

# New Approximation Algorithms for Maximum Asymmetric Traveling Salesman and Shortest Superstring

Katarzyna Paluch

Institute of Computer Science, University of Wrocław

abraka@cs.uni.wroc.pl

## Abstract

In the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem (Max ATSP) we are given a complete directed graph with nonnegative weights on the edges and we wish to compute a traveling salesman tour of maximum weight. In this paper we give a fast combinatorial  $\frac{7}{10}$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP. It is based on techniques of *eliminating* and *diluting* problematic subgraphs with the aid of *half-edges* and a method of edge coloring. (A *half-edge* of edge  $(u, v)$  is informally speaking “either a head or a tail of  $(u, v)$ ”). A novel technique of *diluting* a problematic subgraph  $S$  consists in a seeming reduction of its weight, which allows its better handling.

The current best approximation algorithms for Max ATSP, achieving the approximation guarantee of  $\frac{2}{3}$ , are due to Kaplan, Lewenstein, Shafrir, Sviridenko (2003) and Elbassioni, Paluch, van Zuylen (2012). Using a result by Mucha, which states that an  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies a  $(2 + \frac{11(1-\alpha)}{9-2\alpha})$ -approximation algorithm for the shortest superstring problem (SSP), we obtain also a  $(2\frac{33}{76} \approx 2,434)$ -approximation algorithm for SSP, beating the previously best known (having an approximation factor equal to  $2\frac{11}{23} \approx 2,4782$ .)

# 1 Introduction

In the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem (Max ATSP) we are given a complete directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with nonnegative weights on the edges and we wish to compute a traveling salesman tour of maximum weight. The problem is known to be APX-hard [26] and the current best approximation algorithms for it are due to Kaplan, Lewenstein, Shafrir, Sviridenko [13] obtained in 2003 and Elbassioni, Paluch, van Zuylen [25] published in 2012. Both of them achieve the approximation ratio of  $\frac{2}{3}$ , the former is based on linear programming and the other is combinatorial and simpler. Besides being an interesting problem in itself, Max ATSP is also of particular interest because of its applications to a number of related problems. For example, an  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies a  $(2 + \frac{11(1-\alpha)}{9-2\alpha})$ -approximation algorithm for SSP, which was shown by Mucha [20]. The shortest superstring problem is defined as follows. We are given  $n$  strings  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  over a given alphabet  $\Sigma$  and we want to find a shortest string  $s$  such that each  $s_i$  for  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  is a substring of  $s$ . SSP arises in DNA sequencing and data compression. Currently the best approximation algorithm for SSP is due to Mucha [20] and achieves an approximation factor of  $2\frac{11}{23}$ . For a long time the best approximation algorithm for SSP was the one given by Sweedyk [27] in 1999 with an approximation factor of  $2\frac{1}{2}$ . Any  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies also an algorithm with the same guarantee for the maximal compression problem defined by Tarhio and Ukkonen [28].

We devise a combinatorial  $\frac{7}{10}$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP, thus proving

**Theorem 1** *There exists a  $\frac{7}{10}$ -approximation algorithm for the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem.*

Using the result of Mucha [20], we obtain

**Corollary 1** *There exists a  $2\frac{33}{76}$ -approximation algorithm for the shortest superstring problem.*

The presented results are a simpler and weaker version of [22].

The approach we have adopted is as follows. We start by computing a maximum weight cycle cover  $C_{max}$  of  $G$ , where a cycle cover  $C$  of graph  $G$  is defined as a set of directed cycles of  $G$  such that each vertex of  $G$  belongs to exactly one cycle of  $C$ . A maximum weight cycle cover of  $G$  can be found in polynomial time by a reduction to maximum weight matching. Let  $opt$  denote the weight of a traveling salesman tour of  $G$  of maximum weight. The weight of an edge  $e$  will be denoted as  $w(e)$  and for any subset  $E'$  of edges  $E$  by  $w(E')$  we will mean  $\sum_{e \in E'} w(e)$ . Since a traveling salesman tour is a cycle cover of  $G$  (consisting of just one cycle), we know that  $w(C_{max}) \geq opt$ . By removing the lightest edge from each cycle of  $C_{max}$ , we obtain a collection of vertex-disjoint paths, which can be arbitrarily patched to form a tour. Removing the lightest edge from cycle  $c$  of length  $k$  results in a path of weight at least  $\frac{k-1}{k}w(c)$ . Since  $C_{max}$  may contain cycles of length two (2-cycles), in the worst case the obtained tour may have weight equal to  $\frac{1}{2}w(C_{max})$ . If we could find a maximum weight cycle cover of  $G$  without cycles of length two (2-cycles) or three (3-cycles or *triangles*), then we would achieve a  $\frac{3}{4}$ -approximation, but, unfortunately finding a maximum weight cycle cover without 2-cycles is APX-hard [6].

**Eliminating and diluting problematic subgraphs with the aid of half-edges** Since 2- and 3-cycles in a maximum weight cycle cover are an obstacle to getting a  $\frac{7}{10}$ -approximation, we would like to somehow get rid of them. To this end we use a technique of eliminating problematic subgraphs with the aid of *half-edges* - a half-edge of edge  $(u, v)$  is informally speaking “either a head or a tail of  $(u, v)$ ”. Half-edges have already been introduced in [25].

They have also been employed in [1],[10], [24]. Here we further develop this approach and show how to eliminate even more complex subgraphs. We already know that computing a maximum weight cycle cover without 2- and 3-cycles is hard. What we propose instead is to find a cycle cover  $C'$  *improving on*  $C_{max}$  in the sense it does not contain certain 2- and 3-cycles from  $C_{max}$  as well as some other difficult subgraphs but possibly contains half-edges and has weight at least  $opt$ . Let us note that it is the requirement that the weight of  $C'$  is an upper bound on  $opt$  that makes the task difficult. Without it finding new cycle covers avoiding prescribed configurations is easy and we would not even have to resort to using half-edges. We believe that the method utilizing half-edges provides a handy and relatively easy way of obtaining new cycle covers (or sometimes matchings) improving on previous ones in a certain manner and having weight upper or lower bounding  $opt$ , respectively. Additionally, half-edges in such cycle covers can be either completely discarded or extended to full edges, yielding regular cycle covers. Such an approach is often substantially easier than extracting a good cycle cover from the fractional solution of an appropriate linear program. For example, note that the method of obtaining two cycle covers of weight at least  $2opt$  and without any common 2-cycle in [13] is very complicated.

We deal with problematic subgraphs by either *eliminating* or *diluting* them. If  $C_{max}$  contains at least one 2-cycle or triangle, we compute a cycle cover of  $G$  that does not contain any 2-cycle or triangle that already belongs to  $C_{max}$  but may contain 2-cycles or triangles that are not in  $C_{max}$  or half-edges. Such a cycle cover  $C_1$  is going to be called a *relaxed cycle cover*  $C_1$  *improving*  $C_{max}$ . Also we will ensure that a computed  $C_1$  has weight at least  $opt$ . In some cases  $C_1$  would suffice to build a traveling salesman tour of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}opt$ . To (try to) extract such a tour from  $C_1$  and  $C_{max}$  we build a multigraph  $G_1$  consisting of 4 copies of  $C_{max}$  and 10 copies of  $C_1$ . Each occurrence of an edge  $e$  in  $C_{max}$  contributes 4 copies of  $e$  to  $G_1$  and each occurrence of  $e$  in  $C_1$  contributes 10 copies of  $e$  to  $G_1$ . If  $C_1$  contains only one half-edge of a certain edge  $e$ , then  $C_1$  contributes 5 copies of  $e$  to  $G_1$ . The number of copies of edge  $e$  in  $G_1$  may be equal to up to 14. The total weight of edges of  $G_1$  is at least  $14opt$ . We would like to divide edges of  $G_1$  into 20 sets  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{20}$  in such a way that each  $Z_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 20$ ) is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths. One of the sets  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{20}$  would then have to have weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}opt$  and by patching it to a tour, we would obtain the desired solution. Dividing edges of  $G_1$  into 20 sets can be viewed as coloring them with 20 colors so that each color class contains vertex-disjoint paths. Such coloring will also be called a *path-20-coloring* of  $G_1$ . We can see that we are not able to path-20-color  $G_1$  if  $C_1$  contains a *tricky* triangle  $t$ , which is a triangle that shares an edge with a 2-cycle of  $C_{max}$ . This is because a subgraph of  $G_1$  induced on the vertices of  $t$  contains 38 edges, 4 of which belong to an edge oppositely oriented to an edge of  $t$ . Therefore we would need 21 colors to path-color it. In the paper we show that if  $C_1$  does not contain a tricky triangle, then we are able to color  $G_1$  as required.

To safeguard against tricky triangles in  $C_1$ , we introduce a technique of *diluting*, one of the main new techniques of the paper. It consists in allowing a tricky triangle  $t$  to occur in  $C_1$ , but in a *diluted* form, by which we mean that although it contains all its edges, its weight is seemingly appropriately decreased, which enables its path-coloring. In other words, this technique succeeds (in a way) in altering the weights of edges in an unalterable (fixed) graph!

**Methods of edge coloring** For coloring  $G_1$  we present a method, which we think is interesting in its own right. One of the surprisingly simple ideas on which this method is based is as follows: let  $S$  be a subset of  $V$  and  $e = (u, v)$  an edge going into  $S$  (i.e.  $u \notin S$  and  $v \in S$ ), which is colored with a color  $k$ . Then if there exists no edge  $e' = (u', v')$  outgoing from  $S$  (i.e. such that  $u' \in S$  and  $v' \notin S$ ) which is colored  $k$ , then  $e$  does not belong to any cycle, whose

all edges are colored  $k$ . Using this idea in an inductive way is very helpful in the process of coloring.

Coloring of multigraphs considered in this paper is also related to *the linear arboricity conjecture*, which asserts that every  $k$ -regular digraph can be path- $(k + 1)$ -colored ([21], [2]). This relationship is more visible while path-3-coloring a 2-regular 2-digraph or path-4-coloring a 3-regular digraph. Path-3-coloring a 2-digraph is a special very short case of our method of path-coloring and we obtain a method of path-4-coloring 3-regular digraphs obtained from 2 copies of one cycle cover  $C_o$  and 1 copy of another one  $C'_o$ .

We are convinced that the presented techniques will find many other applications, not only in the context of traveling salesman problems.

**Previous and related results** The history of approximating the problems of maximum asymmetric traveling salesman and shortest superstring is quite long as is shown by the following lists of papers [18], [7], [29], [9], [14], [3], [3], [8], [27], [13], [25], [20] and [11], [14] [5], [17], [13], [25].

Other variants of the maximum traveling salesman problem that have been considered are among others: the maximum symmetric traveling salesman problem (MAX TSP), in which the underlying graph is undirected - currently the best known approximation ratio is  $\frac{4}{5}$  [10], the maximum symmetric traveling salesman problem, in which the edge weights satisfy the triangle inequality - the best approximation factor is  $\frac{7}{8}$  [15], the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem with a triangle inequality - the best approximation ratio is  $\frac{35}{44}$  [16].

## 2 Outline of algorithm

Suppose we have computed a maximum weight cycle cover  $C_{max}$  of a given complete directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ . We will say that a cycle  $c$  is **hard** if it belongs to  $C_{max}$  and each edge  $e$  of  $c$  satisfies  $w(e) > \frac{3}{10}w(c)$ . We are going to call cycles of length  $i$ , i.e. consisting of  $i$  edges,  **$i$ -cycles**. Also, 3-cycles will be called **triangles**. Let us notice that only 2-cycles and triangles can be hard. By  $c = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i)$  we denote an  $i$ -cycle consisting of edges  $(v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{i-1}, v_i), (v_i, v_1)$ . If  $C_{max}$  does not contain a hard cycle, then we can easily build a traveling salesman tour of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}w(C_{max}) \geq \frac{7}{10}opt$ . If  $C_{max}$  contains at least one hard cycle, we would like to obtain another cycle cover  $C_1$ , which does not contain any hard cycle from  $C_{max}$  (i.e. for each hard cycle  $c$  of  $C_{max}$ , not all edges of  $c$  are contained in  $C_1$ ), has weight at least  $opt$  and enables us to build a tour of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}opt$ . Let us remark here that computing a cycle cover of weight at least  $opt$  and not containing any hard cycle is hard. For comparison, note that computing a maximum weight cycle cover without any 2-cycles is NP-hard [6]. For this reason, we are going to relax the notion of a cycle cover and allow it to contain **half-edges** - a half-edge of edge  $(u, v)$  is informally speaking “half of the edge  $(u, v)$  that contains either a head or a tail of  $(u, v)$ ”. We formally define half-edges and cycle covers allowing half-edges later. For now one may think of  $C_1$  as a standard cycle cover.

To extract a tour of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}opt$  from  $C_{max}$  and  $C_1$ , we are going to build a multigraph  $G_1$  consisting of 4 copies of  $C_{max}$  and 10 copies of  $C_1$ . More precisely,  $G_1$  contains 4 copies of each edge  $e \in C_{max} \setminus C_1$ , 10 copies of each  $e \in C_1 \setminus C_{max}$  and 14 copies of each  $e \in C_1 \cap C_{max}$ . We would like to color each edge of  $G_1$  with one of 20 colors so that edges of the same color form a collection of disjoint paths or, in other words, we would like to **path-20-color**  $G_1$  or **path-color it with 20 colors**. We may notice that it is not possible, if  $C_1$  contains one of the following:

1. a 2-cycle or triangle of  $C_{max}$ .

2. a triangle oppositely oriented to a triangle of  $C_{max}$ .
3. a 2-cycle  $c = (u, v)$  such that one of its edges belongs to  $C_{max}$ . This is because  $G_1$  contains in this case 24 edges connecting  $u$  and  $v$  (14 in one direction and 10 in the other) and thus we would need 24 colors to path-color  $G_1$ .
4. a triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  such that a 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  belongs to  $C_{max}$ . In this case  $G_1$  contains a subgraph consisting of 14 copies of  $(q, r)$ , 10 copies of each of  $(p, q)$ ,  $(r, p)$  and 4 copies of  $(r, q)$ , which is clearly non-path-20-colorable.

We later show that if  $C_1$  does not contain any of the above cycles, then  $G_1$  built from  $C_{max}$  and  $C_1$  in the manner described above is always path-20-colorable. Ideally, we would like the enumerated cycles not to occur in  $C_1$  at all. However, not all of them are bad for our purposes, because sometimes it is easy to replace some edges of these cycles with other ones, so that we obtain a path-20-colorable multigraph. For example, if  $C_1$  contains a triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  such that a 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  belongs to  $C_{max}$  and  $w(r, q) \leq \frac{3}{2}w(q, r)$ , we can replace 4 copies of  $(r, q)$  with 6 copies of  $(q, r)$  and make the subgraph on  $p, q, r$  path-20-colorable. Also, this way we do not diminish the overall weight of the subgraph.

Below we define a set of cycles that are **tricky**. The occurrence of any such cycle  $c$  in  $C_1$  means that  $G_1$  is non-path-20-colorable and we cannot remedy this by local replacements of edges. A cycle of  $G$  oppositely oriented to  $c$  is denoted as  $opp(c)$ . A cycle  $c'$  is said to be a **subcycle** of  $c$  if every vertex of  $c'$  belongs to  $c$ . For any multisubgraph  $G'$  of  $G$ , by  $mult_{G'}(e)$  we denote the number of copies of  $e$  occurring in  $G'$  and for any subset  $V'$  of vertices of  $G'$  by  $E_{G'}(V')$  we denote the set of edges of  $G'$  connecting any two vertices of  $V'$ .

Let  $S = (V_S, E_S)$  be a multisubgraph of  $G$ . For any  $v \in V_S$ , by  $indeg_S(v)$ ,  $outdeg_S(v)$  we denote, respectively, the indegree and outdegree of  $v$  in  $S$ . Let  $G_1/S$  denote a multigraph  $(G_1 \setminus E_{G_1}(S)) \cup E_S$ . We say that a multisubgraph  $S$  of  $G$  is **amenable** if (i) any path-20-coloring of  $G_1 \setminus S$  can be extended to path-20-coloring of  $G_1/S$  and (ii) every vertex  $v \in V_S$  satisfies  $indeg_{G_1/S}(v) \leq 17$  or  $outdeg_{G_1/S}(v) \leq 17$ . (The degrees are required to satisfy this condition, because we want to leave the possibility of adding 3 copies of some edge  $e \in G$  incident to any vertex  $v$  to the multigraph  $G_1/S$ .)

We define a vertex/edge surrounding of  $c$  (with respect to  $C_{max}$ ) denoted  $sur^v(c)$  and  $sur^e(c)$ , respectively. For a triangle  $t = (p, q, r) \in C_{max}$  we have  $sur^v(t) = \{p, q, r\}$  and  $sur^e(t) = \{(p, q), (q, r), (r, p)\}$ . For a triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  such that the 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  belongs to  $C_{max}$ , we have  $sur^v(t) = \{p, q, r\}$  and  $sur^e(t) = \{(q, r), (r, q)\}$ . Let  $c$  be a 2-cycle  $(u, v)$  and  $(u', u), (v, v')$  two edges of  $C_{max}$ . Then  $sur^v(c) = \{u', u, v, v'\}$  and  $sur^e(c) = \{(u', u), (u, v), (v, v')\}$ .

A cycle  $c$  is **tricky** if it belongs to type 1, 3 or 4 enumerated above and no amenable subgraph on  $sur^v(c)$  has weight at least  $10w(c) + 4w(sur^e(c))$ . A triangle  $t$  that belongs to  $C_{max}$  is called a **3-triangle** and a triangle of type 4 - a **2-triangle**.

We later prove that any two tricky 2-cycles are vertex-disjoint. We observe also that for any tricky 3-triangle  $t$  holds that if  $t$  is not vertex-disjoint with some other tricky cycle  $c$ , then  $c$  is a sub-2-cycle of  $t$ . On the other hand tricky 2-triangles do not even have to be edge-disjoint with other tricky 2-triangles. We require that  $C_1$  does not contain any tricky 2-cycle or tricky 3-triangle or a triangle oppositely oriented to a tricky 3-triangle. As for tricky 2-triangles, we are going to forbid only their subset in  $C_1$ .

Let  $t$  be a tricky 2-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  such that  $c = (q, r)$  is a 2-cycle of  $C_{max}$ . We call  $p$  its **t-point** and the 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  its **t-cycle**. We have already observed that  $w(r, q) > \frac{3}{2}w(q, r)$ , because otherwise we could take 4 copies of  $c$ , 10 copies of  $t$  and replace in it 4 copies of  $w(r, q)$

with 6 copies of  $(q, r)$ , obtaining thus an amenable subgraph. Let  $\Delta(c) = w(r, q) - \frac{3}{2}w(q, r)$ . To the 2-cycle  $c$  we assign weight  $w'(c) = w(q, r) + \Delta(c)$ . Also, by  $\kappa(t)$  we denote  $\frac{w(r, q)}{10}$ . Among the set of all tricky 2-triangles we are going to distinguish a set  $R$  of its representatives and require that  $C_1$  does not contain any tricky triangle from  $R$  or if it does, then every such triangle  $t$  is **diluted**, by which we mean that in  $C_1$  it has weight equal to  $w(t) - \kappa(t)$ . We explain below how this is possible.

To identify the set  $R$ , we construct a bipartite graph  $H = (C \cup P, E_t)$ , where  $C$  contains all t-cycles and  $P$  t-points. An edge  $(c, p)$  belongs to  $E_t$  iff there exists a tricky triangle  $t$  such that  $c$  is its t-cycle and  $p$  its t-point. Let  $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_k$  be a partition of the set of vertices of  $C$  such that t-cycles belonging to the same  $T_i$  have equal weight  $w'$  and for each  $i < j$  and any  $c_i \in T_i, c_j \in T_j$ , it holds  $w'(c_i) > w'(c_j)$ . We assign *ranks* to edges of  $H$  in the following manner. Any edge of  $E_t$  incident to a vertex of  $T_i$  has rank  $i$ . We are going to compute a **rank-maximal** matching  $N$  of  $H$ , which is a matching of  $H$  containing a maximum number of rank one edges and subject to this condition a maximum number of rank two edges and so on. A rank-maximal matching can be computed in polynomial time [12].

One can observe that

**Fact 1** *Any rank-maximal matching of  $H$  is a maximum matching of  $H$ .*

As the set  $R$  representing tricky 2-triangles we set tricky triangles corresponding to the edges of  $N$ .

Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky 2-triangle with a t-cycle  $c = (q, r)$ . Observe that if  $C_1$  contained a diluted  $t$ , i.e. with weight in  $C_1$  decreased by  $\kappa(t)$ , then  $10(w(t) - \kappa(t)) + 4w(c)$  has the same weight as 14 copies of  $(q, r)$ , 10 copies of each of  $(p, q)$ ,  $(r, p)$  and 3 copies of  $(r, q)$ , which forms a path-20-colorable subgraph on  $p, q, r$ . Hence, a diluted triangle of  $R$  can be allowed in  $C_1$ .

To be able to compute a cycle cover  $C_1$  of weight at least *opt* and which does not contain any problematic cycle, we are going to allow it to contain *half-edges*, defined as follows. Let  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$  be a graph obtained from  $G$  by splitting each edge  $(u, v) \in E$  with a vertex  $x_{(u, v)}$  into two edges  $(u, x_{(u, v)})$  and  $(x_{(u, v)}, v)$  having weights such that  $w(u, x_{(u, v)}) + w(x_{(u, v)}, v) = w(u, v)$ . Each of the edges  $(u, x_{(u, v)})$ ,  $(x_{(u, v)}, v)$  is called **a half-edge (of  $(u, v)$ )**. By saying that an edge  $(u, v)$  of  $G$  belongs to a subset  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{E}$ , we will mean that both half-edges of  $(u, v)$  belong to  $\tilde{C}$ .

We say that  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{E}$  does not contain a cycle  $c$  of  $G$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  does not contain all edges of  $c$ , i.e., there exists at least one edge  $e$  of  $c$  such that at least one half-edge of  $e$  does not belong to  $\tilde{C}$ .

To deal with tricky triangles from the set  $R$ , we need to further extend the graph  $\tilde{G}$ . For each tricky triangle  $t \in R$ , we add two new vertices  $v_t, v'_t$  and two loops:  $e_t$  incident to  $v_t$  and  $e'_t$  incident to  $v'_t$  with weights  $w(e_t) = -\kappa(t)$ ,  $w(e'_t) = \kappa(t)$ . We call this graph  $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ . (Note that this is a supergraph of  $\tilde{G}$ . The idea behind these new loops is as follows. For each tricky triangle  $t = (p, q, r) \in R$ ,  $C_1$  either does not contain  $t$  or it does contain  $t$  and also a loop  $e_t$ . This implies that the weight of such  $t$  in  $C_1$  can be viewed as though it were equal to  $w(t) - \kappa(t)$ , i.e., it means that  $t$  is diluted, which enables the coloring of the subgraph on  $p, q, r$ . By saying that  $C_1$  contains a diluted  $t$ , we mean that  $C_1$  contains  $t$  and also a loop  $e_t$ .

