arXiv:2005.09672v1 [math.DS] 19 May 2020

Marstrand type slicing statements in $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are false for the counting dimension.

Aritro Pathak

Abstract

We show that for 1 separated subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, the natural Marstrand like slicing statements are false with the counting dimension that was used earlier by Moreira and Lima and variants of which were introduced earlier in different contexts. We construct a 1 separated subset of the plane which has counting dimension one while a positive Lebesgue measure of width 1 tubes also all have counting dimension one. This is in contrast to the behavior of such sets with the mass dimension where the slicing theorems holds true.

1 Introduction and statement of results.

Fractal properties of subsets of the integer grid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ have been studied earlier and notions of dimensions of such subsets have been introduced in different contexts by Fisher [4], Bedford and Fisher[5], Lima and Moreira [1], Naudts [13, 14], Furstenberg [15], Barlow and Taylor [10, 11], and Iosevich, Rudnev and Uriarte-Tuero[12], Glasscock [3]. The analogies have been drawn from the continuous theory of dimension, for which Chapter 4 of [7] or Chapter 1 of [6] are standard references.

The mass and counting dimensions of any 1-separated set $E \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are respectively defined as:

$$\overline{D}(E) = \limsup_{l\to\infty} \frac{\log |E \cap [-l,l]^2|}{\log(2l)},\ D(E) = \limsup_{||C||\to\infty} \frac{\log |E \cap C|}{\log ||C||}. \tag{1}$$

In [1], the counting dimension was used in $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ to study the growth of certain subsets of Z with zero upper Banach density. A natural Marstrand type projection theorem is proven there, with the counting dimension behaving like the Hausdorff dimension in the statement of the classical Marstrand projection theorem; see Theorem 1.2 in [1]. Later this was extended by Glasscock [3] who used the more general notion of the mass and counting dimension, in $\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and proved analogous projection theorems with the mass dimension as well.

The natural dual slicing statement with the mass dimension was recently shown to be true by the author [2]. When dealing with the slicing question with a 1 separated set in $\mathbb{R}^2$, it is natural to work with a width 1 tube $t_{u,v}$ which is explicitly described as:

$$t_{u,v} = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; -\frac{1}{u}x + v\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{u^2}} < y \leq -\frac{1}{u}x + (v+1)\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{u^2}} \right\}. \tag{2}$$

This is a tube of width 1, and whose perpendicular projecting line has slope u and the vertical displacement of the right edge of the tube along this projecting line has displacement

v. Later we will revert to using for lines, the coordinates $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ that are the slopes and y intercepts, and it will be clear from the context.

In the continuous case, the Marstrand slicing theorem is a standard result that talks of the dimension of a typical slice in the sense of the Lebesgue measure. When we consider Cartesian grids, for two multiplicately independent numbers p, q (i.e with $\frac{\log p}{\log q}$ is irrational.), it was conjectured by Furstenberg that every slice of the set $A_p \times A_q \subset [0,1]^2$ where A_p, A_q are respectively p, q invariant, has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $dim(A_p)+dim(A_q)-1$ where dim refers to the Hausdorff dimension. This was resolved recently by Shmerkin [8] and Wu [9].

In [2], for the mass dimension in our setting of 1 separated subsets in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with a Tchebysheff and Fubini type argument the slicing statement was first shown to be true in a weak asymptotic sense, and then it was also shown to be true for Lebesgue almost every slice. One then specializes to sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ and considers the dimension of the intersection of the broken line $\{(x,y) : y = \lfloor \tilde{u}x + \tilde{v} \rfloor, \tilde{u} > 0\}$ with the Cartesian product set $A \times B$. Such a broken line is a tube with vertical cross section of length 1, and thus the width of the tube is less than 1 and so the result follows for such tubes.

We state the main slicing result with the mass dimension that was obtained earlier in [2].

Theorem 1. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a 1 separated set of mass dimension $\overline{D}(E)$. Then for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, for Lebesgue-a.e. $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\overline{D}(E \cap t_{u,v}) \leq max(0, \overline{D}(E) - 1).$$

As a corollary to this, we obtain the main slicing result stated below, upon integrating over the v coordinate.

