Applications of Grothendieck's inequality to linear symplectic geometry

Efim Gluskin, Shira Tanny

November 12, 2021

Abstract

Recently in symplectic geometry there arose an interest in bounding various functionals on spaces of matrices. It appears that Grothendieck's theorems about factorization are a useful tool for proving such bounds. In this note we present two such applications.

Linear symplectic geometry concerns a non-degenerate anti-symmetric bilinear form, called a symplectic bilinear form, and matrices that preserve this form, called symplectic matrices. Note that such forms exist only on even dimensional linear spaces. A standard example for this setting is the form defined on \mathbb{R}^{2n} by $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, Jv \rangle$ where J (or J_{2n}) is the $2n \times 2n$ matrix corresponding to multiplication by i under the identification $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$J := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{n \times n} \\ \mathbb{1}_{n \times n} & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

A matrix ${\cal S}$ preserves this bilinear form if and only if it satisfies the following relation:

$$S^T J S = J. \tag{1}$$

The space of such matrices is denoted by Sp(2n).

More generally, given an even dimensional Euclidean vector space E, we denote by J or J_E a linear orthogonal transformation whose square equals to minus the identity on E, $J^2 = -\mathbb{1}_E$. In this case, matrices satisfying the relation (1) with respect to J_E preserve the form $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, J_E v \rangle$ and the space of such matrices is denoted by $\operatorname{Sp}(E; J_E)$.

In [1, Appendix A], Buhovsky, Logunov and Tanny proved that there exists a constant c(n), depending on the dimension, such that for any finite

collection of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |\langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle| \le c(n) \cdot \max_{|s_i|, |t_j| \le 1} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i v_i, J \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j v_j \right\rangle,$$
(2)

where the constant c(n) grows exponentially in n. In this paper it is shown that, using Grothendieck's inequality [2], the growth of the constant c(n)in the above inequality can be improved to be \sqrt{n} . This result is stated in Corollary 4 below, and in Theorem 2 in a more general setting. Example 3 shows that the growth of $c(n) \propto \sqrt{n}$ is sharp. The second main result concerns the orbit of a finite collection of vectors under the action of the group of symplectic matrices. Grothendieck's inequality can be used to prove a sharp upper-bound for the minimal sum of norms of given vectors under the action of symplectic matrices, as stated in Theorem 5 below.

We use some basic facts and notations from operator theory. Denote by ℓ_p^N the space \mathbb{R}^N equipped with the norm:

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{\ell_p^N} &:= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |u_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty \\ \|u\|_{\ell_\infty^N} &:= & \max_{1 \le i \le N} |u_i|, \end{split}$$

for $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The space of linear operators from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$. We identify an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with its matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1}^m, j=1^n$. For such a matrix, one denotes by $A^T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ its transpose. For a vector $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by D_Λ the diagonal matrix corresponding to Λ , namely, $(D_\Lambda)_{ii} = \lambda_i$ and $(D_\Lambda)_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ one denotes by $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^n, \ell_q^m)$ the linear space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ equipped with the operator norm from ℓ_p^n to ℓ_q^m :

$$||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^n,\ell_q^m)} = \max_{||u||_{\ell_p^n} = 1} ||Au||_{\ell_q^m}.$$

For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ let $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1}$ be the sequence of all eigenvalues $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(A^T A)$ of the operator $A^T A$ with multiplicities. The *j*-singular value of A is defined as

$$s_j(A) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_j(A^T A)}, & j = 0, \dots, n-1, \\ 0, & j \ge n. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $s_0(A)$ coincides with the operator norm $||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^m)}$. The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is defined as

$$||A||_{HS} := \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j(A)^2}.$$
(3)

If $(a_{ij})_{i=1}^{m}, j=1}^{n}$ is the matrix representing A then

$$||A||_{HS} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2}.$$
(4)

For an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(A) = k$, one has $s_j(A) = 0$ for all $j \geq k$. Consequently,

$$||A||_{HS} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_j(A)^2} \le \sqrt{k} \cdot s_0(A) = \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(A)} \cdot ||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^m)}$$
(5)

The following reformulation of Grothendieck's theorem [2] is a special case of Theorem 2.1 in [3], where the compact sets S and T are finite.

