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Abstract

The analytical theories derived here for the acoustic load impedance measured by a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), due to the presence of layers of different types (rigid, elastic and viscous) immersed in a fluid, display generic properties, such as “vanishing mass” and positive frequency shifts, which have been observed in QCM experiments with soft-matter systems. These phenomena seem to contradict the well-known Sauerbrey relation at the heart of many QCM measurements, but here we show that they arise as a natural consequence of hydrodynamics. We compare our one-dimensional immersed plate theory with three-dimensional simulations of rigid and flexible sub-micron-sized suspended spheres, and with experimental results for adsorbed micron-sized colloids which yield a “negative acoustic mass”. The parallel behaviour unveiled indicates that the QCM response is highly sensitive to hydrodynamics, even for adsorbed colloids. Our conclusions call for a revision of existing theories based on adhesion forces and elastic stiffness at contact, which should in most cases include hydrodynamics.

1 Introduction

Quartz crystal microbalances (QCM) principally consist of a thin quartz crystal between two electrodes. Being a piezoelectric material, the quartz oscillates in response to an AC current. In many devices, the crystal is cut in such a way that transverse vibrations take place parallel to the free surface. Due to the oscillatory motion, we would reasonably expect (correctly, as it turns out) that increasing the mass slightly by adding a small layer onto the surface of the QCM will lead to a small decrease in the frequency of oscillation, $f$. For a harmonic oscillator of mass $M$, we can easily prove to ourselves that a small increase in
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the mass $\Delta M$ causes a decrease in frequency

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta M}{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In 1959, Sauerbrey derived a similar equation for the QCM [1]. With $\Delta m$ representing mass per unit area deposited on the QCM,

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = -\frac{2 f_0 \Delta m}{Z_Q}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Typically, for AT-cut crystals the fundamental frequency equals $f_0 = 5$ MHz, the acoustic impedance of the quartz $Z_Q = 8.8 \times 10^6$ kg/(m$^2$s), and $f$ represents the working frequency. As frequency shifts may be measured with great accuracy, much experimental work has relied on the QCM as an extremely sensitive mass detector, hence its name.

QCMs also work in contact with fluids, as demonstrated by Nomura and Okuhara [2], who showed that the transverse waves propagate into a fluid (of density $\rho$ and shear viscosity $\eta$) with a heavy damping. Thus, QCM with dissipation monitoring (QCM-D) instruments measure both the frequency of oscillation and the energy dissipation in a ring-down experiment, in which the AC voltage is turned off and the quartz crystal allowed to come to rest. Because the damping occurs within tens of microseconds, a series of consecutive ring-downs can monitor the evolution of molecular processes taking place over second or minute time scales. As a consequence, QCM-D instruments have become a standard tool for biosensing systems of supported membranes, and Langmuir-Blodgett, protein and liposome films, among others [7, 8, 9, 10]. In this context, Gizeli’s group observed that, for some systems, the ratio of the dissipation to the frequency shift, which they termed the acoustic ratio, did not depend on the concentration of molecules deposited on the QCM, suggesting that it was a property of the geometry of the molecules, rather than the mass of the deposited film [7].

When thinking in terms of the Sauerbrey relation, one would never conceive of an increase in the load leading to an increased frequency of vibration, but this has in fact been observed in experiments with massive (micron-sized) particles [3, 4, 5, 6]. A widely repeated explanation for these “negative Sauerbrey masses” states that an increase in the frequency shift (i.e a “negative acoustic mass”) arises as a consequence of a very fast response of the analyte-wall contact, modelled by a (generally complex) effective spring [7]. However, this explanation does not take into account the hydrodynamic transport of momentum. Historically, hydrodynamic effects have often been disregarded in QCM research. As we shall see below, however, the acoustic ratio may well diverge or change sign naturally even for moderately small loads suspended in a fluid.

Although previous research has developed one-dimensional phenomenological models of the viscoelasticity of films [10], recent experiments with nanoparticles, liposomes, viruses and DNA strands have shown strong deviations from these theories [7, 13, 14, 15, 16].
Following most of the previous work in the field, we make use of the small load approximation [8], which allows us to write the complex frequency shift in terms of the load impedance.

\[\Delta f + i\Delta \Gamma = \frac{f_0}{\pi} \frac{Z_L}{Z_Q}. \quad (3)\]

Here, \(\Delta \Gamma\) is the change in the decay rate of the resonator and the complex load impedance \(Z_L\) equals the stress phasor on the QCM surface divided by its velocity phasor.

