

Quantum-gravity in a dynamical system perspective

Sijo K. Joseph

Abstract: General Theory of Relativity and Quantum theory gives two different description of the same mother nature in the big and small scale respectively. Mathematical languages of these two theories are entirely different, one is geometric while the other one is probabilistic. This curious feature makes the merging of these theories (quantum-gravity) considerably difficult. In this manuscript, we explore quantum-gravity in a dynamical system perspective. For example, in the standard quantum theory, the wave equation is a linear partial differential equation while in General Theory of relativity, the field equations are highly nonlinear. A classical dynamical system can show very rich phase-space structures which is absent in a linear partial differential equation. In order to incorporate gravitational corrections one can think about the nonlinear extensions of quantum theory. In this manuscript such an attempt to quantum gravity is explored. Dissipative and forcing corrections are found in the newly formulated quantum equation and its physical interpretations are given.

1. Introduction

It is reasonable to argue that the linear quantum theory might be a theoretical approximation which arises from a more fundamental nonlinear theory. Following this idea, many researchers had performed their analysis and different extensions of the Schrödinger equation are obtained [7, 29, 5]. It is well known that in the many-body quantum theory, one needs to deal with nonlinear equations like the Kohn-Sham equation [20]. Similarly in the case of Bose-Einstein condensate one needs to deal with a nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii equation [11, 22]. There had been attempts to generalize the fundamental quantum mechanics laws to nonlinear regime. There are several problems related to this, for example the energy functional computed using the nonlinear Hamiltonian seems to give a different value compared to the quantum field theory calculation. But still there are a special classes of nonlinear modification which will give the same results [23]. T. P. Singh et al. had demonstrated that the nonlinear quantum mechanics is necessary, once the quantum mechanical space-time background is taken into account [28].

Apart from these line of arguments, in quantum chaos a purely philosophical issue appears. It is considered that quantum theory is more fundamental and classical mechanics appears as a particular limiting case. In orthodox quantum theory, field equations are linear

but in the classical limit, the dynamics of the corresponding classical system can be nonlinear and it can show very rich phase-space structures. In the sense of this rich phase-space structure, How come quantum theory is mathematically a subset of classical mechanics ? This is a serious conceptual issue, but in quantum chaos community this is not taken seriously as a conceptual one. Quantum system is inherently linear, hence chaotic property is absent there. One is compelled to study the manifestation of chaos in a quantum system. Usually the energy level statistic is studied to analyze the quantum signature of chaos [2, 12], while some of the research tends to explore the quantum entanglement to find the quantum signature of chaos [16, 17, 18, 19]. Quantum theory should contain all the mathematical property to describe the reality completely. In other words, if quantum theory is more fundamental, it should be able to exhibit all the mathematical properties of a classical system. For example, a classical system can have a chaotic behavior while a quantum system can only show the signatures of the classical chaos; this should be seen as a theoretical limitation of the orthodox quantum theory. Another conceptual issue arises when one tries to combine quantum theory with general theory of relativity. One theory consists of real and nonlinear field equation while the other one is linear with complex field equation and combining them in a consistent manner is still a tedious task.

These issues can be circumvented by adopting the deBroglie-Bohm (dBB) version of the quantum theory. In dBB version of quantum physics, field equations are nonlinear and it is free from complex quantities. More importantly, in this formalism particles and waves exists simultaneously[3, 4] and the particle can exhibit chaotic dynamics. Extending dBB version of quantum theory to the relativistic regime as explored by Shojai et al. [26], one can immediately see that the relativistic quantum theory in dBB formalism is equivalent to a conformally flat general theory of relativity. Quantum trajectories in the dBB version can be seen as a geodesic of the particle on a curved manifold. Trajectories in de-Broglie Bohm theory is no longer surreal, a definite physical meaning is attached to it. Relativistic dBB interpretation can be stated in a different manner, it is equivalent to the Einstein's General theory of relativity. The pilot-wave determines the space-time manifold structure and the particles trajectories (Bohmian trajectories) are the geodesic of this curved manifold.

