

THE MULTIPLICITY OF GENERIC NORMAL SURFACE SINGULARITIES

JÁNOS NAGY AND ANDRÁS NÉMETHI

ABSTRACT. We provide combinatorial/topological formula for the multiplicity of a complex analytic normal surface singularity whenever the analytic structure on the fixed topological type is generic.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The ‘multiplicity problem’. Probably the most fundamental numerical invariant of a projective variety X embedded in some projective space \mathbb{P}^N is its degree. Its local analogue, defined for local (algebraic or analytic) germs (X, o) is the multiplicity $\text{mult}(X, o)$ of (X, o) . If (X, o) is embedded in some (\mathbb{C}^N, o) then it is the smallest intersection multiplicity of (X, o) with a linear subspace germ (L, o) of dimension $N - \dim(X, o)$. It is independent of the embedding $(X, o) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, o)$, it can also be defined via the Hilbert–Samuel function of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,o} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,o}$, cf. 2.3.11. By definition it is an analytic invariant, and it guides several central geometric problems.

E.g., besides its geometric significance as the ‘local degree’ of (X, o) , which obstructs (guides) the structure of analytic functions defined on (X, o) , it is the key numerical invariant of several objects associated canonically to (X, o) . See e.g. the significance of the multiplicity of the polar curve or of the discriminant in the case of hypersurface singularities [T73, T77], or the multiplicities of the δ -constant (Severi) strata of the deformation of a plane curve singularity [FGS99, S12].

In this note we focus on the multiplicity of a complex analytic normal surface singularity. The guiding question is whether the multiplicity is computable from the topology of the link. The topology of the link (as an oriented 3-manifold with usually ‘large’ fundamental group) contains a huge amount of information, however the problem is still difficult. E.g., there are examples of local, topologically constant deformations when the multiplicity jumps (see e.g. the examples from section 9, when any analytic type can be deformed into a generic one). Moreover, there are ‘easy’ pairs of examples, even hypersurface singularities, with the same topology but different multiplicity (e.g. $\{x^2 + y^7 + z^{14} = 0\}$ and $\{x^3 + y^4 + z^{12} = 0\}$.) In such cases of pairs of hypersurface singularities the common link is not a rational homology sphere. Therefore, it is natural to impose for the link to be a rational homology sphere (that is, in a resolution of (X, o) all the exceptional curves are rational and the dual graph is a tree).

The problem can be compared with the famous Zariski’s Conjecture [Z71], which asks whether the multiplicity of an isolated hypersurface singularity $(X, o) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, o)$ can be recovered from the embedded topological type, that is, from the smooth embedding $\text{link}(X) \subset S^{2n+1}$. Except for some particular families the answer is not known yet, it is open even for surface singularities. For a survey see [E07] (and the references therein). Note that our projects wishes to connect the multiplicity

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary. 32S05, 32S25, 32S50, Secondary. 14Bxx, 14J80.

Key words and phrases. normal surface singularities, generic analytic structure, links of singularities, Picard group, natural line bundles, rational homology spheres, geometric genus, multiplicity.

The authors are supported by the NKFIH Grant “Élvonal (Frontier)” KKP 126683.

merely with the abstract link (but under the assumption that the link is a rational homology sphere). In fact, in [MN05] it is conjectured that for isolated hypersurface surface singularities with rational homology sphere link the abstract link determines the multiplicity (it was verified in the suspension case in [MN05] and for germs with non-degenerate Newton principal parts in [BN07]). Note that for hypersurfaces the multiplicity is the smallest degree of the monomials from its equation, still, to recover this number from the topology can be hard.

If the normal surface singularity (X, o) is not a hypersurface then the situation is even harder: it might happen that the topological type carries many rather different families of analytic structures.

On the other hand, there are some ‘positive example/families’ as well. Artin in [A62, A66] characterized rational singularities topologically and determined the multiplicity explicitly from the graph. This was extended by Laufer in [La77] to minimally elliptic singularities, and extended further for Gorenstein elliptic singularities in [N99]. For splice quotient singularities (cf. [NW05]), a family which includes weighted homogeneous germs as well, the multiplicity was determined topologically in [N12]; for abelian covers of splice quotient singularities in [O15]. Otherwise the literature is rather restrictive about any kind of multiplicity formulae. (Here we might mention recent connections with the bi-Lipschitz geometry, however bi-Lipschitz property is an analytic property, stronger than the abstract topological type).

In [Wa70] Wagreich proved that in the presence of a resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, if Z_{max} is the ‘maximal ideal cycle’ (of Yau [Y80]), and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has no base points, then $\text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2$. Here there are two difficulties: to determine Z_{max} , and to characterize the base points of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$.

1.2. In the present note, instead of certain peculiar families, we focus on the ‘generic analytic structures’. We fix a topological type, say a dual graph Γ , and we determine the multiplicity of a singularity (X, o) , which has a resolution \tilde{X} with dual graph Γ , and \tilde{X} carries a generic analytic structure. (It turns out that the expression is independent of the choice of Γ up to the natural blow up of the graph.) Note that the moduli space of analytic structures supported on Γ are not known, we will use the parameter space of local deformations of Laufer [La73] to define the ‘generic analytic structure’.

For generic analytic structures in [NN18b] we already determined several analytic invariant topologically. That package of results basically concentrated on the cohomology of (certain natural) line bundles. It was a continuation of [NN18a], where the Abel map of resolution of normal surface singularities was introduced and treated. The article [NN18a] creates that new mathematical machinery, which can handle the subtle analytic invariants of line bundles. In [NN18b] the cycle Z_{max} was already determined for the generic analytic structure (together with ‘analytic semigroup’ of divisors of analytic functions of (X, o)). In the present note we characterize topologically the base points of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ as well. In this topological characterization we use the Riemann–Roch expression $\chi(l)$, (defined for cycles l supported on the exceptional curve). For the definition of χ see 2.2.

For \tilde{X} generic, and (X, o) non-rational, Z_{max} is determined as follows ([NN18b], or Theorem 3.2.1 below). Set $\mathcal{M} = \{Z : \chi(Z) = \min_{l \in L} \chi(l)\}$. Then the unique maximal element of \mathcal{M} is the maximal ideal cycle of \tilde{X} .

The next theorem provides the structure of base points (for more general versions see Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.6 or Proposition 8.1.3 below).

Theorem 1.2.1. *Consider a resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with generic analytic structure. Let E be the exceptional curve $\cup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} E_v$. We say that the irreducible component E_v satisfies the property $(*_v)$ if*

$$(*_v) \quad \min_{l \geq E_v} \{\chi(Z_{max} + l)\} = \chi(Z_{max}) + 1.$$

Then the following facts hold.

- If p is a base point of $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ then p is a regular point of E .
- If $p \in E_v$ is a base point of \mathcal{L} then E_v satisfies $(Z_{max}, E_v) < 0$ and the property $(*)_v$.
- If $(Z_{max}, E_v) < 0$ and E_v satisfy $(*)_v$ then \mathcal{L} has exactly $-(Z_{max}, E_v)$ base points on E_v . In this case define $t(v) := m_v^+ - m_v$, where m_v is the E_v -coefficient of Z_{max} and

$$m_v^+ = \max\{E_v\text{-coefficient of } Z_{max} + l : \text{where } l \geq E_v, l \in L, \chi(Z_{max} + l) = \chi(Z_{max}) + 1\}.$$

Then the ideal of each base point p on E_v has the uniform local type $(x^{t(v)}, y)$, where (x, y) are local coordinates at p and $\{x = 0\}$ is the local equation of (E, p) . In particular,

$$(1.2.2) \quad \text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2 - \sum_v t(v) \cdot (Z_{max}, E_v),$$

where the sum is over all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $(Z_{max}, E_v) < 0$ and $\min_{l \geq E_v} \chi(Z_{max} + l) = \chi(Z_{max}) + 1$.

1.3. Note that if we blow up the resolution graph Γ we get a new graph, which determines the same topological type of (X, o) . If we associate generic analytic structures to both graphs then the structure of the base points can be identified isomorphically. (However, if we blow up a base point of a generic analytic structure, then we modify the type of the base point, but the analytic structure obtained by blow up the base point will be not generic on its supporting topological type.) For details see Remark 3.3.4(b).

1.4. In fact, our results are more general. In order to be able to run an induction in the proof, we need to consider a relative case of resolutions $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$, where \tilde{X}_{top} is a fixed resolution space with dual graph Γ_{top} , and \tilde{X} is a convenient small neighbourhood of exceptional curves given by a subgraph Γ of Γ_{top} . Furthermore, we consider several line bundles as well: the restrictions of the ‘natural line bundles’ from \tilde{X}_{top} level (with some positivity restriction regarding their Chern classes).

1.5. The idea of the proof of our main theorem and of some other inductive procedures have their origin in [Na19], where the first author extends the results of [NN18b] (valid for generic germs) for the ‘relatively generic singularities’. In this setup one fixes two resolution graphs $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ and a singularity \tilde{X}_1 with graph Γ_1 and one studies a generic surface singularity \tilde{X} with graph Γ such that it has the sub-singularity \tilde{X}_1 . Although we follow intuitively the ideas and techniques developed in [Na19], we try to be self-contained and use only a few results from [Na19] without proof.

1.6. The structure of the article is the following. In section 2 we collect preliminary definitions and lemmas, and we recall the definition of (restricted) natural line bundles. In section 3 we review the definition of the generic analytic structure (based on the work of Laufer) and several results from [NN18b] regarding invariants for generic analytic structures. Here we state the new results regarding the structure of base points as well (Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.6) formulated in the general case of natural line bundles. Both theorems are divided into five steps (geometric statements) $(1')$ – $(5')$. The proof of $(1')$ is already in this section. Section 4 contains a review of certain needed material regarding the Abel maps from [NN18a]. Part $(2')$ is proved in section 5, $(3')$ – $(4')$ in section 6, while $(5')$ in section 8, after a review in section 7 of the description of the Abel map in terms of differential forms via Laufer’s duality. Section 9 contains some examples, which support the theory. Section 10 shows that the statements of the main results (formulated for natural line bundles of generic singularities) remain valid for generic line bundles of arbitrary singularities as well (modulo a necessary assumption). Here we explain also the expected relationship between natural line bundles of generic singularities and the generic line bundles of arbitrary singularities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The resolution. Let (X, o) be the germ of a complex analytic normal surface singularity, and let us fix a good resolution $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of (X, o) . We denote the exceptional curve $\phi^{-1}(0)$ by E , and let $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ be its irreducible components. Set also $E_I := \sum_{v \in I} E_v$ for any subset $I \subset \mathcal{V}$. For the cycle $l = \sum n_v E_v$ let its support be $|l| = \cup_{n_v \neq 0} E_v$. For more details see [N07, N12, N99b].

2.2. Topological invariants. Let Γ be the dual resolution graph associated with ϕ ; it is a connected graph. Then $M := \partial \tilde{X}$, as a smooth oriented 3-manifold, can be identified with the link of (X, o) , it is also an oriented plumbed 3-manifold associated with Γ . *We will assume (for any singularity we will deal with) that the link M is a rational homology sphere*, or, equivalently, Γ is a tree with all genus decorations zero. We use the same notation \mathcal{V} for the set of vertices.

The lattice $L := H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is endowed with a negative definite intersection form $I = (,)$. It is freely generated by the classes of 2-spheres $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$. The dual lattice $L' := H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the (anti)dual classes $\{E_v^*\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ defined by $(E_v^*, E_w) = -\delta_{vw}$, the opposite of the Kronecker symbol. The intersection form embeds L into L' . Then $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq L'/L$, abridged by H . Usually one also identifies L' with those rational cycles $l' \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for which $(l', L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or, $L' = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$), where the intersection form extends naturally.

All the E_v -coordinates of any E_u^* are strict positive. We define the Lipman cone as $\mathcal{S}' := \{l' \in L' : (l', E_v) \leq 0 \text{ for all } v\}$. It is generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by $\{E_v^*\}_v$. Hence, if $l' \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\}$ then all its E_v -coefficients are strict positive. We also write $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}' \cap L$.

There is a natural partial ordering of L' and L : we write $l'_1 \geq l'_2$ if $l'_1 - l'_2 = \sum_v r_v E_v$ with all $r_v \geq 0$. We set $L_{\geq 0} = \{l \in L : l \geq 0\}$ and $L_{> 0} = L_{\geq 0} \setminus \{0\}$. We will write $Z_{\min} \in L$ for the *minimal* (or fundamental, or Artin) cycle, which is the minimal non-zero cycle of \mathcal{S} [A62, A66].

We define the (anti)canonical cycle $Z_K \in L'$ via the *adjunction formulae* $(-Z_K + E_v, E_v) + 2 = 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. (In fact, $Z_K = -c_1(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$, cf. (2.3.1)). In a minimal resolution $Z_K \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Finally we consider the Riemann–Roch expression $\chi(l') = -(l', l' - Z_K)/2$ defined for any $l' \in L'$.

2.3. Some analytic invariants. The Picard groups. The group $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ of isomorphism classes of analytic line bundles on \tilde{X} appears in the (exponential) exact sequence

$$(2.3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{c_1} L' \rightarrow 0,$$

where c_1 denotes the first Chern class. Here $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X}) = H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p_g}$, where p_g is the *geometric genus* of (X, o) . (X, o) is called *rational* if $p_g(X, o) = 0$. Artin in [A62, A66] characterized rationality topologically via the graphs; such graphs are called ‘rational’. By this criterion, Γ is rational if and only if $\chi(l) \geq 1$ for any effective non-zero cycle $l \in L_{> 0}$.

Similarly, if $Z \in L_{> 0}$ is a non-zero effective integral cycle such that its support is $|Z| = E$, and \mathcal{O}_Z^* denotes the sheaf of units of \mathcal{O}_Z , then $\text{Pic}(Z) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z^*)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on Z . It appears in the exact sequence

$$(2.3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Z) \xrightarrow{c_1} L' \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{Pic}^0(Z) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. If $Z_2 \geq Z_1$ then there are natural restriction maps, $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Z_2) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Z_1)$. Similar restrictions are defined at Pic^0 level too. These restrictions are homomorphisms of the exact sequences (2.3.1) and (2.3.2).

2.3.3. Fixed components and base points of line bundles. Fix some $Z \in L_{> 0}$ with $|Z| = E$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Z)$. We say that E_v is a fixed component of \mathcal{L} if the natural inclusion $H^0(Z -$

$E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) \hookrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, \mathcal{L} has *no fixed components* at all if

$$(2.3.4) \quad H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{\text{reg}} := H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \bigcup_v H^0(Z - E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v))$$

is non-empty. Let us use the same notation \mathcal{L} for the sheaf of sections of the line bundle \mathcal{L} . If \mathcal{L} has no fixed components then there exists a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ such that $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L}}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is supported at finitely many points of E . These are the base points of \mathcal{L} .

We will refer to the next elementary lemma many times.

Lemma 2.3.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ has no fixed components and $p \in E$ is a base point. Let $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the blow up of \tilde{X} at p and set $E^{new} = b^{-1}(p)$. Then*

- (a) *if $p \in E_v$ then $(c_1(\mathcal{L}), E_v) > 0$,*
- (b) *$H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}) = H^0(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}) = H^0(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new}))$,*
- (c) *$h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}) = h^1(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}) = h^1(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})) - 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X},p}$ denote the maximal ideal of the local algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}$. (a) If $(c_1(\mathcal{L}), E_v) \leq 0$ then comparison of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{m}_p \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ with $0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}(-E_v)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}|_{E_v})$ would imply that E_v is a fixed component. For (b)–(c) notice that $R^0 b_*(b^*\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$ and $R^1 b_*(b^*\mathcal{L}) = 0$, hence by Leray spectral sequence $H^*(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}) = H^*(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L})$. Then identify $0 \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X},p} \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ with $0 \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. \square

Definition 2.3.6. A base point p of \mathcal{L} is called of A_t -type (for some integer $t \geq 1$) if p is a regular point of E and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L},p}$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}$ is (x^t, y) , where x, y are some local coordinates of (\tilde{X}, p) at p with $\{x = 0\} = E$ (locally). We say that p is of A -type if it is A_t -type for some $t \geq 1$. In such cases we write $t = t(p)$. Note that A_1 -type means $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L},p} = \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X},p}$.

One verifies that a base point p is of A -type if and only if $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L},p} \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X},p}^2$. A base point of A_t -type has the following geometric picture. If $s \in H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a generic global section then its divisor D in (\tilde{X}, p) is reduced, smooth and transversal to E . Moreover, if we blow up \tilde{X} at p then (via the notations of Lemma 2.3.5) $b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})$ has no fixed components, and on E^{new} it has no base points in the $t = 1$ case. If $t > 1$ then it has exactly one base point, namely at the intersection of E^{new} with the strict transform of D . This base point is of A_{t-1} -type.

In particular, in order to eliminate a base point of type A_t we need exactly t successive blow ups. At all these steps Lemma 2.3.5 (b)–(c) applies.

We warn the reader that if a base point can be eliminated by t successive blow ups then it is not necessarily of A_t -type. (Take e.g. the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L},p} = \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X},p}^2$, which can be eliminated by one blow up.)

2.3.7. Natural line bundles. The epimorphism c_1 in (2.3.1) admits a unique group homomorphism section $L' \ni l' \mapsto s(l') \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, which extends the natural section $l \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l)$ valid for integral cycles $l \in L$, and such that $c_1(s(l')) = l'$ [N07, O04]. We call $s(l')$ the *natural line bundles* on \tilde{X} with Chern class l' . By the very definition, \mathcal{L} is natural if and only if some power \mathcal{L}^n of it has the form $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l)$ for some $l \in L$. We will use the uniform notation $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l') := s(l')$ for any $l' \in L'$.

The following fact will be used several times:

Lemma 2.3.8. *Consider the natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l') \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ for $l' \in L'$. Let $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the blow up of a point $p \in E$. Then $b^*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l')) \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}^{new})$ is natural, in fact, it is $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}}(b^*(l'))$.*

Indeed, it is enough to verify the statement for $l \in L$ in which case it is immediate.

If $Z \in L_{>0}$ with $|Z| = E$, then we can define a similar section of (2.3.2) by $s_Z(l') := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l')|_Z$. These bundles satisfy $c_1 \circ s_Z = \text{id}_{L'}$. We write $\mathcal{O}_Z(l')$ for $s_Z(l')$, and we call them *natural line bundles* on Z .

We also use the notations $\text{Pic}'(\tilde{X}) := c_1^{-1}(l') \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ and $\text{Pic}'(Z) := c_1^{-1}(l') \subset \text{Pic}(Z)$ respectively. Multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')$, or by $\mathcal{O}_Z(-l')$, provides natural affine-space isomorphisms $\text{Pic}'(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X})$ and $\text{Pic}'(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(Z)$. (But, of course, multiplication by any other line bundle with the right Chern class might also realize the isomorphisms, the previous ones are ‘canonical’.)

2.3.9. The analytic semigroups associated with \tilde{X} . By definition, the analytic semigroup (monoid) associated with the resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is

$$(2.3.10) \quad \mathcal{S}'_{an} := \{l' \in L' : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l') \text{ has no fixed components}\}.$$

It is a subsemigroup of \mathcal{S}' . One also sets $\mathcal{S}_{an} := \mathcal{S}'_{an} \cap L$, a subsemigroup of \mathcal{S} . In fact, \mathcal{S}_{an} consists of the restrictions $\text{div}_E(f)$ of the divisors $\text{div}(f \circ \phi)$ to E , where f runs over $\mathcal{O}_{X,o}$. Therefore, if $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$, then $\min\{s_1, s_2\} \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$ as well (take the generic linear combination of the corresponding functions). In particular, for any $l \in L$, there exists a *unique* minimal $s \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$ with $s \geq l$.

Similarly, for any $h \in H = L'/L$ set $\mathcal{S}'_{an,h} := \{l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} : [l'] = h\}$. Then for any $s'_1, s'_2 \in \mathcal{S}'_{an,h}$ one has $\min\{s'_1, s'_2\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{an,h}$, and for any $l' \in L'$ there exists a unique minimal $s' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an,[l']}$ with $s' \geq l'$.

2.3.11. The Hilbert–Samuel function. S. S.-T. Yau’s *maximal ideal cycle* $Z_{max} \in L$ can be defined either as the unique minimal element of $\mathcal{S}_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ (or, as the unique minimal element of \mathcal{S}_{an} which is $\geq E$, cf. 2.3.9), or, as the divisorial part of the pullback of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,o} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,o}$, i.e. $\phi^* \mathfrak{m}_{X,o} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max}) \cdot \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is an ideal sheaf with 0-dimensional support [Y80]. In general, $Z_{min} \leq Z_{max}$ (but they can be different). By the base points of $\mathfrak{m}_{X,o}$ associated with ϕ we understand the base points of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$. They are described by \mathcal{I} .