The main point in the present article is that the primary effect determining the impedance of suspensions measured by the QCM involves the change in the hydrodynamic motion of the fluid due to the presence of suspended matter. To argue for this statement, we derived an analytical theory for the effect of an infinite immersed layer on the motion of a QCM, represented by a flat horizontal oscillating plane at \(z = 0\) in contact with a fluid filling the space \(z > 0\). We also show that the changes in impedance as a function of distance and frequency for a suspended membrane resemble the changes observed for a sparse periodic array of spheres. The data for spheres was produced by our QCM simulations of suspended liposomes using the FLUAM code [17], which is based on Peskin’s immersed boundary method [18]. We have shown elsewhere that our simulations agree with experimental results [11, 20]. In addition, we have considered the crossover to positive frequency shift (“negative acoustic mass”). Comparing the analytical prediction of the plate system with our simulations of sub-micron spheres and experiments carried out with micron-sized colloids leads to several interesting conclusions, discussed below.

## 2 Oscillating boundary layer

We wish to study fluid systems near a vibrating plane wall in the Stokes flow regime [21]. Our fluid, with density \(\rho\) and shear viscosity \(\eta\), obeys the equations of linear hydrodynamics and lies in the space above the \(z = 0\) plane. Furthermore, the translational symmetry of the problem ensures that the flow velocity \(\tilde{u}(z, t)\) will depend only on the \(z\) coordinate and time. The equation describing the velocity field reads [21, 22, 23]

\[\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial z^2}. \quad (4)\]

We will mark time-dependent oscillating functions with tildes and rely on phasors to represent the amplitudes of the steady-state solutions,

\[\tilde{u}(z, t) = \text{Re} \left( u(z)e^{-i\omega t} \right), \quad (5)\]

with the complex-valued phasor amplitude \(u(z)\) [22],

\[u(z) = Ae^{-\alpha z} + Be^{\alpha z}, \quad (6)\]
where \( \alpha = (1 - i)/\delta \), and \( \delta = \sqrt{2\eta/(\omega \rho)} \) measures the depth of penetration of the oscillating flow. Assume the wall vibrates with frequency \( \omega \). If we apply stick boundary conditions where the fluid meets the plane and add that the velocity vanishes far from it, then

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(0) &= u_0, \\
    \lim_{z \to \infty} u(z) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficient \( u_0 \) may take, in general, complex values. By applying the boundary conditions to the general solution, we see that \( A = u_0 \) and \( B = 0 \), which implies a velocity phasor

\[
u_f(z) = u_0 \exp(-\alpha z)
\]

for the Stokes flow.

We now calculate the impedance associated to the Stokes flow, \( Z_f \). According to the standard definition, \( Z_f \) is the ratio of the shear stress exerted on the plane to its velocity. Let \( \sigma = \eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} \) represent the phasor amplitude of the stress.

\[
Z_f = \frac{\sigma}{u(0)} = \frac{\eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0}}{u_0} = -\eta \alpha.
\]

The subscript \( f \) distinguishes the impedance of the base Stokes flow from other load impedances calculated below.

### 3 Immersed rigid plate

Now imagine a solid horizontal plate of thickness \( a \) and mass density \( \rho' \) placed above the vibrating plane at a distance \( d \) (Fig. 1a). The fluid will transmit the motion of the vibrating lower plane and drag the suspended layer along. Having reached a stationary oscillation, the plate will move with velocity

\[
\tilde{v}(t) = v_0 e^{-i\omega t},
\]

with a complex factor \( v_0 \) to be determined below. The motion of the solid layer results from the shear stress exerted by the fluid from above and below. Let \( \tilde{u}_i(z, t) \) represent the velocity fields in the regions below (\( i = 1 \)) and above (\( i = 2 \)) the plate. Then we can rewrite Newton’s equation of motion,

\[
\rho' a \frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} = \eta \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_2}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d+a} - \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_1}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d} \right),
\]

in terms of phasor amplitudes,

\[
- i\omega \rho' a \tilde{v}_0 = \eta \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_2}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d+a} - \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_1}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d} \right).
\]
Figure 1: (a) Schematic representation of a rigid horizontal plate of density $\rho'$ and thickness $a$ immersed in a fluid of density $\rho$ and shear viscosity $\eta$ above an oscillating plane. (b) Complex impedance $Z_L$ due to the presence of the plate in Fig. 1a versus distance $d$ between plate and plane in units of $\delta = \sqrt{2\nu/\omega}$ (the kinematic viscosity equals $\nu = \eta/\rho$). The curves correspond to the real (solid) and imaginary (dashed) parts of the impedance (divided by the Sauerbrey value $Z_{r_{ef}} = \omega \rho' a$). (c) Load impedance versus dimensionless parameter $\omega d^2/\nu$ for three different plate densities. The solid plots $\text{Re}(Z_L)$, while the dashed line corresponds to $\text{Im}(Z_L)$. The thickness was chosen equal such that $d/a = 1$. The experimental points for silica particles with a radius of half a micron in a 150 mM KCl electrolite were taken from Ref. [24] (setting $d = 50$ nm for a qualitative comparison). (d) Frequency $\omega_c$ and height $d_c$ at which the imaginary part of the impedance crosses the horizontal axis (see Fig. 1b) versus the ratio of the plate density to the fluid density. Please note that the scale on the left is not linear. Eq. (19) implies that changing $\rho'/\rho$ is equivalent to changing $a$ while leaving $\rho'/\rho$ fixed.
Clearly, the fluid above the plate obeys the equations of Stokes flow already calculated above, but this time with an amplitude given by the motion of the plate.

