a non-negative but nonzero smooth function on Σ . Since the prescribed function h may be zero on some nonempty subset of Σ , the global existence and convergence of this flow are subtle. Precisely, we can not use the lower bound of h to do a priori estimates. Therefore, Castéras's proof of global existence for positive

prescribed function does not apply to our situation. In addition, the condition (ii) of (1.6) in Castéras's compactness result [5] actually assumes

$$-\frac{\partial e^{u_n}}{\partial t} + \rho e^{u_n} \geq -C, \quad \forall x \in \Sigma, \forall n \geq 1,$$

for a sequence of time-slices $u_n := u(\cdot, t_n)$. This condition was proved in Proposition 2.1 [4]. However, the proof also need the prescribed function h to be positive. Thus, our a priori estimates in the proof of global existence and blow-up analysis used in the proof of global convergence are both new.

First, we prove the global existence of the flow (1.5).

Theorem 1.1 (Global existence). *For $u_0 \in H^2(\Sigma)$, there is a unique global solution $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (0, \infty))$ to (1.5) with*

$$u \in \cap_{0 < T < \infty} (L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Sigma)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \cap H^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Sigma))).$$

Moreover, for every $0 < T < \infty$, there is a positive constant $C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)})$ depending only on T , the upper bound of $\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ and Σ_g ,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} + \left(\int_0^T \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u(t)}{\partial t^2} \right\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma)}^2 \right) dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}), \quad (1.6)$$

where $u(t) := u(\cdot, t)$. In particular, if u_0 is smooth, then u is smooth. Here the Sobolev spaces $H^k(0, T; X) := W^{k,2}(0, T; X)$ and $W^{k,p}(0, T; X)$ consists of all functions $u \in L^p(X \times [0, T])$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \dots, \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial t^k}$ exists in the weak sense and belongs to $L^p(X \times [0, T])$ and

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(0,T;X)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^T \left(\|u(t)\|_X^p + \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| \frac{\partial^i u(t)}{\partial t^i} \right\|_X^p \right) dt \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\|u(t)\|_X + \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| \frac{\partial^i u(t)}{\partial t^i} \right\|_X \right), & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then it is interesting to consider the convergence of the flow. To do so, we begin with the monotonicity formula. It gives us that a sequence of positive numbers $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with

$$\int_\Sigma e^{u_n} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $u_n := u(t_n)$. If $\|u_n\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ is uniformly bounded, then u_n subsequentially converges to a smooth solution of (1.1). Otherwise, we can get the following lower bound of the functional J along the flow (1.5).

Theorem 1.2. *If the flow (1.1) develops a singularity at the infinity, then we have*

$$J(u(t)) \geq C_0 = -4\pi \max_{x \in \Sigma} (A(x) + 2 \ln h(x)) - 8\pi \ln \pi - 8\pi, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where A is the regular part of the Green function G which has the following expansion in the normal coordinate system:

$$G(x, p) = -4 \ln r + A(p) + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + c_1 x_1^2 + 2c_2 x_1 x_2 + c_3 x_2^2 + O(r^3),$$

where $r(x) = \operatorname{dist}_g(x, p)$.

Last, by imposing certain geometric condition, we get functions whose value under J is strictly less than C_0 . Consequently, when the flow starts with these functions, the previous u_n will converges in $H^2(\Sigma)$. Moreover, it follows from the Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality that the convergence of the flow is actually global in time.

Theorem 1.3 (Global convergence). *There exists an initial data $u_0 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $u(t)$ converges in $H^2(\Sigma)$ to a smooth solution of (1.1) provided that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_g h(p_0) + 2(b_1(p_0)k_1(p_0) + b_2(p_0)k_2(p_0)) \\ & > -(8\pi + b_1^2(p_0) + b_2^2(p_0) - 2K(p_0))h(p_0), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature of Σ , $\nabla_g h(p_0) = (k_1(p_0), k_2(p_0))$ in the normal coordinate system, p_0 is the maximum point of the function $q \mapsto A(q) + 2 \ln h(q)$.

Remark 1.4. As pointed by Ding, Li, Jost and Wang in [12, Remark 1.1], the inequality (1.7) is implied by the following one:

$$\Delta_g \ln h(p_0) + 8\pi - 2K(p_0) > 0$$

where p_0 is the maximum point of the function $q \mapsto A(q) + 2 \ln h(q)$.

Remark 1.5. For $\rho \in (0, 8\pi)$ and any initial data $u_0 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$, by using a similarly argument, the

$$\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t} = \Delta_g u + \rho \left(\frac{h e^u}{\int_\Sigma h e^u d\mu_g} - 1 \right), \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$$

admits a unique global smooth solution which converges to a solution to

$$\Delta_g u_\infty + \rho \left(\frac{h e^{u_\infty}}{\int_\Sigma h e^{u_\infty} d\mu_g} - 1 \right) = 0.$$

The remaining part of this paper will be organized as follows. In section 2, we prove the global existence of the flow (1.5). In section 3, we prove the number of the singularities is at most one. In section 4, we show the lower bound of J along the flow if the singularity occurs. In the last section 5, we prove the global convergence of the flow.

2. Global existence

The aim of this section is to prove the global existence of the mean field flow (1.5), i.e. Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, we assume $u_0 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. Since the flow is parabolic, the short time existence of (1.5) follows from the standard method (e.g. [19]). Thus, there exists $T > 0$ such that $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times [0, T])$ is a solution of (1.5).

Along the flow (1.5), it is easy to see

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Sigma e^{u(t)} d\mu_g = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} J(u(t)) = - \int_\Sigma e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g. \quad (2.2)$$

According to (2.2) and (2.1), we get

$$\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g u(t)|_g^2 + 8\pi u(t) \right) d\mu_g \leq J(u_0) + 8\pi \ln \max_\Sigma h + 8\pi \ln \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g. \quad (2.3)$$

Recall the Trudinger-Moser inequality (cf. [18, Theorem 1.7])

$$\ln \int_\Sigma e^u d\mu_g \leq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 d\mu_g + \int_\Sigma u d\mu_g + c, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Sigma), \quad (2.4)$$

where c is a constant depending only on the Riemann surface (Σ, g) . As an immediately consequence of (2.4),

$$J(u(t)) \geq 8\pi \ln \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g - 8\pi c - 8\pi \ln \int_{\Sigma} h e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \quad (2.5)$$

and (2.1) imply that

$$0 < C^{-1} \exp(-C \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2) \leq \int_{\Sigma} h e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \leq C \exp(C \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2). \quad (2.6)$$

Together with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e^{pu(t)} d\mu_g &= p \int_{\Sigma} e^{(p-1)u(t)} \left(\Delta_g u(t) + 8\pi \frac{h e^{u(t)}}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{u(t)} d\mu_g} - 8\pi \right) d\mu_g \\ &= -p(p-1) \int_{\Sigma} e^{(p-1)u(t)} |\nabla_g u(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + 8p\pi \left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{pu(t)} d\mu_g}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{u(t)} d\mu_g} - \int_{\Sigma} e^{(p-1)u(t)} d\mu_g \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e^{pu(t)} d\mu_g \leq Cp \exp(C \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2) \int_{\Sigma} e^{pu(t)} d\mu_g.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{pu(t)} d\mu_g \leq \exp[Cp \exp(C \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2) t] \int_{\Sigma} e^{pu_0} d\mu_g, \quad \forall p \geq 1. \quad (2.7)$$

In order to get the global existence of solution when $u_0 \in H^1(\Sigma)$, it is necessary to derive several a priori estimates (1.6). To do this, we split three steps.