Theorem (see [3]). Let $(a_{ij})_{i=1}^{m}, _{j=1}^{n}$ be an $m \times n$ real matrix. Then, there exist vectors $\Lambda_0 = (\lambda_1^0, \ldots, \lambda_m^0)$ and $\Lambda_1 = (\lambda_1^1, \ldots, \lambda_n^1)$ with non-negative entries $\lambda_i^0 \geq 0$, $\lambda_i^1 \geq 0$ and Euclidean norms bounded by 1, $\|\Lambda_0\|_{\ell_2^m} \leq 1$, $\|\Lambda_1\|_{\ell_2^n} \leq 1$, and there exists an $m \times n$ matrix B such that

$$A = D_{\Lambda_0} B D_{\Lambda_1} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n,\ell_2^m)} \le K \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n,\ell_1^m)} \tag{7}$$

where K is an absolute constant.

The smallest value of the constant K is called Grothendieck's constant and is denoted by K_G . Its exact value is still unknown, Grothendieck himself proved that $\pi/2 \leq K_G \leq \sinh(\pi/2)$. The following consequence of Grothendieck's inequality is well known to the experts.

Lemma 1. For any $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a vector with strictly positive coordinates $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\|\Lambda\|_{\ell_2^n} \leq 1$ and

$$\|D_{\Lambda}^{-1}AD_{\Lambda}^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{2}^{n},\ell_{2}^{n})} \leq 3K_{G} \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{n},\ell_{1}^{n})}.$$
(8)

Proof. Let $\Lambda_0 = (\lambda_1^0, \ldots, \lambda_n^0)$, $\Lambda_1 = (\lambda_1^1, \ldots, \lambda_n^1)$ and B be the vectors and matrix from Grothendieck's theorem. Let us define

$$\lambda_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n}} + \max\{\lambda_i^0, \lambda_i^1\} \right) > 0.$$

Then, for $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, it is clear that $\|\Lambda\|_{\ell_2^n} \leq 1$ and that $\|D_{\Lambda}^{-1}D_{\Lambda_j}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^n)} \leq \sqrt{3}$. Inequality (8) easily follows.

The following result provides an asymptotically sharp bound for the constant c(n) from (2).

Theorem 2. For any $N \times N$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |a_{ij}| \le 3K_G \cdot \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}A} \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^N, \ell_1^N)}.$$
(9)

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ be the vector from Lemma 1 corresponding to the matrix A. Denoting $B := D_{\Lambda}^{-1} A D_{\Lambda}^{-1}$, $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} a_{ij}$, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |a_{ij}| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |b_{ij}| \cdot |\lambda_i \lambda_j|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |b_{ij}| \cdot |\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|B\|_{HS},$$

and by (5) one has $||B||_{HS} \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}B} \cdot ||B||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^N, \ell_2^N)}$. Since *B* is a multiplication of *A* by invertible matrices, $\operatorname{rank}B = \operatorname{rank}A$. Moreover, by Lemma 1 the $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^N, \ell_2^N)$ -norm of *B* is bounded by $3K_G \cdot ||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^N, \ell_1^N)}$. Overall we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |a_{ij}| \le \|B\|_{HS} \le \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}B} \cdot \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^N, \ell_2^N)} \le \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}A} \cdot 3K_G \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^N, \ell_1^N)}$$

The following example shows that the dependence on rank A in Theorem 2 is sharp.

Example 3. Let $k \leq N$ and take

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} U_{k \times k} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

where $U = (u_{ij})_{ij}$ is a $k \times k$ orthogonal matrix whose entries satisfy

$$|u_{ij}| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}.\tag{10}$$

For example, when k = 2m, one can consider the following matrix, related to the discrete Fourier transform, which is given in a block form by

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\frac{2\pi j\ell}{m}) & -\sin(\frac{2\pi j\ell}{m}) \\ \sin(\frac{2\pi j\ell}{m}) & \cos(\frac{2\pi j\ell}{m}) \end{bmatrix} \right)_{j,\ell=1}^{m}.$$

The above matrix satisfies condition (10) for $C = \sqrt{2}$.