\[ u_2(z) = v_0 e^{-\alpha(z-(d+a))}. \]  

(14)

For the lower wall, we substitute the form of the general solution (6) into boundary conditions which ensure that the fluid moves at the same speed as the walls at the point of contact.

\[ u_1(0) = u_0 = A + B \]
\[ u_1(d) = v_0 = A e^{-\alpha d} + Be^{\alpha d} \]  

(15)

The extra load impedance due to the plate, \( Z_L \), equals the total impedance minus the impedance due to the base Stokes flow \( Z_f \),

\[ Z_L = \alpha \eta \left( \frac{1}{u_0} \left( v_0 - u_f(d) \right) \right). \]  

(17)

Thus, a solid plate creates an impedance proportional to the difference between the plate velocity \( v_0 \) and the (unperturbed) Stokes flow velocity \( u_f(d) \) at the lower fluid-plate interface \( (z = d) \). Eq. (17) leads to the conclusion that a fixed plate \( (v_0 = 0) \) yields an impedance inversely proportional to \( 1 - e^{2\alpha d} \), and that the load impedance vanishes if the plate moves with the base Stokes flow \( (v_0 = u_f(d)) \). In the limiting case of a small gap between vibrating wall and plate, \( ad \ll 1 \), the load impedance corresponds to that of a Couette flow created by the perturbative velocity \( v_0 - u_f(d) \) in a gap of width \( d \),

\[ Z_L = \eta \left( \frac{v_0 - u_f(d)}{d} \right), \quad \text{for } d \ll \delta. \]  

(18)

All that remains now is to determine \( v_0 \) as a function of \( u_0 \). To this end, we substitute the general solution for Stokes flow (6) into the equation of motion for the wall (13) and use the result in combination with the boundary conditions to solve for \( v_0 \). Substituting the result into Eq. (17),

\[ Z_L = \frac{\omega \rho' a}{2 \alpha \eta \left( e^{-2\alpha d} - 1 \right) - i} e^{-2\alpha d}. \]  

(19)

Note that when the distance between the plate and the lower plane vanishes, we recover a Sauerbrey-like relation, \( \lim_{d \to 0} Z_L = i \omega \rho' a \), with a purely imaginary impedance, corresponding to a frequency shift proportional to the deposited mass \( \rho' a \). The opposite limit obviously leads to a vanishing load impedance, \( \lim_{d \to \infty} Z_L = 0 \). Below, we will often use the Sauerbrey impedance, \( Z_{ref} = \omega \rho' a \), as a reference to scale our results.
3.1 Diverging and negative acoustic ratios

Fig. 1b plots the load impedance as a function of the height $d$ for three different plate densities. As already mentioned, within the small load approximation (3), the dimensionless acoustic ratio (defined as the ratio of the dissipation to the frequency shift) is proportional to $-2 \frac{\text{Re}(Z_L)}{\text{Im}(Z_L)}$. In experimental work, it is customary to present a ratio of the dissipation $\Delta D$ to the frequency shift $\Delta f$, related to the dimensionless acoustic ratio by

$$\frac{-\Delta D}{\Delta f} = -\frac{2}{f_n} \frac{\text{Re}(Z_L)}{\text{Im}(Z_L)},$$

where $f_n$ is the frequency of the harmonic used in experiments. Therefore, if the imaginary part of $Z_L$ changes sign as a consequence of the variation of some parameter, the acoustic ratio will diverge and become negative after the divergence.

Positive frequency shifts (negative Sauerbrey masses) show up in experiments when analysing massive particles above a certain crossover frequency $\omega_c$. The simple plate model also displays such an inversion of the sign of $Z_L$. In particular, Fig. 1c shows that the plate qualitatively behaves like experiments with micron-sized colloids. The plate load impedance is compared there to measurements of silica particles of radius $R = 0.5 \mu$m adsorbed to a silica surface in a K$^+$Cl$^-$ electrolyte at a concentration of 150 mM [24]. The similarity between particle and plate suggests that the load impedance results principally from hydrodynamic stress, in contrast to previous research, which had attributed the effect to contact forces between the surface and the load [3, 5, 24, 7]. We will return to this important point below.