Theorem 2. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a 1 separated set of mass dimension $\overline{D}(E)$. Then in the Lebesgue sense, for almost every tube $t_{u,v}$ of width 1, slope u, and displacement v along the projecting line, we have that $\overline{D}(E \cap t_{u,v}) \leq max(0, \overline{D}(E) - 1)$.*

In this paper we show that the corresponding results with the counting dimension are false. This is what one might expect, since the counting dimension of every slice can be high if there is a growth of points in every slice at very sparse locations. This can happen even if the actual set E has low counting dimension.

We state our results for the counting dimension below:

Theorem 3. *For any $\epsilon > 0, u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ of counting dimension $D(E)$, such that for all $v \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$,*

$$D(E \cap t_{u_0,v}) = D(E) = 1.$$

Theorem 4. *For any $\epsilon > 0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ of counting dimension $D(E)$, such that for all $u \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$,*

$$D(E \cap t_{u,v_0}) = D(E) = 1.$$

Building on the construction in Theorem 4, we construct the counterexample to the statement of the Marstrand slicing theorem with the counting dimension:

Theorem 5. *For any $\epsilon > 0, u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ of counting dimension $D(E)$, such that for all $(u,v) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$,*

$$D(E \cap t_{u,v}) = D(E) = 1.$$

In other words, we can construct a set $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that the slices of E by the tubes parametrized by a positive Lebesgue measure set have exceptionally high counting dimension.

In fact the construction used in the proof of Theorem 5 for any fixed ϵ, also gives us the following stronger result:

Theorem 6. For all $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$, the set U of parameters (u, v), with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, so that

$$D\big(E \cap t_{(u,v)}\big) = D(E) = 1.$$

is such that $\lim\limits_{M\to\infty} \frac{|U\cap[-M,M]^2|}{(2M)^2} > 0$.

An analogous version of Theorem 3 is also true for the mass dimension, cf. Example 2 in Section 3 of [2].

In the next section, as an illustration we begin with a standard example that shows that the slicing result is sharp with the mass dimension, where we have a set so that every slice in a cone has mass dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ while the set so constructed has mass dimension $\frac{3}{2}$. This is a set where every slice in a cone has counting dimension 1, while the set itself has a growing subsequence of two dimensional grid of points and hence is itself of counting dimension 2. After that we construct a set where we have a growth of points in a diagonal sense, and while every slice in a cone still has counting dimension 1, we are able to reduce the counting dimension of the set itself to 1.

After this we show that the proof of Theorem 6 essentially follows from the construction used in Theorem 5. This is the strongest slicing statement we can make with the counting dimension. In [2] we show that the corresponding theorem is false, i.e in a weak sense, the Marstrand type slicing theorem holds true with the mass dimension.

We remark that the analysis here again remains the same if in place of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we considered the grid $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ with separation δ, and considered tubes of width δ.

2 Slicing results with the counting dimension.

Consider a cone of arbitrary small angular width θ, centered around the $y-$axis, with vertex at the origin and pointing up. In this case, for some large $k_0 > 0$, [(1)] for each $k \geq k_0$, we fill the annular region inside the cone between the heights $2^{2^{k+1}}$ and $2^{2^k} + 2^{2^{k+1}}$ with all the points belonging to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ within the annular region. This example was already considered in [2]. This is a set of mass dimension 3/2 with each of the tubes within the cone having mass dimension 1/2. However this is also a set that has counting dimension 2: since for all $k \geq k_0$ above the height $2^{2^{k+1}}$ we have a square of dimension $2^{2^k} \times 2^{2^k}$ that is filled with points of the integer grid. As k is taken to infinity, this implies that we have a set of counting dimension 2. Moreover, each of the tubes has an intersection with $\sim 2^{2^k}$ many points just above the height $2^{2^{k+1}}$ and so as k is taken to infinity, each of the tubes are shown to have counting dimension 1.

