

# From zonal flow to convection rolls in Rayleigh-Bénard convection with free-slip plates

Qi Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Kai Leong Chong<sup>1</sup>, Richard J. A. M. Stevens<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Verzicco<sup>3,4,1</sup>, and Detlef Lohse<sup>1,5†</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Physics of Fluids Group and Max Planck Center Twente for Complex Fluid Dynamics, MESA+ Institute and J. M. Burgers Centre for Fluid Dynamics, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Modern Mechanics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China

<sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, University of Rome “Tor Vergata, Via del Politecnico 1, Roma 00133, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Gran Sasso Science Institute - Viale F. Crispi, 767100 L'Aquila, Italy.

<sup>5</sup>Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

Rayleigh-Bénard (RB) convection with free-slip plates and horizontally periodic boundary conditions is investigated using direct numerical simulations. Two configurations are considered, one is two-dimension (2D) RB convection and the other one three-dimension (3D) RB convection with a rotating axis parallel to the plate, which for strong rotation mimics 2D RB convection.

For the 2D simulations, we explore the parameter range of Rayleigh numbers  $Ra$  from  $10^7$  to  $10^9$  and Prandtl numbers  $Pr$  from 1 to 100. The effect of the width-to-height aspect ratio  $\Gamma$  is investigated for  $1 \leq \Gamma \leq 128$ . We show that zonal flow, which was observed, for example, by Goluskin *et al.* *J. Fluid. Mech.* 759, 360-385 (2014) for  $\Gamma = 2$ , is only stable when  $\Gamma$  is smaller than a critical value, which depends on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . With increasing  $\Gamma$ , we find a second regime in which both zonal flow and different convection roll states can be statistically stable. For even larger  $\Gamma$ , in a third regime, only convection roll states are statistically stable and zonal flow is not sustained. How many convection rolls form (or in other words, what the mean aspect ratio of an individual roll is), depends on the initial conditions and on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . For instance, for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $Pr = 10$ , the aspect ratio  $\Gamma_r$  of an individual, statistically stable convection roll can vary in a large range between 16/11 and 64. A convection roll with an as large aspect ratio of  $\Gamma_r = 64$  or more generally already with  $\Gamma_r \gg 10$  can be seen as localized zonal flow, and indeed carries over various properties of the global zonal flow.

For the 3D simulations, we fix  $Ra = 10^7$  and  $Pr = 0.71$ , and compare the flow for  $\Gamma = 8$  and  $\Gamma = 16$ . We first show that with increasing rotation rate both the flow structures and global quantities like the Nusselt number  $Nu$  and the Reynolds number  $Re$  increasingly behave like in the 2D case. We then demonstrate that with increasing aspect ratio  $\Gamma$ , zonal flow, which was observed for small  $\Gamma = 2\pi$  by von Hardenberg *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 15, 134501 (2015), completely disappears for  $\Gamma = 16$ . For such large  $\Gamma$  only convection roll states are statistically stable. In between, here for medium aspect ratio  $\Gamma = 8$ , the convection roll state and the zonal flow state are both statistically stable.

† Email address for correspondence: d.lohse@utwente.nl

What state is taken depends on the initial conditions, similarly as we found for the 2D case.

## 1. Introduction

Large scale so-called zonal flows, which display strong horizontal winds, can be observed in many buoyancy-driven flows. Typical examples include zonal flow in the atmosphere of Jupiter (Heimpel *et al.* 2005; Kaspi *et al.* 2018; Kong *et al.* 2018) and other three Jovian planets (Ingersoll 1990; Sun *et al.* 1993; Cho & Polvani 1996; Yano *et al.* 2003), in the oceans (Maximenko *et al.* 2005; Richards *et al.* 2006; Nadiga 2006), and possibly in the Earth’s outer core (Miyagoshi *et al.* 2010). In toroidal tokamak devices, zonal flows in the poloidal direction are crucial to confine plasmas magnetically (Diamond *et al.* 2005).

How to study such flows? In general, Rayleigh-Bénard (RB) convection (Ahlers *et al.* 2009; Lohse & Xia 2010; Chillà & Schumacher 2012), i.e., a fluid in a container heated from below and cooled from above, is the paradigmatic model system for buoyancy-driven flows. The key control parameters are the Rayleigh number  $Ra = g\alpha\Delta H^3/\nu\kappa$  and the Prandtl number  $Pr = \nu/\kappa$ . Here,  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration,  $\alpha$  the thermal expansion coefficient,  $H$  the height of the fluid sample,  $\Delta = T_b - T_t$  the temperature difference between the hot bottom and the cold top plate,  $\kappa$  the thermal diffusivity, and  $\nu$  the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The third control parameter is the aspect ratio  $\Gamma$ , which is defined as the ratio of the width to the height of the container. The response of the system is characterized by the Nusselt number  $Nu = QH/(k\Delta)$  and the Reynolds number  $Re = UH/\nu$ , which indicate the non-dimensional heat transport and flow strength in the system, respectively. Here  $Q$  is the heat flux crossing the system and  $U = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \rangle_{V,t}}$  the characteristic velocity, where  $\langle \rangle_{V,t}$  indicates volume and time averaging. Indeed, to study zonal flow, RB convection with free-slip plates and horizontally periodic boundary conditions has commonly served as model system (Goluskin *et al.* 2014; van der Poel *et al.* 2014; von Hardenberg *et al.* 2015; Novi *et al.* 2019). Here the free-slip at the plates is very crucial to enable the zonal flow; for no-slip boundary conditions, zonal flow is significantly suppressed and it only exists for very small  $\Gamma$  (van der Poel *et al.* 2014).

In the 2D version of the RB system with free-slip plates and horizontally periodic boundary conditions, indeed, for small  $\Gamma = 2$ , zonal flow develops readily since the horizontal periodicity allows for a horizontal mean flow, while the free-slip boundaries apply no shear stresses to slow down the fluid. In addition, the two-dimensionality precludes transverse perturbations that could disrupt the mean flow (van der Poel *et al.* 2014; Goluskin *et al.* 2014). Such zonal flow in 2D RB convection has attracted quite some attention because of its relevance to thermal convection in the atmosphere (Seychelles *et al.* 2008, 2010; Bouchet & Venaille 2012). For free-slip boundary conditions at the plates,  $Pr = 1$ ,  $Ra \geq 10^7$ , and a small  $\Gamma = 2$ , van der Poel *et al.* (2014) found that a flow topology consisting of two shear layers with a predominant horizontal motion is formed. The flow in the lower half of the domain moves in the opposite direction as that in the top part. Most of the time, the heat transfer of this flow is  $Nu \approx 1$ , while there are intermittent bursts in which  $Nu \gg 1$ . Goluskin *et al.* (2014) studied the characteristics of such 2D zonal flows in a periodic  $\Gamma = 2$  cell for an extended parameter range  $10^3 \leq Ra \leq 10^{10}$  and  $1 \leq Pr \leq 10$ . They found that for  $Pr \leq 2$ , the zonal flow undergoes strong global oscillations on long timescales. Also intermittent bursts in the heat transport as in van der Poel *et al.* (2014) are observed. For  $Pr \geq 3$ , the zonal flow is

sustained at all times without bursts, and the Nusselt number  $Nu$  is always much larger than 1.

To what degree can 2D simulations mimic the dynamics in three-dimensional (3D) flows? Actually many 3D geophysical and astrophysical flows exhibit certain 2D properties when anisotropic effects, such as geometrical confinement, rapid rotation, stratification, or magnetic fields, are imposed. We will show in this study how the 2D flow structures arise with increasing rotation rate for RB convection rotating about a horizontal axis. Such flow will be called span-wise rotating RB convection in this paper. 2D simulations, which are computationally more accessible than 3D simulations, have also been widely used to study RB convection with no-slip plates (Johnston & Doering 2009; Sugiyama *et al.* 2010; Huang & Zhou 2013; van der Poel *et al.* 2015b; Zhu *et al.* 2018a, 2019; Wan *et al.* 2020). In van der Poel *et al.* (2013) 2D and 3D simulations are compared in detail, and many similarities are found for  $Pr \geq 1$ .

In contrast to in 2D configuration, zonal flow has not been reported in horizontally isotropic 3D simulations of RB convection with free-slip plates (Petschel *et al.* 2013; Kunnen *et al.* 2016). It seems that in 3D convection zonal flow only appears when an anisotropy is added. For example, von Hardenberg *et al.* (2015) found that a strong zero-wavenumber wind (i.e., zonal flow) can arise in 3D RB convection if the horizontal isotropy is broken by strong enough uniform rotation about a horizontal axis. Indeed, according to the Taylor-Proudman theorem, the flow can become 2D-like when the rotation is sufficiently fast. Recently, Novi *et al.* (2019) further generalized the situation by varying the tilting angle of the rotation axis with respect to gravity. This configuration mimics the flow at different latitudes in a rotating fluid shell. A large-scale cyclonic vortex tilted along the rotation axis is identified for  $\phi$  between  $45^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$ , where  $\phi$  is the angle between the rotation axis and the horizontal plane. At moderate latitudes the calculations of Novi *et al.* (2019) suggest the possible coexistence of zonal jets and tilted-vortex solutions.

Even though flows in geophysics, astrophysics, and plasma-physics often occur in large-aspect ratio systems, most of the previous zonal flow studies with free-slip conditions at the plates were performed for horizontally periodic *small*-aspect ratio cells, typically  $\Gamma = 2$  (2D) or  $\Gamma = 2\pi$  (3D). However, recent studies on *large*-aspect ratio 3D RB convection with no-slip plates revealed the existence of superstructures with horizontal extent of six to seven times the height of the domain (Hartlep *et al.* 2003; Parodi *et al.* 2004; Pandey *et al.* 2018; Stevens *et al.* 2018; Krug *et al.* 2020; Green *et al.* 2020). These findings motivated us to study zonal flow at much larger  $\Gamma$  (up to 128) than hitherto done, in order to test whether zonal flow will sustain at these much larger  $\Gamma$ , or whether some other large-scale structures evolve, which are not captured in simulations with small  $\Gamma$ .