Rescaling the load impedance by $Z_{ref}$ leaves us with an expression that depends only on the dimensionless parameters $\rho'/\rho$, $a/\delta$ and $d/\delta$.

$$\frac{Z_L}{Z_{ref}} = \frac{e^{-2(1-i)d/\delta}}{1+\frac{2}{\rho} \frac{a}{\delta} \left( e^{-2(1-i)d/\delta} - 1 \right) - i},$$

(21)

Because $\delta^2 \propto \omega^{-1}$, doubling the layer width $a$ and the distance $d$ has the same effect as multiplying the frequency $\omega$ by four. Thus, for any fixed frequency we expect to observe a diverging acoustic ratio ($\text{Im}(Z_L) = 0$) for some large enough distance $d_c$ (Fig. 1d). Similarly, large enough analytes ($a > a_c$) yield negative frequency shifts for given values of $\omega$ and $d$. Setting $\text{Im}(Z_L) = 0$ leads to the following relation among the dimensionless parameters:

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho} \frac{a_c}{\delta_c} = \frac{2 \cos \left( \frac{2d_c}{\delta_c} \right)}{e^{-2d_c/\delta_c} \left( \cos \left( \frac{2d_c}{\delta_c} \right) - \sin \left( \frac{2d_c}{\delta_c} \right) \right)},$$

(22)

where we have used the subindex $c$ as a reminder that we mean crossover values. We will illustrate the generality of the hydrodynamic effect below by comparing this prediction to simulations of immersed spheres and experiments with colloidal particles.
3.2 The hydrodynamic origin of “negative acoustic masses”

To understand why the hydrodynamic perturbation of the analyte may produce a positive frequency shift (or equivalently a “negative acoustic mass”), let us consider the tangential hydrodynamic stress at the surface. Without loss of generality, suppose the resonator is moving with a velocity \( u_0 \cos(\omega t) \), with \( u_0 \) a real number. The observed stress \( \tilde{\sigma}(t) \) can be decomposed into in-phase and out-of-phase components, proportional to the real and imaginary parts of the stress phasor, \( \tilde{\sigma}(t) = \text{Re}\left[\sigma e^{-i\omega t}\right] \). Now, for phase angles \( \theta = \omega t \) equal to integer multiples of \( 2\pi \), the resonator velocity reaches its maximum value, \( |u_0| \), as its displacement crosses the midpoint of the oscillation. At this precise moment, the observed stress equals \( \tilde{\sigma}(2\pi n/\omega) = \text{Re}(\sigma) \), revealing the dissipative part of the stress. A quarter of a cycle later, \( (\theta = 2\pi n + \pi/2) \), the observed stress equals \( \tilde{\sigma}((2\pi n + \pi/2)/\omega) = \text{Im}(\sigma) \), which unveils the fate of the frequency shift. If \( \text{Im}(\sigma) > 0 \) the extra stress created by the analyte tends to pull the resonator forward (along \( x > 0 \)), thus decreasing its frequency (negative acoustic mass). The opposite change takes place when \( \text{Im}(\sigma) < 0 \). In other words, the “acoustic mass” or the frequency shift simply emerges from the phase lag between the resonator velocity and the extra stress coming from the analyte. This phase lag is proportional to the time required by viscous diffusion to propagate the surface stress from the plate at \( z = d \) to the wall at \( z = 0 \).

To visualise the impedance in terms of the flow, consider the velocity profiles drawn in Fig. 2. The red dashed-dotted lines correspond to the perturbation \( \tilde{u}_p(z, t) \) of the laminar Stokes flow (6), due to the presence of the immersed plate.

\[
\tilde{u}_p(z, t) = \tilde{u}_j(z, t) - (u_0 e^{-\alpha z}) e^{-i\omega t}, \tag{23}
\]

where \( j \) equals 1 or 2 depending on whether we focus on the fluid below the plate \( (z < d) \) or above it \( (z > d + a) \). Because the extra hydrodynamic stress caused by the plate is \( \tilde{\sigma} = \eta \partial_z \tilde{u}_p \), the real part of \( Z_L \) is proportional to the derivative of \( \tilde{u}_p \) with \( z \) at a phase angle of \( \theta = \omega t = 0 \) rad, while the imaginary part corresponds to the derivative at phase angle \( \theta = \pi/2 \) rad. In the figure, the sign of \( Z_L \) and the surface stress \( \tilde{\sigma} \) depends on the slope of the red dashed-dotted line representing \( \tilde{u}_p \) with respect to the vertical dotted line, which stands for no perturbation (\( \tilde{\sigma} = 0 \)). Notice that at \( \theta = \pi/2 \), the slope at \( z = 0 \) in the top right figure has a sign opposite to that of the bottom right one, indicating the change in the imaginary part of \( Z_L \). The top panel corresponds to a positive frequency shift \( \Delta f \), while the bottom panel yields \( \Delta f < 0 \). In other words, the top row leads to a “negative acoustic mass”, while the bottom row produces a positive result.