**Definition 1** *A relaxed cycle cover improving  $C_{max}$  is a subset  $\hat{C} \subseteq \hat{E}$  such that*

- (i) *each vertex in  $V$  has exactly one outgoing and one incoming half-edge in  $\hat{C}$ ;*
- (ii) *for any tricky 2-cycle or 3-triangle  $c$ ,  $\hat{C}$  does not contain  $c$  or  $opp(c)$ .*

- (iii) for any tricky 2-triangle  $t \in R$ ,  $\hat{C}$  either does not contain  $t$  or contains a diluted  $t$ .
- (iv) if  $\hat{C}$  contains only one half-edge of edge  $(u, v)$ , then  $(u, v)$  belongs to a tricky 2-cycle, 3-triangle or 2-triangle of  $R$  or to a triangle oppositely oriented to a tricky 3-triangle.

A relaxed cycle cover  $C$  improving  $C_{max}$ , or a relaxed cycle cover  $C$  for short, consists of directed cycles and/or directed paths. A directed cycle of  $C$  corresponds to a directed cycle of the original graph  $G$  and a directed path ends and begins with a vertex in  $\tilde{V} \setminus V$ .

The outline of a  $\frac{7}{10}$ -approximation algorithm for Max ATSP is as follows.

1. Compute a maximum weight cycle cover  $C_{max}$  of  $G$ .
2. If  $C_{max}$  does not contain a hard cycle, extract from  $C_{max}$  a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint paths of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}w(C_{max})$  and go to Step 6.
3. Compute a relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$  improving  $C_{max}$  with weight  $w(C_1) \geq opt$ .
4. Compute a multigraph  $G_1$  with weight  $w(G_1) \geq 4w(C_{max}) + 10w(C_1)$  and path-20-color  $G_1$  omitting non-path-20-colorable subgraphs. If the whole  $G_1$  is path-colored, go to Step 6.
5. Compute exchange sets  $E_1, F_1$  such that  $G_2 = G_1 \setminus E_1 \cup F_1$  is path-20-colorable. Extend the existing coloring of  $G_1$  to that of  $G_2$ .
6. Extend a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint paths of weight at least  $\frac{7}{10}opt$  to a tour of  $G$ .

### 3 Computation of a relaxed cycle cover $C_1$

To compute a relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$  improving  $C_{max}$  we construct the following undirected graph  $G' = (V', E')$ . For each vertex  $v$  of  $G$  we add two vertices  $v_{in}, v_{out}$  to  $V'$ . For each edge  $(u, v)$  that belongs to a tricky 2-cycle, 3-triangle or 2-triangle of  $R$  we add vertices  $e_{uv}^1, e_{uv}^2$ , called **subdivision vertices** of  $(u, v)$ , an edge  $(e_{uv}^1, e_{uv}^2)$  of weight 0 and edges  $(u_{out}, e_{uv}^1), (v_{in}, e_{uv}^2)$  having weights such that  $w(u_{out}, e_{uv}^1) + w(v_{in}, e_{uv}^2) = w(u, v)$ . Edges  $(u_{out}, e_{uv}^1), (v_{in}, e_{uv}^2)$  are also called **half-edges** of  $(u, v)$ . If  $(u, v)$  does not belong to  $t$  or  $opp(t)$  such that  $t$  is a tricky 3-triangle, then each of the half-edges of  $(u, v)$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2}w(u, v)$ . For every other edge  $(u, v) \in E$  we add an edge  $(u_{out}, v_{in})$  of weight  $w(u, v)$ .

Next we build so-called gadgets. For each tricky 2-cycle  $c$  on vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , we add vertices  $\gamma_u^c$  and  $\gamma_v^c$  and edges  $(\gamma_u^c, e_{uv}^1), (\gamma_u^c, e_{vu}^2), (\gamma_v^c, e_{vu}^1), (\gamma_v^c, e_{uv}^2)$  with weight 0. The gadget is shown in Figure 1. If  $c$  is not a subcycle of a tricky 3-triangle or 2-triangle of  $R$ , each of the half-edges of  $(u, v)$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2}w(u, v)$  and each of the half-edges of  $(v, u)$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2}w(v, u)$ .




Figure 1: A gadget for a 2-cycle  $(q, r)$ .

Let  $t$  be any tricky 3-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ . Among edges of  $\text{opp}(t)$  we choose one with maximum weight. Suppose that the chosen edge is  $(r, q)$ . For each such  $t$ , we build the following gadget. We add vertices  $\gamma_p^{t-}, \gamma_p^{t+}$  and connect them to vertices  $e_{qp}^2, e_{rp}^2$  and  $e_{qp}^1, e_{rp}^1$ , respectively, via edges of weight 0. Each of the edges  $(e_{qp}^2, p_{in}), (e_{rp}^2, p_{in})$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2} \max\{w(q, p), w(r, p)\}$ . Thus,  $w(q_{out}, e_{qp}^1) = w(q, p) - \frac{1}{2} \max\{w(q, p), w(r, p)\}$ ,  $w(r_{out}, e_{rp}^1) = w(r, p) - \frac{1}{2} \max\{w(q, p), w(r, p)\}$ . We proceed analogously for pairs of edges  $(r, q), (p, q)$  and  $(p, r), (q, r)$ . Thus, we add vertices  $\gamma_q^{t-}, \gamma_q^{t+}$  and connect them to vertices  $e_{pq}^2, e_{rq}^2$  and  $e_{pq}^1, e_{rq}^1$ , respectively, via edges of weight 0, and we add vertices  $\gamma_r^{t-}, \gamma_r^{t+}$  and connect them to vertices  $e_{pr}^2, e_{qr}^2$  and  $e_{pr}^1, e_{qr}^1$ , respectively, via edges of weight 0. Each of the edges  $(e_{pq}^2, q_{in}), (e_{rq}^2, q_{in})$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2} \max\{w(p, q), w(r, q)\}$  and each of the edges  $(e_{pr}^2, r_{in}), (e_{qr}^2, r_{in})$  gets weight  $\frac{1}{2} \max\{w(p, r), w(q, r)\}$ . Additionally, if the 2-cycle  $c = (r, q)$  is not tricky, we add a gadget for  $c$ , which is the same as the gadget for a tricky 2-cycle.

The gadget is depicted in Figure 2.

We say that a half-edge is **incoming** if it is a half-edge of some edge  $(u, v)$  incident to  $v$ . A half-edge of  $(u, v)$  incident to  $u$  is called **outgoing**.

Let  $e_1, e_2$  denote two different edges of  $G$  incident with the same vertex  $v$ . Assume that a relaxed cycle cover  $\tilde{C}$  contains exactly one half-edges of each of  $e_1, e_2$ . We say that these two half-edges are **crossing** if exactly one of them is incident to  $v$  and **non-crossing** otherwise.

A *quasi relaxed cycle cover* denotes a relaxed cycle cover that does not satisfy point (iii) of Definition 1. We say that a (quasi) relaxed cycle cover  $\tilde{C}$  is **non-integral** on a set  $S$  of edges if there exists some edge  $e \in S$  such that  $\tilde{C}$  contains only one half-edge of  $e$ .

We say that a half-edge  $e_h$  of  $\tilde{C}$  is within a set of edges  $F \subseteq E$  if  $e_h$  is a half-edge of some edge in  $F$ . Let  $w(\tilde{C})_t$  denote the total weight of half-edges of  $\tilde{C}$  within  $t \cup \text{opp}(t)$ .

**Definition 2** A tricky triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  is said to be **harmonious** in a relaxed cycle cover  $\tilde{C}$  if  $\tilde{C}$  satisfies the following:

1. The difference between the numbers of edges of  $\tilde{C}$  incoming to  $t$  and outgoing of  $t$ , denoted  $\text{dif}(t)$ , is either zero or two.
2. If  $\text{dif}(t) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{C}$  is integral on  $t$ .
3. If  $\text{dif}(t) = 2$ , then depending on the configuration of edges incoming to and outgoing of  $t$ ,  $10w(\tilde{C})_t + 4w(t)$  is upper bounded by:
  - $\max\{10w(t) - 5w(r, p), 10(w(p, q) + w(q, p))\}$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  contains one edge outgoing of  $t$ , incident to  $r$  and three edges incoming to  $t$ ,
  - $\max\{10w(t) - 5w(q, r), 10(w(p, q) + w(q, p))\}$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  contains one incoming to  $t$  incident to  $r$  and three edges outgoing of  $t$ ,
  - $\max\{10w(t) + 5w(r, p), 10(w(p, r) + w(p, q) + w(q, p)), 10(w(p, q) + w(p, r) + w(r, p))\}$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  contains two edges outgoing of  $t$ , incident to  $p$  and  $q$  and no edge incoming to  $t$ ,
  - $\max\{10w(t) + 5w(q, r), 10(w(q, r) + w(r, p) + w(p, r)), 10(w(p, r) + w(r, q) + w(q, r))\}$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  contains two edges incoming to  $t$  incident to  $p$  and  $q$  and no edge outgoing of  $t$ .

**Lemma 1** Any perfect matching of  $G'$  yields a quasi relaxed cycle cover  $\tilde{C}$  with the following properties:






Figure 2: A gadget for a triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ .

- (i) for each problematic 2-cycle  $(u, v)$ , if  $\tilde{C}$  contains two half-edges from  $\{(u, x_{(u,v)}), (x_{(u,v)}, v), (v, x_{(v,u)}), (x_{(v,u)}, u)\}$ , then they either belong to the same edge or are crossing - thus one of them is incident with  $u$  and the other with  $v$  and are either both incoming or both outgoing.
- (ii) for each tricky 3-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ ,  $t$  is harmonious in  $\tilde{C}$ .

The proof is in Section 5.

We construct an undirected graph  $G''$  by extending and modifying  $G'$  as follows. For each tricky triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  such that  $(q, r)$  is a 2-cycle of  $C_{max}$ , we add the following gadget. We add vertices  $a_{\{p,q,r\}}, b_{\{p,q,r\}}$  and connect them to vertices  $e_{pq}^1, e_{rp}^2$  and  $e_{pq}^2, e_{rp}^1$ , respectively, via edges of weight  $\frac{\kappa(t)}{2}$  and connect  $a_{\{p,q,r\}}$  and  $b_{\{p,q,r\}}$  via an edge of weight 0. We also decrease the weight of each of the edges  $(r_{out}, e_{rq}^1), (e_{rq}^2, q_{in}), (q_{out}, e_{qr}^1), (e_{qr}^2, r_{in})$  by  $\frac{\kappa(t)}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2** Any perfect matching of  $G''$  yields a relaxed cycle cover  $\hat{C}$  with the following properties. Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky triangle of  $R$ , where  $c = (q, r)$  is its  $t$ -cycle.

- (i) If  $\hat{C}$  is non-integral on  $t \cup c$ , then it contains (i) crossing half-edges within  $c$  or (ii) crossing half-edges within  $\{(r, p), (p, q)\}$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{C}$  contains all edges of  $t$  if and only if it also contains a loop incident to  $v_t$ .
- (iii) If  $\hat{C}$  contains a loop incident to  $v'_t$ , then it contains no half-edges within  $c$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a perfect matching of  $G''$ . If  $M$  does not contain the edge  $(a_{\{p,q,r\}}, b_{\{p,q,r\}})$  (which means that these vertices are matched via edges of weight  $\frac{\kappa(t)}{2}$ ) and does not contain any edge corresponding to a half-edge of an edge of  $c$ , then  $\hat{C}$  contains the loop  $e'_t$  with weight  $\kappa(t)$ . If  $M$  contains edges corresponding to all half-edges within  $t$ , then  $\hat{C}$  contains all edges of  $t$  as well as the loop  $e_t$  with weight  $-\kappa(t)$ . In other respects the proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2** Any perfect matching of  $G''$  yields a relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$  improving  $C_{max}$ . A maximum weight perfect matching of  $G''$  yields a relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$  improving  $C_{max}$  such that  $w(C_1) \geq opt$ .

**Proof.** The first statement follows from the preceding two lemmas. The second statement follows from the fact that a traveling salesman tour is also a cycle cover that does not contain any 2-cycles or triangles unless the whole graph has two or three vertices.  $\square$

## 4 Path-coloring

Once we have computed a maximum weight cycle cover  $C_{max}$  and a relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$  our next task is to construct and color a multigraph  $G_1$ . The constructed multigraph is required to have weight at least  $4w(C_{max}) + 10w(C_1)$  and be path-20-colorable. On the high level, to satisfy the first requirement, we build  $G_1$  by taking 4 copies of  $C_{max}$  and 10 copies of  $C_1$ , obtaining possibly a multigraph with non-path-20-colorable subgraphs. To remedy the multigraph, we replace certain edges of such non-path-20-colorable subgraphs with other ones in a way that preserves the required weight and makes the multigraph  $G_1$  path-20-colorable or facilitates the coloring. The precise construction is described below.

### 4.1 Preprocessing via alternating cycles

The preprocessing described below is needed for coloring 2-cycles of  $C_1$  (the proof of Lemma 11) and it can be skipped during the first reading.

Before building the multigraph  $G_1$  we modify  $C_1$  so that it differs from  $C_{max}$  in a minimal way. An **alternating cycle** in  $C_{max} \oplus C_1$  is a sequence of edges of the form  $(v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_2), (v_3, v_4), (v_5, v_4), \dots, (v_{k-1}, v_k), (v_1, v_k)$ , in which edges belong alternately to  $C_{max} \setminus C_1$  and  $C_1 \setminus C_{max}$ . By applying an alternating cycle  $C_{alt}$  to  $C_1$  we mean the operation, whose result is  $C_1 \oplus C_{alt}$ , in which we treat  $C_{alt}$  as a set of edges. An alternating cycle  $C_{alt}$  is **good** if  $C'_1 = C_1 \oplus C_{alt}$  does not contain a problematic cycle or a tricky triangle of  $R$ , i.e.,  $C'_1$  is a relaxed cycle cover improving  $C_{max}$ .

**Fact 2** *Let  $C_{alt}$  be a good alternating cycle and  $C'_1 = C_1 \oplus C_{alt}$ . Then  $w(C'_1) \geq w(C_1)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $C_{max}$  is a maximum weight cycle cover of  $G$ ,  $w(C_{max} \oplus C_{alt}) \leq w(C_{max})$ . Therefore,  $w(C'_1) \geq w(C_1)$ .  $\square$

We apply good alternating cycles to  $C_1$  until it is no longer possible. We still call a new relaxed cycle cover  $C_1$ .

**Lemma 3** *After preprocessing, it holds that no alternating cycle is good.*

### 4.2 Construction of $G_1$

In this section we assume that  $G$  does not contain any tricky triangles and that  $C_1$  does not contain any **strange 2-cycles** - a 2-cycle is said to be strange if exactly one of its edges belongs to  $C_{max}$ . This in particular means that each half-edge of  $C_1$  belongs to a tricky 2-cycle. If  $C_1$  contains exactly one half-edge of each edge of a 2-cycle  $c$  of  $G$ , then  $c$  is called a **halfy** 2-cycle of  $C_1$ . In this section we also assume that each halfy 2-cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  belongs to  $C_{max}$ .

We start the construction of  $G_1$  by taking 4 copies of  $C_{max}$  and 10 copies of  $C_1$ , by which we mean the following. Let  $mult(e)$  denote the number of copies of edge  $e \in G$  contained in  $G_1$ . At the beginning for each edge  $e \in G$ , we set  $mult(e) = 0$ . Next, for each  $e \in C_{max}$ , we increase  $mult(e)$  by 4 and further, for each  $e \in C_1$  (note that  $e \in C_1$  means that the whole edge

$e$  belongs to  $C_1$ ), we increase  $\text{mult}(e)$  by 10. Subsequently, for each  $e$  such that  $C_1$  contains only a half-edge of  $e$ , we increase  $\text{mult}(e)$  by 5. Clearly, the thus obtained  $G_1$  has weight equal to exactly  $4w(C_{\max}) + 10w(C_1)$ .

### 4.3 Path-coloring

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote  $\{i \in N : 1 \leq i \leq 20\}$ . To **path-20-color** a multigraph  $G_1$ , or to **path-color** it, means to assign a color of  $\mathcal{K}$  to each edge of  $G_1$  in such a way that each color class consists of vertex-disjoint paths. Equivalently, we will be interested in path-coloring the underlying simple graph  $G_1$ , in which to each edge  $e$  of  $G_1$  we will assign a subset  $\text{col}(e)$  of colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that the size of  $\text{col}(e)$  equals the number of copies of  $e$  in the multigraph  $G_1$ , i.e.,  $|\text{col}(e)| = \text{mult}(e)$  (and each color class consists of vertex-disjoint paths).

A path-coloring of  $G_1$  will be carried out gradually. In the process each edge  $e$  of  $G_1$  can be either **colored** - when it has  $\text{mult}(e)$  colors assigned to it, or **uncolored** - when it is assigned no color. A cycle  $c$  is called **monochromatic** if there exists a color  $i$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that each edge of  $c$  is colored with  $i$  -  $c$  is then a monochromatic cycle of color  $i$ . Of course, a (partially) path-colored  $G_1$  cannot contain a monochromatic cycle. We will say that an edge  $e$  is **safe** if no matter how we color the so far uncolored edges, it is guaranteed not to belong to any monochromatic cycle. For example suppose that  $u$  has three incident edges in  $G_1$  -  $e_1 = (u, v)$ ,  $e_2 = (z, u)$ ,  $e_3 = (z', u)$  such that  $\text{mult}(e_1) = \text{mult}(e_2) = 4$ ,  $\text{mult}(e_3) = 10$  and  $\text{col}(e_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\text{col}(e_2) = \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$  and  $\text{col}(e_3) = \{11, \dots, 20\}$ . Also,  $u$  has no other outgoing edge in  $G_1$ . Then, clearly,  $e_1$  is safe. By saying that an edge  $e$  is  $k$ -safe we will mean that  $e$  is guaranteed not to belong to a monochromatic cycle of color  $k$ .

If  $S$  denotes any subset of vertices of  $G_1$ , then  $S^+$  denotes a set of edges  $\{(u, v) \in G_1 : u \in S, v \notin S\}$  and analogously,  $S^- = \{(u, v) \in G_1 : u \notin S, v \in S\}$ .

In path-coloring  $G_1$  we are going to heavily use the following very helpful observation:

**Observation 1** *Suppose that edge  $e \in S^-$  is colored with  $k$  and no edge of  $S^+$  is uncolored or colored with  $k$ . Then  $e$  is  $k$ -safe. Analogously, if edge  $e \in S^+$  is colored with  $k$  and no edge of  $S^-$  is uncolored or colored with  $k$ , then  $e$  is  $k$ -safe.*

Recall that  $C_1$  consists of cycles and paths. Any path  $p$  of  $C_1$  ends with a half-edge of some edge  $e$ . Such edge  $e$  is called a **border** of  $p$ . All paths of  $C_1$  occurring in this section end with borders belonging to tricky 2-cycles of  $C_{\max}$ . Notice that each halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$  either has two incoming paths of  $C_1$  or two outgoing paths of  $C_1$ . Apart from borders, we distinguish two other types of edges of  $C_{\max}$ . An edge  $e = (u, v) \in C_{\max}$  that is not a border is called a **ray** if  $u$  and  $v$  belong to two different cycles of  $C_1$  or two different paths of  $C_1$  or one of them belongs to a path of  $C_1$  and the other to a cycle of  $C_1$ . Otherwise, it is called a **chord**. Note that a chord  $e$  may also belong to  $C_1$ . A ray  $r = (u, v)$  incident to a vertex on a cycle  $c$  or path  $p$  of  $C_1$  is said to be a ray of  $c$  or correspondingly  $p$ . If vertex  $v$  belongs to  $e$ , then  $r$  is said to be an **inray** of  $e$  (and  $c$  or  $p$ ). Otherwise, it is called its **outray**.

Using Observation 1 we can apply the following simple method of coloring rays of  $C_1$ .

**Lemma 4** *Let  $c$  be a cycle of  $C_1$  such that each of its incident rays is uncolored or safe. Then we are able to color all uncolored rays of  $c$  in such a way that each one of them is safe.*

**Proof.** It is easy to guarantee that each newly colored ray is safe - it suffices if we color inrays and outrays of  $c$  with disjoint sets of colors, i.e., we partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into  $Z^-(c)$  and  $Z^+(c)$  and each uncolored inray of  $c$  is colored with colors of  $Z^-(c)$  and each uncolored outray of  $c$  with colors

of  $Z^+(c)$ . Then by Observation 1 and the fact that each previously colored ray is already safe, each ray of  $c$  is safe.  $\square$

For paths of  $C_1$  we can in fact apply the same method:

**Lemma 5** *Let  $p$  be a cycle of  $C_1$  such that each of its incident rays is uncolored or safe. Then we are able to color all uncolored rays of  $p$  in such a way that each one of them is safe.*

**Proof.** We use the same method as in the lemma above. Rays are not the only outgoing/incoming edges of  $p$ . There are also borders. However, the only cycle any border belongs to is a halfy 2-cycle and any 2-cycle consists of two borders (of two different paths of  $C_1$ ). Thus by this observation, Observation 1 and the fact that each previously colored ray is already safe, each ray of  $p$  is safe.  $\square$

Coloring rays so that they are safe does not mean, however, that there always exists a possibility of coloring the remaining edges of  $G_1$  so that we do not create a monochromatic cycle. Let us consider a few examples.

If  $c = (p, q, r, s)$  is a 4-cycle of  $C_1$  with 4 inrays, each colored with  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and 4 outrays, each colored with  $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ , then the only colors we can use on any edge of  $c$  are those belonging to  $Z = \mathcal{K} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ . Any color of  $Z$  can be used on at most three edges of  $c$  and each edge of  $c$  has to be assigned 10 different colors. Thus we would need at least  $40/3 > 13$  different colors, but have only 12. Therefore, it is not possible to path-color  $c$ .

We can notice that, if instead of a 4-cycle we had a 6-cycle  $c$  with 6 inrays, each colored with  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and 6 outrays, each colored with  $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ , then we would be able to path-color  $c$ . Suppose now that we have a 4-cycle  $c = (p, q, r, s)$  the same as above except for the fact that one of its outrays is colored with  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . We of course assume that all rays are safe. It turns out that in this case we can path-color  $c$ , because one edge of  $c$  can be colored with colors of  $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$  and then we need  $(40 - 4)/3 = 12$  colors for the rest.

Below we define *blocked* cycles of  $C_1$  and prove that any cycle of  $C_1$  that is not blocked can be path-20-colored.

Two edges  $e_1, e_2$  are said to be **coincident** if there exists vertex  $v$  such that either  $e_1 = (v, v_1), e_2 = (v, v_2)$  or  $e_1 = (v_1, v), e_2 = (v_2, v)$ . We say that two edges  $e_1, e_2$  are **diverse** if  $col(e_1) \cap col(e_2) = \emptyset$ . Let us note that coincident edges must be diverse.