Step 1 $\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)})$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Set

$$A(t) = \left\{ x \in \Sigma : e^{u(x,t)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u_0} d\mu_g \right\}$$

According to (2.1) and (2.7), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{u_0} d\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus A(t)} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g + \int_{A(t)} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u_0} d\mu_g + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) |A(t)|_g^{1/2},$$

where $|A(t)|_g$ stands for the area of $A(t)$. This gives

$$|A(t)|_g \geq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)})^{-1} > 0, \quad \left| \int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}(t)| &:= \left| \int_{\Sigma} u(t) d\mu_g \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Sigma \setminus A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| + \left| \int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| \\ &\leq |\Sigma \setminus A(t)|^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Sigma \setminus A(t)} u(t)^2 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2} + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{1 - C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)})}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}).$$

Then, by Poincaré inequality, we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + |\bar{u}(t)| \leq c \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \sqrt{1 - C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)})}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}),$$

which implies the following L^2 -estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) (1 + \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}). \quad (2.8)$$

Now, applying Young's inequality to (2.3), we obtain

$$C \geq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g - \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} u(t)^2 d\mu_g - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

Choosing small ε such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}).$$

Thus, together with (2.8), we can conclude that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}). \quad (2.9)$$

Step 2 $\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} + \left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)})$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Set $w(t) = e^{\frac{u(t)}{2}} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g &= \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g u(t) \Delta_g \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(e^{\frac{u(t)}{2}} w(t) - 8\pi \left(\frac{he^{u(t)}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u(t)} d\mu_g} - 1 \right) \right) \Delta_g \left(e^{-\frac{u(t)}{2}} w(t) \right) d\mu_g \\ &= - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} w(t)^2 |\nabla_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g \\ &\quad + \frac{8\pi}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u(t)} d\mu_g} \int_{\Sigma} \left(e^{\frac{u(t)}{2}} (\nabla_g h + h \nabla_g u(t)), \nabla_g w(t) - \frac{1}{2} w(t) \nabla_g u(t) \right)_g d\mu_g. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.6) and (2.9), we know that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} + \frac{1}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u(t)} d\mu_g} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}).$$

Therefore, Young's inequality implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g \leq - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma} w(t)^2 |\nabla_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) (1 + \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2).$$

Since for all $f \in H^1(\Sigma)$, we have the following interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|f\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}. \quad (2.10)$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma} w(t)^2 |\nabla_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g &\leq c \|w(t)\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \\
&\leq c \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \\
&\leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \leq c \|u\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \|u\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) \|u\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta_g u(t)|^2 d\mu_g &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} w(t)^2 d\mu_g + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\
&\quad + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) (1 + \|u\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) (1 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2) (1 + \|\Delta_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(1 + \|\Delta_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(\tau)|_g^2 d\mu_g d\tau \right) \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) (1 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2).$$

Together with $u_0 \in H^2(\Sigma)$, we obtain

$$\ln \left(1 + \|\Delta_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(\tau)|_g^2 d\mu_g d\tau \right) \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}) + C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}) \int_0^T (1 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2) dt.$$

By (2.2), we know that

$$\int_0^T \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g dt = J(u(0)) - J(u(T)) \leq C.$$

Consequently, by using Sobolev embedding, we conclude

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} + \left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}).$$

Step 3 $\left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)})$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Differential the equation (1.5) with respect to t , we get

$$e^u \ddot{u} + e^u \dot{u}^2 = \Delta \dot{u} + 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u \dot{u}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g} - \frac{he^u \int_{\Sigma} he^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g \right)^2} \right)$$

where $\ddot{u} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$ and $\dot{u} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. Then for all $\psi \in H^1(\Sigma)$ with $\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma} \ddot{u} \psi d\mu_g &= \int_{\Sigma} e^{-u} \left(\Delta \dot{u} + 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u \dot{u}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g} - \frac{he^u \int_{\Sigma} he^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g \right)^2} \right) \right) \psi d\mu_g - \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{u}|^2 \psi \mu_g \\
&= - \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla_g \dot{u}, \nabla_g \dot{u} \psi + \nabla_g \psi \rangle_g e^{-u} d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma} 8\pi \left(\frac{h \dot{u}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g} - \frac{h \int_{\Sigma} he^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} he^u d\mu_g \right)^2} \right) \psi d\mu_g - \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{u}|^2 \psi \mu_g \\
&\leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}) \|\dot{u}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\ddot{u}(t)\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma)} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}) \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}$ which implies the desired estimate.

Since we have the following embedding (cf. [16, page 304, Theorem 2] and [16, page 305, Theorem 3])

$$C(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \subset H^1(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)), \quad C(0, T; L^2(\Sigma)) \subset L^2(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^{-1}(\Sigma)),$$

we get

$$u \in \cap_{0 < T < \infty} (L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Sigma)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \cap H^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Sigma)) \cap C(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \cap C^1(0, T; L^2(\Sigma))).$$

By using the parabolic Sobolev embedding theorems (cf. [6, pages 368-369]) together with the interpolation inequality (2.10), we get

$$u \in \cap_{0 < T < \infty} W_4^{2,1}(\Sigma \times [0, T]) \subset \cap_{0 < T < \infty} C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\Sigma \times [0, T]), \quad \forall 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Here $W_p^{2,1}(\Sigma \times [0, T]) = L^p(0, T; W^{2,p}(\Sigma)) \cap W^{1,p}(0, T; L^p(\Sigma))$ stands for the usual parabolic Sobolev space.

Then the standard regularity theory for parabolic equation gives

$$\|u(t)\|_{C^{2+k+\alpha, (2+k+\alpha)/2}(\Sigma \times [0, T])} \leq C(T, k, \|u_0\|_{C^{2+k+\alpha}(\Sigma)})$$

for all integer number $k \geq 0$. In particular, we can extend this flow to infinity and u is smooth in $\Sigma \times (0, \infty)$.