For any such A, the orthogonality of U implies that $||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{N}, \ell_{1}^{N})} \leq k$ and $\sum_{j,\ell=1}^{N} a_{j\ell}^{2} = k$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^{N} |a_{j\ell}| \ge \frac{\sum_{j,\ell=1}^{N} a_{j\ell}^2}{\max_{j,\ell} |a_{j\ell}|} \ge \frac{k\sqrt{k}}{C} \ge \frac{1}{C} \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{N},\ell_{1}^{N})} \cdot \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}A},$$

where the middle inequality follows from the assumption (10).

Corollary 4. For any finite collection of vectors v_1, \ldots, v_N in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} |\langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle| \le 3K_G \cdot \sqrt{2n} \cdot \max_{|t_i|, |s_j| \le 1} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i v_i, J \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j v_j \right\rangle.$$
(11)

Proof. Consider the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$ defined by $a_{ij} := \langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle$. Then, rank $A \leq 2n$ and

$$||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{N},\ell_{1}^{N})} = \max_{|t_{i}|,|s_{j}|\leq 1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} t_{i}s_{j} \langle v_{i}, Jv_{j} \rangle.$$

Applying Theorem 2 to the matrix A gives the desired inequality.

The next result gives an upper-bound for the infimum of the sum of norms of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ under the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$, by means of the rank and the $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^N, \ell_1^N)$ -norm of the matrix $(\langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N$. We remark that this matrix is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ on the vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^N$ (as follows easily from (1)).

Theorem 5. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and consider the $N \times N$ matrix defined by $A := (\langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N$. Then,

$$\inf_{S \in \text{Sp}(2n)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Sv_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \right)^2 \le 3K_G \cdot \text{rank}A \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^N, \ell_1^N)}.$$
(12)

Proof. By homogeneity, we may assume that $||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{N},\ell_{1}^{N})} \leq 1$. Denoting by V the $2n \times N$ matrix whose columns are the vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$, we can write

$$A = V^T J_{2n} V. (13)$$

We split the proof into cases, with respect to the rank of A:

Case 1: Assume that rank A = 2n, then V must be of full rank, which means that the vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^N$ span \mathbb{R}^{2n} . By Lemma 1, there exists a vector $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\lambda_i > 0$ for all i, $\|\Lambda\|_{\ell_2^N} \leq 1$, and such that the matrix $B := D_{\Lambda}^{-1}AD_{\Lambda}^{-1}$ satisfies $\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^N, \ell_2^N)} \leq 3K_G$. Since Jis an anti-symmetric operator, it follows from (13) that

$$B^T = D_\Lambda^{-1} V^T J_{2n}^T V D_\Lambda^{-1} = -B,$$

namely, B is an anti-symmetric matrix of rank 2n. By the spectral theorem there exists a $2n \times N$ matrix Q, which is a part of an $N \times N$ orthogonal matrix, such that $B = Q^T R Q$, where R is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix of the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu_1 & & \\ \mu_1 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & -\mu_n \\ & & & \mu_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $0 < \mu_i \leq 3K_G$. Denoting

$$M := (\sqrt{\mu_1}, \sqrt{\mu_1}, \sqrt{\mu_2}, \sqrt{\mu_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\mu_n}, \sqrt{\mu_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

we have $R = D_M R_0 D_M$ where

$$R_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and is equal, up to a change of order of the basis elements, to J. Namely, $R_0 = P^T J P$, where P is a permutation matrix. We conclude that

$$A = D_{\Lambda} B D_{\Lambda} = W^T J W,$$

where W is the $2n \times N$ matrix defined by

$$W := P \cdot D_M \cdot Q \cdot D_\Lambda$$

By (13) we obtain

$$V^T J V = A = W^T J W. (14)$$

Let us show that ker $V = \ker W$. Indeed, $v \in \ker V$ if and only if for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\langle u, Vv \rangle = 0$. Since J is invertible, this is equivalent to $\langle u, JVv \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Moreover, V is of full rank and so its image is \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Therefore, the latter condition is equivalent to $\langle w, V^T JVv \rangle = \langle Vw, JVv \rangle = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We conclude that ker $V = \ker V^T JV$. Arguing the same for W and using (14) yields ker $V = \ker W$.