We define the flexibility of  $e = (u, v) \in C_1$ , denoted  $flex(e)$ , as follows. Let  $e_1 = (u, v'), e_2 = (u', v)$  be edges of  $C_{max}$  coincident with  $e$  (it is possible that  $e_1 = e_2$  as well as one or both of  $e_1, e_2$  do not exist because they have been removed during the modification of  $G_1$ ). Then  $flex(e) = 10 - |col(e_1) \cup col(e_2)|$ . Thus, if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are colored with two non-empty disjoint sets of colors, then  $flex(e) = 2$ . For each cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  we define its flexibility  $flex(c)$  and colorfulness  $kol(c)$ . The flexibility of  $c$  is defined as  $flex(c) = \sum_{e \in c} flex(e)$ . Colorfulness  $kol(c)$  denotes the number of colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  used so far for coloring the edges of  $G_1$  incident to  $c$ . For a subset  $E'$  of edges of  $E$  by  $mult(E')$  we denote  $\sum_{e \in E'} mult(e)$ . By  $\lambda(c)$  we denote the length of a cycle  $c$ . For a cycle  $c$  with at least one chord,  $chor(c) = 4$ ; a cycle  $c$  with no chords has  $chor(c) = 0$ . Using the above notions we define the characteristic  $\chi(c)$  of a cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  as follows. If  $c$  has (i) at least two chords or (ii) one chord and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ , then  $\chi(c) = 20$ . Otherwise,  $\chi(c) = flex(c) + kol(c) - chor(c)$ . A cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  is said to be **blocked** if  $\chi(c) < 20$  and **unblocked** otherwise.



Algorithm Color7

```

while there exists an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$ 
     $c \leftarrow$  an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  with a minimal number of uncolored rays;
    process  $c$ ;

while there exists an unprocessed path of  $C_1$ 
     $p \leftarrow$  any unprocessed path of  $C_1$ ;
    process  $p$ ;

color the remaining uncolored edges in such a way that each of them is safe;

```

In what follows we prove the correctness of Algorithm Color7.

Let  $B$  denote the set of uncolored edges of  $G_1$ . We divide the flexibility of each edge  $e$  having coincident edges  $e_1, e_2 \in C_{max}$  using three components  $flex^0(e) = 10 - mult(e_1) - mult(e_2)$ ,  $flex^+(e) = |col(e_1) \cap col(e_2)|$  and  $blank(e) = mult(e_1 \cap B) + mult(e_2 \cap B)$ . Thus  $flex(e) = flex^0(e) + flex^+(e) + blank(e)$ . As a result the flexibility of each cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  consists of three components as well -  $flex(c) = flex^0(c) + flex^+(c) + blank(c)$ .

We say that two rays  $r_1 = (u, u'), r_2 = (v', v)$  of a 2-cycle  $c$  are **complementary (on  $c$ )** if either  $(u, v)$  or  $(v', u')$  is an edge of  $c$ .

**Lemma 7** *Let  $c$  be a cycle of  $C_1$  with  $u$  incident uncolored edges of  $C_{max}$ . Assume that  $c$  has (i) no chords or (ii) one chord and  $\lambda(c) = 3$ . Then  $\chi(c) = kol(c) + flex^0(c) + flex^+(c) + blank(c) - chor(c) \geq kol(c) + 2\lambda(c) + 4u + flex^+(c)$ .*

*As a consequence:*

1. A cycle  $c$  of length  $\lambda(c) > 2$  can be blocked only if it has at most one uncolored ray.
2. A 2-cycle is blocked only if some two of its non-complementary rays  $r_1, r_2$  are not diverse.

**Proof.** Any chord  $e$  of  $c$  contributes  $2mult(e)$  to  $blank(c)$ . Therefore,  $blank(c) - chor(c) = 4u$ . For any edge  $e$  of  $c$ , it holds  $flex^0(e) = 2$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 8** *Let  $r_1, r_2$  be two edges of  $C_{max}$  coincident with an edge  $e$  belonging to a cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$ . Suppose also that  $r_1$  is uncolored. There exists a set  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  of colors, the application of any color of which on  $r_1$  increases  $kol(c) + flex^+(c)$  by one, i.e.,  $kol(c) + flex^+(c)$  increases by  $|col(r_1) \cap Z|$  after coloring  $r_1$ . If  $r_2$  is uncolored, then  $Z$  has  $20 - kol(c)$  elements. Otherwise,  $Z$  is of size  $20 - kol(c) + mult(r_2)$ .*

**Proof.** By coloring  $r_1$  with a color not occurring yet on the rays of  $c$ , we increase  $kol(c)$  by 1. There are  $20 - kol(c)$  such colors. Additionally, if  $r_2$  is already colored, then by coloring  $r_1$  with any color assigned to  $r_2$ , we increase  $flex^+(e)$  and thus also  $flex^+(c)$ .  $\square$

For a cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$ ,  $\rho(c)$  indicates the maximum multiplicity of  $r_2$  from Lemma 8, i.e., the maximum multiplicity of a colored ray  $r_2$  of  $c$  incident to  $e \in c$  such that the other edge  $r_1$  of  $C_{max}$  incident to  $e$  is an uncolored ray of  $c$ .

**Lemma 9** *Suppose that at step  $S$  we want to color a set  $U$  of uncolored edges, where  $U$  consists of either (i) a subset of uncolored rays of a cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  or (ii) an antenna of a halfy 2-cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$ . Then, assuming that  $G_1$  is unblocked, there always exists a number  $\Delta'(c)$  and a set  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  such that by using  $\Delta'(c)$  different colors of  $Z$  on  $U$ , we guarantee that  $c$  does not become blocked. Depending on additional conditions,  $\Delta'(c)$  and  $|Z|$  can be expressed as the following functions of a certain  $\Delta(c) \leq \Delta'(c)$ :*

- 0 . If  $c$  has at least two chords or one chord and  $\lambda(c) > 3$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 0$ . In the remaining points we assume that  $c$  has no chords or one chord and  $\lambda(c) = 3$ .
1. If  $c$  is a 2-cycle with  $r$  colored rays, then  $\Delta'(c) = \text{mult}(U)$  and  $|Z| = 20 - 4r + \rho(c)$ .
  2. If  $c$  has one uncolored ray, no chords and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 4 - \Delta(c) \geq 0$ , where  $\Delta(c) = \text{flex}^+(c) + \text{kol}(c) - 10$  and  $|Z| \geq 14 - \Delta(c)$ .
  3. Assume that  $c$  has exactly two uncolored incident edges of  $C_{\max}$  and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ . Then  $|Z| \geq 12 - \Delta(c) + \rho(c)$ , where  $\Delta(c) = \text{flex}^+(c) + \text{kol}(c) - 8$ . If we color only one ray of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 2 - \Delta(c)$ , otherwise  $\Delta'(c) = 6 - \Delta(c)$ .
  4. Assume that  $c$  has at least  $u \geq 3$  uncolored rays and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ . Then  $|Z| \geq 20 - \text{flex}^+(c) - \text{kol}(c) + \rho(c)$ . If we color  $u - 2$  rays of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 0$ ; if  $u = 1$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = \min\{10 - \text{flex}^+(c) - \text{kol}(c), 0\}$ ; if we color all  $u$  rays of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = \min\{14 - \text{flex}^+(c) - \text{kol}(c), 0\}$ .
  5. If  $U$  consists of an antenna of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 4$  and  $|Z| \geq 16$ .

The proof of this lemma is contained in the proof of Lemma 20.

**Fact 4**    1. Each edge of  $C_{\max}$  is an antenna of at most two different halfy 2-cycles of  $C_1$ .  
 2. If an edge  $e \in C_{\max}$  is an antenna of two halfy 2-cycles, then it is not incident to a cycle of  $C_1$ .

**Lemma 10** Let  $c$  such that  $\lambda(c) > 2$  be an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  that at some step of Algorithm Color7 has a minimal number of uncolored rays. Then it is always possible to process  $c$ .

**Proof.** We divide the set of colors  $\mathcal{K}$  into two sets  $Z^+(c)$  and  $Z^-(c)$ . Next, we color each uncolored inray of  $c$  with one of the colors of  $Z^-(c)$  and each uncolored outray of  $c$  with one of the colors of  $Z^+(c)$ . This way each newly colored ray is safe - by Observation 1 and the assumption that all previously colored rays are safe.

Now, we prove that we can carry out the above in such a way that no cycle or halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$  becomes blocked.

Suppose first that  $c$  has exactly one uncolored ray  $r$ . By Lemma 9 there exists  $\Delta(c) \leq 4$  and a  $(12 - \Delta(c))$ -element set  $Z \subseteq K$  such that by coloring  $r$  with  $4 - \Delta(c)$  colors of  $Z$  we guarantee that  $c$  does not become blocked. If  $r$  is incident to another cycle of  $C_1$  or is an antenna of a halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$ , then we may also have to ensure that this (halfy) cycle denoted as  $c'$  does not become blocked. Regardless of whether  $c'$  is a cycle or a halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$ , by Lemma 9 we know that there exists an analogous number  $\Delta(c') \leq 4$  and an at least  $(12 - \Delta(c'))$ -element set  $Z' \subseteq K$  such that coloring  $r$  with  $4 - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z'$  guarantees that  $c'$  does not become blocked. Because  $20 \geq |Z \cup Z'| = |Z| + |Z'| - |Z \cap Z'| \geq 24 - \Delta(c) - \Delta(c') - |Z \cap Z'|$ , we obtain that  $|Z \cap Z'| \geq 4 - \Delta(c) - \Delta(c')$ . If  $\Delta(c) + \Delta(c') \geq 4$ , then  $(4 - \Delta(c)) + (4 - \Delta(c')) \leq 4$  and we can simply use  $4 - \Delta(c)$  colors of  $Z$  and  $4 - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z'$  to color  $r$ . Otherwise, we use  $4 - \Delta(c) - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z \cap Z'$ ,  $\Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z \setminus Z'$  and  $\Delta(c)$  colors of  $Z' \setminus Z$ . This way neither  $c$  nor  $c'$  will become blocked.

Suppose now that  $c$  has exactly two uncolored rays  $r_1, r_2$ . By Lemma 9 it is enough to color  $r_1, r_2$  with  $6 - \Delta(c)$  colors of an at least  $(12 - \Delta(c))$ -element set  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . If  $r_1$  is a ray or an antenna of a (halfy) cycle  $c'$  of  $C_1$ , then by the argument above, we can color  $r_1$  so that

at least  $4 - \Delta(c)$  colors belong to  $Z$  and at least  $4 - \Delta(c')$  to  $Z'$ . This means that we have already used at least  $4 - \Delta(c)$  colors of  $Z$ . To guarantee that  $c$  is not blocked, it suffices to use at most 2 additional (not already used on  $r_1$ ) colors of  $Z$ . If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are also the last two uncolored rays of  $c'$ , then we have to use  $6 - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z'$ , which means that it suffices to color  $r_2$  with two additional colors of  $Z'$ . If  $r_2$  is a ray of a different cycle  $c''$  of  $C_1$ , then by Lemma 9 it is enough to color  $r_2$  with  $2 - \Delta(c'') \geq 2$  colors of an at least  $(12 - \Delta(c''))$ -element set  $Z''$ . Thus both these cases are easy to handle - since  $r_2$  has to be colored with 4 colors, we use 2 colors of  $Z$  and two colors of either  $Z'$  or  $Z''$ , depending on whether  $r_2$  is incident to the same cycle  $c'$  or not.

If  $c$  has exactly 3 uncolored rays, then on the one hand  $\text{col}(c) + \text{flex}^+(c) \geq 8$  and on the other it suffices to color the uncolored rays of  $c$  with  $14 - \text{kol}(c) - \text{flex}^+(c) \leq 6$  colors that increase  $\text{kol}(c)$ . If the uncolored rays of  $c$  are also the last 3 uncolored rays of some different cycle  $c'$ , then we may also need to use 6 colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus \text{col}(c')$ . This can be easily achieved as we may use up to 12 different colors for coloring 3 rays.

□

**Lemma 11** *Let  $c$  such that  $\lambda(c) = 2$  be an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  that at some step of Algorithm Color7 has a minimal number of uncolored rays. Then it is always possible to process  $c$ .*

**Proof.** If  $c$  has only one uncolored ray, then the proof is the same as in Lemma 10.

Let  $O_2$  denote a set of cycles of  $C_1$  such that each cycle  $c$  of  $O_2$  has no chords and has exactly two uncolored rays coincident with the same edge  $e$  of  $c$ .

**Claim 1** *It never happens that each of the uncolored rays of  $O_2$  is incident to another cycle of  $O_2$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that each of the uncolored rays of  $O_2$  is incident to another cycle of  $O_2$ . Then the rays of these cycles together with edges of these cycles, with which the uncolored rays are coincident form a good alternating cycle contradicting Lemma 3. □

By this claim, we can always choose for processing a 2-cycle that either does not belong to  $O_2$  or belongs to  $O_2$  but one of its rays is not incident to a cycle of  $O_2$ .

Suppose that  $c$  belongs to  $O_2$  and has two uncolored rays  $r_1, r_2$ . Rays  $r_1, r_2$  have to be diverse with the already colored rays of  $c$ . Thus, there exists an at least 12-element set  $Z$  such that we have to color  $r_1, r_2$  with 8 colors of  $Z$ . If  $r_1, r_2$  are also rays of another cycle  $c'$ , then by the above claim,  $c' \notin O_2$ . If  $r_1, r_2$  are also the last uncolored rays of  $c'$ , then there exists a number  $\Delta(c') \leq 4$  and an at least  $(12 - \Delta(c') + \rho(c'))$ -element set  $Z' \subseteq K$  such that coloring  $r_1, r_2$  with  $8 - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z'$  guarantees that  $c'$  does not become blocked. Since  $\rho(c') = 4$ , we get that  $|Z \cap Z'| \geq 8 - \Delta(c')$ , which means that we have enough colors at our disposal. If  $r_1, r_2$  are not the last uncolored rays of  $c'$ , then the task is even easier, because either  $Z'$  has more colors or we have to use fewer than  $8 - \Delta(c')$  colors of  $Z'$ .

Consider now the case when  $r_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$  is a ray or antenna of  $c_i$  and  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Then the coloring of  $r_1, r_2$  is the most difficult when both  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are antennas. In such a case by Lemma 9 there exist sets  $Z_1, Z_2$ , each of size at least 16 such that coloring  $r_i$  with 4 colors of  $Z_i$  guarantees that  $c_i$  is not blocked. Since we have that  $|Z \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_2)| \geq 8$ , it is also possible to color  $r_1, r_2$ , so that none of the cycles  $c, c_1, c_2$  is blocked.

If  $c$  has more than two uncolored rays, then processing  $c$  is easy, because we can use all colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  so as not to block  $c$  and even if each of the uncolored rays  $r_i$  is an antenna, then



there exists an at least 16-element set  $Z_i$ , which can be used for coloring  $r_i$ .  $\square$

If  $a$  is an antenna of two different halfy 2-cycles, then it is said to be a **bilateral antenna**.

**Lemma 12** *Let  $p$  be an unprocessed path of  $C_1$ . Then it is possible to process it.*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the one above. Since paths are processed after cycles of  $C_1$ , the only thing we have to take care of is that antennas of the same halfy 2-cycle are diverse. The path  $p$  has at most two incident bilateral antennas  $a_1, a_2$  and if it does, then at most one of them is an inray and at most one an outray of  $p$ . Assume that  $a_1$  is an inray and  $a_2$  an outray. (They may also be chords.) Each  $a_i$  may have to be diverse with two different edges. Thus for each  $a_i$  it may happen that up to 8 colors are forbidden on it. Let  $Z_i$  denote the set of colors forbidden on  $a_i$ . We partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into two 10-element sets  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  so that  $|Z^-(p) \setminus Z_1| \geq 5$  and  $|Z^+(p) \setminus Z_2| \geq 5$ . To achieve this we divide  $\mathcal{K} \setminus (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$  (almost) equally between  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$ . Since  $|Z_1 \cap Z_2| \leq 10$ , it is always possible. Then we are able to color each ray of  $p$  so as to ensure that each antenna is diverse with required antennas.  $\square$

## 5 Missing proofs

We start with two auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 13** *Let  $t$  be a tricky 3-triangle and  $a$  any of its edges. Then  $\frac{9}{31}w(t) < w(a) < \frac{2}{5}w(t)$ . Let  $p$  be any two-edge path contained in  $\text{opp}(t)$ . Then  $w(p) < \frac{28}{37}w(t)$ .*

**Proof.** We show that if at least one of these statements does not hold, then there exists an amenable subgraph on  $t$  of weight at least  $14w(t)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $t$  is tricky.

Suppose that  $w(a) \geq \frac{2}{5}w(t)$  for some edge  $a$  of  $t$ . Then the other two edges have weights  $w(b) = \frac{3}{10}w(t) + \delta, w(c) = \frac{3}{10}w(t) - \delta$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ . By taking 20 copies of  $a$ , 17 of  $b$  and 3 of  $c$ , we obtain an amenable subgraph on  $t$  of weight at least  $14w(t)$ .

Suppose now that  $\frac{9}{31}w(t) \geq w(a)$  for some edge  $a$  of  $t$ . Then the other two edges have weights  $w(b) = \frac{11}{31}w(t) + \delta, w(c) = \frac{11}{31}w(t) - \delta$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ . By taking 20 copies of  $a$ , 17 of  $b$  and 3 of  $c$ , we obtain an amenable subgraph on  $t$  of weight at least  $14w(t)$ .

Assume now that there exists a two-edge path  $p$  contained in  $\text{opp}(t)$  having weight  $w(p) \geq \frac{28}{37}w(t)$ . Therefore its edges  $a$  and  $b$  have weights  $w(a) = \frac{14}{37}w(t) + \delta, w(b) = \frac{14}{37}w(t) - \delta$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ . By taking 20 copies of  $a$  and 17 of  $b$ , we obtain an amenable subgraph on  $t$  of weight at least  $14w(t)$ .  $\square$

The corollary of this lemma is the following:

**Lemma 14** *Let  $t$  be a tricky 3-triangle and  $a$  any of its edges. Let  $a', b', c'$  denote edges of  $\text{opp}(t)$  such that  $w(a') \geq w(b') \geq w(c')$ . Then  $w(b') < \frac{14}{37}w(t)$ .*

### Proof of Lemma 1

First we show that any perfect matching  $M$  of  $G'$  yields a relaxed cycle cover. For any edge  $(u_{\text{out}}, e_{uv}^1) \in M$ , we add a half-edge  $(u, x_{(uv)})$  to  $\tilde{C}$  and for any edge  $(u_{\text{in}}, e_{vu}^2) \in M$ , we add a half-edge  $(x_{(vu)}, u)$  to  $\tilde{C}$ .

Since  $M$  is a perfect matching of  $G'$  each vertex  $u_{in}$  and each vertex  $u_{out}$  has an incident edge in  $M$ . Hence, each vertex in  $V$  has exactly one outgoing and one incoming half-edge in  $\tilde{C}$ .

If an edge  $(u, v) \in E$  does not belong to any tricky 2-cycle, 3-triangle or 2-triangle of  $R$ , then it is replaced with an edge  $(u_{out}, v_{in})$  in  $E'$ . Thus, if  $\tilde{C}$  contains only one half-edge of some edge  $e$ , then  $e$  must belong to one of the mentioned tricky cycles.

For every tricky 3-triangle  $t$ ,  $G'$  contains eight additional vertices  $\gamma_p^{t+}, \gamma_p^{t-}, \dots, \gamma_r^c, \gamma_q^c$  each of which excludes exactly one half-edge within  $t \cup opp(t)$  from  $\tilde{C}$ . This exclusion follows from the fact that each of these vertices is matched to some vertex  $e_{(uv)}^1$  or  $e_{(uv)}^2$ , such that  $(u, v) \in t \cup opp(t)$ . If one of these additional vertices is matched to a vertex  $e_{(uv)}^1$ , then a half-edge  $(u, x_{(uv)})$  does not belong to  $\tilde{C}$ . Similarly, if it is matched to a vertex  $e_{(uv)}^2$ , then a half-edge  $(x_{(uv)}, v)$  does not belong to  $\tilde{C}$ . Therefore, for each tricky triangle  $t$ , at least eight half-edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$  do not belong to  $\tilde{C}$ , which means that  $\tilde{C}$  contains at most four half-edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$ , hence contains neither  $t$  nor  $opp(t)$ .

Next, we deal with the properties stated in the current lemma. The proof of the first property is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in [25].

Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky 3-triangle such that  $(r, q)$  is the chosen edge with maximum weight among edges of  $opp(t)$ . Let us notice that we may assume that  $\tilde{C}$  is integral on  $\{(q, p), (r, p)\}$  because if  $M$  contains one half-edge of  $(q, p)$ , then it also contains one half-edge of  $(r, p)$  and these half-edges are crossing. Also, the half-edges incoming to  $p$  have the same weight. Therefore such two half-edges in  $M$  can be replaced in  $\tilde{C}$  by that one of the edges  $\{(q, p), (r, p)\}$ , whose half-edge incident to  $q$  or  $r$  is contained in  $M$ .

Applying the same kind of reasoning we can prove that:

**Claim 2** *Let  $c$  denote the 2-cycle  $(q, r)$ . If vertices  $\gamma_q^c$  and  $\gamma_r^c$  are matched in  $M$  to the subdivision vertices of the same edge of  $c$ , then  $M$  yields a relaxed cycle cover  $\tilde{C}$ , which is integral on  $t$ .*

We denote the half-edges  $(u, x_{(u,v)})$  and  $(x_{(u,v)}, v)$  as  $u_v^{\rightarrow}$  and  $v_u^{\leftarrow}$ .

Assume first that  $\gamma_q^c$  and  $\gamma_r^c$  are matched in  $M$  to  $e_{q,r}^2$  and  $e_{r,q}^2$ . This means that  $\gamma_q^{t-}$  must be matched to  $e_{p,q}^2$  and  $\gamma_r^{t-}$  to  $e_{p,r}^2$ . Vertices  $\gamma_q^{t+}, \gamma_r^{t+}$  may be matched in  $M$  in one of the following ways:

1.  $e_{p,q}^1$  and  $e_{p,r}^1$ . Thus,  $e_{q,r}^2$  and  $e_{r,q}^2$  must be matched to  $q_{out}$  and  $r_{out}$ , which in turn means that neither can  $e_{q,p}^1$  be matched to  $q_{out}$  nor  $e_{r,p}^1$  to  $r_{out}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{C}$  contains two outgoing half-edges within the 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  and no half-edges within  $\{(p, q), (p, r), (q, p), (r, p)\}$ .
2.  $e_{r,q}^1$  and  $e_{q,r}^1$ . This would mean that both  $e_{p,q}^1$  and  $e_{p,r}^1$  must be matched to  $p_{out}$ , which is impossible. Therefore this case cannot occur.
3.  $e_{r,q}^1$  and  $e_{p,r}^1$ .  $\tilde{C}$  contains half-edges  $q_r^{\rightarrow}, p_q^{\rightarrow}$ , and either the edge  $(q, p)$  or no half-edge within  $\{(r, p), (q, p)\}$ .
4.  $e_{p,q}^1$  and  $e_{p,r}^1$ .  $\tilde{C}$  contains half-edges  $p_r^{\rightarrow}, r_q^{\rightarrow}$  and either the edge  $(r, p)$  or no half-edge within  $\{(r, p), (q, p)\}$ .

