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A cork is a smooth, contractible, oriented, compact 4-manifold $W$ together with a self-diffeomorphism $f$ of the boundary 3-manifold that cannot extend to a self-diffeomorphism of $W$; the cork is said to be strong if $f$ cannot extend to a self-diffeomorphism of any smooth integer homology ball bounded by $\partial W$. Surprising recent work of Dai, Hedden, and Mallick showed that most of the well-known corks in the literature are strong. We construct the first non-strong corks, which also give rise to new examples of absolutely exotic Mazur manifolds. Additionally we give the first examples of corks where the diffeomorphism of $\partial W$ can be taken to be orientation-reversing.

A cork is a smooth, contractible, oriented, compact 4-manifold $W$ together with a self-diffeomorphism $f$ of the boundary 3-manifold that cannot extend to a self-diffeomorphism of $W$. Corks are of particular interest in 4-manifold topology because any pair of simply connected exotic 4-manifolds $X$ and $X'$ (i.e. manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic) can be related by removing an embedded cork $W$ from $X$ and regluing it via $f$ to obtain $X'$ [4, 10]. Since the pursuit of new exotic phenomena is a primary goal of 4-manifold topology, so too is the search for new phenomena in the theory of corks.

A cork $(W, f)$ is said to be strong if $f$ cannot be extended across any integer homology ball that $\partial W$ might bound. Extending a 3-manifold self-diffeomorphism over a 4-manifold it bounds depends inherently on the topology of the particular 4-manifold. It is therefore surprising that many of the corks in the existing literature turn out to be strong; Lin, Ruberman, and Saveliev originally showed that the Akbulut cork is strong [9], and Dai, Hedden, and Mallick recently exhibited families of strong corks which encompass most of the corks in the literature [5]. In light of this, Dai, Hedden, and Mallick ask if every cork is strong [5, Question 1.14], expecting a negative answer.

**Theorem 1** Not all corks are strong.

In the proof of Theorem 1, we give a straightforward recipe for building a non-strong cork out of any reasonably nice Mazur-type manifold you already have on hand. Notably, our proof requires no new obstructive calculations. We then present an explicit family of examples in Figure 2; these corks have some additional interesting properties we record as Theorem 2. To state the theorem, we recall that a contractible smooth 4-manifold is *Mazur-type* if it can be described with a single 0-, 1-, and 2-handle; these are the simplest compact, contractible, smooth 4-manifolds other than $B^4$. 

Figure 1: (Left) The Akbulut cork, which is strong. (Right) A cork that is not strong.

**Theorem 2** For integers $|n| \gg 0$, the 4-manifolds $W_n$ and $W'_n$ in Figure 2 are (absolutely) exotic Mazur-type manifolds, and the indicated boundary involution $f$ extends to a diffeomorphism of $W_n$ but not to a diffeomorphism of $W'_n$.

The methods we develop in the proof of Theorem 1 can be naturally modified to produce corks exhibiting other new phenomena:

**Theorem 3** There exist infinitely many contractible, oriented 4-manifolds $W$ such that $W$ is homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to $-W$.

If such a 4-manifold $W$ is embedded in a closed, oriented 4-manifold $X$, then one can produce a potentially exotic copy of $X$ by cutting out $W$, reversing its orientation, and regluing $W$ along the orientation-reversing boundary diffeomorphism. We hope that the question of whether such a twist can indeed produce an exotic copy of $X$ (and what special properties such an exotic pair might have) will prompt further study of these orientation-reversing corks.

The proofs of all three theorems are technically extremely straightforward once the reader is equipped with our recipes and any reasonably nice Mazur manifold, defined as follows: Let $L$ be a 2-component link of unknots with linking number one. By viewing one component as a dotted circle and the other as the attaching curve of a zero-framed 2-handle, we obtain a handle diagram for a Mazur-type manifold. We say that a Mazur manifold $C$ is reasonably nice if it admits such a handle diagram in which the meridian of the dotted circle defines a knot $\mu \subset \partial C$ that does not bound a smoothly embedded disk in $C$. The Akbulut cork [1], presented on the left in Figure 1, is reasonably nice [2], as are many other Mazur manifolds in the literature.