3.3 Comparing immersed plates with suspended spheres

Comparing the impedance curve for a solid plate to simulation data for three-dimensional suspended spheres leads to some interesting and surprising observations. A few words concerning the setup are first in order. These simulations were performed using the immersed boundary method [18, 17] with periodic...
Figure 2: Velocity profiles for two different distances between the plate and the oscillating lower plane (top row: $d = \delta$, bottom row: $d = \delta/4$). The left column shows the velocities at a $\theta = \omega t = 0$ rad phase angle, and the right column corresponds to a phase angle of $\theta = \omega t = \pi/2$ rad. The arrows indicate the velocity of the plate. The solid blue line plots the velocity of the fluid at different heights and the dashed-dotted red line indicates the perturbation of the Stokes flow $u_p$ from Eq. (23) due to the presence of the immersed plate.
Figure 3: (a) Load impedance obtained from numerical simulations of a suspended neutrally-buoyant sphere of radius $R = 0.16 \delta$ in a $(L \times L \times L_z) = (1.33 \times 1.33 \times 5.34) \delta^3$ box with periodic boundaries in the $x$ and $y$ directions versus the height of its centre (points, impedance scale on the right axis), compared to the impedance of a plate (curves, left axis) versus its distance to the oscillating plane. Results are scaled with the Sauerbrey impedance, $Z_{\text{ref}} = m \omega$ where the masses per unit surface $m = (4\pi/3)R^3\rho / L^2$ (sphere) and $m = \rho a$ (plate) were chosen equal to each other. The data was obtained from simulations at different frequencies. (b) Load impedance versus frequency for the small sphere in Fig. 3a. Points represent simulation data (right axis), and curves represent the analytical result for the solid plate (left axis). (c) Impedance of a sphere with radius $R = 0.526 \delta$ (points corresponding to the right axis) as a function of the distance $d$ between its centre and the wall. The curves correspond to the left axis and show the impedance caused by a plane at height $d$ with the same lateral motion as a sphere. (d) Close up of Fig. 3c for simulations with the sphere close to the wall.
boundary conditions in the $x$ and $y$ directions (resonator plane) and introducing no-slip rigid planes at the top and bottom of the simulation box. The oscillating flow was imposed at the bottom of the box and the velocity was set to zero at the top. The analytical expression for the contribution of the upper boundary to the impedance results from Eq. \ref{eq:imp}, setting $v_0 = 0$ and $d$ equal to the box height. Both theory and simulations confirm that the change in the impedance due to a stationary upper wall remains negligible when the box height exceeds about $3\delta$.

Figures \ref{fig:3a} and \ref{fig:3b} illustrate the proportionality between the impedance due to a small sphere ($R = 0.16\delta$ in the figures) and that of a rigid plate at the same height as the centre of the sphere. The parallel behaviours remain similar up to distances surprisingly close to the wall.

A large sphere qualitatively changes the behaviour of the impedance near the wall. While an immersed plate feels the effect of the flow only at height $d$ (remember that the thickness of the plate plays no role as long as we fix the value of $\beta a$), the drag on the sphere comes from the different flow velocities in the range $z \in [d-R, d+R]$, which we can only neglect when $R \ll \delta$. A simple way to approximate the response of a sphere with this one-dimensional model, though, consists in forcing the immersed plate to move in such a way that its lateral displacement mirrors that of a sphere of radius $R$ at height $d$ in response to the oscillating flow.

In the steady state, the sphere vibrates with frequency $\omega$,

$$x(t) = x_0 e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{24}$$

To determine $x_0$, we substitute $x(t)$ into Newton’s second law,

$$-m\omega^2 x_0 = i\omega \zeta x_0 + 6\pi \eta r \left[(1 + \alpha r) \bar{v}_s + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^2 r^2 \bar{v}_v\right]. \tag{25}$$

The force phasor amplitude on the right was calculated by Mazur and Bedeaux in Ref. \cite{26}. The friction $\zeta$ stands for

$$\zeta = 6\pi \eta r \left(1 + \alpha r + \frac{1}{9} \alpha^2 r^2\right), \tag{26}$$

and $\bar{v}_s$ and $\bar{v}_v$ for averages of the unperturbed flow over the surface and volume of the sphere respectively. Their analytical expressions are derived in appendix A. Solving Eq. \ref{eq:force} for the phasor describing the motion of the sphere, we get

$$x_0 = \frac{6\pi \eta r \left[(1 + \alpha r) \bar{v}_s + \frac{1}{3} \alpha^2 r^2 \bar{v}_v\right]}{-m\omega^2 - i\omega \zeta}. \tag{27}$$

The velocity phase amplitude equals $v_0 = -i\omega x_0$, so the corresponding load impedance follows from Eq. \ref{eq:imp} writing $v_0$ in terms of the $x_0$ given above. Plotting the impedance for a sphere with a diameter comparable to the penetration depth, $R/\delta = 0.526$, produces the curves in Fig. \ref{fig:3c} Once again, apart
from the vertical scaling factor, the curves agree as the sphere moves away from the wall, even though we are comparing its impedance to that of a plane.

Eq. (25) works well far from the wall but breaks down close to it. As Mazur and Bedeaux themselves pointed out [26], the theory does not take into account the hydrodynamic reflections that significantly modify the Stokes flow felt by the sphere when it approaches the resonator surface. Fig. 3d confirms that the approximate theory and simulations significantly disagree near the oscillating wall.