Assume next that  $\gamma_q^c$  and  $\gamma_r^c$  are matched in  $M$  to  $e_{q,r}^1$  and  $e_{r,q}^1$ . This means that  $\gamma_q^{t+}$  must be matched to  $e_{p,q}^1$  and  $\gamma_r^{t+}$  to  $e_{p,r}^1$ . Vertices  $\gamma_q^{t-}, \gamma_r^{t-}$  may be matched in  $M$  in one of the following ways:

1.  $e_{p,q}^2$  and  $e_{p,r}^2$ .  $\tilde{C}$  contains two incoming half-edges within the 2-cycle  $(q, r)$ .
2.  $e_{r,q}^2$  and  $e_{q,r}^2$ . This means that  $e_{p,q}^2$  must be matched to  $q_{in}$  and  $e_{p,r}^2$  to  $r_{in}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{C}$  contains  $q_p^\leftarrow, r_p^\leftarrow$  and no half-edge within  $(q, r)$ .
3.  $e_{r,q}^2$  and  $e_{p,r}^2$ .  $\tilde{C}$  contains half-edges  $q_p^\leftarrow, r_q^\leftarrow$ .
4.  $e_{p,q}^2$  and  $e_{q,r}^2$ .  $\tilde{C}$  contains half-edges  $q_r^\leftarrow, r_p^\leftarrow$ .

In all the above four cases  $\tilde{C}$  may contain additionally one of the edges  $(q, p), (r, p)$ .

We now want to show that  $w(\tilde{C})_t$  satisfies the conditions described in the definition of a harmonious triangle  $t$ .

Suppose first that  $\tilde{C}$  is not integral and contains two half-edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$ . By the observation above we know that none of these half-edges belongs to  $(q, p)$  or  $(r, p)$ . If  $\tilde{C}$  does not contain a half-edge of  $(r, q)$  (the heaviest edge of  $opp(t)$ ), then to prove that  $t$  is harmonious in this case it suffices to show that  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 4w(t) \leq 10w(t) - 5w(a)$  holds for any two different edges  $a', c'$  of  $\{(p, q), (p, r), (q, r)\}$  and for any edge  $a$  of  $t$ . This is equivalent to showing  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 5w(a) \leq 6w(t)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 5w(a) > 6w(t)$ . But then if  $a', c' \in opp(t)$ , by Lemma 13  $5(w(a') + w(c')) < 5 \cdot \frac{28}{37}w(t)$  and  $w(a) < \frac{2}{5}w(t)$ , which means that  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 5w(a) < 6w(t)$  - a contradiction. If only one of  $a', c'$  belongs to  $opp(t)$ , we have that  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 5w(a) < 10 \cdot \frac{2}{5}w(t) + 5 \cdot \frac{14}{37}w(t) < 6w(t)$  - again a contradiction. If both  $a'$  and  $c'$  belong to  $t$ , then  $w(a') + w(c') < \frac{22}{31}w(t)$  and hence  $5w(a') + 5w(c') + 5w(a) < 6w(t)$ . The proof holds also when  $\tilde{C}$  contains two half-edges within  $opp(t)$ , one of which may be a half-edge  $(r, q)$ , because then  $5(w(a') + w(c')) < 5 \cdot \frac{28}{37}w(t)$ .

Assume now that  $\tilde{C}$  contains two half-edges, one of which is a half-edge of  $(r, q)$  and the other is within  $t$ . We notice that then  $\tilde{C}$  must contain one half-edge of  $(q, r)$ , because if  $M$  contains one half-edge of  $(r, q)$  and one half-edge of  $(p, q)$ , then these half-edges are crossing and can be replaced by one edge - either  $(r, q)$  or  $(p, q)$ . Now we prove that  $5w(r, q) + 5w(q, r) + 4w(t) \leq \max\{10w(t) - 5w(a), 10(w(r, q) + w(q, r))\}$  holds for any edge  $a$  of  $t$  different from  $(q, r)$ . Let  $b = (q, r)$  and  $b' = (r, q)$ . Suppose that  $5w(b) + 5w(b') + 4w(t) > 10w(t) - 5w(a)$ . This means that  $w(b') + w(b) > \frac{6}{5}w(t) - w(a)$ . If  $5w(b) + 5w(b') + 4w(t)$  is also greater than  $10(w(b) + w(b'))$ , then  $w(b) + w(b') < \frac{4}{5}w(t)$ . However, by Lemma 13  $\frac{6}{5}w(t) - w(a) > \frac{4}{5}w(t)$  - a contradiction.

Let us now consider the cases when  $\tilde{C}$  is not integral and contains four half-edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$ . Hence,  $\tilde{C}$  contains the whole edge  $(r, p)$  or the whole edge  $(q, p)$ , because  $\tilde{C}$  can contain at most two half-edges within the remaining edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$ . We can notice that if  $\tilde{C}$  contains  $(r, p)$ , then we have an identical proof as above for the case of two half-edges within  $t \cup opp(t)$  because we then add  $10w(a)$  to both sides of the inequality. We analyze now the cases when  $\tilde{C}$  contains  $(q, p)$ :

1. all four half-edges are within  $opp(t)$ . We show that  $5w(a') + 5w(b') + 10w(c') \leq 6w(t) + 5w(a)$  if  $a', b', c' \in opp(t), a \in t$  and  $c' \neq (r, q)$ . Since  $5w(a') + 5w(b') + 10w(c') = 5w(opp(t)) + 5w(c') \leq 5w(t) + \frac{70}{37}w(t) < 7w(t)$  and  $6w(t) + 5w(a) > 7\frac{14}{31}w(t)$ , the inequality indeed holds.
2.  $\tilde{C}$  contains also two incoming half-edges within  $(q, r)$ . We notice that it cannot happen that  $5w(b) + 5w(b') + 4w(t) + 10w(c') > 10w(t) + 5w(b)$ , because it would mean that  $w(b') + 2w(c') > \frac{6}{5}w(t)$ . However, by Lemma 13  $w(c') + w(b') < \frac{28}{37}w(t)$  and  $w(c') < \frac{14}{37}w(t)$ , which means that  $w(b') + 2w(c') < \frac{42}{37}w(t) < \frac{6}{5}w(t)$ .

3.  $\tilde{C}$  contains  $q_p^{\leftarrow}, r_p^{\leftarrow}$ . Then we show that  $5w(c) + 5w(a') + 10w(c') + 4w(t) \leq 10w(t) + 5w(b)$ , which is equivalent to  $w(c) - w(b) + w(a') + 2w(c') \leq \frac{6}{5}w(t)$ .  $a', c'$  are two edges of  $opp(t)$  which do not contain a maximum weight edge  $b'$ . Hence  $w(a') + 2w(c') \leq w(opp(t)) \leq w(t)$ . Also,  $w(c) - w(b) < \frac{2}{5}w(t) - \frac{9}{31}w(t) < \frac{1}{5}w(t)$ .
4.  $\tilde{C}$  contains half-edges  $q_p^{\leftarrow}, r_q^{\leftarrow}$ . We show that  $5w(b) + 5w(c) + 10w(c') + 4w(t) \leq 10w(t) + 5w(b)$ , which is equivalent to  $w(c) + 2w(c') \leq \frac{6}{5}w(t)$ . We know that  $2w(c') < \frac{28}{37}w(t) < \frac{4}{5}w(t)$ . On the other hand,  $w(c) < \frac{2}{5}w(t)$ .

### Proof of Lemma 6

Let  $e = (u, v)$  be any edge of  $c$  and  $e_1 = (u, v'), e_2 = (u', v)$  edges of  $C'_{max}$  coincident with it. Then  $e$  has to be colored with colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus (col(e_1) \cup col(e_2))$ , i.e., it cannot be colored with any color assigned to the edge coincident with it.

Suppose first that  $c$  does not contain any chords. If each color  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  is assigned to some ray of  $c$ , then we are already done. By coloring each edge  $e$  of  $c$  with any  $mult(e)$  colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  that are not assigned to any edges of  $C_{max}$  coincident with  $e$ , we achieve that each color  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  is *not* assigned to some edge of  $c$ , thus  $c$  is not monochromatic with respect to any color of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Otherwise, if  $kol(c) < 20$  and thus not every color  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  is assigned to some ray of  $c$ , we still have that  $\chi(c) = kol(c) + flex(c) \geq 20$ . Therefore,  $flex(c) = \sum_{e \in c} flex(e) \geq 20 - kol(c)$ . This means that for each edge  $e$  of  $c$  with  $flex(e) > 0$  we can choose  $flex(e)$  colors of  $\mathcal{K}$ , which will *not* appear on  $e$ . Recall that  $e$  is colored with  $mult(e)$  colors and  $flex(e) = 10 - |col(e_1) \cup col(e_2)|$ , where  $e_1, e_2$  are edges coincident with  $e$ . This way we can distribute all colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  not assigned to any rays of  $c$  among edges of  $c$  and ensure that each edge of  $\mathcal{K}$ , which is not assigned to any ray of  $c$ , does not appear on some edge of  $c$ . Hence,  $c$  will again not be monochromatic w.r.t. any color of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Let us also remark that during processing of cycles we keep an invariant that if  $c$  has some rays, then some two of them are diverse.

Assume now that  $c$  contains some chords. Observe that if  $c$  has no incident bows (edges of multiplicity 5), then the flexibility of each edge  $e$  of  $c$  satisfies  $flex^0(e) \geq 2 + \min\{0, 8 - kol(c)\}$ . Thus for the flexibility of the whole cycle  $c$  it holds:  $flex^0(c) \geq 2\lambda(c) + \min\{0, 8 - kol(c)\}$ . This means that if  $c$  has length at least 6, we can color the chords of  $c$  however we like and  $c$  will not become blocked -  $\chi(c) \geq 20$ . Using the same argument as above we can then always color the edges of  $c$  in such a way that each color of  $\mathcal{K}$  does not appear on some edge of  $c$ .

There remains the question of how to ensure that each chord is safe. If a chord  $e$  of  $c$  is contained in a directed path  $P$  consisting of edges of  $C_{max}$  that contains some ray  $r$  of  $c$ , then to guarantee that  $e$  is safe, we can simply color all edges of  $P$  between  $e$  and the closest ray  $r'$  of  $c$  (together with  $e$ ) with the same set of colors as  $r'$ . Notice that if  $c$  has length smaller than 6 and has no uncolored chords after this procedure, then  $flex^+(c) \geq 4$ , thus  $kol(c) + flex(c) \geq 20$ . Assume then now that we have already colored all such chords of  $c$ .

Assume that  $c$  has the form  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\lambda(c)})$ , then we say that a sequence  $R(i, j) = (v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_j)$  is **a row of subcycles** if (i) for each  $k, i \leq k \leq j$  there exists an uncolored subcycle  $c_k$  of  $c$  going through  $v_k$  and for any two  $i_1, i_2$  such that  $i \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq j$  subcycles  $c_{i_1}$  and  $c_{i_2}$  are different and (ii) it is maximal - i.e. it cannot be extended.

A row  $R(i, j)$  begins (corr. ends) in one of three ways:

1. a marker - when edges of  $C_{max}$  incident to  $v_{i-1}$  (corr.  $v_{j+1}$ ) are already colored,
2. a twist - if a subcycle  $c'$  of  $c$  going through  $v_{i-1}$  goes also through one of the vertices  $v_{i+1}, \dots, v_j$  (corr.  $c'$  going through  $v_{j+1}$  goes also through one of the vertices  $v_i, \dots, v_{j-1}$ ),

3. a broad subcycle - if a subcycle  $c_i$  goes also through  $v_{i-1}$  (corr.  $c_j$  goes also through  $v_{j+1}$ ).

To color a row  $R(i, j)$  of subcycles in a **zebra manner** means to (i) choose two disjoint four-element sets of colors  $B$  and  $W$  and (ii) color all edges of  $C_{max}$  outgoing of  $v_i, \dots, v_j$  using all colors of  $B$  and the remaining edges of subcycles  $c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_j$  with 4 colors of  $W$ .

**Claim 3** *Suppose that a row  $R(i, j)$  of subcycles is colored in a zebra manner and  $e = (v', v_{i-1})$  is an edge of  $C_{max}$ . Then*

1. *If  $R(i, j)$  begins with a marker and  $B = \text{col}(e)$ , then all edges of subcycles  $c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_j$  are safe except for possibly the edge outgoing of  $v_j$  - some edge  $(v_j, v'')$  of  $c_j$ .*
2. *If  $R(i, j)$  begins with a twist, then all edges of subcycles  $c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_j$  are safe except for possibly the edge  $(v_j, v'')$  of  $c_j$  and the edge  $e' = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$  of  $c$  has  $\text{flex}(e') \geq 4$ .*
3. *If  $R(i, j)$  begins with a broad cycle, then all edges of subcycles  $c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_j$  are safe except for possibly the edges:  $(v_j, v'')$  of  $c_j$  and  $(v''', v_i)$  of  $c_i$ ; the edge  $e' = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$  of  $c$  has  $\text{flex}^+(e') \geq 4$  or  $(v''', v_i)$  is safe.*

Suppose first that  $\text{kol}(c) + \text{flex}^0(c) \geq 20$  and no chord is a bow (an edge of multiplicity 5). We then only have to color the chords and the edges of the cycle  $c$  so that each one of them is safe. We consider each row  $R(i, j)$  of subcycles separately. Suppose first that it begins with a marker. We then choose for  $B$  some 4 colors contained in  $\text{col}(e_1) \cup \text{col}(e_2)$  and color  $R(i, j)$  in a zebra manner. If  $R(i, j)$  ends with a twist, then all edges contained in the subcycles of  $R(i, j)$  are safe as a result. Otherwise, the only edge, which may be not safe is  $(v_j, v'')$ . If  $R(i, j)$  ends with a broad cycle, then  $\text{flex}^+(v_j, v_{j+1}) \geq 4$  and we can forbid 4 colors of  $W$  on  $(v_j, v_{j+1})$  thus ensuring that  $(v_j, v'')$  is safe. If  $R(i, j)$  ends with a marker, then let  $e_3, e_4$  denote the edges of  $C_{max}$  incident to  $v_{j+1}$ . To  $W'$  we add  $\min\{4, |(\text{col}(e_3) \cup \text{col}(e_4)) \setminus B|\}$  colors of  $(\text{col}(e_3) \cup \text{col}(e_4)) \setminus B$ . Note that  $(v_j, v'')$  is safe w.r.t. each such color. If  $W'$  has fewer than 4 such colors, we notice that  $\text{flex}^+(v_j, v_{j+1}) \geq 4 - |W'|$ , because  $4 - |W'|$  colors of  $B$  must be also present on  $(v''', v_{j+1})$ . Therefore we can forbid all the remaining colors of  $W \setminus W'$  on  $(v_j, v_{j+1})$  and thus ensure that  $(v_j, v'')$  is safe. If  $R(i, j)$  begins and ends with a twist, then by coloring it in a zebra manner, we guarantee that all edges of its subcycles are safe. Similarly, if  $R(i, j)$  begins and ends with a broad cycle, then  $\text{flex}^+(v_{i-1}, v_i) \geq 4, \text{flex}^+(v_j, v_{j+1}) \geq 4$  and we can forbid colors of  $B$  on  $(v_{i-1}, v_i)$  and colors of  $W$  on  $(v_j, v_{j+1})$ .

If any of the subcycles  $c'$  in a row  $R(i, j)$  contains a bow, then  $c'$  cannot be a broad cycle (because a broad cycle contains an edge of multiplicity at least 14 and a 2-cycle containing a bow has edges with multiplicities 4 and 5). If  $\text{kol}(c) \geq 20$  or  $\text{kol}(c) + \text{flex}^0(c) \geq 20$  the number of chords that are bows, then we color any bow occurring in a row  $R(i, j)$  with a color  $k' \notin W \cup B$  and forbid  $k'$  on one edge of  $c$ , using thus one unit of  $\text{flex}^0(c)$ .

We deal now with cycles with chords such that  $\text{flex}^0(c) + \text{kol}(c) < 20$  and without incident bows. Note that such  $c$  has length between 4 and 5. In this case we additionally need to get an extra  $\text{flex}^+(c) \geq 4$ . Except for the case when  $R(i, j)$  begins and ends with a broad cycle, we either get it for free by the above claim or can ensure it by choosing  $B$  in such a way that  $\text{flex}^+(v_{i-1}, v_i) \geq 4$ . If a row  $R(i, j)$  begins and ends with a broad cycle, then we do not color it in a zebra manner but in an **alternate zebra manner**: we use the same 4 colors of  $B$  on edges  $(v_i, v'_i), (v'_{i+1}, v_{i+1}), (v_{i+2}, v'_{i+2}), \dots$  and the same 4 colors of  $W$  on  $(v'_i, v_i), (v_{i+1}, v'_{i+1}), (v'_{i+2}, v_{i+2}), \dots$  thus increasing  $\text{flex}^+(c)$  sufficiently.

Finally, we deal with cycles with chords such that  $flex^0(c) + kol(c) < 20$  and having incident bows. The outline of the proof in this case is the following. Let  $c'$  be an uncolored subcycle. It is contained in two rows  $R(i, j)$  and  $R(i', j')$ . Sometimes we need to get an extra  $flex^+(c) \geq 7$  (for example, if  $c$  is a square). We are then able to get an extra  $flex^+(c)$  of 4 from each of the rows.  $\square$

## 6 Construction of $G_1$ in the presence of tricky triangles and tricky 2-cycles

We show how to modify the multigraph  $G_1$  built in the previous section, when  $G$  contains tricky triangles or  $C_1$  contains strange 2-cycles. We say that a tricky triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  is **halfy** if  $C_1$  contains exactly one half-edge of some edge of  $t$  or of  $opp(t)$ .

The main new features are going to be the following 3 types of subgraphs, shown in Figures 4 and 5, arising on tricky triangles:

1. a subgraph on  $p, q, r$  such that  $C_{max}$  contains a halfy 3-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  and  $C_1$  contains exactly four edges incident to  $t$ : either three incoming edges and one outgoing of  $t$  or three outgoing and one incoming. W.l.o.g. assume that  $C_1$  contains edges  $(p', p), (r', r), (q, q_1), (q_2, q)$ . We proceed as indicated in the definition of a harmonious triangle.  $G_1$  then contains either (i) 10 copies of each of  $(r, p), (q, r)$  and 5 copies of  $(p, q)$  or (ii) 10 copies of each of  $(p, r), (r, p)$  and 0 copies of  $(p, q), (q, r)$ . We choose the option of maximum weight. If option (ii) is maximum, then we treat  $C_{max}$  as though it contained the 2-cycle  $(p, r)$  and not the triangle  $(p, q, r)$  and in coloring  $G_1$  we do not treat  $(p, q, r)$  as a tricky triangle. Any edge  $e \in C_{max}$  such that  $mult(e) = 10$  is called a **b-edge**. A subgraph of  $G_1$  on  $p, q, r$  contains thus two b-edges.
2. a subgraph on  $p, q, r$  such that  $C_{max}$  contains a halfy 2-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  with a t-cycle  $c = (q, r)$  and  $C_1$  contains exactly four edges incident to  $t$ , three of which are incident to  $c$ . W.l.o.g. assume that two edges of  $C_1$  are incident to  $q$  and that  $C_1$  contains an edge  $(r_1, r)$ . Then  $mult(r, q) = 10$  and  $mult(q, r) = 5$ , hence  $(r, q)$  is a b-edge.
3. a subgraph on  $q, r$  such that  $C_{max}$  contains a 2-cycle  $c = (q, r)$ , which is a t-cycle of a tricky 2-triangle  $t$  and  $C_1$  contains a loop  $e'_t$ . Then  $C_1$  contains four edges incident to  $c$  and  $mult(q, r) = 5, mult(r, q) = 4$ . We call the edge  $(q, r)$  a **bow**.

The following two types of subgraphs can be treated in a very similar way as a subgraph surrounding a halfy 2-cycle (Figure 6):

1. a subgraph on  $p, q, r$  such that  $C_{max}$  contains a halfy 3-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$  and  $C_1$  contains exactly two edges incident to  $t$ : either two incoming or two outgoing. W.l.o.g. assume that  $C_1$  contains edges  $(p', p)$  and  $(q', q)$ . We again proceed as indicated in the definition of a harmonious triangle.  $G_1$  contains then either (i) 10 copies of each of  $(p, q), (r, p)$  and 15 copies of  $(q, r)$  or (ii) 10 copies of each of  $(p, r), (r, q), (q, r)$  or (iii) 10 copies of each of  $(q, r), (r, p), (p, r)$ . We choose the option with maximum weight. If option (ii) or (iii) is maximum, then we treat  $C_{max}$  as though it contained the 2-cycle  $(r, q)$  or  $(r, p)$  and not the triangle  $(p, q, r)$  and in coloring  $G_1$  we do not treat  $(p, q, r)$  as a tricky triangle. We call the edges  $(p', p''), (q', q'')$  of  $C_{max}$  **antennas** of  $t$  and require that they are diverse.




Figure 4: Halfy triangles with 3 incoming and 1 outgoing edges of  $C_1$ : a tricky 3- and 2-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ .




Figure 5: A bow.

2. a subgraph on  $p, q, r$  such that  $G$  contains a halfy 2-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ , where  $c = (q, r)$  is its t-cycle and  $C_1$  contains exactly two edges incident to  $t$ : either two incoming or two outgoing. W.l.o.g. assume that  $C_1$  contains edges  $(p', p)$  and  $(q', q)$ .  $G_1$  contains then 5 copies of each of  $(p, q)$ ,  $(r, q)$ , 4 copies of  $(r, p)$  and 14 copies of  $(q, r)$ . We call the edges  $(p', p'')$ ,  $(q', q'')$  of  $C_{max}$  **antennas** of  $t$  and require that they are diverse. We call the edge  $(p, p''')$  of  $C_{max}$  a **weak antenna** of  $t$  and require that it is **weakly diverse** with  $(p', p'')$ , by which we mean that  $|col(p, p''') \setminus col(p', p'')| \geq 2$ .




Figure 6: Halfy triangles with two incoming edges of  $C_1$ : a tricky 3- and 2-triangle  $t = (p, q, r)$ .

If  $C_1$  contains some strange 2-cycle or tricky triangles, then the multigraph  $G_1$  contains non-path-20-colorable subgraphs. We deal with such non-colorable subgraphs at the end by finding exchange sets  $F_1, F_2$  and extending the partial path-20-coloring.

