Reproducibility of Potential Energy Surfaces of Organic/Inorganic Interfaces on the Example of PTCDA on Ag(111)

Lukas Hörmann,1 Andreas Jeindl,1 and Oliver T. Hofmann12)

Institute of Solid State Physics, NAWI Graz, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 16, 8010 Graz, Austria

(Dated: 20 July 2020)

Molecular adsorption at organic/inorganic interfaces depends on a range of mechanisms: covalent bonds, charge transfer, Pauli repulsion and van der Waals (vdW) interactions shape the potential energy surface (PES), making it key to understanding organic/inorganic interfaces. Describing such interfaces with density functional theory requires carefully selecting the exchange correlation (XC) functional and vdW correction scheme. To explore the reproducibility of the PES with respect to the choice of method, we present a benchmark of common local, semi-local and non-local XC functionals in combination with various vdW corrections. We benchmark these methods using perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) on Ag(111), one of the most frequently studied organic/inorganic interfaces. For each method, we determine the PES using a Gaussian process regression algorithm, which requires only about 50 DFT calculations as input. This allows a detailed analysis of the PESs’ features, such as the positions and energies of minima and saddle points. Comparing the results from different combinations of XC functionals and vdW corrections enables us to identify trends and differences between the approaches. PESs for different computation methods are in qualitative agreement, but also displaying significant quantitative differences. In particular, lateral positions of adsorption geometries agree well with experiment, while adsorption heights, energies and barriers show larger discrepancies.

The following article has been submitted to the Journal of Chemical Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Organic/inorganic interfaces play a vital role in many fields such as microelectronics, heterogeneous catalysis and self assembly. Studying such interfaces arguably entails investigating their potential energy surfaces (PES), which contain a vast amount of information about the chemistry and physics: The global minimum of a PES defines the equilibrium structure at absolute zero temperature, while other local minima represent phases at various thermodynamic conditions. These conditions in turn depend on the relative depths of the minima, i.e. the adsorption energy of the phases. The paths and transition rates between these minima depend on the barriers between them, while vibration frequencies of the different structures result from the PESs’ curvature.

This wealth of information leads to great interest in theoretically studying PESs, which in turn raises the question of how consistent different approaches are. Predicting PESs of organic/inorganic interfaces arguably requires first-principles calculations, which are often some flavor of density functional theory (DFT). Additionally, van der Waals (vdW) interactions often play a vital role for the interactions within organic/inorganic interfaces. VdW interactions arise from non-local fluctuations of the electron density. Hence, exchange correlation functionals (XC functionals) depending on the local density, such as local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functionals, fail to sufficiently describe the underlying physics. Today, many vdW correction schemes exist which remedy this shortcoming.

Studies of interfaces have employed a zoo of different XC functionals and vdW corrections raising the question of reproducibility between different calculation methods. While several studies exist that investigate the reproducibility of the results of different functionals and vdW corrections, these focus on simple systems, mostly solids or molecules. Here, we explore the performance for inorganic-organic interfaces, which are practically more relevant and tend to exhibit more diverse physics. Specifically, we focus on two questions: Which combinations of computationally feasible XC functionals and vdW corrections reproduce the experiment most accurately? How comparable are PESs of different approaches and will first-principle calculations yield qualitatively, or even quantitatively, equivalent results independent of the chosen functional and vdW correction?

The prototypical system of 3,4,6,10-perylene-tetracarboxylic-dianhydride (PTCDA) on Ag(111) perfectly illustrates this issue. PTCDA strongly
interacts with the surface and has been studied using different functionals: In a study by Ruiz et al., for instance, LDA showed the smallest adsorption height (≈ 2.6 Å), pristine PBE (i.e., without a vdW correction scheme) resulted in a non-bonded system, and several other functionals yielded adsorption heights varying by as much as 1 Å. Such discrepancies in calculated adsorption heights have been reported for various molecules on coinage metals.[13,15]

To shine a spotlight on both earlier raised questions, we use different local, semi-local and non-local XC functionals in combination with different vdW correction schemes to investigate the PES of PTCDA on Ag(111), one of the most frequently studied interfaces. Molecule and substrate show varied interaction mechanisms that involve (partially) covalent bonds as well as interfacial charge transfer, together with a strong impact of vdW interactions.[16] Experimental studies have found that PTCDA forms a commensurate adlayer on Ag(111) displaying a herringbone arrangement. The adlayer contains two different adsorption geometries.[22] Rohlfing et al.[2] proposed that both adsorption geometries sit on bridge sites. The long molecular axis of geometry (A) is aligned with a primitive substrate lattice vector while geometry (B) is rotated by 17° (see figure 6). The fact that PTCDA forms a commensurate structure on Ag(111) implies that molecule-substrate interactions are dominant compared to molecule-molecule interactions.[21]