We will find that for free-slip plates and periodic boundary conditions the aspect ratio indeed has a very strong influence on the flow phenomena in 2D RB convection and in 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation. In particular, we will show that zonal flow is only stable when the aspect ratio of the system is smaller than a critical value, which depends on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ ; it disappears in large-aspect ratio flow configurations.

The paper is organized as follows. We first describe the simulation details in Section 2. The 2D results are presented and analysed in Section 3, which is divided into three parts. Section 3.1 demonstrates the disappearance of zonal flow with increasing the aspect ratio  $\Gamma$ . Section 3.2 studies the coexistence of multiple convection roll states. The effective scaling relations for  $Nu(Ra, Pr)$  and  $Re(Ra, Pr)$  for different convection roll states are discussed in Section 3.3. The 3D RB convection with increasing rotation strength about an axis parallel to the plate (i.e., increasing two-dimensionalization according to Taylor-

$Ra$	$Pr$	$\Gamma$	$N_z^r$	$N_z^z$	$N_{BL}^r$	$N_{BL}^z$
$10^7$	0.71	16	256	-	12	-
$10^7$	10	[1,64]	256	256	13	21
$3 \times 10^7$	10	[1,64]	256	256	11	20
$10^8$	[1,100]	[1,128]	384	256,384	15	20
$3 \times 10^8$	10	[1,64]	512	256,384	17	24
$10^9$	10	2,16	768	768	20	46

Table 1: Overview of the run simulations in 2D. The first three columns indicate the  $Ra$ ,  $Pr$ , and  $\Gamma$  range of the simulations.  $N_z^r$  and  $N_z^z$  indicate the number of grid points in the vertical direction for the simulations with initial conditions of roll states and shear flow, respectively.  $N_{BL}^r$  and  $N_{BL}^z$  indicate the minimum number of grid points in the thermal boundary layer for convection roll states and zonal flow state, respectively. We note that the number of grid points in the boundary layer is always higher than that given by the recommendation of Shishkina *et al.* (2010) for the no-slip case, which is about 5 to 9 for this  $Ra$  range, to ensure that the boundary layers are resolved. The number of grid points in the horizontal direction is generally equal or larger than  $N_x = N_z \times \Gamma$ . For  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $3 \times 10^8$ ,  $N_z^z = 256$  is used only for the large  $\Gamma$  cases (fore example,  $Ra = 3 \times 10^8, \Gamma = 32$  and  $64$ ) where very long simulations are performed, in order to test whether the zonal flow state can stably exist.




Figure 1: Sketch of (a) 2D RB convection and (b) 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation for free-slip plates and horizontally periodical conditions.

Proudman theorem) is discussed in Section 4, where we also show the transition from zonal flow to convection roll states with increasing  $\Gamma$ . We summarize our findings in Section 5.

## 2. Simulation details

The configurations and the coordinate systems used in this work are shown in figure 1. We performed direct numerical simulations using the second-order staggered finite difference code AFiD. Details about the numerical method can be found in (Verzicco & Orlandi 1996; van der Poel *et al.* 2015a; Zhu *et al.* 2018b). The governing equations in dimensionless form read

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \sqrt{\frac{Pr}{Ra}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{Ro} \vec{e}_y \times \mathbf{u} + \theta \vec{e}_z, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{RaPr}} \nabla^2 \theta. \quad (2.3)$$

Here  $\vec{e}_y$  and  $\vec{e}_z$  are the unit vector in the  $y$  and  $z$  direction, respectively.  $u, t, p, \theta$  are velocity, time, pressure and temperature, respectively. The length and velocity are non-dimensionalized using the height of the convection cell  $H$  and the free-fall velocity  $U = (g\alpha\Delta H)^{1/2}$ , respectively. This implies as reference time the free-fall time  $t_f = H/U$ . For the 3D simulations also the Rossby number  $Ro = U/(2\Omega H)$  is used, where  $\Omega$  is the angular velocity. Non-uniform grids with points clustered near the top and bottom plates are employed.

How to choose the initial conditions to trigger the different flow states? For the zonal flow simulations we used a linear shear-flow velocity profile  $u(z) = 2z - 1, w = 0$  in combination with a linear temperature profile  $\theta(z) = 1 - z$  with additional random perturbations as initial conditions. In addition, different convection roll states were generated using a Fourier basis:  $u(x, z) = \sin(n^{(i)}\pi x/\Gamma)\cos(\pi z), w(x, z) = -\cos(n^{(i)}\pi x/\Gamma)\sin(\pi z)$  where  $n^{(i)}$  indicates the number of initial rolls in the horizontal direction, while the initial temperature is the same as zonal flow simulations. A similar Fourier basis was also used to study heat transport (Chong *et al.* 2018) and flow reversals (Chandra & Verma 2011; Wang *et al.* 2018b, 2019a; Chen *et al.* 2019). An overview of the 2D simulations and the used grid resolutions are given in table 1. Table 3 and 4 in the Appendix list 2D simulation details for the main cases where  $Nu$  and  $Re$  are discussed. The 3D simulation details are given in table 5, where the 2D simulations for the corresponding parameters are also listed for comparison.

### 3. Two-dimensional simulations

#### 3.1. Disappearance of zonal flow with increasing $\Gamma$

We first show what will happen to zonal flow with increasing  $\Gamma$ . From Goluskin *et al.* (2014), it is known that zonal flow exists for  $Ra = 10^8, Pr = 10, \Gamma = 2$ . With increasing  $\Gamma$ , we find that for this  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ , zonal flow can stably exist for  $\Gamma \leq 12$ . In figure 2(a) we show that zonal flow is statistically stable at least up to 200 000 free-fall time units for  $\Gamma = 4$  and at least up to 100 000 free-fall time units for  $\Gamma = 12$ . Here, we used “statistically stable” to denote that the corresponding chaotic flow state is always sustained in our long-time simulations. Temperature snapshot of the zonal flow for  $\Gamma = 12$  in figure 2(b) demonstrates that hot plumes drift leftwards, and cold plumes drift rightwards. This produces a strong horizontal shear in which however the vertical heat transport is low.

We now explore even larger aspect ratio domains. Figure 2(c) shows the time evolution of  $Nu$  for three separate simulations with random perturbations added to the initial temperature field for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $Pr = 10$  in a  $\Gamma = 64$  cell. For all the three simulations, the zonal flow eventually evolves to a convection roll state. The time at which the transition occurs is very different for each simulation. The reason is that the flow is susceptible to small differences in the initial conditions, which are different for each simulation due to the random perturbations to the initial temperature field. Such




Figure 2: (a) Time evolution of  $Nu$  for the zonal flow state for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $Pr = 10$  with  $\Gamma = 4$  (black line) and  $\Gamma = 12$  (red line). (b) Temperature snapshot for the zonal flow state for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 12$ . (c) Time evolution of  $Nu$  for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 64$ . The three curves correspond to three separate simulations with random perturbations added to the initial temperature field. In all the cases, the flow undergoes a transition from zonal flow to convection roll states, for which  $Nu$  is larger. (d) Temperature snapshots at different times denoted by the red dashed lines for the simulation indicated by the red curve in panel (c). At  $t = 2000$ , there is zonal flow, whereas later it features an increasing number of turbulent convection rolls. (e) The final two-roll state for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 128$ , and the zoom in of the two plume-ejecting regions. For all these simulations the initial velocity had a linear shear flow profile  $u(z) = 2z - 1$ ,  $w = 0$ , in order to trigger a zonal flow state.

sensitivity to the initial conditions is typical for chaotic systems and makes it impossible to predict when the transition happens.

Figure 2(d) displays three temperature snapshots at different time instants indicated by the red dashed lines in figure 2(c). A complementary movie, showing how the zonal flow undergoes a transition towards a convection roll state, is given in the supplementary material. Initially, the hot plumes travel leftwards and the cold plumes to the right. The transition starts when some local hot plumes are strong enough to deviate upwards and cross the whole fluid layer up to the collision with the upper cold plate. This prevents the further rightward motion of the neighbouring cold plumes, which instead start to move downwards. This process generates a local large-scale circulation, as is observed in the temperature field at  $t = 4172$ . The circulation grows over time until two stable convection rolls of equal size are formed as seen in the temperature field at  $t = 5000$ . Figure 2(e) shows that we also obtain a two-roll state for  $\Gamma = 128$ , such that the horizontal extent




Figure 3: Phase diagram in the (a)  $Ra - \Gamma$  parameter space for  $Pr = 10$  and in the (b)  $Pr - \Gamma$  parameter space for  $Ra = 10^8$ . Black circles (●) correspond to only zonal flow, red squares (■) denote coexistence of zonal flow and convection rolls, and blue diamonds (◆) indicate that only convection roll states are stable. The black hollow circles mark the cases shown in figure 2.

of the convection roll is 64 times the height of the convection cell, and this state is stable for more than 10 000 free-fall time units.

We now explore the phase diagram for the different flow states in the parameter space spanned by  $Ra$ ,  $Pr$ , and  $\Gamma$ , see figure 3 for the simulated cases. We find that in small-aspect ratio cells, only zonal flow is stable, while in large-aspect ratio cells, only convection roll states are stable. For intermediate aspect ratios, we find a regime in which both zonal flow and convection roll states are stable, depending on the initial conditions mentioned in Section 2. We call this regime the bi-stable one. In order to map out an accurate phase diagram, we performed long simulations for the bi-stable cases with largest  $\Gamma$  to [conclude](#) that the corresponding zonal flow can stably exist and does not evolve to a convection roll state. Overall, we ran the simulations at least 50 000 free-fall time units for these cases, which corresponds to at least 5 viscous diffusive time units ( $H^2/\nu$ ) or 0.5 thermal diffusive time units ( $H^2/\kappa$ ). From figure 3(a) it can be seen that, when  $Ra$  is increased, the bi-stable regime exists in an increasing  $\Gamma$  range. This is also consistent with the finding that zonal flow develops more readily for higher  $Ra$  for  $\Gamma = 2$  ([Goluskin et al. 2014](#)). Figure 3(b) demonstrates that the  $\Gamma$  range for the bi-stable state also depends on  $Pr$ . For  $Ra = 10^8$  the largest range of bi-stable state exists at  $Pr \sim 30$ , namely between  $\Gamma = 3$  and  $\Gamma = 24$ .