The *Hilbert–Samuel function* is defined as $f^{HS}(k) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,o}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,o}^k)$ for any $k \geq 1$. The *Hilbert–Samuel polynomial* is the unique polynomial $P^{HS}(k) = a_2 k^2/2 + a_1 k + a_0$ such that $P^{HS}(k) = f^{HS}(k)$ for k sufficiently large. The coefficient a_2 is the *multiplicity of (X, o)* , $\text{mult}(X, o)$. Geometrically, it is the degree of the *generic* map $(X, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. By [Wa70] $\text{mult}(X, o) \geq -Z_{max}^2$, and equality holds exactly in those cases when $\mathfrak{m}_{X,o}$ has no base points with respect to ϕ . Moreover, if all the base points of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ are of A -type then

$$(2.3.12) \quad \text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2 + \sum_p t(p).$$

If for a certain resolution the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has base points, then they can be eliminated by a convenient sequence of additional blow ups (infinitely close to the base points). However, from the topological data, in general, it is not possible to identify those resolutions for which $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has no base points (or, the structure of ideal sheaves \mathcal{I} of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ in the presence of base points).

2.3.13. Restricted natural line bundles. Regarding natural line bundles the following warning is appropriate. Note that if \tilde{X}_1 is a connected small convenient neighbourhood of the union of some of the exceptional divisors (hence \tilde{X}_1 also stays as the resolution of the singularity obtained by contraction of that union of exceptional curves) then one can repeat the definition of natural line bundles at the level of \tilde{X}_1 as well (as a splitting of (2.3.1) applied for \tilde{X}_1). However, the restriction to \tilde{X}_1 of a natural line bundle of \tilde{X} (even of type $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l)$ with l integral cycle supported on E) usually is not natural on \tilde{X}_1 : $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l')|_{\tilde{X}_1} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1}(R(l'))$ (where $R : H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbb{Z})$ is the natural cohomological restriction), though their Chern classes coincide.

Therefore, in inductive procedures when such restriction is needed, we will deal with the family of *restricted natural line bundles*. This means the following. We fix a resolution space \tilde{X}_{top} with dual graph Γ_{top} . Then for any \tilde{X} , a convenient small neighbourhood of the exceptional curves indexed by the graph Γ (a connected subgraph of Γ_{top}) the ‘restricted natural line bundles’ in $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ are the restrictions to \tilde{X} of the natural line bundles from $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{top})$. In this way, for any \tilde{X}_1 ($\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X}$, defined similarly as \tilde{X}) the restriction of these line bundles from \tilde{X} to \tilde{X}_1 are basically the restriction of natural line bundles from \tilde{X}_{top} , hence any induction based on restriction preserves the family stably. The same is valid when we consider instead of \tilde{X} an effective cycle Z with connected support $|Z| \subset E$.

This basically means that we fix \tilde{X}_{top} and we consider the tower of singularities (resolutions) $\{\tilde{X}\}_{\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}}$, or $\{\mathcal{O}_Z\}_{|Z| \subset E_{top}}$, and all the restricted natural line bundles are restrictions from the top level \tilde{X}_{top} . We use the notations $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l'_{top}) := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}) := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_Z$ respectively, where $l'_{top} \in L'(\tilde{X}_{top})$.

If for some reason we need a blow up $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ at some $p \in E \subset \tilde{X}$, then the pull back bundle $b^*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}})$ is again a ‘restricted natural line bundle’, namely $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}^{new}}(b_{top}^*(l'_{top}))|_{\tilde{X}^{new}}$, where $b_{top} : \tilde{X}_{top}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{top}$ is the blow up of \tilde{X}_{top} at p (cf. Lemma 2.3.8).

In particular, we obtain a compatible family of line bundles, well-defined and indexed by the Chern classes, which are stable with respect to blow up and restrictions (in the towers as above).

Though the next statement is elementary, it is a key ingredient in several arguments.

The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top}) \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{top})$ depends on its Chern class l'_{top} (as combinatorial data) but definitely also on the analytic type of \tilde{X}_{top} . When we restrict it to \tilde{X} , and we vary the analytic structure of \tilde{X}_{top} with the analytic structure of \tilde{X} fixed, the bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ might vary in the fixed $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$. The next lemma aims to reduce the dependence of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}}$ on the analytic structure of \tilde{X}_{top} to the analytic type of the pair $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X} \cap E_{top})$.

Lemma 2.3.14. *The restriction $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ depends only on the Chern class l'_{top} , on the analytic type of \tilde{X} , and on the analytic type of the non-compact divisor $E_{top} \cap \tilde{X}$ of \tilde{X} .*

Proof. Since $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ has no torsion, it is enough to argue for $l'_{top} \in L(\tilde{X}_{top})$ (identified with an integral cycle supported on E_{top}), in which case the statement follows from the definitions. \square

3. ANALYTIC INVARIANTS OF GENERIC ANALYTIC TYPE

3.1. Let us comment first the definition of ‘generic’ analytic type. The point is that for a fixed topological type the moduli space of all analytic structures supported by that fixed topological type (of a singularity), is not yet described in the literature. Similarly, for a fixed resolution graph Γ , the moduli space of all analytic structures (or resolution spaces \tilde{X}) having dual graph Γ is again unknown. Hence, we cannot define our generic structure as a generic point of such moduli spaces. However, Laufer in [La73] defined *local complete deformations* of resolution of singularities. For a given resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with dual graph Γ , the base space of this deformation space parametrizes all the possible (local) deformations of the analytic structure of \tilde{X} (with fixed topological type Γ). This parameter space is the basic tool in our ‘working definition’, cf. [NN18b] and 3.1.1 below.

3.1.1. The working definition of the ‘generic analytic type’. Usually when we have a parameter space for a family of geometric objects, the ‘generic object’ might depend essentially on the fact that what kind of anomalies we wish to avoid. Accordingly, we determine a discriminant space of the non-wished objects, and generic means elements from its complement. In the present

article, following [NN18b], all the discrete analytic invariants we treat are basically guided by the cohomology groups of the restricted natural line bundles associated with a resolution. Hence, the discriminant spaces (sitting in the base space of complete deformation spaces of Laufer [La73], parametrizing deformations of a pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ with fixed dual graphs, are defined as the ‘jump loci’ of the first-cohomology groups of the restricted natural line bundles at all levels of the tower $\{\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X}\}_{\tilde{X}_1}$, cf. 2.3.13. (Usually, guided by a specific geometrical problem — e.g. the maximal ideal and properties of Z_{max} —, we have to consider only finitely many Chern classes, hence only finitely many such bundles/discriminants too.) A *generic analytic structure* avoids all such discriminants.

In particular, the definition of the generic analytic type is linked with some distinguished resolution pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$. (However, this distinguished pair can be replaced by a new one, generic as well, if this new one is obtained from the distinguished one e.g. by a blow up at a *generic* point of $E \subset \tilde{X}$, see 3.2.3. Furthermore, in the situation $\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{X}_{1,top}$, $\tilde{X}_{1,top} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ (cf. 2.3.13), when $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ is generic, then $\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X}_{1,top}$ is automatically generic as well.)

The consideration of a *pair* is motivated by the fact that the notions associated with pairs behave properly in inductive steps. (As was explained in 2.3.13, even if we start with $\tilde{X}_{top} = \tilde{X}$ and natural line bundles of \tilde{X} , if we need to restrict them to some $\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X}$, we face the situation of restricted bundles associated with the pair $\tilde{X}_1 \subset \tilde{X}$.) However, once the theorem is proved by induction based on the relative setup, a posteriori, in most concrete applications we choose $\tilde{X}_{top} = \tilde{X}$. In this latter case we speak about the generic analytic structure of \tilde{X} with fixed dual graph Γ (and about properties of genuine natural line bundles on \tilde{X}). For more see [NN18b].

In a slightly simplified language we can regard the generic analytic structure in the following way as well. Fix a graph Γ . For each E_v ($v \in \mathcal{V}$) the disc bundle with Euler number E_v^2 is taut: it has no analytic moduli. The generic \tilde{X} is obtained by gluing ‘generically’ these bundles according to the edges of Γ as an analytic plumbing.

3.2. Review of some results of [NN18b]. The list of analytic invariants, associated with a generic analytic type (with respect to a fixed resolution graph), which in [NN18b] are described topologically, include the following ones: $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z(l'))$ (with certain restriction on the Chern class l'), — this last one applied for $Z \gg 0$ provides $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l'))$ too —, the multivariable Hilbert function $L \ni l \mapsto \mathfrak{h}(l)$, the analytic semigroup, and the maximal ideal cycle of \tilde{X} . See above or [CDGZ04, CDGZ08, Li69, N99b, N08, N12, O08, Re97] (or Theorem 3.2.1) for the definitions and relationships between them. The topological characterizations use the RR-expression $\chi : L' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

In the next theorem the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')$ are the ‘genuine natural line bundles’ associated with \tilde{X} and $l' \in L'$. (For the general case $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ see 3.3.5.) It says (like several other statements regarding generic analytic structure and restricted natural line bundles) that these bundles behave cohomologically as the generic line bundles of $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ (for more comments see [NN18b], and also Theorem 4.1.11(II) here).

Theorem 3.2.1. [NN18b, Theorem A] *Fix a resolution graph (tree of \mathbb{P}^1 ’s) and assume that the analytic type of \tilde{X} is generic. In parts (a)–(b) we assume that Z is an effective cycle $Z \in L_{>0}$. Then the following identities hold:*

(a) *For any $Z \in L_{>0}$ with connected support $|Z|$*

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1 - \min_{0 < l \leq Z, l \in L} \{\chi(l)\}.$$

(b) *If $l' = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} l'_v E_v \in L'$ satisfies $l'_v > 0$ for any E_v in the support of Z then*

$$h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-l')) = \chi(l') - \min_{0 \leq l \leq Z, l \in L} \{\chi(l' + l)\}.$$

(c) If $p_g(X, o) = h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ is the geometric genus of (X, o) then

$$p_g(X, o) = 1 - \min_{l \in L_{>0}} \{\chi(l)\} = -\min_{l \in L} \{\chi(l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X, o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(d) More generally, for any $l' \in L'$

$$h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')) = \chi(l') - \min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l' + l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l' \in L_{\leq 0} \text{ and } (X, o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(e) For $l \in L$ set $\mathfrak{h}(l) = \dim(H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})/H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l)))$. Then $\mathfrak{h}(0) = 0$ and for $l_0 > 0$ one has

$$\mathfrak{h}(l_0) = \min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l_0 + l)\} - \min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X, o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(f) $\mathcal{S}'_{an} = \{l' : \chi(l') < \chi(l' + l) \text{ for any } l \in L_{>0}\} \cup \{0\}$.

(g) Assume that Γ is a non-rational graph and set $\mathcal{M} = \{Z \in L_{>0} : \chi(Z) = \min_{l \in L} \chi(l)\}$. Then the unique maximal element of \mathcal{M} is the maximal ideal cycle of \tilde{X} .

(Note that in the above formulae one also has $\min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l)\} = \min_{l \in L} \{\chi(l)\}$.)

Remark 3.2.2. By part (g) of Theorem 3.2.1 for a generic analytic structure \tilde{X} one has $\chi(Z_{max}) = \min_{l \in L} \{\chi(l)\}$. Note that $\min_{l \in L} \{\chi(l)\}$ is independent on the choice of the resolution graph, it is a topological invariant of the singularity (denoted in the sequel by $\min \chi$).

Let us assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ of a generic analytic structure \tilde{X} has a base point $p \in E_v$, where p is a regular point of E . Then, if we blow up \tilde{X} at p we get a new resolution, say \tilde{X}^{new} , with dual graph Γ^{new} . Write the blow up as $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $b^{-1}(p) = E^{new}$. Then $(b \circ \phi)^* \mathfrak{m}_{X,o} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}}(-b^*Z_{max} - kE^{new}) \cdot \mathcal{I}^{new}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence, the maximal ideal cycle of \tilde{X}^{new} is $Z_{max}^{new} = b^*Z_{max} + kE^{new}$. However, $\chi(b^*Z_{max} + kE^{new}) = \chi(Z_{max}) + k(k+1)/2 > \min \chi$. In particular, \tilde{X}^{new} and Z_{max}^{new} do not satisfy (g) (and several other properties of Theorem 3.2.1). This is compatible with the fact that \tilde{X}^{new} is not generic with respect to the new graph Γ^{new} . (Recall that the center of the blow up was a special point, a base point associated with \tilde{X} .)

On the other hand, if we take a generic structure, say \tilde{X}_{gen}^{new} supported on Γ^{new} , then E^{new} can be contracted in this case too, and one gets a resolution $\tilde{X}_{gen}^{new}/E^{new}$. In this case the point p (the image of E^{new}) cannot be a base point (since (g) is valid for \tilde{X}_{gen}^{new} as well), in fact it is a generic point of E_v . (As \tilde{X}_{gen}^{new} is constructed via a generic analytic plumbing, the gluing point $E_v \cap E^{new}$ is also generic on E_v .) For further references we highlight this statement.

Lemma 3.2.3. If the pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ is generic (with respect to $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{top}$), and p is a generic point of E , then the blow up $\tilde{X}^{new} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}^{new}$ of $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ at p produces a generic pair.

3.3. The new results. The structure of base points. If \tilde{X} is generic and $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ then we have $\min_{l > 0} \{\chi(l' + l)\} > \chi(l')$ (cf. Theorem 3.2.1(f)).

We say that l' and E_v satisfy the property $(*_v)$ if

$$(*_v) \quad \min_{l \geq E_v, l \in L} \{\chi(l' + l)\} = \chi(l') + 1.$$

Theorem 3.3.1. Consider a resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with generic analytic structure as in 3.1.1 and fix $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ and write $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')$. Then the following facts hold.

- (1) If p is a base point of \mathcal{L} then p is a regular point of E .
- (2) All the base points of \mathcal{L} are of A -type.

- (3) If $p \in E_v$ is a base point of \mathcal{L} then l' and E_v satisfy the property $(*_v)$.
 (4) If $(l', E_v) < 0$ and l' and E_v satisfy $(*_v)$ then \mathcal{L} has exactly $-(l', E_v)$ base points on E_v .
 (5) Under the assumptions of (4), any base point on E_v is uniformly of $A_{t(v)}$ -type, where $t(v) = m_v^+ - m_v$, m_v is the E_v -coefficient of l' and

$$m_v^+ = \max\{E_v\text{-coefficient of } l' + l : \text{where } l \geq E_v, l \in L, \chi(l' + l) = \chi(l') + 1\}.$$

Corollary 3.3.2. Assume that \tilde{X} is generic and let Z_{\max} be its maximal ideal cycle. Theorem 3.3.1 applied for $l' = Z_{\max}$ and (2.3.12) imply:

$$(3.3.3) \quad \text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{\max}^2 - \sum_v t(v) \cdot (Z_{\max}, E_v),$$

where the sum is over all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $(Z_{\max}, E_v) < 0$ and $\min_{l \geq E_v} \chi(Z_{\max} + l) = \chi(Z_{\max}) + 1$.

Since all the involved invariants (in the case \tilde{X} generic) are computable from the dual graph Γ of \tilde{X} (cf. Theorem 3.2.1), (3.3.3) is a topological/combinatorial expression for $\text{mult}(X, o)$.

Remark 3.3.4. (a) The long cohomological exact sequence associated with $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l' - E_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(-l') \rightarrow 0$ and Theorem 3.2.1(d)-(f) show that for \tilde{X} generic and $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\text{if } V_v := \frac{H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'))}{H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l' - E_v))} \quad \text{then} \quad \dim(V_v) = \min_{l \geq E_v} \{\chi(l' + l)\} - \chi(l').$$

In general $\dim(V_v) \geq 1$. On the other hand, $(*_v)$ reads as $\dim(V_v) = 1$.

Equivalently, $\dim(V_v) = 1$ means that $\dim \text{im}(H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')) \rightarrow H^0(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')))) = 1$. If this happens, and $(l', E_v) < 0$, then (even for not necessarily generic \tilde{X}) the line bundle necessarily has base points at the intersection points of the divisor of the generic section with E_v . Parts (4)–(5) of Theorem 3.3.1 say that these base points share uniformly the same type of ideal. The geometric meaning of part (3) is that if $\dim(V_v) \geq 2$ then there exist two generic sections without common zeroes along E_v .

(b) If we blow up a *generic* point of E in the generic \tilde{X} , then \tilde{X}^{new} is also generic (cf. 3.2.2), and furthermore, the base points and their structures at level \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}^{new} can be identified. Hence, for $\text{mult}(X, o)$ the very same type of formula holds with the very same correction term given by the base points. In particular, for any resolution graph Γ' (say, obtained from Γ by several blow ups), the associated generic analytic resolution \tilde{X}' will have the very same type of base points. Hence, the structure of base points is independent of the choice of the *generic* resolution. (However, if we blow up a base point, then we might eliminate the base points, but on those resolutions the formulae valid for generic resolutions do not work, and we lose the topological control as well.)

3.3.5. Theorem 3.3.1 is a consequence of the more general **Technical Theorem 3.3.6** below, which is formulated in such a way that a certain induction runs properly. More precisely, it is stated for pairs $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ with generic analytic structure and the bundles are the ‘restricted natural line bundles’ from the level of \tilde{X}_{top} .

Before we state the new version we note that Theorem 3.2.1 was also proved in [NN18b] for the more general relative version, that is, the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l')$ from Theorem 3.2.1 can be replaced by ‘restricted natural line bundles’ associated with some generic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$, under some negativity assumption regarding l'_{top} . In this version part (f) of Theorem 3.2.1 reads as follows.

Assume that $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ is a generic pair, and fix $l'_{top} \in L'(\tilde{X}_{top})$. We will assume that its E_v -coordinates satisfies $l'_{top, v} > 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $-l' := R(-l'_{top}) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(-l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}}) \in L'(\tilde{X})$ be its cohomological restriction, and assume that $l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$ (compare also with Theorem 4.1.11). Then,

the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(-l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}} \in \text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ has no fixed components can be characterised topological, it depends only on the Chern class l' and it happens exactly when the generic element of $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ has no fixed component. The topological characterization is (like for the genuine natural line bundles): $\chi(l') < \chi(l' + l)$ for any $l \in L_{>0}$. In particular, the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(-l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ has no fixed components is independent of the top level \tilde{X}_{top} , and it depends only on the cohomological restriction l' .

In the next statement Γ, E, \mathcal{V} , etc. denote the invariants at level \tilde{X} .

Theorem 3.3.6. *Consider a generic analytic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$. Choose $l'_{top} \in L'(\Gamma_{top})$ such that its E_v -coordinate $l'_{top,v} > 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $l' := R(l'_{top}) \in L'(\Gamma)$ be its cohomological restriction, and we assume that $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}) \setminus \{0\}$. Write $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ for the restricted natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(-l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}}$ as above. Then the following facts hold.*

- (1') *If p is a base point of \mathcal{L} then p is a regular point of E .*
- (2') *\mathcal{L} has a global section whose divisor is smooth and intersects E transversally (along the regular part of E).*
- (3') *If for a certain $v \in \mathcal{V}$ one has $(l', E_v) < 0$ and $\min_{l \geq E_v} \{\chi(l' + l)\} - \chi(l') \geq 2$ then \mathcal{L} admits two generic sections without common zeroes along E_v .*
- (4') *If $(l', E_v) < 0$ and l' and E_v satisfy $(*_v)$ then \mathcal{L} has exactly $-(l', E_v)$ base points on E_v .*
- (5') *In the situation of (4') assume additionally that $l'_{top,v} \geq 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}_{top}$. Let s' be the unique minimal element of $\mathcal{S}_{an, [l']}$ with $s' \geq l' + E_v$. Write s' as $l' + l$. Then the generic sections of \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}(-l)$ have no common zeroes along E_v .*

Furthermore, in numerical terms, if m_v (resp. m_v^+) denote the multiplicity of l' (resp. of s') along E_v , then $t(p) = m_v^+ - m_v$ for any base point $p \in E_v$.

(For further discussion regarding s' and m_v^+ see Remark 3.3.7.)

Remark 3.3.7. Fix a resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with generic analytic structure.

(a) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in H$ assume that $L'_{n,h} := \{l' \in L' : [l'] = h, \chi(l') = n\}$ is non-empty. Let M be a maximal element of it, and assume that there exists no $l \in L_{>0}$ such that $\chi(M + l) < \chi(M)$. Then $M \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$. Indeed, if $M \notin \mathcal{S}'_{an}$, then by Theorem 3.2.1(f) there exists $l \in L_{>0}$ with $\chi(M + l) = \chi(M)$. This contradicts the maximality of M in $L'_{n,h}$.

(b) Note that the assumptions of Theorem 3.3.1(5) (namely, $(l', E_v) < 0$ and l' and E_v satisfy $(*_v)$) imply that $L_{l',v} := \{l' + l : l \geq E_v, l \in L, \chi(l' + l) = \chi(l') + 1\}$ is non-empty.

We claim that $L_{l',v}$ has a unique maximal element, which is exactly s' from (5') (namely, the minimal element of $\mathcal{S}'_{an, [l']}$ with $s' \geq l' + E_v$). Indeed, let σ' be a maximal element of $L_{l',v}$. Since $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$, $\chi(l' + l) > \chi(l')$ for any $l \in L_{>0}$, hence $\chi(l' + l) \geq \chi(\sigma')$. By part (a) $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an, [l']}$. By the minimality of s' we have $s' \leq \sigma'$. Assume that $\sigma' - s' = l > 0$. Then $\chi(l') < \chi(s') < \chi(s' + l) = \chi(\sigma') = \chi(l') + 1$, a contradiction. ($l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$ and Theorem 3.2.1(f) imply the first inequality, and similarly, $s' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$ the second one.) Hence $\sigma' = s'$. This is true for any choice of σ' , hence $L_{l',v}$ has a unique maximal element, namely, s' . In particular, in (5') m_v^+ equals (compare with $(*_v)$)

$$(3.3.8) \quad m_v^+ = \max\{E_v\text{-coefficient of } l' + l : \text{where } l \geq E_v, l \in L, \chi(l' + l) = \chi(l') + 1\}.$$

(c) Not that in the context of Theorem 3.3.1, when $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{top}$, the assumptions of Theorem 3.3.6 regarding l'_{top} and l' are satisfied. Indeed, if $l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$ then $l'_{top,v} > 0$ for every v .