If  $C_1$  contains a 2-cycle or triangle of  $C_{max}$ , then such a cycle is not tricky and then we can replace it with other edges so as to receive an amenable subgraph.

In all other aspects the obtained multigraph  $G_1$  has almost the same properties as in previous sections, i.e., each vertex has at most two incoming and two outgoing edges: two in  $C_{max}$  and two in  $C_1$  and thus indegree and outdegree at most 4.

Below we give a detailed description of the construction of  $G_1$ .

## 6.1 Tricky 2-cycles

A 2-cycle  $c = (u, v)$  of  $G$  is **strange** if exactly one of the edges of  $c$  belongs to  $C_{max}$ . Let  $c = (u, v)$  be a strange 2-cycle such that  $(u, v) \in C_{max}$  and  $(u', u), (v, v')$  are its incident edges of  $C_{max}$ . If  $c$  is not a subcycle of a triangle of  $C_{max}$  and both (i)  $w(u, v) > \frac{3}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$  and (ii)  $w(v, u) > \frac{3}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$ , then it is said to be **incurrigible**.

**Lemma 15** *Let  $c = (u, v)$  be an incurrigible 2-cycle. Then at most one of the vertices  $u, v$  is part of an incurrigible 2-cycle  $c' \neq c$ . If  $c = (u, v)$  and  $c' = (u, v')$  are two incurrigible 2-cycles such that  $(u, v), (u, v'), (v', v'') \in C_{max}$  and  $w(u, v) \geq w(u, v')$ , then  $w(v', v'') < \frac{w(u, v')}{3}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that both  $u$  and  $v$  are part of incurrigible 2-cycles  $c_1 = (u', u)$  and  $c_2 = (v, v')$  different from  $c$ . Assume that edges  $(u, v), (u', u), (v, v')$  belong to  $C_{max}$  and let  $(u'', u'), (v', v'')$  be edges of  $C_{max}$  adjacent to  $u'$  and  $v'$ . Since the 2-cycles  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are incurrigible, we have that  $w(u', u) > \frac{3}{4}(w(u, v) + w(u'', u'))$  and  $w(v, v') > \frac{3}{4}(w(u, v) + w(v', v''))$ , which implies that  $w(u', u) > \frac{3}{4}w(u, v)$  and  $w(v, v') > \frac{3}{4}w(u, v)$ . It means that  $\frac{3}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v')) > \frac{9}{8}w(u, v) \geq w(u, v)$ . Therefore  $c = (u, v)$  is not incurrigible - a contradiction.

Let  $c = (u, v)$  and  $c' = (u, v')$  be two incurrigible 2-cycles such that  $(u, v), (u, v'), (v', v'') \in C_{max}$  and  $w(u, v) \geq w(u, v')$ . Then, because  $c'$  is incurrigible,  $w(u, v') > \frac{3}{4}(w(u, v) + w(v', v''))$ . Hence,  $w(v', v'') < \frac{4}{3}w(u, v') - w(u, v)$ . Since  $w(u, v) \geq w(u, v')$ , we get that  $w(v', v'') < \frac{1}{3}w(u, v')$ .  $\square$

For 2-cycles, which are not subcycles of triangles of  $C_{max}$  we in fact use a different definition of a tricky cycle than the one presented earlier.

A 2-cycle  $c = (u, v)$  of  $G$ , which is not a subcycle of any triangle of  $C_{max}$  is tricky if it satisfies one of the following:

1.  $c$  is hard (by definition it then belongs to  $C_{max}$ ).
2.  $c = (u, v)$  is an incurrigible 2-cycle that is either vertex-disjoint with any other incurrigible 2-cycle or there exists an incurrigible 2-cycle  $c' = (u, v')$  such that  $(u, v), (u, v') \in C_{max}$  and  $w(u, v) > w(u, v')$ .

It easily follows that any two tricky 2-cycles are vertex-disjoint.

## 6.2 Strange halfy 2-cycles

If  $C_1$  contains exactly one half-edge of each edge of a 2-cycle  $c$  of  $G$ , then  $c$  is called a **halfy** 2-cycle of  $C_1$ . Additionally, if a halfy 2-cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  is such that exactly one of the edges of  $c$  belongs to  $C_{max}$ , then it is said to be a **strange halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$** .

In the way shown below we deal with each strange halfy 2-cycle that is not a subcycle of a tricky 3-triangle. To facilitate the subsequent coloring of  $G_1$ , we modify it as follows. We are also going to modify  $C_{max}$ . To avoid confusion, we denote the modified  $C_{max}$  as  $C'_{max}$ . Let  $c = (u, v)$  be any strange halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$ . Suppose that  $(u, v) \in C_{max}$ . Let  $(u', u), (v, v') \in C_{max}$  be the two edges of  $C_{max}$  incident to  $(u, v)$ . We remove all copies of  $(u', u), (v, v')$  (8



in total) from  $G_1$  and replace them with 1 additional copy of  $(u, v)$  and 4 additional copies of  $(v, u)$  - as a result  $\text{mult}(u, v) = \text{mult}(v, u) = 10$  and  $\text{mult}(u', u) = \text{mult}(v, v') = 0$ . Thus,  $(u, v)$  and  $(v, u)$  are b-edges. Also, in  $C'_{max}$ , we replace edges  $(u', u), (v, v')$  with one edge  $(v, u)$ . As a consequence of this modification, we obtain a multigraph  $G_1$ , in which each halfy 2-cycle  $c = (u, v)$  of  $C_1$  (not only strange but also one with both edges in  $C_{max}$ ) has no incident edges of  $C_{max}$  apart from those already belonging to  $c$  and both edges of  $c$  are b-edges.

**Lemma 16** *The weight of the thus modified  $G_1$  is at least  $4w(C_{max}) + 10w(C_1)$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that each halfy and strange 2-cycle  $(u, v)$  of  $C_1$  is incorrigible. Assuming that it is  $(u, v)$  that belongs to  $C_{max}$  and  $(u, v)$  is incorrigible, by the definition we get that  $w(u, v) > \frac{1}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$  and  $w(v, u) > \frac{3}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$ , which means that  $w(u, v) + 5w(v, u) \geq 4(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$ . □

### 6.3 Tricky 3-triangles

For any tricky 3-triangle, which is halfy in  $C_1$ , we proceed as described at the beginning of this section. This is justified by Lemma 1, which says that any such triangle is harmonious in  $C_1$ .

### 6.4 Tricky 2-triangles

**Lemma 17** *Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky 2-triangle of  $C_1$  with a  $t$ -cycle  $c = (q, r)$ . Then*

1.  $w(r, q) > \max\{\frac{w(t)}{2}, \frac{3}{2}w(q, r)\}$ .
2. Let  $\Delta = w(r, q) - \frac{3}{2}w(q, r)$ . Then  $\min\{w(p, q), w(r, p)\} > \frac{3}{5}\Delta + \frac{w(q, r)}{2}$ .
3. Let  $\epsilon = w(r, q) - \frac{w(t)}{2}$ . Then  $w(q, r) \geq \frac{w(t)}{3} - \epsilon$ .

**Proof.**

If point 1 did not hold, we could replace 4 copies of  $(r, q)$  with two copies of  $t$  and obtain a path-20-colorable subgraph or replace 4-copies of  $(r, q)$  with 6 copies of  $(q, r)$ .

Let  $a = (q, r), d = (r, q), b_1 = (p, q), b_2 = (r, p)$ .

We now prove point 2. We have that  $w(d) = \frac{3}{2}w(a) + \Delta$ . We notice that in order for  $t$  to be tricky it has to hold that  $4w(c) + 10w(t) > 10w(a) + 10w(b_2) + 10w(d)$ , because the subgraph consisting of 10 copies of each of  $a, b_2, d$  is path-20-colorable. This means that  $20w(a) + 10w(b_1) + 10w(b_2) + 4\Delta > 25w(a) + 10w(b_2) + 10\Delta$ , which implies that  $w(b_1) > \frac{3}{5}\Delta + \frac{w(a)}{2}$ . We obtain the same estimation for  $w(b_2)$  if we consider the subgraph consisting of 10 copies of each of  $a, b_1, d$ . Then it must hold that  $4w(c) + 10w(t) > 10w(a) + 10w(b_1) + 10w(d)$ .

To prove point 3, suppose to the contrary that  $a < \frac{w(t)}{3} - \epsilon$ . We will show that in such a case  $t$  is not tricky. Notice that  $10w(t) + 4w(c) < 10w(t) + \frac{10}{3}w(t) = \frac{40}{3}w(t)$ .

Since  $a < \frac{w(t)}{3} - \epsilon$ ,  $b + w(b_2) > \frac{w(t)}{3} - \epsilon$ . Suppose that  $b \geq w(b_2)$ . Let  $b = \frac{w(t)}{3} + \epsilon/2 + \delta$ . Then  $w(b_2) > \frac{w(t)}{3} + \epsilon/2 - \delta$ .

If  $b_1$  is coincident with an edge of  $C_{max}$  with multiplicity 5 (a bow), then we take 15 copies of  $b_1$ , 13 copies of  $b_2$  and 12 copies of  $a$ . Hence, the whole subgraph has weight greater than  $5w(t) + 7.5\epsilon + 15\delta + \frac{13}{3}w(t) + 6.5\epsilon - 13\delta + 4w(t) - 12\epsilon > \frac{40}{3}w(t)$ .

If  $b_1$  is not coincident with an edge of  $C_{max}$  with multiplicity 5, then we take 16 copies of  $b$ , 12 copies of  $b_2$  and 12 copies of  $a$ . Hence, the whole subgraph has also weight greater than  $\frac{40}{3}w(t)$ .  $\square$

Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky triangle such that  $c = (r, q)$  is a 2-cycle of  $C_{max}$ . Let  $a = (q, r)$ ,  $d = (r, q)$ ,  $b_1 = (p, q)$ ,  $b_2 = (r, p)$ .

1.  $C_1$  contains two crossing half-edges within  $b_1, b_2$  and no half-edges within  $c$ .

Then  $C_1$  contains either  $(r_1, r)$  and two edges incident to  $q$  or  $(q_1, q)$  and two edges incident to  $r$ . W.l.o.g. assume that the first case holds.  $G_1$  contains then 10 copies of  $d$  and 5 copies of  $a$ .

To prove that  $10w(d) + 5w(a) \geq 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2) + 4w(c)$ , we use Lemma 17 point 3. Let  $\epsilon = w(d) - \frac{w(t)}{2}$  and suppose that  $10w(d) + 5w(a) < 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2) + 4w(c)$ . Then  $10w(d) + 5w(a) < 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2) + 4w(a) + 4w(d)$ , which implies that  $6w(d) + w(a) < 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2)$ . Hence  $3w(t) + 6\epsilon + w(a) < 5(w(t) - w(a))$ . Thus  $w(a) < \frac{w(t)}{3} - \epsilon$ , which contradicts Lemma 17 point 3.

2.  $C_1$  contains two crossing half-edges within  $b_1, b_2$  and two crossing half-edges within  $c$ .

Then  $C_1$  contains either (i)  $(p_1, p)$  and  $(q, q_1)$  or (ii)  $(r_1, r)$  and  $(p, p_1)$ . W.l.o.g. assume that case (i) holds. Then  $G_1$  contains 15 copies of  $d$  and depending on which is more convenient either (i) 5 copies of  $a$  and 5 copies of that edge from  $b_1, b_2$  which has greater weight or (ii) 5 copies of each of  $b_1, b_2$ . To facilitate the coloring of  $G_1$ , we modify  $G'_1$  in such a way that we replace edges  $(p, q), (q, q_1)$  with one edge  $(p, q_1)$ . The edge  $(p, q_1)$  has multiplicity 10 in  $G'_1$ .  $G'_1$  does not contain any of the remaining edges of  $t$  or  $c$ . The restriction regarding this modification is such that if  $G'_1$  contains  $(q_1, p)$  and  $\text{mult}(q_1, p) = 14$ , then we do not perform it and instead remove from  $G'_1$  all edges of  $t$  and  $c$  as well as  $(q, q_1)$ .

Note that the required weight is equal to  $W = 4w(c) + 5w(c) + 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2) = 9w(a) + 9w(d) + 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2)$ . We need to prove that  $15w(d) + 5w(a) + 5\max\{w(b_1), w(b_2)\} \geq W$  and  $15w(d) + 5w(b_1) + 5w(b_2) \geq W$ .

Let us prove the first one. Notice that  $\max\{w(b_1), w(b_2)\} \geq \frac{w(t) - w(a)}{2}$ . Suppose that  $15w(d) + 5w(a) + 5\max\{w(b_1), w(b_2)\} < W$ . This means that  $4w(a) + 5\max\{w(b_1), w(b_2)\} > 6w(d)$ . Using  $w(d) = \frac{w(t)}{2} + \epsilon$ , we get that  $1.5w(a) + 2.5w(t) > 3w(t) + 6\epsilon$ . Hence  $w(a) > \frac{w(t)}{3} + 4\epsilon$ . But then  $w(d) < \frac{3}{2}w(a)$ , which contradicts Lemma 17 point 1.

3.  $C_1$  contains two crossing half-edges within  $(p, q), (r, p)$  and one whole edge within  $c$ .

Then  $C_1$  contains either (i)  $(p_1, p)$  and  $(q_1, q)$  or (ii)  $(p, p_1)$  and  $(q, q_1)$ . The case is similar to the case for the tricky 3-triangle. We do not modify anything in  $G_1$ . W.l.o.g. assume that case (i) holds. Edges  $(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)$  of  $C'_{max}$  are called the **antennas** of  $t$  and required to be diverse.

4.  $C_1$  does not contain any half-edges within  $c$  but contains a loop  $e'_t$ .

Since  $10w(e'_t) = w(r, q)$ ,  $G_1$  contains 5 copies of  $(r, q)$  (and not 4 as usual). Such an edge  $(r, q)$  is called a **bow**.

5.  $C_1$  contains all edges of  $t$  and a loop  $e_t$ .

Then  $10w(t) + 4w(c) + 10w(e_t) = 14w(q, r) + 10(w(p, q) + w(r, p)) + 3w(r, q)$  and  $G_1$  indeed contains 14 copies of  $(q, r)$ , 10 copies of each of  $(p, q), (r, p)$  and 3 copies of  $(r, q)$ , which is a path-20-colorable subgraph.

## 6.5 Strange 2-cycles

Finally, we show what we do about strange 2-cycles of  $C_1$ . Let  $c = (u, v)$  be a strange 2-cycle of  $C_1$  and suppose  $C_{max}$  contains  $(u, v), (u', u), (v, v')$ .

Since  $c$  belongs to  $C_1$ , it means that  $4w(v, u) \leq 6w(v', v)$  or  $4w(v, u) \leq 6w(u, u')$ . Suppose that the first case holds. Then  $G_1$  will contain 6 copies of  $(v, u)$  (instead of 10) and additionally, 6 copies of  $(v', v)$ . To facilitate the path-coloring of  $G_1$ ,  $G'_1$  is modified as follows. If  $v' = u'$ ,  $G'_1$  contains a 2-cycle  $(u', u'')$ , where  $u''$  is a new vertex and the number of copies of  $(u', u'')$  and  $(u'', u)$  is equal to respectively 10 and 5 and  $G'_1$  does not contain vertices  $u, v$  or their adjacent edges. If  $v' \neq u'$ ,  $G'_1$  does not contain  $u$  or  $v$  or their adjacent edges and contains instead 4 copies of the edge  $(u', v')$ , which is treated as though it belonged to  $C'_{max}$ .

**Theorem 3**  $w(G_1) \geq 10w(C_1) + 4w(C_{max})$

The proof follows from the above discussion.

## 7 Path-coloring in the presence of tricky triangles

As previously, i.e., in Section 4, we would like to take advantage of Observation 1 and color rays in portions by coloring all rays of one cycle or path in one step. Here, however, the situation is somewhat more complicated because of b-edges. Consider an edge  $e = (u, v)$  belonging to some path or cycle  $s$  of  $C_1$ . Suppose that it is coincident with two rays  $r = (u, u'), r' = (v', v)$  of this path or cycle, thus  $r$  is an inray and  $r'$  an outray of  $s$ . If  $r$  is a b-edge, meaning that  $\text{mult}(r) = 10$ , then it is impossible to color  $r$  and  $r'$  with disjoint sets of colors of  $\mathcal{K}$ . This is because, both  $r$  and  $r'$  have to be diverse with  $e$ . However,  $\text{mult}(e) = 10$ , which implies that afterwards the coloring it must hold that  $\text{col}(e) \cup \text{col}(r) = \mathcal{K}$ , hence  $\text{col}(r')$  must be a subset of  $\text{col}(r)$ . To deal efficiently with coloring of b-edges, we divide the set of colors  $\text{col}(r)$  assigned to any b-edge  $r$  into  $r$ 's **own colors**, denoted  $\text{col}'(r)$  and **colors inherited** by  $r$ , denoted  $\text{col}''(r)$ . If in the above example,  $r'$  is not a b-edge, then colors inherited by  $r$  are such that  $\text{col}''(r) = \text{col}(r')$  and  $r'$  is called an **ally** of  $r$ . Below we define *allies* for all b-edges. They help to control, which colors are inherited by which edges. For every b-edge, its inherited colors come from its ally.

The division is such that for any  $r, r' \in C_{max}$  coincident with an edge  $e$  of  $C_1$  such that  $r$  is a b-edge, it holds that (i)  $\text{col}''(r) \supset \text{col}(r')$ , if  $r'$  is not a b-edge, (ii)  $\text{col}''(r) \supset \text{col}'(r')$ , otherwise and (iii)  $|\text{col}'(r)| = |\text{col}''(r)| = 5$  (which holds after  $r$  is fully colored). For example, if  $e$  is coincident with two b-edges  $r$  and  $r'$ , then it holds that  $\text{col}(r) = \text{col}(r')$  and  $\text{col}'(r) = \text{col}''(r')$ ,  $\text{col}'(r') = \text{col}''(r)$ , thus half of the set  $\text{col}(r)$  are  $r$ 's own colors and half are inherited from  $r'$ .

Now, we define allies. Let  $r = (u, v)$  be a b-edge. It is coincident with one edge of  $C_1$ . Suppose that  $r$  is coincident with an edge  $e_1 = (v_1, v)$  of  $C_1$ . Then there exists an edge  $r'_1 = (v_1, v'_1)$  belonging to  $C'_{max}$ . We call  $r'_1$  an **ally** of  $r$  and denote as  $\text{al}(r) = r'_1$ . (If such an edge  $r'_1$  does not exist, that we can add an artificial edge of this form. In reality it means that we have more flexibility in coloring  $r$ .) The situation is symmetric if  $r$  is coincident with an edge  $e_2 = (u, u_1)$  of  $C_1$ . Then the edge  $r'_2 = (u_1, u'_1) \in C'_{max}$  is an **ally** of  $r$  and denoted as  $\text{al}(r) = r'_2$ .

Let us now examine what methods we can use to ensure that any b-edge is safe with respect to inherited colors. To this end, we appropriately define what it means for a halfy triangle to be **blocked** and **cooperative**. As for colors owned by b-edges we can apply Observation 1 to ensure their safety.

We say that two antennas  $a_1, a_2$  of a halfy cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  are **diverse** if the sets of its own colors are disjoint, i.e., if  $col'(a_1) \cap col'(a_2) = \emptyset$ .

## 7.1 Halfy triangles

Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a halfy triangle consisting of edges  $a = (p, q), b = (q, r), c = (r, p)$  and  $(p_1, p), (q_1, q), (q, q_2), (r_1, r)$  edges of  $C_1$  and  $(r_1, r'_1), (q'_2, q_2), (q_1, q'_1), (p_1, p'_1)$  edges of  $C'_{max}$ .

Suppose that  $G'_1$  contains 10 copies of  $d = (r, q)$  and 5 copies of  $b$  and  $C_1$  contains edges  $(q_1, q), (q, q_2), (r_1, r)$ . We call  $b$  an **s-edge** of  $t$ . If  $b' = (r_1, r'_1) \in C'_{max}$  does not belong to a halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$ , then  $b'$  is said to be an **outer antenna** of  $t$  and  $d$  an **inner antenna** of  $t$ . Since  $d$  is a b-edge, it has an ally  $d'$  and 5 colors assigned to it are inherited, i.e.,  $col''(d) \supset col'(d')$ . To be able to guarantee that  $d$  is safe with respect to each inherited color  $k \in col''(d)$ , we require that the antennas of  $t$  are diverse (i.e. that  $col'(d)$  and  $col'(b')$  are disjoint) and we say that  $t$  is **blocked** if this condition is not satisfied. (The situation is symmetric if  $t$  contains  $b = (r, q)$ ,  $G'_1$  contains 10 copies of  $d = (q, r)$  and 5 copies of  $b$  and  $C_1$  contains edges  $(q_1, q), (q, q_2), (r, r_1)$ .)

Let  $Z(t)$  denote a subset of  $col''(d) \setminus col'(b')$  such that  $k \in Z(t)$  if  $d$  is not already safe w.r.t.  $k$  at the moment of coloring  $d$ .  $Z(t)$  may of course contain all colors of  $col''(d) \setminus col'(b')$ .

Notice that if we color  $b$  in such a way that we assign  $|Z(t)|$  colors of  $col'(b') \setminus col''(d)$  to  $b$  (i.e.  $|(col'(b') \setminus col''(d)) \cap col(b)| \geq |Z(t)|$ ), then  $flex^+(r_1, r) \geq |Z(t)|$ , which means that we can forbid any color of  $Z(t)$  on  $(r_1, r)$  and hence are able to color  $(r_1, r)$  so that no color of  $Z(t)$  occurs on it (any color of  $col'(b')$  does not occur on  $(r_1, r)$  anyway). This is how we are going to ensure that  $d$  is safe with respect to inherited colors. Observe that since the outer antenna  $b'$  is required to be diverse with the inner antenna  $d$  (i.e.  $col'(d) \cap col'(b') = \emptyset$ ), there is no risk of assigning to  $b$  any color already assigned to  $d$  and hence creating a monochromatic 2-cycle.

To summarise, we say that edge  $b$  is **shadowed** or that we **shadow**  $b$  if (i) it is colored in such a way that  $|(col'(b') \setminus col''(d)) \cap col(b)| \geq |Z(t)|$  and if (ii) no color of  $Z(t)$  occurs on  $(r_1, r)$ . Also, if  $b'$  is a b-edge, then it views the edge  $b$  as though it were colored with colors of  $Z(t)$  and treats them as  $col'(b)$ . Thus, if  $b'$  is a b-edge, then  $col''(b') \supseteq Z(t)$ .