Now assume $u_0 \in H^2(\Sigma)$ and choose a sequence of smooth functions $u_{0,\varepsilon}$ on Σ such that $u_{0,\varepsilon}$ converges to u_0 in $H^2(\Sigma)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let u_ε be the unique smooth solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \Delta_g u_\varepsilon + 8\pi \left(\frac{h e^{u_\varepsilon}}{\int_\Sigma h e^{u_\varepsilon} d\mu_g} - 1 \right), & \Sigma \times (0, \infty), \\ u_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) = u_{0,\varepsilon}, & \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

The a priori estimates (1.6) gives the following estimates

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} + \left(\int_0^T \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon(t)}{\partial t^2} \right\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma)}^2 \right) dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}), \quad \forall 0 < T < \infty.$$

Thus we obtain a solution $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (0, \infty))$ to (1.5) with

$$u \in \cap_{0 < T < \infty} (L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Sigma)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^1(\Sigma)) \cap H^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Sigma)))$$

and the desired a priori estimates (1.6).

To prove the uniqueness of the solution, we assume that u and v are two solutions to (1.5) with initial data u_0 and v_0 respectively. Denote $w = u - v$. By direct computations, we have

$$a \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \Delta_g w + f - bw \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \int_0^1 e^{su+(1-s)v} ds, \quad b = \int_0^1 e^{su+(1-s)v} \left(s \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (1-s) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) ds = \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}, \\ f &= 8\pi \int_0^1 \frac{h e^{su+(1-s)v}}{\int_\Sigma h e^{su+(1-s)v} d\mu_g} w ds - 8\pi \int_0^1 \frac{h e^{su+(1-s)v} \int_\Sigma h e^{su+(1-s)v} w d\mu_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma h e^{su+(1-s)v} d\mu_g \right)^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that there is a constant C depends only on $T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ such that for all $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$C^{-1} \leq a(t) \leq C, \quad |b(t)| \leq C \left(\left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} \right| \right),$$

$$|f(t)| \leq C \left(|w(t)| + \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right), \quad f(t)w(t) \leq Cw(t)^2.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} a(t)w(t)^2 d\mu_g &= \int_{\Sigma} b(t)w(t)^2 d\mu_g + 2 \int_{\Sigma} a(t)w(t) \frac{\partial w(t)}{\partial t} d\mu_g \\ &= -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + 2 \int_{\Sigma} f(t)w(t) d\mu_g - \int_{\Sigma} b(t)w(t)^2 d\mu_g \\ &\leq - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g + C \int_{\Sigma} a(t)w(t)^2 d\mu_g. \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall's inequality implies

$$\int_{\Sigma} w(t)^2 d\mu_g \leq C \left[\int_{\Sigma} a(t)w(t)^2 d\mu_g + \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 d\mu_g dt \right] \leq C \|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2, \quad \forall 0 < t < T. \quad (2.12)$$

The uniqueness then follows from the above inequality and we finish the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. One check that the difference of two solutions u and v satisfies

$$\|u - v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Sigma \times [0, T])} \leq C \left(T, \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \right) \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}.$$

The proof is standard. Roughly speaking, (2.11) implies

$$\left| a^{1/2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - a^{-1/2} \Delta_g w \right|^2 = a \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right|^2 + a^{-1} |\Delta_g w|^2 - 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \Delta_g w \right\rangle_g.$$

Integration by parts,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left(a \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} w \right|^2 + a^{-1} |\Delta_g w|^2 \right) d\mu_g + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 d\mu_g \leq C \int_{\Sigma} |w|^2 d\mu_g + C \left(\int_{\Sigma} |b|^4 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |w|^4 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2}.$$

Applying the interpolation inequality (2.10) and the L^2 -estimate (2.12) of the w , we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \left(a \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} w \right|^2 + a^{-1} |\Delta_g w|^2 \right) d\mu_g dt + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g \leq C \int_{\Sigma} |u_0 - v_0|^2 d\mu_g$$

where the constant C depends only on T , $\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$ and $\|v_0\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}$.

3. Blowup analysis

In this section, we prove an estimate of a Dirac measure at the blowup points. Consequently, we show the fact that the flow develops at most one blowup point when the time goes to infinity.

According to (2.2) and (2.5), we know that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g dt \leq C.$$

There is a sequence of positive numbers $\{t_n\}$ such that $n \leq t_n \leq n+1$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t_n)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t_n)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g = 0.$$

Set

$$u_n = u(t_n), \quad V_n = \frac{8\pi h}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{u_n} d\mu_g}, \quad \rho = 8\pi, \quad f_n = e^{u_n/2} \frac{\partial u(t_n)}{\partial t}, \quad (3.1)$$

then

$$-\Delta_g u_n = V_n e^{u_n} - \rho - f_n e^{u_n/2}, \quad \text{in } \Sigma, \quad (3.2)$$

and u_n, V_n, ρ, f_n are smooth functions on Σ satisfying

$$\rho > 0, \quad 0 \leq V_n \leq C, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

One can check that

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{u_n} d\mu_g \leq C. \quad (3.4)$$

We say that a sequence $\{u_n\}$ which satisfies (3.2) and (3.3) is a blowup sequence if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Sigma} u_n = +\infty$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\{u_n\}$ is not a blowup sequence, then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. By definition, $\{u_n^+\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$. By the standard elliptic estimates and the normalization $\int_{\Sigma} d\mu_g = 1$, we conclude that $\{u_n - \bar{u}_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\Sigma)$, where $\bar{u}_n := \bar{u}(t_n) = \int_{\Sigma} u(t_n) d\mu_g$. By Jensen's inequality, according to (3.4), we have $\bar{u}_n \leq C$. It suffices to prove that $\bar{u}_n \geq -C$. Otherwise, there is a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ such that $\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_{n_k} = -\infty$. Notice that

$$-\Delta_g (u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k}) = V_{n_k} e^{\bar{u}_{n_k}} e^{u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k}} - \rho - f_{n_k} e^{\bar{u}_{n_k}/2} e^{(u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k})/2}, \quad \text{in } \Sigma.$$

We may assume $u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k}$ converges weakly to \hat{u} in $H^2(\Sigma)$ and strongly in $L^1(\Sigma)$. Then $\{e^{p(u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k})}\}$ converges strongly to $e^{p\hat{u}}$ in $L^1(\Sigma)$ for each $p > 0$. Thus \hat{u} is a weak solution to

$$-\Delta_g \hat{u} = -\rho.$$

It is well known that $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $\rho = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\Sigma)$. \square

