

# Nonsingular Black Holes From Charged Dust Collapse: A Concrete Mechanism to Evade Interior Singularities in General Relativity

Rodrigo Maier\*

*Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física,  
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,  
Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã,  
CEP20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

(Dated: February 1, 2022)

In this essay we examine the gravitational collapse of a nonrelativistic charged perfect fluid interacting with a dark energy component. Given a simple factor for the energy transfer, we obtain a nonsingular interior solution which naturally matches the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter exterior geometry. We also show that the interacting parameter is proportional to the overall charge of the final black hole thus formed. For the case of quasi-extremal configurations, we propose a statistical model for the entropy of the collapsed matter. This entropy extends Bekenstein's geometrical entropy by an additive constant proportional to the area of the extremal black hole.

---

\* rodrigo.maier@uerj.br

Although General Relativity is the most successful theory that currently describes gravitation, it presents intrinsic pathologies when one tries to construct a concrete gravitational collapse model which may be understood as an interior solution of a black hole. Apart from instabilities in the exterior spacetime[1, 2], the existence of singularities within the event horizon is a puzzle which permeates all the four classical black holes solutions in general relativity[3]. Neglecting charge and angular-momentum, the Schwarzschild black hole is the only configuration which allows one to obtain an interior – though singular – solution[4]. All others lack of proper interior solutions and interior singularities are manifested.

The issue of a cosmological constant in black hole physics has been a subject of interest over the last years[5]-[7]. However, from the cosmological point of view it has been shown that an interacting dark energy component may relieve some cosmological tensions of observational data[8]-[13]. In this sense, a question which naturally arises is what would be the consequences of assuming an interacting dark energy in gravitational collapse processes which may engender black holes. This essay is devoted to examine this question.

We start by considering the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g}(R + 2\Lambda_I)d^4x + \int \sqrt{-g}(\mathcal{L}_I + \mathcal{L}_\gamma)d^4x,$$

where  $\kappa^2$  is the Einstein constant,  $g$  is the determinant of a 4-dimensional geometry and  $R$  is the Ricci scalar. We denote  $\Lambda_I$  as a dark energy component which interacts with a nonrelativistic perfect fluid whose lagrangian is given by  $\mathcal{L}_I$ . Finally,  $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$  stands for radiation.

Variations of this action with respect to the metric furnish the field equations subjected to the following Bianchi identities

$$\kappa^2 \nabla_\mu {}^{(I)}T^\mu{}_\nu = Q_\nu, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_\nu \Lambda_I = -Q_\nu, \quad (2)$$

where  ${}^{(I)}T^\mu{}_\nu$  is the energy-momentum tensor which comes from  $\mathcal{L}_I$ .  $Q_\nu$  on the other hand, represents the energy-momentum transfer between dark energy and the nonrelativistic perfect fluid.

Let us now consider a FLRW geometry in comoving coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  given by

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \right]. \quad (3)$$

In the following we shall restrict ourselves to the geodesic scenario[14] so that  $Q^\mu = QV^\mu$ , with  $V^\mu \equiv \delta^\mu_t$ . It can then be shown that equations (1) and (2) furnish

$$\kappa^2 [\dot{\rho}_I + 3H\rho_I] = Q, \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{\Lambda}_I = -Q, \quad (5)$$

In order to solve the dynamics we further assume the simple form for the energy transfer

$$Q = \xi(\Lambda_I - \Lambda_0)H, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  is the usual cosmological constant and  $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ . In this case, from (4) and (5) we obtain

$$\Lambda_I = \Lambda_0 + \kappa^2 \frac{\lambda}{a^\xi}, \quad \rho_I = \frac{E_d}{a^3} - \frac{\xi\lambda}{(\xi-3)a^\xi}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $E_d$  are positive constants of integration. Furthermore, it is easy to see that Einstein field equations have a first integral given by