3.3.9. The proof of Theorem 3.3.6 runs over several section. At the end of this section we prove part (1') and all the statements for \tilde{X} rational (as a starting point of an induction).

3.4. The proof of Theorem 3.3.6(1'). We will use the following fact, cf. 3.3.5:

$$(3.4.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top}) \text{ has no fixed components} \Leftrightarrow \chi(l') < \chi(l' + l) \text{ for any } l \in L_{>0}.$$

Fix a singular point $p = E_u \cap E_v$ of E . Let $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the blow up at p and $E^{new} = b^{-1}(p)$. One sees that \tilde{X}^{new} is also generic with respect to its dual graph Γ^{new} . (E.g., the starting \tilde{X} can be chosen to be obtained from a generic structure on Γ^{new} by blowing down E^{new} .) This means that the *equivalence* (3.4.1) is valid for both $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}}(-b_{top}^*(l'_{top}))$ (cf. 2.3.13).

By assumption $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$. Hence, by the comments from 3.3.5, the left hand side of (3.4.1) holds for $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ too. Thus, by (3.4.1), both sides are satisfied in the case of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$, $l' = R(l'_{top})$.

Using this we show that the right hand side of (3.4.1) is valid for $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}}(-b_{top}^*(l'_{top}))$ too.

For this we have to verify that

$$(3.4.2) \quad \chi(b^*(l')) < \chi(b^*(l') + l^{new}) \text{ for any } l^{new} \in L(\Gamma^{new}), l^{new} > 0.$$

Write $l^{new} = b^*(l) + kE^{new}$ with some $l \in L$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\chi(b^*(l')) = \chi(l')$ and $\chi(b^*(l') + l^{new}) = \chi(l' + l) + k(k+1)/2$. If $l > 0$ then $\chi(l' + l) > \chi(l')$. If $l = 0$ then $l^{new} = kE^{new}$, hence $k \geq 1$ and $k(k+1)/2 > 0$. Hence (3.4.2) holds.

In particular, the left hand side of (3.4.1) should hold for $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{new}}(-b_{top}^*(l'_{top}))$, i.e. this bundle has no fixed components. But then p cannot be a base point of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$, since in that case E^{new} would be a fixed component by Lemma 2.3.5(b).

3.5. The proof of Theorem 3.3.6 for \tilde{X} rational. From (2.3.1) we obtain that any line bundle with Chern class $-l'$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$. Therefore, any noncompact curve (cut) C in \tilde{X} , which makes $l' + C$ numerically trivial (that is, $(C + l', E_v) = 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$) is the divisor of a possible global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$. Since the position of such curves C can be moved generically, one obtains that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ has no base points at all (see also [A66]). Hence, to finish the proof, we need to verify that if $(\dagger) (l', E_v) < 0$ then $(*)_v$ cannot happen. Indeed, $\chi(l' + l) - \chi(l') = \chi(l) - (l', l)$. But for $l \geq E_v$ one has $\chi(l) \geq 1$ by Artin's criterion of rationality [A62, A66], and $(l', l) \leq (l', E_v) \leq -1$ since $l' \in \mathcal{S}'$ and (\dagger) .

4. EFFECTIVE CARTIER DIVISORS AND ABEL MAPS

Some parts of the proof of Theorem 3.3.6 are based on the properties of Abel maps associated with normal surface singularities. In this section we review some needed material. We follow [NN18a], see also [Kl05, §3] and [Gro62]. In the sequel we fix a good resolution $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of a normal surface singularity, whose link is a rational homology sphere. The notations of section 2 will also be adopted.

Regarding notations the next observation is appropriate. In the previous sections (and in the sequent ones also) it was natural to use the notation $\mathcal{O}(-l)$ for bundles with $l \in \mathcal{S}$ (since these are related with the ideal sheaf of section with vanishing order $\geq l$). Here $c_1(\mathcal{O}(-l)) = -l$. On the other hand, in this section we discuss the space of Cartier divisors and Picard groups with fixed Chern classes, and here it is not natural to carry this sign in all expressions. So, we will use the notation $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l')$ for bundles with $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$. This explains some sign differences in certain formulae.

4.1. The Abel map. Let us fix an effective integral cycle $Z \in L$, $Z \geq E$. Let $\text{ECa}(Z)$ be the space of effective Cartier divisors supported on Z . Note that they have zero-dimensional supports in E . Taking the class of a Cartier divisor provides a map $c : \text{ECa}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Z)$, called the *Abel map*. Let $\text{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ be the set of effective Cartier divisors with Chern class $l' \in L'$, that is, $\text{ECa}^{l'}(Z) := c^{-1}(\text{Pic}^{l'}(Z))$. We consider the restriction of c , $c^{l'}(Z) : \text{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ too,

sometimes still denoted by c . The bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ is in the image $\text{im}(c)$ of the Abel map if and only if it has no fixed components, that is, if and only if $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$, cf. (2.3.4).

One verifies that $\text{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $-l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, it is convenient to modify the definition of ECa in the case $l' = 0$: we (re)define $\text{ECa}^0(Z) = \{\emptyset\}$, as the one-element set consisting of the ‘empty divisor’. We also take $c^0(Z)(\emptyset) := \mathcal{O}_Z$. Then we have

$$(4.1.1) \quad \text{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow l' \in -\mathcal{S}'.$$

If $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$ then $\text{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ is a smooth complex irreducible quasi-projective variety of dimension (l', Z) (see [NN18a, Th. 3.1.10]). Moreover, cf. [NN18a, Lemma 3.1.7], if $\mathcal{L} \in \text{im}(c^{l'}(Z))$ then the fiber $c^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a smooth, irreducible quasiprojective variety of dimension

$$(4.1.2) \quad \dim(c^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) = h^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = (l', Z) + h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z).$$

The Abel map can be defined for any effective integral cycle Z (even without $Z \geq E$). However, in this note in all our applications all the E_v -coefficients of Z will be very large, denoted by $Z \gg 0$. In this way Z will be a ‘finite model’ for \tilde{X} . (Note that ‘ $\text{ECa}(\tilde{X})$ ’ is ‘undefined, infinite dimensional’.) Additionally we will also have $h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) = h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L})$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ by Formal Function Theorem.

4.1.3. Consider again a Chern class $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$ as above. The E^* -support $I(l') \subset \mathcal{V}$ of l' is defined via the identity $l' = \sum_{v \in I(l')} a_v E_v^*$ with all $\{a_v\}_{v \in I}$ nonzero. Its role is the following:

Besides the Abel map $c^{l'}(Z)$ one can consider its ‘multiples’ $\{c^{nl'}(Z)\}_{n \geq 1}$ as well. It turns out (cf. [NN18a, §6]), that $n \mapsto \dim \text{im}(c^{nl'}(Z))$ is a non-decreasing sequence, and $\text{im}(c^{nl'}(Z))$ is an affine subspace for $n \gg 1$, whose dimension $e_Z(l')$ is independent of $n \gg 1$, and essentially it depends only on $I(l')$. Moreover, by [NN18a, Theorem 6.1.9],

$$(4.1.4) \quad e_Z(l') = h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}}),$$

where $Z|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}$ is the restriction of the cycle Z to its $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}$ coordinates. For $Z \gg 0$ this gives

$$(4.1.5) \quad e_Z(l') = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l'))}),$$

where $\tilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l'))$ is a convenient small neighbourhood of $\cup_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')} E_v$.

Let $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(I)$ be the subspace of $H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ generated by differential forms which have no poles along $E_I \setminus \cup_{v \notin I} E_v$. Then, cf. [NN18a, §8],

$$(4.1.6) \quad h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I)}) = \dim \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(I).$$

4.1.7. $c^{l'}(Z)$ dominant. Next, we characterize those cases, when the Abel map $c^{l'}(Z)$ is dominant (the closure of its image is $\text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$). By [NN18a, Theorem 4.1.1] one has

Theorem 4.1.8. *Fix $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$, $Z \geq E$ as above. Then $c^{l'}(Z)$ is dominant if and only if $\chi(-l') < \chi(-l' + l)$ for all $0 < l \leq Z$, $l \in L$. If $Z \gg 0$, then this last restriction runs over $0 < l$, $l \in L$. In particular, the fact that $c^{l'}(Z)$ is dominant is independent of the analytic structure supported by Γ and it can be characterized topologically.*

Moreover, if $c^{l'}(Z)$ is dominant then $h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}) = 0$ for generic $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}} \in \text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$.

More generally, cf. [NN18a, Prop. 5.6.1],

Theorem 4.1.9. *If $\mathcal{L} \in \text{im}(c^{l'}(Z)) \subset \text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ then $h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) \geq \text{codim}(\text{im}(c^{l'}(Z)))$.*

4.1.10. The case of generic analytic structure \tilde{X} . We consider a generic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{\text{top}}$ and the corresponding restricted natural line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l'_{\text{top}}) \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, restricted from $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{\text{top}})$.

Additionally, we will take an integral cycle $Z \geq E$ (this will ‘replace’ \tilde{X} whenever $Z \gg 0$). The corresponding restricted natural line bundles will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}) \in \text{Pic}(Z)$.

The main feature of the generic analytic structures is that a restricted natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top})$ cohomologically behave like the generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{Pic}'(Z)$. The precise statement is formulated as follows. (This is Theorem 5.1.1 from [NN18b]; here we use the notation $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ for the pair $\tilde{X}(|Z|) \subset \tilde{X}$ of [NN18b].) Below, $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}', E$ are invariants of the dual graph of \tilde{X} .

Theorem 4.1.11. [NN18b] *Take $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ generic and $Z \geq E$ as above. Assume that $l'_{top} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} l'_{top,v} E_v$ satisfies $l'_{top,v} < 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $l' := R(l'_{top}) \in -\mathcal{S}'$.*

(I) *The following facts are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}) \in \text{im}(c'(Z))$, that is, $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$;
- (b) $c'(Z)$ is dominant, or equivalently, $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{im}(c'(Z))$, that is, $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}_{gen})_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$, for a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{Pic}'(Z)$;
- (c) $\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}) \in \text{im}(c'(Z))$, and for any $D \in (c'(Z))^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top}))$ the tangent map $T_D c'(Z) : T_D \text{ECa}'(Z) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top})} \text{Pic}'(Z)$ is surjective.

(II) *We have $h^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(l'_{top})) = h^i(Z, \mathcal{L}_{gen})$ for $i = 0, 1$ and a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{Pic}'(Z)$.*

4.2. The Abel map in the relative setup. We consider a resolution \tilde{X} with resolution graph Γ and an integral cycle $Z \geq E$ as in 4.1. Moreover, we take another integral cycle (maybe with smaller support) $Z_1 \leq Z$, and set $|Z_1| = \mathcal{V}_1$ and the full subgraph Γ_1 associated with $|Z_1|$.

We have the restriction map $r : \text{Pic}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Z_1)$ and one has also the (cohomological) restriction operator $R_1 : L'(\Gamma) \rightarrow L'_1 := L'(\Gamma_1)$ (defined as $R_1(E_v^*(\Gamma)) = E_v^*(\Gamma_1)$ if $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$, and $R_1(E_v^*(\Gamma)) = 0$ otherwise). For any $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Z)$ they satisfy $c_1(r(\mathcal{L})) = R_1(c_1(\mathcal{L}))$ (where r is the restriction, see the diagram below). In particular, we have the following commutative diagram as well:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ECa}'(Z) & \xrightarrow{c'(Z)} & \text{Pic}'(Z) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow r \\ \text{ECa}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) & \xrightarrow{c^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)} & \text{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) \end{array}$$

By the ‘relative case’ we mean that instead of the ‘total’ Abel map $c'(Z)$ we study its restriction above a fixed fiber of r . That is, we fix some $\mathfrak{L} \in \text{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)$, we set the subvariety $\text{ECa}'^{l', \mathfrak{L}} := (r \circ c'(Z))^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) = (c^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) \circ \tau)^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) \subset \text{ECa}'(Z)$, and we study the restriction $\text{ECa}'^{l', \mathfrak{L}} \rightarrow r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$ of $c'(Z)$. Note that it might happen that $\text{ECa}'^{l', \mathfrak{L}}$ is empty. However, if it is non-empty then by [Na19, Corollary 5.1.4] it is smooth and irreducible (similarly as any $\text{ECa}'(Z)$).

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.6(2')

5.1. We will prove (2') by induction on $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$. If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ then (2') follows from 3.5. Assume that it is true for any pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ with $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) < p_g$ (for some integer $p_g > 0$) and consider the new situation of a certain $(\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}, l'_{top})$ with $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = p_g$. We fix also some $Z \in L$, $Z \gg 0$.

Though \tilde{X}_{top} is an important ingredient, in some discussions below (in order to simplify the notations) we will neglect it tacitly; however, in the key situations we will provide the needed information regarding \tilde{X}_{top} as well (the completions at other parts are rather immediate).

5.1.1. By Laufer’s duality (see e.g. [NN18a, 7.1]), $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})^* \simeq H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$, hence there exist $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and a form $\omega \in H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ such that ω has a non-trivial pole along E_u . Let $t + 1 \geq 1$ be the largest such pole for some u . We claim that there exists ω and E_u such that $t \geq 1$.

Indeed, otherwise $H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2) = H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(E))/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$. But this last space, by Laufer's duality (see [NN18a, 7.1.3]) is $H^1(\mathcal{O}_E)^*$. Hence $p_g = h^1(\mathcal{O}_E) = 0$, a contradiction.

Hence, we assume that $t \geq 1$ and we blow up E_u in a generic point q_1 and we get a new exceptional divisor F_1 , then we blow up F_1 in a generic point q_2 and we get F_2 . We repeat this procedure t times. Let \tilde{X}_b (resp. \tilde{X}_b^-) denote a small neighbourhood of the union of the strict transform of E (still denoted by E) with $\cup_{i=1}^t F_i$ (resp. of $E \cup \cup_{i=1}^{t-1} F_i$). The dual graphs are denoted by Γ_b and Γ_b^- . Let $b: \tilde{X}_b \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ denote the modification and R the cohomological restriction $L'(\Gamma_b) \rightarrow L'(\Gamma_b^-)$.

In parallel, we can consider the same blow ups at the very same points, and we get $\tilde{X}_{top,b}$.

Then one has the following facts (for the notation see the statement of Theorem 3.3.6):

- (i) $\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}$ and $\tilde{X}_b^- \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}^-$ are generic pairs (with respect to their dual graphs).
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_b := b^*\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_b^- := b^*\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{X}_b^-}$ are restricted natural line bundles (from $\tilde{X}_{top,b}$).
- (iii) $l'_b := b^*(l') \in L'(\Gamma_b)$ satisfies $(l'_b, F_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), hence \mathcal{L}_b cannot have base points along F_i . Similarly, $l'_b{}^- := R(l'_b) \in L'(\Gamma_b^-)$ satisfies $(l'_b{}^-, F_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq t-1$), hence \mathcal{L}_b^- cannot have base points along such F_i .
- (iv) $l'_b \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_b) \setminus \{0\}$, $l'_b{}^- \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_b^-) \setminus \{0\}$.
- (v) $h^1(\tilde{X}_b, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b}) = h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = p_g$ and $h^1(\tilde{X}_b, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b}) > h^1(\tilde{X}_b^-, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b^-})$.
- (vi) The maximum of pole orders of differential forms $\omega \in H^0(\tilde{X}_b \setminus E(\Gamma_b), \Omega_{\tilde{X}_b}^2)$ along F_t is one.

For (i) use 3.1.1 and Lemma 3.2.3. For (ii) see (2.3.13), for (iii)–(iv) use the projection formula. The first part of (v) follows from Leray spectral sequence argument. For (vi) use the fact that if a form has pole order k along F_i (with $F_0 := E_u$) then its pull-back via the blow up at an arbitrary point of F_i has pole order at most $k-1$, and its pull-back via the blow up at the *generic* point (with respect to that form) has pole order $k-1$ along F_{i+1} . This also shows that there exists at least one form with non-trivial pole along F_t . Indeed, if we fix a form with pole order t along E_u and the centers of blow up q_i are generic with respect to this form, then the pull-back of this form has this property. This fact together with (4.1.5)–(4.1.6) applied for $E_I = F_t$ shows the second part of (v) as well.

Note that $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^0(\tilde{X}_b, \mathcal{L}_b)$, hence (2') for $(\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}; \mathcal{L})$ or for $(\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}; \mathcal{L}_b)$ are equivalent. Hence it is enough to prove it for the second one.

Furthermore, the inductive step applies for $(\tilde{X}_b^- \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}^-; \mathcal{L}_b^-)$, hence (2') is true for this case.

However, in general, the restriction map $H^0(\tilde{X}_b, \mathcal{L}_b) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)$ is not surjective, hence a section $s_b^- \in H^0(\tilde{X}_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)$, which satisfies (2') does not necessarily lift to $H^0(\tilde{X}_b, \mathcal{L}_b)$. But, if it lifts, then it automatically satisfies (2') since the lift will have no divisor along F_t by (iii).

In order to establish the existence of such a lift we will perturb the analytic structure of the pair $\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}$ by preserving the type of \tilde{X}_b^- . Hence, \mathcal{L}_b (being the restriction of a natural bundle of $\tilde{X}_{top,b}$) will also be perturbed by the corresponding restriction natural line bundle associated with Chern class $l'_{b,top} = b^*(l'_{top})$. However, the construction will guarantee that the pair $(\tilde{X}_b^-; \mathcal{L}_b^-)$ will stay stable. Then we show that for a generic element of the perturbation the lifting is possible. (On the other hand, since the original $(\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}; \mathcal{L}_b)$ was generic, it has the very same properties as any small perturbation of it, hence the lifting follows for the original $(\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}; \mathcal{L}_b)$ too.)

The analytic structure of $\tilde{X}_b \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}$ will be perturbed via the following additional construction.

5.1.2. First, we fix n generic points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ on F_t and we blow up \tilde{X}_b at these points. This modification is denoted by $B: \tilde{X}_B \rightarrow \tilde{X}_b$, respectively $\tilde{X}_{top,B} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{top,b}$.

The strict transforms of $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ and $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}$ are denoted by the same symbols, while the strict transform of F_t by $F_{t,B}$. Let Γ_B be the dual graph of \tilde{X}_B , and let \tilde{X}_B^- be a small convenient

neighbourhood of $\sum_v E_v \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} F_i \cup F_{t,B}$ in \tilde{X}_B with dual graph Γ_B^- . (Note that $F_{t,B}^2 \neq F_t^2$ and $\Gamma_B^- \neq \Gamma_b$, though their shapes are the same.) Additionally, set $\mathcal{L}_B := B^* \mathcal{L}_b = B^* b^* \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_B^- := \mathcal{L}_B|_{\tilde{X}_B^-}$. They have Chern classes $l'_B := B^* b^* l' \in L'(\Gamma_B)$ and its cohomological restriction l'^{-}_B into $L'(\Gamma_B^-)$, respectively.

Write also $Z_B := B^* b^* Z$ and $Z_B^- := Z_B|_{L(\Gamma_B^-)}$ (projection to the exceptional curves from Γ_B^-).

Then the analogues of (i)–(vi) from 5.1.1 are the following:

- (i) $\tilde{X}_B \subset \tilde{X}_{top,B}$ and $\tilde{X}_B^- \subset \tilde{X}_{top,B}^-$ are generic (with respect to their dual graphs).
- (ii) \mathcal{L}_B and \mathcal{L}_B^- are restricted natural line bundles (from $\tilde{X}_{top,B}$).
- (iii) $(B^* b^*(l'), E_i^{new}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\{E_i^{new}\}_i$ are the exceptional curves of B ,
- (iv) $l'_B \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_B) \setminus \{0\}$, $l'^{-}_B \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_B^-) \setminus \{0\}$.
- (v) $p_g(\tilde{X}_B) = p_g(\tilde{X}_b)$.
- (vi) $p_g(\tilde{X}_B) = p_g(\tilde{X}_B^-)$ and the restriction realizes an isomorphism $\text{Pic}^{-l'_B}(Z_B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-)$.

Part (vi) follows again from statements from 4.1.3, since along E_i^{new} none of the differential forms have got a pole (by the same reason as in the proof of (vi) from 5.1.1).

5.1.3. \tilde{X}_b^- embeds naturally into \tilde{X}_B^- and $\mathcal{L}_B^-|_{\tilde{X}_b^-} = \mathcal{L}_b^-$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-) & \xrightarrow{c_B^-} & \text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow r \\ \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-) & \xrightarrow{c_b^-} & \text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-) \end{array}$$

Above, r is an affine projection associated with the surjective linear projection $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B^-}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b^-})$. Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B^-}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ (cf. (vi) of 5.1.2) the fiber has dimension $p_g - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b^-}) > 0$.