From now on, we assume $\{u_n\}$ is a blowup sequence. Since $\{V_n e^{u_n}\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Sigma)$, we may assume $\{V_n e^{u_n} d\mu_g\}$ converges to a nonzero Radon measure μ on Σ in the sense of measures. By using the method of potential estimates (cf. [20, Lemma 7.12]), we get

$$\|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \leq C_p, \quad \forall 1 \leq p < 2.$$

We may assume $\{u_n - \bar{u}_n\}$ converges weakly to G in $W^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ and strongly in $L^p(\Sigma)$ for every $1 < p < 2$. Hence U satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g G = \mu - \rho, & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \int_{\Sigma} G d\mu_g = 0, \end{cases}$$

in the sense of distribution. Define the singular set S of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ as follows

$$S = \{x \in \Sigma : \mu(\{x\}) \geq 4\pi\}$$

It is easy to check that S is a finite nonempty subset of Σ .

Recall Brezis-Merle's estimate ([2, Theorem 1]).

Lemma 3.2 (cf. [12]). Let $\Omega \subset \Sigma$ be a smooth domain. Assume u is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. For every $0 < \delta < 4\pi$, there is a constant C depending only on δ and Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp\left(\frac{(4\pi - \delta)|u|}{\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}\right) d\mu_g \leq C.$$

As a consequence, we have the following Lemma (cf. [12, Lemma 2.8]).

Lemma 3.3. If $x \notin S$, then there is a geodesic ball $B_r^g(x) \subset \Sigma \setminus S$ and a positive constant $C = C_x$ such that

$$\|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{L^\infty(B_r^g(x))} \leq C.$$

Proof. There exist $\delta = \delta_x \in (0, 2\pi)$, $r = r_x \in (0, \text{inj}(\Sigma)/4)$, $N = N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{B_{4r}^g(x)} |V_n e^{u_n} - f_n e^{u_n/2}| d\mu_g \leq 4\pi - 2\delta, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g y_n = -\rho, & \text{in } B_{4r}^g(x), \\ y_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial B_{4r}^g(x). \end{cases}$$

It is well known that $\{y_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(B_{4r}^g(x))$. Solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g w_n = V_n e^{u_n} - f_n e^{u_n/2}, & \text{in } B_{4r}^g(x), \\ w_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial B_{4r}^g(x). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

According to Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|e^{w_n}\|_{L^p(B_{4r}^g(x))} \leq C, \quad p = \frac{4\pi - \delta}{4\pi - 2\delta} > 1.$$

In particular, $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))$. Since $h_n := u_n - \bar{u}_n - y_n - w_n$ is harmonic in $B_{4r}^g(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_n\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}^g(x))} &\leq C \|h_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))} \\ &\leq C (\|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))} + \|y_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))} + \|w_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))}) \\ &\leq C (\|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{L^1(\Sigma)} + \|w_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))} + \|y_n\|_{L^1(B_{4r}^g(x))}) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|e^{u_n}\|_{L^p(B_{2r}^g(x))} \leq C.$$

Applying the standard elliptic estimates for (3.5), we get

$$\|w_n\|_{L^\infty(B_r^g(x))} \leq C.$$

Hence

$$\|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{L^\infty(B_r^g(x))} \leq C.$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *If $\{u_n\}$ is a blowup sequence, then S is nonempty and*

$$S = \left\{ x \in \Sigma : \exists \{x_n\} \subset \Sigma, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x_n) = +\infty \right\}$$

Moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_n = -\infty$. Thus $\{u_n\}$ converges to $-\infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Sigma \setminus S$ and $\mu = \sum_{x \in S} \mu(\{x\}) \delta_x$ is a Dirac measure.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.3, we know that $\{u_n - \bar{u}_n\}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^\infty(\Sigma \setminus S)$.

If $S = \emptyset$, then $\{u_n - \bar{u}_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ which implies that $\{u_n^+\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ which is a contradiction.

We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_n = -\infty$. Otherwise, there is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which also denoted by $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\bar{u}_n \geq -C.$$

For $x \in S$, choose $r > 0$ such that $B_{2r}^g(x) \cap S = \{x\}$. According to Lemma 3.3, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^\infty(B_{2r}^g(x) \setminus \{x\})$. In particular, $M := \sup_n \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_r^g(x))} < \infty$. Solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g z_n = V_n e^{u_n} - \rho - f_n e^{u_n/2}, & \text{in } B_r^g(x), \\ z_n = -M, & \text{on } B_r^g(x). \end{cases}$$

By potential estimates, we know that z_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(B_r^g(x))$ for all $1 < p < 2$. Thus, up to a subsequence, z_n converges weakly to $z \in W^{1,p}(B_r^g(x))$ for all $1 < p < 2$ and strongly in $L^q(B_r^g(x))$ for all $1 < q < \infty$. Then z is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g z = \mu(\{x\}) \delta_x - \rho, & \text{in } B_r^g(x), \\ z = -M, & \text{on } B_r^g(x). \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$z(\cdot) \geq -\frac{\mu(\{x\})}{2\pi} \ln \text{dist}_g(\cdot, x) - C.$$

Since $\mu(\{x\}) \geq 4\pi$, we get

$$\int_{B_r^g(x)} e^z d\mu_g = \infty.$$

On the other hand, the maximum principle implies that $z_n \leq u_n$. By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\infty = \int_{B_r^g(x)} e^z d\mu_g \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r^g(x)} e^{z_n} d\mu_g \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r^g(x)} e^{u_n} d\mu_g \leq C,$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence $\{u_n\}$ converges to $-\infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Sigma \setminus S$. Thus for every domain $\Omega \subset \Sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Omega) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_n e^{u_n} d\mu_g \\ &= \sum_{x \in S} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^g(x)} V_n e^{u_n} d\mu_g + \sum_{x \in S} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r^g(x)} V_n e^{u_n} d\mu_g \\ &= \sum_{x \in S \cap \Omega} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r^g(x)} V_n e^{u_n} d\mu_g \\ &= \sum_{x \in S \cap \Omega} \mu(\{x\}) \\ &= \mu(\Omega \cap S). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\mu = \sum_{x \in S} \mu(\{x\}) \delta_x$ is a Dirac measure.

According to [Lemma 3.3](#), we obtain

$$\left\{ x \in \Sigma : \exists \{x_n\} \subset \Sigma, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x_n) = +\infty \right\} \subset S.$$

For $x_0 \in S$, choose a geodesic ball $B_{2r}^g(x_0)$ such that $B_{2r}^g(x_0) \cap S = \{x_0\}$. Choose $x_n \in \overline{B_r^g(x_0)}$ such that

$$\lambda_n := \max_{\overline{B_r^g(x_0)}} u_n = u_n(x_n).$$

Case 1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$.