**Proof of Theorem 1** Choose any reasonably nice Mazur manifold $C$ with a diagram as above; label the dotted unknot $j$ and the 2-handle curve $h$. Draw this diagram on the left side of the page, and let $C'$ be a second copy of $C$ (with corresponding dotted unknot $j'$ and 2-handle curve $h'$), drawn on the right side of the page by rotating the diagram for $C$ by $180^\circ$ through the vertical line down the center of the page. Then modify the linking between the 2-handles of $C$ and $C'$ in any manner you like so long as your diagram
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Figure 2: Contractible 4-manifolds $W_n$ (left) and $W'_n$ (right). Boxes denote full twists.

retains this rotational symmetry. You are, however, forbidden from introducing any new geometric linking between any 1-handle and 2-handle. If desired, you may do nothing at all and continue on with $C \sharp C'$. This is your first contractible 4-manifold, denoted $W$, and it admits a smooth involution $F$ arising from the obvious symmetry of its diagram.

Observe that $Y = \partial W$ bounds another contractible 4-manifold $W'$ obtained by reversing the roles of the 1- and 2-handle curves in $C'$, i.e. putting a dot on $h'$ and letting $j'$ represent a zero-framed 2-handle. We claim the involution $f = F|_{\partial W} : Y \to Y$ does not extend to a diffeomorphism of $W'$, hence that $(W', f)$ is a non-strong cork. (Note that $f$ extends to a homeomorphism of the contractible 4-manifold $W'$ by work of Freedman [6].)

Let $\mu \subset Y$ denote the meridian of the 1-handle curve $j$ in $C \subset W'$. Since $f(\mu)$ is represented by the meridian of the 2-handle $j'$, $f(\mu)$ is slice in $W'$. If $f$ were to extend over $W'$, then $\mu$ would also have to be smoothly slice in $W'$. To show that this is not the case, consider the 4-manifold $X$ whose diagram is obtained from that of $W'$ by erasing the dotted circle $h'$ on the right side of the page. Note that $W'$ is obtained from $X$ by carving out a slice disk represented by the dotted circle $h'$, and hence that $W'$ embeds in $X$. Therefore if $\mu$ is slice in $W'$ then the curve in $\partial X$ represented by $\mu$ in the diagram (which we’ll abusively still call $\mu$) is slice in $X$. Observe also that $X$ is obtained from $C$ by attaching a zero-framed 2-handle along $j'$. By construction, $j'$ is an unknot split from the handle diagram of $C$, so any disk passing over this 2-handle can be replaced with one disjoint from it. Therefore if $\mu$ is slice in $X$, then $\mu$ is slice in $C$, a contradiction.

**Remark** This recipe can be modified to the reader’s taste; for example, it is possible to use a more complicated base cork $C$ or to produce a higher-order boundary diffeomorphism.

**Proof of Theorem 2** For all integers $n$, the manifolds $W_n$ and $W'_n$ in Figure 2 are produced via the recipe outlined above, so the obvious boundary involution $f$ extends smoothly over $W_n$ and not over $W'_n$. Thus it remains to show that $W_n$ and $W'_n$ are both Mazur-type for all $n$, and that the pair are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic for $|n| \gg 0$.

To see that $W'_n$ is Mazur-type, observe that the 2-component link $h \cup h'$ is a Hopf link, thus the corresponding 1- and 2-handles form a canceling pair. To see that $W_n$ is Mazur-type,
first perform the handle slide of $h$ indicated by the orange arrow. In the new diagram, this modified 2-handle and the 1-handle represented by $j$ form a canceling pair.

