

THE PI THEOREM REVISITED: ON REPRESENTATIONS OF QUANTITY FUNCTIONS

DAN JONSSON

ABSTRACT. This note states and proves a representation theorem for coregular quantity functions, based on the theory of quantity spaces [7], thereby giving a new perspective on dimensional analysis and the classical π theorem.

The central theorem in dimensional analysis is the so-called π theorem, with a long history featuring contributions by Fourier [4], Vaschy [8], Federman [3], Buckingham [2] and others. The π theorem shows how to represent a "physically meaningful" equation $y = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, describing a relationship among quantities, as a more informative equation of the form $y = \prod_{i=1}^r \xi_i^{c_i} \psi(\xi'_{r+1}, \dots, \xi'_n)$, where (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) is a permutation of (x_1, \dots, x_n) , c_i are integers and ξ'_i depends on ξ_i and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r . Following the development of quantity calculus [1], some quantity calculus versions of the π theorem have also been proposed (see [7] for references). This note presents a representation theorem for a general class of quantity functions, based on the theory of quantity spaces. Specifically, quantity functions that are "coregular" – a natural, not too restrictive condition – have useful representations of the form described in Theorem 1.

For the sake of completeness, let us briefly review some elements of the theory of quantity spaces [5, 7]. A *scalable monoid* over a ring R is a monoid Q equipped with an R -action

$$\cdot : R \times Q \rightarrow Q, \quad (\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha \cdot x,$$

such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in R$ and $x, y \in Q$ we have (1) $1 \cdot x = x$, (2) $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = \alpha\beta \cdot x$, and (3) $\alpha \cdot xy = (\alpha \cdot x)y = x(\alpha \cdot y)$; as a consequence, $(\alpha \cdot x)(\beta \cdot y) = \alpha\beta \cdot xy$. We denote the identity element of Q by 1_Q , and set $x^0 = 1_Q$ for any $x \in Q$. An element $x \in Q$ may have an inverse $x^{-1} \in Q$ such that $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = 1_Q$.

A (strong) finite *basis* for a scalable monoid Q is a set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ of invertible elements of Q such that every $x \in Q$ has a unique expansion

$$x = \mu_{\mathcal{E}}(x) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r e_i^{c_i},$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{E}}(x) \in R$ and c_i are integers. A finitely generated *quantity space* is a commutative scalable monoid Q over a field K , such that there exists a finite basis for Q . The elements of a quantity space are called *quantities*. We may think of $\mu_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ as the measure of x relative to $\prod_{i=1}^r e_i^{c_i}$, and indirectly the base units in \mathcal{E} .

The relation \sim on Q defined by $x \sim y$ if and only if $\alpha \cdot x = \beta \cdot y$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in K$ is a congruence on Q . The corresponding equivalence classes are called *dimensions*; $[x]$ is the dimension that contains x . We have $[\lambda \cdot x] = [x]$ for any $\lambda \in K$. Q/\sim denotes the set of all dimensions in Q ; this is a free abelian group with multiplication defined by $[x][y] = [xy]$. The identity element in Q/\sim is $[1_Q]$.

In every dimension $C \in Q/\sim$ there is a unique *zero quantity* 0_C such that $0 \cdot 0_C = 0_C$ and $0_C \neq 1_Q$. While $0_C x = 0_{C[x]}$ for any $x \in Q$, the product of non-zero

quantities is a non-zero quantity. A quantity is invertible if and only if it is non-zero, and any non-zero quantity $u \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *unit quantity* for \mathbb{C} , meaning that for every $q \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a unique $\mu \in K$ such that $q = \mu \cdot u$, where $\mu \neq 0$ if and only if q is non-zero.

A (dimensional) *quantity function* on Q is a function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0$, where $\mathbb{C}_i \in Q/\sim$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. One can define products and inverses of quantity functions in the usual way.

We need somewhat more flexible definitions of a covariant scalar representation and a covariantly representable quantity function than those given in [5].