In order to construct a set E with counting dimension $D(E) > 1$ such that a cone of tubes have exceptionally high dimension $> (D(E) - 1)$, we have to ensure that the set of

[(1)] In order to construct a set with mass dimension 3/2, we chose the scale 2^{2^k} instead of 2^k since if we have a range of k values from 1 to some k_0, the levels are so sparse that only the last level k_0 is relevant when counting the points for the mass dimension till height $2^{2^{k_0}}$. With the scaling 2^{k_0} we would need to add up all the points in all the lower levels as well.

points of the set E do not cluster together in growing two dimensional grids. In the examples below, we show how to construct a set E where the growth of the points is along a diagonal in a cone, so that we can't locate within this set any growing two dimensional sub-sequence that is growing to infinity. This is done while ensuring that the each of the ray of tubes has a growing sub-sequence of points that ensures that it still has counting dimension 1. That would prove Theorem 4.

Now we construct the set that proves Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let u_0 be a specific slope of the projecting line, and consider a set V of values of v, with $\mu(V) = wk$, where $w > 1$ is a positive number and k is any arbitrary positive integer and μ is the Lebesgue measure [(2)]. In this case, the set E is contained within the semi-infinite tube of width wk with one other side on the projecting line with values in the set V. The idea here is similar to the one used in the previous problem, and we start with a chunk of the integer grid of width w_1, height n_1 placed at the bottom left corner of this semi-infinite tube. We place the next chunk of the same width and height on the top right corner of the previous chunk, and do this all the way till we put the last chunk adjacent to the right edge of this tube, at the height $H_1 := kn_1$.

Now we consider the height $h_2 := e^{H_1}$ and repeat the same process at this level with the chunks placed diagonally as before from the bottom left to the top right corner, with now the chunks of height $n_2 := n_1 + 1$ and width w. Thus at the end we reach the height $H_2 := h_2 + wn_2$. Inductively we repeat the process where at each step $H_m := h_m + wn_m$, where $h_m := e^{H_{m-1}}$ and $n_m := n_{m-1} + 1$. Like before, it is clear that this is a set where between the heights h_m and H_m we have for the purpose of the counting dimension, effectively a straight chunk of width w and length $kn_m \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$. It is clear this set E has counting dimension exactly 1, when taking boxes of lengths $kn_m \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$ at the m'th level of the construction of the set E. Moreover, every single tube with v parameter within V has between n_m and $2n_m$ many points at the m'th level, and thus this is also clearly a tube of counting dimension exactly equal to 1. □

In this case, it is clear that $H_m > \underbrace{e^{e^{\cdot^{\cdot^{\cdot}}}}}_{m \text{ times}}$ and till that height we have $k(n_1 + (n_1 + 1) + (n_1 + 2) + \cdots + (n_1 + m)) = \left(km(n_1 + \frac{m+1}{2})\right)$ many points in E. Thus very clearly this set E has mass dimension 0. It's similarly also clear that every width 1 tube with parameter (u_0, v), $v \in V$, has mass dimension 0.

Now we prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Without loss of generaility, consider a cone with the vertex at the origin, and pointing up, symmetric about the y axis, and with total angle θ. We parametrize the angles within the cone by the coordinate u and the the coordinate along the projecting line by the coordinate v. Here, all the tubes within the cone have the coordinate $v = 0$, and we have an interval of u values of width θ.

We fix an integer width $w \geq 1$, some initial height h_1, and some initial length $n_1 \geq 1$, say. On the left edge of the cone, we put a chunk of the integer grid (or more generally

[(2)] We can clearly make this work for any ϵ so that the statement of the theorem is satisfied

any maximal 1 separated set) of width w and length n_1,[3] just above the arc at height h_1. This subtends the angle $-\frac{\theta}{2}, -\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{w}{h_1})$ at the origin, of width $\frac{w}{h_1}$. At the next step, we put a chunk of width w just above the arc at height h_1+n_1 so this subtends the angle $(-\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{w}{h_1}, -\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{w}{h_1}+\frac{w}{h_1+n_1})$ of width $\frac{w}{h_1+n_1}$. At the k'th step, we put a chunk of width w at the height $h_1+(k-1)n_1$ so that it subtends the angle $(-\frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\frac{w}{h_1+i\cdot n_1}, -\frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{w}{h_1+i\cdot n_1})$ of width $\frac{w}{h_1+(k-1)\cdot n_1}$. Clearly the growth of the total angle is akin to the growth of the harmonic series, and eventually after some step K_1 we reach the other end of the cone at the angle $\theta/2$. At the very last end, the width of the integer grid put at the height $H_1 := h_1+(K_1-1)n_1$ could be less than w.