More precisely, molecule-substrate interactions result in a PES whose minima are separated by large barriers. These barriers are so large that molecule-molecule interactions cannot expel an adsorbate molecule from its minimum. Therefore, this study focuses on the molecule-substrate interactions and investigates the PES of a single molecule on the substrate. This allows investigating the reproducibility of PESs from different methods and looking into their capability to reproduce the experimental adsorption geometries.

We calculate this PES using a number of different types of functionals and vdW corrections, which we briefly introduce in the remainder of the introduction.

The simplest XC functional is the local density approximation (LDA). It depends only on the electron density at a given point in space and is commonly derived from the homogeneous electron gas model. It calculates the exchange correlation energy of a test electron and a homogeneous electron gas. As a result, two close-shell fragments (e.g., two argon atoms) show an energy minimum as function of their distance (i.e., a bonding interaction), even if they should not. This effect is usually called “overbinding”, and has often been and sometimes still is used to (physically incorrect) “mimic” vdW interactions at interfaces.[22,23,24]

The first improvement over LDA is the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA). Due to the inclusion of the gradient, these functionals are also often called semi-local. In this work, we include three GGA incarnations, PW91,[25] PBE,[26] revPBE[27] and husband simulations, the PW91 functional has seen frequent use, since it recovers parts of the (spurious) binding of LDA. The PBE functional, which is a non-empirical (but not parameter-free) simplification of PW91, is considered today’s default functional for materials simulations.[28] PBE generally underbinds, resulting in molecules that would only bind to the surface via vdW interactions not to bind at all.[12,29] Our results in this work show that at least for PTCDA on Ag(111), this is the case for PW91 and revPBE as well. The revPBE functional is a reparametrization of PBE which is said to improve the description of chemisorption.[30]

Local and semi-local XC functionals do not capture the physics of vdW interactions, which originate from non-local electron density fluctuations. A remedy for this exists in form of a multitude of vdW correction schemes. We concentrate on some of the most widely used vdW corrections, which are Grimme’s D3[31] (here with Becke-Johnson damping[32]), TS (Tkatchenko-Scheffler method), TSsurf[33] (which is a reparameterization of TS for specifically for surfaces) and the MBD[34] dispersion correction. All of those are post-processing schemes which do not change the electron density determined during the self-consistent field cycle. D3, TS and TSsurf rely on parameters for the atomic polarizabilities, the dispersion coefficients and the vdW radii. In D3 these parameters reflect the geometry while in TS and TSsurf they result from the local electronic surroundings. These approaches additionally employ a short-range dampening function, for which different types such as Fermi-type or Becke-Johnson dampening exist. MBD represents the system using a collection of quantum harmonic oscillators centered at the atomic positions. These oscillators are characterized by polarizabilities arising from the ground state electron density. These polarizabilities allow constructing the MBD Hamiltonian, which is diagonalized to determine the MBD energy correction. MBD is said to be more accurate[35,36] than D3 and TS but is also significantly more computationally intensive.

Beyond that, non-local XC functionals seek to directly capture non-local interactions. A commonly used example is vdW-DF[37] which is essentially a two-body correlation-correction and hence neglects many body effects. The correction employs linear response theory using a response function constructed from a plasmon-pole-type approximation to the local polarizability.

The next improvements over GGA-type functionals would be meta-GGA and hybrid functionals. However, so far meta-GGAs have not seen widespread use for interfaces. Therefore, here we use only the SCAN-functional, which has recently gained popularity, for our benchmarks. Hybrid functionals which admit Hartree-Fock-like exchange are frequently discussed
for organic/inorganic interfaces due to their frequent use in chemistry. However, often the electronic properties of organic/inorganic interfaces do not notably improve (or even deteriorate) unless magnetism plays a significant role. Moreover, choosing the correct amount of exchange is system-dependent and non-straightforward. Furthermore, many popular hybrid functionals are intrinsically less suited for metals. On more practical grounds, hybrid functionals are typically prohibitively expensive. Therefore, although some of our earlier results indicate that hybrid functionals may have a profound impact on the adsorption geometry we do not consider them in this study.

