High pressure effects on the intermetallic superconductor Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}

C. Reyes-Damián, F. Morales, Esmeralda Martínez-Piñeiro, and Roberto Escudero Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, 04510 México (Dated:)

This work reports superconductivity studies in the intermetallic $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ performed in normal conditions and under hydrostatic pressure. The crystal structure of the compound has a body centered cubic at room temperature and atmospheric pressure as unstable β -Ti phase. X-Ray diffraction pattern shows space group $Im\bar{3}m$ with parameter a=3.2226(4) Å and density around 5.6242 g/cm³. The superconducting transition temperature, $T_C=3.7$ K was determined from resistance, magnetization, and specific heat measurements. The two critical magnetic fields, the coherence length, Ginzburg-Landau parameter, London penetration depth, the superconducting energy gap, the Debye temperature, the electron-phonon coupling constant and density of states at the Fermi level were calculated. These parameters were obtained at ambient pressure. Under hydrostatic pressure, the magnetic susceptibility measurements show a small increment on T_C , the maximum $T_C=3.83$ K was obtained at the maximum applied pressure of 1.03 GPa. The slope calculated for T_C as a linear function of pressure was about 0.14 K/GPa, possibly associated with an increase in the electronic density of states.

INTRODUCTION

Palladium and titanium are part of many superconductor compounds at low temperatures (10 K <). Some compounds are binary as Ti-Pd, Ti-Mo, Zr-Pd [1], Ti-FM (FM=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni) [2], Pd-Te [3–5] and Bi-Pd [6]. Some materials has been studied with high pressure experiments, for example, external pressure on β -PdBi₂ supresses the superconducting transition [7, 8].

In this research, we were focused in Ti-Pd system. The superconducting studies in these alloys were started 37 years ago. The studies at that time showed an incomplete characterization of the material. Many compositions were attempted, some of the initial compounds were carried out compositions as $Ti_{1-x}Pd_x$ in thin foils with concentrations in the range 7-26% atomic percent of Pd. These samples studied presented transition temperatures between 1.66 K for Ti_{0.74}Pd_{0.26} and 3.7 K for Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}, all samples had body-centered-cubic (bcc) structure, as β -Ti phase, with lattice parameter a around 3.2 Å [1]. Due to the maximum T_C for Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15} other studies were made [9]. This alloy was synthesized using a modified piston-anvil technique. In this work was reported a transition temperature $T_C = 3.82$ K higher than 3.7 K [1]. The upper critical field was determined using the Maki parameter α [11, 12] and the spin-orbit scattering parameter $\lambda_{s.o.}$ of the Werthamer-Helfald-Hohenberg (WHH) theory [10]. The Debye temperature (θ_D) was approximated with the value for Ti_{0.85}Mo_{0.15} as 295 K, and electron-phonon coupling constant λ_{e-ph} =0.67 and densities of states at the Fermi level $N(E_F)=0.53 \frac{atates}{eVatom}$ were calculated.

Other studies in Ti-Pd alloys were performed in China in the same year, but in different compositions and in bulk samples. These samples were synthesized by arc melting. Only the samples with concentrations 0-29 atomic percent of Pd presented superconductiv-

ity [13]. Specific heat studies performed in $\text{Ti}_{0.8}\text{Pd}_{0.2}$ and $\text{Ti}_{0.92}\text{Pd}_{0.08}$ showed transition tempertures of 3.67 and 3.65 K respectively, values for θ_D , electronic and phononic heat capacity coefficients (γ and β), λ_{e-ph} , and $\text{N}(\text{E}_F)$ were reported and compared with pure titanium values[14]. The difference on the critical temperatures was associated to higher $\text{N}(\text{E}_F)$ for alloys than $\text{N}(\text{E}_F)$ of pure Ti.