Definition 1. A *regular* quantity function is a quantity function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0$ such that there exists, for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, a unique tuple $(\mathcal{P}_{i1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{ir})$ of integers such that $\mathbb{C}_i = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$. A *covariantly representable* regular quantity function on a quantity space Q over K is a regular quantity function Φ such that there exists a *covariant scalar representation* of Φ , that is, a function $\phi : K^n \rightarrow K$ such that if $E = (e_1, \dots, e_r) \in \mathbb{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_r$ is a tuple of quantities such that, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, each $q_i \in \mathbb{C}_i$ has a unique expansion

$$q_i = \mu_E(q_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$$

then we have

$$\mu_E(\Phi(q_1, \dots, q_n)) = \phi(\mu_E(q_1), \dots, \mu_E(q_n))$$

for any q_1, \dots, q_n . We allow $r = 0$ and set $\prod_{j=1}^0 \mathbb{C}_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = [1_Q]$, $\prod_{j=1}^0 e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = 1_Q$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

The idea motivating this definition is that a quantity function $\Phi : (q_1, \dots, q_n) \mapsto q_0$ can be represented by a scalar function $\phi : (\mu_E(q_1), \dots, \mu_E(q_n)) \mapsto \mu_E(q_0)$ only if ϕ does not depend on E , as Φ does not depend on E – a "physically meaningful" equation cannot depend on the choice of units of measurement.

For brevity, we can call a covariantly representable regular quantity function a *coregular* quantity function. Theorem 1 concerns coregular quantity functions.

Theorem 1. Let Q be a finitely generated quantity space over K , and let Φ be a covariantly representable regular quantity function

$$(1) \quad \Phi : \mathbb{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) \mapsto y_0,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_0, \mathbb{C}_1, \dots, \mathbb{C}_n \in Q/\sim$, such that there exists, for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, a unique tuple $(\mathcal{P}_{i1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{ir})$ of integers such that

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{C}_i = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{C}_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}.$$

Then there exists a unique quantity function of $n - r$ arguments

$$\Psi : [1_q] \times \cdots \times [1_q] \rightarrow [1_q]$$

such that if $x_j \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ then

$$(3) \quad \pi_0 = \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}),$$

where $\pi_0 = y_0 \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \right)^{-1}$, $\pi_k = y_k \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} \right)^{-1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - r$, or equivalently

$$(4) \quad \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) = \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}).$$

Proof. Set $E = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$, where $0_{C_j} \neq e_j \in C_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have $C_i = \prod_{j=1}^r C_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = \prod_{j=1}^r [e_j]^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} \right]$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. $\prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$ is non-zero and hence a unit quantity for C_i , so for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and every $q_i \in C_i$ there is a unique $\mu_E(q_i) \in K$ and unique integers \mathcal{P}_{ij} such that

$$(5) \quad q_i = \mu_E(q_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, r$, C_j has the unique expansion $C_j = C_j^1$ so that $x_j = \mu_E(x_j) \cdot e_j$. Thus, we have $\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = \prod_{j=1}^r (\mu_E(x_j) \cdot e_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} = \prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, so $\mu_E\left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$.

It is fairly straightforward to verify that if $q \in [1_Q]$ then q has the unique expansion $q = \mu_E(q) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^0$ relative to any E ; in particular, $1_Q = 1 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^0$. This implies that if $q_i = \mu_E(q_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$, where $\mu_E(q_i) \neq 0$ so that q_i is invertible, then q_i^{-1} has the unique expansion $q_i^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu_E(q_i)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{-\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$ relative to E . As a further consequence, if $q_i, r_i \in C_i$ and r_i is invertible, so that $q_i r_i^{-1} \in [1_Q]$, then $q_i r_i^{-1}$ has the unique expansion $q_i r_i^{-1} = \mu_E(q_i r_i^{-1}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^0$, where $\mu_E(q_i r_i^{-1}) = \mu_E(q_i)/\mu_E(r_i)$, relative to any E .

Given \mathcal{P}_{ij} by (2), set $p_0 = \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}}$, $p_k = \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-r$, so that $[p_0] = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \right] = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \right] = [y_0]$ and $[p_k] = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} \right] = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r e_j^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} \right] = [y_k]$. Also set $\nu_E(y_k) = \mu_E(y_k p_k^{-1})$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-r$ when p_k is invertible, so that

$$\nu_E(y_k) = \mu_E(y_k p_k^{-1}) = \mu_E(y_k)/\mu_E(p_k)$$

relative to any E , since $y_k p_k^{-1} \in [1_Q]$.