In $\text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)$ we fix $\mathcal{L}_b^- = b^* \mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{X}_b^-}$. Recall that for the system $(\tilde{X}_b^- \subset \tilde{X}_{top,b}; \mathcal{L}_b^-)$ the statement of the induction holds. Then we study the relative Abel map, the restriction of c_B^-

$$(5.1.4) \quad \text{ECa}_{rel} := \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-}(Z_B^-) \xrightarrow{c_{rel}} r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-).$$

Recall that ECa_{rel} consists of effective Cartier divisors over Z_B^- with Chern class $-l'^{-}_B$ whose line bundle restricted to \tilde{X}_b^- is exactly \mathcal{L}_b^- .

5.1.5. We claim that c_{rel} is dominant. (This in [Na19] is developed as ‘relative dominancy’.)

Indeed, since $l'^{-}_B \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_B^-) \setminus \{0\}$ (cf. (iv) of 5.1.2) there exists $D \in \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-)$, $D \neq \emptyset$, so that $c_B^-(D) = \mathcal{L}_B^- \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$. Since \tilde{X}_B^- is generic (cf. (i) of 5.1.2), by Theorem 4.1.11 $T_{Dc_B^-}$ is surjective, hence c_B^- is a local submersion at D . In particular, there exists an analytic open set $V \subset \text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_B}$ so that $\mathcal{L}_B^- \in V \subset \text{im}(c_B^-)$. Then $V \cap r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ is an analytic open set in $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ and it is in the image of c_{rel} . But c_{rel} is an algebraic map, hence it is necessarily dominant.

5.1.6. Next, we compare $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-)$ and $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)$. Since $(l'^{-}_B, F_{t,B}) = (b^*(l'), F_t) = 0$, in the first space no divisor is allowed, which has support along $F_{t,B}$.

However, in the second space divisors with support $q_t = F_{t-1} \cap F_t$ are allowed (they might appear if $t = 1$.) Let $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)_{q_t}$ be the Zariski open set of $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)$ consisting of those divisors whose support does not contain q_t . Then $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)_{q_t}$ and $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-)$ can be identified. Hence D can be transported into $\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)_{q_t}$ as well. Furthermore, consider $\text{div} : H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)$, which associates with a section its divisor. It is surjective onto $(c_b^-)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$. Let $H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}, q_t}$ be $\text{div}^{-1}(\text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_b}(Z_b^-)_{q_t})$. It consists of section, which do not vanish at q_t .

We claim that $H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}, q_t}$ is a *non-empty* Zariski open set in $H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}}$. Indeed, if all the sections vanish at q_t , since q_t was chosen generically (cf. 5.1.1), we get that all the sections

vanish along F_{t-1} , hence at q_{t-1} too. Since q_{t-1} is also generic, we get vanishing along F_{t-2} and at q_{t-2} . By induction we get vanishing along E_u , a contradiction, since E_u is not a fixed component.

In this way we obtain a *surjective* map

$$(5.1.7) \quad \text{div} : H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}, q_t} \rightarrow \text{ECa}^{-l'_{B^-}, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-).$$

5.1.8. Now, we apply the induction for the pair $(\tilde{X}_b^- \subset \tilde{X}_{\text{top}, b}; \mathcal{L}_b^-)$. By this, there exists a section of \mathcal{L}_b^- which satisfies (2'). Let U be the non-empty Zariski open set in $H^0(Z_b^-, \mathcal{L}_b^-)_{\text{reg}, q_t}$ consisting of sections with property (2'). Since both div and c_{rel} are dominant, $c_{\text{rel}}(\text{div}(U)) \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ contains a non-empty Zariski open set U_{Pic} . Any bundles from U_{Pic} has the property that its restriction to \tilde{X}_b^- is \mathcal{L}_b^- , and it has a section which satisfies (2').

We will show that (under the initial genericity assumption) the natural line bundle \mathcal{L}_B^- is in U_{Pic} .

5.1.9. Now we concentrate on the position of \mathcal{L}_B^- in $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$.

We show that by a conveniently constructed family of perturbations of $(\tilde{X}_B \subset \tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B}; \mathcal{L}_B)$, the perturbed $\mathcal{L}_B^- = \mathcal{L}_B|_{\tilde{X}_B^-}$ will move in a small analytic open set of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$, hence it necessarily will intersect U_{Pic} . Since \tilde{X}_B itself is generic, we can assume that \mathcal{L}_B^- itself is an element of U_{Pic} .

Let T_i be a tubular neighbourhood of a (-1) -curve \tilde{E}_i in a smooth surface ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Note that \tilde{X}_B is obtained from \tilde{X}_B^- by an analytic plumbing: we glue \tilde{X}_B^- with the spaces T_i such that \tilde{E}_i is identified with E_i^{new} , hence $\tilde{E}_i \cap F_{t, B} = p_i$. In the construction of the flat deformation we glue T_i with \tilde{X}_B^- such that $\tilde{E}_i \cap F_{t, B}$ moves in a small neighbourhood of $p_i \in F_{t, B}$. Hence we get a flat family over the parameter germ-space $(F_{t, B}^n, (p_1, \dots, p_n))$ with fibers $\tilde{X}_{B, \lambda}$ ($\lambda \in (F_{t, B}^n, \{p_i\}_i)$). It is convenient to rename each \tilde{E}_i by $E_{i, \lambda}^{\text{new}}$. If we blow down the $\{E_{i, \lambda}^{\text{new}}\}_i$ and the $\{F_j\}_j$ curves then we get a flat deformation of the structure of \tilde{X} (in the sense of Laufer [La73], cf. [NN18b]). For the precise description of these deformations/gluings see 5.1.14. Furthermore, by the very same deformation (regluings) we obtain a flat family $\{\tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B, \lambda}\}_\lambda$ too, hence pairs $\tilde{X}_{B, \lambda} \subset \tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B, \lambda}$. $\tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B, \lambda}$ is the level where the natural line bundles are defined, and their restrictions are the corresponding ‘restricted natural line bundles’ in $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{B, \lambda})$ and $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{B, \lambda}^-)$. Now, for any λ , one can consider all the data defined in the previous subsections for \tilde{X}_B^- and \tilde{X}_b^- .

It is crucial to notice that \tilde{X}_B^- embeds naturally into each $\tilde{X}_{B, \lambda}$, hence provides a constant family of subspaces over the parameter space. The next key observation follows from Lemma 2.3.14:

Lemma 5.1.10. $\mathcal{L}_{b, \lambda}^- := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B, \lambda}}(-l'_{\text{top}, B})|_{\tilde{X}_b^-} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_b^-)$ is independent of λ , it is exactly \mathcal{L}_b^- .

Since \tilde{X}_B^- and $\mathcal{L}_{b, \lambda}^-$ are constant with respect to λ , all the objects considered in the subsections 5.1.3–5.1.8 stay stably, except $\mathcal{L}_{B, \lambda}^- := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{\text{top}, B, \lambda}}(-l'_{\text{top}, B})|_{\tilde{X}_B^-} \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-) \subset \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_B^-) = \text{Pic}(Z_B^-)$ (and this is exactly the point, since we wished to ‘move’ the position of $\mathcal{L}_B^- \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$).

5.1.11. We claim that for $n \gg 0$ and for $\lambda \in (F_{t, B}^n, \{p_i\}_i)$ the bundle $\mathcal{L}_{B, \lambda}^-$ moves in an analytic open set of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$. Here the definition of the natural line bundles will play a role. Indeed, it is enough to verify the statement for any multiple of $\mathcal{L}_{B, \lambda}^-$. Set $N \gg 1$ so that $N \cdot l'_{\text{top}, B} = N \cdot B^*b^*(l'_{\text{top}})$ can be written as $l + m \sum_i E_{i, \lambda}^{\text{new}}$, where $l \in L(\tilde{X}_B^-)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that m/N is the E_u -multiplicity $l'_{\text{top}, u}$ of l'_{top} , which is positive by the assumption of Theorem 3.3.6. Hence $m > 0$ too. This shows that $(\mathcal{L}_{B, \lambda}^-)^{\otimes N} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_B^-}(-l) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_B^-}(-\sum_i E_{i, \lambda}^{\text{new}} \cap \tilde{X}_B^-)^{\otimes m}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{Z_B^-}(-l)$ is again λ -independent. Hence, it is enough to determine the dimension of the space filled by the second contribution when λ moves in its parameter space.

5.1.12. Note that $-\sum_i E_{i, \lambda}^{\text{new}} \cap \tilde{X}_B^-$ consists of n generic transversal divisors in $\text{ECa}^{-nF_{t, B}^*}(Z_B^-)$ and we are interested in the dimension of the image of the Abel map $c^{-nF_{t, B}^*}(Z_B^-) : \text{ECa}^{-nF_{t, B}^*}(Z_B^-) \rightarrow$

$\text{Pic}^{-nF_{t,B}^*}(Z_B^-)$. This by the results of 4.1.3 (see also [NN18a]), for n sufficiently large, is $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B^-}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b^-})$, hence it equals $\dim(r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-))$ too. Note that the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{Z_B^-}(-\sum_i E_{i,\lambda}^{new} \cap \tilde{X}_B^-)$ depend only on the position of the points $\{p_i\}_i$ on $F_{t,B}$. This follows from the fact that all the differential forms along $F_{t,B}$ have pole order ≤ 1 (and from the explicit description of the Abel map via integration, cf. [NN18a, 7.2]).

In particular, when we move λ in its parameter germ, the bundle $\mathcal{L}_{B,\lambda}^-$ covers an open subset of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$. In particular, for some λ it is in U_{Pic} . Since \tilde{X} was already generic, \tilde{X}_λ can be replaced by \tilde{X} , hence we can assume in the sequel that $\mathcal{L}_B^- \in U_{\text{Pic}}$. This means that \mathcal{L}_B^- has a section whose divisors are smooth and intersect the exceptional curve transversally.

5.1.13. Next we lift this property to the level of \tilde{X}_B . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ECa}^{-l'_B}(Z_B) & \xrightarrow{c_B} & \text{Pic}^{-l'_B}(Z_B) \ni \mathcal{L}_B \\ \downarrow \tau_B & & \downarrow r_B \\ \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-) & \xrightarrow{c_B^-} & \text{Pic}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-) \ni \mathcal{L}_B^- \end{array}$$

Then r_B is an isomorphism by 5.1.2(vi), and $r(\mathcal{L}_B) = \mathcal{L}_B^-$. Moreover, τ_B is bijection (identity) too by 5.1.1(iii) and 5.1.2(iii). By the previous paragraph, there exists $D^- \in \text{ECa}^{-l'^{-}_B}(Z_B^-)$ with $c_B^-(D^-) = \mathcal{L}_B^-$ and property (2'), hence $D := \tau_B^{-1}(D^-)$ satisfies $c_B(D) = \mathcal{L}_B$ and property (2') too.

On the other hand, Theorem 3.3.6(2') for $(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{L})$ and $(\tilde{X}_B; \mathcal{L}_B)$ are equivalent by blow up.

5.1.14. Finally, we describe the deformation of a fixed resolution, which was used in 5.1.9.

We choose any good resolution $\phi : (\tilde{X}, E) \rightarrow (X, o)$, and write $\cup_v E_v = E = \phi^{-1}(o)$ as above. Since each E_v is rational, a small tubular neighborhood of E_v in \tilde{X} can be identified with the disc-bundle associated with the total space $T(e_v)$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(e_v)$, where $e_v = E_v^2$. (We will abridge $e := e_v$.) Recall that $T(e)$ is obtained by gluing $\mathbb{C}_{u_0} \times \mathbb{C}_{v_0}$ with $\mathbb{C}_{u_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v_1}$ via identification $\mathbb{C}_{u_0}^* \times \mathbb{C}_{v_0} \sim \mathbb{C}_{u_1}^* \times \mathbb{C}_{v_1}$, $u_1 = u_0^{-1}$, $v_1 = v_0 u_0^{-e}$, where \mathbb{C}_w is the affine line with coordinate w , and $\mathbb{C}_w^* = \mathbb{C}_w \setminus \{0\}$.

Next, fix any curve E_w of $\phi^{-1}(o)$ and also a *generic* point $P_w \in E_w$. There exists an identification of the tubular neighbourhood of E_w via $T(e)$ such that $u_1 = v_1 = 0$ is P_w . By blowing up $P_w \in \tilde{X}$ we get a second resolution $\psi : \tilde{X}' \rightarrow \tilde{X}$; the strict transforms of $\{E_v\}$'s will be denoted by E'_v , and the new exceptional (-1) curve by E^{new} . If we contract $E'_w \cup E^{new}$ we get a cyclic quotient singularity, which is taut, hence the tubular neighbourhood of $E'_w \cup E^{new}$ can be identified with the tubular neighbourhood of the union of the zero sections in $T(e-1) \cup T(-1)$. Here we represent $T(e-1)$ as the gluing of $\mathbb{C}_{u'_0} \times \mathbb{C}_{v'_0}$ with $\mathbb{C}_{u'_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v'_1}$ by $u'_1 = u'^{-1}_0$, $v'_1 = v'_0 u'^{-e+1}_0$. Similarly, $T(-1)$ as $\mathbb{C}_\beta \times \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ with $\mathbb{C}_\delta \times \mathbb{C}_\gamma$ by $\delta = \beta^{-1}$, $\gamma = \alpha\beta$. Then $T(e-1)$ and $T(-1)$ are glued along $\mathbb{C}_{u'_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v'_1} \sim \mathbb{C}_\beta \times \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ by $u'_1 = \alpha$, $v'_1 = \beta$ providing a neighborhood of $E'_w \cup E^{new}$ in \tilde{X}' . Then the neighbourhood \tilde{X}' of $\cup_v E'_v \cup E^{new}$ will be modified by the following 1-parameter family of spaces: the neighbourhood of $\cup_v E'_v$ will stay unmodified, however $T(-1)$, the neighbourhood of E^{new} will be glued along $\mathbb{C}_{u'_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v'_1} \sim \mathbb{C}_\beta \times \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ by $u'_1 + \lambda = \alpha$, $v'_1 = \beta$, where $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a small holomorphic parameter.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.6(3')-(4')

6.1. Fix a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$, which satisfies the assumptions of (3'). Additionally we keep all the constructions and notation of section 5 (proof of part (2')) as well.

6.1.1. Let o be a *generic* point of E_v and $\pi_o : \tilde{X}_{top,B,o} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{top,B}$ be the blow up at o , $\pi_o : \tilde{X}_{B,o}^- \rightarrow \tilde{X}_B^-$ its restriction over \tilde{X}_B^- , and E_o the created exceptional curve. Let $\Gamma_{B,o}^-$ be the dual graph of $\tilde{X}_{B,o}^-$

and $l'_o := \pi_o^*(l'_B) \in L'(\Gamma_{B,o}^-)$. Since $l'_B \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_B^-) \setminus \{0\}$, cf. 5.1.2(iv), using the pullback of the generic section we get $l'_o \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_{B,o}^-) \setminus \{0\}$ too. However, we claim that under the assumption of (3') one also has

$$(6.1.2) \quad l'_o + E_o \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}(\tilde{X}_{B,o}^-) \setminus \{0\}.$$

We give two proofs (a combinatorial one and a geometric one).

6.1.3. Since $\tilde{X}_{B,o}^- \subset \tilde{X}_{top,B,o}$ is generic (cf. Lemma 3.2.3) and $\pi_o^*(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$ is a restricted natural line bundle (cf. 2.3.13), $l'_o + E_o \in \mathcal{S}_{an}(\tilde{X}_{B,o}^-) \setminus \{0\}$ (that is, $\pi_o^*(\mathcal{L}_B^-)(-E_o)$ is in the image of the corresponding Abel map) if and only if that Abel map is dominant (cf. Theorem 4.1.11), and this fact happens if and only if (cf. Theorem 4.1.8) $\chi(l'_o + E_o + \tilde{l}) > \chi(l'_o + E_o)$ for any $\tilde{l} \in L(\Gamma_{B,o}^-)$, $\tilde{l} > 0$. This rewritten is: $\chi(\tilde{l}) \geq (l'_o + E_o, \tilde{l}) + 1$. Write \tilde{l} as $\pi_o^*(l) + kE_o$, $l \in L(\Gamma_B^-)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the needed inequality at Γ_B^- -level reads as

$$(6.1.4) \quad \chi(l) + k(k+1)/2 \geq (l'_B, l) - k + 1.$$

If $l > 0$ then the assumption of (3') gives $\chi(l) \geq (l'_B, l) + 2$, hence (6.1.4) follows. If $l = 0$ then necessarily $k \geq 1$, hence (6.1.4) follows again.

6.1.5. The second proof is more geometrical (it constructs the needed section). By assumption, $\dim \text{im}(H^0(\tilde{X}_B, \mathcal{L}_B) \rightarrow H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_B)) \geq 2$. By restriction we get the same property at the level of $(\tilde{X}_B^-, \mathcal{L}_B^-)$ too. Hence, there exists two section $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(\tilde{X}_B^-, \mathcal{L}_B^-)$ such that their divisors restricted to E_v (that is, in $\text{ECa}^{-l'}(E_v)$) do not agree. Such elements of $\text{ECa}^{-l'}(E_v)$ can be reinterpreted as the set of roots of a polynomial of degree $-(l', E_v)$. Then one verifies that for any generic $o \in E_v$ there exists constants λ_1, λ_2 such that $s_o := \lambda_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 s_2$ restricted to E_v has a simple root at o . Then the pull-back of s_o to $\tilde{X}_{B,o}^-$ realizes the divisor $l'_o + E_o$.

6.1.6. Next, for $o \in E_v$ generic, we consider the Abel map with Chern class $-l'_o - E_o$

$$\text{ECa}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o).$$

(The modification of $\pi_o^*(Z_B^-)$ into $\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o$ will be explained/motivated in 6.1.7.)

Using (6.1.2) and Theorem 4.1.11 we get that this Abel map is dominant; even more, for any divisor D_o of $\pi_o^*(\mathcal{L}_B^-)(-E_o)$, the corresponding tangent map at D_o is surjective.

6.1.7. Next we make the following identification. For o a generic point of E_v , let $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ be the subspace of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)$ consisting of those divisors D whose support contains o , and D localized at o is 'smooth and transversal to E_v '.

We wish to compare this space with the space from 6.1.6. Note that $(-l'_o - E_o, E_o) = 1$, hence any divisor from $\text{ECa}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o)$ intersects E_o with multiplicity one. Let $\text{ECa}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o)_o$ be the Zariski open set of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o)$ consisting of those divisors whose support does not contain $E_o \cap E_v$. We claim that there exists an isomorphism of spaces

$$(6.1.8) \quad \text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o \rightarrow \text{ECa}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o)_o.$$

Indeed, the isomorphism is induced by pull-back of Cartier divisors via π_o^* . Let us present the verification in the relevant local chart (for more details see the proof of [NN18a, Theorem 3.1.10]). Fix local coordinates (x, y) in a neighbourhood of o when $E_v = \{x = 0\}$ and let the multiplicity of Z along E_v be N . Then the component of a divisor D from $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ with support o (after we eliminate the equivalence via a multiplication by \mathbb{C}^*) can be given by the equation $f = y + P_0(x) + ym_0$ (modulo x^N), where $P_0(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i x^i$ and m_0 belongs to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_o of $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$. The

equivalence \sim is multiplication by elements from $1 + \mathfrak{m}_o$ (modulo x^N). If we multiply f by $(1 + m_0)^{-1}$ and we group the $\{x^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ terms we get $f \sim y + P_1(x) + xym_1$ ($m_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_o$). Multiplication by $(1 + xm_1)^{-1}$ gives $f \sim y + P_2(x) + x^2ym_1$. By induction $f \sim y + P_N(x)$ (modulo x^N). Hence a smooth chart of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ (up to other product-factors given by other components of D with support disjoint from o , and which are transferred by π_o^* trivially) can be parametrized as $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{N-1} \mapsto \{\text{the class of } y + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i x^i\}$. This lifts by $\pi_o = (x = \alpha\beta, y = \beta)$ to the divisor $\beta + \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} a_i \alpha^i$ (modulo (α^{N-1})).

In fact, this product-factor in the chart of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ extends naturally to $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1} \mapsto \{\text{the class of } y + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i x^i\}$, providing a chart for $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)$, and showing that $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ is a smooth, constructible and irreducible subspace $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)$ of codimension one.

Note that (since $Z \gg 0$) the dimension of $\text{Pic}^{-l'_o - E_o}(\pi_o^*(Z_B^-) - E_o)$ and $\text{Pic}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)$ are the same, they equal p_g .

6.1.9. Then 6.1.6 and 6.1.7 combined give that the restriction of c_B^-

$$c_{B,o}^- : \text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)$$

is dominant and for any divisor $D_o \in \text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o$ of $\pi_o^*(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$, the corresponding tangent map at D_o is surjective. Then we repeat the constructions and arguments of paragraphs 5.1.3–5.1.6 from section from the proof of part (2'). Set

$$\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_o = \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-) \cap \text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o.$$

Then similarly as in 5.1.5 one proves that

$$(6.1.10) \quad c_{rel,o} : \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_o \longrightarrow r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$$

is dominant.