 $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ is the Ti-Pd alloy that shows the higher T_C [1] among these alloys, however, its superconducting characteristics are studied scarcely. In this work we present a more complete characterization of this superconducting alloy. The studies include electric measurements, magnetic measurements, specific heat measurements and high pressure measurements. From magnetic and electric measurements we determined the magnetic critical fields, H_{C1} and H_{C2} , and Ginzburg-Landau parameters. From the specific heat measurements we determined the superconducting energy gap 2Δ , and normal state parameters as θ_D , γ , β , and the electronic density of states at the Fermi level. The high pressure experiments show that T_C increases as the pressure increases in the pressure range studied.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The analyzed sample with stoichiometry Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15} was prepared in arc furnace under a high purity argon atmosphere. The starting materials were Pd powders (Sigma Aldrich, 99.999%) and Ti pellets (ESPI, 99.97%). The Pd powder was pelletized, whereas the Ti pellets were cut in small pieces. Both, powders and pieces, were introduced into the furnace chamber with an argon atmosphere. The synthesis was performed in several stages and annealed several times, at least three times, in order to obtain optimum homogenized samples. The final samples presents a spherical shape. These samples were

cut with a diamond wheel for easy handling, and powder of the composition was collected.

The crystal structure was determined and analyzed from the X-ray diffraction pattern obtained by the powder method, performed at room temperature in a D5000-Siemens diffractometer with the Bragg-Brentano geometry and CoK_{α} radiation ($\lambda=1.7903$ Å). Rietveld refinement was made with GSAS-II software [15].

The superconducting characteristics were determined from magnetic, resistance, and heat capacity measurements at low temperatures. Magnetic susceptibility of samples $(\chi(T))$ was measured with a magnetic field, H=10 Oe, using the Zero Field Cooling (ZFC) and Field Cooling (FC) protocols. The lower Critical magnetic field H_{C1} was obtained from isothermal magnetization measurements as a function of the magnetic field. We used a Quantum Design magnetometer (MPMS) for these studies.

The electrical resistance as a function of temperature (R(T)) was measured using the four wires configuration in a Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS) at zero magnetic field from room temperature to 2 K. In order to determine the upper critical field H_{C2} , R(T) was measured close to T_C at different magnetic fields.

The specific heat behavior gives information about the bulk superconductivity. In the superconducting state it is possible to obtain microscopic characteristics, as the size of the energy gap (2Δ) , λ_{e-ph} and $N(E_F)$. The specific heat was measured from 30 to 2 K using the thermal relaxation method in the PPMS.

Lastly, $\chi(T)$ measurements at high pressure were performed in the MPMS using a Quantum Design CuBe high pressure cell (HPC) with H=10 Oe.In adittion to the sample a piece of lead was included, as a manometer, into the pressure cell [16]. The $\chi(T)$ analysis for ${\rm Ti}_{0.85}{\rm Pd}_{0.15}$ at high pressure was made subtracting the lead contribution from the values of susceptibility. The Daphne 7373 oil was the pressure transmitting medium because it has not a magnetic contribution [17].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

X-Ray Diffraction and Structural Characterization

Figure 1 shows the experimental X-Ray pattern, and calculated pattern by the Rietveld refinement method. The cell parameter, crystal structure, and atomic positions were taken from the database PDF4 (ICDD) with the entry (01-072-2907), that correspond to $\mathrm{Ti}_{0.8}\mathrm{Pd}_{0.2}$ in order to perform the refinement. The Rietveld refinement gave the following parameters; GOF = 1.207 and $R_{WP} = 12.89\%$, that guarantee the accuracy of the calculus. The crystal structure of $\mathrm{Ti}_{0.85}\mathrm{Pd}_{0.15}$ has cubic symmetry with spatial group $\mathrm{Im}\bar{3}m$, and lattice parameters




FIG. 1. (Color online) X-ray diffraction pattern of $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ (points) measured with CoK_{α} radiation, and Rietveld refinement calculated (solid line). Vertical lines are the reflection positions. And the bottom line is the difference between experimental and calculated patterns

eter a=3.2226(4) Å, this value is in agreement with previous report [1]. It is noteworthy that the lattice parameter, in the Ti-Pd alloys, shows an increment as the Pd increases until a reaches a maximum at 15 at. % Pd, after that, a decreases [1]. The calculated mass density was 5.6242 g/cm^3 .

Superconducting State

 T_C of $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ was determined from magnetic susceptibility measures as a function of temperature. $\chi(T)$ was measured in ZFC and FC modes using 10 Oe as applied magnetic field. The $\chi(T)$ behavior at low temperatures is shown in Fig. 2. There the onset temperature of the superconducting state is observed at 3.7 K.