Otherwise, up to a subsequence, $\{u_n^+\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(B_r^g(x_0))$. Thus $\{e^{u_n}\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(B_r^g(x_0))$ which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$.

Otherwise, up to a subsequence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \tilde{x} \in \overline{B_r(x_0)} \setminus \{x_0\}$. Thus \tilde{x} is not a singular point which is impossible according [Lemma 3.3](#) and the above claim.

Consequently,

$$S \subset \left\{ x \in \Sigma : \exists \{x_n\} \subset \Sigma, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x_n) = +\infty \right\}$$

□

Now we want to prove that $\mu(\{x_0\}) \geq 8\pi$. We assume additionally that V_n converges to V in $C^0(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For each $x_0 \in S$, we have $V(x_0) > 0$ and $\mu(\{x_0\}) \geq 8\pi$.*

Proof. Assume $B_{2r}^g(x_0) \cap S = \{x_0\}$. Choose $x_n \in B_{2r}^g(x_0)$ such that

$$\lambda_n := \max_{\overline{B_r^g(x_0)}} u_n = u_n(x_n).$$

It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = +\infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0.$$

Now choose a conformal coordinate $\{x\}$ centered at x_0 . We have $g = e^{\phi(x)} |dx|^2$ and

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_n = V_n e^\phi e^{u_n} - e^\phi \rho - f_n e^\phi e^{u_n/2}, \quad |x| < 2\tilde{r}.$$

Consider

$$\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) - \lambda_n,$$

then for $|x| < e^{\lambda_n/2} \tilde{r}$,

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}_n(x) = V_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) e^{\phi(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x)} e^{\tilde{u}_n(x)} - e^{\phi(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x)} \rho - f_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) e^{\phi(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) - \lambda_n/2} e^{\tilde{u}_n(x)/2}.$$

We have $\tilde{u}_n \leq 0$, $\tilde{u}_n(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{e^{\lambda_n/2} \tilde{r}}} e^{\tilde{u}_n} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} &\leq \int_{B_{2r}^g(x_0)} e^{u_n} d\mu_g \leq C, \\ \int_{B_{e^{\lambda_n/2} \tilde{r}}} \left| f_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) e^{\phi(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) - \lambda_n/2} \right|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} &\leq \int_{B_{2r}^g(x_0)} f_n^2 d\mu_g \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus up to a subsequence, $\{\tilde{u}_n\}$ converges weakly to \tilde{u}_∞ in $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and strongly in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In particular, \tilde{u}_∞ is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}_\infty = V(x_0) e^{\phi(0)} e^{\tilde{u}_\infty}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\tilde{u}_\infty} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

By a classification theorem of Chen-Li [11], we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x_0) e^{\phi(0)} e^{\tilde{u}_\infty} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} = 8\pi.$$

In particular $V(x_0) > 0$. By Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x_0) e^{\phi(0)} e^{\tilde{u}_\infty} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} V(x_0) e^{\phi(0)} e^{\tilde{u}_\infty} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} V_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) e^{\phi(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x)} e^{\tilde{u}_n(x)} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{e^{-\lambda_n/2} R}^g(x_n)} V_n(x_n + e^{-\lambda_n/2} x) e^{u_n} d\mu_g \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r^g(x_0)} V e^{u_n} d\mu_g \\ &= \mu(\{x_0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mu(\{x_0\}) \geq 8\pi.$$

□

In our initial model (3.1), we must have $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 8\pi$ and $\#S = 1$. Moreover,

$$V = \lim_n V_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{8\pi h}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{u_n} d\mu_g} = \frac{8\pi h}{h(x_0) \int_{\Sigma} e^{u_0} d\mu_g}$$

and $h(x_0) > 0$.

4. Lower bound for the functional

In this section, we give a lower bound for $J(u(t))$ along the flow, i.e. we give the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose our flow develops a singularity as time goes to infinity, we will analyse the asymptotic behavior of the flow near and away from the blow-up point and derive a lower bound of $J(u)$. From the previous compactness argument, there is only one blow-up point when $\rho = 8\pi$, denoted by x_0 . Then there is a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0, \quad \lambda_n = u_n(x_n) = \max_{\Sigma} u_n = \max_{\Sigma} u(t_n) = +\infty,$$

where $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In an isothermal coordinate system $\{x\}$ around x_0 , we still denote u_n and x_n in this coordinate by u_n and x_n , respectively. Set $r_n = e^{-\lambda_n/2}$ and

$$\tilde{u}_n := u_n(x_n + r_n x) - \lambda_n.$$

Then we have \tilde{u}_n weakly converges to \tilde{u}_∞ satisfying

$$\tilde{u}_\infty = -2 \ln(1 + a|x|^2), \quad a = \frac{\pi e^{\phi(0)}}{\int_{\Sigma} e^{u_0} d\mu_g}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{Rn}^g(x_n)} |du_n|_g^2 d\mu_g &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R(x_n)} |d\tilde{u}_n|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ &= \pi \int_0^R \left| \frac{4ar}{1+ar^2} \right|^2 r dr \\ &= 8\pi \int_0^{aR^2} \frac{s}{(1+s)^2} ds \\ &= 8\pi \int_0^{aR^2} \left(\frac{1}{1+s} - \frac{1}{(1+s)^2} \right) ds \\ &= 8\pi \left(\ln(1+aR^2) + \frac{1}{1+aR^2} - 1 \right) \\ &= 8\pi \ln(aR^2) - 8\pi + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here and in the following, we use $o_R(1), o_n(1), o_\delta(1)$ to denote those functions which converges to zero as $R \rightarrow +\infty, n \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0$ respectively.

Since $u_n - \tilde{u}_n$ converges to G weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ for $1 < p < 2$ and strongly in $H_{loc}^2(\Sigma \setminus \{x_0\})$ (see Proposition 3.5 in [23]) and G satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g G = 8\pi(\delta_{x_0} - 1), & \Sigma, \\ \int_{\Sigma} G d\mu_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta^g(x_n)} |du_n|^2 d\mu_g &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta^g(x_0)} |du_n|^2 d\mu_g \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta^g(x_0)} |dG|^2 d\mu_g \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta^g(x_0)} G \Delta_g G d\mu_g - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta^g(x_0)} G \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} G d\mu_g \\ &= 4\pi \int_{B_\delta^g(x_0)} G d\mu_g - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_\delta^g(x_0)} G \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} G d\mu_g, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the normal vector field on $\partial B_\delta^g(x_0)$ pointing to the complement of $B_\delta^g(x_0)$.