Observe that $\partial W_n$ and $\partial W'_n$ are diffeomorphic, each identified with the 3-manifold $Y_n$ obtained by performing zero-framed Dehn surgery on all four link components in the diagram of $W_n$ or $W'_n$. Since $W_n$ and $W'_n$ are contractible 4-manifolds with the same boundary, they are homeomorphic by work of Freedman [6]. For $|n| \gg 0$, we will show that there are exactly two self-diffeomorphisms of $Y_n$ up to isotopy, namely $f$ and the identity. Assuming this for the moment, we show that $W_n$ and $W'_n$ (for $|n| \gg 0$) are not diffeomorphic: To the contrary, suppose there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi$ of $Y_n$ extending to a diffeomorphism from $W'_n$ to $W_n$. Since $f$ extends over $W_n$, the composition $\phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$ extends to a diffeomorphism of $W'_n$. However, $\phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$ cannot be isotopic to the identity (because the isotopy class of the identity is preserved under conjugation), so it must be isotopic to $f$. This implies that $f$ extends to a diffeomorphism of $W'_n$, a contradiction.

It remains to show that, for $|n| \gg 0$, there are exactly two self-diffeomorphisms of $Y_n$ up to isotopy, namely $f$ and the identity. Since $f$ fails to extend to a diffeomorphism of $W'_n$, it is not isotopic to the identity. So it suffices to show that the mapping class group of $Y_n$ has no more than two elements. To do so, we realize $Y_n$ as $-1/2n$-surgery on the knot $\gamma \subset Y_0$ depicted in Figure 2. Using SnapPy [3] and Sage [12], we verify that $\gamma \subset Y_0$ has hyperbolic exterior; all computer calculations for this proof are documented in [7]. For large $|n|$, Thurston’s hyperbolic Dehn surgery theorem [13] ensures that the Dehn-filled 3-manifold $Y_n$ is hyperbolic and that the core $\tilde{\gamma} \subset Y_n$ of the surgered solid torus is the unique shortest closed geodesic in $Y_n$. By Mostow rigidity [11], the mapping class group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold is isomorphic to its isometry group. As in [8, §5], we note that any isometry of $Y_n$ fixes the short geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}$ setwise, hence $\text{Isom}(Y_n)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(Y_n \setminus \tilde{\gamma})$. Since the hyperbolic 3-manifolds $Y_n \setminus \tilde{\gamma}$ and $Y_0 \setminus \gamma$ are naturally identified, we have $\text{Isom}(Y_n \setminus \tilde{\gamma}) \cong \text{Isom}(Y_0 \setminus \gamma)$. Using [3, 12], we calculate $\text{Isom}(Y_0 \setminus \gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, so we conclude that $\text{Isom}(Y_n)$ contains at most (indeed, exactly) two elements. □

Remark For $|n| \gg 0$, the exotic 4-manifolds $W_n$ and $W'_n$ have qualitatively distinct diffeomorphism groups: All diffeomorphisms of $\partial W_n$ extend to diffeomorphisms of $W_n$, whereas a diffeomorphism of $\partial W'_n$ extends over $W'_n$ only if it is isotopic to the identity.

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $W_n$ and its mirror $-W_n$ be the contractible 4-manifolds depicted in Figure 3, with $Y_n$ and $-Y_n$ denoting their boundaries. We will begin by demonstrating that for any integer $n$, there is a diffeomorphism $f$ from $Y_n$ to $-Y_n$ that extends to a homeomorphism from $W_n$ to $-W_n$. We will then show that for all $n$, $f$ does not extend to a diffeomorphism from $W_n$ to $-W_n$, and that for $|n|$ sufficiently large, $W_n$ is not diffeomorphic to $-W_n$.