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{2} + V(a) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$V(a) \equiv \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \left[ \Lambda_0 a^2 + \kappa^2 \left( \frac{E_d}{a} + \frac{E_\gamma}{a^2} \right) \right] + \frac{\kappa^2 \lambda a^{2-\xi}}{2(\xi-3)}, \quad (9)$$

and  $E_\gamma$  is a positive constant of integration connected to the radiation energy density. In the above we see that as long as  $\xi \geq 4$  an infinite potential barrier may avoid the classical singularity predicted by General Relativity. In order to

fix the parameter  $\xi$ , we consider the matching of the interior geometry (3) with the exterior spacetime at the surface  $r \equiv \gamma = \text{constant}$ . To this end let us assume that  $(\bar{t}, \bar{r}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi})$  are new coordinates defined by

$$\bar{t} := \chi(\psi(t, \bar{r})), \quad \bar{r} = ar, \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi, \quad (10)$$

where the function  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial \bar{r}}\right) = \frac{a\dot{a}\bar{r}}{a^2 - \bar{r}^2(k + \dot{a}^2)}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, we ensure that the metric is diagonal and

$$g_{\bar{r}\bar{r}} = -\left[1 - \frac{\bar{r}^2}{a^2}(k + \dot{a}^2)\right]^{-1} \Big|_{r=\gamma}. \quad (12)$$

It can be easily shown that a solution for the partial differential equation (11) is given by

$$\psi(t, \bar{r}) = \delta + \frac{\mu}{a} \sqrt{a^2 - k\bar{r}^2} \exp\left(-k \int \frac{1}{a\dot{a}^2}\right), \quad (13)$$

where  $\delta$  and  $\mu$  are arbitrary constants. Furthermore, employing the integrating factor technique, we define the function  $\chi[\psi(t, \bar{r})]$  by the differential equation

$$\frac{d\chi}{d\psi} = \frac{a^2\dot{a}}{a^2 - \bar{r}(k + \dot{a}^2)} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - k\bar{r}^2}}{k(\psi - \delta)} \right] \Big|_{r=\gamma}, \quad (14)$$

where  $a(t)$  is an implicit function of  $\psi$ . In fact, for the physical domain of parameters to be considered, it can be shown that  $\psi$  is a monotonous function of  $a$  which can be properly inverted. In this case we obtain

$$g_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = -\frac{1}{g_{\bar{r}\bar{r}}} \Big|_{r=\gamma}. \quad (15)$$

Finally, fixing the initial conditions

$$a(0) = 1, \quad \dot{a}(0) = 0, \quad (16)$$

it can be shown that

$$ds^2 = F(\bar{r})d\bar{t}^2 - \frac{1}{F(\bar{r})}d\bar{r}^2 - \bar{r}^2(d\bar{\theta}^2 + \sin^2\bar{\theta}d\bar{\phi}^2), \quad (17)$$

where

$$F(\bar{r}) \equiv 1 - \frac{2GM}{\bar{r}} + \kappa^2 \left[ \frac{\lambda\gamma^\xi}{(\xi - 3)\bar{r}^{\xi-2}} - \frac{E_\gamma\gamma^4}{3\bar{r}^2} \right] - \frac{\Lambda_0}{3}\bar{r}^2, \quad (18)$$

and  $M \equiv 4\pi\gamma^3 E_d/3$ . At this stage, it is important to draw the reader's attention to a word to note. Let us consider an observer external to a spherically symmetric cloud of charged dust which collapses due to the action of its own gravity. As the collapse starts, charged particles are accelerated and a flow of electromagnetic radiation is ejected to the exterior spacetime towards our observer. This process continues until an event horizon is formed and such flow ceases completely. Therefore, after a finite amount of time our external observer can not detect anything but the electric field due to the charge of matter distribution. In other words, after a sufficient amount of time, our external observer has to be embedded in an Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter spacetime. From (18) we see that the exterior solution restores the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter metric as long as  $\xi = 4$ . In this case,

$$F(\bar{r}) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{\bar{r}} + \frac{\beta_q^2}{\bar{r}^2} - \frac{\Lambda_0}{3}\bar{r}^2, \quad (19)$$

where  $\beta_q^2 \equiv q^2 G/4\pi\epsilon_0$  and  $q$  is the overall charge of the black hole thus formed. By defining  $A \equiv 4\pi\gamma^2$  as the area of the matter distribution we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{q^2}{2A^2\epsilon_0} + \frac{E_\gamma}{3}. \quad (20)$$