Note also that the space $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_o$, by a similar identification as in (6.1.8) (i.e., its relative version) is isomorphic with a ‘relative ECa ’-space, hence it is irreducible for every generic $o \in E_v$. (This can also be proved by fixing an irreducible Zariski open set in it, cf. [NN18a, Na19] or 6.1.7.) Furthermore, by a similar argument as at the end of 6.1.7, $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_o$ is smooth as well for any generic o .

6.1.11. Consider again the dominant relative Abel map $c_{rel} : \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-) \rightarrow r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$, cf. (5.1.4) and 5.1.5. Let us denote by $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}}$ the Zariski open subset of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)$ consisting of classes of divisors, which have smooth transversal cuts along the exceptional divisor E_v and also the tangent map of c_{rel} is a submersion. Moreover, set $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}, o} = \text{ECa}^{-l'_B} (Z_B^-)_o \cap \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}}$.

We denote the restriction of the dominant map $c_{rel,o}$ from (6.1.10) to $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}, o}$ with the same symbol. Obviously $c_{rel,o} : \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}, o} \rightarrow r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$ is dominant for generic $o \in E_v$.

Finally, we consider the incidence space

$$\mathfrak{I} = \{(p, D) \in E_v \times \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}} : p \in |D|\}$$

together with the two canonical projections $\pi_1 : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow E_v$ and $\pi_2 : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}}$, where $\pi_1((p, D)) = p$ and $\pi_2((p, D)) = D$. Note, that the map π_2 is finite and surjective, and for any generic point o of the image of π_1 one has $\pi_1^{-1}(o) = \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}, o}$. We can replace \mathfrak{I} by a smaller Zariski open set of it, denoted by the same symbol \mathfrak{I} , such that for any point o of the image of π_1 one has $\pi_1^{-1}(o) = \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_B^-} (Z_B^-)_{\text{reg}, o}$. Note that $\text{im}(\pi_1)$ is a Zariski open in E_v .

Consider next the map $c_{rel} \circ \pi_2 : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$.

Since for a generic point o the map $c_{rel,o} : \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},o} \rightarrow r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ is dominant, we get from the irreducibility of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},o}$ that for a generic point $D \in \text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},o}$ the tangent map $T_D c_{rel} : T_D(\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},o}) \rightarrow T_{c_{rel}(D)} r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ is surjective.

Fix D generic, $|D| \cap E_v = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$, where $d = -(l', E_v)$. Then a neighbourhood of p_1 of $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},p_1}$ embeds naturally into a neighbourhood of $x := (p_1, D)$ in \mathfrak{J} as a one-codimensional subspace (such that p_1 belongs to the π_1 -image of that neighbourhood). In particular, $T_1 := T_D(\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},p_1})$ embeds into $T_x \mathfrak{J}$ as a codimension one sub-vectorspace. Furthermore, the restriction of the tangent map $T_x(c_{rel} \circ \pi_2)$ to T_1 is surjective. If we denote the tangent space of the π_2 -fiber $(c_{rel} \circ \pi_2)^{-1}(c_{rel}(D))$ at x by T_2 , then the last statement means that T_1 and T_2 are transversal in $T_x \mathfrak{J}$. Since T_1 has codimension one, we get that $T_2 \not\subset T_1$. Hence the π_2 -fiber $(c_{rel} \circ \pi_2)^{-1}(c_{rel}(D))$ cannot be contained in $\text{ECa}^{-l'_B, \mathcal{L}_b^-}(Z_B^-)_{\text{reg},p_1}$.

The same is true for all the points p_1, \dots, p_d . Hence the line bundle $c_{rel}(D) \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ is base point free.

Since the map c_{rel} is dominant, we obtain that *the generic bundle of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b^-)$ has no base point.*

6.1.12. Hence, we proved that there exists a Zariski open set $U_{\text{Pic},t} \subset U_{\text{Pic}} \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_B^-)$ such that its elements have no base points. Then we continue as in parts 5.1.9–5.1.12 in the proof of part (2'): by a very same type of deformation we can move \mathcal{L}_B^- into $U_{\text{Pic},t}$. Finally, we end the proof with similar argument as 5.1.13. This ends the proof of part (3').

For Part (4') notice, that if $(*)_v$ holds, then by Remark 3.3.4(a) $\dim \text{im}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E_v}(-l'_{top}))) = 1$, hence the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ necessarily has base points on E_v . Furthermore, by part (2') we know, that there is a section in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top}))$ whose divisor consists of $-(l'_{top}, E_v)$ disjoint smooth transversal cuts.

In particular, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$ has $-(l'_{top}, E_v)$ disjoint base points on E_v , all of them regular points of E . This proves part (4').

7. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.6(5')

7.1. Laufer's Duality. Let us fix a good resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ as above. We start with the well-known perfect pairing (cf. [La72, La77, NN18a])

$$(7.1.1) \quad \langle , \rangle : H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \otimes (H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Here $H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ can be replaced by $H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z))$ for $Z \gg 0$ (e.g. for any Z with $Z \geq \lfloor Z_K \rfloor$), cf. [NN18a, 7.1.3], and one also has $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$. Hence we get a perfect pairing

$$(7.1.2) \quad \langle , \rangle : H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes (H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z))/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, a basis $[\omega_1], \dots, [\omega_{p_g}]$ of $H^0(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z))/H^0(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ provides p_g affine coordinates in $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. These dualities are given by integrations. The integration formula can be lifted from the level of line bundles generated by divisors to the level of the space of Cartier divisors, cf. [NN18a, §7]. This will be reviewed next.

7.1.3. The Laufer integration. Consider the following situation. We fix a smooth point p on E , a local disc $B \ni p$ with local coordinates (x, y) such that $B \cap E = \{x = 0\}$. We assume that a certain form $\omega \in H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z))$ has local equation $\omega = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0} a_{i,j} x^i y^j dx \wedge dy$ in B .

In the same time, we fix a divisor \tilde{D} on \tilde{X} , whose unique component \tilde{D}_1 in B has local equation y^n , $n \geq 1$. Let \tilde{D}_t be another divisor, which is the same as \tilde{D} in the complement of B and its component $\tilde{D}_{1,t}$ in B has local equation $(y + td(t, x, y))^n$.

Next we identify $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ with $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X})$ by the exponential map and we consider the composition $t \mapsto \tilde{D}_t - \tilde{D} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}_t - \tilde{D}) \mapsto \exp^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}_t - \tilde{D}) \mapsto \langle \exp^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}_t - \tilde{D}), \omega \rangle$. The next formula makes this expression explicit. (Here $B = \{|x|, |y| < \epsilon\}$ for a small ϵ , and $|t| \ll \epsilon$.)

$$(7.1.4) \quad \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle := \langle \exp^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}_t - \tilde{D}), \omega \rangle = n \int_{\substack{|x|=\epsilon \\ |y|=\epsilon}} \log \left(1 + t \frac{d(t, x, y)}{y} \right) \cdot \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0} a_{i,j} x^i y^j dx \wedge dy.$$

This restricted to any cycle $Z \gg 0$ can be reinterpreted as ‘ ω -coordinate’ of the Abel map restricted to the path $t \mapsto D_t := \tilde{D}_t|_Z$ (and shifted by the image of $D := \tilde{D}|_Z$). If ω has no pole along the divisor $\{x = 0\}$ then $\langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle = 0$ for any path \tilde{D}_t . Furthermore, the tangent application of the above composition is the ‘ ω -coordinate’ of the tangent application of the Abel map restricted to D_t .

E.g., if $\tilde{D}_{1,t}$ is given by $(y + tx^{o-1})^n$ for some $o \geq 1$ and $\omega = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0} a_{i,j} x^i y^j dx \wedge dy$, then

$$(7.1.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left[n \int_{\substack{|x|=\epsilon \\ |y|=\epsilon}} \log \left(1 + t \frac{x^{o-1}}{y} \right) \cdot \omega \right] = -4\pi^2 \cdot n \cdot a_{-o,0}.$$

If more components of \tilde{D} are perturbed then $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle$ is the sum of such contributions.

Definition 7.1.6. Consider the above situation and assume that \tilde{D}_1 has local equation y (i.e. $n = 1$ in 7.1.3). Then, by definition, the *Leray residue* of ω along \tilde{D}_1 is the 1-form (with possible poles at $\tilde{D}_1 \cap E$) defined by $(\omega/dy)|_{y=0} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,0} x^i dx$. We denote it by $\text{Res}_{\tilde{D}_1}(\omega)$.

Note that the right hand side of (7.1.5) tests exactly the non-regular part of $\text{Res}_{\tilde{D}_1}(\omega)$.

7.1.7. The tangent of the Abel map. Fix any integral cycle $Z \in L$, $Z \geq E$. Consider again $l' \in \mathcal{S}'$ and a divisor $D \in \text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z)$, which is a union of $-(l', E)$ disjoint divisors $\{D_i\}_i$, each of them \mathcal{O}_Z -reduction of divisors $\{\tilde{D}_i\}_i$ from $\text{ECa}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ intersecting E transversally. Set $\tilde{D} = \cup_i \tilde{D}_i$.

Note that the duality (7.1.2) is true for any such Z . It is enhanced by the following statement.

We introduce a subsheaf $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)^{\text{regRes}_{\tilde{D}}}$ of $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)$ consisting of those forms ω , which have the property that for every i the residue $\text{Res}_{\tilde{D}_i}(\omega)$ has no pole along \tilde{D}_i . For more see [NN18a, 10.1].

Theorem 7.1.8. [NN18a, Th. 10.1.1] *In the above situation one has the following facts.*

- (a) *The sheaves $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)^{\text{regRes}_{\tilde{D}}}/\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_Z(K_{\tilde{X}} + Z - D)$ are isomorphic.*
- (b) *$H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)^{\text{regRes}_{\tilde{D}}})/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2) \simeq H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(K_{\tilde{X}} + Z - D)) \simeq H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(D))^*$.*
- (c) *The image of the tangent map at D of $\text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$, after an identification of $T_{c^{-l'}(D)} \text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$ with $\text{Pic}^0(Z) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, is the intersection of kernels of linear maps $\langle \cdot, [\omega] \rangle : H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where ω runs in $H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)^{\text{regRes}_{\tilde{D}}})$.*

7.2. Characterization of base points of line bundles using differential forms. Next we formulate a characterization of the existence of base points for restricted natural line bundles of *generic singularities*.

Fix a generic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{\text{top}}$, $Z \gg 0$, $Z \in L$ as above and a line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_Z(-l'_{\text{top}})$, $-l' = c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in L'$. We assume that \mathcal{L} has a section $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$ without fixed components such that its divisor $D := \text{div}(s)$ is the restriction to Z of a reduced smooth divisor \tilde{D} of \tilde{X} , which meets E transversally. Write $\cup_i \tilde{D}_i$ for the irreducible components of \tilde{D} , and set $p := E \cap \tilde{D}_1 = E_v \cap \tilde{D}_1$.

Let $b : \tilde{X}^{\text{new}} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the blow up of \tilde{X} at p and set $E^{\text{new}} = b^{-1}(p)$. Then $b^* \mathcal{L}(-E^{\text{new}}) \in \text{Pic}^{-b^*(l') - E^{\text{new}}}$ has no fixed components, and the divisor D_p of $b^* s \in H^0(b^* \mathcal{L}(-E^{\text{new}})|_{b^* Z - E^{\text{new}}})$ is the restriction of a smooth divisor $\cup_{i>1} \tilde{D}_i \cup \tilde{D}_p$ (the strict transform of \tilde{D}) of \tilde{X}^{new} , which intersects the exceptional curve transversally.

Note that by Theorem 4.1.11 $c^{-l'}(Z)$ is dominant (equivalently, $T_D c^{-l'}(Z)$ is surjective), by Theorem 4.1.8 $\min_{0 < l \leq Z} \chi(l' + l) > \chi(l')$, and using either Theorem 4.1.8 or Theorem 7.1.8

$$(7.2.1) \quad h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.2.2. *The following facts are equivalent:*

- (a) $p = E_v \cap \tilde{D}_1$ is a base point of \mathcal{L} ;
- (b) property $(*)_v$ for l' and E_v : $\min_{l \geq E_v, l \in L} \{\chi(l' + l)\} = \chi(l') + 1$;
- (c) $h^1(b^*Z - E^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new}))|_{b^*Z - E^{new}} = 1$;
- (d) $\text{codim}(\text{im}(c^{-b^*l' - E^{new}})) = 1$;
- (e) there exists a form $\omega_p \in H^0(\tilde{X}^{new} \setminus E \cup E^{new}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}^{new}}^2)$, with a nontrivial pole, such that its Leray residues along $\cup_{i>1} \tilde{D}_i$ and \tilde{D}_p are zero.

If (a)–(e) are satisfied then the form ω_p is unique modulo forms without poles and up to multiplication by a non-zero constant. Moreover, $\ker \langle \cdot, [\omega_p] \rangle = \text{im } T_{D_p} c^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}$.

(For a reformulation of the properties of ω_p in terms of a form $\omega \in H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ see 7.2.3.)

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from parts (1')–(4') of Theorem 3.3.6 already proved. For (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) use (7.2.1) and (the proof of) Lemma 2.3.5. Next we prove (c) \Rightarrow (d).

Assume that in (b) the minimum is realized for l_0 . Since $l_0 \geq E_v$ we have $b^*(l_0) = E^{new} + \tilde{l}_0$ for some $\tilde{l}_0 \geq E_v$. Hence, with the abbreviation $\tilde{l}' := b^*(l') + E^{new}$, via (b), we have $\chi(\tilde{l}' + \tilde{l}_0) = \chi(\tilde{l}')$. Since $\tilde{l}' \in \mathcal{S}'(\tilde{X}^{new})$, Theorem 4.1.8 implies that $c^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}$ is not dominant. This fact together with (c) and Theorem 4.1.9 imply (d).

(d) \Rightarrow (c). Let h^1 be the left hand side of (c). From Theorem 4.1.9 $h^1 \geq 1$. But $h^1(b^*\mathcal{L}) = h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, cf. 7.2.1), hence $h^1 \leq 1$ from the exact sequence associated with $b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new}) \hookrightarrow b^*\mathcal{L}$.

(d) \Leftrightarrow (e). Note that (similarly as in 6.1.7) the germ $(\text{ECa}^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}(b^*Z - E^{new}), D_p)$ can be regarded as a smooth codimension one subgerm of $(\text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z), D)$, and the composition $C^{-l'}$

$$(\text{ECa}^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}(b^*Z - E^{new}), D_p) \hookrightarrow (\text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z), D) \xrightarrow{C^{-l'}} (\text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z), \mathcal{L})$$

identifies with $c^{-b^*l' - E^{new}} : (\text{ECa}^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}(b^*Z - E^{new}), D_p) \rightarrow (\text{Pic}^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}(b^*Z - E^{new}), \mathcal{L})$.

Note that $T_{D_p} C^{-l'}$ cannot be surjective, since in that case $C^{-l'}$ would be a local submersion, hence locally surjective, a fact which would contradict (d). This shows that $\text{im } T_{D_p} c^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}$ in $T_{\mathcal{L}} \text{Pic}^{-b^*l' - E^{new}}(b^*Z - E^{new})$ has codimension one. Hence (e) (and the statement after it) follows from Theorem 7.1.8(c). Conversely, if such a form exists, then by Theorem 7.1.8(b)–(c) $h^1 \geq 1$. Then continue as in the proof of (d) \Rightarrow (c) to conclude $h^1 = 1$, i.e. the validity of (c). \square

7.2.3. ω_p replaced by ω . Since the restriction of $b : \tilde{X}^{new} \setminus E \cup E^{new} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \setminus E$ is an isomorphism, $\omega_p = b^*\omega$ for some $\omega \in H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$. Clearly, ω has no Leray residue along the components of $\cup_{i>1} \tilde{D}_i$. We claim that ω has a nontrivial pole along E_v . Indeed, otherwise would be nonzero in $H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2(Z)^{\text{reg Res}_{\tilde{D}}})/H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2) \simeq H^1(Z, \mathcal{L})^*$, a fact which contradicts (7.2.1). Next we analyse its local properties near $p = \tilde{D}_1 \cap E_v \in \tilde{X}$. Let us fix some local coordinates (x, y) of (\tilde{X}, p) such that E_v is $\{x = 0\}$ and \tilde{D}_1 is $\{y = 0\}$. Assume that ω has the form $(\varphi_0(x) + y\varphi_1(x) + \dots)dx \wedge dy/x^o$ for some $o \geq 1$ and $\varphi_0(0) + y\varphi_1(0) + \dots \neq 0$. Then by the blow up $x = \alpha, y = \alpha\beta$ the residue along $\tilde{D}_p = \{\beta = 0\}$ is $\varphi_0(\alpha)d\alpha/\alpha^{o-1}$. In particular, $x^{o-1}|\varphi_0(x)$. Hence ω near p has the form

$$\omega = (x^{o-1}\tilde{\varphi}_0(x) + y\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, y)) \cdot dx \wedge dy/x^o, \quad \text{where } x \nmid x^{o-1}\tilde{\varphi}_0(x) + y\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, y), \quad o \geq 1.$$

Assume that $\tilde{\varphi}_0(0) = 0$. This would imply that $\text{Res}_{\tilde{D}_1} \omega = 0$. Since all the other Leray residues are zero, this fact together with (7.2.1) and Theorem 7.1.8(b) would imply that ω has no pole along E , but this is not the case since ω_p has a nontrivial pole. Therefore $\tilde{\varphi}_0(0) \neq 0$.

Consider next an arbitrary deformation $\tilde{D}_{1,t} = \{y + td(t, x, y) = 0\}$ of $\tilde{D}_1 = \{y = 0\}$, and also arbitrary deformations $\tilde{D}_{i,t}$ of \tilde{D}_i for $i > 1$. Then in $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \langle \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle \rangle$ the terms for $i > 1$ have no contributions. (This follows either from the definition of ω , or from local computation via (7.1.4) using the fact that $\text{Res}_{\tilde{D}_i}(\omega) = 0$.) Computing the contribution of $\tilde{D}_{1,t}$ by (7.1.4) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \langle \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle \rangle = (-4\pi^2) \cdot d(0, 0, 0) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_0(0) \quad (\tilde{D}_t := \cup_i \tilde{D}_{i,t}).$$

Let $(0, y(t))$ be the intersection point $\tilde{D}_{1,t} \cap E_v$. Then, by taking the derivative at $t = 0$ of the identity $y(t) + td(t, 0, y(t)) \equiv 0$, we obtain $y'(0) = -d(0, 0, 0) \in T_p E_v$. This is the tangent vector at p of the path $t \mapsto \tilde{D}_{1,t} \cap E_v$ in (E_v, p) . Recall also that ω is well-defined up to a non-zero constant, let us make this choice in such a way that $4\pi^2 \tilde{\varphi}_0(0) = 1$. Hence,

$$(7.2.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \langle \langle \tilde{D}_t, \omega \rangle \rangle = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} y(t).$$

Therefore, $T_{\mathcal{L}}\omega$ identifies the tangent vector at \mathcal{L} of the path of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_t := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}_t)|_Z$ (shifted by the constant \mathcal{L}^{-1}) with the tangent vector of the intersection point $\tilde{D}_{1,t} \in E_v$ at p

$$(7.2.5) \quad T_{\mathcal{L}}\omega\left(\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{L}_t\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} y(t).$$

7.2.6. The pole of ω . Assume that the conditions (a)–(e) of Proposition 7.2.2 are satisfied (for $Z \gg 0$). We claim that there exists a *unique minimal* $m \geq E_v$ for which (b) holds. [Indeed, if A and B realize equality in (b), and $m := \min\{A, B\}$, $M := \max\{A, B\}$, then $2(\chi(l') + 1) = \chi(l' + A) + \chi(l' + B) \geq \chi(l' + m) + \chi(l' + M) \geq 2(\chi(l') + 1)$. Hence equality holds everywhere and $\min\{A, B\}$ realizes (b) with equality too.] Then by Theorem 3.2.1(d), m is the smallest cycle $l \geq E_v$ such that $h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}(-l)) = 0$. Using the notation of Proposition 7.2.2 consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow b^*\mathcal{L}(-b^*m) \rightarrow b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new}) \rightarrow b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})|_{b^*m - E^{new}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $h^1(b^*\mathcal{L}(-b^*m)) = h^1(\mathcal{L}(-m)) = 0$, and $h^1(b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})) = 1$ (cf. 2.3.5 and (7.2.1)), we get that $h^1(b^*m - E^{new}, b^*\mathcal{L}(-E^{new})|_{b^*m - E^{new}}) = 1$. This means that parts (c)–(e) of Proposition 7.2.2 remain valid for $Z = m$ instead of $Z \gg 0$. In particular, the form ω_p of (e) survives even if we reduce the allowed poles, that is, $\text{pole}(\omega_p) \leq b^*m - E^{new}$. Thus

$$(7.2.7) \quad \text{pole}(\omega) \leq m.$$

(We expect that in (7.2.7) equality holds, however, the inequality suffice for our purposes here.)

7.3. The ‘move’ of the base point. The final goal of this and next sections is to prove part (5') of Theorem 3.3.6. This will follow from the more general Proposition 8.1.3, which says that if the two line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{i,top})$ ($i = 1, 2$) have base points then they cannot have a common base point for generic analytic structure. In the proof we will follow the following strategy. We will assume that there is a common base point for a generic analytic structure, say p , and then we will perturb the analytic structure. Then p must survive as a common base point. On the other hand, we will measure the ‘move’ of the base point p provided by the perturbation, this for \mathcal{L}_i will be described in terms of $l'_{i,top}$. Since p moves for both \mathcal{L}_i in the same way, this will impose strong restrictions regarding the two Chern classes $l'_{i,top}$, and this will lead us to a contradiction.