The crossing over to positive frequency shifts (\(\text{Im}(Z_L) < 0\)) has received attention in experimental research, where it has been viewed as a proxy for interactions between large particles and the substrate [3, 5, 24, 7]. Fig. 4 presents the scaled crossover separation between particles and QCM surface as a function of the scaled penetration depth. Rescaling the particle radius \(R\) and the penetration depth \(\delta\) by the same factor results in an equivalent flow. Therefore, when we represent the zeros, \(z_c\), of \(\text{Im}(Z_L) = 0\) divided by \(R\) versus \(\delta/R\) for simulations of different spheres and penetration depths, they all collapse onto the same curve. The penetration depth contains the frequency dependence of \(z_c\) with respect to \(\omega\) because \(\delta \propto \omega^{-1/2}\). The solid line follows the plate model prediction of Eq. (21) for \(a = 2R\), while the dashed line corresponds to the prediction of the forced plate model in this section (Eqs. (17) and (27), setting \(\text{Im}(Z_L) = 0\)). The latter model gives an indication of how the acoustic response changes with the sphere dynamics, which arise from the forces induced by the
surrounding flow. For large values of $\delta/R$ (low frequencies or small particles) we observe similar crossover values for the plates and particles. Clearly, the theories for plates depart from the behaviour of spheres when the penetration depth becomes comparable to the sphere radius, with significant deviations when $\delta/R < 1.25$. For a QCM frequency of 35 MHz this corresponds to spheres with $R > 50$ nm. Close to this penetration depth $\delta/R \approx 1.25$, the forced plate model yields slightly better predictions, suggesting that the crossover height decreases more quickly than in the solid plate model due to the sphere dynamics. However, as $\delta/R$ is further decreased, the forced plate model largely overestimates the decay of the cross-over distance. When the spheres lie close to the resonator ($\delta/R < 1.25$), multiple hydrodynamic reflections between the resonator and the particle determine the flow and hydrodynamic impedance.

Fig. 4 also includes some experimental observations from Pomorska et al. [5] and Olsson et al. [24] (in blue). Let us first turn our attention to the latter reference. There, the authors observed silica particles over a bare silica surface in a (1:1) electrolyte ($\text{K}^+$, $\text{Cl}^+$) at different ionic strengths (from 0 to 150 mM). Metallurgical microscopy determined that the particles performed Brownian motion above the surface. Adding enough electrolyte ($c = 150$ mM) reduced the Brownian motion, indicating the screening of repulsive electrostatic forces and adsorption by dispersion (van der Waals) forces. Although not explicitly mentioned in [24], at smaller ionic strengths one expects to find the silica particles suspended over the resonator and exposed to the wall-interaction potential. According to the DLVO theory, at low ionic strengths, below the critical coagulation concentration, $c_{ccc}$, this potential has a secondary minimum at a distance of about $d \approx 6/\kappa$ ($\kappa$ stands for the Debye-Hückel screening length) [31]. For $c > c_{ccc}$, the particles start to adhere to the surface due to dispersion forces. For a KCl electrolyte in water, the Debye length ($\kappa^{-1} \propto c^{-1/2}$) is about 10 nm for $c \approx 1$ mM. Taking the values of the crossover frequency $\omega_c$ reported by Olsson et al. [24] (which grow with the ionic strength), we can extrapolate the tendency observed in our simulations to estimate the typical distance $d$ between silica particles and the surface. Notably, the result of this crude estimation agrees with distances $d$ decreasing with $c$ as $d \sim 6/\kappa$, which points to an acoustic response governed by hydrodynamics in these experiments. A quantitative prediction would require an elaborate theory (which should weight the impedance-height dependence) including a more complete set of experimental details (surface charge values, for example). We have recently carried out a detailed analysis in the case of suspended liposomes tethered to DNA strands [20]. The close agreement between experiments and simulations confirmed the dominant role of the hydrodynamic impedance when dealing with suspended particles, and enabled quantitative predictions.

A second set of experiments by Olsson et al. [24] considered streptavidin-decorated silica particles adsorbed to a biotinylated silica surface. In that case the strong streptavidin-biotin links gradually adsorbed the particles and the results for the crossover frequency vary only mildly with the ionic strength. The typical particle-surface distance $d$ corresponds to molecular contact (1 nm or less). Figure 4 shows that this estimation is also compatible with our hydrodynamics.
namic prediction.

The experiments by Pomorska et al. [5] provide further evidence of the importance of hydrodynamics, even when particles are adsorbed. In those experiments the particles and surface were decorated with polyelectrolytes of opposite charge to ensure a strong attractive potential and adhesion. The size of the particles in these experiments was about 4.5 $\mu m$ and the crossover frequency was close to 15 MHz for the two cases considered. We set the distance to the resonator equal to a molecular contact ($d_c \in [0.5 - 1]$ nm) to plot the experimental data in Fig. 4 (blue squares). The point nicely extrapolates the trend we predicted for much smaller particles.