Also the superconducting transition temperature can be determined from electrical resistance as a function of temperature R(T), T_C was defined as the onset of the transition at T_{Conset}=3.7 K. We defined T _{Czero}=3.5 when R(T) is zero. The difference between these two critical temperatures is the superconducting transition width Δ T=0.2 K.

With isothermal measurements of magnetic susceptibility as a function of magnetic field, we determined the lower critical field values. The magnetic field H was increased from 0 up to 10,000 Oe, as seen in Fig. 4a. In order to determine $H_{C1}(T)$, we used the points where the diamagnetic curve starts to deviate from linearity, for each isothermal. The H_{C1} behavior is shown in the figure 4b, the data was fitted to a parabolic equation; $H_{C1}(T)=H_{C1}(0)[1-(T/T_C)^2]$. From this fitting we deter-




FIG. 2. (Color online) $\chi(T)$ for the superconducting composition ${\rm Ti}_{0.85}{\rm Pd}_{0.15}$ measured at 10 Oe in ZFC and FC modes. The arrow marks the onset temperature at 3.7 K




FIG. 3. Resistance behavior measured without applied magnetic field. The inset shows the behavior at low temperatures, and the arrow marks the onset transition temperature at $3.7~\rm K$

mined $H_{C1}(0)=193$ Oe.

The upper critical fields $H_{C2}(T)$ values were determined from the R(T) curves measured at different applied magnetic field, see Fig. 5a, the applied magnetic field is set as H_{C2} for a given T_{Conset} . The relation between T_{Conset} and H_{C2} is practically linear, as it can be seen in Fig. 5b . $H_{C2}(0)$ was calculated using the approximation of Werthamer-Helfald-Hohenberg (WHH)[10]:

$$\mathbf{H}_{C2}(0) = -0.693 \mathbf{T}_C \left(\frac{\mathbf{dH}_{C2}}{\mathbf{dT}} \right)_{\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_C},$$

where $\left(\frac{dH_{C2}}{dT}\right)_{T=T_C}$ represents the slope of the linear fit of data close to T_C . The calculated value for $H_{C2}(0)$ is 108.7 kOe.

With the values of $H_{C1}(0)$ and $H_{C2}(0)$ we calculated




FIG. 4. **a**(Color online) Magnetization curves as a function of magnetic field (H) at different temperatures, as indicated. **b** H_{C1} was determined at constant temperature as the field where the diamagnetic curve starts to deviate from linearity for each isothermal. $H_{C1}(0)$ was obtained from the parabolic fit (dashed line)

Temperature (K)

the Ginzburg-Landau parameters: the coherence length ξ_{GL} using equation $\xi_{GL} = \sqrt{\Phi_0/2\pi H_{C2}}$ where Φ_0 is the quantum fluxoid, the G-L parameter κ determined with $H_{C1}/H_{C2} \approx ln(\kappa)/2\sqrt{2}\kappa^2$, and the London penetration depth λ_L from the relation $\kappa = \lambda_L/\xi_{GL}$ [17]. The values are in the Table I

Figure 6 shows specific heat (C_P) and electronic contribution to C_P (C_e) for $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ at low temperatures. In order to separate the different contribution to C_P we use the equation, $C_P(T) = \gamma T + \beta T^3$, where γT is C_e and γ is the Sommerfeld constant. The second term βT^3 is the low temperature Debye approximation [18]. We fitted C_P data above T_C (dashed line) and obtained values for $\gamma = 5.98$ mJ/(mol K^2) and $\beta = 0.11$ mJ/(mol K^4). The electronic contribution can be determined subtracting the Debye term from the experimental data. With C_e is possible knows the size of the energy gap, fitting C_e data with $C_e = Ae^{(-\Delta/k_BT)}$ below the maximum of the




FIG. 5. a (Color online) Electrical Resistance as a function of temperature at different applied magnetic fields H. b The transition temperature was taken at the onset for each curve. The upper field, ${\rm H}_{C2}(0)$ was calculated with the WWH approximation using the slope from the linear fit (dashed line)

peak (solid line). The calculated Δ value was 0.295 meV, it gives $2\Delta/k_BT=1.85$ lower than BCS prediction of 3.52 [19]. This ratio indicates that $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ is a superconducting material in the weak coupling electron-phonon regime.