II. METHODOLOGY

As stated earlier, we study the reproducibility of PESs in relation to the choice of XC functional and vdW correction using the example of PTCDA on Ag(111). Hereafter, we will refer to combinations of functionals and vdW correction schemes as methods. This section will first briefly introduce the DFT settings used for the different employed methods. Since none of these allow brute forcing the PES, we use an algorithm based on Gaussian process regression (GPR), which we discuss in the second half of this section. Hereby, we focus on the PES of the most important degrees of freedom, rather than on the full PES.

We use the VASP quantum chemistry code with the recommended PAW pseudopotentials and set the energy cutoff to 800.00 eV for all calculations, ensuring that the total energy of PTCDA is converged to within 0.05 eV. We calculate PESs with the following methods: First we use LDA because it has been extensively used in the past since it “mimics” vdW interactions. Next come three GGA functionals, namely PW91, PBE and revPBE. We use the GGAs without vdW correction and in combination with the D3, TS and TSsurf correction, allowing us to demonstrate the effect of vdW corrections. Additionally, we combine PBE with MBD to test a more accurate functional but also more expensive vdW corrections as well. Finally, we employ the original vdW-DF (implementation by Klimes et al) as well as the newer rVV10 functional to gauge non-local XC functionals. The latter is combined with the SCAN meta-GGA functional, allowing us to also study a meta-GGA functional.

We model the interface using the repeated slab approach and a 6 × 6 substrate supercell with 6 Ag layers and 15 Å vacuum. This supercell can readily accommodate one PTCDA molecule in any surface orientation, ensuring that its periodic replica are sufficiently separated such that the intermolecular interactions fall below 0.03 eV per molecule. Further, we individually determine the Ag lattice constant for each method. Hereby we use the primitive bulk unit cell with a uniform Γ-centered 36 × 36 × 36 k-grid and minimize the total energy employing a Birch–Murnaghan fit. This k-grid results from converging the total energy of the bulk to an uncertainty of 0.0005 eV per Ag-atom. Consequently, we use a Γ-centered 6 × 6 × 1 k-grid for the interface supercell.

Additional geometric considerations result from the GPR algorithm used to predict the PES (a detailed explanation of the algorithm follows later): This algorithm determines the PES of a flat rigid molecule on the fixed substrate, using single-point calculations as input data. The assumption of a flat rigid molecule is justified since PTCDA remains largely planar when adsorbing on Ag(111). XSW measurements show that the carboxylic O atoms are displaced approximately 0.15 Å out of plane while the C backbone remains flat. Keeping the molecule flat may raise the caveat of possibly missing the covalent bonding part of the PES, which results from the interaction between carboxylic O and Ag atoms. However, the fact that we readily reproduce the previously reported adsorption geometries indicates that this is not the case (see figure 6).

With the DFT-related aspects covered, we can think about the challenge of calculating PESs. First, the property of interest in our case is the adsorption energy \( E_{ads} \). We define \( E_{ads} \), using the total energy of the combined system \( E_{mol+sub} \), the energy of a PTCDA molecule in vacuum \( E_{mol} \) and the energy of the clean substrate \( E_{sub} \).

\[
E_{ads} = E_{mol+sub} - E_{mol} - E_{sub}
\]

Determining the PES requires finding the adsorption energy of PTCDA on an Ag(111) surface for a large number of different positions or orientations of the molecule on the substrate. In fact, considering all degrees of freedom would render this task computationally intractable. Therefore, we use a simplified system of a rigid PTCDA molecule on a fixed Ag(111) substrate. There, we have four degrees of freedom, namely the position of the molecule’s center of mass specified via \( z \) and \( \phi \) (see figure 1). The latter is oriented perpendicular to the Ag(111) surface, while \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are fractional coordinates of the primitive substrate lattice vectors \( \mathbf{v}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{v}_2 \). These four coordinates span our search space, for which we now need to define boundaries. Periodic boundary conditions for \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are given by the primitive substrate unit cell. For \( \phi \) we use rotation and mirror symmetries of both the molecule and the substrate to limit the search space to \([−\pi/6, \pi/6]\). The boundary conditions for the adsorption height \( z \) are \([2.5, 3.5]\) Å accounting for the experimental adsorption height of 2.86 Å and accommodating previously reported theoretical adsorption heights. Having defined boundaries for the search space leads us to choosing points to calculate. Here, a uniform grid would be a
simple and straightforward way. Using a coarse grid with 10 divisions in each degree of freedom would already require calculating $10^4$ different adsorption geometries of PTCDA on Ag(111) to determine the PES with a single method — a task that is clearly intractable with current computing resources.