We have already shown that zonal flow can not be sustained, and only convection roll states are observed, when  $\Gamma$  is larger than a critical value, which depends on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . A related question is how many convection rolls (in other words, what is the mean aspect ratio of individual convection roll) can develop for a specific  $(Ra, Pr, \Gamma)$ . In the next subsection, we will explore the possible convection roll states using different initial roll states generated by different Fourier basis, as explained in Section 2.

### 3.2. Coexistence of multiple convection roll states at large $\Gamma$

In this subsection, we study the coexistence of multiple convection roll states in large-aspect ratio domains, all being statistically stable states once achieved. Figure 4 shows that for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ , and free-slip at the plates, in a  $\Gamma = 16$  system convection rolls with a mean dimensionless horizontal size of  $1.6 \leq \Gamma_r \leq 8$  are all statistically stable. The heat transport considerably increases with decreasing mean aspect ratio  $\Gamma_r$  of an individual convection roll. For example, the heat transport for the  $\Gamma_r = 1.6$  state is almost twice as high as that for  $\Gamma_r = 8$ . Although it had been observed before that






Figure 4: Temperature snapshots of different roll states for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $Pr = 10$  in a  $\Gamma = 16$  periodic cell. The dimensionless mean horizontal size of the convection roll  $\Gamma_r$  (i.e., the mean aspect ratio of one individual roll) and the Nusselt number  $Nu$  for each state are indicated. The different roll states are from initial conditions with different number of initial rolls. All these states can stably exist for long time (see table 3 in Appendix) without undergoing a transition to other states.

convection rolls with smaller  $\Gamma_r$  imply a higher heat transport – e.g. for 2D RB convection with no-slip plates (van der Poel *et al.* 2012; Wang *et al.* 2018a), for RB convection in an annulus convection cell (Xie *et al.* 2018), and also for Taylor-Couette flow (Huisman *et al.* 2014) – in those cases the observed increase in the transport is typically a couple of percents, and by far not as large as observed for RB with free-slip plates and large aspect ratio cells as studied here. This difference is due to different plume dynamics and the associated spatial dependence of the local Nusselt number,  $Nu(x)$ , as we will discuss later.

We also tested initial conditions with 12, 14, 16 rolls for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$ . These states with smaller rolls are not stable and will finally undergo a transition to the ten-roll state by merging of convection rolls. From figure 5(a) is seen that the vertical Reynolds number  $Re_z$  has a sudden decrease during merging of rolls, because the strong vertical motion is concentrated near the plume-ejecting regions between two neighbouring rolls. The decrease of  $Nu$  during merging events can also be observed in figure 5(b), which is related to the decreased vertical motion. Figure 5(c) shows how the flow undergoes a transition from the initial sixteen-roll state to the final ten-roll state by successive merging of convection rolls. The transition happens when the balance of the roll state is broken by horizontal motion of local hot/cold plumes: In the second snapshot at  $t = 85$  the system is still in the initial sixteen roll state. However, one can already see that a local hot plume moves leftwards while its neighbouring cold plume moves rightwards (marked by red arrows). In the third snapshot at  $t = 87$  two hot plumes merge to a single one and so do two cold ones, thus annihilating two rolls. The resulting fourteen-roll state is shown in the fourth snapshot taken at  $t = 141$ . At later times the horizontal motion of the plumes and their further merging let the fourteen-roll state evolve to a twelve-roll state ( $t = 182$ , III), and finally to a ten-roll state ( $t = 300$ , IV). We also performed very long simulations as indicated in figure 5(d), from which we conclude that the ten-roll state can statistically stably exist for a very long time without undergoing any further transition to yet another state.

Figure 6 displays phase diagrams for all the possible convection roll states in the  $Ra - \Gamma_r$






Figure 5: Time evolution of (a)  $Re$  and (b)  $Nu$  for  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$  with an initial sixteen-roll state.  $Re_x = \sqrt{(Ra/Pr)}\sqrt{\langle u^2 \rangle_V}$  is horizontal Reynolds number and  $Re_z = \sqrt{(Ra/Pr)}\sqrt{\langle w^2 \rangle_V}$  the vertical one. (c) Temperature snapshots at different times. The roll merging can be seen, namely the flow undergoes a transition from initial sixteen-roll state (I), to a fourteen-roll state (II), to a twelve-roll state (III), and then to the final ten-roll state (IV). The figure has the same colour scale as figure 4. (d) Time evolution of  $Re$  for much longer time (on a log-scale) to show that the final ten-roll state is stable without undergoing a transition to an other roll state.




Figure 6: Phase diagram for different roll states for (a)  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$  and (b)  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$ . Circles denote that the corresponding roll state with the mean aspect ratio  $\Gamma_r$  of an individual roll is stable, while crosses denote that the roll state is not stable. The solid line in (b) connects the minimal mean aspect ratio  $\Gamma_{r,min}$  of an individual convection roll for different  $Pr$  for  $\Gamma = 16$ , while the dashed line connects  $\Gamma_{r,min}$  for different  $Pr$  for  $\Gamma = 32$ .




Figure 7: (a)  $Nu$  and (b)  $Re$  as function of  $Ra$  for different convection roll states (see legend in panel (d)) for  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$  and the zonal flow state (see orange stars on solid orange line) for  $Pr = 10$ ,  $\Gamma = 2$ . (c)  $Nu$  and (d)  $Re$  as function of  $Pr$  for different roll states for  $Ra = 10^8$  (for  $\Gamma = 16$  and  $\Gamma = 32$ ) and for the zonal flow state, for which we put  $\Gamma = 2$ . Again, see the legend in panel (d). The inset in (c) shows  $Nu$  as function of  $\Gamma_r$  for  $Ra = 10^8$  and different  $Pr$  obtained for  $\Gamma = 16$  (hollow symbols) and  $\Gamma = 32$  (solid symbols). The solid symbols often overshadow the hollow ones as the symbol sizes are the same.

and  $Pr - \Gamma_r$  parameter spaces. The stable roll states can last for several thousand free-fall time units without undergoing a transition to other states (see Appendix). Figure 6(a) shows a weak dependence of  $\Gamma_r$  on  $Ra$ . One can observe the same stable roll states for the considered  $Ra$  range. In contrast, a pronounced dependence of  $\Gamma_r$  on  $Pr$  is observed in figure 6(b), where convection roll states with the smallest  $\Gamma_r$  are observed for intermediate  $Pr \approx 10$ . The minimum  $\Gamma_r = 16/11$  occurs for  $\Gamma = 32$ , which means that the horizontal extent of a stable convection roll is always larger than the height of the system. This explains why convection rolls cannot be supported for small  $\Gamma \approx 2$ , where indeed only zonal flow was obtained. From figure 6(b) it can also be concluded that these results are independent of the aspect ratio of the system once it is large enough, as we obtained almost the same result for  $\Gamma = 16$  and  $\Gamma = 32$  domains.

### 3.3. Nusselt number and Reynolds number

We now discuss the effective scaling relations of  $Nu$  and  $Re$  as function of  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . These relationships are usually expressed with effective scaling laws  $Nu \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Nu}} Pr^{\alpha_{Nu}}$  and  $Re \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Re}} Pr^{\alpha_{Re}}$  (Ahlers et al. 2009). The effective scaling laws have been widely discussed for no-slip cases for both 2D and 3D convection (Ahlers et al. 2009). For the 2D horizontally periodic cases with no-slip plates,  $Nu \sim Ra^{0.29}$  is found with  $Pr = 1$ ,  $Ra \leq 10^{10}$  (Johnston & Doering 2009; Zhu et al. 2018a). For 2D RB convection with no-slip plates and sidewalls with unit aspect ratio, several studies have shown that  $Nu \sim Ra^{0.3}$

---

Flow state	$\gamma_{Nu}$	$\gamma_{Re}$	$\alpha_{Re}$
$\Gamma_r = 8$	0.321	0.675	-1.043
$\Gamma_r = 4$	0.320	0.663	-1.065
$\Gamma_r = 8/3$	0.318	0.665	-1.077
$\Gamma_r = 2$	0.318	0.667	-1.082
$\Gamma_r = 1.6$	0.302	0.657	-1.078
Zonal flow	0.170	0.603	-

---

Table 2: The effective scaling exponents for fitted effective scaling relations  $Nu \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Nu}}$ ,  $Re \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Re}}$  and  $Re \sim Pr^{\alpha_{Re}}$  for different roll states for  $Pr = 10$  obtained in an aspect ratio  $\Gamma = 16$  domain. The zonal flow data is for  $\Gamma = 2$ .

---

and  $Re \sim Ra^{0.6}$  (Sugiyama *et al.* 2009; Zhang *et al.* 2017; Wang *et al.* 2019b). However, how these effective scaling relations will change for free-slip plates has not been explored, especially not for convection roll states, which are only present in large enough domain size.

Figure 7(a) and 7(b) show  $Nu$  and  $Re$  as function of  $Ra$  for both zonal flow ( $\Gamma = 2$ ) and convection roll states ( $\Gamma = 16$ ) for  $Pr = 10$ . Figure 7(a) reveals that the heat transfer in the convection roll state is much higher than that of the zonal flow state. Detailed information about the obtained scaling exponents is listed in table 2. For the convection roll states we find that the effective scaling exponent  $\gamma_{Nu}$  in  $Nu \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Nu}}$  is approximately 0.3. It increases with increasing  $\Gamma_r$ , reaching about 1/3 for the largest  $\Gamma_r = 8$ , which is the value predicated by the Grossmann-Lohse (GL) theory for the  $I_\infty^<$  and  $III_\infty$  regimes (Grossmann & Lohse 2000, 2001; Shishkina *et al.* 2017) for the no-slip case. For zonal flow  $\gamma_{Nu}$  is much smaller, namely only 0.17. The effective scaling exponent  $\gamma_{Re}$  in  $Re \sim Ra^{\gamma_{Re}}$  is about 0.6 for zonal flow and about 0.67 for the convection roll state, which is also close to the GL predication of 2/3 for the  $I_\infty^<$  and  $III_\infty$  regimes (Grossmann & Lohse 2001; Shishkina *et al.* 2017) for no-slip cases, while it is larger than 0.6 that has been reported for 2D RB convection with no-slip plates (Sugiyama *et al.* 2009; Zhang *et al.* 2017; Wang *et al.* 2019b).