The Debye temperature (θ_D) was calculated from the relation $\beta = 12\pi^4R/5\theta_D^3$, where R is the ideal gas constant, the calculated value of θ_D was 260.5 K. This value is lower than 295 K reported previously [9], however, in this they assume this value has similar value as in the $\text{Ti}_{0.85}\text{Mo}_{0.15}$ alloy. With this consideration, we can not make a fair comparison with the values of λ_{e-ph} and $N(E_F)$ reported for $\text{Ti}_{0.85}\text{Pd}_{0.15}$.

The electron-phonon coupling constant (λ_{e-ph}) can be calculated using the empirical equation proposed by McMillan [20],




FIG. 6. (Color Online) C_p and the electronic contribution C_e as a function of temperature at H=0 Oe were plotted. C_p was fitted above the transition temperature using the equation $C_P = \gamma T + \beta T^3$ in order to obtain γ and β values (dashed line). The energy gap can be calculate using the equation $C_e = Ae^{(-\Delta/k_BT)}$ from C_e (solid line)

$$\lambda_{e-ph} = \frac{1.04 + \mu^* ln(\theta_D/1.45T_C)}{(1 - 0.62\mu^*)ln(\theta_D/1.45T_C) - 1.04}$$

were μ^* is the Coulomb pseudopotential. Using this equation and the values of θ_D and T_C obtained for $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$, presented in Table I, and $\mu^*=0.1$ it was obtained $\lambda_{e-ph}=0.55$, similar to 0.5 reported previously [14]. This value indicates a weak coupling electron-phonon interaction. Lastly, we calculated the $N(E_F)$ value from the relation with γ :

$$N(E_F) = 3\gamma/2\pi^2 k_B^2 (1 + \lambda_{e-ph}).$$

We summarize the determined superconducting and normal parameters in Table I.

The value of κ indicates that $\text{Ti}_{0.85}\text{Pd}_{0.15}$ is a type-II superconductor, and the parameters λ_L and ξ_{GL} are in typical values [21]. The upper critical field was 1.6 times the value reported for $\text{Ti}_{0.85}\text{Pd}_{0.15}$ $\text{H}_{C2}(0)\approx 67$ kOe [9]. The ratio $2\Delta/\text{k}_B\text{T}_C=1.85$ value is related with a superconducting material in the weak coupling electronphonon limit, $\lambda_{e-ph}=0.55$ confirms the weak coupling.

Superconducting State at High Pressure

In the previous section, we reported the superconducting characteristics of $\mathrm{Ti}_{0.85}\mathrm{Pd}_{0.15}$ determined by $\chi(\mathrm{T})$, R(T) and $\mathrm{C}_p(\mathrm{T})$ at atmospheric pressure. Now the hydrostatic pressure effect on T_C can be studied. For this purpose the sample (6.2 mg) and 1 mm of Pb wire (3.1 mg) were introduced in the HPC. From the mgnetization measurement, without applied pressure, the T_C of the sample and Pb were determined as 3.7 K and 7.19

TABLE I. Parameters determined, at zero pressure, for the intermetallic alloy $Ti_{85}Pd_{15}$ in the superconducting and normal state

Composition	T_c	$H_{c1}(0)$	$H_{c2}(0)$	ξ_{GL}	κ	λ_L	Δ						
	K	kOe	kOe	nm		nm	meV		$\frac{mJ}{molK^2}$	$\frac{mJ}{molK^4}$	K		$\frac{states}{eVatom}$
$\mathrm{Ti}_{0.85}\mathrm{Pd}_{0.15}$	3.7	0.193	108.7	5.5	25.38	140	0.295	1.85	5.98	0.11	260.5	0.55	0.82

K, respectively, using H=10 Oe and a small temperature step size (dT ≈ 0.02 K) in ZFC mode. The HPC was compressed, and the pressure on the sample region rise, to determine the pressure value, we use the know change rate dT_C/dP = -0.379 K/GPa for Pb [16]. Fig. 7 shows $\chi(T)$ of Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15} at four different pressure and the reference at P=0 GPa. The inset of Fig. 7 shows an amplification of the data around the transition temperature, there it is evident the pressure effects on T_C.




FIG. 7. (Color online) $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ ZFC measurements at high pressures, as indicated. The inset shows the behavior close the transition temperature

In Fig. 8 is plotted the critical temperature as a function of pressure of $\rm Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$. The dashed line is a linear fit of the data, the slope of this line is $\rm dT_{\it C}/\rm dP=0.14~K/GPa$.