In normal coordinate, G has the following expansion

$$G(x) = -4 \ln |x - x_0| + A(x_0) + (b, x - x_0) + (x - x_0)^T c(x - x_0) + O(|x - x_0|^3).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_\delta^g(x_n)} |du_n|^2 d\mu_g = -16\pi \ln \delta + 4\pi A(x_0) + o_\delta(1). \tag{4.2}$$

Define

$$u_n^*(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u_n(x_n + r e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Then for $r_n R \leq r < s \leq \delta$, we have

$$\int_{B_s \setminus B_r} |du_n^*|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_s \setminus B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n^*(r_n R) + 2 \ln r_n) &= -2 \ln(1 + aR^2) = -2 \ln(aR^2) + o_R(1), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n^*(\delta) - \bar{u}_n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(\delta e^{i\theta}) d\theta = -4 \ln \delta + A(x_0) + o_\delta(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Let w_n be the harmonic functions in the neck domains $B_\delta^g(x_n) \setminus B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)$ such that

$$w_n|_{\partial B_\delta^g(x_n)} = u_n^*(\delta), \quad w_n|_{\partial B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)} = u_n^*(r_n R).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\delta^g(x_n) \setminus B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)} |du_n|^2 d\mu_g &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\delta^g(x_n) \setminus B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)} |du_n^*|^2 d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\delta^g(x_n) \setminus B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)} |dw_n|^2 d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{\pi(u_n^*(\delta) - u_n^*(r_n R))^2}{\ln \delta - \ln(r_n R)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\tau_n := u_n^*(\delta) - u_n^*(r_n R) - \bar{u}_n - 2 \ln r_n$. It follows from (4.3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = -4 \ln \delta + A(x_0) + 2 \ln(aR^2) + o_R(1) + o_\delta(1).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\delta^g(x_n) \setminus B_{r_n R}^g(x_n)} |du_n|^2 d\mu_g &\geq \frac{\pi(\tau_n + \bar{u}_n + 2 \ln r_n)^2}{-\ln r_n} \left(1 - \frac{\ln(R/\delta)}{-\ln r_n} \right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi(\tau_n + \bar{u}_n - 2 \ln r_n)^2}{-\ln r_n} - 8\pi\bar{u}_n + 32\pi \ln \delta - 8\pi A(x_0) - 16 \ln(aR^2) \\ &\quad + \pi \left(2 + \frac{\tau_n}{\ln r_n} + \frac{\bar{u}_n}{\ln r_n} \right)^2 \ln(R/\delta) + o_R(1) + o_\delta(1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for large n .

Thus, (4.1), (4.2) and (4.4) give us

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) &\geq 8\pi \ln(aR^2) - 8\pi - 16\pi \ln \delta + 4\pi A(x_0) + 8\pi \left(\bar{u}_n - \ln h(x_0) - \ln \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi(\tau_n + \bar{u}_n - 2 \ln r_n)^2}{-\ln r_n} - 8\pi\bar{u}_n + 32\pi \ln \delta - 8\pi A(x_0) - 16 \ln(aR^2) \\ &\quad + \pi \left(2 + \frac{\tau_n}{\ln r_n} + \frac{\bar{u}_n}{\ln r_n} \right)^2 \ln(R/\delta) + o_R(1) + o_\delta(1) + o_n(1) \\ &= -4\pi(A(x_0) + 2 \ln h(x_0)) - 8\pi \ln \pi - 8\pi + o_R(1) + o_\delta(1) + o_n(1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi(\tau_n + \bar{u}_n - 2 \ln r_n)^2}{-\ln r_n} + \pi \left[\left(2 + \frac{\tau_n}{\ln r_n} + \frac{\bar{u}_n}{\ln r_n} \right)^2 - 16 \right] \ln(R/\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $J(u_n) \leq J(u_0)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_n \rightarrow 2 \ln r_n$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) \geq -4\pi \max_{x \in \Sigma} (A(x) + 2 \ln h(x)) - 8\pi \ln \pi - 8\pi.$$

By the monotonicity formula (2.2), we conclude that

$$J(u(t)) \geq C_0 = -4\pi \max_{x \in \Sigma} (A(x) + 2 \ln h(x)) - 8\pi \ln \pi - 8\pi, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

□

5. Global convergence

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Notice that there is a sequence of positive numbers $\{t_n\}$ such that $n \leq t_n \leq n+1$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t_n)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t_n)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g = 0.$$

By the lower bound of J along the flow stated in Theorem 1.2, the existence of mean field equation (1.1) is reduced to construct a function whose value under J is strictly less than C_0 . In fact, such kind of functions were constructed in [12] provided that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_g h(p_0) + 2(b_1(p_0)k_1(p_0) + b_2(p_0)k_2(p_0)) \\ & > -(8\pi + b_1^2(p_0) + b_2^2(p_0) - 2K(p_0))h(p_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $K(x)$ is the Gaussian curvature of Σ , $\nabla h(p_0) = (k_1(p_0), k_2(p_0))$ in the normal coordinate system, p_0 is the maximum point of $A(q) + 2 \ln h(q)$ and $b_1(p_0), b_2(p_0)$ are the constants in the following expression of Green function G :

$$G(x, p_0) = -4 \ln r + A(p_0) + b_1(p_0)x_1 + b_2(p_0)x_2 + c_1 x_1^2 + 2c_2 x_1 x_2 + c_3 x_2^2 + O(r^3),$$

where $r(x) = \text{dist}_g(x, p_0)$. The sequence $\{u_n\}$ can not blowup by our assumption. By Lemma 3.1, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\Sigma)$ and there is a function $u_\infty \in H^2(\Sigma)$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{weakly in } H^2(\Sigma)$$

and

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{in } C^\alpha(\Sigma)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that u_∞ is a smooth solution to

$$-\Delta_g u_\infty + 8\pi = 8\pi \frac{he^{u_\infty}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u_\infty} d\mu_g}.$$

To obtain the strong convergence for $\{u_{n_k}\}$, please notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta_g u_{n_k} - \Delta_g u_\infty)^2 \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial e^{u_{n_k}}}{\partial t} + 8\pi \left(\frac{he^{u_\infty}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u_\infty} d\mu_g} - \frac{he^{u_{n_k}}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{u_{n_k}} d\mu_g} \right) \right)^2 d\mu_g \\ &\leq C \int_{\Sigma} (e^{u_\infty} - e^{u_{n_k}})^2 d\mu_g + C \int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u_{n_k}}{\partial t} \right|^2 e^{u_{n_k}} d\mu_g \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$.