Now, as both matrices V, W are of full rank and have the same kernel, there exists a $2n \times 2n$ matrix S such that

$$W = SV.$$

Plugging this back in (14) yields

$$V^T S^T J S V = V^T J V,$$

which implies (since V is of full rank) that $S^T J S = J$ and hence $S \in \text{Sp}(2n)$. Finally, let us bound the sum of norms of the vectors $\{Sv_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Denoting by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^N , we have $Sv_i = We_i$. In addition,

$$\begin{split} \|We_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} &= \|P \cdot D_M \cdot Q \cdot D_\Lambda e_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \\ &\leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^{2n}, \ell_2^{2n})} \cdot \|D_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^{2n}, \ell_2^{2n})} \cdot \|Qe_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \cdot |\lambda_i|. \end{split}$$

Since P is an orthogonal matrix, $||P||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^{2n}, \ell_2^{2n})} = 1$. In addition,

$$||D_M||_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_2^{2n},\ell_2^{2n})} = \max_i \sqrt{\mu_i} \le \sqrt{3K_G}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Sv_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} &\leq \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Qe_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \cdot |\lambda_i| \\ &\leq \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Qe_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Qe_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \sqrt{2n}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that Q is a part of an $N \times N$ orthogonal matrix. Since $2n = \operatorname{rank} A$, this concludes the proof of the theorem for this case.

Case 2: Assume that rankA < 2n. Set $E := \operatorname{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Denote by ℓ the dimension of the kernel of the restriction of the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, J \cdot \rangle$ to E. By a well known fact from symplectic linear algebra, $\dim E - \ell =: 2k$ is even, we have $k + \ell \leq n$, and moreover there exists a linear symplectic matrix $T \in \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ such that $T(E) = \operatorname{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+k+\ell}\}$. Since both sides of the inequality (5) are invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ on vectors v_1, \ldots, v_N , we may assume without loss of generality that

$$E = span\{e_1, \ldots, e_k, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+k+\ell}\}.$$

Denote

$$E_{0} = span\{e_{1}, \dots, e_{k}, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+k}\},\$$

$$E_{1} = span\{e_{n+k+1}, \dots, e_{n+k+\ell}\},\$$

$$E_{2} = span\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{k+\ell}\},\$$

$$E_{3} = span\{e_{k+\ell+1}, \dots, e_{n}, e_{n+k+\ell+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\}.$$

We have the orthogonal decompositions $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3$. Consider the orthogonal projections $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to E_i$ for i = 0, 1, 2, 3. For any $u, v \in E$ we have

$$\langle v, Ju \rangle = \langle \pi_0 v, J\pi_0 u \rangle$$

Therefore, the matrix A defined by $a_{ij} = \langle v_i, Jv_j \rangle$ does not change when we replace $\{v_i\}$ by $\{\pi_0 v_i\}$. Thus

$$\operatorname{rank} A = \operatorname{rank} \langle \cdot, J \cdot \rangle |_{E_0} = \dim E_0 = 2k$$

and we may apply Case 1 to the vectors $\{\pi_0 v_i\}$ in $(E_0, J|_{E_0})$. We conclude that there exists a matrix $S_0 : E_0 \to E_0$ such that $S_0^T J|_{E_0} S_0 = J|_{E_0}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|S_0 \pi_0 v_i\|_{\ell_2^{2k}} \le \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \sqrt{2k}.$$

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the operator

$$S_{\varepsilon} := S_0 \pi_0 + \varepsilon \pi_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \pi_2 + \pi_3.$$