2. Probability vs Geometry

In Einstein's general theory of relativity, geometry of the space-time plays an important role. Particles follows the geodesic on a curved manifold and the energy-momentum tensor of an object curves the space-time. It is curious to observe that when the same universe is modeled in big scale and small scale we obtained two theories, while the mathematical structure of these two theories are highly different. In order to merge these two theories (general relativity

and quantum theory), one needs to think about how these theories are different. Is there any mathematical parallelism between these two theories? One is modeling the same universe in the big scale and small scale, and one can get two different mathematical description. If these mathematical descriptions are individually complete, there must be a duality between these two theories. Our choice of particular mathematical tools may give us one theory or the other. In this perspective, there must exist a possibility that relativistic quantum physics should be expressible in terms of general relativity and vice versa.

Following this line of thought and considering the scalar matter field, ie. the Klein-Gordon equation on a flat space-time, which is given by,

$$\left(\square + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\phi = 0. \quad (1)$$

As pointed out by F. Shojai [26], one can think about the Bohmian representation of this equation by taking $\phi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}$ and can obtain the following coupled equations,

$$\partial_\mu(\rho\partial^\mu S) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_\mu S\partial^\mu S = m^2\Omega^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega^2 = 1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\square\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$. This particular form of Eq. 2 and Eq. 3 is highly interesting due to its easiness to incorporate it with Einstein's General Theory of Relativity. On a curved manifold, these coupled equations can be easily generalized by changing the usual derivative ∂_μ to covariant one ∇_μ . Still these modifications can only incorporates gravitational effects on the quantum mechanical matter field. The continuity equation doesn't contain any contribution from the conformal factor Ω^2 . Hence one needs to correct these equations to fully describe the interaction between gravitational field and the scalar quantum-mechanical matter field. Once we incorporate the matter Lagrangian with conformally transformed Einstein-Hilbert action, these corrections will naturally appear. Returning to Eq. 3, it is just the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with some quantum correction and it can be rewritten as,

$$\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu S\partial_\nu S = m^2. \quad (4)$$

As pointed out by Shojai et al. [26], this is the free particle equation of motion on a curved manifold with a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Since $g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}\eta^{\mu\nu}$, the metric tensor of the quantum mechanical manifold is given by.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2\eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (5)$$

This implies $g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2\eta_{\mu\nu}$ where $\Omega^2 = 1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\square\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} = 1 + Q$. For a more general theory one can take $\Omega^2 = \exp(Q)$, but in this case we are taking the contribution of the higher

powers of Q in the conformal factor. One should note that, in this simple generalization of conformal factor, the quantum theory is fundamentally modified [9].

Here Eq. 4 and Eq. 5 simply conveys that the quantum effects can be simply absorbed into the curved space-time. The flat space-time $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ appears since the effect of gravity is not considered. If one needs to incorporate gravity, it is pretty straight forward to assume a curved background metric instead of $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Assuming that the effect of gravity exist, then the metric due to the gravitational field is denoted by $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Now the effective metric of the space-time including the gravitational field contribution is given by,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad (6)$$

It is already shown that the Eq. 4 simply indicates that, the quantum particle moves like a free particle on a curved manifold described by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This is true even in the presence of the gravitationally curved background space-time $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. From the very basic definition of the Christoffel symbol,

$$\Gamma^i_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \left(\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial g_{m\ell}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{k\ell}}{\partial x^m} \right) \quad (7)$$

Substituting $g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ into the above expression,

$$\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x^\ell} = \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{mk}}{\partial x^\ell} + \bar{g}_{mk} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^\ell}. \quad (8)$$

Hence the Christoffel symbols becomes,

$$\Gamma^i_{k\ell} = \bar{\Gamma}^i_{k\ell} + \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} \left(\delta^i_k \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^\ell} + \delta^i_\ell \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^k} - \bar{g}^{im} \bar{g}_{k\ell} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^m} \right) \quad (9)$$