For example, suppose that  $d'$  and  $b'$  are already colored and  $col(d') = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $col(b') = \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$  and we want to color  $d$  and  $b$  (because we are processing a path or cycle of  $C_1$  containing edge  $(q_1, q), (q, q_2)$ ). Because  $d$  is a b-edge and  $d'$  is its ally, the colors  $col''(d)$  inherited by  $d$  are  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . We assign own colors of  $d$  to  $d$  so that they are disjoint with  $col(b')$ , for example,  $col'(d) = \{11, 12, 13, 14, 15\}$ . Therefore,  $col(d) = col'(d) \cup col''(d)$ . Next, we shadow  $b$ . Since,  $Z(t) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , we assign all colors of  $b'$  to  $b$ , thus  $col(b) = \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ . Also, we assign colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus (Z(t) \cup col(b))$  to  $(r_1, r)$ . Hence,  $col(r_1, r) = \{11, 12, \dots, 20\}$ . This means that  $d$  is safe w.r.t. each color of  $col''(d)$ .

We say that such a halfy triangle  $t$  is **cooperative** if

- $t$  is not blocked,
- its s-edge is shadowed,
- $b$  and  $d$  are diverse.

Suppose next that  $t = (p, q, r)$  is a halfy triangle such that  $G'_1$  contains 10 copies of each of  $a = (p, q)$ ,  $c = (r, p)$ , 5 copies of  $b = (q, r)$  and  $C_1$  contains edges  $(p_1, p), (q_1, q), (q, q_2), (r_1, r)$ . (The situation is symmetric when  $C_1$  contains  $(p, p_1), (q_1, q), (q, q_2), (r, r_1)$ .) We call  $b$  an **s-edge** of  $t$ ,  $a$  the **main b-edge** of  $t$  and  $c$  the **secondary b-edge** of  $t$ . Both  $a$  and  $c$  are b-edges and their allies are denoted as  $a'$  and  $c'$ , respectively. An edge  $b' = (r_1, r_2) \in C'_{max}$  is said to be an **ally** of the s-edge  $b$ .

If  $c'$  does not belong to a halfy 2-cycle of  $C_1$ , then  $c'$  is said to be an **outer antenna** of  $t$  and  $a$  an **inner antenna** of  $t$ . A triangle  $t$  is said to be **blocked**, if its antennas are not diverse. Notice that since  $c'$  is an ally of  $c$ , the fact that antennas of  $t$  are diverse implies that  $col''(c) \cap col'(a) = \emptyset$ .

Whenever possible, we color  $c$  in such a way that each color  $k$  inherited by  $a$  ( $k \in col''(a)$ ) is assigned to  $c$ . More precisely, for any inherited color  $k$  assigned to  $a$ , if  $k$  is not already assigned to  $c$  ( $k \in col''(a) \setminus col''(c)$ ), we assign it to  $col'(c)$  unless it is forbidden on  $c$ , because  $c$  is an antenna of some halfy cycle of  $C_1$ . (It can be proved that if a color  $k$  is forbidden on  $c$ , then  $a$  is safe w.r.t.  $k$ .) Additionally, if  $col'(c) \neq col''(a)$ , then we ensure that  $|col(a) \cap col(c)| \leq 5$ . (If  $col'(c) = col''(a)$ , then  $|col(a) \cap col(c)| \leq 5$  always holds.) For each color  $k \in col(a) \cap col(c)$ , we are going to guarantee that both  $a$  and  $c$  are safe w.r.t.  $k$  by *shadowing*  $b$  similarly as in the case above and as explained below.

Let  $Z(t) = \{k \in (col(a) \cap col(c)) \setminus col'(b') : k \text{ is such that } a \text{ is not safe w.r.t. } k \text{ at the moment of coloring } a\}$ . We want to ensure that  $a$  and  $c$  are safe with respect to each color  $k \in Z(t)$ . To this end, we color  $b$  in such a way that  $b$  is assigned at least  $|Z(t)|$  colors of  $col'(b')$ , i.e.,  $|col'(b') \cap col(b)| \geq |Z(t)|$ . Then we can color  $(r, r_1)$  so that no color of  $Z(t)$  occurs on it, i.e.,  $b$  is **shadowed**.

To sum up, we say that a halfy triangle  $t$  is **cooperative** if

- $t$  is not blocked and  $|col(a) \cap col(c)| \leq 5$ ,
- its s-edge is shadowed,
- no color  $k$  occurs on every edge of  $t$ .

Let  $e(t)$  be a b-edge of a halfy triangle  $t$ , then an s-edge contained in  $t$  is said to be **associated** with  $e(t)$ .

## 7.2 Algorithm

When coloring rays of a cycle or path  $s$  of  $C_1$ , we may not be able to color b-edges and s-edges incident to  $s$  fully, because their allies have not been colored yet. For this reason, we introduce the notion of precoloring. To **precolor** an edge  $r$  means to:

- color  $r$ , if  $r$  is neither a b-edge nor an s-edge,
- color  $r$  with 5 colors denoted as  $col'(r)$ , if  $r$  is a b-edge but not a secondary b-edge,
- leave  $r$  uncolored, if  $r$  is a secondary b-edge or an s-edge.

Below we show that we can guarantee that each ray of a given cycle  $c$  is safe by using a similar approach as previously, where we colored inrays and outrays with disjoint sets of colors. The modification consists in the fact that for b-edges, we only require that colors owned by them, i.e., sets  $col'(r)$  obey this partition.

**Lemma 18** *Let  $c$  be a cycle of  $C_1$  such that each of its incident rays is uncolored or safe. Then we are able to precolor uncolored rays of  $c$  in such a way that under the condition that each halfy triangle incident to  $c$  is cooperative, each ray of  $c$  is safe.*

**Proof.** We partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into  $Z^-(c)$  and  $Z^+(c)$ . We would like to color each uncolored inray of  $c$  with colors of  $Z^-(c)$  and each uncolored outray of  $c$  with colors of  $Z^+(c)$ . For every uncolored ray  $r$  such that  $\text{mult}(r) \leq 5$  and which is not an s-edge, this is indeed how we proceed. For every ray  $r$  of  $c$ , which is a b-edge, we assign 5 colors of either  $Z^+(c)$  or  $Z^-(c)$  to  $\text{col}'(r)$ , depending on whether  $r$  is an inray or an outray. Note that a ray of a cycle can never be a secondary b-edge, because both endpoints of a secondary b-edge belong to paths of  $C_1$ . The other colors assigned to  $r$  are inherited from the ally of  $r$ .

As for any s-edge  $e$ , we have already observed that it can only belong to a monochromatic cycle  $c$ , which is a (sub)cycle of a halfy triangle  $t$  which contains  $e$ . If  $t$  is cooperative, then it is guaranteed not to happen.

Let  $k$  be a color assigned to some ray  $r$  of  $c$ , also possibly at some later point after the precoloring of  $c$ . If  $r$  is an s-edge, then we have already shown above that under the condition the halfy triangle  $t$  containing  $r$  is cooperative,  $r$  is safe. Assume next that  $r$  is not an s-edge. If  $r$  is an inray and  $k \in \text{col}'(r)$ , then if  $r$  was precolored before processing  $c$ , it is safe by the assumption. If  $r$  was precolored during processing  $c$ , then  $k \in Z^-(c)$ . Any potential monochromatic cycle  $c'$  containing  $r$  must contain some outray  $r'$  of  $c$ . If any outray  $r'$  of  $c$  is colored  $k$ , then  $r'$  was either colored  $k$  before we started precoloring rays of  $c$  or  $r'$  is an s-edge or  $k$  belongs to colors inherited by  $r'$ , i.e.,  $k \in \text{col}''(r')$ . In all these three cases, however,  $r'$  is guaranteed to be safe w.r.t.  $k$  under the condition that a halfy triangle containing  $r'$  is cooperative. This means that  $r$  is safe under the condition that each halfy triangle incident to  $c$  is cooperative.  $\square$

We say that an edge is **conditionally safe** if it is guaranteed not to belong to a monochromatic cycle under the condition that all halfy triangles are cooperative.

We say that  $G'_1$  is blocked if there exists a cycle, a halfy 2-cycle or tricky triangle of  $C_1$  that is blocked. Otherwise,  $G'_1$  is unblocked.

To **process** a cycle or path  $s$  of  $C_1$  means to precolor all its rays in such a way that all of them are conditionally safe and  $G'_1$  is unblocked, assuming that before starting to process this cycle or path,  $G'_1$  is safe and unblocked.

Algorithm Color7

```

while there exists an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  without any incident b-edge
     $c \leftarrow$  an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  without any incident b-edge with a minimal number of uncolored rays;
    process  $c$ ;

process all cycles of  $C_1$  with an incident b-edge;

while there exists an unprocessed path of  $C_1$ 
     $p \leftarrow$  any unprocessed path of  $C_1$ ;
    process  $p$ ;

color fully all b-edges and s-edges;
color the remaining uncolored edges in such a way that each of them is safe and  $G'_1$  does not become blocked.

```

By  $\beta(c)$  we denote the number of bows incident to  $c$ .

**Lemma 19** *Let  $c$  be a cycle of  $C_1$ . Then  $\chi(c) = \text{kol}(c) + \text{flex}^0(c) + \text{flex}^+(c) + \text{blank}(c) \geq \text{kol}(c) + 2\lambda(c) - \beta(c) + \text{flex}^+(c)$ . If the number of uncolored rays and chords of  $c$  is equal to  $r$ , then  $\chi(c) \geq \text{kol}(c) + 2\lambda(c) - \beta(c) + 4r + \text{flex}^+(c)$ .*

As a consequence:

1. A cycle of length greater than 2 and one uncolored chord or two uncolored rays cannot be blocked.
2. A 2-cycle is blocked only if some two of its non-complementary rays  $r_1, r_2$  are not diverse.

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be an edge of  $c$ . If none of its coincident edges of  $C'_{max}$  is colored, then  $flex(e) = 10$ . If exactly one of its coincident edges of  $C'_{max}$  is colored, then  $flex(e) = 6$ . Otherwise,  $flex(e) \geq \max\{10 - kol(c), 2\}$ .  $\square$

We now present an extended version of Lemma 9. The main difference comes from the fact that  $G'_1$  may contain bows, which occurs in point 5. Recall that a bow is an edge of multiplicity 5 contained in a 2-cycle of  $C'_{max}$ .

**Lemma 20** *Suppose that at step  $S$  we want to color a set  $U$  of uncolored edges, where  $U$  consists of either (i) a subset of uncolored rays of a cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$  or (ii) an antenna of a halfy cycle  $c$  of  $C_1$ . Then, assuming that  $G'_1$  is unblocked, there always exists a number  $\Delta'(c)$  and a set  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  such that by using  $\Delta'(c)$  different colors of  $Z$  on  $U$ , we guarantee that  $c$  does not become blocked. Depending on additional conditions,  $\Delta'(c)$  and  $|Z|$  can be expressed as the following functions of a certain  $\Delta(c) \leq \Delta'(c)$ :*

- 0 . If  $c$  has at least two chords or one chord and  $\lambda(c) > 3$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 0$ . In the remaining points we assume that  $c$  has no chords or one chord and  $\lambda(c) = 3$ .
1. If  $c$  is a 2-cycle with  $r$  colored rays, then  $\Delta'(c) = mult(U)$  and  $|Z| = 20 - 4r + \rho(c)$ .
2. If  $c$  has one uncolored ray, no chords and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 4 - \Delta(c) \geq 0$ , where  $\Delta(c) = flex^+(c) + kol(c) - 10$  and  $|Z| \geq 12 - \Delta(c)$ .
3. Assume that  $c$  has exactly two uncolored incident edges of  $C_{max}$  and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ . Then  $|Z| \geq 12 - \Delta(c) + \rho(c)$ , where  $\Delta(c) = flex^+(c) + kol(c) - 8$ . If we color only one ray of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 2 - \Delta(c)$ , otherwise  $\Delta'(c) = 6 - \Delta(c)$ .
4. Assume that  $c$  has at least  $u \geq 3$  uncolored rays and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ . Then  $|Z| \geq 20 - flex^+(c) - kol(c) + \rho(c)$ . If we color  $u - 2$  rays of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 0$ ; if  $u - 1$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = \min\{10 - flex^+(c) - kol(c), 0\}$ ; if we color all  $u$  rays of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = \min\{14 - flex^+(c) - kol(c), 0\}$ .
5. If  $U$  consists of an antenna of  $c$ , then  $\Delta'(c) = 4$  and  $|Z| \geq 15$ .

**Proof.** If  $c$  has no chords and  $r$  uncolored rays, then  $\chi(c) = kol(c) + 2\lambda(c) - \beta(c) + 4r + flex^+(c)$ .

*Case:  $c$  has exactly one uncolored ray and no chords.*

We can notice that if  $c$  has exactly one uncolored ray  $e$  incident to vertex  $v \in c$ , then it cannot belong to a 2-cycle  $c'$  of  $C'_{max}$ , because both edges of any 2-cycle of  $C'_{max}$  are colored during the same step. Thus at any point of the execution of Algorithm Color 7 either both edges of such 2-cycle are uncolored or both are colored. This means that  $e$  is not a bow and also that  $v$  has no incident bow. Therefore,  $\beta(c) \leq \lambda(c) - 1$  and  $mult(e) = 4$ . Thus  $2\lambda(c) - \beta(c)$  is minimum when  $\beta(c) = \lambda(c) - 1$  and  $\lambda(c) = 3$  and amounts to 4. By Lemma 8 there exists a set  $Z$  of colors the application of any color of which increases  $flex^+(c) + kol(c)$ . To guarantee that  $c$  does not become blocked it suffices to use  $mult(e) - \min\{0, \chi(c) - 20\}$  colors of  $Z$ . Let

us estimate  $\chi(c) - 20$ . We have  $\chi(c) - 20 = kol(c) + flex^+(c) + 2\lambda(c) - \beta(c) + 4 - 20 \geq kol(c) + flex^+(c) - 12$ . Let  $\Delta(c) = \min\{0, kol(c) + flex^+(c) - 12\}$ . By Lemma 8 the size of  $Z$  is at least  $24 - kol(c) \geq 12 + 12 - kol(c) - flex^+(c) = 12 - \Delta(c)$ .

*Case:  $c$  has exactly two uncolored incident edges  $e, f$  of  $C'_{max}$ .*

Suppose first that the currently colored rays of  $c$  do not contain a bow. Then  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) \geq 8$  and  $flex^0(c) + blank(c) \geq 14$ , because either  $e, f$  do not contain a bow and then  $blank(c) = 8$  and  $flex^0(c) \geq 6$  or  $e, f$  contain a bow and then  $blank(c) = 9$  and  $flex^0(c) \geq 5$ . Hence  $\chi(c) \geq 22$ , because  $\chi(c) = kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) + blank(c)$ . Thus, to guarantee that  $c$  does not become blocked, it suffices to use  $mult(U) - 2 - \min\{0, \chi(c) - 22\}$  colors of  $Z$ . We define  $\Delta(c)$  as follows:  $\chi(c) - 22 \geq kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) + blank(c) - 22 \geq kol(c) + flex^+(c) - 8 = \Delta(c)$ .

Suppose next that the currently colored rays of  $c$  contain a bow. Then  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) \geq 13$  (because we keep an invariant that if there exists an edge  $e$  of  $c$  with both rays colored and an incident bow, then  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) \geq 13$  only among these three rays of  $c$  incident to  $e$ ) and  $flex^0(c) + blank(c) \geq 12 + x$ , where  $x = 1$  if  $e, f$  are coincident with the same edge of  $c$  and  $x = 0$ , otherwise. Hence,  $\chi(c) \geq 25 + x$ . For the case when  $|U| = 1$ , we can color the ray of  $U$  in any way, since currently  $\chi(c) \geq 25$ , hence  $\Delta'(c) = 0$ . For the case when  $|U| = 2$ , it suffices to use  $mult(U) - 5 - x - \min\{0, \chi(c) - 25\}$  colors of  $Z$ . We define  $\Delta(c)$  as follows:  $\chi(c) - 25 = kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) + blank(c) - 25 \geq kol(c) + flex^+(c) - 12 - x = \Delta(c)$ .

By Lemma 8 the size of  $Z$  is at least  $20 - kol(c)$ , when  $e$  and  $f$  are coincident with the same edge of  $c$ . If the currently colored rays of  $c$  do not contain a bow, then  $20 - kol(c) \geq 12 + 8 - kol(c) - flex^+(c) = 12 - \Delta(c)$ . Otherwise,  $20 - kol(c) \geq 7 + 13 - kol(c) - flex^+(c) = 7 - \Delta(c)$ .

When  $e$  and  $f$  are not coincident with the same edge of  $c$ , then the size of  $Z$  is at least  $24 - kol(c)$ , which is greater or equal  $16 - \Delta(c)$ , if  $c$  has no incident colored bow and greater or equal  $12 - \Delta(c)$ , otherwise.  $\square$

**Lemma 21** *Let  $c$  be an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  that at some step of Algorithm Color7 has a minimal number of uncolored rays, no incident b-edges and  $\lambda(c) > 2$ . Then it is always possible to process  $c$ .*

**Proof.**

If  $c$  has exactly one uncolored ray  $r$  or its already colored rays do not contain a bow, then the proof is the same as in Lemma 10. Assume then now that  $c$  already has a colored ray, which is a bow. If  $c$  has two uncolored incident edges  $e, f$  of  $C'_{max}$  forming a set  $U$ , then we only have to use  $mult(U) - 5 - x - \Delta(c)$  colors of the set  $Z$ , which has size at least  $12 - \Delta(c)$  if  $e, f$  are not coincident with the same edge or  $7 - \Delta(c)$ , otherwise. The first case is analogous to those considered in Lemma 10. In the second one,  $e, f$  cannot contain a bow and thus we only have to use  $8 - 5 - 1 = 2$  colors of  $Z$ , which is easily achieved.

If  $c$  has at least 3 uncolored rays, then the proof is almost the same as the proof of Lemma 10.  $\square$

**Lemma 22** *Let  $c$  be an unprocessed 2-cycle of  $C_1$  that at some step of Algorithm Color7 has a minimal number of uncolored rays and no incident b-edges. Then it is always possible to process  $c$ .*



**Proof.** If  $c = (v, u)$  has no incident bow, then the proof is almost the same as that of Lemma 11. Suppose now that  $c$  has an bow  $r$  incident to vertex  $u$  of  $c$ . It means that  $r$  belongs to a 2-cycle  $c' = (u, u')$  of  $C_{max}$  and  $u'$  does not lie on  $c$ . If  $u'$  also lies on a 2-cycle  $c_1 = (u', v')$  of  $C_1$ , we also process  $c_1$ , i.e., we process  $c$  and  $c_1$  (and possibly some other 2-cycles) during the same step. W.l.o.g. we may assume that rays  $r_1, r_2$  of  $c$  incident to  $v$  and rays  $r_3, r_4$  of  $c_1$  incident to  $v'$  are not bows. We then treat these two 2-cycles  $c, c_1$  as though they were one 2-cycle of  $C_1$  with four rays  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4$ . We then complete the coloring on bows accordingly, i.e., if  $r_1$  is an inray of  $c$  and  $r_3$  an outray of  $c_1$ , we assign any colors of  $col(r_1) \cup col(r_3)$  to the edge  $(u, u')$ . Similarly, we assign any colors of  $col(r_2) \cup col(r_4)$  to the edge  $(u', u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 23** *Let  $c$  be an unprocessed cycle of  $C_1$  that has an incident b-ray. Then it is always possible to process  $c$ .*

**Proof.** We show that we can always ensure that  $c$  is not blocked by coloring the rays of  $c$  in such a way that  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) \geq 20$ .

To process  $c$ , we need to color all rays of  $c$ , which are not s-edges. We partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into two disjoint sets  $Z^+(c)$  and  $Z^-(c)$ . If we precolor each uncolored outray with colors of  $Z^+$  and each uncolored inray with colors of  $Z^-(c)$ , then each such newly colored ray becomes safe. As for s-edges, we have more freedom in coloring them and do not have to observe this partition. Recall that each s-edge  $e$  is guaranteed to be safe (as long as it is not assigned the same color  $k$  as the other b-edge(s) of the same halfy triangle).

While coloring the rays of  $c$ , we also have to ensure that no other cycle or halfy cycle of  $C_1$  becomes blocked. We do not need to concern ourselves with blocking cycles of  $C_1$  different from  $c$ , because cycles of  $C_1$  with no incident b-rays are already processed and by the current lemma we are always capable of processing a cycle of  $C_1$  with an incident b-ray. Thus we only have to take care of halfy cycles. Since each ray of  $c$  is an antenna of at most one halfy cycle, every ray of  $c$  has to be diverse with at most one edge. We make the following useful observation.

**Claim 4** *Let  $r$  be a b-ray of  $c$ ,  $r'$  an s-edge associated with  $r$  and  $k$  a color not occurring on any ray of  $c$ . Then we can always assign  $k$  to at least one of  $r, r'$  and be able to color the halfy triangle containing  $r$  in a cooperative manner.*

**Proof.** Let  $t$  be a halfy triangle containing  $r$ . If  $k$  is not forbidden on  $r$ , then we are done. Suppose then that  $k$  is forbidden on  $r$ , which means that  $k$  is assigned to the outer antenna  $a$  of  $t$  - we have a requirement that  $r$  has to be diverse with  $a$ . If  $t$  is a tricky 2-triangle, then it means that while shadowing  $r'$ , we will assign colors of  $col'(a)$  to  $col'(r')$  and hence we will assign  $k$  to  $r'$ . More precisely, we will assign  $k$  to  $r'$  as long as  $k \notin col''(r)$ . However, if  $k \in col''(r)$ , then  $k$  is assigned to  $r$ . Either way  $k$  can appear on  $r$  or  $r'$ . If  $t$  is a tricky 3-triangle and  $k$  is assigned to  $a$ , then we do not want to assign  $k$  to  $r$ , because we then would have to shadow  $r'$  w.r.t.  $k$  and possibly additional 5 inherited colors of  $r$ . But we can notice that if  $k$  does not occur on any ray of  $c$ , we do not have to shadow  $r'$  w.r.t.  $k$ , because  $r$  is safe w.r.t. it. Even if  $k$  is assigned to inherited colors of some b-ray  $r_1$  of  $c$ , then we make sure that  $r_1$  is safe w.r.t. all inherited colors. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In view of the above claim, we notice that if the number of uncolored rays of  $c$ , which are not s-edges is at least 4, then we can easily guarantee that  $kol(c) + flex^0(c) \geq 20$  by assigning different colors to  $col'(r)$  of each uncolored ray or by assigning them to an appropriate s-edge.

(For example, suppose that  $c$  has one b-ray  $r$ , one s-edge  $r'$  and 3 uncolored rays and for each of the rays the same 5 colors of  $Z'$  are forbidden. Then by Claim 4 we can assign  $Z'$  to  $r'$  and use colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus Z'$  on the uncolored rays.) The situation is analogous if  $c$  has already one colored ray and the number of uncolored rays of  $c$ , which are not s-edges is at least 3 or generally if  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) + blank(c) - 5|\{ \text{s-edges incident to } c \}| \geq 20$ . In all these cases we are simply able to use  $20 - kol(c) - flex^+(c) - flex^0(c)$  new colors (not already included in  $col(c)$ ) on the uncolored rays or s-edges.