In this subsection we will discuss the perturbation of the analytic structure and we will compute the tangent vector of the move of the base point along E_p .

Fix a generic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$, a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$, $l'_{top} \in L'(\tilde{X}_{top})$, and its restriction $l' \in L'$. Assume that \mathcal{L} satisfies all the divisorial properties from 7.2, and that $p = E_v \cap \tilde{D}_1$ is a base point (hence all the properties of Proposition 7.2.2 are valid). Let ω be as in 7.2.

Assume that ω has a pole of order one along a certain exceptional divisor E_u , $u \in \mathcal{V}$. Let q be a generic point of $E_u \setminus \cup_{w \neq u} E_w$. We perform the perturbation of the analytic structure of the pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ as in 5.1.9 (based on 5.1.14). In short, we blow up $(\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X})$ at q , we get $(\tilde{X}_{top}^{new}, \tilde{X}^{new})$, then we reglue the tubular neighbourhood of E^{new} with the neighbourhood of (the strict transform of) $E \subset \tilde{X}^{new}$ as in 5.1.14 using a local parameter $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ (see also below). The deformed space will be denoted by $(\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}, \tilde{X}_\lambda^{new})$. Note that the tubular neighborhood of E in \tilde{X}_λ^{new} is independent of λ ; it will be denoted by \tilde{X}_b .

If we blow down E_λ^{new} in $(\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}, \tilde{X}_\lambda^{new})$ we get the generic pair $(\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}, \tilde{X}_\lambda)$, a topological trivial deformation of $(\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X})$. For the previous l'_{top} we consider the family of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_\lambda := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}}(-l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_\lambda}$. Using the discussion from 7.2 applied for \mathcal{L}_λ we get that \mathcal{L}_λ has similar divisorial and numerical properties as \mathcal{L} . Let $(0, y(\lambda)) = p_\lambda \in E_v$ be the base point of \mathcal{L}_λ (with $p_0 = p$). Our goal is the computation of $\frac{d}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0} y(\lambda)$.

Let (x, y) be some local coordinates of some point on E_u such that $E_u = \{x = 0\}$. Let the local equation of ω be $(\psi(y) + x\tau(x, y))dx \wedge dy/x$, with $\psi(y) \not\equiv 0$. Consider a generic point q in this interval of E_u and let the blow up b at q be $\{x = \alpha\beta, y - q = \beta\}$. We will use the same local coordinates for the regluing as well: E_λ^{new} will have local equation $\beta + \lambda = 0$.

Lemma 7.3.1. *With the above notations:*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} y(\lambda) = 4\pi^2 \cdot \psi(q) \cdot \{E_u\text{-multiplicity of } l'_{top}\}.$$

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $Nl'_{top} \in L(\tilde{X}_{top})$ is an integral cycle supported in the exceptional curve of \tilde{X}_{top} . The point is that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\otimes N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(N \cdot \tilde{D}_\lambda)$ can also be represented, via its very definition of a restricted natural line bundles, as $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}}(-N \cdot l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_\lambda}$ (with its ‘genuine’ definition of $\mathcal{O}(-l)$ with integral l). Therefore, after the blow up, we have $b^*\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\otimes N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}}(-N \cdot b^*l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_\lambda^{new}}$, cf. Lemma 2.3.8. Furthermore, from (7.2.5), one has

$$(7.3.2) \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} y(\lambda) = T_{\mathcal{L}} \omega \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} \mathcal{L}_\lambda \right) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot T_{b^*\mathcal{L}} \omega \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} b^*\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\otimes N} \right).$$

Next, we wish to compute the right hand side via restriction on \tilde{X}_b (the neighbourhood of E). We start with two observations. First, though the kernel of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_\lambda^{new}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_b})$ might be nontrivial, the class of ω is not in this kernel. Indeed, since ω has pole order one along E_u , and q was a generic point of E_u , $b^*\omega$ has no pole along E^{new} , hence the statement follows from (4.1.6). Secondly, $(b^*l'_{top}, E^{new}) = 0$, hence the divisors of any section of the line bundles are supported in \tilde{X}_b , and the integral pairings can be tested in \tilde{X}_b . In particular, the right hand side of (7.3.2) equals

$$(7.3.3) \quad \frac{1}{N} \cdot T_{b^*\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{X}_b}} \omega \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}}(-N \cdot b^*l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_b} \right).$$

In this situation we can apply the construction from 7.1.3. Indeed, in the local chart (α, β) of $E_u \cap E^{new} \in \tilde{X}_b$ the divisor of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}}(-N \cdot b^*l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_b} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top, \lambda}^{new}}(-N \cdot b^*l'_{top})|_{\tilde{X}_b})^{-1}$ is $-Nl'_{top, u}(E_\lambda^{new} - E^{new})|_{\tilde{X}_b}$. It has local equation $(\frac{\beta+\lambda}{\beta})^{-Nl'_{top, u}}$. Recall that the E_u -coefficient $l'_{top, u}$ of l'_{top} is non-zero by the assumptions of Theorem 3.3.6. Therefore, by (7.1.4), the expression from (7.3.3) before taking $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}|_{\lambda=0}$ is

$$-l'_{top, u} \cdot \int_{|\alpha|=\epsilon, |\beta|=\epsilon} \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\beta}\right) \cdot (\psi(\beta + q) + \alpha\beta\tau) \cdot \frac{d\alpha \wedge d\beta}{\alpha}.$$

Its derivative at $\lambda = 0$ is exactly the right hand side of the identity from Lemma 7.3.1. \square

7.3.4. ‘Rational line bundles’. In some arguments it is convenient to use the formalism of ‘rational line bundles’, well defined whenever the Picard group of \tilde{X} has no torsion. We follow [Na20].

Definition 7.3.5. Consider a normal surface singularity with rational homology sphere link. Fix one of its good resolutions \tilde{X} , an effective integral cycle $Z \in L_{>0}$ and $l'' \in L'_{|Z|} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

A rational line bundle on Z is an equivalence class of pairs $(N, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \text{Pic}^{N \cdot l''}(Z)$ such that $N \cdot l'' \in L'_{|Z|}$. Two pairs (N_1, \mathcal{L}_1) and (N_2, \mathcal{L}_2) are equivalent if $\mathcal{L}_1^{N_2} \cong \mathcal{L}_2^{N_1}$. We call l'' the Chern class of the rational line bundle (N, \mathcal{L}) , and we denote the set of rational line bundles with Chern class l'' by $\text{Pic}^{l''}(Z)$. If $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^{l''}(Z)$, we write $c^1(\mathcal{L}) = l''$.

Since the Picard group is torsion free and each $\text{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ ($l' \in L'(|Z|)$) is isomorphic to $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ as affine spaces, we obtain an isomorphism of affine spaces $\text{Pic}^{l''}(Z) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ for any $l'' \in L'_{|Z|} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as well. If $l' \in L'(|Z|)$ then the rational line bundles and (usual) line bundles with Chern class l' can naturally be identified. Sometimes we abridge (N, \mathcal{L}) by \mathcal{L} .

If we have classes of two rational line bundles $(N_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \in \text{Pic}^{l''_1}(Z)$ and $(N_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \in \text{Pic}^{l''_2}(Z)$, then we define $(N_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes (N_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \in \text{Pic}^{l''_1 + l''_2}(Z)$ as the rational line bundle represented by $(N_1 \cdot N_2, \mathcal{L}_1^{N_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{N_1})$. Similarly, for any rational line bundle (N, \mathcal{L}) with Chern classes l'' we can define $(N, \mathcal{L})^{-1}$ by (N, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) and $(N, \mathcal{L})^{n/m}$ by (Nm, \mathcal{L}^n) for any positive rational number $r = n/m \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. They have Chern classes $-l''$ and $r \cdot l''$ respectively.

If $D \in \text{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ is a divisor and $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is a positive rational number, then the pair $(N, \mathcal{O}_Z(Nr \cdot D))$ defines a rational line bundle whenever $Nr \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote it by $\mathcal{O}_Z(r \cdot D)$.

In [Na20, Lemma 5.0.4] the following fact is proved.

Lemma 7.3.6. *Let \tilde{X} be as in Definition 7.3.5. Fix an effective integer cycle $Z \geq E$ and a vertex $w \in \mathcal{V}$ such that the E_w -coefficient of Z is one. Fix also a rational number $a_w \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.*

Consider a rational line bundle \mathcal{L} with Chern class $l'' \in L' \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, such that $l' := l'' + a_w E_w^ \in L'$. Moreover, we assume that for a generic point $p_w \in E_w$ and the associated rational divisor $D = a_w p_w$ we have $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$.*

Next, we fix an integer $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor a_w \rfloor$ and we write $d(k) = 0$ if $k = a_w$ and $d(k) = 1$ otherwise. Furthermore, we consider $k + d(k)$ generic points $\{q_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k + d(k)}$ on the exceptional divisor E_v , and $k + d(k)$ positive rational numbers $r_j = 1$ if $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $r_{k+1} = a_w - k$ whenever $d(k) = 1$.

Consider the rational divisor $D' = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k + d(k)} r_j \cdot q_j$ of Z (supported on E_w). Then (i) $h^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D)) = h^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D'))$ and (ii) $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D'))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 7.3.7. (a) The first statement (i) was proved in [Na20] only in the case $k = \lfloor a_w \rfloor$. The present version, valid for arbitrary k , follows by application of [Na20] twice. Indeed, set some other generic points \bar{q}_j for $k+1 \leq j \leq \bar{J} := \lfloor a_w \rfloor + d(\lfloor a_w \rfloor)$, set $\bar{r}_j = 1$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq \lfloor a_w \rfloor$ and $\bar{r}_{\bar{J}} = a_w - \lfloor a_w \rfloor$, $\bar{D}' = \sum_{j \geq k+1} \bar{r}_j \bar{q}_j$, $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sum_{j \leq k} q_j)$. Then $h^0(\mathcal{L}(-D')) = h^0(\bar{\mathcal{L}}(-r_{k+d(k)} q_{k+d(k)})) \stackrel{*}{=} h^0(\bar{\mathcal{L}}(-\bar{D}')) = h^0(\mathcal{L}(-\sum_{j \leq k} q_j - \bar{D}')) \stackrel{*}{=} h^0(\mathcal{L}(-D))$, where $\stackrel{*}{=}$ is the statement from [Na20].

(b) Part (ii) is not written explicitly in [Na20], however it is a consequence of (i). Indeed, let $A \geq 0$ be the cycle of fixed components of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D')$, and assume that $A > 0$. Then $h^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D')) = h^0(Z - A, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z-A}(-D' - A)) \leq h^0(Z - A, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z-A}(-D - A)) < h^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D)) \stackrel{(i)}{=} h^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D'))$, which is a contradiction. The first inequality follows from semicontinuity, the second one from the assumption $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.6(5')

8.1. In this subsection besides the ‘standard’ restriction $l'_{top,v} > 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$, we will also assume that $l'_{top,v} \geq 0$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}_{top}$, cf. part (5') of Theorem 3.3.6.

8.1.1. Reduction of \tilde{X}_{top} . Recall that our main goal is to study certain line bundles $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$: we impose that they are restrictions of natural bundles from a ‘top level’ \tilde{X}_{top} (but the subject of the study is the restriction and not the top level bundle). For any pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ let \tilde{X}'_{top} be the tubular neighbourhood of the exceptional divisors of \tilde{X}_{top} which intersect E . We claim that any restricted natural line bundle restricted from \tilde{X}_{top} can be realized as a restricted natural line bundle restricted from the smaller \tilde{X}'_{top} . Indeed, it is enough to verify the claim for integral cycle supported on the exceptional curves, and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{top}}(-\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} n_v E_v)|_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}'_{top}}(-\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}'_{top}} n_v E_v)|_{\tilde{X}}$. Moreover, if $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$ is a generic pair then the same is true for $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}'_{top}$ (cf. 3.1.1), and also the positivity of the l'_{top} -coefficients is preserved by this replacement. Hence, without loss of generality, we can replace \tilde{X}_{top} by \tilde{X}'_{top} . Furthermore, in this new situation, if $l'_{top,w} = 0$ for some $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$, then we can restrict \tilde{X}_{top} more by taking the tubular neighbourhood of $\cup_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus w} E_v$. Hence after this reduction all the coefficients of l'_{top} are strict positive.

8.1.2. Theorem 3.3.6(5') follows from the next more general statement regarding base points of restricted natural line bundles (in its formulation we already applied the discussions from 8.1.1).

Proposition 8.1.3. *Fix a generic pair $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{X}_{top}$, such that $E \cap E_u \neq \emptyset$ for any $u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}$. Moreover, we fix $l'_{1,top} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} a_u E_u$ and $l'_{2,top} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} b_u E_u$ in $L'(\tilde{X}_{top})$ with $a_u, b_u \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We set the cohomological restrictions $l'_i = R(l'_{i,top}) \in L'$ and assume that $l'_i \in S'_{an}(\tilde{X})$ ($i = 1, 2$). We also fix a certain vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ for which $b_v > a_v$. Under these assumptions, the restricted natural line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{1,top})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{2,top})$ cannot have a common base point on E_v .*

The proof is divided in several steps.

8.1.4. The ‘standard setup’. Assume the contrary, i.e., the two line bundles have a common base point p along E_v . Then for both line bundles the parts (1')–(4') of Theorem 3.3.6, and also all the statements (a)–(e) of Proposition 7.2.2 are valid (for the same $p \in E_v$). Let ω_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the two forms in $H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2)$ associated with the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{i,top})$ and the base point p as in 7.2. We will call this the ‘standard setup’.

From this we wish to obtain a contradiction using the strategy mentioned in 7.3.

First, via certain reductions, we add some other properties to the standard setup.

8.1.5. The ‘ ω -divisorial property’. Consider an intersection point $q = E_u \cap E_w$, $u, w \in \mathcal{V}$ and local coordinates (x, y) at (\tilde{X}, q) such that $\{x = 0\} = E_u$ and $\{y = 0\} = E_w$. Let the local equation of ω_i be $\varphi_i(x, y)x^a y^b dx \wedge dy$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x \nmid \varphi_i$ and $y \nmid \varphi_i$. If $\varphi_i(0) = 0$ then we say that $\{\varphi_i(x, y) = 0\}$ is a divisor of ω_i at q . Otherwise, ω_i has no divisor at q . Then one proves (similarly as the existence of good embedded resolution of plane curves) that if we perform a conveniently chosen sequence of blow ups $b : \tilde{X}_{top,b} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{top}$ at several infinitesimally close points of q , and we set \tilde{X}_b and E_b for the inverse images of \tilde{X} and E , then $b^*\omega_i$ will have no divisor at the singular points of E_b . Therefore, if we replace the system $\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X}, l'_{i,top}, \omega_i$ by $\tilde{X}_{top,b}, \tilde{X}_b, b^*l'_{i,top}, b^*\omega_i$, this new system satisfies the ‘standard setup’, but additionally also the new ω -divisorial property realized by them, i.e. they have no divisors at the singular points of $E = \cup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} E_v$. (The fact that the pair $(\tilde{X}_{top,b}, \tilde{X}_b)$ is generic follows by the first paragraph of 3.4, and the stability of the properties from Proposition

7.2.2 via b^* can also be verified.) Hence, in the sequel we will assume that in our ‘standard setup’ from 8.1.4 the ‘ ω -divisorial property’ is also realized for both ω_i .

8.1.6. The poles of ω_i . Consider a generic point q of one of the exceptional curves E_u , $u \in \mathcal{V}$, such that at least one of the ω_i ’s has a non-trivial pole along E_u . Let o_i be the pole order of ω_i along E_u (if ω_i has no pole then $o_i = 0$). Write $o_1 \geq o_2$, hence $o_1 > 0$. Similarly as in 5.1.1, we blow up \tilde{X} at a generic point q_1 of E_u , and we get the new exceptional divisor F_1 , then we blow up a generic point q_2 of F_1 , etc. we repeat this $o_1 - 1$ times, the last new exceptional divisor is F_{o_1-1} . Let denote b the sequence of blow ups. Then $b^*\omega_1$ has a pole of order one along F_{o_1-1} . Next, we perform the deformation 5.1.14 at a generic point q of F_{o_1-1} . For the local computation see 7.3.

Let (x, y) be some local coordinates of some point on F_{o_1-1} such that $F_{o_1-1} = \{x = 0\}$. Let the local equation of ω_i be $(\psi_i(y) + x\tau_i(x, y))dx \wedge dy/x$, with $\psi_1(y) \neq 0$. Consider a generic point q in this interval and we move the exceptional divisor of the blow up at q as in 5.1.14 and 7.3.

Since under this deformation the base points of the two perturbed line bundles must stay common, using the notation of Lemma 7.3.1 we have $y_1(\lambda) = y_2(\lambda)$. Therefore, Lemma 7.3.1 applied for both forms gives $a_u \cdot \psi_1(q) = b_u \cdot \psi_2(q)$ (\dagger), and this holds for any generic point q . Since $\psi_1(q) \neq 0$ we get that $\psi_2(q) \neq 0$ as well. In particular, $o_1 = o_2$. Furthermore (\dagger) says that $a_u \cdot b^*\omega_1 - b_u \cdot b^*\omega_2$ has no pole along F_{o_1-1} . These facts reinterpreted in \tilde{X} and the exceptional curve E_u give

Lemma 8.1.7. (1) *If one of the forms ω_i has a pole along E_u ($u \in \mathcal{V}$) then both forms have pole along E_u and the pole orders are the same, say o_u .*

(2) *In the situation of (1), $a_u\omega_1 - b_u\omega_2$ has a pole order strict smaller than o_u along E_u .*

(3) *The above properties (1) and (2) remain true if we replace $(\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X})$ by another pair obtained by blowing up generic points of E or singular points of E .*

8.1.8. Reduction of \tilde{X} to the support of ω_i ’s. Let E_ω be the union of exceptional divisors E_u with $o_u > 0$. A priori it can happen that E_ω is smaller than E . Let $E_{\omega,v}$ that connected component of E_ω which contains E_v (cf. Proposition 7.2.2). Let \tilde{X}_ω be the tubular neighbourhood of $E_{\omega,v}$ in \tilde{X} . Then we can replace the pair $(\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X})$ by $(\tilde{X}_{top}, \tilde{X}_\omega)$, and consider the restriction of the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{i,top})$ and forms ω_i to \tilde{X}_ω . Note that the restrictions of the distinguished sections of the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l'_{i,top})$ (with transversal smooth divisors as in 7.2) will have similar properties, hence the setup of Proposition 7.2.2 will be satisfied by the restricted objects over \tilde{X}_ω . Furthermore, the restrictions of the ω_i ’s will satisfy automatically part (e) of Proposition 7.2.2 too, hence the point p survives as a common base point. Hence, in this way we get a situation of the two bundles with such that $E(\tilde{X}_\omega) = E_\omega$. Even more, using the step 8.1.4 we can reduce \tilde{X}_{top} too to the neighbourhood of $\cup E_u$, where the union is over $\{u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}, E_u \cap E_\omega \neq \emptyset\}$.

Hence at this point we have a situation of the ‘standard setup’, which satisfies the ‘ ω -divisorial property’, Lemma 8.1.7, and $E = E_\omega$.

8.1.9. The proportionality of $\{a_u\}_u$ and $\{b_u\}_u$, $u \in \mathcal{V}$. Recall that $l'_{1,top} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} a_u E_u$ and $l'_{2,top} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{top}} b_u E_u$ where $a_u, b_u \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Furthermore, $b_v > a_v$, where E_v is the divisor, which contains the common base point p . We claim that $b_u/a_u = b_v/a_v$ for any $u \in \mathcal{V}$.

Since $\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ is connected, it is enough to verify that $b_u/a_u = b_w/a_w$ for any edge (u, w) of Γ . Consider an intersection point $q = E_u \cap E_w$, and local coordinates (x, y) at (\tilde{X}, q) such that $\{x = 0\} = E_u$ and $\{y = 0\} = E_w$, as in 8.1.5. Let the local equation of ω_i be $\varphi_i(x, y)dx \wedge dy/x^c y^d$, with $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $x \nmid \varphi_i$ and $y \nmid \varphi_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). By the ‘ ω -divisorial property’ $\varphi_i(0, 0) \neq 0$. Using Lemma 8.1.7(2) for E_u we obtain that $x|a_u\varphi_1 - b_u\varphi_2$, hence $a_u\varphi_1(0, 0) - b_u\varphi_2(0, 0) = 0$. Similarly, for E_w , $a_w\varphi_1(0, 0) - b_w\varphi_2(0, 0) = 0$. Hence $b_u/a_u = b_w/a_w$.