To overcome this computational bottleneck, we employ a machine-learning algorithm based on GPR. GPR has proven its usefulness for investigating interfaces with a notable example being the BOSS code. The present algorithm differs from BOSS in employing a more general descriptor, which we explain below.

For our case, GPR may be understood as a sophisticated model uncertainty, which we find in the diagonal elements of the prior mean $\mu$. We calculate the matrix $A$ in the following way:

$$ A^{-1} = \sigma^2 \mathbb{I} - C^{PC} (C^{CC} + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} C^{CP} $$

The most important component of a GPR is arguably the covariance matrix $C$. Each of its elements $C_{\alpha\beta}$ serves as a measure of similarity for two points of the PES, with a point of the PES referring to an adsorption geometry and its associated energy $(v_1, v_2, \phi, z, E_{ads})$. The covariance matrix $C$ consists of four sub matrices $C^{PP}$, $C^{PC}$, $C^{CP}$, and $C^{CC}$, whereby $(C^{PC})^T = C^{CP}$.

The equation for $\bar{\mu}$, the best estimator for $\mu$ in the form of a multivariate Gaussian with a matrix $A$ and the expectation value $\bar{\mu}$:

$$ p(E_p|E_C) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (E_p - \bar{\mu})^T A (E_p - \bar{\mu}) \right] $$

The best estimator for $E_p$ is the expectation value $\bar{\mu}$, which can be calculated in the following way:

$$ \bar{\mu} = \mu_p + C^{PC} (C^{CC} + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} (E_C - \mu_C) $$

The prior mean $\mu = (\mu_C, \mu_p)^T$ is the initial estimate for the adsorption energies. It consists of two parts, $\mu_p$ for the energies to predict and $\mu_C$ for the calculated energies.

In addition to the expectation value $\bar{\mu}$, GPR yields a model uncertainty, which we find in the diagonal elements of the matrix $A$. We calculate the matrix $A$ from the uncertainty $\sigma$ and the covariance matrix $C$.

$$ A^{-1} = C^{PP} + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I} - C^{PC} (C^{CC} + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} C^{CP} $$

FIG. 1. a) Degrees of freedom of the PES, unit cell is indicated in red; b) Illustration of the feature vector $\mathbf{f}^\alpha$ used in this publication
the highest model uncertainty of the GPR model (see equation 4). For the sake of consistency, we use the same points of the PES as training data for all methods. At each of these positions we calculate the energy and its derivatives with respect to the position (i.e., the forces) for every method. We use a total of 49 symmetry-inequivalent points of the PES, which allows obtaining GPR uncertainties below 0.04 eV.

Finally, we use the symmetries of the substrate to generate symmetry equivalent points of the PES, thereby multiplying the number of data points. These symmetry equivalent data points provide both the adsorption energy and the atomic forces as input data for the GPR algorithm. We note that forces for revPBE with TS or TSsurf do not improve the prediction accuracy and are not used as input data when predicting PESs for these two methods. Still, in these cases the prediction uncertainty remains below 0.04 eV.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section will first compare qualitative features of the PESs and then focus on investigating quantitative differences and similarities between the different methods. Hereby, we look into the reproducibility of theoretical results regarding adsorption heights and geometries and compare these results to experiment. Throughout this section, we use the PBE functional and the TSsurf correction as reference method, since it shows the best agreement with the experimental adsorption geometries.

First, we wish to first highlight a number of qualitative trends and offer a quantitative discussion later in the results section. The qualitative agreement between the PESs of the different methods is exemplarily shown for the PBE functional with various vdW corrections in figure 2. For the sake of illustration, we only depict a two-dimensional cross section of the PES, where we show the adsorption energy of PTCDA for different \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) positions in the primitive substrate unit cell (see figure 3a). \( \phi \) is fixed such that the long axis of PTCDA aligns with a primitive substrate lattice vector. This is the same orientation that PTCDA has in the experimentally proposed adsorption geometry (A). \( z \) is optimized to its minimum energy at every point, i.e., the molecule assumes the optimal adsorption height at every lateral position. Overall, energetically favorable and unfavorable parts of PESs from different methods lie in the same position. For instance, we find small barriers when translating the molecule in direction of the lattice vector \( v_1 \) and significantly higher barriers for translation in \( v_2 \). Physically, this implies that a PTCDA molecule could move easily in one direction, but not the other — a conclusion which would be obtained with any of the methods used in this work.