Next, we will discuss the Prandtl number dependence of the Nusselt number,  $Nu(Pr)$ . For no-slip plates in 3D cases, it is known that the  $Nu$  is maximal around  $Pr \sim 2 - 3$ , and after that it declines with increasing  $Pr$  (Ahlers & Xu 2001; Xia *et al.* 2002; Stevens *et al.* 2011). This remarkable maximum in  $Nu(Pr)$  had in fact been predicted before by the GL theory (Grossmann & Lohse 2000, 2001). In contrast, for the 2D cases, Huang & Zhou (2013) showed that  $Nu(Pr)$  has a minimum, rather than maximum as in the 3D case, namely at  $Pr \sim 2 - 3$  for moderate  $Ra$ . This anomalous relation is caused by counter-gradient heat transport in 2D cases.

How does the  $Nu(Pr)$  dependence look like for the 2D RB case with free-slip plates? For the zonal flow state Goluskin *et al.* (2014) already showed that  $Nu$  is an increasing function of  $Pr$  in the range  $1 \leq Pr \leq 10$ . Figure 7(c) and 7(d) show the relations for  $Nu(Pr)$  and  $Re(Pr)$  for all states with free-slip plates (i.e., both for zonal flow and for various convection roll states), where we included both  $\Gamma = 16$  and 32 data for the convection roll state. From figure 7(c) it can be seen that the  $Nu(Pr)$  trend shown by Goluskin *et al.* (2014) is also valid for the wider range of  $Pr$  analysed in this paper. The reason why  $Nu$  is much smaller for small  $Pr$  is that zonal flow features intermittent

bursts whereas most of the time  $Nu$  is around 1 (Goluskin *et al.* 2014). For large  $Pr$ , the flow does not burst and convective heat transport with  $Nu \gg 1$  is sustained at all times, thus the corresponding  $Nu$  is larger than that of the small  $Pr$  cases.

For the convection roll states, figures 7(c) and 7(d) reveal that both  $Nu$  and  $Re$  collapse well for the same mean aspect ratio of an individual convection cell ( $\Gamma_r = 8, 4, 8/3$ ) for both  $\Gamma = 16$  and 32. This suggests that the global transport properties are directly related to the mean convection roll size  $\Gamma_r$ . Figure 7(c) also shows that  $Nu$  increases monotonically with increasing  $Pr$  for large mean convection roll size  $\Gamma_r = 16$ . This can be interpreted as that the flow for the large  $\Gamma_r = 16$  cases can be viewed as “localised” zonal flow, thus the  $Nu(Pr)$  follows the similar trend as that of zonal flow. In contrast, for small  $\Gamma_r$ ,  $Nu$  decreases with increasing  $Pr$ . The different  $Nu(Pr)$  trends for large and small  $\Gamma_r$  can also be seen in the inset of figure 7(c). For  $Re(Pr)$ , figure 7(d) shows that the  $Re$  follows  $Re \sim Pr^{-1}$  for convection roll states (see table 2), the exponent  $-1$  is the same as that of the GL predication for the  $I_\infty^<$  and  $III_\infty$  regimes for no-slip cases.

In order to understand different  $Nu(Pr)$  dependence for large and small  $\Gamma_r$  as shown in figure 7(c), we first look at the flow organizations for convection roll states for different  $Pr$ . Figure 8(a) gives the time-averaged temperature fields for the  $\Gamma_r = 16$  roll state for different  $Pr$ . The flow near the bottom plate can be divided into plume-ejecting region, plume-impacting region, and between them there is wind-shearing region which occupies large fraction of the domain. In the ejecting region, thermal plumes are emitted, while in the impacting region, the boundary layer is impinged by the plumes from the opposite plate. The wind-shearing region is sheared by the large-scale circulation. The impacting regions on the top plate are the opposite of the ejecting regions of the bottom plate and vice versa. This kind of division is also adopted in periodic 2D RB convection with no-slip plates (van der Poel *et al.* 2015b). A remarkable observation is the stable-stratification near the plume impacting region. Figure 8(c) shows a zoom-in of the regions where hot plumes are ejected for  $Pr = 1$  and 10. When hot fluid impinges the cold plate, it does not have sufficient time to cool down, before it moves horizontally. The consequence is that the temperature of the fluid between the top boundary layer and the bulk is higher than that of the bulk fluid, thus implying a stable-stratification. This behavior is even observed at the centre line of the hot plume (the vertical line at the horizontal location where the local bottom wall heat flux is minimal) as is shown in temperature profiles in figure 8(d), where for  $Pr > 1$  stable-stratification near the cold plate is observed. The stable-stratification has also been observed at the axis in cylindrical RB convection (Tilgner *et al.* 1993; Brown & Ahlers 2007; Wan *et al.* 2019), and in 2D RB convection with no-slip plates and sidewalls for unit aspect ratio in the central region near the plates (Wan *et al.* 2020). The instantaneous temperature fields shown in figure 8(b) for  $Pr = 100$  reveals the “localised” zonal flow structures. It can be seen that plumes are ejected everywhere while they can only move vertically and impinge the cold plate in the central region.

Next, we focus on the local properties of the wall heat flux. The local wall heat flux is expressed through the local wall Nusselt number  $Nu(x)|_{z=0,1} = \partial \langle \theta \rangle_t / \partial z|_{z=0,1}$ . Figure 8(e) and 8(f) show the spatial  $Nu(x)$  dependence at the plates for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $\Gamma = 32$  for  $\Gamma_r = 16$  and  $\Gamma_r = 4$ , respectively. For small  $Pr = 1$ , one sees from figure 8(a) the accumulation of hot fluid in the ejecting region near the bottom plate, which cause small temperature gradient (see figure 8(d)), and correspondingly small local  $Nu$  (see figure 8(e)). So the centre of the ejecting region can be denoted as the point where local wall heat flux is minimal. In contrast, for the impacting region ( $x/H \approx 0$  at the bottom plate) where cold fluid directly impinges the hot plate, there is a sharp temperature gradient and thus large local  $Nu$  (see figure 8(e)). Similar  $Nu$  behavior is also observed in the




Figure 8: (a) Time-averaged temperature fields for the  $\Gamma_r = 16$  roll state for different  $Pr$  at  $Ra = 10^8$  obtained in a  $\Gamma = 32$  domain. (b) Instantaneous temperature field for  $Pr = 100$  for the  $\Gamma_r = 16$  state with  $Ra = 10^8$ ,  $\Gamma = 32$ . (c) Zoom-in for the time-averaged temperature fields in (a) for  $Pr = 1$  and 10. (d) Temperature profiles for the different  $Pr$  at the centre point of plume-ejecting regions ( $x/H \approx 16$ ) where the local  $Nu$  is smallest. Panels (e) and (f) show the spatial dependence of  $Nu(x)$  at the hot plate at  $z = 0$  (solid lines) and the cold plate at  $z = 1$  (dashed lines) for different  $Pr$  for the (e)  $\Gamma_r = 16$  and the (f)  $\Gamma_r = 4$  roll states. Note that all curves are shifted such that the minimum local  $Nu$  at the hot plate is located at  $x/H = 16$ .

ejecting/impacting regions near the top plate (dashed lines in figure 8(e)). The physical interpretation is as follows: The heat is ejected into the system through the bottom plate mainly at the plume-impacting regions where local temperature gradient is large, and then it is advected by large-scale circulation to the plume-ejecting regions, where conductive heat flux is low on the wall while convective heat flux is high above the wall. The heat is mainly removed from the system when hot plume impinges the cold plate.

For  $\Gamma_r = 16$ , there is only one impacting region near the bottom plate, and the heat input is still dominated by the wind-shearing region, which occupies a large fraction of the domain. As the wind-shearing region is like “localised” zonal flow where  $Nu$  increases with increasing  $Pr$ , the global  $Nu$  thus also increases with increasing  $Pr$ . In contrast, for smaller  $\Gamma_r$ , there are more impacting regions on the bottom plate, and these impacting regions contribute significantly to the global heat input. As the heat flux at the impacting region increases with decreasing  $Pr$ , we thus see that the global  $Nu$  also increases with decreasing  $Pr$ .

#### 4. Three-dimensional simulations

We have already shown that the zonal flow observed in 2D RB convection with free-slip plates and horizontally periodic boundary conditions for  $\Gamma = 2$  (van der Poel *et al.* 2014; Goluskin *et al.* 2014) eventually disappears with increasing  $\Gamma$ . What about in 3D, under the same conditions? For the 3D RB convection with free-slip plates, previous studies have not reported zonal flow (Petschel *et al.* 2013; Kunnen *et al.* 2016). However, if we introduce *span-wise rotation* as illustrated in figure 1(b) where the rotating axis is parallel to the  $y$  axis, the flow will become 2D-like at sufficiently fast rotation, due to the Taylor-Proudman theorem. In this way we may observe zonal flow at certain parameters, as indeed was already reported in (von Hardenberg *et al.* 2015). Therefore here we will study span-wise rotating RB convection, focusing on the transition from zonal flow to convection roll states with increasing aspect ratio  $\Gamma$  of the container.