Observe that $Y_n$ has a surgery diagram given by the framed link shown in Figure 4 (where the curves $\ell_1$ and $\ell_2$ may be ignored for the moment). Rotating this diagram by $180^\circ$
through its center carries the framed link to its mirror, which is a surgery diagram for $-Y_n$. This isotopy of framed links induces a diffeomorphism of 3-manifolds $f : Y_n \to -Y_n$. To extend $f$ to a homeomorphism $W_n \to -W_n$, we rotate the diagram of $W_n$ by $180^\circ$ through its center and then reverse the roles of the black 1- and 2-handle curves, yielding the diagram for $-W_n$. The latter operation corresponds to cork-twisting embedded copies of both the Akbulut cork and its mirror, which induces a homeomorphism supported away from the boundary and thus provides the desired extension of $f$ to a homeomorphism $W_n \to -W_n$.

Now we’ll argue that $f$ does not extend to a diffeomorphism. Consider the curves $\mu \subset Y_n$ and $f(\mu) \subset -Y_n$ marked in Figure 3. Observe that $f(\mu)$ bounds a smoothly embedded disk in $-W_n$. Therefore, if $f$ were to extend smoothly, $\mu$ would have to bound a disk in $W_n$. To show that this is not the case, consider the 4-manifold $X_n$ whose diagram is obtained from that of $W_n$ by erasing the black dotted 1-handle on the right side of $W_n$. Note that $W_n$ is obtained from $X_n$ by carving out a slice disk represented by that dotted circle, and hence that $W_n$ embeds in $X_n$. Therefore, if $\mu$ is slice in $W_n$, then the curve represented by $\mu$ (abusively still called $\mu$) in $\partial X_n$ is slice in $X_n$. Observe now that $X_n$ is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum of the Akbulut cork with $S^2 \times D^2$. Therefore, as argued in the proof of Theorem 1, if $\mu$ is slice in $X_n$, then $\mu$ is slice in the Akbulut cork. Thus $\mu$ is not slice in $W_n$, so $f$ does not extend to a diffeomorphism.

It remains to show that for sufficiently large $|n|$, $W_n$ is not diffeomorphic to $-W_n$. Observe that any such diffeomorphism must restrict to an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\phi : Y_n \to -Y_n$ on the boundary, which can also be viewed as an orientation-reversing self-diffeomorphism of $Y_n$. We will show that, for sufficiently large $|n|$, every orientation-reversing self-diffeomorphism of $Y_n$ is isotopic to the self-diffeomorphism $f$ defined above. Since $f$ cannot be smoothly extended, there can be no diffeomorphism $W_n \to -W_n$.

We will again classify the mapping class group of $Y_n$ for $|n| \gg 0$, using an argument nearly identical to the one in the proof of Theorem 2. First observe that $Y_n$ is obtained from $Y_0$ by $\pm 1/n$-surgery on the link $\ell = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2$ in $Y_0$ depicted in Figure 4. Using SnapPy [3] and
Sage [12], we verify that $\ell \subset Y_0$ has hyperbolic exterior; as above, computer calculations for this proof are documented in [7]. For large $|n|$, Thurston’s hyperbolic Dehn surgery theorem [13] ensures that the Dehn-filled 3-manifold $Y_n$ is hyperbolic and that the cores $\tilde{\ell} \subset Y_n$ of the surgered solid tori are the two shortest closed geodesics in $Y_n$. By Mostow rigidity [11], the mapping class group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold is isomorphic to its isometry group. As in [8, §5], we note that any isometry of $Y_n$ fixes the pair of short geodesics $\tilde{\ell}$ setwise, hence $\text{Isom}(Y_n)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(Y_n \setminus \tilde{\ell})$. Since the hyperbolic 3-manifolds $Y_n \setminus \tilde{\ell}$ and $Y_0 \setminus \ell$ are naturally identified, we have $\text{Isom}(Y_n \setminus \tilde{\ell}) \cong \text{Isom}(Y_0 \setminus \ell)$. Using [3, 12], we calculate $\text{Isom}(Y_0 \setminus \ell) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, so we conclude that $\text{Isom}(Y_n)$ contains at most two elements. Indeed it has exactly two: the identity and $f$ (which is orientation-reversing and hence distinct from the identity). Thus, as claimed, $f$ is the only orientation-reversing self-diffeomorphism of $Y_n$. 

\[\blacksquare\]
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