In summary, our analyses provide evidence that the leading contribution to the load impedance created by analytes immersed in liquids comes from hydrodynamics. While we have recently proved this claim in the case of suspended particles (liposomes tethered to DNA [20]), in the case of adsorbed particles, our findings call for a revision of the relevance and estimation of contact forces from QCM analyses.

4 Elastic layer

Let us replace the solid plate with an elastic layer of thickness $a$, density $\rho'$ and shear modulus $\mu$ (Fig. 5a). Within the layer, we denote the displacement of a point at height $z$ and time $t$ along the $x$ direction with $\tilde{\phi}(z, t)$, which must satisfy the equation of motion [22]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}(z, t)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\mu}{\rho'} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}(z, t)}{\partial z^2},$$

a wave equation with speed $c = \sqrt{\mu/\rho'}$. The steady state solution at frequency $\omega$ equals

$$\tilde{\phi}(z, t) = \text{Re} \left( (Ce^{-ikz} + De^{ikz}) e^{-i\omega t} \right),$$

with $k = \omega/c$. Imposing the boundary conditions on $\tilde{u}_1$, $\tilde{u}_2$ and $\tilde{\phi}$, which amount to continuity in the speeds and stresses plus the no slip condition at $z = 0$ and
Figure 5: (a) Simple model of an elastic layer, where an elastic material of density $\rho'$ and shear modulus $\mu$ replaces the plate. The function $\phi(z, t)$ indicates the displacement in the $x$ direction at height $z$ and time $t$ within the layer. (b) Impedance due to an elastic layer of density $\rho' = \rho$ and thickness $a = \delta/\sqrt{2}$ as a function of the distance $d$ to the lower plane. As the material becomes more rigid, the curves approach the solution for the solid plate (compare the curve for $\mu = 1000$ to the green line for $\rho' = \rho$ in Fig. 1b). (c) Acoustic ratio of a neutrally-buoyant elastic layer of thickness $a$ versus shear modulus $\mu$ at $d = 0.18 \delta$, compared to simulations of spherical liposomes of radius $R$ at height $d + R$ as a function of the elastic strength of the bonds used to connect neighbouring elements in the numerical model (inset).
vanishing velocity as $z$ tends towards infinity,

\[
\tilde{u}_1(0, t) = u_0 e^{-i\omega t},
\]

\[
\tilde{u}_1(d, t) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t} \bigg|_{z=d},
\]

\[
\tilde{u}_2(d + a, t) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t} \bigg|_{z=d+a},
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} \tilde{u}_2(z, t) = 0,
\]

\[
\eta \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_1}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d} = \mu \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d},
\]

\[
\eta \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_2}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d+a} = \mu \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=d+a},
\]

we obtain with the help of some computer algebra the following value for the load impedance,

\[
Z_L = \frac{2\alpha \eta(1 - \Lambda^2) \left(e^{2i\omega/c} - 1\right)}{e^{2\alpha d} \left((1 + \Lambda)^2 - e^{2i\omega/c}(1 - \Lambda)^2\right) + (1 - \Lambda^2) \left(e^{2i\omega/c} - 1\right)}.
\]

$\Lambda$ represents the dimensionless parameter

\[
\Lambda = \frac{\alpha \eta}{\rho' c},
\]

proportional to the ratio of the velocity of viscous diffusion over $\delta$ to the speed of elastic waves, $c$. The other relevant groups are phase lags, $a\omega/c$ and $\alpha d$.

When the elastic medium becomes rigid ($c \to \infty$, $\Lambda \to 0$) we recover the solution for the rigid plate. Figure 5b plots the impedance due to the layer as a function of the distance $d$ that separates it from the vibrating plane.

Using the computational methods mentioned in the previous section, we simulated elastic neutrally-buoyant liposomes using an elastic network made up of elements connected by harmonic bonds of spring constant $k$. We observed that the acoustic ratio decreased as we increased $k$. Increasing the rigidity of our layer leads to similar predictions (see Fig. 5c).

5 Fluid layer

Lastly, we will work out the impedance for a plane fluid layer of density $\rho'$, shear viscosity $\eta'$ and velocity field $u'(z, t)$. Fig. 6a displays a sketch of the system. Once again, we express the fluid velocities with Eq. 6 and impose the appropriate boundary conditions (continuity of velocities and stress, no slip at the lower boundary and vanishing velocity as $z$ tends towards infinity). The
resulting load impedance equals

\[ Z_L = \frac{2\alpha' e^{-2\alpha'd} \tanh(\alpha'a)(1 - \Upsilon^2)}{\tanh(\alpha'a)(1 + e^{-2\alpha'd} + \Upsilon^2(1 - e^{-2\alpha'd})) + 2\Upsilon} \]  

with

\[ \alpha' = (1 - i) \sqrt{\frac{\omega\rho}{2\eta'}} \]  

and

\[ \Upsilon = \frac{\alpha'\eta'}{\alpha\eta} \]  

Figure 6 shows the change in the impedance of the fluid layer as a function of the distance \( d \) to the lower plane for different values of the shear viscosity. As the viscosity increases, the curves approach the solid plate limit. Interestingly, a layer viscosity lower than that of the surrounding fluid leads to a flip in the behaviour of the real and imaginary parts of \( Z_L \), as observed in QCM experiments with nanobubbles \[28, 29, 30\] (in the figure, compare the red line for \( \eta'/\eta = 0.5 \) to the green line for \( \eta'/\eta = 2 \)).
Figure 7: Acoustic ratio vs height over the QCM for neutrally-buoyant spheres (points) and solid plate (lines). The distance $d$ indicates the separation between the plate and QCM, and the distance from the center of the sphere to the QCM.

