

Stationary analogs of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes: Evidence in favor of Λ as a dark fluid

M. Nouri-Zonoz^{(a)*} and A. Nouri-Zonoz^{(b)†}

(a): *Department of Physics, University of Tehran,
North Karegar Ave., Tehran 14395-547, Iran.*

(b): *Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C., Evin, Tehran 19839, Iran.*

Abstract

We introduce novel Einstein spaces which are the *stationary analogs of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter* spacetimes. Having Λ as their only parameter, the inherent anisotropy in these solutions appears as a dilemma if we treat the cosmological term as a constant background curvature. Since the cosmological term is an inseparable element of the current cosmological models, further development of these models as well as resolution of the problems related to this term, including any quantum aspect will crucially depend on the true nature of this term. These solutions, which to the best of our knowledge appear here for the first time in the literature, not only open a new direction to study the cosmological term, but also provide strong evidence in favor of the cosmological term as a dark fluid, hence furnishing a resolution to the above mentioned dilemma.

* Electronic address: nouri@ut.ac.ir (Corresponding author)

† Electronic address: ahmad.nouri.zonn@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Since its introduction into the GR field equations by Einstein in 1917, the question of the nature of the cosmological term and its role in different cosmological models have always been of high interest among theoretical physicists as well as cosmologists [1–3]. Whether it is a constant background curvature of a geometric nature in the left hand side of the Einstein modified field equations (EMFE) or a matter source of a perfect fluid nature in the right hand side of the Einstein field equations (EFE), could obviously have important implications in further development of cosmological models.

Treating the cosmological term as a dark fluid component in the right hand side of the Einstein field equations (EFE), it was shown that the so called de Sitter-type spacetimes are the only *static single-component* perfect fluid solutions of the Einstein field equations in the *non-comoving* frames [4]. They include the well known de sitter (dS) and anti-de Sitter (AdS) spaces and their axially and cylindrically symmetric counterparts. They are all static Einstein spaces, with Λ as their only parameter, which go over smoothly into the Minkowski spacetime in the absence of the cosmological constant. Characterizing them in this way, the authors in [4] have resolved the apparent paradox raised by some authors [5, 6], on how one should interpret the anisotropic expansions of axially and cylindrically symmetric de Sitter-type spacetimes.

Indeed that is why, at first sight, they were expected to be just the good old dS space, only in different coordinate systems. An expectation which was not fulfilled when their curvature invariants as well as their dynamical forms in the *comoving synchronous* coordinate systems, showed clearly that they are genuinely different spacetimes. These findings motivated the idea that one should consider a *perfect fluid nature* for the cosmological term and assign a 4-velocity to this dark fluid, so that to be able to interpret and justify their *anisotropic expansions* [4].

Here we extend the above ideas by introducing stationary analogs of dS and AdS spacetimes, and then argue their relevance to the nature of the cosmological term.

A. Stationary analogs of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes

In this section we introduce explicit forms for *stationary* cylindrically symmetric *Einstein spaces* with positive and negative cosmological constants which reduce to the Minkowski spacetime in the absence of the cosmological constant [20]. In other words Λ is the only parameter of these solutions, and so one could call them the stationary analogs of dS and AdS spacetimes. They are equivalent to the stationary cylindrically symmetric solutions of the Einstein field equations with a one-component perfect fluid source with the equation of state $p = -\rho = \text{constant}$. It is known that stationary cylindrically symmetric solutions have the following general form [7]

$$ds^2 = F(\rho)(dt + A(\rho)d\phi)^2 - d\rho^2 - e^{2K(\rho)}dz^2 - G(\rho)d\phi^2, \quad (1)$$

which is already written in the so called 1 + 3 form with the $A(\rho)$ playing the role of a gravitomagnetic potential, from which the gravitomagnetic field is calculated [8]. The general form of stationary cylindrically symmetric Einstein spaces for both positive and negative cosmological constant have already been introduced [9–11] in the literature [21]. In particular the following useful form for such general solutions were introduced in [11],