Let us next observe that in the situation when all uncolored rays of  $c$ , which are not s-edges, are either all outrays or all inrays, all rays of  $c$  are safe under the condition that each b-ray of  $c$  is diverse with an associated s-edge. Thus we do not have to shadow s-edges incident to  $c$  and can use new colors on them. This means that in such situations we are always able to use  $20 - kol(c) - flex^+(c) - flex^0(c)$  new colors on uncolored rays of  $c$ , because  $\chi(c) = kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) + blank(c) \geq 20$ . We can use the same argument for any 2-cycle with exactly one incident b-ray, even if it has two uncolored inrays or two uncolored outrays.

To illustrate the above reasoning consider the following example. Suppose that  $c$  has only two uncolored rays: an incoming b-ray  $r$  and an s-edge  $r'$  associated with it. In this case  $c$  already has at least 4 colored rays (or it has some number of colored rays and chords). Thus it already holds that  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) \geq 10$ . Also, the ally  $al$  of  $r$  is already colored. Let  $Z' = col'(al)$ . We of course have to assign  $Z'$  to  $r$ . Since  $c$  does not have an uncolored outray, which is not an s-edge,  $r$  is safe w.r.t. every color of  $Z'$ . Hence we do not have to shadow  $r'$ . Next we assign 5 new colors to  $r$  and 5 different new colors to  $r'$ . This way we increase  $kol(c)$  by 10. As a result  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) \geq 20$ .

We are thus left with the following three cases:  $c$  has one b-inray and one b-outray and either (i) one more b-ray and no other chords or rays or (ii) two rays incident to the same vertex and  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c) < 10$  or (iii)  $c$  is a 2-cycle.

In the first two cases it means that  $c$  is a triangle. Therefore, in all these three cases one of the edges of  $c$  is coincident both with a b-outray  $r_1$  and a b-inray  $r_2$ . Let us note that  $r_1, r_2$  will be colored with the same 10 colors belonging to the set  $Z$  and none of the s-edges  $s_1, s_2$  associated with, respectively,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  will be colored with any element of  $Z$ . Hence,  $r_1, r_2$  are going to contribute 10 new colors to  $col(c)$  and  $s_1, s_2$  are going to either contribute at least another 5 to  $kol(c)$  or increase  $flex^+(c)$ . Either way,  $s_1, s_2$  will increase  $kol(c) + flex^+(c)$  by 5. If  $c$  already has some colored rays or is 2-cycle, then it means that we have already guaranteed that  $kol(c) + flex^+(c) + flex^0(c)$  reaches at least 20. If we have the first case (i), then let  $r_3$  be the third b-ray of  $c$ . Let us observe that  $r_3$ 's ally is either  $s_1$  or  $s_2$ . It suffices, if we precolor  $r_3$  with colors disjoint with  $Z$ . In this way the contribution of  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  to  $col(c)$  amounts to 15 colors and edges  $s_1, s_2$  will increase  $kol(c) + flex^+(c)$  by another 5.  $\square$

**Fact 5** 1. Each edge of  $C'_{max}$  is an antenna of at most two halfy cycles of  $C_1$ .

2. If an edge  $e \in C'_{max}$  is an antenna of two halfy cycles, then it is not incident to a cycle of  $C_1$ .

**Lemma 24** It is always possible to process a path of  $C_1$ .

**Proof.** Since paths are processed after cycles of  $C_1$ , only halfy cycles of  $C_1$  can become blocked. To prevent this, we have to ensure that antennas of the same halfy cycle are diverse or weakly diverse. The path  $p$  has two outer antennas  $a_1, a_2$  and at most one of them is an inray and

at most one the outray of  $p$ . (Each one of them may also be a chord of  $p$ .) Assume that  $a_1$  is an inray and  $a_2$  the outray. Each  $a_i$  may have to be diverse with two different antennas. Additionally, each  $a_i$  may be accompanied by either an inner antenna of the same halfy cycle or a weak antenna. In each case we call it  $a'_i$ . Observe that any inner antenna  $a'_i$  may also have to be diverse with two other antennas, one of which is always  $a_i$ . A weak antenna  $a'_i$  only needs to be weakly diverse with  $a_i$ , but may have to be diverse with some other antenna  $b_j$ . The case is most difficult when all four antennas exist and all are bilateral. Note that no other ray of  $p$  is a bilateral antenna. Let  $Z_i$  and  $Z'_i$  denote the set of colors forbidden on  $a_i$  and  $a'_i$ , respectively. Let us note that if  $a_i$  is uncolored, then  $|Z'_i| \leq 5$ . If  $a'_i$  is an uncolored inner antenna, then  $|Z_i| \leq 5$ . If  $a'_i$  is a weak antenna (or if  $p$  has no antenna  $a'_i$ ), then  $|Z_i|$  may be equal to 10. Assume that none of the four antennas is already colored, as the other cases are contained in this one.

Suppose first that  $a'_1$  and  $a'_2$  are weak antennas. We partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into two 10-element sets  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  so that  $|Z^-(p) \setminus Z_1| \geq 5$ ,  $|Z^-(p) \setminus (Z_1 \cup Z'_1)| \geq 7$  and  $|Z^+(p) \setminus Z_2| \geq 5$ ,  $|Z^+(p) \setminus (Z_2 \cup Z'_2)| \geq 7$ . To this end, we divide  $Z = \mathcal{K} \setminus (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$  (almost) equally between  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  in such a way that  $Z \setminus Z_1$  goes to  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z \setminus Z_2$  to  $Z^+(p)$ . Since  $|Z_1 \cap Z_2| \leq 10$  and hence  $|Z| \geq 10$  each of  $Z^-(p) \setminus Z_1, Z^+(p) \setminus Z_2$  contains at least 5 colors. Additionally, we divide  $Z' = ((Z_1 \cap Z_2) \setminus (Z_1 \cap Z_2))$  (almost) equally between  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  in such a way that  $Z' \setminus Z'_1$  goes to  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z' \setminus Z'_2$  to  $Z^+(p)$ . Since  $|Z'_1 \cap Z'_2| \leq 5$ , we get that  $\frac{1}{2}(|Z| + |Z'|) \geq \frac{1}{2}(20 - |Z_1 \cap Z_2| + |Z_1 \cap Z_2| - |Z'_1 \cap Z'_2|) \geq 7$ , which means that each of the sets  $Z^-(p) \setminus (Z_1 \cup Z'_1), Z^+(p) \setminus (Z_2 \cup Z'_2)$  contains at least 7 elements. To finish the partition of  $\mathcal{K}$ , we divide the set  $Z'_1 \cap Z'_2$  in such a way that each of the sets  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  has exactly 10 elements.

We can check that having such sets  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  enables us to color each ray of  $p$  so that each antenna is (weakly) diverse with required antenna. For any ray  $r$ , which is not any of the four antennas  $a_1, a_2, a'_1, a'_2$ ,  $r$  has at most 5 colors forbidden on it and since each of the sets  $Z^-(p), Z^+(p)$  contains 10 elements, we are able to color  $r$  in a required manner. To color  $a_1$  and  $a'_1$ , we assign to them colors of  $Z^-(p) \setminus (Z_1 \cup Z'_1)$  (of which there are at least 7) so that  $|col'(a_1) \cap col'(a'_1)| \leq 3$ .

Suppose next that  $a'_1, a'_2$  are inner antennas. Then we want to partition  $\mathcal{K}$  into two 10-element sets  $Z^-(p)$  and  $Z^+(p)$  so that  $|Z^-(p) \setminus (Z_1 \cup Z'_1)| \geq 10$  and  $|Z^+(p) \setminus (Z_2 \cup Z'_2)| \geq 10$ . Observe that it is not possible if  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \cap Z'_1 \cap Z'_2 \neq \emptyset$ . However, this can be avoided, by requiring, for example that antennas  $b_1, b'_1$  are diverse (thus  $b_1$  has to be diverse with  $c_1$  and  $b'_1$  instead of  $c_1$  and  $a_1$  at the moment of coloring  $b_1$ ), where  $b_1, b'_1$  are antennas, with which  $a_1, a'_1$  have to be diverse. The case when one of  $a'_1, a'_2$  is a weak antenna and the other an inner one is very similar.

After computing such a partition, we are able to color each ray of  $p$  so as to ensure that each antenna is (weakly) diverse with required antennas.  $\square$

### 7.3 Full coloring of b-edges and s-edges

During the processing of paths and cycles of  $C_1$  we do not assign colors  $col''(e)$  for any edge  $e$ , which is either a secondary b-edge or an s-edge. As a result some b-edges cannot be assigned their inherited colors  $col'''(e)$ . This happens for any b-edge, whose ally is an s-edge or a secondary b-edge.

To be able to complete the process of coloring all b-edges and s-edges, we introduce a directed graph  $D = (V_D, E_D)$ , which shows the dependencies between halfy triangles containing

such edges. The vertex set of  $D$  consists of all halfy triangles. For a halfy triangle  $t$ , we denote by  $v_t$  a vertex representing it in  $D$ . The edge set  $E_D$  contains an edge  $(v_t, v_{t'})$  iff the ally of the main b-edge of  $t'$  is either an s-edge of  $t$  or a secondary b-edge of  $t$ . The direction of an edge  $(v_t, v_{t'})$  reflects the fact that  $t$  needs to be fully colored to be able to complete the coloring of  $t'$ . Note that each vertex of  $V_D$  has at most one incoming edge and at most two outgoing edges. To **D-process** a directed path or cycle  $s$  of  $D$  means to complete the coloring of each halfy triangle  $t$  corresponding to any vertex on  $s$  in such a way that  $G_1$  remains unblocked.

Notice that any two cycles of  $D$  are vertex-disjoint.

**Lemma 25** *It is possible to color an s-edge of a tricky 2-triangle  $t$  in such a way that it does not prevent any halfy triangle from being colored in a cooperative manner.*

**Proof.** If the b-edge  $e(t)$  of  $t$  is already fully colored, then we color the s-edge  $s(t)$  in a standard manner described earlier. Assume now that  $e(t)$  is not fully colored. It means that the ally  $al(e(t))$  of  $e(t)$  is not precolored, i.e., its own colors are not yet assigned. Therefore,  $al(e(t))$  is an s-edge or a secondary b-edge of some tricky triangle  $t_0$ . Let  $a(t)$  denote the antenna of  $t$  and  $e_{01}$  an edge of  $C_1$  coincident with both  $e(t)$  and  $al(e(t))$ .

If  $a(t)$  is already precolored and no color  $k \in col'(a(t))$  is forbidden on  $s(t)$ , then we claim that we can assign colors of  $col'(a(t))$  to  $s(t)$ . To see this, suppose first that  $al(e(t))$  is an s-edge of  $t_0$ . Then  $e(t_0)$  and  $e_{01}$  form a directed path  $P$  of length 2. If  $e(t)$  is an antenna of  $t_0$  (when  $t_0$  is a 2-triangle) or an ally of  $al(e(t))$  (when  $t_0$  is a 3-triangle), then the standard procedure of shadowing  $al(e(t))$  would involve assigning colors of  $col'(e(t))$  to  $al(e(t))$  and forbidding colors of  $col''(e(t_0))$  on  $e_{01}$  by assigning colors of  $col''(e(t_0))$  to  $col''(e(t))$ . We still do this if  $col''(e(t_0)) \cap col(s(t)) = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, if some color  $k \in col''(e(t_0)) \cap col(s(t))$ , then we can notice that  $e(t_0)$  is already safe w.r.t.  $k$ , because  $e(t_0)$  cannot belong to a monochromatic cycle of color  $k$ , since such a cycle would have to contain  $s(t)$ , which is impossible. This means that we do not have to do shadowing for any such color  $k$ . If  $e(t)$  is neither an antenna of  $t_0$  (when  $t_0$  is a 2-triangle) nor an ally of  $al(e(t))$  (when  $t_0$  is a 3-triangle), then the reasoning is similar and the following. Let  $al(al(e(t)))$  denote the ally of  $al(e(t))$ . Instead of doing the shadowing with the aid of  $al(al(e(t)))$ , we can instead use  $e(t)$  and then the proof of the claim for the case when  $al(e(t))$  is an s-edge of  $t_0$  goes through. Suppose next that  $al(e(t))$  is a secondary b-edge of  $t_0$ , then again  $e(t_0)$  and  $e_{01}$  form a directed path  $P$  of length 2 and for any  $k \in col''(e(t_0)) \cap s(t)$ , the edge  $e(t_0)$  is safe w.r.t.  $k$ . Thus we do not assign it to  $al(e(t))$  or hence also not assign it to  $col''(e(t))$ . This finishes the proof of the claim.

Suppose next that  $a(t)$  is already precolored but some colors of  $col'(a(t))$  are forbidden on  $s(t)$ . It means that  $s(t)$  is an antenna of some tricky triangle  $t_2$ . Let  $e_{12}$  denote an edge of  $C_1$  coincident with  $s(t)$  and incident to  $e_{01}$  - the edges  $e_{01}, e_{12}$  form a directed path  $P_1$ . We can notice that then  $e(t_0)$  is safe w.r.t. any color  $k \notin col'(e(t_2))$ , because  $P_1$  together with  $e(t_2)$  form a directed path of length 3 and no color  $k' \in col''(e(t_0))$  can occur on  $e_{12}$ . Therefore, we do not shadow  $s(t_0)$  - instead we assign  $col'(e(t_2))$  to  $e(t)$ , which ensures that  $e(t_0)$  is safe w.r.t. any color. Also we assign  $s(t)$  any 5 colors not forbidden on it, i.e. disjoint with  $col'(e(t)) \cup col'(e(t_2))$ .

Finally, we consider the case when  $a(t)$  is not yet precolored. If  $a(t)$  is a secondary b-edge of a 3-triangle  $t_3$ , we can assign to  $s(t)$  and  $a(t)$  the same 5-element set of colors disjoint with  $col'(e(t))$ . Note that we do not have to do the shadowing for inherited colors of  $e(t)$ , because  $col'(e(t)) = col'(e(t_3))$  and hence  $e(t)$  is safe w.r.t. any color  $k \in col''(e(t))$  (because such color either does not occur on  $e(t_3)$  or on an edge of  $C_1$  connecting  $t$  and  $t_3$ ). If  $a(t)$  is an s-edge, then for similar reasons we can assign to  $s(t)$  and  $a(t)$  the same 5-element set of colors disjoint with  $col'(e(t))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 26** *It is possible to color an s-edge  $s(t)$  of a tricky 3-triangle  $t$  in such a way that it does not prevent any halfy triangle from being colored in a cooperative manner. Moreover, if  $s(t)$  is an ally of a main b-edge  $e(t')$  of some halfy triangle  $t'$ , then it is possible fully color  $e(t')$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed in the manner described in the proof of the lemma above, where the ally of the s-edge of  $t$  plays the same role as the outer antenna of a tricky 2-triangle in Lemma 25. The only difference is that the ally  $a$  of the s-edge of  $t$  may be colored in the same way as the main b-edge of  $t$ . Then we can still assign colors of  $col'(a)$  to  $s(t)$  because the secondary b-edge of  $t$  is diverse with  $e(t)$  i.e.,  $col''(e'(t)) \cap col'(e(t)) = \emptyset$ .

If  $s(t) = (u, v)$ , then  $C_1$  contains edges  $(u, u_1), (v_1, v)$  coincident with  $s(t)$  and  $C_{max}$  contains edges  $a = (u_2, u_1), a' = (v_1, v_2)$ . If the ally  $a$  of the s-edge of  $t$  is the main b-edge of a halfy triangle  $t'$ , then instead of assigning colors of  $col'(a)$  to  $s(t)$ , we may assign colors of  $col'(a')$  to it and then  $a'$  plays the role as the outer antenna of a tricky 2-triangle in Lemma 25.

If  $col'(a) = col'(a')$  (more precisely, if  $col'(a) \cap col'(a') \neq \emptyset$ ), then it still means that  $e(t')$  is not colored fully. In such a case, we assign to  $col''(e(t'))$  any 5 color set  $Z$  disjoint with  $col(e(t))$ . If later on,  $col''(e(t))$  turns out to be different from  $Z$ , we can shadow  $s(t)$  using  $a'$ , because  $col(s(t)) = col'(a')$ .  $\square$

At this point the only not fully colored edges are either the main or the secondary b-edges of halfy triangles. Moreover, the main b-edge  $e(t)$  of a halfy triangle  $t$  is not fully colored only if (i) its ally is the secondary b-edge of some halfy triangle  $t'$  or (ii) its ally is an s-edge  $s(t')$  of some halfy triangle  $t'$  and  $e(t)$  is the ally of  $s(t')$ , which also means that if  $t'$  is a tricky 2-triangle, then  $e(t)$  is the antenna of  $t'$ .

**Lemma 27** *It is possible to D-process each cycle of  $D$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $c$  be any cycle of  $D$ . By saying that a triangle  $t$  is on  $c$ , we mean that  $v_t \in c$ . Similarly, by  $(t', t) \in c$  we mean  $(v_{t'}, v_t) \in c$ .

Let  $(t', t)$  be any edge of  $c$ . Let  $Z_1$  denote  $col'(e(t'))$ . If  $t'$  is a 3-triangle, then  $Z_2$  denotes  $col''(e'(t'))$ . Otherwise, if  $t'$  is a 2-triangle, then  $Z_2 = col'(e(t'))$ . Notice that  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are disjoint (because the outer antenna of  $t'$  is equal to  $e(t)$  if  $t'$  is a 2-triangle.) We assign any 5-color set  $Z$  disjoint with both  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  to  $col''(e(t'))$ . Next we color fully  $t'$  and all succeeding tricky triangles using only colors of  $Z_3 = Z \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2$  on main b-edges.

We now argue that this is possible for each triangle  $t_1$  on  $c$ . Suppose first that  $t_1$  is a 2-triangle and we have just fully colored its main b-edge so that  $col''(e(t_1)) \subset Z_3$ . Let  $t_2$  denote the tricky triangle succeeding  $t_1$  on  $c$ . The ally of  $e(t_2)$  is  $s(t_1)$  as well as the antenna of  $t_1$  is  $e(t_2)$ . We want to shadow  $s(t_1)$  w.r.t. colors of  $col''(e(t_1))$ . We recall that if some color  $k \in col''(e(t_1)) \cap col(s(t_2))$ , then we do not shadow  $s(t_1)$  w.r.t.  $k$  because  $e(t_1)$  is already safe w.r.t.  $k$ . We assign all colors of  $col''(e(t_1)) \setminus col(s(t_2))$  to  $col''(e(t_2))$ . If there are fewer than 5 of them, we add some colors of  $Z_3$ .

Suppose next that  $t_1$  is a 3-triangle and we have just fully colored its main b-edge  $e(t_1)$  by adding 5 colors of  $Z_3$ , i.e.  $col''(e(t_1)) \subset Z_3$ . We assign  $col''(e(t_1)) \setminus (col''(e'(t_1)) \cup col(s(t_1)))$  to  $col'(e'(t_1))$ . Recall that we shadow  $s(t)$  only w.r.t. colors of  $col''(e(t_1)) \cap col(e'(t_1))$ . If the successor  $t_2$  of  $t_1$  on  $c$  is such that the ally of  $e(t_2)$  is  $s(t_1)$ , then we assign also  $col''(e(t_1)) \setminus (col''(e'(t_1)) \cup col(s(t_1)))$  to  $col''(e(t_2))$  and if there are fewer than 5 such colors, we complete the

set with an appropriate number of colors of  $Z_3$ . Note that we also independently add some colors to  $col'(e'(t_1))$ , if there are already fewer than 5. These colors do not have to belong to  $Z_3$ . If the ally of  $e(t_2)$  is  $e'(t_1)$ , we also complete the set  $col'(e'(t_1))$  with colors of  $Z_3$ . Since we want to avoid at most  $|col'(e(t_1)) \cup col''(e'(t_1))| \leq 10$  colors and  $|Z_3| = 15$  we can always do that.

This way when we return to the triangle  $t'$ , we will not have to recolor it much. Anyway, we will be able to do it in such a way that the coloring of none of the remaining triangles on  $c$  will have to be changed. More precisely, consider the edge  $(t'', t')$ . Since  $t''$  is now fully colored,  $e(t')$  inherits some colors from one of the edges of  $t''$ . The inherited colors are a subset of  $Z \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2$ . If the inherited colors are  $Z_1$  or  $Z$ , then we assign  $Z$  to  $e(t)$  and  $Z_2$  to  $s(t)$ . If the inherited colors are  $Z_2$ , then we leave the coloring as it is. In the case that the inherited colors  $I$  contain  $i$  colors of  $Z \cup Z_1$  and  $5 - i$  colors of  $Z_2$ , we proceed analogously, i.e. we assign to  $s(t)$ :  $i$  colors of  $Z_2 \setminus I$  and  $5 - i$  colors of  $Z \setminus I$ .

□

**Lemma 28** *Let  $t$  be a tricky triangle with exactly two incident edges  $e_1, e_2$  of  $C_1$  and such that either both these edges are incoming or both are outgoing. Then it is possible to color the edges of  $t$  and  $e_1, e_2$  in such a way that  $G'_1$  is unblocked.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $t = (p, q, r)$  and  $e_1 = (p', p), e_2 = (q', q)$ . Assume also that a 2-cycle  $(q, r)$  belongs to  $C_{max}$  and that  $C'_{max}$  contains edges  $(p', p''), (p, p'''), (p_4, p), (q', q'')$ . W.l.o.g. suppose that  $col(p', p'') = \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}, col(q', q'') = \{16, 17, 18, 19, 20\}$ . Since  $(p, p''')$  is weakly diverse with  $(p', p'')$  at least 2 colors of  $(p, p''')$  do not occur on  $(p', p'')$ . This means that there exist 3 colors of  $col(p', p'')$  which occur neither on  $e_1$  nor on  $(p, p''')$  nor on  $(p_4, p)$ . Suppose that these are colors 8, 9, 10. We assign them to  $(r, p)$ . □

## 8 Return from $G'_1$ to $G_1$

While building the multigraph  $G_1$ , we have modified it in two types of places (tricky 2-triangles and strange 2-cycles) creating the multigraph  $G'_1$ .

**Lemma 29** *Given a path-20-coloring of  $G'_1$ , we can obtain a path-20-coloring of  $G_1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky triangle of  $C_1$  such that in  $G'_1$  we have replaced the edges  $(p, q), (q, q_1)$  with one edge.

We color the edges as follows. Edge  $(q, q_1)$  is colored in the same way as  $(p, q_1)$  in  $G'_1$ . Suppose first that  $w(r, p) \geq w(p, q)$ . Edges  $(p_2, p) \in C'_{max}$  and  $(p_1, p)$  are colored with at most 15 colors of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We color  $(r, p)$  with 5 colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus (col(p_2, p) \cup col(p_1, p))$ . For each color  $k \in col(r, p)$ , if  $k \in col(p, p_3)$ , then we assign  $k$  to  $(q, r)$ ; otherwise we assign  $k$  to  $(p, q)$ . Note that  $k$  cannot be assigned to  $(q, q_1)$ , because  $(p, q_1)$  is coincident with  $(p, p_3)$  in  $G'_1$ . Hence  $(p, q_1)$  and  $(p, p_3)$  have to be diverse in  $G'_1$ . Next we assign all 15 colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus col(r, p)$  to  $(r, q)$ . We easily notice that each of the edges of  $t$  as well as the edge  $(r, q)$  are safe.