In general theory of relativity, the geodesic equation is given by,

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^i_{k\ell} \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\ell}{d\tau} = 0 \quad (10)$$

Considering the quantum effect, the Christoffel symbol is computed using Eq. 9 and substituting it in the geodesic equation, we get,

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \left(\bar{\Gamma}^i_{k\ell} + \Gamma_{\mathbf{qm}}^i{}_{k\ell} \right) \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\ell}{d\tau} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbf{qm}}^i{}_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} \left(\delta^i_k \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^\ell} + \delta^i_\ell \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^k} - \bar{g}^{im} \bar{g}_{k\ell} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^m} \right)$ is the quantum mechanical Christoffel symbol. This general expression for the geodesic equation is not a surprise due to the fact that a quantum force is present in the dBB interpretation of quantum mechanics. Quantum

Christoffel symbol arises, since we are in a frame where the metric is curved due to the quantum mechanical force.

In dBB formulation of quantum mechanics, the quantum force arises from the quantum potential $Q = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\square^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$. This quantum potential is intimately connected to the conformal factor $\Omega^2 = e^Q$. Note that the geodesic equation can be entirely written in-terms of quantum mechanical density ρ . Here the Quantum Christoffel symbol arises from an underlying quantum mechanical wavefunction. In the usual principle of equivalence, the gravitational potential is formulated in a geometrical way. In the quantum regime one cannot ignore the effect of the quantum potential Q and its curvature contribution, this will result into the generalized equivalence principle. It can be easily seen that, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the quantum effect becomes negligible which results $\Omega^2 \approx 1$. Hence the contributions to the Christoffel symbols due to the space-time variation of Ω^2 becomes negligible, hence we get

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \bar{\Gamma}^i_{\quad k\ell} \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\ell}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (12)$$

It can be easily seen that we recover the standard geodesic equation in the general relativity. In the classical limit of the equation, only gravitational field is taken into account and $\bar{\Gamma}^i_{\quad k\ell}$ denote the Christoffel symbol due to the gravitational field only. On the other hand, a pure quantum version of the Christoffel symbol can be found by taking $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$. Then the geodesic equation for a free quantum particle can be written as,

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} \left(\delta^i_{\quad k} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^\ell} + \delta^i_{\quad \ell} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^k} - \eta^{im} \eta_{k\ell} \frac{\partial \Omega^2}{\partial x^m} \right) \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\ell}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (13)$$

In terms of the quantum potential, the quantum mechanical geodesic equation is written as follows,

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{d\tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta^i_{\quad k} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x^\ell} + \delta^i_{\quad \ell} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x^k} - \eta^{im} \eta_{k\ell} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x^m} \right) \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\ell}{d\tau} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $Q = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\square^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$ and $\Omega^2 = \exp(Q)$ is assumed. Here quantum mechanical Christoffel symbol purely depends on the 4-dimensional density distribution of the quantum particle and the quantum mechanical acceleration depends on the derivative of the quantum potential Q with respect to the space-time variables (quantum force).

A theory which is based on purely complex quantities are hard to interpret while a geometrical theory is comparably easy to interpret. It is to be noted that the Bohmian interpretation of quantum mechanics has some nice features of classical mechanics. But the quantum potential makes the things complicated. The presence of the guiding equation is a peculiar features which makes dBB theory different from a pure classical theory. The guiding equation relates particle velocity to the quantum mechanical wave-function. This can be understood in a more clear way in a relativistic dBB theory. Particle momentum is determined by the local structure of the space-time manifold. The guiding relation is just the mathematical statement exactly as in Einstein's general theory of relativity. In Bohmian quantum gravity, particle trajectory is determined by the conformally flat space-time manifold $g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}$. Klein-Gordon equation can be mapped to the conformally flat general relativity and the curved space-time structure is completely determined by the conformal factor Ω^2 .