We first show that both the global transport properties like the Nusselt number  $Nu$  and the Reynolds number  $Re$ , as well as the flow organization increasingly behave like 2D cases with increasing rotation rate. We fix the Rayleigh number to  $Ra = 10^7$  and the Prandtl number to  $Pr = 0.71$ . To be on the safe side, we choose a large domain with  $\Gamma = 16$ , as previous studies showed that large aspect ratios are needed in order to capture the superstructures which have a horizontal size of 6-7 times the height of the domain for 3D RB convection with no-slip plates (Pandey *et al.* 2018; Stevens *et al.* 2018; Krug *et al.* 2020; Green *et al.* 2020). The initial conditions have zero velocity and a linear temperature profile for these simulations. For RB convection rotating about a vertical axis for small  $Pr = 0.71$  with no-slip plates,  $Nu$  initially does not change much with increasing the rotation rate (denoted by the inverse Rossby number  $1/Ro$ ), until after  $1/Ro \geq 1$ ,  $Nu$  drops monotonically with increasing  $1/Ro$  (Zhong *et al.* 2009). Figure 9(a) shows that for span-wise rotating RB convection  $Nu$  also initially does not change much for  $1/Ro \leq 1$ . After that  $Nu$  drops monotonically until reaching its minimum at  $1/Ro \approx 10$ , and then it increases monotonically towards the 2D value for a two-roll state. Similar non-monotonic behavior of  $Nu$  with the control parameter was also found in sheared RB convection, where  $Nu$  also non-monotonically depends on the wall shear Reynolds number (Blass *et al.* 2020). For span-wise rotating RB convection,  $Re$  monotonically increases from the 3D value towards the 2D value with increasing rotation rate, as shown in figure 9(b). Note that this behavior is very different from RB convection rotating around the *vertical axis*, where  $Re$  decreases monotonically with increasing  $1/Ro$  (Chong *et al.* 2017).

We now connect the global transport properties with the flow organization. Figure 10 shows instantaneous temperature fields at the midheight (top row) and boundary layer height close to the bottom plate (bottom row) for different  $1/Ro$  with  $Ra = 10^7$ ,  $Pr = 0.71$ ,  $\Gamma = 16$ . We can clearly see the connection between large-scale thermal structure at midheight and boundary layer height for different  $1/Ro$ , which has also been shown in 3D RB convection with no-slip plates (Stevens *et al.* 2018; Green *et al.* 2020). With increasing rotation rate, one sees the increasing two-dimensionlization of the flow. For the non-rotation case ( $1/Ro = 0$ ), figure 10(a) shows qualitatively similar superstructures as the no-slip case (Pandey *et al.* 2018; Stevens *et al.* 2018). When  $1/Ro$  increases to 1, a meandering large-scale convection roll state develops, as can be seen from figure 10(b). Interestingly, similar meandering structures have also been observed in many shear-driven flows when the horizontal isotropy is broken, such as plane Couette flow (Lee & Moser 2018), wavy Taylor rolls in Taylor-Couette flow (Andereck *et al.* 1986), and also in sheared RB convection (Blass *et al.* 2020). Figure 9(a) shows that this meandering structure at  $1/Ro = 1$  has still similar  $Nu$  as in the non-rotation case. For medium rotation rates






Figure 9: 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation: (a)  $Nu$  and (b)  $Re$  as function of  $1/Ro$  for  $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71, \Gamma = 16$  (black circles). For orientation with respect to the Nusselt number, the data for non-rotation ( $1/Ro = 0$ , red diamond) and the 2D cases with the same control parameters ( $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71, \Gamma = 16$ ) for different roll aspect ratios  $\Gamma_r$  (blue squares) are also shown; for these data points the value at the  $1/Ro$  axis has no meaning. The Reynolds number  $Re$  for the  $\Gamma_r = 8/3$  (7702.85) and  $\Gamma_r = 2$  (7726.20) states are close to each other and can not be differentiated in the figure.




Figure 10: 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation (the rotating axis is parallel to  $y$  axis): top view snapshots of temperature fields at midheight ( $z = H/2$ , top row) and thermal boundary layer height ( $z = z_{bl} = H/(2Nu)$ , bottom row) for  $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71, \Gamma = 16$  with different rotation rates. (a)  $1/Ro = 0$ . (b)  $1/Ro = 1$ . (c)  $1/Ro = 3.75$ . (d)  $1/Ro = 10$ . (e)  $1/Ro = 50$ .

$1/Ro = 3.75$  and 10, a two-roll state evolves; interestingly, the cyclonic circulation has a larger size than the anticyclonic one. The smaller  $Nu$  for these two-roll states is related to the decreased plume emission area. With further increasing rotation rate, the flow increasingly behaves like 2D cases. For the largest  $1/Ro = 50$  as shown in figure 10(e), a two-roll state with equal size of each roll has developed, with small span-wise variation in temperature.

After we have shown the increasing two-dimensionlization of the flow with increasing rotation rate for span-wise rotating RB convection, next we will study the transition from zonal flow to the convection roll states with increasing aspect ratio  $\Gamma$ , similarly as we have already done for the 2D case. von Hardenberg *et al.* (2015) studied span-wise rotating RB convection for  $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71$  with fixed  $\Gamma = 2\pi$ . They observe strong zonal flow that is perpendicular to both rotation vector and gravity vector. Both the






Figure 11: 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation (the rotating axis is parallel to  $y$  axis): time evolution of  $Nu$  for  $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71, 1/Ro = 3.75$  with three different initial conditions for (a)  $\Gamma = 8$  and (b)  $\Gamma = 16$ .  $IC_0$  means initial condition with zero velocity.  $IC_c/IC_a$  denote cyclonic/anticyclonic shear flow as initial condition. (c-h) Side view temperature snapshots at mid span-wise length ( $y/H = \Gamma/2$ ) at different times denoted by the dashed lines in (a) and (b).

cyclonic zonal flow and the anticyclonic one have been obtained using different initial conditions. These two kinds of zonal flow are symmetric for 2D, while they are not in 3D with span-wise rotation, as the Coriolis force depends on the direction of velocity and thus it breaks the symmetry between the two kinds of zonal flow, which have an opposite flow direction. The main difference between the two kinds of zonal flow is that intermittent bursts exist for anticyclonic zonal flow, similar to what is observed in 2D cases with small  $Pr \leq 2$ , while these bursts are absent for the cyclonic zonal flow (von Hardenberg et al. 2015). We note that, as in von Hardenberg et al. (2015), the dimensionless angular velocity  $\Omega' = \Omega\tau_{th}$  is used to quantify the rotation velocity, where  $\tau_{th} = H^2/\kappa$  is thermal diffusive time. The dimensionless angular velocity is related to the Rossby number by  $Ro = \sqrt{RaPr}/(2\Omega')$  (Novi et al. 2019).

We performed simulations for  $Ra = 10^7, Pr = 0.71, \Gamma = 8$  and  $16$  with  $1/Ro = 3.75$ , which corresponds to  $2\Omega' = 10000$  in von Hardenberg et al. (2015). Three different initial conditions were used to trigger different possible states, namely:

- $IC_0$  with zero initial velocity,
- $IC_c$  with cyclonic shear flow  $u(z) = 2z - 1, v = 0, w = 0$ , to trigger possible cyclonic zonal flow, and
- $IC_a$  with anticyclonic shear flow  $u(z) = 1 - 2z, v = 0, w = 0$ , to trigger possible anticyclonic zonal flow.

We first report the results for  $\Gamma = 8$ : Figure 11(a) illustrates that for the initial conditions  $IC_0$ , the flow quickly develops into a two-roll state as indicated in figure 11(c).

We note that the cyclonic circulation is again larger than the anticyclonic one. For  $IC_c$  as initial conditions, the cyclonic zonal flow shown in figure 11(d) can statistically stably exist for more than 3000 free-fall time units. Finally, the initial conditions  $IC_a$  can trigger an anticyclonic zonal flow with burst phenomenon, which is consistent with the findings of von Hardenberg *et al.* (2015). However, here this feature only lasts for about 380 free-fall time units and then the system undergoes a transition to a two-roll state. We thus conclude that for  $\Gamma = 8$  the system can again display a bi-stability behavior, in which both zonal flow and convection roll states are statistically stable.

We now come to the case of  $\Gamma = 16$ . From figure 11(b) we conclude that for three different initial conditions, the flow eventually evolves to the very same final state, namely the convection roll state. The cyclonic zonal flow initially seen for short time for the initial conditions  $IC_c$  quickly undergoes a transition to the convection roll state. The snapshots in figure 11(b – d) reveal similar transition processes as we had already observed in 2D. Again, the final two-roll state has a larger cyclonic circulation. For the final convection state the horizontal scale of the flow reaches the domain size of 16, which is much larger than the typical horizontal scale of superstructures observed in 3D RB convection with no-slip plates (Pandey *et al.* 2018; Stevens *et al.* 2018). Such large-scale structures can not be captured in small domains, which is the reason why for small domains only zonal flow states can be realized (von Hardenberg *et al.* 2015).

To summarize our results from our 3D simulations with free-slip plates and span-wise rotation (with fixed  $Ra = 10^7$ ,  $Pr = 0.71$ , and relative strong rotation  $1/Ro = 3.75$ ): We have revealed a similar physical picture as we had done before for 2D RB convection with free-slip plates:

- (i) For small aspect ratio  $\Gamma = 2\pi$ , the flow is zonal (von Hardenberg *et al.* 2015).
- (ii) With increasing  $\Gamma$  up to at least  $\Gamma = 8$ , the convection roll state and the zonal flow state coexist in phase space and which one is taken depends on the initial conditions.
- (iii) For larger  $\Gamma = 16$ , we always obtain the convection roll state, independently on of what kind of initial conditions were employed.

## 5. Concluding remarks

In summary, we have studied 2D RB convection and span-wise rotating RB convection with free-slip plates in horizontally periodic domains using direct numerical simulations. We find that the zonal flow, which was previously observed in a  $\Gamma = 2$  cell (van der Poel *et al.* 2014; Goluskin *et al.* 2014), cannot be sustained and will undergo transitions to convection roll states when the aspect ratio  $\Gamma$  is larger than a critical value, which depends on the Rayleigh number  $Ra$  and Prandtl number  $Pr$ .