Due to the high concentration of palladium in Pd-Ti alloys, we compared the beavior of T_C at high pressures for $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ with pure Ti phases. The direct comparison could be with β -Ti phase because it has the same bcc crystal structure, but has no studies at high pressure. This phase is unstable and the calculus of his properties at atmospheric pressure are made on $Ti_{1-x}Mo_x$ alloys and extrapolating to zero Mo content [22, 23]. The α -Ti phase has a hexagonal close-packed structure and positive $dT_C/dP = 0.55$ K/GPa, but in a pressure region of 1.5-2.5 GPa, the ratio below 1.5 GPa was almost zero [24]. Another phase with hexagonal structure, ω -Ti has a change rate $dT_C/dP = 0.07$ K/GPa at pressures between 40-60 GPa, with a maximum T_C of 3.35 K at 60




FIG. 8. (Color Online) T_C as a function of pressure was plotted. Dashed line is the linear fit, were the slope is the change rate of T_C with pressure

GPa [25]. Note that these Ti phases show an important increment of T_C at high pressures. This behavior has been interpreted as result of a continuum transference of s electrons to the d electronic band with applied pressure, particularly in α -Ti with a rate 0.002 electros/atom per GPa [25, 26]. The same electronic mechanism has been proposed for vanadium at high pressure. Due to the pressure-induced s-band to d-band transfer, Ti become similar to the nearby element V [27]. It is reasonable that the T_C increment with pressure in $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ could be the same mechanism.

CONCLUSIONS

This work presents the characteristics of the superconductor $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$. The sample is a single phase determined by X-Ray diffraction and Rietveld refinements, the crystal structure is bcc with lattice parameter a=3.2226(4) Å and space group $Im\bar{3}m$. The $Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15}$ alloy has $T_C=3.7$ K and is a type-II superconductor with $H_{C1}=193$ Oe and $H_{C2}=108.7$ kOe. The bulk superconductivity was determined by heat capacity measurement. From heat capacity as a function of temperature were calculated microscopic characteristic as the superconducting energy gap, λ_{e-ph} and $N(E_F)$. The values of $2\Delta/k_BT=1.85$ and $\lambda_{e-ph}=0.55$

indicates that Ti_{0.85}Pd_{0.15} is a superconducting material in the weak coupling electron-phonon regime. From $\chi(T)$ at high pressure, we observed that T_C increases with applied pressure, the change of T_C with pressure is $(\frac{dT_C}{dP}) = 0.14$ K/GPa, between 0 and 1 GPa. This increment on T_C could be due to an increment of N(E_F) with the pressure.

We thanks to A. Bobadilla for helium provisions, to A. Pompa and A. Lopez for helps in software processes. Also to DGAPA-UNAM IT100217, and CONACyT for the scholarship to Carlos Reves-Damin.

- Poon, S.J.: Structural Dependence of the Superconducting Transition Temperature in Liquid-Quenched Alloys Based on the Group IV B Elements. Solid State Commun. 47, 431-434 (1983)
- [2] Matthias, B., Compton, V.B., Shul, H., Corenzwit, E.: Ferromagnetis solutes in Superconductors. Phys. Rev. 115, 1597-1598 (1959)
- [3] Raub, Ch.J., Compton, V.B., Geballe, T.H., Matthias, B.T., Maita, J.P., Hull, G.: The occurrence of superconductivity in sulfides, selenides, tellurides of Pt-group metals. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 26, 2051-2057 (1965)
- [4] Tiwari, B., Goyal, R., Jha, R., Dixit, A., Awana, V.P.S.: PdTe: a 4.5 K type-II BCS superconductor. Supercond. Sci. Technol. 28, 055008 (2015)
- [5] Amit, Singh, Y.: Heat capacity evidence for conventional superconductivity in the type-II Dirac semimetal PdTe₂. Phys. Rev. B 97, 054515 (2018)
- [6] Jha, R., Goyal, R., Neha, P., Maurya, V. K., Srivastava, A.K., Gupta, A., Patnaik, S., Awana, V.P.S: Weak ferromagnetism in a noncentrosymmetric BiPd 4 K superconductor. Supercon. Sci. Tehnol. 29, 025008 (2016)
- [7] Zhao, K., Lv, B., Xue Yu-Yi, Zhu Xi-Yu, Deng, L.Z., Wu, Z., Chu, C.W.: Chemical doping and high-pressure studies of layered β-PdBi₂ single crystals. Phys. Rev. B 92, 174404 (2015)
- [8] Pristáš, G., Orendáč, Mat., Gabáni, S., Kačmarčík, J., Gažo, E., Pribulová, Z., Correa-Orellana, A., Herrera, E., Suderow, H., Samuely, P.: Pressure effect on the superconducting and the normal state of β-Bi₂Pd. Phys. Rev. 115, 174404 (2018)
- [9] Wong, K.M., Cotts E.J., Poon, S.J.: Upper Critical Fields in Liquid-Quenched Metastable Superconductors. Phys. Rev. B 30, 1253-1259 (1984)
- [10] Werthamer, N. ., Helfand, E., Hohenberg, P.C.: Temperature and Purity Dependence of the Superconducting