Still these arguments are not enough to see the full gravitational modification of quantum theory. One needs to couple gravity with Klein-Gordon matter field to see the whole correction. In order to couple gravitational field with quantum mechanical matter field, one is compelled to use a Lagrangian multiplier λ , which is essential for a such a theory.

3. Coupling gravitational field with the quantum mechanical scalar matter field

Following the work of Shojai et al. [26, 25] and others [10, 9, 14, 13], it had been shown that taking the following action,

$$\begin{aligned} A[g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega, S, \rho, \lambda] = & \frac{1}{2k} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R\Omega^2 - 6\nabla_\mu \Omega \nabla^\mu \Omega) \\ & + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{\rho}{m} \Omega^2 \nabla_\mu S \nabla^\mu S - m\rho\Omega^4 \right) \\ & + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \lambda \left[\ln(\Omega^2) - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and using Euler-Lagrange equation, quantum mechanical equation of motion for ρ and S can be found. Minimizing the action with respect to ρ and S leads to real and imaginary parts of the Generalized Klein-Gordon Equation. Note that the real part of the Klein-Gordon equation obeys the following equation

$$\nabla_\mu S \nabla^\mu S - m^2 \Omega^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\Omega^2 \sqrt{\rho}} \left[\square \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) - \lambda \frac{\square \sqrt{\rho}}{\rho} \right] = 0. \quad (16)$$

while the imaginary part gives the generalized continuity equation

$$\nabla_\mu (\rho \Omega^2 \nabla^\mu S) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Note that Eq. 16 and Eq. 17 represents quantum-mechanical wave-equations in a pure geometrical manner. Here probabilities doesn't arises at all. Interpretationally things are comparably simpler. Here the particle obeys a more general equation of motion and continuity equation (Eq. 16 and Eq. 17 respectively).

Combining these two equations (Eq. 16 and Eq. 17) into a single complex wave equation, the generalized Klein-Gordon equation can be recovered. Then one need to take the probability based interpretation of quantum mechanics. In the wavefunction picture it is nice to see that how a linear partial differential equation gets modified due to the gravitational corrections.

4. Bohmian-Quantum-Gravity generalizes de Broglie-Bohm theory

Apart from Eq. 16 and Eq. 17, there is an extra λ field equation in the theory. From Refs. [10, 9, 14, 13, 14, 15], it is known that Lagrange multiplier field λ obeys the following interesting equation,

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2(1-Q)} \nabla_\mu \left(\lambda \frac{\nabla^\mu \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) \quad (18)$$

This seemingly simple extra equation makes things complicated. Before exploring the physical meaning of λ , one can look a much simpler situation, ie. Bohmian equation of motion and continuity equation (Eq. 16 and Eq. 17), without Lagrangian multiplier contributions (taking $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = \rho$). These equations are already explored in the previous works [26, 10, 9],

$$\nabla_\mu S \nabla^\mu S - m^2 \Omega^2 = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\nabla_\mu (\rho \Omega^2 \nabla^\mu S) = 0. \quad (20)$$

Here, $\Omega^2 = \exp(\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}) = \exp(Q)$, where $Q = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \frac{\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$, is the Klein-Gordon quantum potential. Just to see the modification of quantum mechanical wave-equation from these equations, one needs to do the reverse procedure, ie. the complex number formulation of the theory.

4.1. Gravitational correction as a dissipation in the extended quantum theory

One can combine Eq. 19 and Eq. 20 in order to obtain the modified Klein-Gordon Equation. Hence the generalized Klein-Gordon equation can be written as,

$$\square \phi + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\nabla_\mu \Omega^2}{\Omega^2} \nabla^\mu S \right) \phi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} \phi = 0. \quad (21)$$

Equation 21 is true for both cases, i.e. for the conformal factor Ω^2 which is linear order in Q ($\Omega^2 = 1 + Q$) or to the exponential order case ($\Omega^2 = \exp(Q)$). While the expression