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = G_0^{2/3} & \left([T_1^2 e^{2(p_0-1/3)U} - X_1^2 e^{2(p_3-1/3)U}] dt^2 - Z^2 e^{2(p_2-1/3)U} dz^2 \right. \\ & - [X_2^2 e^{2(p_3-1/3)U} - T_2^2 e^{2(p_0-1/3)U}] d\phi^2 \\ & \left. - 2[X_1 X_2 e^{2(p_3-1/3)U} - T_1 T_2 e^{2(p_0-1/3)U}] dt d\phi \right) - d\rho^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

in which Z , X_1 , X_2 , T_1 , T_2 , p_0 , p_2 and p_3 are constants and functions $G_0(\rho)$ and $U(\rho)$ are given by, [22]

$$G_0(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\Lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\rho), \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (3)$$

$$G_0(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-3\Lambda}} \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}\rho) \quad \Lambda < 0 \quad (4)$$

$$U(\rho) = \ln \tan \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\rho) \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (5)$$

$$U(\rho) = \ln \tanh \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}\rho) \quad \Lambda < 0, \quad (6)$$

also the three constants p_0 , p_2 and p_3 satisfy the following constraints,

$$\sum_{j=0,2,3} p_j = 1 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=0,2,3} p_j^2 = 1. \quad (7)$$

To find an explicit solution, one should either fix or interpret all the constants introduced in the above general solution, specially the essential ones [11], using different criteria. For example this is done in [13], in which the authors have used the above general form for negative Λ as an exterior solution, matched to an infinite cylinder of dust cut out of a Gödel universe as the interior solution, to form a globally regular solution.

Here, on the other hand, we show that one could fix all the above constants, to find explicit solutions with Λ as the only parameter of the stationary spacetime. To do so we employ just one criterion, and that is, *the smooth reduction of the above general solution to the Minkowski spacetime in the absence of Λ .*

In what follows we apply the above criterion to find the stationary solution for $\Lambda > 0$. The negative Λ solution is easily obtained following the same procedure.

Substituting $G_0(\rho)$ and $U(\rho)$ for $\Lambda > 0$ in (2), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{2/3} \sin^{2/3}(a\rho) \cos^{2/3}(a\rho) \left([T_1^2 \tan^{2(p_0-1/3)}(a\rho) - X_1^2 \tan^{2(p_3-1/3)}(a\rho)] dt^2 \right. \\
& - Z^2 \tan^{2(p_2-1/3)}(a\rho) dz^2 \\
& - [X_2^2 \tan^{2(p_3-1/3)}(a\rho) - T_2^2 \tan^{2(p_0-1/3)}(a\rho)] d\phi^2 \\
& \left. - 2[X_1 X_2 \tan^{2(p_3-1/3)}(a\rho) - T_1 T_2 \tan^{2(p_0-1/3)}(a\rho)] dt d\phi \right) - d\rho^2. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

in which $a \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3|\Lambda|}}{2}$. Now focusing on the g_{zz} component and applying our criterion that it reduces to its flat space value ($g_{zz} = 1$) in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ ($a \rightarrow 0$), sets $p_2 = 0$ and $Z^2 = a^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Using this value for p_2 , and applying the constraints (7) on p_j s, we immediately find that $p_0 = 0$ and $p_3 = 1$. Now focusing on the $g_{\phi\phi}$ component and require its reduction to the flat space value ($g_{\phi\phi} = \rho^2$), in the absence of Λ , sets $T_2 = 0$ and $X_2 = (\frac{1}{a})^{2/3}$ [23]. Now substituting the above selected values for X_2 and T_2 into (8), we end up with,