8.1.10. Next, we continue the study of other edges (u, w) of type $u \in \mathcal{V}$, $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$. Fix such an edge and set $q = E_u \cap E_w$. By local verification it turns out that after several convenient blow ups at infinitesimally close points of q , in the new situation $b : \tilde{X}_{top,b} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{top}$, $u' \in \mathcal{V}_b$, $v' \in \mathcal{V}_{top,b} \setminus \mathcal{V}_b$, we will have $o_{u'} = 1$ and the following local picture: If (x, y) are local coordinates at $q' = E_{u'} \cap E_{w'}$ with local equations $\{x = 0\} = E_{u'}$, $\{y = 0\} = E_{w'}$, then $b^*\omega_i = \varphi_i(x, y)y^{k_i}dx \wedge dy/x$ for certain $k_i \geq 0$, and $\varphi_i(0, 0) \neq 0$. [The divisor y^{k_i} of $b^*\omega_i$ cannot be eliminated: the shape of the form $y^k dx \wedge dy/x$ stays unstable with respect to blow up.]

Therefore, assume that in our setup this property is also satisfied, and we also return to our simplified notations: \mathcal{V}_{top} , \mathcal{V} , ω_i , etc.

8.1.11. Assume that q is such an intersection point $E_u \cap E_w$, $u \in \mathcal{V}$, $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ and $\omega_i = \varphi_i(x, y)y^{k_i}dx \wedge dy/x$ (cf. 8.1.10), where at least one of the k_i 's is zero. Then, by Lemma 8.1.7 (2), $x|a_u\varphi_1(x, y)y^{k_1} - b_u\varphi_2(x, y)y^{k_2}$. This implies that both k_i are zero and $a_u\varphi_1(0, 0) = b_u\varphi_2(0, 0)$.

On the other hand, if we perform the deformation 5.1.14 at q by moving E_w , then, by Lemma 7.3.1, the base points $y_i(\lambda)$ of the 'moved' line bundles satisfy $\frac{d}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0}y_i(\lambda) = 4\pi^2\varphi_i(0, 0) \cdot \{E_w - \text{coefficient of } l'_{top,i}\}$. Since the base points must stay together, we get that $a_w\varphi_1(0, 0) = b_w\varphi_2(0, 0)$. In particular, $b_w/a_w = b_u/a_u = b_v/a_v$. This shows that if for a pair $u \in \mathcal{V}$, $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ we have $b_w/a_w \neq b_v/a_v$, then $o_u = 1$, and both forms ω_i necessarily must have divisors at $q = E_u \cap E_w$. (Note that in such a case, if we perform the above deformation at q , then for both line bundles the tangent vector of the moving base point is zero.) Set

$$\mathcal{E} := \{(u, w) : u \in \mathcal{V}, w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}, b_w/a_w > b_v/a_v\}.$$

8.1.12. We claim that (under the assumption of a common base point as above) we can assume that $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise, $b_u/a_u = b_v/a_v$ for all $u \in \mathcal{V}$ (cf. 8.1.9), and $b_w/a_w \leq b_v/a_v$ for all $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$. This reads as $l'_{2,top} = l'_{1,top} \cdot c + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}} r_w \cdot E_w$, where $c := b_v/a_v > 1$ and $r_w \leq 0$ for all w . By cohomological restriction we get that $l'_2 = l'_1 \cdot c + l'_3$ for some $l'_3 \in \mathcal{S}'$.

On the other hand, we know that there exists a cycle $l_2 \in L_{>0}$, such that $l_2 \geq E_v$ and $\chi(l'_2 + l_2) = \chi(l'_2) + 1$, or, equivalently, $-(l'_2, l_2) + \chi(l_2) = 1$. Note also that $l'_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $(l'_1, E_v) < 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.3.5) imply that $(l'_1, l_2) \leq (l'_1, E_v) < 0$. Therefore, $-(l'_2, l_2) = -c \cdot (l'_1, l_2) - (l'_3, l_2)$ yields $-(l'_2, l_2) > -(l'_1, l_2)$, which means $\chi(l'_1 + l_2) < \chi(l'_1) + 1$, or $\chi(l'_1 + l_2) \leq \chi(l'_1)$. But this contradicts the fact, that the Chern class l'_1 is dominant.

8.1.13. Therefore, if there exists a counterexample to the statement then necessarily $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. Let us fix such a counterexample $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}_{top}, \omega_i, m_i = l_{min,i}, \dots)$ with common base point $p \in E_v$, and with all the additional properties determined in the previous paragraphs. We will assume that \mathcal{E} is minimal. We fix $(u, w) \in \mathcal{E}$ ($u \in \mathcal{V}$, $w \in \mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus \mathcal{V}$) and write $q := E_u \cap E_w$. From these data we will construct another counterexample, which contradicts a necessary property of any counterexample discussed in 8.1.11. Hence, we conclude that counterexamples do not exist.

(I) The construction starts as follows. Let us blow up q , let E_q be the new exceptional divisor. We denote the strict transforms of $\{E_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{top}}$ by the same symbols and we set $\mathcal{V}_q = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}_{top,q} = (\mathcal{V}_{top} \setminus w) \cup \{v_q\}$, where v_q indexes E_q . Define also \tilde{X}_q and $\tilde{X}_{top,q}$ as the tubular neighborhoods of $\bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{V}_q} E_j$ and $\bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{V}_{top,q}} E_j$. The new Chern classes are obtained from $b^*(l_{top,i})$ by cohomologically restriction to $L'(\tilde{X}_{top,q})$. This means that the coefficients (a_j, b_j) stay unmodified for $j \neq v_q$ and the E_q -coefficients become $(a_q, b_q) = (a_w + a_u, b_w + a_u)$. (This increase will be exploited later.)

Then the form ω_i is replaced by the restriction to \tilde{X}_q of $b^*\omega_i$: it has the very same pole, type of divisors and local behaviour near p as ω_i . In particular, the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2.2 still hold in the new situation and the two bundles will have a common base point at p .

Note also that the self-intersection of E_u decreases by one, hence the RR expression χ is modified. Let the RR expression of $L'(\tilde{X}_q)$ be denoted by χ_q and let us analyse the new minimal cycles $m_{i,q} \in L(\tilde{X}_q)$ (their existence is guaranteed by Proposition 7.2.2, see also 7.2.6).

We claim that $m_{i,q} = m_i$. Indeed, let us denote the E_u -multiplicity of $m_{i,q}$ by k_i . By (7.2.7) $k_i \geq 1$. Then we will test $m_{i,q}$ in the context of the old situation: we compute $\chi(l'_i + m_{i,q}) - \chi(l'_i) = \chi(m_{i,q}) - (l'_i, m_{i,q})$. First, $(l'_i, m_{i,q}) = (b^*l'_{top,i}, m_{i,q}) = (l'_{i,q}, m_{i,q})$. Moreover, $\chi(m_{i,q}) = \chi_q(b^*m_{i,q}) = \chi_q(m_{i,q} + k_i E_q) = \chi_q(m_{i,q}) - k_i(k_i - 1)/2$. Since by Proposition 7.2.2 (applied for both cases) we get $\chi_q(m_{i,q}) - (l'_{i,q}, m_{i,q}) = 1 \leq \chi(m_{i,q}) - (l'_i, m_{i,q})$, or $-k_i(k_i - 1) \geq 0$, hence $k_i = 1$.

In particular, by the above computation $\chi(m_{i,q}) - (l'_i, m_{i,q}) = 1$ too, hence by the minimality of m_i we obtain $m_i \leq m_{i,q}$, and the E_u -coefficient of m_i is also one. (This is ‘compatible’ with the fact that the pole of ω_i along E_u is one, cf. (7.2.7) and the comment after it.) Next, we start with m_i , then a similar computation as above (and using the fact that its E_u -coefficient is one) shows that $\chi_q(m_i) - (l'_{i,q}, m_i) = 1$ too, hence by the minimality of $m_{i,q}$ we get $m_{i,q} \leq m_i$ as well.

(II) We denote $E_u \cap E_q$ again by q , and we repeat the blow up from step (I) N times, where $N \gg 0$ will be identified later. In the notations we will adopt the previous package of notations of (I), with the difference that we write indices N instead of q . In particular, if E_N is the very last exceptional divisor created in the last step, neighbour of E_u , then the Chern class E_N -multiplicities become $(a_N, b_N) = (a_u + Na_u, b_u + Nb_u)$. We emphasize again that the poles of the forms and the minimal cycles $m_{i,N} = m_i$ are N -independent.

(III) If N is very large then (E_u, E_u) becomes very small, hence by the adjunction formula we get that the E_u coefficient of $[Z_K]$ is ≤ 1 . Recall that for any $Z' \in L_{>0}$, $Z' \geq [Z_K]$ we have $H^0(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^2) = H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega^2(Z'))$ (hence any form has pole order ≤ 1 along E_u) and $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = H^1(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'})$ (cf. 7.1). Since the pole of $\omega_{i,N}$ along E_u is one, we get that the E_u -coefficient of $[Z_K]$ is in fact one, and (by taking restriction) we can assume that the E_u -coefficient of Z is one too.

(IV) Next we perturb the Chern-class contributions $a_N E_N$ (resp. $b_N E_N$) in the restricted line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{1,N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_N}(-\sum_{j \neq v_N} a_j E_j - a_N E_N)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2,N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_N}(-\sum_{j \neq v_N} b_j E_j - b_N E_N)$ via Lemma 7.3.6. However, we wish to preserve the common base point p , hence we apply Lemma 7.3.6 only after we perform the following steps.

We blow up $B : \tilde{X}_{N,p} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_N$ at p , we set $Z_p := B^*Z$, and $B^{-1}(p) = E^{new}$. Note that $H^0(Z_p, B^*\mathcal{L}_{i,N}(-E^{new}))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, decompose these line bundles as a combination of the rational line bundles: $B^*\mathcal{L}_{1,N}(-E^{new}) = \mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_p}(-a_N E_N)$ and $B^*\mathcal{L}_{2,N}(-E^{new}) = \mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_p}(-b_N E_N)$. Recall that the analytic structure on \tilde{X}_N is generic, hence the point $q = E_u \cap E_N$ is generic on E_u . Next, we choose a sufficient large number k with $k < a_N$ and $k < b_N$, and generic points $q_1, \dots, q_{k+1} \in E_u$, and we apply Lemma 7.3.6 for both \mathcal{L}'_i .

Let us write $\mathcal{G}_{1,N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_N}(-\sum_{j \neq v_N} a_j E_j - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k+1} r_{1,j} q_j)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2,N} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_N}(-\sum_{j \neq v_N} b_j E_j - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k+1} r_{2,j} q_j)$. That is, $B^*\mathcal{G}_{i,N}(-E^{new}) = \mathcal{L}'_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_p}(-\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k+1} r_{i,j} q_j)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Recall that $r_{1,j} = r_{2,j} = 1$ for $j \leq k$. Then Lemma 7.3.6 says that $H^0(Z_p, B^*\mathcal{G}_{i,N}(-E^{new}))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let us list some properties of the bundles $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$. They are restrictions of natural line bundles from a generic top-level. Indeed, since the E_u -multiplicity of Z_p is one, the generic points q_j can be considered as restrictions of transversal generic curves meeting E_u at the points q_j . Furthermore, one can show that this can be completed to a top level graph $\Gamma_{N,top}$, which admits a certain $l'_{N,top} \in L'(\Gamma_{N,top})$ as extension of this set of multiplicities. Next, for each $i = 1, 2$ independently, the Chern class of $\mathcal{L}_{i,N}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ agree in $L'(\tilde{X}_N)$. Since the Chern class $l'_{i,N}$ satisfies property $(*)_v$, the Chern class of $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ must satisfy too. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ satisfies part $(4')$ of the main Theorem 3.3.6, that is, it has $-(l'_{i,N}, E_v)$ base points on E_v , and these base points are exactly at the zeros of a

section (basically unique), which has no fixed components. Since $H^0(Z_p, B^* \mathcal{G}_{i,N}(-E^{new}))_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$, this section vanishes at p , hence p is a base point of both $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$, cf. 3.3.4(a). Hence in this situation we have again a common base point of two restricted natural line bundles. In particular, each line bundle (and the base point p) determines a form $\omega_{\mathcal{G},i}$ by Proposition 7.2.2. Furthermore, for this pair of bundles all the additional properties listed in 8.1.9–8.1.11 hold. Note that the ratio of the Chern class multiplicities at the points q_j ($j \leq k$) are $r_{2,j}/r_{1,j} = 1/1 = 1$, which differ from $b_u/a_u = b_v/a_v > 1$. Hence, by 8.1.11 at each point q_j ($j \leq k$) both forms must have a divisor.

8.1.14. Well, this fact will lead to a contradiction. The point is that the number of divisors is bounded independently of N , and the number i can be arbitrary large when N is large. Indeed, first notice that the minimal cycle m_i , which satisfies property $(*)_v$ is the same for $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i,N}$. Thus, (7.2.7) shows that the common pole P of $\omega_{\mathcal{G},i}$ (cf. Lemma 8.1.7) is $\leq m_i$. If E_u is not in the support of P , then we can reduce the situation to a smaller resolution space as in 8.1.8, but in this way we eliminate from \mathcal{E} the point q , hence we decrease $\#\mathcal{E}$. But $\#\mathcal{E}$ was taken minimal, hence necessarily E_u is in the support of P with multiplicity one (since E_u has multiplicity one in m_i).

Write $P = E_u + P'$. If D_i is the union of the divisors of $\omega_{\mathcal{G},i}$ along E_u , then $(D_i - P - K_{\tilde{X}_N}, E_u) = 0$. Or, $(D_i, E_u) = (P' + E_u + K_{\tilde{X}_N}, E_u) = (P', E_u) - 2 \leq (m_i - E_u, E_u) - 2$, an N -independent bound. Hence i can be taken larger than the possible number of the divisors of the forms.

This ends the proof of part (5').

Remark 8.1.15. In the above proof, in order to get a contradiction, in 8.1.14 we used the structure of the divisors of the forms. An alternative argument, which replaces 8.1.14, might run as follows.

Consider the situation from the end of 8.1.13, in particular the bundles $\mathcal{G}_{i,N} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_N)$. Set $W := Z - E_u$. Note that W is supported on $\cup_{i \in \mathcal{V} \setminus u} E_i$, and all its coefficients are large. We claim that if the pair $\{\mathcal{G}_{i,N}\}_{i=1,2}$ is a counterexample (for any choice of the points of $q_{i,j}$'s) then the pair $\{\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W\}_{i=1,2}$ is a counterexample too. However, by taking this restriction, the set \mathcal{E} decreases, a fact which contradicts its minimality. In order to verify the claim, the verification of the requirements regarding the Chern classes are immediate. What should be explain is the fact that $\{\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W\}_{i=1,2}$ have a common base point at (the very same) p .

Fix $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then note that the restrictions bundles $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W$ do not depend on the choice of the generic points $q_{i,j}$ on E_u . Next, consider the restriction maps $r_i : \text{Pic}^{l'_{i,top}}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{l'_{i,top}}(W)$. If the integer M is large enough, then by [NN18a, Th. 6.1.9] the image of the Abel map $c^{-ME_u^*}(Z) : \text{ECa}^{-ME_{u_2}^*}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-ME_u^*}(Z)$ is an affine space of dimension $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_W)$, the dimension of the fibers of r_i . Here we take $M = a_N$ if $i = 1$ and $M = b_N$ if $i = 2$. Thus, when we move the generic points $q_{i,j}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ cover an open set in $r_i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W)$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}$ (for any choice of q_j) has a base point at p , we get that the generic bundle in $r_i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W)$ has a base point at p .

Now assume that $\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W$ has no base point at p . Then the divisor $\text{div}(s)$ of its generic section s is not supported at p . Let D be $\text{div}(s)$ completed by $M' = -(l'_{i,top}, E_u)$ generic points on E_u . Then, when we move s and the M' generic points, $\mathcal{O}_Z(D)$ covers an open set in $r_i^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{i,N}|_W)$. But, by construction, this bundle has a section which does not vanish at p , a fact which contradicts the previous paragraph.

9. EXAMPLES

Below \tilde{X} is a normal surface singularity whose link is a rational homology sphere.

9.1. The case of Chern class $l' = Z_K$. Let us fix a resolution graph Γ . If $l' \in L'$ is ‘sufficiently negative’ (i.e., if each (l', E_v) is sufficiently negative for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$) then for any analytic structure

supported by Γ any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ with Chern class l' is base point free; in particular, $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ too. For different negativity conditions (imposed by different proofs) see e.g. [CNP06, Th. 4.1], [La83, Th. 3.1], [S-B80, Th. 2, Prop. 4]. The condition $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ (versus base point freeness) can be guaranteed by weaker assumptions, in general we require slightly stronger negativity than being in $Z_K + \mathcal{S}'$. However, none of these combinatorial assumptions are satisfied in general by Z_K . In the next paragraphs we analyse with details exactly this case of $l' = Z_K$.

Assume that \tilde{X} is minimal, i.e. it contains no (-1) -curve. Then, by adjunction formula, $Z_K \in \mathcal{S}'$. (Recall also that $Z_K = 0$ happens exactly when Γ is ADE.) We claim that *if \tilde{X} is generic and Γ is not ADE then $Z_K \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$ (that is, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_K)$ has no fixed components).*

In the proof we use 3.2.1(f): we need to show that $\chi(Z_K + l) > \chi(Z_K)$ for any $l > 0$. This reads as $\chi(-l) > 0$. Note that from $-l$ there exists χ -nonincreasing generalized Laufer computation sequence which connects $-l$ to 0, cf. [N05, §7] or [N07, 4.3.3]. Hence $\chi(-l) \geq 0$ (see also [N05, Prop. 5.7]). However, if $\chi(-l) = 0$, then the sequence is necessarily χ -constant, hence at the very last step one has $\chi(-E_u) = 0$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}$. But this means $E_u^2 = -1$, a contradiction.

Note that for an arbitrary analytic structure it is not true that $Z_K \in \mathcal{S}'_{an} \setminus \{0\}$, cf. next example.

Example 9.1.1. Consider the following Γ , where the (-2) -vertices are unmarked.



It is an elliptic (integral homology sphere) graph $Z_{min} = E_1^*$ and $Z_K = E_2^*$, $Z_{min} < Z_K$. The length of the elliptic sequence is two (for terminology see e.g. [La77, N99, N99b]), hence $1 \leq p_g \leq 2$, and Γ supports two rather different families of analytic structures according to the value of p_g . E.g. Γ can be realized even by the hypersurface singularity $x^2 + y^3 + z^{11} = 0$. In this case $Z_{max} = Z_{min} = E_1^*$, it is the divisor of z . In fact, $p_g = 2$, $\text{mult}(X, o) = 2$ and $Z_{max} = Z_{min}$ is true for any Gorenstein structure, cf. [N99, N99b]. However, if $Z_{max} = Z_{min}$ then $Z_K \notin \mathcal{S}_{an}$. More precisely, by a topological argument on this Γ , (and for any analytic structure supported on this Γ) Z_{min} and Z_K cannot be simultaneously elements of \mathcal{S}_{an} . Indeed, if both are realized by some functions, say f and g , then (since $-(Z_K, Z_{min}) = 1$) the degree of the map $(f, g) : (X, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is one. But this can occur only for smooth germs (X, o) , which is not the case.

However, as we already proved in 9.1, for the generic analytic structure $Z_{max} = Z_K$ (hence $Z_{min} \notin \mathcal{S}_{an}$). (In this case $p_g = 1$ by Theorem 3.2.1(c) and (X, o) is non-Gorenstein [NN18b, 6.9].)

Since $(Z_K, E_2) = -1$ and $\chi(Z_K + Z_{min}) = 1 = \chi(Z_K) + 1$, by Theorem 3.3.6 $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_K)$ has a (unique) base point on E_2 . Note that $Z_K + Z_{min} \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$ is the Chern class $l' + l$ of part (5') in Theorem 3.3.6. Furthermore, $(Z_K + Z_{min}, E_2) = -1$ and $\chi(2Z_K) = 2 = \chi(Z_K + Z_{min}) + 1$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_K - Z_{min})$ has a (unique) base point on E_2 too. However, by Theorem 3.3.6, the two base points are different. Note also that $\text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2 + 1 = 3$.

9.2. Base points of Z_{max} and the multiplicity in the generic elliptic case. Assume that $\min \chi = 0$. In this case Γ is either rational or elliptic (see e.g. [N99b]). In the rational case $Z_{max} = Z_{min}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has no base points, and $\text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{min}^2$ independently of the analytic structure supported on Γ [A62, A66] (see also 3.5 with the compatibility with our criterions).

In the sequel we assume that Γ is elliptic. For the simplicity of the presentation we also assume that Γ is numerically Gorenstein (i.e. $Z_K \in L$), and that Γ is the dual graph of a minimal good resolution, which is minimal (contains no (-1) -curves). Let C be the minimally elliptic cycle (for

the standard notations and combinatorial properties of elliptic graphs see e.g. [La77, N99, N99b]). We claim that following facts hold, whenever \tilde{X} is *generic*:

- (1) $Z_{max} = Z_K$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has a base point if and only if $C^2 = -1$. Moreover, if $C^2 = -1$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ admits a unique base point (of type A_1). (For the peculiar structure of the graph when $C^2 = -1$ and the position of the base point see the discussion below.)

We sketch the arguments. For (1) we use Theorem 3.2.1(g) and we verify that $Z_K = \max \mathcal{M}$. Indeed, $\chi(Z_K) = 0$ and $\chi(Z_K + l) = \chi(-l) > 0$ for any $l > 0$ (cf. 9.1).