We reveal three regimes:

- (i) For small  $\Gamma$  (typically  $\Gamma \leq 1 - 3$ , depending on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ ), only zonal flow can be observed.
- (ii) With increasing  $\Gamma$ , we first find a bi-stable regime in which, depending on the initial conditions, both zonal flow and convection roll states can be stable.
- (iii) For even larger-aspect ratio systems, only convection roll states can be sustained. How many convection rolls develop in the convection roll states (in other words, what is the mean aspect ratio  $\Gamma_r$  of an individual roll) depends on the initial conditions. For instance, for  $Ra = 10^8$  and  $Pr = 10$  the horizontal extent of the stable convection rolls varies between 16/11 and 64 times the height of the convection cell. A convection roll with an as large aspect ratio of  $\Gamma_r = 64$  or more generally already with  $\Gamma_r \gg 10$  can be seen as localized zonal flow.

The heat transfer in the system increases significantly when the horizontal extent of

the convection roll is reduced. It is found that the Prandtl number dependence of the Nusselt number  $Nu(Pr)$  has very different trends for large and small  $\Gamma_r$ : For large  $\Gamma_r$  (like  $\Gamma_r = 16$  for a  $\Gamma = 32$  cell), the flow behaves like a “localized” zonal flow state, and  $Nu$  increases with increasing  $Pr$ , similarly as we found for the zonal flow state. In contrast, for small  $\Gamma_r$ , the heat flux into the system is dominated by the plume-impacting regions on the bottom plate, in which the local heat flux is very high and increases with decreasing  $Pr$ , implying that the global heat flux  $Nu$  also increases with decreasing  $Pr$ .

For span-wise rotating 3D RB convection, we find that with increasing rotation rate  $1/Ro$ , both the transport properties (like the Nusselt number  $Nu$  and the Reynolds number  $Re$ ) and the flow organization increasingly behave like in the corresponding 2D cases. In particular, just as in 2D, the zonal flow observed in a small periodic cell with  $\Gamma = 2\pi$  (von Hardenberg *et al.* 2015), disappears in larger cells with  $\Gamma = 16$ . For intermediate  $\Gamma = 8$ , bistability is observed, again similarly as observed in 2D RB convection.

Finally, an interesting but still open question is the final fate of the aspect ratio dependence of the zonal flow for higher  $Ra$ , i.e., is there a finite  $Ra$  above which zonal flow exists for all  $\Gamma$ ? Due to the chaotic nature of the flow, mapping out the parameter regime where zonal flow can be found is not easy, especially not for high  $Ra$  and large  $\Gamma$ .

From a broader perspective, our study underlines the importance of having large enough aspect ratios in numerical simulations of wall-bounded turbulent flows, even when one employs periodic boundary conditions. We had seen this before in 3D RB convection with no-slip velocity boundary conditions at the plates (Pandey *et al.* 2018; Stevens *et al.* 2018; Krug *et al.* 2020; Green *et al.* 2020), but apparently this conclusion is much more general.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Olga Shishkina and David Goluskin for fruitful discussions. Q.W. acknowledges financial support from China Scholarship Council (CSC) and Natural Science Foundation of China under grant no 11621202. K.L.C. acknowledges the Croucher Foundation for the Croucher Fellowships for Postdoctoral Research. R.J.A.M.S. acknowledges the financial support from ERC (the European Research Council) Starting Grant No. 804283 UltimateRB. We acknowledge PRACE for awarding us access to MareNostrum 4 based in Spain at the Barcelona Computing Center (BSC) under Prace project 2018194742 and to Marconi based in Italy at CINECA under PRACE project 2019204979. This work was partly carried out on the national e-infrastructure of SURFsara, a subsidiary of SURF cooperation, the collaborative ICT organization for Dutch education and research.

**Appendix A. Tables with simulation details**

$Ra$	$Pr$	$\Gamma$	$N_x \times N_z$	$n^{(i)}$	$n$	$\Gamma_r$	$Nu$	$Re$	$Re_x$	$Re_z$	$t_{tot}$	$t_{avg}$
$10^7$	10	16	$4096 \times 256$	2	2	8	25.67	413.19	405.27	80.52	7000	5000
$10^7$	10	16	$4096 \times 256$	4	4	4	30.95	448.47	427.38	135.91	7000	5000
$10^7$	10	16	$4096 \times 256$	6	6	2.67	40.84	493.15	453.37	194.05	7000	5000
$10^7$	10	16	$4096 \times 256$	8	8	2	41.94	474.28	417.81	224.44	7000	4000
$10^7$	10	16	$4096 \times 256$	10	10	1.6	48.53	488.77	409.76	266.45	7000	3000
$3 \times 10^7$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	36.16	881.17	864.21	172.06	6500	4500
$3 \times 10^7$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	46.15	956.10	910.58	291.51	6500	4500
$3 \times 10^7$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	6	6	2.67	55.61	997.30	917.21	391.60	11500	5500
$3 \times 10^7$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	8	8	2	64.98	1033.58	910.66	488.87	11500	7500
$3 \times 10^7$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	10	10	1.6	69.43	1016.46	852.94	552.91	6500	4500
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	53.30	1995.38	1955.07	399.06	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	67.66	2112.39	2011.37	645.40	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	6	6	2.67	86.49	2296.92	2112.33	902.13	8000	6000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	8	8	2	94.10	2281.70	2010.51	1078.91	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	10	10	1.6	96.27	2200.71	1846.23	1197.73	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	12	10	1.6	96.20	2198.65	1844.19	1197.09	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	14	10	1.6	96.81	2202.08	1846.89	1199.23	2456	1456
$10^8$	10	16	$6144 \times 384$	16	10	1.6	96.20	2200.23	1845.68	1197.70	12000	10000
$3 \times 10^8$	10	16	$8192 \times 512$	2	2	8	75.85	4154.56	4068.12	843.14	5500	3500
$3 \times 10^8$	10	16	$8192 \times 512$	4	4	4	92.95	4298.72	4092.94	1314.09	5500	3500
$3 \times 10^8$	10	16	$8192 \times 512$	6	6	2.67	121.79	4738.24	4357.01	1862.08	5500	3500
$3 \times 10^8$	10	16	$8192 \times 512$	8	8	2	129.09	4675.29	4121.31	2207.51	5500	3500
$3 \times 10^8$	10	16	$8192 \times 512$	10	10	1.6	134.00	4552.41	3821.76	2473.57	5500	3500
$10^9$	10	16	$12288 \times 768$	2	2	8	112.36	9258.76	9061.98	1898.78	1600	1100
$10^9$	10	16	$12288 \times 768$	4	4	4	137.80	9622.01	9160.21	2945.08	5300	1300
$10^9$	10	16	$12288 \times 768$	6	6	2.67	171.87	10380.02	9548.89	4069.56	5046	2046
$10^9$	10	16	$12288 \times 768$	8	8	2	186.55	10373.03	9148.56	4889.31	4742	2742
$10^9$	10	16	$12288 \times 768$	10	10	1.6	198.01	10135.10	8504.77	5512.29	3700	1700

Table 3: Simulation details for all cases shown in figure 6(a). The columns from left to right indicate  $Ra$ ,  $Pr$ ,  $\Gamma$ , grid resolutions  $N_x \times N_z$ , the number of initial rolls  $n^{(i)}$ , the number of final convection rolls  $n$ , the mean aspect ratio of the convection rolls  $\Gamma_r = \Gamma/n$ , the Nusselt number  $Nu$ , the Reynolds number  $Re$  based on root-mean-square of the global velocity, the horizontal Reynolds number  $Re_x$  based on root-mean-square of the horizontal velocity, the vertical Reynolds number  $Re_z$ , the total simulation time  $t_{tot}$ , and the time  $t_{avg}$  used to average  $Nu$  and  $Re$ .

$Ra$	$Pr$	$\Gamma$	$N_x \times N_z$	$n^{(i)}$	$n$	$\Gamma_r$	$Nu$	$Re$	$Re_x$	$Re_z$	$t_{tot}$	$t_{avg}$
$10^8$	1	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	55.04	20633.77	20190.00	4261.16	4000	s
$10^8$	1	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	81.63	24070.00	22920.00	7357.00	6000	s
$10^8$	3	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	52.18	6679.56	6536.21	1376.25	10000	2000
$10^8$	3	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	78.27	7822.00	7448.00	2391.00	5434	1434
$10^8$	3	16	$6144 \times 384$	6	6	2.67	93.06	8156.64	7502.60	3200.30	5345	2345
$10^8$	3	16	$6144 \times 384$	8	8	2	102.51	8188.54	7220.01	3863.14	4600	1600
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	56.69	646.20	634.14	124.28	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	64.17	670.83	639.73	201.89	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	6	6	2.67	77.54	697.39	641.45	273.67	4311	3311
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	8	8	2	84.60	688.69	606.92	325.50	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	10	10	1.6	89.96	673.49	565.05	366.48	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	12	10	1.6	90.13	674.42	565.86	366.94	3000	2000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	14	10	1.6	89.99	673.58	565.10	366.58	4000	3000
$10^8$	30	16	$6144 \times 384$	16	10	1.6	89.94	673.42	565.20	366.13	4000	3000
$10^8$	100	16	$6144 \times 384$	2	2	8	57.98	163.70	160.24	33.45	5000	2000
$10^8$	100	16	$6144 \times 384$	4	4	4	62.53	179.11	171.18	52.71	6000	5000
$10^8$	100	16	$6144 \times 384$	6	6	2.67	68.65	187.57	172.86	72.82	6000	5000
$10^8$	100	16	$6144 \times 384$	8	8	2	74.72	184.90	163.07	87.16	6000	5000
$10^8$	1	32	$12288 \times 384$	2	2	16	35.69	16990.00	16820.00	2421.00	6000	s
$10^8$	1	32	$12288 \times 384$	4	4	8	55.06	20656.18	20210.0	4264.00	5000	s
$10^8$	1	32	$12288 \times 384$	6	6	5.33	69.79	22760.00	21989.82	5886.63	5278	s
$10^8$	1	32	$12288 \times 384$	8	8	4	81.62	24070.44	22918.82	7356.22	4177	s
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	2	2	16	44.84	6337.77	6274.55	892.89	6500	4500
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	4	4	8	51.70	6647.40	6504.83	1369.35	6500	4500
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	6	6	5.33	69.52	7526.00	7270.00	1947.00	3678	s
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	8	8	4	78.26	7820.16	7446.00	2390.36	4032	2032
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	10	10	3.2	84.72	7960.42	7456.85	2786.39	3440	1440
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	12	12	2.67	93.09	8154.74	7500.63	3200.02	5205	3205
$10^8$	3	32	$12288 \times 384$	14	14	2	99.91	8236.24	7422.50	3569.63	3738	1738
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	2	2	16	52.96	2037.02	2017.49	281.43	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	4	4	16	53.25	1994.84	1954.55	398.86	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	6	6	5.33	62.34	2090.82	2020.23	538.71	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	8	8	4	67.62	2111.59	2010.61	645.18	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	10	10	3.2	71.35	2121.42	1987.41	742.14	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	12	12	2.67	87.73	2313.32	2127.41	908.67	6000	4000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	14	14	2.29	91.47	2307.20	2078.88	1000.70	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	16	16	2	95.01	2291.69	2019.13	1083.97	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	18	18	1.78	97.25	2261.39	1945.47	1152.82	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	20	20	1.6	96.13	2199.85	1845.37	1197.48	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	22	22	1.45	97.22	2155.22	1761.17	1242.27	6000	3000
$10^8$	10	32	$12288 \times 384$	24	22	1.45	97.53	2158.19	1763.30	1244.42	2587	1087