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{2/3} \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) [T_1^2 \cos^2(a\rho) - X_1^2 \sin^2(a\rho)] dt^2 - d\rho^2 \\
& - \cos^{4/3}(a\rho) dz^2 - \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{2/3} \sin^2(a\rho) \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) \left[\left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{4/3} d\phi^2 - 2X_1 \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{2/3} d\phi dt \right]. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

To have both $g_{tt} \rightarrow 1$ and $g_{t\phi} \rightarrow 0$, when $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, we choose $X_1^2 = T_1^2 = a^{2/3}$, leading to the explicit solution,

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) \cos(2a\rho) dt^2 - d\rho^2 - \cos^{4/3}(a\rho) dz^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{a^2} \sin^2(a\rho) \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) d\phi^2 + \frac{2}{a} \sin^2(a\rho) \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) dt d\phi, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

in which the radial coordinate is obviously restricted to the range $0 < \rho < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3\Lambda}}$. The above spacetime is the stationary analog of the dS spacetime. Transformed into the 1 + 3 form of (1), we find,

$$ds^2 = \left(\cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) + \cos(2a\rho) \right) \left(dt - \frac{\sin^2(a\rho)}{a \cos(2a\rho)} d\phi \right)^2 - d\rho^2 - \cos^{4/3}(a\rho) dz^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\sin^2(a\rho) \cos^{4/3}(a\rho)}{\cos(2a\rho)} d\phi^2. \quad (11)$$

The static counterpart of (10), which is one of the de Sitter-type spacetimes [4], is given by [6, 7],

$$ds^2 = \cos^{4/3}(a\rho)(dt^2 - dz^2) - d\rho^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \sin^2(a\rho) \cos^{-2/3}(a\rho) d\phi^2, \quad (12)$$

Obviously one could have obtained this line element from (9) by setting $X_1 = 0$ and $T_1 = 1$. Applying the above procedure to the case of general $\Lambda < 0$ solution, we end up with,

$$ds^2 = \cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) dt^2 - d\rho^2 - \cosh^{4/3}(a\rho) dz^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \sinh^2(a\rho) \cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) d\phi^2 + \frac{2}{a} \sinh^2(a\rho) \cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) dt d\phi. \quad (13)$$

in which now $0 < \rho < \infty$. This is obviously the stationary analog of the AdS spacetime. In the 1 + 3 form of (1), it is given by,

$$ds^2 = \cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) \left(dt - \frac{\sinh^2(a\rho)}{a} d\phi \right)^2 - d\rho^2 - \cosh^{4/3}(a\rho) dz^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \sinh^2(a\rho) \left(\cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) + \sinh^2(a\rho) \right) d\phi^2, \quad (14)$$

The static counterpart of (13) is given by [14], [24]

$$ds^2 = \cosh^{4/3}(a\rho)(dt^2 - dz^2) - d\rho^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \sinh^2(a\rho) \cosh^{-2/3}(a\rho) d\phi^2. \quad (15)$$

To the best of our knowledge, the above *explicit* forms of stationary analogs of dS and AdS spacetimes, (10) and (13), are introduced here for the first time in the literature [25].

It is worthwhile to mention some of the features of the above stationary solutions and compare them with those of dS and AdS spacetimes:

I-They are both regular flat on the axis, $\rho = 0$, similar to dS and AdS which are regular flat at $r = 0$.

II-The stationary analog of the dS spacetime, (10), like de-Sitter space has an admissible range of the radial coordinate $0 < \rho < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3\Lambda}}$, while its negative Λ counterpart, (13), like AdS

space is valid for the whole range of the radial coordinate.

III-These solutions, like static dS and AdS spacetimes, are in non-comoving coordinate systems which is evident from their non-zero Christoffel symbol Γ_{tt}^ρ .

Regularity of the above stationary solutions on the axis, is also evident in their Kretschmann invariants

$$K = \frac{4\Lambda^2}{3}(2 + \sec^4(a\rho)) \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (16)$$

$$K = \frac{4\Lambda^2}{3}(2 + \operatorname{sech}^4(a\rho)) \quad \Lambda < 0, \quad (17)$$

which interestingly enough, they share with their static counterparts, and both reduce to $K = 4\Lambda^2$ on the axis.