Consider the next height $h_2 := e^{H_1}$, and $n_2 := n_1+1$ while the width w remains fixed. Now the growth of points here is in a manner similar to the growth in the first level, and we begin the growth again from the left edge of the cone starting at the height h_2. At the k'the stage in this level, we put a chunk of width w at the height $h_2+(k-1)n_2$ which subtends the angle $(-\frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\frac{w}{h_2+i\cdot n_2}, -\frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{w}{h_2+i\cdot n_2})$ of width $\frac{w}{h_2+(k-1)\cdot n_2}$. Again, after a finite number K_2 of steps, we would hit the right edge of the cone, where again at the last end, the width of the integer grid just above the height $H_2 := h_2+(K_2-1)n_2$ is less than or equal to w.

For each $m \geq 3$, we would inductively define $h_m := e^{H_{m-1}}$ and $n_m := n_{m-1}+1$ $\big($Thus $n_m = n_1+(m-1)\big)$ and thus have a growth of points beginning at the left edge at height h_m and continuing on to height H_m at the last K_m'th step of the iteration in this m'th level, where this last chunk of the integer grid is put adjacent to the right edge of the tube.

This is a set where every tube with parameter $u \in (-\frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\theta}{2})$, has counting dimension exactly 1; since for each of these tubes, at the k'th level, there is a strip with between n_m and $2n_m$ points that lies in this tube. But the set E so constructed is itself also of counting dimension exactly 1, since at each particular m'th level, we have a set of strips each of length $n_1+(m-1)$, the k'th one lying just above and to the right of the $(k-1)$'th strip. If we had a square of length $K_m\cdot(n_1+(m-1))$ intersect the set E at this appropriate height, then for the purpose of the counting dimension this is equivalent to having a vertical straight line of length $\approx K_m\cdot(n_1+(m-1))$ within this square. As $m\to\infty$, the lengths of these boxes go to infinity, and we have a set of counting dimension exactly 1. □

Note that the mass dimension of this set is 1, and the mass dimension of all the tubes is exactly 0. To see this, note that the height $H_m \sim h_m.e^{\frac{m\theta}{w}}$ since, the total angle covered is $\theta \sim \int_0^{K_m}\frac{wdx}{h_m+xn_m}$ and where $H_m = h_m+(K_m-1)n_m$ and thus $K_m \sim \frac{h_m}{n_m}\big(e^{\frac{\theta n_m}{w}}-1\big)$ and thus $H_m = h_m+(K_m-1)n_m \sim h_m e^{\frac{\theta m}{w}}$. Thus the growth of the set is equivalent to having a straight line of width w, starting at height h_m and ending at about the height $h_m e^{\frac{m\theta}{w}}$, and thus of length about $h_m(e^{\frac{\theta m}{w}}-1)$. By construction, we also have that $h_m = e^{H_{m-1}}$ for all $m\geq 2$, and so that this height range is from $e^{H_{m-1}}$ to $e^{(H_{m-1}+\frac{\theta\cdot m}{w})}$ and where $H_{m-1}\sim h_{m-1}e^{\frac{\theta\cdot(m-1)}{w}} >> \frac{\theta\cdot m}{w}$ when m is sufficiently large enough. Thus for the purpose of the mass dimension, we consider the appropriate box of length $e^{(H_{m-1}+\frac{\theta\cdot m}{w})}$, and we have a 'line' of width w of points from the height $e^{H_{m-1}}$ to $e^{(H_{m-1}+\frac{\theta\cdot m}{w})}$ within this box, while below the

[3]Since $n_1\geq 1, w\geq 1$ this chunk would contain at least one point.