$Ra$	$Pr$	$\Gamma$	$N_x \times N_z$	$n^{(i)}$	$n$	$\Gamma_r$	$Nu$	$Re$	$Re_x$	$Re_z$	$t_{tot}$	$t_{avg}$
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	2	2	16	56.36	670.65	664.57	90.07	5800	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	4	4	8	56.76	646.62	634.56	124.33	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	6	6	5.33	59.03	651.68	631.49	160.97	5800	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	8	8	4	64.22	671.01	639.90	201.94	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	10	10	3.2	70.29	683.07	640.19	238.19	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	12	12	2.67	77.55	697.21	641.28	273.60	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	14	14	2.29	81.51	695.09	626.40	301.29	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	16	16	2	84.53	688.59	606.83	325.45	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	18	18	1.78	88.06	684.88	589.31	348.96	6000	4000
$10^8$	30	32	$12288 \times 384$	20	20	1.6	89.93	673.55	565.16	366.41	6000	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	2	2	16	56.69	161.43	159.12	27.17	5500	3500
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	4	4	8	58.09	163.88	160.43	33.47	6000	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	6	6	5.33	60.23	173.48	167.99	43.28	5800	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	8	8	4	62.53	178.89	170.97	52.62	6000	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	10	10	3.2	65.79	186.90	175.65	63.88	6000	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	12	12	2.67	68.81	187.90	173.15	72.98	6000	4000
$10^8$	100	32	$12288 \times 384$	14	14	2.29	71.83	186.70	168.44	80.53	6000	4000

Table 4: Simulation details for the main cases in figure 6(b) for  $\Gamma = 16$  and 32. The table head is the same as table 3. “s” denotes that the final flow state is steady, which means that both  $Nu$  and  $Re$  are independent on time once the final state is achieved.

$Ra$	$Pr$	$1/Ro$	$\Gamma$	$N_x \times N_y \times N_z$	IC	$Nu$	$Re$	$Re_x$	$Re_y$	$Re_z$	$t_{tot}$	$t_{avg}$
$10^7$	0.71	3.75	8	$1024 \times 1024 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	22.32	2282.55	2052.21	641.90	765.78	950	550
$10^7$	0.71	3.75	8	$1024 \times 1024 \times 128$	IC <sub>c</sub>	10.32	1994.96	1929.91	424.65	273.82	3200	2700
$10^7$	0.71	0	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	25.39	1184.12	707.09	733.01	604.04	700	400
$10^7$	0.71	0.1	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	25.42	1180.84	702.13	728.91	608.35	500	300
$10^7$	0.71	0.3	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	25.66	1195.08	756.55	677.92	629.49	500	300
$10^7$	0.71	1	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	25.20	1409.11	1030.76	712.38	644.72	700	300
$10^7$	0.71	3.75	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	19.19	2395.52	2217.79	680.81	596.99	550	250
$10^7$	0.71	10	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	18.98	3357.81	3184.53	741.39	764.23	600	300
$10^7$	0.71	20	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	19.97	3713.41	3527.17	784.23	856.41	600	200
$10^7$	0.71	50	16	$2048 \times 2048 \times 128$	IC <sub>0</sub>	23.44	5436.73	5283.16	532.86	1167.17	1200	600
$10^7$	0.71	-(2D)	16	$4096 \times 256$	$n^{(i)} = 2$	25.26	6270.74	6137.35	-	1286.55	3700	s
$10^7$	0.71	-(2D)	16	$4096 \times 256$	$n^{(i)} = 4$	37.57	7326.16	6977.15	-	2234.26	3700	s
$10^7$	0.71	-(2D)	16	$4096 \times 256$	$n^{(i)} = 6$	45.67	7702.85	7086.30	-	3019.64	3700	s
$10^7$	0.71	-(2D)	16	$4096 \times 256$	$n^{(i)} = 8$	51.02	7726.20	6809.66	-	3650.03	3700	s

Table 5: Simulations details for 3D RB convection with span-wise rotation. The corresponding 2D simulations are also included for comparison. The table head is similar to that of table 3 and 4, apart from the inverse Rossby number  $1/Ro$  for the 3D cases. IC<sub>0</sub> means initial conditions with zero velocity and conductive temperature profile with random perturbations. IC<sub>c</sub> means initial conditions with cyclonic shear flow  $u(z) = 2z - 1$ ,  $v = 0$ ,  $w = 0$  and conductive temperature profile with random perturbations.






Figure 12: (a) Reynolds number ratio  $Re_z/Re_x$  as function of  $\Gamma$  for the zonal flow state and (b) Reynolds number ratio  $Re_z/Re_x$  as function of  $\Gamma_r$  for the convection roll state for  $Ra = 10^8$  with different  $Pr$ . Solid symbols in (b) for  $\Gamma = 16$  and hollow symbols for  $\Gamma = 32$ . The solid symbols can hardly be seen as they are mostly hidden by the hollow symbols. The data in (b) can be well described by the effective scaling relation  $Re_z/Re_x = 0.86\Gamma_r^{-0.68}$ .

## Appendix B. Reynolds number ratio

Figure 12(a) shows the Reynolds number ratio  $Re_z/Re_x$  as function of  $\Gamma$  for the zonal flow state. The ratio  $Re_z/Re_x$  increases with increasing  $Pr$  for  $Pr \geq 10$ , which has a similar trend as  $Nu$  discussed before. Figure 12(b) shows Reynolds number ratio  $Re_z/Re_x$  as function of  $\Gamma_r$  for convection roll states for  $Ra = 10^8$  with different  $Pr$ . It is remarkable that  $Re_z/Re_x$  seems to have a universal dependence on  $\Gamma_r$  for different  $Pr$ , despite that  $Nu$  has a different trend on  $Pr$  for large and small  $\Gamma_r$ . The data can be well represented by the effective scaling relation  $Re_z/Re_x = 0.86\Gamma_r^{-0.68}$ .

## REFERENCES

- AHLERS, G., GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2009 Heat transfer and large scale dynamics in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 503–537.
- AHLERS, G. & XU, X. 2001 Prandtl-number dependence of heat transport in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (15), 3320.
- ANDERHECK, C. D., LIU, S. S. & SWINNEY, H. L. 1986 Flow regimes in a circular Couette system with independently rotating cylinders. *J. Fluid Mech.* **164**, 155–183.
- BLASS, A., ZHU, X., VERZICCO, R., LOHSE, D. & STEVENS, R. J. A. M. 2020 Flow organization and heat transfer in turbulent wall sheared thermal convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **yy**, xx, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.11400.
- BOUCHET, F. & VENAILLE, A. 2012 Statistical mechanics of two-dimensional and geophysical flows. *Phys. Rep.* **515** (5), 227–295.
- BROWN, E. & AHLERS, G. 2007 Temperature gradients, and search for non-Boussinesq effects, in the interior of turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **80** (1), 14001.
- CHANDRA, M. & VERMA, M. K. 2011 Dynamics and symmetries of flow reversals in turbulent convection. *Phys. Rev. E* **83** (6), 067303.
- CHEN, X., HUANG, S.-D., XIA, K.-Q. & XI, H.-D. 2019 Emergence of substructures inside the large-scale circulation induces transition in flow reversals in turbulent thermal convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **877**.
- CHILLÀ, F. & SCHUMACHER, J. 2012 New perspectives in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *Eur. Phys. J. E* **35** (7), 58.
- CHO, J. Y. K. & POLVANI, L. M. 1996 The morphogenesis of bands and zonal winds in the atmospheres on the giant outer planets. *Science* **273** (5273), 335–337.
- CHONG, K.-L., WAGNER, S., KACZOROWSKI, M., SHISHKINA, O. & XIA, K.-Q. 2018 Effect of Prandtl number on heat transport enhancement in Rayleigh-Bénard convection under geometrical confinement. *Phys. Rev. Fluid* **3** (1), 013501.
- CHONG, K.-L., YANG, Y., HUANG, S.-D., ZHONG, J.-Q., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., VERZICCO, R., LOHSE, D. & XIA, K.-Q. 2017 Confined Rayleigh-Bénard, rotating Rayleigh-Bénard, and double diffusive convection: A unifying view on turbulent transport enhancement through coherent structure manipulation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (6), 064501.
- DIAMOND, P. H., ITOH, S. I., ITOH, K. & HAHM, T. S. 2005 Zonal flows in plasmas review. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **47** (5), R35.
- GOLUSKIN, D., JOHNSTON, H., FLIERL, G. R. & SPIEGEL, E. A. 2014 Convectively driven shear and decreased heat flux. *J. Fluid Mech.* **759**, 360–385.
- GREEN, G., VLAYKOV, D. G., MELLADO, J. P. & WILCZEK, M. 2020 Resolved energy budget of superstructures in Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **887**, A21–1.
- GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2000 Scaling in thermal convection: a unifying theory. *J. Fluid Mech.* **407**, 27–56.
- GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2001 Thermal convection for large prandtl numbers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (15), 3316.
- VON HARDENBERG, J., GOLUSKIN, D., PROVENZALE, A. & SPIEGEL, E. A. 2015 Generation of large-scale winds in horizontally anisotropic convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (13), 134501.
- HARTLEP, T., TILGNER, A. & BUSSE, F. H. 2003 Large scale structures in rayleigh-bénard convection at high rayleigh numbers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (6), 064501.
- HEIMPEL, M., AURNOU, J. & WICHT, J. 2005 Simulation of equatorial and high-latitude jets on Jupiter in a deep convection model. *Nature* **438** (7065), 193.
- HUANG, Y.-X. & ZHOU, Q. 2013 Counter-gradient heat transport in two-dimensional turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **737**.
- HUISMAN, S. G., VAN DER VEEN, R. C., SUN, C. & LOHSE, D. 2014 Multiple states in highly turbulent Taylor-Couette flow. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3820.
- INGERSOLL, A. P. 1990 Atmospheric dynamics of the outer planets. *Science* **248** (4953), 308–315.
- JOHNSTON, H. & DOERING, C. R. 2009 Comparison of turbulent thermal convection between conditions of constant temperature and constant flux. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (6), 064501.
- KASPI, Y., GALANTI, E., HUBBARD, W. B., STEVENSON, D. J., BOLTON, S. J., IESS, L., GUILLOT, T., BLOXHAM, J., CONNERNEY, J. E. P., CAO, H. & OTHERS 2018 Jupiter’s atmospheric jet streams extend thousands of kilometres deep. *Nature* **555** (7695), 223.