II. THE COSMOLOGICAL TERM AS A DARK FLUID

To see why one should consider a dark fluid nature for the cosmological term or otherwise deal with a dilemma, we scrutinize, as we did in the case of the de Sitter-type spacetimes [4], the anisotropic nature of the above stationary gravitational fields. First of all, without the detailed calculation of the geodesics, one could see that a test particle released near the symmetry axis in the stationary analog of dS space (10), will start rotating around the axis in the $z = \text{constant}$ hypersurface with an increasing radius. This could be easily understood in terms of the gravitoelectromagnetic fields of the solution (10) which are given by [8, 16],

$$E_g^\rho = \frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{12} \tan^2(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\rho) \left(5 + \tan^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\rho\right)\right) \quad (18)$$

$$B_g^z = 2\sqrt{3\Lambda} \cos^{-1/2}(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\rho) \cos^{-1/3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\rho\right). \quad (19)$$

They contribute to the gravitational (Lorentz) 3-force, $\mathbf{f}_g = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} (\mathbf{E}_g + \mathbf{v} \times \sqrt{g_{00}}\mathbf{B}_g)$, which acts on the test particles [26]. Also by the same token, one can show that the above gravitomagnetic field B_g is the origin of the rotation of the local inertial frames as well as the Lense-Thirring effect [18], and the related effects such as the gravitomagnetic clock effect [19]. In other words the stationary analog of the dS spacetime, produces an *anisotropic* field reminiscent of fields produced by rotating sources. To clarify more on this point, comparison of (14) with the Gödel Universe, which is also a stationary cylindrically symmetric spacetime, is in order. The Gödel universe in cylindrical coordinates is given by the following line

element

$$ds^2 = \left(dt - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{-2\Lambda}} \sinh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-2\Lambda}}{2}\rho\right)d\phi\right)^2 - d\rho^2 - dz^2 - \left(\frac{1}{-2\Lambda}\right) \sinh^2(\sqrt{-2\Lambda}\rho)d\phi^2. \quad (20)$$

It should be noted that the Gödel universe is *not* an Einstein space, and two different energy-momentum tensors could be assigned to it as its source. Either a stiff matter ($p = \rho$), or a dust ($p = 0$) plus negative cosmological constant, where $\Lambda = -4\pi\rho_{dust}$. In both cases the matter component is in the *comoving frame* with 4-velocity $u^a = (\sqrt{-2\Lambda}, 0, 0, 0)$. Obviously the rotational (anisotropic) effects of the Gödel universe could be attributed (traced back) to its geodesic *matter current* which rotates with constant velocity $\sqrt{-\Lambda} = \sqrt{4\pi\rho_{dust}}$ [18]. Comparison of the negative Λ solution, (14) with the Gödel universe shows that, although unlike Gödel it does not contain closed timelike curves (CTCs), the two solutions share the same regular flat space behavior near the axis ($\rho \rightarrow 0$), and more importantly, the similar structure of their gravitomagnetic potential leads to a gravitomagnetic field along the symmetry axis. On the other hand unlike the Gödel universe, both (10) and (13) are matter-free solutions, and hence the anisotropic behavior in these solutions could only be assigned to their single parameter Λ , which is dynamic-free if is taken to be just a universal geometric constant. This indicates (as in the case of their static counterparts) that we could justify the anisotropy of these solutions, only if we think of the cosmological term as a dark fluid assigned with a *4-velocity*, whose role is eclipsed in the non-comoving frame. This is simply due to the fact that by setting $p = -\rho$ in the energy momentum tensor of a perfect (dark) fluid, the role of its 4-velocity becomes hidden both in the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid, and in the corresponding cosmological solutions. However in the above stationary analogs of dS and AdS spaces, unlike the case of the de Sitter-type spacetimes, we do not need to transform into the comoving frame to recover the role of the dark fluid's velocity in justifying their anisotropic expansion [4], and the stationarity of the solutions itself does the trick.