Despite the qualitative agreement, we observe significant quantitative discrepancies in adsorption energy and transition barriers. Differences in absorption energy can be highlighted by using the example of experimental adsorption geometry (B). Most methods that include vdW interactions yield adsorption energies between \(-2.00 \text{ eV} \) and \(-3.00 \text{ eV} \) scattering around the expected adsorption energy of \(-2.40 \text{ eV} \). The outliers are LDA with the smallest \((-1.06 \text{ eV})\) and revPBE+TS with the largest absorption energy \((-5.71 \text{ eV})\). Similar discrepancies exist for barrier heights, where we consider the barrier between the minima most closely resembling the two experimental geometries (see figure 3). VdW-DF yields the smallest \( \Delta E_{AB} = 0.01 \text{ eV} \) and revPBE+TS with the largest barrier \( \Delta E_{AB} = 0.07 \text{ eV} \). However, not all methods yield the same minima, making a systematic comparison difficult. Therefore, we investigate the corrugation of the adsorption energy, which allows to instructively illustrate the origin of these discrepancies. We define the corrugation as the difference between the highest and lowest energy of two-dimensional \( (v_1, v_2) \) cross sections of the PES. Hereby, we focus on the individual contributions of the functional and the vdW correction to the corrugation before highlighting quantitative differences. For methods with a posteriori corrections (D3, TS, TSsurf, MBD), we can straightforwardly separate the contributions of the functional and the vdW correction. The vdW correction shows only low corrugation, becoming nearly constant at adsorption heights above 3.00 Å.

Indeed, the PESs of PBE with and without TSsurf differ by an almost constant energy offset of approximately 3.36 eV, when keeping the PTCDA molecule at a constant height of 3.00 Å. Figure 3 demonstrates this using the example of PBE+TSsurf. Hence, the corrugation mainly results from the energy contribution of the functional, rather than from the vdW corrections, and can be tentatively attributed to the laterally varied overlap between orbitals of the molecule and...
the substrate. Thus, the vdW correction “adjusts” the adsorption height while the XC functional determines the interaction strength and the adsorption sites.

![Energy contributions of XC functional and vdW correction to the PES with PTCDA at a constant adsorption height of 2.5 Å, 3.0 Å and 3.5 Å; The long axis of the molecule is aligned with the primitive substrate lattice vector v1; The white frame indicates the unit cell.](image)

Given this insight, we expect that corrugation and adsorption height show an inverse relationship, since a lower adsorption height leads to larger differences in the overlap between states of the molecule and the substrate. Figure 4 confirms this expectation. It depicts the corrugation of the adsorption energy relative to the optimal adsorption height, with the corrugation being the difference between the energetically highest and lowest point of the two-dimensional cross sections. In our case, the adsorption height is the distance between the z position of the uppermost substrate layer and the z position of the planar PTCDA molecule. All GGA functionals show this inverse relationship between corrugation and adsorption height with LDA, vdW-DF and rVV10 following this trend as well (see figure 4). In case of the PW91 and the PBE functionals, the TSsurf correction shows the most pronounced corrugation and lowest adsorption height. In case of revPBE, TS and TSsurf yield mean adsorption heights of 2.50 Å (which is the lower boundary of our search space), while showing a larger corrugation than uncorrected revPBE and revPBE+D3. We will elaborate on the reasons for this behavior in a later part of the results section.