It is convenient to denote the sequence of arguments $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}$ by \mathbf{q} , and the sequence $\mu_E(x_1), \dots, \mu_E(x_r), \mu_E(y_1), \dots, \mu_E(y_{n-r})$ by $\tau_E(\mathbf{q})$. By assumption, there is a function $\phi : K^n \rightarrow K$ such that $\mu_E(\Phi(\mathbf{q})) = \phi(\tau_E(\mathbf{q}))$ for any \mathbf{q} and E , so as $x_j \neq 0_{C_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ there is a function $\phi : K^n \mapsto K$ such that for any \mathbf{q} and E we have

$$\mu_E(\Phi(\mathbf{q}) p_0^{-1}) = \frac{\mu_E(\Phi(\mathbf{q}))}{\mu_E(p_0)} = \frac{\mu_E(\Phi(\mathbf{q}))}{\mu_E\left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}}\right)} = \frac{\phi(\tau_E(\mathbf{q}))}{\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}}} = \phi(\tau_E(\mathbf{q})).$$

Furthermore, there is, for given \mathcal{P}_{ij} , a bijection between scalar sequences

$$\omega : \tau_E(\mathbf{q}) \mapsto \mu_E(x_1), \dots, \mu_E(x_r), \frac{\mu_E(y_1)}{\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{(1+r)j}}}, \dots, \frac{\mu_E(y_{n-r})}{\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{nj}}},$$

where $\mu_E(y_k)/\prod_{j=1}^r \mu_E(x_j)^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} = \mu_E(y_k)/\mu_E(p_k) = \nu_E(y_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-r$, so there is a function $\chi = \phi \circ \omega^{-1} : K^n \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\nu_E(y_0) = \phi(\tau_E(\mathbf{q})) = \chi(\mu_E(x_1), \dots, \mu_E(x_r), \nu_E(y_1), \dots, \nu_E(y_{n-r})).$$

Now set $X = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$. By assumption $x_j \neq 0_{C_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$, so any $q_i \in C_i$ has a unique expansion of the form (5) relative to both E and X , and $\nu_E(y_k) = \nu_X(y_k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-r$ since $\mu_E(y_k p_k^{-1})$ does not depend on E .

There is thus a function $\psi : K^{n-r} \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\mu_E(x_1), \dots, \mu_E(x_r), \nu_E(y_1), \dots, \nu_E(y_{n-r})) &= \nu_E(y_0) = \\ \nu_X(y_0) &= \chi(1, \dots, 1, \nu_X(y_1), \dots, \nu_X(y_{n-r})) = \psi(\nu_X(y_1), \dots, \nu_X(y_{n-r}))\end{aligned}$$

for any E , since $x_j = 1 \cdot x_j$ so that $\mu_X(x_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

1_Q is a unit quantity for $[1_Q]$, so we can define a regular quantity function of $n-r$ arguments

$$\Psi : [1_Q] \times \dots \times [1_Q] \rightarrow [1_Q]$$

by setting

$$\Psi(\nu_1 \cdot 1_Q, \dots, \nu_{n-r} \cdot 1_Q) = \psi(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-r}) \cdot 1_Q.$$

Then we have

$$\nu_X(y_0) \cdot 1_Q = \Psi(\nu_X(y_1) \cdot 1_Q, \dots, \nu_X(y_{n-r}) \cdot 1_Q)$$

since $\nu_X(y_0) = \psi(\nu_X(y_1), \dots, \nu_X(y_{n-r}))$.

Finally, each p_k is non-zero and invertible, so

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_X(y_k) \cdot 1_Q &= \frac{\mu_X(y_k)}{\mu_X(p_k)} \cdot p_k p_k^{-1} = (\mu_X(y_k) \cdot p_k) \left(\frac{1}{\mu_X(p_k)} \cdot p_k^{-1} \right) = \\ &= (\mu_X(y_k) \cdot p_k) (\mu_X(p_k) \cdot p_k)^{-1} = y_k p_k^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-r$, since $y_k = \mu_X(y_k) \cdot p_k$ and $p_k = \mu_X(p_k) \cdot p_k$ are expansions of y_k and p_k relative to X . Thus,

$$y_0 p_0^{-1} = \Psi(y_1 p_1^{-1}, \dots, y_{n-r} p_{n-r}^{-1}),$$

or, using the notation $\pi_k = y_k p_k^{-1}$ as in the statement of the theorem,

$$\pi_0 = \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}).$$

We have thus shown the existence of a representation of Φ of the form (3) or (4). Also, $p_0 \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}) = p_0 \Psi'(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r})$ implies $\Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}) = \Psi'(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r})$ since p_0 is invertible, so Ψ is unique. \square

In this proof, E is not assumed to be a finite basis \mathcal{E} for Q , and the assumption that there exists a finite basis for Q is used only indirectly. This would seem to facilitate the generalization of Theorem 1 to other commutative scalable monoids over a field than finitely generated quantity spaces.

The condition that x_1, \dots, x_r are non-zero quantities is natural and necessary; note that y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r} are not restricted. It is often assumed in connection with the π theorem that quantities are positive (or have positive measures). This presupposes that K is an ordered field such as the real numbers, but the present representation theorem holds for any field, for example, the complex numbers.