FIG. 1: The potential  $V(a)$  for several values of  $E_d$ . In the above we have fixed  $\lambda = 0.05$ ,  $E_\gamma = 10^{-4}$ ,  $\kappa = 1$  and  $\Lambda_0 = 0$ . Solid and dashed lines correspond to  $E_d = 1.0$  and  $0.7$ , respectively. In both cases, nonsingular bounded configurations are allowed. The dotted line is connected to  $E_d = 2(3\lambda - E_\gamma)$  – the lower limit for bounded configurations – so that nonsingular bounded configurations are absent.

This latter result constrains the interaction parameter with  $\lambda > E_\gamma/3$ . Furthermore, considering its low energy domain, in the following we will set  $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow 0$  in the collapse scale. In this case, it is easy to see that  $E_d > 2(3\lambda - E_\gamma)$  is a sufficient condition for bounded configurations so that the matter distribution keeps bouncing between  $\bar{r} = \gamma$  and  $\bar{r} = \gamma a_{min}$ . In Fig. 1, we show a numerical simulation which illustrates a simple domain for bounded configurations. Moreover, as long as  $G^2 M^2 > \beta_q^2$ , it can be shown that the exterior spacetime has an exterior event horizon  $\bar{r}_+$  and an interior Cauchy horizon  $\bar{r}_-$  given by

$$\bar{r}_+ = GM + \sqrt{G^2 M^2 - \beta_q^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$\bar{r}_- = GM - \sqrt{G^2 M^2 - \beta_q^2}. \quad (22)$$

From now on we shall restrict ourselves to such bounded configurations in which  $\gamma a_{min} < \bar{r}_-$ . In Fig. 2 we show the Penrose diagram for the exterior spacetime.

To proceed, we now examine some important results derived from our model in the context of black hole thermodynamics. Restricting ourselves to the natural units  $G = c = \hbar = K_B = 1$ , let us consider our solution in the case of quasi-extremal black holes. Namely, let  $\bar{r}_\pm = M_e \pm \epsilon$ , where  $M_e$  is the mass of the extremal case and  $\epsilon \equiv (M^2 - \beta_q^2)^{1/2} \ll 1$ . In this regime, Hawking temperature[15] reads

$$T_H \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi M_e^2}. \quad (23)$$

Defining the outer horizon area as  $A_{outer} := 4\pi \bar{r}_+^2 \simeq 4\pi M_e^2(1 + 2\epsilon)$ , we obtain

$$dA_{outer} \simeq \frac{4}{T_H} (dM - d\beta_q). \quad (24)$$

We can therefore associate the horizon area of the quasi-extremal black hole with the geometrical entropy  $S_{geom}$  through the relation

$$dS_{geom} \simeq \frac{1}{4} dA_{outer} \simeq \frac{1}{T_H} (dM - d\beta_q). \quad (25)$$

Equation (25) corresponds to an extended first law of Bekenstein[16] with an extra work term connected to charge variation.