![Dependence of the corrugation of the adsorption energy on the adsorption height of the energetic minimum of the molecule aligned with a primitive substrate lattice vector v1.](image)

Having taken a look at the relationship between corrugation and adsorption height, we proceed with a quantitative discussion of minima and adsorption geometries. While previous publications have focused mostly on adsorption heights, our GPR algorithm allows predicting possible adsorption geometries from first principles. Hence, we not only consider adsorption heights but also investigate molecular orientations and lateral positions of local minima. To determine these minima, we first use our GPR algorithm to calculate the adsorption energy on a dense grid. Then we identify all grid points with a minimum value in their respective neighborhood (nearest and next nearest neighbors). However, some minima may be too shallow and therefore thermodynamically unstable. Hence, we look for stable local minima, which must be separated from all lower lying minima by an energy barrier of sufficient height. In our case, a minimum barrier height of 0.01 eV is a good compromise between removing shallow minima and demonstrating the diversity of possible adsorption geometries between the different methods. We apply this minimum barrier height by using disconnectivity graphs. These graphs connect all minima via the lowest barrier, allowing for straightforward removal of shallow local minima. We note that the assumption of a flat rigid molecule and a fixed substrate (see methodology) results in a general overestimation of the energy, i.e., minima may be deeper when accounting for molecular relaxation. However, since PTCDA is a rigid molecule (see methodology), we assume that this effect is small and has no baring on our interpretation.
Figure 5 shows the disconnectivity graph for PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf}. We find four local minima for PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf}, with the two minima lowest in energy matching the experimentally proposed adsorption geometries.

![Disconnectivity Graph](image)

**FIG. 5.** Disconnectivity graph for PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf}; highlighted adsorption geometries match the experimental adsorption geometries\textsuperscript{2,20}.

Disconnectivity graphs for the other combinations of functionals and vdW corrections yield between one and four stable minima. Ideally, the energetically most favorable minima (or minimum if there is only one) correspond to experimental adsorption geometries. If this is not the case, the list of stable minima should at least contain the experimental geometries. To test this, we determine the stable minima that are geometrically most similar to the experimental geometries and compare their lateral positions, orientations and adsorption height. Figure 6 depicts the results, which we will now discuss in detail.

LDA yields two stable local minima with adsorption heights of 3.08 Å and 3.13 Å (uncertainty $\Delta h = 0.05$ Å). The global minimum is in good agreement with experimental adsorption geometry (B).

All GGA functionals without vdW correction yield minima with adsorption heights of approximately 3.50 Å which is the upper boundary of our search space. This entails a significant disagreement of more than 0.60 Å between experiment and GGA functionals without vdW correction, and essentially shows that there, the molecule would be described as non-bonded. Hence, GGA functionals without vdW correction are generally unsuited to calculate PTCDA on Ag(111), which presumably applies equally to other interfaces with strong vdW contributions to their bonding\textsuperscript{19,29}.

Augmenting GGA functionals with any vdW correction leads to all methods finding at least one geometry that also occurs in the experiment. The global minimum of most methods is in good agreement with at least one of the structures found in experiment. Additionally, adsorption heights determined using D3, TS\textsuperscript{surf} or MBD deviate less than 0.20 Å from experiment. The exceptions are revPBE+TS and revPBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf}, whose global minima do not correspond to any experimental

![Reproducibility of Adsorption Geometries](image)

**FIG. 6.** Reproducibility of the experimentally proposed adsorption geometries\textsuperscript{2,3,20,55} displayed in the upper left corner of each table and as the shadow below the colored molecules; color indicates adsorption height; a) experimental adsorption geometry (A); b) experimental adsorption geometry (B).
geometry and display significantly lower adsorption heights than in experiment.

Conversely, PW91+TS\textsuperscript{surf}, PBE+D3 and PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf} yield both experimental adsorption geometries. PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf} conforms best with experiment yielding an adsorption height of 2.92 Å. This corresponds to a deviation in adsorption height of less than 0.10 Å. The good performance may in part be due to the fact that PTCDA on Ag(111) was one of the systems used to benchmark the surface parameters for TS\textsuperscript{surf}\textsuperscript{[13]}. RevPBE with TS or TS\textsuperscript{surf} also finds both experimental geometries, but places them at adaption heights that are too low — in three out of four cases at the lower boundary of our search space. This is due to large attractive contributions of the TS and TS\textsuperscript{surf} correction at low adsorption heights. This leads us to assume that the parametrization of TS and TS\textsuperscript{surf} is not adequate when using it with revPBE. We tentatively attribute this to the $S_\text{R}$ parameter, which has been determined using the S22 database\textsuperscript{[22]} and may not be appropriate for interface calculations. Additionally, in case of revPBE TS/TS\textsuperscript{surf} forces do not improve the prediction accuracy of the GPR algorithm, leading us to exclude them when predicting PESs.