- KONG, D.-L., ZHANG, K.-K., SCHUBERT, G. & ANDERSON, J. D. 2018 Origin of Jupiters cloud-level zonal winds remains a puzzle even after Juno. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115** (34), 8499–8504.
- KRUG, D., LOHSE, D. & STEVENS, R. J. A. M. 2020 Coherence of temperature and velocity superstructures in turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* **887**, A2–1.
- KUNNEN, R. P. J., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., VAN DER POEL, E. P., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2016 Transition to geostrophic convection: the role of the boundary conditions. *J. Fluid Mech.* **799**, 413–432.
- LEE, M. & MOSER, R. D. 2018 Extreme-scale motions in turbulent plane couette flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* **842**, 128–145.
- LOHSE, D. & XIA, K.-Q. 2010 Small-scale properties of turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **42**.
- MAXIMENKO, N. A., BANG, B. & SASAKI, H. 2005 Observational evidence of alternating zonal jets in the world ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32** (12).
- MIYAGOSHI, T., KAGEYAMA, A. & SATO, T. 2010 Zonal flow formation in the Earths core. *Nature* **463** (7282), 793.
- NADIGA, B. T. 2006 On zonal jets in oceans. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33** (10).
- NOVI, L., VON H., JOST, H., DAVID W., PROVENZALE, A. & SPIEGEL, E. A. 2019 Rapidly rotating Rayleigh–Bénard convection with a tilted axis. *Phys. Rev. E* **99** (5), 053116.
- PANDEY, A., SCHEEL, J. D. & SCHUMACHER, J. 2018 Turbulent superstructures in Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Nat. Commun.* **9** (1), 2118.
- PARODI, A., VON HARDENBERG, J., PASSONI, G., PROVENZALE, A. & SPIEGEL, E. A. 2004 Clustering of plumes in turbulent convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** (19), 194503.
- PETSCHER, K., STELLMACH, S., WILCZEK, M., LÜLFF, J. & HANSEN, U. 2013 Dissipation layers in Rayleigh–Bénard convection: A unifying view. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (11), 114502.
- VAN DER POEL, E. P., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., DONNERS, J. & VERZICCO, R. 2015a A pencil distributed finite difference code for strongly turbulent wall-bounded flows. *Comput. Fluids* **116**, 10–16.
- VAN DER POEL, E. P., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., VERZICCO, R., GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2015b Logarithmic mean temperature profiles and their connection to plume emissions in turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (15), 154501.
- VAN DER POEL, E. P., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2014 Effect of velocity boundary conditions on the heat transfer and flow topology in two-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. E* **90** (1), 013017.
- VAN DER POEL, E. P., STEVENS, R. J. A. M. & LOHSE, D. 2013 Comparison between two- and three-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **736**, 177–194.
- VAN DER POEL, E. P., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., SUGIYAMA, K. & LOHSE, D. 2012 Flow states in two-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection as a function of aspect-ratio and Rayleigh number. *Phys. Fluids* **24** (8), 085104.
- RICHARDS, K. J., MAXIMENKO, N. A., BRYAN, F. O. & SASAKI, H. 2006 Zonal jets in the Pacific Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33** (3).
- SEYCHELLES, F., AMAROUCHENE, Y., BESSAFI, M. & KELLAY, H. 2008 Thermal convection and emergence of isolated vortices in soap bubbles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (14), 144501.
- SEYCHELLES, F., INGREMEAU, F., PRADÈRE, C. & KELLAY, H. 2010 From intermittent to nonintermittent behavior in two dimensional thermal convection in a soap bubble. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (26), 264502.
- SHISHKINA, O., EMRAN, M. S., GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2017 Scaling relations in large-Prandtl-number natural thermal convection. *Phys. Rev. Fluid* **2** (10), 103502.
- SHISHKINA, O., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2010 Boundary layer structure in turbulent thermal convection and its consequences for the required numerical resolution. *New J. Phys.* **12** (7), 075022.
- STEVENS, R. J. A. M., BLASS, A., ZHU, X., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2018 Turbulent thermal superstructures in Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Fluid* **3** (4), 041501.
- STEVENS, R. J. A. M., LOHSE, D. & VERZICCO, R. 2011 Prandtl and Rayleigh number dependence of heat transport in high Rayleigh number thermal convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **688**, 31–43.
- SUGIYAMA, K., CALZAVARINI, E., GROSSMANN, S. & LOHSE, D. 2009 Flow organization in

- two-dimensional non-Oberbeck–Boussinesq Rayleigh–Bénard convection in water. *J. Fluid Mech.* **637**, 105–135.
- SUGIYAMA, K., NI, R., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., CHAN, T. S., ZHOU, S.-Q., XI, H.-D., SUN, C., GROSSMANN, S., XIA, K.-Q. & LOHSE, D. 2010 Flow reversals in thermally driven turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (3), 034503.
- SUN, Z.-P., SCHUBERT, G. & GLATZMAIER, G. A. 1993 Banded surface flow maintained by convection in a model of the rapidly rotating giant planets. *Science* **260** (5108), 661–664.
- TILGNER, A., BELMONTE, A. & LIBCHABER, A. 1993 Temperature and velocity profiles of turbulent convection in water. *Phys. Rev. E* **47** (4), R2253.
- VERZICCO, R. & ORLANDI, P. 1996 A finite-difference scheme for three-dimensional incompressible flows in cylindrical coordinates. *J. Comput. Phys.* **123** (2), 402–414.
- WAN, Z.-H., WANG, Q., WANG, B., XIA, S.-N., ZHOU, Q. & SUN, D.-J. 2020 On non-Oberbeck–Boussinesq effects in Rayleigh–Bénard convection of air for large temperature differences. *J. Fluid Mech.* **889**.
- WAN, Z.-H., WEI, P., VERZICCO, R., LOHSE, D., AHLERS, G. & STEVENS, R. J. A. M. 2019 Effect of sidewall on heat transfer and flow structure in Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **881**, 218–243.
- WANG, Q., WAN, Z.-H., YAN, R. & SUN, D.-J. 2018*a* Multiple states and heat transfer in two-dimensional tilted convection with large aspect ratios. *Phys. Rev. Fluid* **3** (11), 113503.
- WANG, Q., WAN, Z.-H., YAN, R. & SUN, D.-J. 2019*a* Flow organization and heat transfer in two-dimensional tilted convection with aspect ratio 0.5. *Phys. Fluids* **31** (2), 025102.
- WANG, Q., XIA, S.-N., WANG, B.-F., SUN, D.-J., ZHOU, Q. & WAN, Z.-H. 2018*b* Flow reversals in two-dimensional thermal convection in tilted cells. *J. Fluid Mech.* **849**, 355–372.
- WANG, Q., ZHOU, Q., WAN, Z.-H. & SUN, D.-J. 2019*b* Penetrative turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection in two and three dimensions. *J. Fluid Mech.* **870**, 718–734.
- XIA, K.-Q., LAM, S. & ZHOU, S.-Q. 2002 Heat-flux measurement in high-Prandtl-number turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (6), 064501.
- XIE, Y.-C., DING, G.-Y. & XIA, K.-Q. 2018 Flow topology transition via global bifurcation in thermally driven turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (21), 214501.
- YANO, J.-I., TALAGRAND, O. & DROSSART, P. 2003 Outer planets: Origins of atmospheric zonal winds. *Nature* **421** (6918), 36.
- ZHANG, Y., ZHOU, Q. & SUN, C. 2017 Statistics of kinetic and thermal energy dissipation rates in two-dimensional turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *J. Fluid Mech.* **814**, 165–184.
- ZHONG, J.-Q., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., CLERCX, H. J. H., VERZICCO, R., LOHSE, D. & AHLERS, G. 2009 Prandtl-, Rayleigh-, and Rossby-number dependence of heat transport in turbulent rotating Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (4), 044502.
- ZHU, X., MATHAI, V., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2018*a* Transition to the ultimate regime in two-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (14), 144502.
- ZHU, X., PHILLIPS, E., SPANDAN, V., DONNERS, J., RUETSCH, G., ROMERO, J., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., YANG, Y.-T., LOHSE, D., VERZICCO, F. & STEVENS, R. J. A. M. 2018*b* AFID-GPU: a versatile Navier–Stokes solver for wall-bounded turbulent flows on GPU clusters. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **229**, 199–210.
- ZHU, X., STEVENS, R. J. A. M., SHISHKINA, O., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2019  $Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}$  scaling enabled by multiscale wall roughness in Rayleigh–Bénard turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **869**.