6 Discussion

In addition to providing analytical expressions for the load impedance of different types of immersed layers, we have demonstrated the importance of considering the role of hydrodynamics in explaining the effects of these layers on the QCM. Although we have not considered any contact forces between the load and the QCM, the models explained above predict the behaviour of suspended loads and recover the expected Sauerbrey relation in the limit of adsorbed layers. Furthermore, the “vanishing mass” phenomenon observed in suspensions arises as a natural consequence in our derivations.

The evidence provided here strongly suggests that other types of suspensions (such as the simulated suspended spheres considered above) share the same generic features. Even though the plates in section 3.3 had an impedance about five times greater than the spheres, the dependence on height displayed surprisingly parallel behaviours. Prefactors cancel out when calculating the acoustic ratio, so the plate acoustic ratios provide a decent estimate of the value measured for sphere (see Fig. 7).

The preceding pages show that large acoustic ratios do not necessarily imply large values of the dissipation. As we have seen, vanishing frequency shifts naturally lead to diverging acoustic ratios.

Finally, we considered the crossover to positive frequency shifts and compared the analytical prediction of the one-dimensional plate system to simulations of sub-micron spheres and experiments carried out with micron-sized colloids. The plate model correctly predicts the zero-frequency crossover for small enough particles. We observe a transition to a large particle regime when

![Graph showing acoustic ratio vs height](image-url)
the dimensionless parameter $R/\delta$ becomes large enough ($R/\delta > 0.8$). The one-dimensional theories clearly fail in this regime. By contrast, our three-dimensional simulations correctly extrapolate to larger (micron-sized) colloids, even with the latter adsorbed to the wall. As we did not consider adhesive forces, such an agreement highlights the role of hydrodynamics in determining the response of large adsorbed particles, and calls for a hydrodynamic extension of the existing contact-force and elastic-stiffness QCM theories. The “coupled-resonance model”, which predicts positive shifts within the “elastic loading” regime in QCM [3, 7] was originally derived for spheres in the dry state but has subsequently been applied extensively in liquids [5, 24, 7]. Our results call for a revision of the role of contact forces and elastic stiffness in liquids, an investigation which requires a generalization of existing theories including the difficult topic of elastohydrodynamic lubrication [32]. Advancing our theoretical understanding in this direction would greatly improve the predictive power of QCM analyses of molecular and mesoscopic contact forces.
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Appendix A. Average velocity integrals

The velocities $\bar{v}_s$ and $\bar{v}_v$ in Eq. (25) come from averaging the flow profile $u(z, t)$ over the surface and volume of the sphere, respectively,

$$\bar{v}_s = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \int_S u_0 e^{-\alpha z} \, dS,$$

$$\bar{v}_v = \frac{3}{4\pi r^3} \int_V u_0 e^{-\alpha z} \, dV.$$

To carry out the first of these integrals, we choose spherical coordinates around the centre of the immersed sphere at height $d$. Therefore,

$$\int_S u(z, t) \, dS = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{\pi} u_0 e^{-\alpha (d + r \cos(\theta))} r^2 \sin(\theta) \, d\theta \right) \, d\phi.$$

After integrating over $\phi$,

$$\int_S u(z, t) \, dS = 2\pi u_0 r e^{-\alpha d} \int_0^{\pi} e^{-\alpha r \cos(\theta)} r \sin(\theta) \, d\theta = 2\pi u_0 r e^{-\alpha d} \left[ \frac{e^{-\alpha r \cos(\theta)}}{\alpha} \right]_0^{\pi}.$$

Hence,

$$\bar{v}_s = \frac{u_0 e^{-\alpha d}}{\alpha r} \sinh(\alpha r).$$
The volume integral is simply equal to the integral over the radius of the surface integral from 0 to the radius of the sphere $r$,

$$
\int_V u(z,t) \, dV = \int_0^r \frac{4\pi r' u_0}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha d} \sinh(\alpha r') dr' = \frac{4\pi u_0}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha d} \left[ \frac{r' \cosh(\alpha r')}{\alpha} - \frac{\sinh(\alpha r')}{\alpha^2} \right]_0^r,
$$

from which we get

$$
\bar{v}_v = \frac{3u_0}{\alpha r^3} e^{-\alpha d} \left( \frac{r \cosh(\alpha r)}{\alpha} - \frac{\sinh(\alpha r)}{\alpha^2} \right).
$$
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