We use Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality to get the global convergence of the flow. When $h > 0$, one can refer to [4] for non-critical cases, i.e. $\rho \neq 8k\pi$ and [23] for $\rho = 8\pi$. In both papers, the authors just provided the paper by Simon [27] and no more details were given. In this section, we give a detailed proof and some references. We divide the proof of the global convergence to several steps.

Step 1 $\|u(t)^+\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq C$.

Since

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \leq e^{-u} \Delta_g u + C.$$

Applying the maximum principle, we have $\frac{d}{dt} (\max_\Sigma u(t) - Ct) \leq 0$. By using the fact $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $n \leq t_n \leq n+1$, we conclude that $u(t)^+$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$.

Step 2 $\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq C$.

Denote

$$A(t) = \left\{ x \in \Sigma : e^{u(t)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g \right\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \geq \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g \right) |A(t)|_g \geq -C,$$

and

$$\int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \leq \int_{A(t)} e^{u(t)} \leq \int_\Sigma e^{u(t)} = \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} \leq C.$$

Thus

$$\left| \int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| \leq C.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g &= \int_\Sigma e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus A(t)} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g + \int_{A(t)} e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma e^{u_0} d\mu_g + C |A(t)|_g \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma e^{u(t)} d\mu_g + C |A(t)|_g, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$|A(t)|_g \geq C^{-1}.$$

By Poincaré inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} &\leq C \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + |\bar{u}(t)| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \left| \int_{A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| + \left| \int_{\Sigma \setminus A(t)} u(t) d\mu_g \right| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + C + \sqrt{|\Sigma \setminus A(t)|_g} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C \|\nabla_g u(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + C.$$

Notice that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u(t)|_g^2 d\mu_g = J(u(t)) - 8\pi \bar{u}(t) + 8\pi \ln \int_{\Sigma} h e^{u(t)} d\mu_g \leq C + C \bar{u}(t).$$

By Young's inequality, we conclude that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq C.$$

Step 3 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g = 0.$

We will follow the arguments of Brendle [1] (see also [4]). For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t_{n_k})} \left| \frac{\partial u(t_{n_k})}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g < \varepsilon.$$

Assume for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$m_k = \inf \left\{ t > t_{n_k} : \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g \geq 2\varepsilon \right\} < \infty.$$

For $t_{n_k} \leq t \leq m_k$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $u(t)$ is bounded in $H^1(\Sigma)$ and $u(t)^+$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma)$, we conclude that

$$|\Delta u(t)| \leq C\varepsilon + C, \quad \forall t_{n_k} \leq t \leq m_k.$$

Thus

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq C \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad \forall t_{n_k} \leq t \leq m_k.$$

Set

$$y(t) = \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g.$$

Denote by $\dot{u} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, $\ddot{u} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$. Notice that

$$\dot{u} = e^{-u} (\Delta_g u - 8\pi) + \frac{8\pi h}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u} &= e^{-u} \Delta_g \dot{u} - \dot{u} e^{-u} (\Delta_g u - 8\pi) - \frac{8\pi h \int_{\Sigma} h e^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g \right)^2} \\ &= e^{-u} \Delta_g \dot{u} - \dot{u}^2 + \frac{8\pi h \dot{u}}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g} - \frac{8\pi h \int_{\Sigma} h e^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\dot{y} = \int_{\Sigma} (e^u \dot{u}^3 + 2e^u \dot{u} \ddot{u}) d\mu_g$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|^2 d\mu_g - \int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u}^3 d\mu_g + 16\pi \left[\frac{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u \dot{u}^2 d\mu_g}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g} - \left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u \dot{u} d\mu_g}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g} \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|^2 d\mu_g - \int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u}^3 d\mu_g + Cy.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the second term in the RHS of the above inequality as follows: for all $t_{n_k} \leq t \leq m_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u}^3 d\mu_g &\leq C \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{u}|^3 d\mu_g \\
&\leq C \|\dot{u}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \|\dot{u}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \\
&\leq C_{\varepsilon} y \left(y + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|_g^2 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u} d\mu_g = 0$, applying the Poincaré inequality to obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u}^2 d\mu_g \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,e^u g}} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{e^u g} \dot{u}|_{e^u g}^2 d\mu_{e^u g} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,e^u g}} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|_g^2 d\mu_g \leq C \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|_g^2 d\mu_g.$$

Thus for all $t_{n_k} \leq t \leq m_k$,

$$- \int_{\Sigma} e^u \dot{u}^3 d\mu_g \leq C_{\varepsilon} y^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \dot{u}|_g^2 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2},$$

which implies

$$\dot{y} \leq C_{\varepsilon} y.$$

Hence

$$y(t_{m_k}) \leq y(t_{n_k}) + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{\infty} y(t) dt.$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon \leq C_{\varepsilon} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{\infty} y(t) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{u(t)} \left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu_g = 0.$$

Step 4 $\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq C$ which implies that $\|u(t)\|_{C^{\gamma}(\Sigma)} \leq C_{\gamma}$ for every $0 < \gamma < 1$.

This is a direct consequence of the standard elliptic estimates and Sobolev inequalities.

Step 5 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_{\infty}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 0$ implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_{\infty}\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} = 0$.

Since

$$\frac{\partial e^u}{\partial t} = \Delta_g (u - u_{\infty}) + 8\pi \left(\frac{h e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u d\mu_g} - \frac{h e^{u_{\infty}}}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{u_{\infty}} d\mu_g} \right),$$

we get

$$\left| \Delta_g (u(t) - u_{\infty}) \right| \leq C \left(\left| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right| + |u(t) - u_{\infty}| + \|u(t) - u_{\infty}\|_{L^1(\Sigma)} \right)$$

which implies

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right).$$

The claim follows by letting $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Step 6 There are positive constants σ and $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$ such that

$$\forall u \in H^2(\Sigma), \|u - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} < \sigma \implies |J(u) - J(u_\infty)|^\theta \leq \|\mathcal{M}(u)\|_{H^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Notice that the functional $J : H^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic and the gradient map $\mathcal{M} : H^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$u \mapsto \mathcal{M}(u) = -\Delta_g u - 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u}{\int_\Sigma he^u d\mu_g} - 1 \right).$$

The Jacobi operator $\mathcal{L} : H^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Sigma)$ of J at a critical point $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ of J is given by

$$\xi \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\xi) = -\Delta_g \xi - 8\pi \left(\frac{he^u \xi}{\int_\Sigma he^u d\mu_g} - \frac{he^u \int_\Sigma he^u \xi d\mu_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma he^u d\mu_g \right)^2} \right)$$

is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Since $\mathcal{M}(H^2(\Sigma)) \subset L^2(\Sigma)$, applying the Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality (cf. [21, Proposition 1.3] or [17, Theorem 2]), there are positive constants $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$ such that

$$\forall u \in H^2(\Sigma), \|u - u_\infty\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} < \tilde{\sigma} \implies |J(u) - J(u_\infty)|^\theta \leq \|\mathcal{M}(u)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Hence we obtain this claim by choosing σ small.