III. FINAL NOTE

In the last two decades we have witnessed great interest in de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes in different contexts, both in theoretical physics and in cosmology. This is rooted in the two discoveries at the end of the 20th century, namely the introduction of the

AdS/CFT correspondence, and the observation of the accelerated expansion of the Universe. It is therefore interesting to discover that there are stationary analogs of these spacetimes and they might be of somewhat similar application and use. Already as an interesting application of these solutions, here we have shown how their inherent anisotropy infer a dark fluid nature for the cosmological term.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank B. Mashhoon for careful reading of the manuscript and useful comments. M. N-Z thanks University of Tehran for supporting this project under the grants provided by the research council.

-
- [1] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989).
 - [2] E. Witten, arXiv:hep-ph/0002297 (2000).
 - [3] V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 9, 373443 (2000).
 - [4] M. Nouri-Zonoz, J. Koohbor, and H. Ramezani-Aval, Phys. Rev. D, **91**, 063010 (2015).
 - [5] W. Rindler, Phys. Lett. A 245, 363 (1998).
 - [6] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, Phys. Rev. D 81, 064015 (2010).
 - [7] H. Stephani et. al. *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, 2nd Edition, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2003).
 - [8] D. Lynden-Bell and M. Nouri-Zonoz, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 427 (1998).
 - [9] A. Krasinski, Acta Phys. Polon. B 6 223 (1975).
 - [10] N. O. Santos, Class. Quantum Grav. 10 2401 (1993).
 - [11] M. A. H. MacCallum and N. O. Santos, Class. Quantum Grav. 15 1627 (1998).
 - [12] K. Bronnikov, N. O. Santos, Anzhong Wang, Class. Quantum Grav. 37 113002 (2020).
 - [13] W. B. Bonnor, N. O. Santos and M. A. H. MacCallum, Class. Quantum Grav. **15** 357 (1998).
 - [14] W. B. Bonnor, Class. Quantum Grav. **25**, 225005 (2008).
 - [15] M. Zofka and J. Bicak, Class. Quantum Grav. **25**, 015011 (2008).
 - [16] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Pergamon, New York (1975).

- [17] M. Nouri-Zonoz and A. Nouri-Zonoz, *Static and stationary dark fluid solutions: A gravito-electromagnetic prespective*, submitted.
- [18] W. Rindler, *Relativity: Special, General and Cosmological*, Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [19] B. Mashhoon and N. O. Santos Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **9**, 49-63, (2000).
- [20] It is noticed that not every Einstein space has such a characteristic, for example Kottler space reduces to the Schwarzschild spacetime in the absence of the cosmological constant.
- [21] For a recent review on cylindrical gravitational systems refer to [12].
- [22] Indeed, in the case of $\Lambda < 0$, there are three different choices for each of these functions, but we have chosen the one which is the direct counterpart of the solution with $\Lambda > 0$.
- [23] The same limit shows that a nonzero T_2 acts as a deficit angle parameter.
- [24] Bonnor discovered this solution by setting the parameter related to the (linear) mass density equal to zero, in a static cylindrical solution given by Zofka and Bicak [15].
- [25] Indeed even in the main exact solution book [7], in section 22.2 (stationary cylindrically-symmetric fields), in the subsection on “ Λ -term solutions” the authors have given only the general static family, Eq. (2.9) of [11], instead of the general stationary family (2), which is the Eq. (2.16) of [11].
- [26] Employing the quasi-Maxwell form of the Einstein field equations with multi-component perfect fluid sources, it is shown in [17], that one could introduce a physical characterization of the well-known static and stationary perfect fluid solutions according to their gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic fields.