height H_{m-1} there are some $o(w \cdot H_{m-1})$ many points. Thus the mass dimension at this height is:

$$\frac{\log(o(w \cdot H_{m-1}) + w(e^{(H_{m-1}+\frac{\theta \cdot m}{w})} - e^{H_{m-1}}))}{\log(e^{H_{m-1}+\frac{\theta \cdot m}{w}})} \to \frac{\log(w) + (H_{m-1} + \frac{\theta \cdot m}{w}) - \log(1 - e^{-\frac{\theta \cdot m}{w}})}{H_{m-1} + \frac{\theta \cdot m}{w}} \to 1$$

as $m \to \infty$. Also note that each of the tubes has $w \cdot n_m \sim wm$ number of points between the heights h_m and H_m where $h_m = e^{H_{m-1}} > e^{m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$, and thus clearly each of the tubes has 0 mass dimension.

Now we come to the example that builds on the previous example, where we have a set $\tilde{E}$ of counting dimension 1, whereas every tube in a parameter set $\{(u, v) : -\beta \leq u \leq \beta, -\epsilon \leq v \leq \epsilon\}$ also has counting dimension exactly 1. This shows that the natural Marstrand slicing statement with the counting dimension is false.

Proof of Theorem 5. The construction follows from the previous example. Without loss of generality we can consider the cones to the symmetric about the y-axis [(4)]. Each of the width 1 tubes are such that the right edge is parametrized by the line $\frac{y}{x-\tilde{v}} = \tilde{u}$ that passes through the point $(\tilde{v}, 0)$ on the x axis with $\tilde{v} \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and $\tilde{u} \in (-\beta, \beta)$, which corresponds to an angular range $(-\theta/2, \theta/2)$ centered about the y axis, with $\cot(\theta/2) = \beta$. So for each value of $\tilde{v} \neq 0$, $v \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, we find a translate of the cone E with total cone angle θ that was considered in the previous example. Consider the construction of the E outlined in the previous example for the cone with vertex $(0, 0)$, and for each translated cone with vertex $(\tilde{v}, 0)$, construct the set in the exact same manner as for the cone with vertex at the origin. In the process, with the obvious overlaps, we would have chunks of width $w + 2\epsilon$ and length n_k at the k'th level, growing diagonally as before. In the process, any two chunks, one almost on top of the other, are horizontally separated by the width w. Arguing identically as in the previous example, we conclude that the set so constructed is such that every tube with parameters $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in (-\beta, \beta) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ has counting dimension exactly 1. The set $\tilde{E}$ itself, is such that it grows diagonally with each chunk at the k'th level having height n_k and width $w + 2\epsilon$ [(5)]. This is a set with a fixed width $w + 2\epsilon$ that is growing from right edge of the 'leftmost' tube to the right edge of the 'rightmost' tube at a particular level, and the total number of points in each level increases to infinity as in the earlier example . Thus $\tilde{E}$ is a set of counting dimension exactly 1. □

Following this we are now ready to prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. Consider all the tubes whose right edges are lines that intersect the set $\tilde{E}$ and have slopes greater than $\cot\theta$. In this case, after a specific level, these tubes intersect all the 'levels' so constructed in this set $\tilde{E}$. In fact, it is clear that except for at most the initial level it intersects, such a tube intersects both the top and bottom boundary layers of all the other levels. Thus these tubes have increasingly large intersections with the set $\tilde{E}$, and

[(4)] Upon a clockwise rotation by $\pi/2$ we would get a cone where the u parameters of the tubes are centered around 0

[(5)] These are approximately rectangular, but not exactly rectangular chunks. If we placed rectangular chunks in place of the chunks as defined for our set, it is clear that when n_k becomes sufficiently large, the diagonal line of these chunks will itself have a steeper slope than the right edge of the rightmost cone, and we will never reach that edge.

the cardinality of these intersections goes infinity as the levels go to infinity. Thus all of these tubes have counting dimension exactly 1. The set of such tubes is parametrized by the set $S = \{(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \Big((\cot\theta, \infty) \times (-\infty, \epsilon)\Big) \cup \Big((-\infty, -\cot\theta) \cup (\epsilon, \infty)\Big)\}$ and thus $\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{S\cap[-M,M]^2}{(2M)^2} > 0$ and so the weak asymptotic form of the slicing theorem is also false for the counting dimension. □