VdW-DF allows finding two stable minima which both match the experimental adsorption geometries. However, the adsorption heights of 3.24 Å and 3.29 Å are too high, which confirms results from previous studies\textsuperscript{[13,19,20]}. rVV10 yields a lower adsorption height of 2.97 Å and finds two minima. While the global minimum matches experimental geometry (B), the second minimum is slightly offset compared to experimental geometry (A). This result is comparable those of GGA functionals with vdW corrections, demonstrating that, given our approximations, rVV10 does not offer an improvement over less expensive methods.

All methods, except the GGAs without vdW correction and revPBE with TS or TS\textsuperscript{surf}, find a global minimum matching an experimental adsorption geometry in lateral position and orientation. Geometry (B) is more readily found than geometry (A). We find the reason for this behavior by examining the PES of PTCDA aligned with the primitive substrate lattice vector $\mathbf{v}_1$ (alignment with $\mathbf{v}_2$ is symmetry equivalent). Here, all methods find a PES with trenches along $\mathbf{v}_1$ — small differences between methods can easily shift the minimum along the trench and away from the bridge position that corresponds to geometry (A). In fact all methods with any vdW correction yield a minimum along this trench with the energy difference to the bridge position being smaller than the prediction uncertainty. Conversely, geometry (B) lies in a potential well surrounded by ridges and is hence easier to find. Methods yielding both geometries rank geometry (A) lower in energy than geometry (B). RevPBE with TS or TS\textsuperscript{surf} displays below-average performance. Both methods yield local minima resembling the experimental geometries, but severely underestimate adsorption heights. Conversely PW91+TS\textsuperscript{surf}, PBE+D3/TS\textsuperscript{surf} and vdW-DF show good performance with PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf} coming out on top.

In summary, we find substantial differences in the optimal adsorption heights, which largely results from differing treatment of vdW interactions. Notably, GGA functionals without vdW corrections yield adsorption heights at the upper boundary of our search space, wrongly indicating a non-bonded system.

Conversely, lateral features of the PES vary less between different methods. All methods, except GGAs without vdW corrections, predict a lateral registry of PTCDA on Ag(111) with the carboxylic O atoms seeking positions above Ag atoms. Hereby, the functionals sufficiently describe the weak covalent bond\textsuperscript{[23]} between O and Ag atoms. Apart from that, the vdW correction is less important for the lateral position and orientation. This leads to the bridge position being the preferred adsorption site, with few exceptions.

However, there are significant differences in predicted adsorption energies and transition barriers. PBE+TS\textsuperscript{surf} yields a sufficiently corrugated PES whose minima are separated by large barriers indicating that PTCDA forms commensurate structures on Ag(111). A similar conclusion could, however, not be drawn as confidently from vdW-DF (to a lesser extent PW91+TS and PBE+D3 as well) where we find a significantly smaller barrier. Further, commensurability cannot be concluded when using GGAs without vdW corrections since they yield essentially non-bonded systems.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the reproducibility of PESs in relation to the choice of XC functional and vdW correction using the example of PTCDA on Ag(111). On the one hand, we find a qualitative agreement between combinations of functionals and vdW corrections, on the other hand there exist significant quantitative discrepancies. Adsorption energies and corrugations vary between different approaches. The popular PBE functional with the surface dedicated TS\textsuperscript{surf} correction yields the best results. The two energetically lowest minima of the PES closely resemble the experimental adsorption geometries with adsorption heights differing by less than 0.10 Å between prediction and experiment. Notably, the energetically most favorable minima of PW91+TS\textsuperscript{surf}, PBE+D3 and vdW-DF also resemble both experimental adsorption geometries, albeit with slightly larger deviations in adsorption height. Conversely, the GGA functionals fail to capture experimental adsorption geometries when used without vdW corrections. Hence, describing vdW correctly is essential to get sensible local minima. The remaining combinations of functionals and vdW corrections yield at least one minimum resembling
an experimentally proposed adsorption geometry. This minimum usually coincides with the global minimum, the only exceptions being revPBE with TS or TS\textsubscript{surf}. Notably, separating the PES into contributions of the functional and the vDW correction reveals that the functional determines the lateral position and the position-unspecific vDW adjusts the adsorption height. Calculating PESs from first principles entails a considerable computational expense requiring the use of machine-learning based algorithms such as the one presented here. PESs often have multiple minima, not all of which are also found experimentally. Finding the experimental adsorption geometry or the global minimum requires knowledge of the entire PES.
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