One can check that S_{ε} satisfies relation (1) and therefore belongs to $\operatorname{Sp}(2n)$. Finally, the sum of norms of $\{S_{\varepsilon}v_i\}$ is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|S_{\varepsilon}v_{i}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|S_{\varepsilon}\pi_{0}v_{i} + S_{\varepsilon}\pi_{1}v_{i}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|S_{0}\pi_{0}v_{i}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{1}v_{i}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3K_{G}} \cdot \sqrt{2k} + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|v_{i}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}}. \end{split}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ we conclude that

$$\inf_{S \in \text{Sp}(2n)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|Sv_i\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \le \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \sqrt{2k} = \sqrt{3K_G} \cdot \sqrt{\text{rank}A}.$$

Example 6. Let us show that for any $k \leq 2n$ there exist vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with dim $span\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \leq k$ such that for any matrix $S \in Sp(2n)$,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|Sv_{j}\|_{\ell_{2}^{2n}}\right)^{2} \ge C \cdot k \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\infty}^{N}, \ell_{1}^{N})},$$

where $A := (\langle v_i, J_{2n}v_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N$. Notice that it is enough to consider the case where k = 2m is even. In this case, take the vectors v_j to be zero for j > k = 2m and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{2m}\} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+m}\}$. The corresponding matrix is

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} J_{2m} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

and $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|v_j\|_{\ell_2^{2n}}\right)^2 = k^2 = \operatorname{rank} A \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^N, \ell_1^N)}$. Let $S \in \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ and set $w_j := Sv_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. Denote by W the $2n \times N$ matrix whose columns are the vectors w_j . Then, it follows from (1) that $A = W^T J_{2n} W$. Let \tilde{W} be the $2n \times 2m$ matrix whose columns are the first 2m columns of W, then $\tilde{W}^T J_{2n} \tilde{W} = J_{2m}$.

Given a $k \times \ell$ matrix P whose columns are the vectors $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote by $\Pi(P) := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \|p_i\|_{\ell_2^k}$ the product of the Euclidean norms of the columns of P. A generalized version of Hadamard's inequality states that for any pair of $k \times \ell$ matrices P and Q, the determinant of $P^T Q$ is bounded by the product of ℓ_2 -norms of the columns of P and Q, $\det(P^T Q) \leq \Pi(P) \cdot \Pi(Q)$. Applying this to $P = \tilde{W}, \ Q = J_{2n}\tilde{W}$, we have

$$1 = \det(J_{2m}) = \det(\tilde{W}^T \cdot J_{2n}\tilde{W}) \le \Pi(\tilde{W}) \cdot \Pi(J_{2n}\tilde{W}) = \Pi(\tilde{W})^2.$$

Using the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means we conclude that

$$1 \le \prod_{j=1}^{2m} \|w_j\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \le \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \|w_j\|_{\ell_2^{2n}},$$

and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|w_j\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \|w_j\|_{\ell_2^{2n}} \ge 2m = k = \sqrt{\operatorname{rank} A \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^N, \ell_1^N)}}.$$

Remark 7. In the above example we actually proved the following stronger statement: For any collection of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ that satisfy

$$V^T J_{2n} V = \left(\begin{array}{cc} J_{2m} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) =: A$$

for some $m \leq n$, where V is the matrix whose columns are $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^N$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|v_j\|_{\ell_2^N} \ge 2m = \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(A) \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^N, \ell_1^N)}}.$$
(15)

Acknowledgements.

The authors are grateful to the Creator for giving them the understanding presented in this paper. We also thank Lev Buhovsky for useful discussions and comments. Shira Tanny extends her special thanks to Lev Buhovsky and Leonid Polterovich for their mentorship and guidance.

S.T. was partially supported by ISF Grant 2026/17 and by the Levtzion Scholarship.

References

- L. Buhovsky, A. Logunov, and S. Tanny. Poisson brackets of partitions of unity on surfaces. accepted to Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 2019.
- [2] A. Grothendieck. *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques.* Soc. de Matemática de São Paulo, 1956.
- [3] G. Pisier. Grothendiecks theorem, past and present. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 49(2):237–323, 2012.

Efim Gluskin,

School of Mathematical Sciences Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978 Israel E-mail: gluskin@tauex.tau.ac.il

Shira Tanny,

School of Mathematical Sciences Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978 Israel E-mail: tanny.shira@gmail.com