3 Further questions.

1. While we show that a positive Lebesgue measure set of tubes of width 1 have exceptionally large dimension, the question of constructing sets such that a set of broken lines $\{(x, y) : y = \lfloor ux + v \rfloor\}$ with positive Lebesgue measure in the parameter space $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ all have exceptionally large counting dimension, is not resolved here. The broken lines are sets that have vertical cross section 1, but the actual width is smaller than unity, and so there is no guarantee that a large number of broken lines have exceptionally high dimension, let alone a set of broken lines parametrized by a positive Lebesgue measure set in the (u, v) space. Such a question will likely require a much finer study of the intersection patterns of tubes of arbitrary small width with the integer grid $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.
2. While we have constructed our sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$, there should be a natural way to extend these results in higher dimensions, and while the construction in Theorem 3 would be extended to higher dimension in an obvious way, we would likely have to be a bit more careful in constructing the cones in dimensions 3 and higher while proving the results analogous to Theorem 4.
3. While we could construct a set E in Theorem 4 with counting dimension 1 and a cone of tubes each with counting dimension 1, we can ask whether it would be possible to construct a set E with $D(E) = \eta < 1$ and a positive Lebesgue measure of tubes that have counting dimension 1. In our cone construction, we would have to increase the spacing between successive diagonal chunks within the same level in order to decrease the counting dimension of the set, but then it is possible that the angular growth within the cone as outlined in the proof becomes slower than harmonic, and thus we may not be able to reach from one end of the cone to the other with the diagonal growth, when starting at sufficiently large heights.

4 Acknowledgements:

The author is extremely grateful to Daniel Glasscock for introducing the author to the slicing problem in the integer lattice grid in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The author is supported as a PhD student in Brandeis University at the time of writing this manuscript.

References

[1] Lima Y., and Moreira C.G.T.d. A. "A Marstrand theorem for subsets of integers." Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 23.1 (2014): 116-134.

[2] Pathak A., "A Marstrand type slicing theorem for subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with the mass dimension." arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02813 (2020).

[3] Glasscock, D. (2016). Marstrand-type Theorems for the Counting and Mass Dimensions in $\mathbb{Z}$. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 25(5), 700-743.

[4] Fisher, A. (1993). Integer Cantor sets and an order-two ergodic theorem. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 13(1), 45-64. doi:10.1017/S0143385700007197.

[5] Bedford, T., Fisher, A. M. (1992). Analogues of the Lebesgue density theorem for fractal sets of reals and integers. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1), 95-124.

[6] Bishop Christopher J., and Peres Y.. Fractals in probability and analysis. Vol. 162. Cambridge University Press, 2017.

[7] Mattila P. Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces: fractals and rectifiability. No. 44. Cambridge university press, 1999.

[8] Shmerkin P. "On Furstenberg's intersection conjecture, self-similar measures, and the L_q norms of convolutions." Annals of Mathematics 189.2 (2019): 319-391.

[9] Wu, M. "A proof of Furstenberg's conjecture on the intersections of $\times$p-and $\times$q-invariant sets." Annals of Mathematics 189.3 (2019): 707-751.

[10] Barlow, M. T., and Taylor S. J. "Fractional dimension of sets in discrete spaces." Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 22.13 (1989): 2621.

[11] Barlow, M. T., and Taylor S. J. "Defining fractal subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$." Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 3.1 (1992): 125-152.

[12] Iosevich, A., Rudnev M., and Uriarte-Tuero I. "Theory of dimension for large discrete sets and applications." arXiv preprint arXiv:0707.1322 (2007).

[13] Naudts, J. "Dimension of discrete fractal spaces." Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 21.2 (1988): 447.

[14] Naudts, J. "Reply to a paper by MT Barlow and SJ Taylor (Fractional dimension of sets in discrete systems)." Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 22.13 (1989): 2627.

[15] Furstenberg, H. (1970). Intersections of Cantor sets and transversality of semigroups. Problems in analysis (Sympos. Salomon Bochner, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, 1969), 41-59.