Step 7 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 0$ which gives the global convergence.

We will follow the approach of Jendoubi [21]. For every $0 < \varepsilon < \sigma$, there exist k_1 such that for all $k \geq k_1$,

$$\|u(t_k) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} < \varepsilon.$$

Assume for all $k \geq k_1$,

$$s_k = \inf \{ t > t_{n_k} : \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \geq \sigma \} < \infty.$$

Then for all $n_k \leq t < s_k$,

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} < \sigma = \|u(s_k) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $J(u(t)) > J(u_\infty)$ for all $t > 0$. For $t_{n_k} \leq t < s_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} (J(u(t)) - J(u_\infty))^{1-\theta} &= -(1-\theta) (J(u(t)) - J(u_\infty))^{-\theta} \frac{d}{dt} J(u(t)) \\ &= (1-\theta) (J(u(t)) - J(u_\infty))^{-\theta} \left\| e^{u(t)/2} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\ &\geq (1-\theta) \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{t_{n_k}}^{s_k} \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} dt \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta} (J(u(t_{n_k})) - J(u_\infty))^{1-\theta}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \|u(s_k) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq \|u(t_{n_k}) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \int_{t_{n_k}}^{s_k} \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} dt \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial u(t_{n_k})}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \frac{1}{1-\theta} (J(u(t_{n_k})) - J(u_\infty))^{1-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction when $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence we have $s_{k_2} = +\infty$ for some k_2 . We conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} dt < +\infty$$

which gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 0.$$

□

References

- [1] Brendle, S., 2003. Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 158, 323–343. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2003.158.323>, doi:10.4007/annals.2003.158.323.
- [2] Brezis, H., Merle, F., 1991. Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 16, 1223–1253. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605309108820797>, doi:10.1080/03605309108820797.
- [3] Caffarelli, L.A., Yang, Y.S., 1995. Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 168, 321–336. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104272361>.
- [4] Castéras, J.B., 2015a. A mean field type flow II: Existence and convergence. *Pacific J. Math.* 276, 321–345. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2015.276.321>, doi:10.2140/pjm.2015.276.321.
- [5] Castéras, J.B., 2015b. A mean field type flow part I: compactness of solutions to a perturbed mean field type equation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 53, 221–246. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-014-0746-5>, doi:10.1007/s00526-014-0746-5.
- [6] Chang, K.C., 1989. Heat flow and boundary value problem for harmonic maps. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 6, 363–395. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1989__6_5_363_0.
- [7] Chang, S.Y.A., Yang, P.C., 1987. Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S^2 . *Acta Math.* 159, 215–259. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392560>, doi:10.1007/BF02392560.
- [8] Chen, C.C., Lin, C.S., 2002. Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 55, 728–771. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3014>, doi:10.1002/cpa.3014.
- [9] Chen, C.C., Lin, C.S., 2003. Topological degree for a mean field equation on Riemann surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56, 1667–1727. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.10107>, doi:10.1002/cpa.10107.
- [10] Chen, W.X., Ding, W.Y., 1987. Scalar curvatures on S^2 . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 303, 365–382. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2000798>, doi:10.2307/2000798.
- [11] Chen, W.X., Li, C., 1991. Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.* 63, 615–622. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-91-06325-8>, doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-91-06325-8.
- [12] Ding, W., Jost, J., Li, J., Wang, G., 1997. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface. *Asian J. Math.* 1, 230–248. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/AJM.1997.v1.n2.a3>, doi:10.4310/AJM.1997.v1.n2.a3.
- [13] Ding, W., Jost, J., Li, J., Wang, G., 1999. Existence results for mean field equations, pp. 653–666. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449\(99\)80031-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(99)80031-6), doi:10.1016/S0294-1449(99)80031-6.
- [14] Djadli, Z., 2008. Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genres. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10, 205–220. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199708002776>, doi:10.1142/S0219199708002776.
- [15] Djadli, Z., Malchiodi, A., 2008. Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 168, 813–858. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2008.168.813>, doi:10.4007/annals.2008.168.813.
- [16] Evans, L.C., 2010. Partial differential equations. volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Second ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/019>, doi:10.1090/gsm/019.
- [17] Feehan, P.M., Maridakis, M., 2019. Łojasiewicz–simon gradient inequalities for analytic and morse–bott functions on banach spaces. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* doi:<https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2019-0029>.

- [18] Fontana, L., 1993. Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 68, 415–454. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02565828>, doi:10.1007/BF02565828.
- [19] Friedman, A., 1964. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- [20] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.S., 2001. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [21] Jendoubi, M.A., 1998. A simple unified approach to some convergence theorems of L. Simon. *J. Funct. Anal.* 153, 187–202. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1997.3174>, doi:10.1006/jfan.1997.3174.
- [22] Kazdan, J.L., Warner, F.W., 1974. Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 99, 14–47. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971012>, doi:10.2307/1971012.
- [23] Li, J., Zhu, C., 2019. The convergence of the mean field type flow at a critical case. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58, Paper No. 60, 18. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-019-1507-2>, doi:10.1007/s00526-019-1507-2.
- [24] Lin, C.S., 2000. Topological degree for mean field equations on S^2 . *Duke Math. J.* 104, 501–536. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10437-1>, doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10437-1.
- [25] Malchiodi, A., 2008. Morse theory and a scalar field equation on compact surfaces. *Adv. Differential Equations* 13, 1109–1129.
- [26] Moser, J., 1970/71. A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 20, 1077–1092. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1971.20.20101>, doi:10.1512/iumj.1971.20.20101.
- [27] Simon, L., 1983. Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 118, 525–571. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2006981>, doi:10.2307/2006981.
- [28] Struwe, M., 2002. Curvature flows on surfaces. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 1, 247–274.
- [29] Struwe, M., 2005. A flow approach to Nirenberg’s problem. *Duke Math. J.* 128, 19–64. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12812-X>, doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12812-X.
- [30] Tarantello, G., 1996. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. *J. Math. Phys.* 37, 3769–3796. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531601>, doi:10.1063/1.531601.
- [31] Yang, Y., 2001. *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6548-9>, doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-6548-9.
- [32] Yang, Y., Zhu, X., 2017. A remark on a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145, 3953–3959. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13515>, doi:10.1090/proc/13515.