For (2) fix some E_v such that $(Z_K, E_v) < 0$ and $\chi(Z_K + E_v + l) = 1$ for some $l > 0$. Then $1 = \chi(E_v + l) - (Z_K, E_v) - (Z_K, l)$ with $\chi(E_v + l) \geq 0$ (ellipticity), $-(Z_K, E_v) > 0$ (assumption), $-(Z_K, l) \geq 0$ ($Z_K \in \mathcal{S}$). Hence necessarily (a) $\chi(E_v + l) = 0$, (b) $(Z_K, E_v) = -1$, (c) $(Z_K, l) = 0$. From (b) follows that $E_v^2 = -3$, from (c) we obtain that $(Z_K, E_w) = 0$ for any on E_w from the support $|l|$, hence $|l|$ consists of (-2) curves (in particular $E_v \notin |l|$), and (a) implies that $(l, E_v) = \chi(l) + 1 \geq 1$, hence E_v is adjacent with $|l|$. Since $\chi(E_v + l) = 0$, by the definition of C , one has $E_v + l \geq C$. Since C cannot have only (-2) -curves (†) $E_v \leq C \leq E_v + l$. In particular, E_v is uniquely determined by this property.

Hence, by (†), C itself has the form $E_v + l_0$, where $E_v \notin |l_0|$, E_v is adjacent to $|l_0|$, and $|l_0|$ consists of (-2) curves. Then l_0 verifies (a)-(b)-(c), i.e. $\chi(Z_K + E_v + l_0) = 1$ (and l_0 is minimal with this property). Since by general theory $C^2 = (C, Z_K)$, $C^2 = (C, Z_K) = (E_v + l_0, Z_K) = -1$.

All the possible graphs of elliptic cycles C with $C^2 = -1$ are listed in [La77].

Finally observe that if for a generic singularity with arbitrary graph Γ , if $Z_K = Z_{max}$ then by Theorem 3.2.1(g) Γ is necessarily elliptic (hence (1) above is an ‘if and only if’ characterization).

Example 9.2.1. Consider the following non-elliptic plumbing graph. It has $\min \chi = -1$. It supports several analytic structures, the possible values for the geometric genus are $1 - \min \chi = 2 \leq p_g \leq 3$, cf. [NO17]. If \tilde{X} is generic then $p_g = 2$ and $Z_{max} = 2E_v^*$.



There is only one E_u with $(E_u, Z_{max}) < 0$, namely E_v , and $(E_v, Z_{max}) = -2$. Moreover, $Z_K \geq E_v + Z_{max}$ and $\chi(Z_K) = 0 = \chi(Z_{max}) + 1$. Hence Z_{max} has two base points on E_v and $\text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2 + 2 = 6$. (This is compatible with [NO17].)

We wish to emphasize that there exists a Gorenstein (even complete intersection) analytic structure supported on Γ , which has the very same $Z_{max} = 2E_v^*$, however in that case $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has no base points, hence $\text{mult}(X, o) = -Z_{max}^2 = 4$ (and $p_g = 3$).

Furthermore, there exists also a (Kodaira/Kulikov) type analytic structure supported on Γ with a smaller maximal ideal cycle, namely $Z_{max} = Z_{min} = E_v^*$. In this case $Z_{max}^2 = -1$, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has a unique base point of A_2 -type on E_v , hence $\text{mult}(X, o) = 3$. (In this case $p_g = 3$ too.) For details see [NO17].

10. GENERIC LINE BUNDLES OF ARBITRARY SINGULARITIES.

10.1. In [NN18a] we fixed an analytic type \tilde{X} (not necessarily generic) and we determined combinatorially several cohomological properties of generic line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$. On the other hand, for a fixed resolution graph Γ , the philosophy/aim of [NN18b] was to show that (restricted) natural line bundles with given Chern class, associated with generic analytic structures supported

on Γ , behave cohomologically as the generic line bundles (of an arbitrary singularity) with the same Chern class.

In the present note, in Theorem 3.3.6 we establish several properties of (restricted) natural line bundles of generic singularities. It is natural to ask whether these properties are valid for generic line bundles of an arbitrary singularity. The next theorem answers positively, provided that an additional assumption is satisfied. (For the fact that some restriction is needed see Remark 10.1.4.)

Recall the following fact (see Remark 3.3.7 and paragraph 7.2.6).

Lemma 10.1.1. *Fix a resolution graph Γ and a dominant Chern class $l' \in \mathcal{S}'$. Assume that for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ the identity $\min_{l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l) = \chi(l') + 1$ holds. Then the set of cycles x which satisfy both $x \geq E_v$ and $\chi(l' + x) = \chi(l') + 1$ has a unique maximal element l and a unique minimal element m .*

Theorem 10.1.2. *Let \tilde{X} be a resolution of an arbitrary singularity (with rational homology sphere link). Fix $l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c^{-l'}(Z)$ is dominant for $Z \gg 0$. Then the properties (1')–(4') of Theorem 3.3.6 hold for a generic element \mathcal{L}_{gen} of $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ (instead of \mathcal{L} of Theorem 3.3.6).*

Suppose that a generic line bundle \mathcal{L}_{gen} of $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ has a base point on the exceptional divisor E_v and l is the largest cycle such that $l \geq E_v$ and $\chi(l' + l) = \chi(l') + 1$ and m is the minimal cycle such that $m \geq E_v$ and $\chi(l' + m) = \chi(l') + 1$. (In particular, $l' + l$ is dominant too.)

Then, if the property (5') fails for the line bundle \mathcal{L}_{gen} then m is necessarily the minimal cycle associated with the dominant Chern class $l' + l$ too, that is, it is the minimal cycle $m \geq E_v$ satisfying $\chi(l' + l + m) = \chi(l' + l) + 1$.

Proof. We can assume that (X, o) is not rational, otherwise the argument from 3.5 holds identically.

Take a generic divisor \tilde{D} with Chern class $-l'$. Then all components of \tilde{D} are smooth, \tilde{D} intersects E transversally, and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D})$ satisfies (1')–(2').

Next we prove (3') and (4') in a slightly more general context; we will need this version in the proof of (5') as well. The generalized statement is the following:

Lemma 10.1.3. *Let Z be an arbitrary cycle on \tilde{X} and a Chern class $l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c^{-l'}(Z)$ is dominant and let us consider a generic line bundle \mathcal{L}_{gen} of $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$ as well.*

(a) If $v \in |Z|$ and $\min_{Z \geq l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l) - \chi(l') \geq 2$, then \mathcal{L}_{gen} does not have any base point along the exceptional divisor E_v .

(b) If $v \in |Z|$ and $\min_{Z \geq l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l) - \chi(l') = 1$, then \mathcal{L}_{gen} has exactly $-(l', E_v)$ base points along the exceptional divisor E_v .

Proof. (a) If \mathcal{L} is generic then by Theorems 4.1.8 and 3.2.1(f) $h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, and by Theorem 5.3.1 of [NN18a] (see also Theorem 3.2.1(d) from above)

$$h^1(Z - E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) = \chi(l' + E_v) - \min_{Z \geq l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l).$$

Therefore, from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(-E_v)|_{Z-E_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{E_v} \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\dim H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})/H^0(Z-E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) = -(l', E_v) + 1 - h^1(Z-E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) = \min_{Z \geq l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l) - \chi(l') \geq 2.$$

Thus, if \tilde{D} is a generic divisor of \tilde{X} with Chern class $-l'$ and $\tilde{D} \cap E_v = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ ($k = -(l', E_v)$), then not all the points p_i are base points of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Z(\tilde{D})$. We wish to show that in fact none of them is a base point. This basically will follow from the irreducibility of an incidence space.

We consider two incidence spaces

$$\mathfrak{I} = \{(p, D) \in E_v \times \text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z) : p \in |D|, D = \tilde{D}|_Z \text{ and } \tilde{D} \text{ intersects } E \text{ transversally}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{I}_b = \{ (p, D) \in \mathfrak{I} : p \text{ is a base point of } \mathcal{O}_Z(D) \}.$$

Let $\pi_2 : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \text{ECa}^{-l'}(Z)$ be the second projection, and let $\pi_{2,b}$ be its restriction to \mathfrak{I}_b . They are morphisms with finite fibers. If $c^{-l'} \circ \pi_{2,b}$ is not dominant, then for $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$ generic the fiber $(c^{-l'}(Z) \circ \pi_{2,b})^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$, hence we are done. Hence, in the sequel we assume that $c^{-l'}(Z) \circ \pi_{2,b}$ is dominant. Then we can fix a non-empty Zariski open set U in $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$ such that $c^{-l'}(Z)$ and $c^{-l'}(Z) \circ \pi_2$ are (C^∞) fibrations over U and π_2 is a regular covering over $U' := (c^{-l'}(Z))^{-1}(U)$. Furthermore, we can assume that the same facts are true for the restriction $\pi_{2,b}$ and for the very same U . We will replace the spaces \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{I}_b with their subspaces sitting over U .

We claim that \mathfrak{I} is irreducible. Indeed, U is irreducible, all the fibers of $c^{-l'}(Z)$ are irreducible (cf. 4.1), hence U' is irreducible. We need to show that the total space of the regular covering $\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow U'$ is irreducible. For this fix a divisor \tilde{D} with $\tilde{D} \cap E_v = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ as above. Then, moving along a path the components of the divisor (hence the intersection points $\{p_i\}_i$) there exists a (monodromy) path in \mathfrak{I} such that the starting point corresponds to a fixed order of $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ and the ending point any permutation of them. (Here we need the fact that the regular part of E_v is also connected, and that any real one-dimensional path in $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(Z)$ can be perturbed to be in U .) This shows that the covering π_2 over U' is irreducible, hence \mathfrak{I} is irreducible.

On the other hand, the covering \mathfrak{I}_b is a proper subspace of \mathfrak{I} , since not all the points $\{p_i\}_i$ are base points. This contradicts the irreducibility of \mathfrak{I} . This ends the proof of part (a).

For part (b) notice that from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(-E_v)|_{Z-E_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{E_v} \rightarrow 0$ we get that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}|_{E_v})$ equals $\dim H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})/H^0(Z-E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) = \min_{Z \geq l \geq E_v} \chi(l' + l) - \chi(l') = 1$.

This means that every section of \mathcal{L} vanishes at the same points of the exceptional divisor E_v , so hence the line bundle \mathcal{L} has $-(l', E_v)$ base points on E_v ($\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ as above). \square

Finally we consider property (5'): we have to investigate whether the line bundles \mathcal{L}_{gen} and $\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-l)$ have a common base point on the exceptional divisor E_v .

Notice that $\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-l)$ is also a generic line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{l'+l}(\tilde{X})$ and $c^{l'+l}$ is dominant (since $l' + l \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$ and then via Theorems 3.2.1(f) and 4.1.8).

Assume in the following that for a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \text{Pic}^{l'}(\tilde{X})$ the line bundles \mathcal{L}_{gen} and $\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-l)$ have a common base point on the exceptional divisor E_v .

Consider the minimal cycle $m \geq E_v$ such that $E_v \leq m$ and $\chi(l' + m) = \chi(l') + 1$, and also the minimal cycle m' such that $m' \geq E_v$ and $\chi(l' + l + m') = \chi(l' + l) + 1$. We claim that $m = m'$.

To prove the claim, assume first that $m \not\geq m'$. By Lemma 10.1.3(b) a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}'_{gen} \in \text{Pic}^{-l'}(m)$ has $k := -(l', E_v)$ disjoint base points q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k on the exceptional divisor E_v . On the other hand, using $m \not\geq m'$, Lemma 10.1.3(a) and Lemma 10.1.1, the generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}'_{gen}(-l) \in \text{Pic}^{-l'-l}(m)$ has no base points on the exceptional divisor E_v .

Now, if we consider the restriction maps $r_1 : \text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-l'}(m)$ and $r_2 : \text{Pic}^{-l'-l}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-l'-l}(m)$ and a generic line bundle \mathcal{L}_{gen} in $r_1^{-1}(\mathcal{L}'_{gen})$, then \mathcal{L}_{gen} is a generic line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{-l'}(\tilde{X})$ and it has base points q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k . On the other hand, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-l)$ is a generic line bundle in $r_2^{-1}(\mathcal{L}'_{gen}(-l)) \subset \text{Pic}^{-l'-l}(\tilde{X})$ and has no base point at q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k , since the line bundle $\mathcal{L}'_{gen}(-l) \in \text{Pic}^{-l'-l}(m)$ has no base points on the exceptional divisor E_v . This contradicts to the assumption that the line bundles \mathcal{L}_{gen} and $\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-l)$ have a common base point on E_v . In particular, it proves that $m \geq m'$. The verification of the other case $m' \geq m$ is completely identical. Hence, indeed, $m = m'$. \square

Remark 10.1.4. Property (5') does not hold in general in the previous theorem (without the 'm = m' assumption'). Indeed, let us consider again the graph from Example 9.1.1 with the Chern class $l' = Z_K$ and with a Gorenstein analytic structure.

We know that Z_K is dominant, $(Z_K, E_2) = -1$ and $\chi(Z_K + Z_{min}) = 1 = \chi(Z_K) + 1$. Therefore, the generic line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{-Z_K}(\tilde{X})$ has a base point on the exceptional divisor E_2 .

One can verify that the elliptic cycle C is the minimal cycle l such that $l \geq E_2$ and $\chi(Z_K + l) = 1 = \chi(Z_K) + 1$, and Z_{min} is the maximal cycle l such that $l \geq E_2$ and $\chi(Z_K + l) = 1 = \chi(Z_K) + 1$.

Notice also that the generic line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{-Z_K - Z_{min}}(\tilde{X})$ also has a base point on E_2 and C is the minimal cycle such that $C \geq E_2$ and $\chi(Z_K + Z_{min} + C) = 1 = \chi(Z_K + Z_{min}) + 1$.

Now, we show that property (5') does not hold for a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^{-Z_K}(\tilde{X})$, that is, the line bundles \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}(-Z_{min})$ have a common base point on the exceptional divisor E_2 .

Indeed, by the previous Lemma 10.1.3 already the line bundles $\mathcal{L}|C$ and $\mathcal{L}(-Z_{min})|C$ have one base point on the exceptional divisor E_2 . On the other hand, these two restricted line bundle are the same because the 'obstruction line bundle' $\mathcal{O}_C(Z_{min})$ vanishes in the Gorenstein case, cf. [N99]. This means that the base points of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}|C$ and $\mathcal{L}(-Z_{min})|C$ coincide, which is the common base point of the line bundles \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}(-Z_{min})$ as well.

REFERENCES

- [A62] Artin, M.: Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces. *Amer. J. of Math.*, **84**, 485–496, 1962.
- [A66] Artin, M.: On isolated rational singularities of surfaces. *Amer. J. of Math.*, **88**, 129–136, 1966.
- [BN07] Braun, G. and Némethi, A.: Invariants of Newton non-degenerate surface singularities, *Compositio Math.* **143** (2007), 1003–1036.
- [CDGZ04] Campillo, A., Delgado, F. and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Poincaré series of a rational surface singularity, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 41–53.
- [CDGZ08] Campillo, A., Delgado, F. and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Universal abelian covers of rational surface singularities and multi-index filtrations, *Funk. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **42** (2008), no. 2, 3–10.
- [CNP06] Caubel, C., Némethi, A., Popescu-Pampu, P.: Milnor open books and Milnor fillable contact 3-manifolds, *Topology* **45**(3) (2006), 673–689.
- [E07] Eyral, Ch.: Zariski's multiplicity question—a survey, *New Zealand J. Math.* **36** (2007), 253–276.
- [FGS99] Fantechi, B., Göttsche, L., van Straten, D.: Euler number of the compactified Jacobian and multiplicity of rational curves. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **8** (1999), no. 1, 115–133.
- [Gro62] Grothendieck, A.: Fondements de la géométrie algébrique, [Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki 1957–1962], Secrétariat mathématique, Paris 1962.
- [Kl05] Kleiman, St. L.: The Picard scheme, in 'Fundamental Algebraic Geometry: Grothendieck's FGA Explained', Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Volume: 123; 2005, 248–333.
- [Kl13] Kleiman, St. L.: The Picard Scheme, In 'Alexandre Grothendieck: A Mathematical Portrait', International Press of Boston, Inc., 2014 (L. Schneps editor).
- [La71] Laufer, H.B.: Normal two-dimensional singularities. *Annals of Math. Studies*, **71**, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [La72] Laufer, H.B.: On rational singularities, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **94**, 597–608, 1972.
- [La73] Laufer, Henry B.: Deformations of Resolutions of Two-Dimensional Singularities, *Rice Institute Pamphlet - Rice University Studies* **59** no. 1 (1973), 53–96. Rice University: <http://hdl.handle.net/1911/63103>.
- [La77] Laufer, H.B.: On minimally elliptic singularities, *Amer. J. of Math.* **99** (1977), 1257–1295.
- [La83] Laufer, H.B.: Weak simultaneous resolution for deformations of Gorenstein surface singularities, *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math.*, **40**, Part 2 (1983), 1–29.
- [Li69] Lipman, J.: Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 195–279.
- [MN05] Mendris, R., Némethi, A.: The link of $\{f(x, y) + z^n = 0\}$ and Zariski's Conjecture, *Compositio Math.* **141**(2) (2005), 502–524.

- [Na19] Nagy, J.: Invariants of relatively generic structures on normal surface singularities, arXiv:1910.03275.
- [Na20] Nagy, J.: Cohomology of natural line bundles on generic normal surface singularities, arXiv:2003.13093.
- [NN18a] Nagy, J., Némethi, A.: The Abel map for surface singularities I. Generalities and examples, *Mathematische Annalen*, 375(3), 1427–1487.
- [NN18b] Nagy, J., Némethi, A.: The Abel map for surface singularities II. Generic analytic structure, *Adv. in Math.* **371** (2020).
- [N99] Némethi, A.: “Weakly” Elliptic Gorenstein singularities of surfaces, *Inventiones math.*, **137**, 145–167 (1999).
- [N99b] Némethi, A.: Five lectures on normal surface singularities, lectures at the Summer School in *Low dimensional topology* Budapest, Hungary, 1998; Bolyai Society Math. Studies **8** (1999), 269–351.
- [N05] Némethi, A.: On the Ozsváth–Szabó invariant of negative definite plumbed 3–manifolds, *Geometry and Topology* **9** (2005), 991–1042.
- [N07] Némethi, A.: Graded roots and singularities, *Singularities in geometry and topology*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2007), 394–463.
- [N08] Némethi, A.: Poincaré series associated with surface singularities, in Singularities I, 271–297, *Contemp. Math.* **474**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2008.
- [N12] Némethi, A.: The cohomology of line bundles of splice–quotient singularities, *Advances in Math.* **229** 4 (2012), 2503–2524.
- [N18] Némethi, A.: Pairs of invariants of surface singularities, *Proc. Int. Cong. of Math.* 2018 Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 1, 745–776.
- [NO17] Némethi, A. and Okuma, T.: Analytic singularities supported by a specific integral homology sphere link, *Methods and Applications of Analysis*, Vol. **24**, No. 2, pp. 303–320, June 2017. Special volume dedicated to Henry Laufer’s 70th birthday on February 15, 2017 (Conference at Sanya, China).
- [NW05] Neumann, W. and Wahl, J.: Complete intersection singularities of splice type as universal abelian covers, *Geom. Topol.* **9** (2005), 699–755.
- [O04] Okuma, T.: Universal abelian covers of rational surface singularities, *Journal of London Math. Soc.* **70**(2) (2004), 307–324.
- [O08] Okuma, T.: The geometric genus of splice–quotient singularities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** 12 (2008), 6643–6659.
- [O15] Okuma, T.: The multiplicity of abelian covers of splice quotient singularities, *Math. Nachr.* **288** (2015), no. 2–3, 343–352.
- [Re97] Reid, M.: Chapters on Algebraic Surfaces. In: Complex Algebraic Geometry, IAS/Park City Mathematical Series, Volume **3** (J. Kollár editor), 3–159, 1997.
- [S12] Shende, V.: Hilbert schemes of points on a locally planar curve and the Severi strata of its versal deformation, *Compos. Math.* **148** (2012), no. 2, 531–547.
- [S-B80] Shepherd-Barron, N. I.: Some questions on singularities in 2 and 3 dimensions, Thesis, Univ. of Warwick, November 1980.
- [T73] Teissier, B.: Cycles évanescent, section planes, et conditions de Whitney, Singularités à Cargèse (Rencontre Singularités Géom. Anal., Inst. Etudes Sci., Cargèse, 1972), *Astérisque* **7-8** (1973), 285–362.
- [T77] Teissier, B.: The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants, Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), 565–678; Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977.
- [Wa70] Wagreich, Ph.: Elliptic singularities of surfaces, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **92** (1970), 419–454.
- [Z71] Zariski, O.: Some open questions in the theory of singularities, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971), 481–491.
- [Y80] Yau, S. S.-T.: On maximally elliptic singularities, *Transactions of the AMS*, **257** Number 2 (1980), 269–329.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15, H-1053, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
 Email address: janomo@renyi.hu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15, H-1053, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
 ELTE - UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST, DEPT. OF GEOMETRY, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
 BCAM - BASQUE CENTER FOR APPLIED MATH., MAZARREDO, 14 E48009 BILBAO, BASQUE COUNTRY – SPAIN
 Email address: nemethi.andras@renyi.hu