- Critical Field, H_{C2} . III. Electron Spin and Spin-Orbit Effects. Phys. Rev. **147**, 295-302 (1966)
- [11] Maki, K.: The Magnetic Properties of Superconducting Alloys II. Physics. 1, 127-143 (1964)
- [12] Maki, K.: Effect of Pauli Paramagnetism of Magnetic Properties of High-Field Superconductors. Phys. Rev. 148, 362-369 (1966)
- [13] Luo Qi-guang, Jin Duo, Liu Zhi-yi et al.: The Research of Superconductivity in the Ti-Pd System. Acta Phys. Sin. 32.534-538 (1983)
- [14] Jin Duo, Ma Ming-Rong, Liu Zhi-yi et al.: Specific Heat Measurement for Ti-Pd Alloys. Acta Phys. Sin. 33, 413-418 (1984)
- [15] Toby, B.H., Von Dreele, R.B.: GSAS-II: the genesis of a modern open-source all purpose crystallography software package. J. Appl. Cryst. 46, 544-549 (2013)
- [16] Clark, M.J., Smith T.F.: Pressure Dependence of T_C for Lead. J. Low Tempe. Phys. 32, 405-503 (1978)
- [17] Martnez-Pieiro, E., Escudero, R.: Superconducting Properties of FeSe₀.5Te₀.5 Under High Pressure. J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. 29,891-896 (2016)
- [18] Tari, A.: The specific heat of matter at low temperatures. Imperial College Press, London (2003)
- [19] Bardeen, J., Cooper, L.N., Schrieffer, J. R.: Theory of Superconductivity. Phys. Rev. 108, 59-76 (1957)
- [20] McMillan, W.L.: Transition Temperature of Strong-Coupled Superconductors. Phys. Rev. 167, 331-344 (1967)
- [21] Fickket, F.R.: Standar for Measurament of the Critical Fields of Superconductors. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 90, 95-103 (1985)
- [22] Bakonyi, I., Ebert, H., Lichtenstein, A.I.: Electronic structure and magnetic susceptibility of the different structural modifications of Ti, Zr and Hf metals. Phys. Rev. B 48, 7841-7849 (1993)
- [23] Collings, E.W., Ho, J.C., Jaffee, R.I.: Superconducting Transition Temperature, Lattice Instability, and Electron-to-Atom Ratio in Transition-Metal Binary Solid Solution. Phys. Rev. B 5, 4435-4449 (1972)
- [24] Brant N.B., Ginzburg, N.I.: Effect of High Pressure on the Superconducting Properties of Metals. Sov. Phys. Usp. 8, 202-223 (1965)
- [25] Bashkin, I.O., Tissen, V.G., Nefedova M.V., Ponyatovsky, E.G.: Superconducting temperature of the ω -phase in Ti, Zr and Hf metals at high pressures. Physica C **453**, 12-14 (2007)
- [26] Gyanchandani, J.S., Gupta, S.C., Sikka, S.K., Chidambaram, R.: The equation of state and structural stability of titanium obtained using the linear muffin-tin orbital band-structure method. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 301-305 (1990)
- [27] Hamlin, J.J.: Superconductivity in the metallic elements at high pressures. Physica C 514, 59-76 (2015)