$\frac{\nabla_\mu \Omega^2}{\Omega^2}$ can be perceived as the quantum force for an exponential constraint ($\Omega^2 = e^Q$) of the conformal factor $\frac{\nabla_\mu \Omega^2}{\Omega^2} = \nabla_\mu \ln \Omega^2 = \nabla_\mu Q$. Hence,

$$\square\phi + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\nabla_\mu Q \nabla^\mu S \right) \phi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} \phi = 0. \quad (22)$$

It is pretty straight forward to see that Eq. 19, Eq. 20 and $\Omega^2 = \exp(Q)$ can give Eq. 22. In the usual Klein-Gordon equation, the continuity equation is given by $\nabla_\mu(\rho \nabla^\mu S) = 0$ while the conformal gravity action (see Eq. 15) generalizes the usual Klein-Gordon continuity equation $\nabla_\mu(\rho \nabla^\mu S) = 0 \rightarrow \nabla_\mu(\rho \Omega^2 \nabla^\mu S) = 0$ with an extra factor Ω^2 . Due to the presence of the conformal factor Ω^2 in the continuity equation, an additional imaginary term appears as the conformal gravity correction to the Klein-Gordon equation. One can see that the middle term $+\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\nabla_\mu Q \nabla^\mu S \right) \phi$ arises as a conformal gravitational correction to the Klein-Gordon equation and it is interesting to note that such a correction appears as a dissipative contribution to the wave-equation ($\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\nabla_\mu Q \nabla^\mu S \right) \phi$). But this equation is not complete, in order to have an equilibrium in the system one needs to think about a fluctuation contribution. One can interpret this fluctuation as a quantum-vacuum contribution as well, see Ref. [10, 9] for more details.

4.2. Vacuum source and dissipation in extended quantum theory

From the theoretical understanding of the fluctuation dissipation theorem [21], one can speculate that the dissipation should be followed by some fluctuation in the system. For example, according the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, if dissipation exists in a system, there is a reverse process related to fluctuations. In the same manner, the gravitational conformal factor correction gives a dissipative contribution in the wave equation while the fluctuation part is still absent, hence we need to take into account λ contribution. Taking into account the full coupled equations, one needs to consider two real field equations (see Eq. 16, Eq. 17) and also the λ equation (Eq. 24). Wave-function equation can be defined in the same manner as before. After substituting Eq. 17 into Eq. 16, more general wave-function equation coupled to the Lagrange multiplier field λ can be obtained,

$$\square\phi + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\nabla_\mu \Omega^2}{\Omega^2} \nabla^\mu S \right) \phi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} \phi = \left[\frac{1}{2m\Omega^2\rho} \left(\square - \frac{2m^2(1-Q)}{\hbar^2} \right) \lambda \right] \phi. \quad (23)$$

The Lagrange multiplier field λ obeys a first order differential equation coupled to the density via $\sqrt{\rho}$

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2(1-Q)} \nabla_\mu \left(\lambda \frac{\nabla^\mu \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) \quad (24)$$

Eq. 23 and Eq. 24 together yields, quantum gravity corrected Klein-Gordon equation with the Lagrange multiplier contribution. Since λ contribution gives a fluctuation source, it

can be interpreted as a vacuum field density. Quantum system is no longer closed one, it interacts with an extra vacuum field density λ .

Coupled Eq. 23 and Eq. 24 can be seen as a complete quantum mechanical extension of a linear partial differential equation into the nonlinear regime. In a dynamical system point of view, the equation is complete, it contains a dissipative contribution, in order to balance that a vacuum field source contribution λ is also present. Seemingly simple but complicated λ equation is coupled to the quantum mechanical wave equation. When $\lambda = \rho$ ie. the vacuum field density is equal to the quantum mechanical particle density, then the system achieves an equilibrium condition, the linear type of Klein-Gordon equation can be recovered. This is an interesting feature that the quantum-gravity consideration gives interesting correction terms to the usual quantum mechanical formulation. As speculated earlier, quantum theory can be recovered with an equilibrium condition $\lambda = \rho$. Hence the linear quantum theory is just an approximation of a much richer nonlinear partial differential equation.