Suppose next that  $w(r, p) < w(p, q)$ . This case is, in fact, easier than the one above. We choose 5 colors from the set  $\mathcal{K} \setminus (col(p, p_3) \cup col(q, q_1))$  and assign them to both  $(p, q)$  and  $(q, r)$ . Next, we assign all 15 colors of  $\mathcal{K} \setminus col(p, r)$  to  $(r, q)$ . □

## 9 Completing the path-coloring

Let  $S$  denote a set of strange 2-cycles of  $C_1$ .

We show that there exist so called *exchange sets*  $E_1$  and  $F_1$  with the following properties.

**Lemma 30** *There exist sets  $E_1, F_1 \subset E$  and an assignment  $f : E_1 \rightarrow F_1$  satisfying the following conditions.*

1. *Let  $c$  be any strange 2-cycle of  $C_1$ . Then  $E_1$  contains one edge  $e_1$  of  $c$  and  $f(e_1)$  is an edge of  $C_{max}$  incident to  $c$  (but not contained in  $c$ ).*
2.  *$F_1 = f(E_1)$  is a matching, i.e., no two edges of  $F_1$  share a vertex.*
3.  *$4w(E_1) \leq 6w(F_1)$ .*

**Proof.** For each strange 2-cycle  $c$ , the set  $E_1$  contains an edge of  $c$  with minimum weight.

Let  $d$  be a cycle of  $C_{max}$  with length greater than 3 such that at least one strange 2-cycle of  $C_1$  shares an edge with  $d$ .

Consider a 2-cycle  $c = (u, v)$  belonging to  $S$  such that the edge  $(u, v)$  belongs to  $d$ . Let  $(u', u), (v, v')$  be the edges of  $d$  adjacent to  $c$ . We call  $(u', u)$  an *incoming neighbour* of  $c$  and  $(v, v')$  an *outgoing neighbour* of  $c$ . If  $c$  is not incorrigible, then  $\min\{w(u, v), w(v, u)\} \leq \frac{3}{4}(w(u', u) + w(v, v'))$ . If  $d$  shares an edge only with strange 2-cycles which are not incorrigible, we set  $F_1$  as either the set of all incoming neighbours or the set of all outgoing neighbours, choosing the one with maximum weight. Since strange 2-cycles are vertex-disjoint, the obtained set  $F_1$  is a matching.

If  $c$  is incorrigible, then  $w(u, v) \leq \max\{w(u', u), w(v, v')\}$  and  $F_1$  contains the neighbour of  $c$  with maximum weight. If that neighbouring edge  $e$  is also adjacent to another cycle  $c'$  of  $S$ , then 6 copies of  $e$  are sufficient for removing 4 copies of  $(u, v)$  and 4 copies of an edge of  $c'$ .  $\square$

Let  $R'$  denote the set of all tricky triangles of  $C_1$ . They correspond to a matching  $N'$  of  $H$ . Notice that  $N \cap N' = \emptyset$ , because no tricky triangle of  $R$  (corresponding to  $N$ ) can occur in  $C_1$ . Thus  $N \cup N'$  forms a set of alternating paths and cycles. Since  $N$  is a maximum matching of  $H$ , each alternating path  $P$  that contains at least one edge of  $N'$  has even length - thus the number of edges of  $N'$  on  $P$  equals the number of edges of  $N$ . For each alternating cycle and each alternating path of even length we replace some edges of triangles of  $R'$  with edges belonging to triangles represented by edges of  $N$  belonging to the same path or cycle. More precisely, suppose that an alternating path  $P$  or cycle  $C$  consists of a sequence of edges  $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_i, f_i, \dots, e_k, f_k$  such that for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  it holds that  $e_i \in N', f_i \in N$  and edges  $e_i, f_i$  have a common vertex in  $V(H)$ . Then we replace some edges of each tricky triangle  $t_i$  of  $C_1$  corresponding to edge  $e_i$  with some edges of a tricky triangle (not occurring in  $C_1$ ) corresponding to edge  $f_i$ .

We now describe the exact procedure of replacement.

Let  $t_i = (p, q, r)$  be a tricky triangle of  $C_1$  with a t-cycle  $c_i = (q, r)$ . Recall that  $\Delta(c) = w(r, q) - 1.5w(q, r)$ . In  $G_1$  we take 14 copies of  $(q, r)$ , 10 copies of each of  $(p, q), (r, p)$  and 3 copies of  $(r, q)$ . This means that we are lacking only one copy of  $(r, q)$ , i.e.,:

**Fact 6** *The weight of the induced subgraph  $G_1(t_i)$  of  $G_1$  on vertices  $p, q, r$  satisfies:*

$$w(G_1(t_i)) = 4w(c_i) + 10w(t_i) - w(q, r).$$

Consider alternating paths and cycles of  $N \cup N'$ . Each one of them consists of some sequence of edges  $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_i, f_i, \dots, e_k, f_k$ . For any alternating cycle  $C$ , we can additionally arrange the edges on  $C$  so that that a common vertex of any two edges  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  on  $C$  in  $H$  corresponds to a 2-cycle  $c_i$ . Let  $(e_i, f_i)$  be any pair of edges from such alternating cycle or path and suppose that a tricky triangle  $t_i$  of  $C_1$  corresponding to  $e_i$  has the form  $t_i = (p, q, r)$ . If the common vertex of  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  in  $H$  corresponds to a 2-cycle  $c_i$ , then a tricky triangle represented by  $f_i$  has the form  $t'_i = (p', q, r)$ . We add either  $(p', q)$  or  $(r, p')$  to  $F_2$  (and also 3 copies of the edge added to  $F_2$  to  $G_1$ ). If  $F_1$  contains an edge incoming to  $p'$ , we choose  $(p', q)$ , otherwise -  $(r, p')$ . If, on the other hand, the common vertex of  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  in  $H$  corresponds to the vertex  $p$ , then a tricky triangle represented by  $f_i$  has the form  $t'_i = (p, q', r')$ . In this case we add either  $(p, q')$  or  $(r', p)$  to  $F_2$  (and also 3 copies of the chosen edge to  $G_1$ ). If  $F_1$  contains an edge incoming to  $p$ , we choose  $(p, q')$ , otherwise -  $(r', p)$ . We call a tricky triangle  $t'_i$  of  $R$  corresponding to the edge  $f_i$  a **rescuer** of  $t_i$ .

In the next lemma we are going to prove that the total weight of edges added to  $G_1$  makes up for the deficiencies in the weights of the subgraphs induced by vertices of tricky triangles of  $C_1$ .

**Lemma 31** *Let  $N'_2$  denote the set of all t-cycles of tricky triangles of  $C_1$ . If  $c$  is a t-cycle of a tricky triangle, then  $\alpha(c)$  denotes the weight of the lighter edge of  $c$ . We have:*

$$\sum_{c \in N'_2} 1.5\alpha(c) + \Delta(c) \leq 3w(F_2)$$

To prove it we show the following lemma.

**Lemma 32** *Let  $c_1, c_2$  be two 2-cycles such that  $w'(c_1) = w'(c_2)$  and let  $\mu(c_i)$  denote the minimum weight edge of a tricky triangle incident to  $c_i$ . Then  $3\mu(c_1) \geq 1.5\alpha(c_2) + \Delta(c_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\alpha(c_2) = \alpha(c_1) + \epsilon$ ,  $\Delta(c_2) = \Delta(c_1) - \epsilon$ .

We know that  $\mu(c_1) > 0.6\Delta(c_1) + \frac{\alpha(c_1)}{2}$ . We show that  $3(0.6\Delta(c_1) + \frac{\alpha(c_1)}{2}) \geq 1.5\alpha(c_2) + \Delta(c_2)$ . This is equivalent to  $0.8\Delta(c_1) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , which is true because  $\Delta(c_1) \geq \epsilon$ .

Next we show that  $3(\frac{3}{5}(\Delta(c_1) - \epsilon) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(c_1) + \epsilon)) \geq \frac{3}{2}\alpha(c_1) + \Delta(c_1)$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{4}{5}\Delta(c_1) \geq \frac{3}{10}\epsilon$ , which holds because  $\Delta(c_1) \geq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

Next we show that we are able to extend the current path-coloring of  $G_1$  to the subgraphs containing strange 2-cycles and tricky 2-triangles of  $C_1$ .

We start with subgraphs containing tricky triangles of  $C_1$ . We proceed in the order dictated by directed paths and cycles of a graph  $H^{dir}$ , which is a compressed and directed version of the graph  $H$ .  $H^{dir}$  is obtained from  $H$  as follows. For each tricky triangle  $t$  of  $C_1$  we identify as one vertex  $v_t$  four vertices in total: all vertices of  $t$  as well as the t-cycle  $c$  of  $t$ . Let  $e = (u, v)$  be any edge of  $N$ . It then corresponds to a tricky triangle  $t'$  of  $R$ . If  $t'$  is a rescuer of a tricky triangle  $t$  of  $C_1$ , we direct the counterpart of  $e$  in  $H^{dir}$  from  $v_t$ .

We first deal with directed cycles of  $H^{dir}$ .

**Lemma 33** *Let  $c_H$  be any directed cycle of  $H^{dir}$ . We are able to extend the partial coloring of  $G_1$  to the edges of tricky triangles covered by  $c_H$  and the edges of  $F_2$  of their rescuers.*

**Proof.** Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be the order of tricky triangles of  $C_1$ , in which they (or more precisely, the vertices representing them) occur on  $c_H$ . Assume that each  $t_i$  has the form  $t_i = (q_i, r_i, p_i)$ , where  $(q_i, r_i)$  is a t-cycle of  $t_i$ . This means that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  a rescuer  $t'_i$  of  $t_i$  has



the form  $t'_i = (q_i, r_i, p'_i)$ , where  $p'_i$  lies on  $t_{i+1}$  (indices are taken modulo  $k$ ). The vertex  $p_i$  is incident to two edges  $e_i = (s_i, p_i)$ ,  $e'_i = (p_i, s'_i)$  belonging to  $C_{max}$ , which are already colored. We can assume that  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  are diverse. Thus we use either 8 or 9 edges of  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$ . We consider each  $t_i$  in turn. We assign 3 colors either to  $f_i = (r_i, p'_i)$  or to  $f'_i = (p'_i, q_i)$ . We do it in such a way that:

- A color assigned to  $f_i$  or  $f'_i$  does not occur on any of  $e_{i+1}, e'_{i+1}, f_{i-1}, f'_{i-1}$ .
- A color occurring on  $e_i$  may be assigned to  $f_i$  but not  $f'_i$ . Similarly, a color occurring on  $e'_i$  may be assigned to  $f'_i$  but not  $f_i$ .

We now show that we are able to assign colors to each  $f_i$  or  $f'_i$  to satisfy the above. Suppose that we consider  $t_i$ . At most 9 colors of  $\mathcal{K}$  are used on  $e_i, e'_i$ . Possibly,  $t_{i-1}$  was considered before and thus one of  $f_{i-1}, f'_{i-1}$  is already colored with 3 colors. Let  $Z_1 = \text{col}(e_i) \cup \text{col}(e'_i) \cup \text{col}(f_{i-1}) \cup \text{col}(f'_{i-1})$ ,  $Z_2 = \text{col}(e_{i+1}) \cup \text{col}(e'_{i+1}) \cup \text{col}(f_{i+1}) \cup \text{col}(f'_{i+1})$  and  $d = \max\{|\text{col}(e_i) \setminus Z_2|, |\text{col}(e'_i) \setminus Z_2|\}$ . We use  $\min\{3, d\}$  colors of either  $\text{col}(e_i)$  or  $\text{col}(e'_i)$  on correspondingly either  $f_i$  or  $f'_i$ . If we have applied 3 colors, we are done. Note that  $|Z_1| \leq 12$  and  $|Z_2| \leq 12$ . We have  $|Z_1 \cup Z_2| = |Z_1| + |Z_2| - |Z_1 \cap Z_2| \leq \text{mult}(e_i) + \text{mult}(e'_i) + 15 - |Z_1 \cap Z_2|$ . Also,  $|Z_1 \cap Z_2| \geq \text{mult}(e_i) + \text{mult}(e'_i) - 2d$ . Therefore,  $|Z_1 \cup Z_2| \leq 15 + 2d$ . This means that, if  $d < 3$ , there are at least  $3 - d$  colors of  $\mathcal{K}$ , none of which belongs to either  $Z_1$  or  $Z_2$  and then we use  $3 - d$  such colors. □

**Lemma 34** *Let  $p_H$  be any directed cycle of  $H^{dir}$ . We are able to extend the partial coloring of  $G_1$  to the edges of tricky triangles covered by  $p_H$  and the edges of  $F_2$  of their rescuers.*

**Proof.** Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be the order of tricky triangles of  $C_1$ , in which they (or more precisely, the vertices representing them) occur on  $p_H$ . Assume that each  $t_i$  has the form  $t_i = (q_i, r_i, p_i)$ , where  $(q_i, r_i)$  is a t-cycle of  $t_i$ . This means that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  a rescuer  $t'_i$  of  $t_i$  has the form  $t'_i = (q_i, r_i, p'_i)$ , where for each  $i > 1$ ,  $p'_i$  lies on  $t_{i-1}$ . The vertex  $p_i$  is incident to two edges  $e_i = (s_i, p_i)$ ,  $e'_i = (p_i, s'_i)$  belonging to  $C_{max}$ , which are already colored.

Before coloring  $G_1$ , whenever possible, we replace the edges  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  with one edge  $e''_i = (s_i, s'_i)$ . The only cases when we do not perform such a replacement is when (i)  $s_i = s'_i$  and then  $(s_i, p_i)$  is a 2-cycle of  $C_{max}$ , (ii)  $(s_i, s'_i)$  is a 2-cycle of  $C_1$ , (iii) there is a triangle of  $C_1$  containing  $s_i$  and  $s'_i$ . Thus, apart from the three cases described above, edges  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  are colored with the same 4 colors of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Suppose that  $f_i = (r_i, p'_i) \in F_2$ . There are 6 colors forming set  $Z_i$  available for coloring it and we have to choose 3. There exists such set  $Z_i$ , because  $G_1$  contains one edge of  $C_{max}$  incoming to  $p'_i$ , colored with 4 colors and one edge of  $C_1$  incoming to  $p'_i$ , colored with 10 colors and  $f_i$  has to be diverse with both of them. Let  $d_i = (s_i, x_i)$ ,  $d'_i = (x'_i, s'_i)$  be two edges of  $C_1$ . Each of them is colored with 10 colors. It is possible that there exists one or two edges of  $F_2$  of the form  $\tilde{f}_i = (s_i, y_i)$ ,  $\tilde{f}'_i = (y'_i, s'_i)$ , which are already colored. Any edge of  $F_2$  is colored with 3 colors. If we want to recolor  $e_i$ , we have to ensure that  $e_i$  is diverse with both  $d_i$  and  $\tilde{f}_i$ . It means that we have at least 7 colors (set  $Z_i^1$ ) at our disposal for coloring  $e_i$ . By the same token, we have at least 7 colors (set  $Z_i^2$ ) available for coloring  $e'_i$ .

Let us first consider the case when  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  are colored with the same 4 colors. If  $|\text{col}(e_i) \cap Z_i| \leq 3$  we color  $f_i$  with 3 colors of  $Z_i \setminus \text{col}(e_i)$ . In the other case, we recolor  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  by replacing one fixed color  $k \in Z_i \cap \text{col}(e_i)$  with  $k_1$  on  $e_i$  and with  $k_2$  on  $e'_i$ . Colors  $k_1, k_2$  are

such that  $k_1 \neq k_2$  and  $k_j \in Z_i^j \setminus \text{col}(e_i)$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . We then use  $k$  and two other colors of  $Z_i \setminus \text{col}(e_i)$  on  $f_i$ .

Let us now deal with the three cases when  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  are not replaced with one edge. If  $s_i = s'_i$ , then  $F_2$  contains at most one of the edges  $\tilde{f}_i = (s_i, y_i), \tilde{f}'_i = (y'_i, s_i)$ . It means that at least one of the sets  $Z_i^1, Z_i^2$  contains 10. We can notice that if some color  $k$  belongs to  $Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2$ , then if we use  $k$  on exactly one of  $e_i, e'_i$  then that edge will be safe with respect to  $k$ , because neither  $d_i$  nor  $d'_i$  is colored with  $k$ . We need to assign  $\text{mult}(e_i) + \text{mult}(e'_i) \leq 9$  different colors to  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$ . Note that color  $z$  assigned to  $f_i$  can be assigned only to  $e_i$  and not to  $e'_i$  but we need to ensure that it will not belong to a monochromatic cycle. We recolor  $e_i, e'_i$  as follows:

- If  $|(Z_i^1 \cup Z_i^2) \setminus Z_i| \geq 6$ , then we color  $e_i, e'_i$  using at most 3 colors of  $Z_i$  in total and assign the remaining colors (unassigned to either  $e_i$  or  $e'_i$ ) to  $f_i$ .
- If  $|(Z_i^1 \cup Z_i^2) \setminus Z_i| = 4 + x$ , where  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $|Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2| \geq 7 - x$ , because  $|Z_i^1 \cup Z_i^2| = |Z_i^1| + |Z_i^2| - |Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2| \geq 17 - |Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2|$ . This means that  $Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2$  contains at least  $2 - x$  elements of  $Z_i$ . We assign  $2 - x$  colors of  $Z_i^1 \cap Z_i^2 \cap Z_i$  to  $e_i$  and also  $f_i$ ,  $1 + x$  other colors of  $Z_i$  to  $f_i$  and the remaining 3 colors of  $Z$  can be assigned to either  $e_i$  or  $e'_i$ . We also use  $9 - (5 - x) = 4 + x$  colors of  $(Z_i^1 \cup Z_i^2) \setminus Z_i$  to complete the coloring of  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$ .

□

## References

- [1] Anna Adamaszek, Matthias Mnich, Katarzyna Paluch: New Approximation Algorithms for (1, 2)-TSP. ICALP 2018: 9:1-9:14
- [2] Noga Alon, Joel H. Spencer. The probabilistic method. 2000.
- [3] Chris Armen and Clifford Stein. Improved Length Bounds for the Shortest Superstring Problem. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures, WADS '95*, volume 955 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 494–505. Springer 1995.
- [4] Chris Armen and Clifford Stein. A  $2\frac{2}{3}$ -Approximation Algorithm for the Shortest Superstring Problem. In *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching, CPM '96*, volume 1075 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 87–101. Springer 1996.
- [5] Markus Bläser. An 8/13-approximation algorithm for the asymmetric maximum TSP. *J. Algorithms*, 50(1):23–48, 2004.
- [6] Markus Bläser and Bodo Manthey. Two approximation algorithms for 3-cycle covers. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, volume 2462 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 40–50. Springer, 2002.
- [7] Avrim Blum and Tao Jiang and Ming Li and John Tromp and Mihalis Yannakakis. Linear Approximation of Shortest Superstrings. *J. ACM*, 41(4):630–647, 1994.

- [8] Dany Breslauer, Tao Jiang, and Zhigen Jiang. Rotations of periodic strings and short superstrings. *J. Algorithms*, 24(2):340–353, 1997.
- [9] Artur Czumaj and Leszek Gasieniec and Marek Piotrów and Wojciech Rytter. Sequential and Parallel Approximation of Shortest Superstrings. *J. Algorithms*, 23(1):74–100, 1997.
- [10] Szymon Dudycz, Jan Marcinkowski, Katarzyna E. Paluch, Bartosz Rybicki: A  $4/5$  - Approximation Algorithm for the Maximum Traveling Salesman Problem. IPCO 2017: 173-185
- [11] M. L. Fisher, G. L. Nemhauser, and L. A. Wolsey. An analysis of approximations for finding a maximum weight Hamiltonian circuit. *Oper. Res.*, 27(4):799–809, 1979.
- [12] Robert W. Irving, Telikepalli Kavitha, Kurt Mehlhorn, Dimitrios Michail, Katarzyna E. Paluch: Rank-maximal matchings. *ACM Trans. Algorithms* 2(4): 602-610 (2006)
- [13] Haim Kaplan, Moshe Lewenstein, Nira Shafrir, and Maxim Sviridenko. Approximation algorithms for asymmetric tsp by decomposing directed regular multigraphs. *J. ACM*, 52(4):602–626, 2005. Preliminary version appeared in FOCS’03.
- [14] S. Rao Kosaraju, James K. Park, and Clifford Stein. Long tours and short superstrings (preliminary version). In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 166–177, 1994.
- [15] Lukasz Kowalik and Marcin Mucha. Deterministic  $7/8$ -approximation for the metric maximum tsp. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 410(47-49):5000–5009, 2009.
- [16] Lukasz Kowalik and Marcin Mucha.  $35/44$ -approximation for asymmetric maximum tsp with triangle inequality. *Algorithmica*, 59(2):240–255, 2011.
- [17] Moshe Lewenstein and Maxim Sviridenko. A  $5/8$  approximation algorithm for the maximum asymmetric tsp. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 17(2):237–248, 2003.
- [18] M. Li. Towards a DNA sequencing theory. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 125–134, 1990.
- [19] L.Lovasz, M. D.Plummer. Matching Theory. 1986.
- [20] Marcin Mucha. Lyndon words and short superstrings. *SODA 2013*.
- [21] A. Nakayama, B. Peroche. Linear arboricity of digraphs. *Networks*, 17:39-53, 1987.
- [22] Katarzyna E. Paluch: Better Approximation Algorithms for Maximum Asymmetric Traveling Salesman and Shortest Superstring. CoRR abs/1401.3670 (2014)
- [23] Katarzyna E. Paluch, Marcin Mucha, and Aleksander Madry. A  $7/9$  - approximation algorithm for the maximum traveling salesman problem. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, volume 5687 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 298–311. Springer, 2009.
- [24] Katarzyna E. Paluch: Maximum ATSP with Weights Zero and One via Half-Edges. *Theory Comput. Syst.* 62(2): 319-336 (2018)

- [25] Katarzyna E. Paluch and Khaled M. Elbassioni and Anke van Zuylen. Simpler Approximation of the Maximum Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problem. In *Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS'2012*, Leibniz International Proceedings of Informatics 14, pages 501–506, 2012.
- [26] Christos H. Papadimitriou and Mihalis Yannakakis. The traveling salesman problem with distances one and two. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 18:1–11, 1993.
- [27] Z. Sweedyk. A  $2\frac{1}{2}$ -Approximation Algorithm for Shortest Superstring. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 29(3):954–986, 1999.
- [28] Jorma Tarhio and Esko Ukkonen. A greedy approximation algorithm for constructing shortest common superstrings. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 57:131–145, 1988.
- [29] Shang-Hua Teng and F. Frances Yao. Approximating Shortest Superstrings. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 26(2): 410–417, 1997.