Q has no zero divisors, so (4) implies that $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) = 0_{C_0}$ if and only if $\Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}) = 0_{[1_Q]}$, given that $x_j \neq 0_{C_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ so that $p_0 \neq 0_{C_0}$. This is analogous to the form of the π theorem given by Vaschy [8] and Buckingham [2], whereas Federman [3] proved an identity of the form (4). (In hindsight, these early contributions have flaws, but this was pioneering work.)

It follows from (4) that if Φ is a coregular quantity function and $\Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r})$ is invariant under the transformation $x_j \mapsto \lambda \cdot x_j$ then

$$\Phi(x_1, \dots, \lambda \cdot x_j, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) = \lambda^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \cdot \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r})$$

for non-zero λ, x_1, \dots, x_r . Identities of this form are scaling laws.

Consider a coregular quantity function

$$\Phi : C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n \rightarrow C_0, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) \mapsto y_0$$

on Q such that each C_i has a unique expansion $C_i = \prod_{j=1}^r C_j^{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}$. If $D_k \in Q/\sim$, $\mathcal{P}_k > 0$ and $D_k^{\mathcal{P}_k} = C_k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-r$, so that Φ can be expressed as

$$\Phi : C_1 \times \cdots \times C_r \times D_1^{\mathcal{P}_1} \times \cdots \times D_{n-r}^{\mathcal{P}_{n-r}} \rightarrow D_0^{\mathcal{P}_0}$$

then $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, z_1^{\mathcal{P}_1}, \dots, z_{n-r}^{\mathcal{P}_{n-r}}) = z_0^{\mathcal{P}_0}$, where $z_k \in D_k$, since $[z_k]^{\mathcal{P}_k} = [z_k^{\mathcal{P}_k}]$, and by Theorem 1 there exists a unique quantity function of $n-r$ arguments

$$\Psi : [1_q] \times \cdots \times [1_q] \rightarrow [1_q]$$

such that if $x_j \neq 0_{C_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ then

$$(6) \quad \pi_0 = \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}),$$

where $\pi_0 = z_0^{\mathcal{P}_0} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \right)^{-1}$, $\pi_k = z_k^{\mathcal{P}_k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{(k+r)j}} \right)^{-1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-r$, or equivalently

$$(7) \quad \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, z_1^{\mathcal{P}_1}, \dots, z_{n-r}^{\mathcal{P}_{n-r}})^{\mathcal{P}_0} = \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\mathcal{P}_{0j}} \Psi(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-r}).$$

Relation (7) is a more generally expressed and often more useful form of (4).

In practice, dimensional analysis usually starts from a dimensional matrix showing how the dimensions of the quantities to be related by an expression of the form (3), (4), (6) or (7) are expressed as products of powers of dimensions of certain base units. How to prepare data in the form of a dimensional matrix so that we can apply (7) is explained in [5]. In addition, several examples of dimensional analysis from beginning to end are given in [5, 6].

The application of Theorem 1 to dimensional analysis is based on the premise that the quantity function posited is covariantly representable. This is, in fact, a theoretical assumption about the equivalence of reference frames. An assumption of this kind, together with others, can be used to derive "laws of nature", much as, for example, the assumption in classical mechanics of the equivalence of different Galilean reference frames, the Galilean principle of relativity.

REFERENCES

- [1] de Boer, J. (1994). On the history of quantity calculus and the international system, *Metrologia*, **31**, 405–429.
- [2] Buckingham, E. (1914). On physically similar systems: illustrations of the use of dimensional equations. *Physical Review*, **4** (4), 345–376.
- [3] Federman, A. (1911). On some general methods of integration of first-order partial differential equations. *Proceedings of the Saint-Petersburg polytechnic institute. Section of technology, natural science and mathematics*, **16** (1), 97–155. (In Russian.)
- [4] Fourier, J. (1822). *Théorie analytique de la chaleur*. Paris.
- [5] Jonsson, D. (2014). Quantities, Dimensions and Dimensional Analysis. arXiv:1408.5024.
- [6] Jonsson, D. (2014). Dimensional Analysis: A Centenary Update. arXiv:1411.2798.
- [7] Jonsson, D. (2019). Magnitudes Reborn: Quantity Spaces as Scalable Monoids. arXiv:1911.07236.
- [8] Vaschy, A. (1892). Sur les lois de similitude en physique. *Annales Télégraphiques*, **19**, 25–28.

DAN JONSSON, UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: dan.jonsson@gu.se