5. Further Directions

From the philosophical perspective of the dynamical system theory, one can argue that the usual quantum theory needs to be generalized into the nonlinear regime. These generalizations are not unique, there are several ways to extend quantum theory to the nonlinear regime. Here from the fundamental assumptions of general theory of relativity and the quantum physics one needs to widen the mathematical possibilities of these theories and found a reasonable theoretical framework to merge it consistently.

From Fig. 1, one can obtain an overall idea of the theoretical scheme proposed here. Figure 1 shows two routes to unify quantum theory with general theory of relativity. Either we can adopt a probabilistic approach or a geometric approach. If one thinks about probabilistic approach and its generalizations, then one needs to formulate general theory of relativity in a purely flat space-time background which we don't consider here. The other route is a purely geometric one (see Fig. 1), then we need to geometrize quantum mechanics and merge it general theory of relativity. Then both theories are geometric and dealing with them is rather simplified and all the interpretational issues of the orthodox quantum theory goes away. Once quantum-mechanical matter field is incorporated into general relativity, one enters into the regime of the extended general theory of relativity called the scalar-tensor theory [27, 24, 8].

Now one can think about quantizing this extended theory of gravity and it should eliminate different conceptual problems in the canonical quantum gravity. Gravitational field is still treated here as a classical background field and its full quantum properties are missing. In order to explore its quantum nature of gravity one needs to adopt the proper




Figure 1. Diagrammatic description of the proposed quantum-gravity approach using Bohmian version of quantum mechanics is shown. In Bohmian description, it is possible to geometrize quantum mechanics and incorporate it into the loop quantum gravity approach.

quantization schemes as used in canonical quantum gravity [6] or loop quantum gravity [1]. One of the biggest challenges in canonical quantum gravity is eliminating the problem of time. In authors perspective, such problems arise due to two reasons, (a) Einstein's general theory of relativity is quantized via Wheeler-de-Witt equation, one needs to take into account the extended versions of general theory of relativity (b) Quantization procedure of Wheeler-de-Witt equation is based on the simple functional Schrödinger equation. Rethinking and fixing (a) and (b), one should be able to obtain the logically consistent theory of quantum-gravity. In order to pursue such a scheme one needs to take a route of geometrizing quantum theory via conformally flat general relativity presented here and then go to the scalar-tensor theory of gravity, then finally complete the quantization procedure using the extended quantum theory explored here.

6. Conclusions

It may take quite a long time to fully validate the theoretical aspects of a correct quantum-gravity theory. Yet the theory explored here, can be used as an intermediate testing ground. For example, one can look into the predictions coming from the nonlinear quantum theory. More importantly, this is a different route to quantum gravity, which is purely based on a dynamical system point of view, which hints an entirely different way of extending both quantum theory and general relativity. Gravity corrects quantum theory to the non-

linear regime while quantum theory corrects general relativity to the scalar-tensor theory. One needs to accept that, to get a fully consistent quantum-gravity theory both these well established theories needs to be generalized.