We are now led to construct a statistical model for the collapsed matter which may be connected to the geometrical thermodynamics discussed above. To this end let us consider the first integral (8) with the initial conditions (16). Once the surface of matter distribution is defined by  $\bar{r} = \gamma a$ , we can define the momentum (per unit of mass) as  $p_{\bar{r}} := \gamma \dot{a}$ . In this case, the expansion of (8) in a neighbourhood of the extremal configuration furnish the Hamiltonian constraint

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_R^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + M_e^2} \right) R^2 + \frac{k\gamma^2 - 1}{2} \simeq 0, \quad (26)$$



with  $S_{stat}$  once both equations reflect the first law of black hole thermodynamics. We should remark however that the statistical entropy derived in (30) differs from Bekenstein's entropy  $S_{geom}$  (25) by a zero temperature additive constant which corresponds to the area of the extremal black hole. In fact, restoring the constants  $K_B$ ,  $G$  and  $\hbar$ , it is easy to see that as long as

$$S_{geom} = \frac{K_B}{4G\hbar} A_{ext} + S_{stat}, \quad (31)$$

one may assign a statistical model for the geometrical entropy of Bekenstein.

Notwithstanding these striking similarities, a fundamental issue can now be posed. In accordance with usual black hole thermodynamics, the entropy of a black hole is an external variable connected to the event horizon boundary. In this sense, the entropy of the collapsed matter would be irrelevant for physical processes outside the black hole. To conclude this essay, we devote these final lines to provide an answer to this issue.

Let us consider the frequency  $\Delta\omega$  of a particle of the oscillating matter distribution with mass  $m_{pl}$ , given by (cf. (27))

$$\Delta\omega \simeq \frac{\epsilon^2}{G^3 M_e^3}. \quad (32)$$

Its associated momentum, on the other hand, reads  $\Delta P = \sqrt{2m_{pl}\hbar\Delta\omega}$ . According to Heisenberg uncertainty principle, the fluctuations in its localization satisfies  $\Delta P \Delta R \geq \hbar/2$  and, using (32), we obtain

$$\Delta R \geq \frac{GM_e}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{GM_e \hbar}{8m_{pl}}}. \quad (33)$$

For illustration, by taking  $M_e$  as the Chandrasekhar mass ( $M_e = 1.4M_\odot$ ) we find that if  $\epsilon \lesssim 10^5 l_p$  (where  $l_p$  is the Planck length), we can ensure that the scale of the fluctuations  $\Delta R \sim \epsilon$  so that the quantum thermal fluctuations that give rise to the entropy (30) might be connected to the fluctuations of the event horizon in the quasi-extremal case. In this sense, (31) validates the identification of both entropies with the proviso that the temperature responsible for the thermal fluctuations is identified with the Hawking temperature.

- 
- [1] Richard A. Matzner, Nelson Zamorano and Vernon D. Sandberg, Phys. Rev. D 19, 2821 (1979).
  - [2] Hideki Maeda, Takashi Torii, Tomohiro Harada, Phys. Rev. D 71, 064015 (2005).
  - [3] S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).
  - [4] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 56 455 (1939).
  - [5] Mo Wen-Juan et al., Commun. Theor. Phys. 46 453 (2006).
  - [6] Brian P. Dolan, Class. Quant. Grav. 28 125020 (2011).
  - [7] Volker Perlick, Oleg Yu. Tsupko, and Gennady S. Bisnovaty-Kogan, Phys. Rev. D 97, 104062 (2018).
  - [8] V. Salvatelli et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 113, 181301 (2014).
  - [9] Y. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. D, 92, 103005 (2015).
  - [10] G.-B. Zhao, et al., Nature Astronomy, 1, 627 (2017).
  - [11] J. Solà, A. Gómez-Valent, J. de Cruz Pérez, International Journal of Modern Physics, A32, 1730014 (2017).
  - [12] E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, Phys. Rev. D, 96, 043503 (2017).
  - [13] S. Kumar, R. C. Nunes, Phys. Rev., D96, 103511 (2017).
  - [14] Matteo Martinelli et al., Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume 488, Issue 3, September 2019, Pages 3423–3438.
  - [15] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43 199 (1975).
  - [16] Jacob. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7 2333 (1973).