References

- [1] ASHTEKAR, A. New variables for classical and quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* *57* (Nov 1986), 2244–2247.
- [2] BOHIGAS, O., GIANNONI, M. J., AND SCHMIT, C. Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* *52* (Jan 1984), 1–4.
- [3] BOHM, D. A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. i. *Phys. Rev.* *85* (Jan 1952), 166–179.
- [4] BOHM, D. A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. ii. *Phys. Rev.* *85* (Jan 1952), 180–193.
- [5] CASTRO, C., AND MAHECHA, J. On the weyl geometry of nonlinear quantum mechanics brownian motion and fisher information. *Prog. Phys.*, *1* (2006), 38–45.
- [6] DEWITT, B. S. Quantum theory of gravity. i. the canonical theory. *Phys. Rev.* *160* (Aug 1967), 1113–1148.
- [7] DOEBNER, H.-D., AND GOLDIN, G. A. On a general nonlinear schrödinger equation admitting diffusion currents. *Physics Letters A* *162*, *5* (1992), 397–401.
- [8] FUJII, Y., AND MAEDA, K. *The scalar-tensor theory of gravitation*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [9] GABAY, D., AND JOSEPH, S. K. On a Modified Klein-Gordon Equation with Vacuum-Energy Contributions. *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb. 2018).
- [10] GABAY, D., AND JOSEPH, S. K. On the Cosmological Constant in a Conformally Transformed Einstein Equation. *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec. 2018).
- [11] GROSS, E. P. Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. *II Nuovo Cimento* *20*, *3* (May 1961), 454–477.
- [12] GUTZWILLER, M. C. *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics*. SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [13] JOSEPH, S. K. Geometrization of Scalar and Spinor Electrodynamics via Bohmian Quantum Gravity. *arXiv e-prints* (Oct 2018).
- [14] JOSEPH, S. K. Quantum Gravity Correction to Dirac Equation via Vacuum Coupling Contribution. *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept. 2018).

- [15] JOSEPH, S. K. Bohmian-quantum-gravity predicts quantum-mechanical scalar-gravitational-waves. *Researchgate* (04 2019).
- [16] JOSEPH, S. K., CHEW, L. Y., AND SANJUÁN, M. A. Impact of quantum-classical correspondence on entanglement enhancement by single-mode squeezing. *Physics Letters A* 378, 35 (2014), 2603–2610.
- [17] JOSEPH, S. K., SABUCO, J., CHEW, L. Y., AND SANJUÁN, M. A. F. Effect of geometry on the classical entanglement in a chaotic optical fiber. *Opt. Express* 23, 25 (Dec 2015), 32191–32201.
- [18] JOSEPH, S. K., AND SANJUN, M. A. F. Entanglement entropy in a triangular billiard. *Entropy* 18, 3 (2016).
- [19] JOSEPH, S. K., AND SANJUN, M. A. F. Exploring chaos and entanglement in the hénon-heiles system using squeezed coherent states. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 26, 03 (2016), 1650052.
- [20] KOHN, W., AND SHAM, L. J. Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Phys. Rev.* 140 (Nov 1965), A1133–A1138.
- [21] KUBO, R. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem. *Reports on Progress in Physics* 29, 1 (jan 1966), 255–284.
- [22] PITAEVSKII, L. P. *Sov. Phys. JETP* 13, 2 (1961), 451–454.
- [23] PUSZKARZ, W. Energy ambiguity in nonlinear quantum mechanics. *arXiv e-prints* (Feb 1998), quant-ph/9802001.
- [24] SHOJAI, A., AND SHOJAI, F. $f(\mathcal{R})$ quantum cosmology. *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* 40, 9 (Sep 2008), 1967–1980.
- [25] SHOJAI, F. Perturbative solutions of bohmian quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* 60 (1999), 124001.
- [26] SHOJAI, F., AND GOLSHANI, M. On the geometrization of bohmian mechanics: A new approach to quantum gravity. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 13, 04 (1998), 677–693.
- [27] SHOJAI, F., AND SHOJAI, A. Nonminimal scalar-tensor theories and quantum gravity. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 15, 13 (2000), 1859–1868.
- [28] SINGH, T. P., GUTTI, S., AND TIBREWALA, R. Quantum Mechanics without spacetime V - Why a quantum theory of gravity should be non-linear-. *arXiv e-prints* (Mar 2005), gr-qc/0503116.
- [29] SVETLICHNY, G. Nonlinear quantum mechanics at the planck scale. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 44, 11 (2005), 2051–2058.

Sijo K. Joseph, Ph.D.: WellGreen Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Thodupuzha, Kerala, India (sijo@wellgreen.co.in).