

Regularity of minimizers of some variational integrals with discontinuity

Maria Alessandra Ragusa

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Viale Andrea Doria,
6-95125 Catania, Italy,
e-mail:maragusa@dmf.unict.it

Atsushi Tachikawa

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of
Science, Noda, Chiba, 278-8510, Japan
e-mail:tachikawa_atsushi@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp

Abstract

We prove regularity properties in the vector valued case for minimizers of variational integrals of the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du) dx$$

where the integrand $A(x, u, Du)$ is not necessarily continuous respect to the variable x , grows polinomially like $|\xi|^p$, $p \geq 2$.

Keywords: variational problem, minimizer, partial regularity MSC: 35J10, 35B65, 35N10, 46E30, 35R05

1 Introduction

In this note we consider the regularity problem of minimizers of the variational integral

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{A}(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du) dx$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^m$, $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a mapping in a suitable Sobolev space, $Du = (D_{\alpha} u^i)$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, n$). The nonnegative integrand function $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ is in the class VMO with respect to the variable x , continuous in u and of class C^2 with respect to Du . It is also assumed that for some $p \geq 2$ there exist two constants λ_1 and Λ_1 such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p) \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p), \quad \forall (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn},$$

A minimizer for the functional $\mathcal{A}$ is a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mathcal{A}(u; \text{supp}\varphi) \leq \mathcal{A}(u + \varphi; \text{supp}\varphi).$$

For the case that $A(x, u, \xi)$ is continuous in x , many sharp regularity results for minimizers of $\mathcal{A}$ have been already known. (eg. [7, 8, 10, 12].) On the other hand, when $A(\cdot, u, \xi)$ is assumed only to be L^∞ , we can not expect the regularity of minimizers in general, as a famous example due to De Giorgi contained in [5] asserts. So, it seems to be natural to consider the regularity problems for $A(x, u, \xi)$ with “mild” discontinuity with respect to x . In 1996 Huang in [13] investigates regularity results for the elliptic system

$$-D_\alpha(a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)D_\beta u^j) = g_i(x) - \operatorname{div} f^i(x), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m$$

assuming that $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ belong to the Sarason class VMO of vanishing mean oscillation functions, then he generalizes Acquistapace’s [1] and Campanato’s results [7, p.88, Theorem 3.2]. Campanato showed regularity properties under the assumption that the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are in $C^\alpha(\Omega)$. Acquistapace refined the results by Campanato, considering the coefficients in the class so-called “small multipliers of BMO ”.

In the recent study made by Daněček and Viszus [4] they consider the following functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j + g(x, u, Du) \right\} dx,$$

where $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are in general discontinuous, more precisely belong to the vanishing mean oscillation class (VMO class) and satisfy strong ellipticity condition while the lower order term g is a Charathéodory function satisfying the following growth condition

$$(1.3) \quad |g(x, u, z)| \leq f(x) + H|z|^\kappa$$

where $f \geq 0$, a.e. in Ω , $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $2 < p \leq \infty$, $H \geq 0$, $0 \leq \kappa < 2$.

We also recall the paper by Di Gironimo, Esposito and Sgambati [6] where is treated the Morrey regularity for minimizers of the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j dx,$$

where $(A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u))$ are elliptic and of the VMO class in the variable x .

In [17] the authors extend the results of [4] and [6] to the case that the functional is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j + g(x, u, Du) \right\} dx.$$

In the note [18], is studied the Morrey regularity for minimizer of the more general functionals

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{A}(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du) dx,$$

where $A(x, u, \xi)$ is a nonnegative function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ which is of class VMO as a function of x , continuous in u and of class C^2 with respect to ξ . We point out that is assumed that for some positive constants $\mu_0 \leq \mu_1$,

$$(1.5) \quad \mu_0 |\xi|^2 \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \mu_1 |\xi|^2 \quad \forall (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}.$$

We point out that in the above mentioned papers concerning functionals given by integrals with *VMO* class integrands, we have considered quadratic growth functionals.

The super quadratic cases with continuous coefficients are treated in [2] and [11].

In the present note we investigate the partial regularity of the minima of $\mathcal{A}$, defined by (1.1) under p -growth hypothesis of the integrand function A , $p \geq 2$. This study can be considered as an improving of [17] and [18] because of the growth condition is more general.

2 Definitions and Preliminary Tools

In the sequel we set

$$Q(x, R) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y^\alpha - x^\alpha| < R, \alpha = 1, \dots, m\}$$

a generic cube in $\mathbb{R}^m$ having center x and side $2R$.

Let us now give some useful definitions, starting to the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. (see [16]). Let $1 \leq p < \infty, 0 \leq \lambda < m$. A measurable function $G \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the Morrey class $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|G\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{\substack{0 < \rho < \text{diam } \Omega \\ x \in \Omega}} \frac{1}{\rho^\lambda} \int_{\Omega \cap Q(x, \rho)} |G(y)|^p dy < +\infty$$

where $Q(x, \rho)$ ranges in the class of the cubes of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $H \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we set the integral average $H_{x,R}$ by

$$H_{x,R} = \frac{1}{|\Omega \cap Q(x, R)|} \int_{\Omega \cap Q(x, R)} H(y) dy$$

where $|\Omega \cap Q(x, R)|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega \cap Q(x, R)$.

In the case that we are not interested in specifying which the center is, we simply write H_R .

Let us introduce the Bounded Mean Oscillation class.

DEFINITION 2.3. (see [15]). Let $H \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We say that H belongs to $BMO(\mathbb{R}^m)$ if

$$\|H\|_* \equiv \sup_{Q(x, R)} \frac{1}{|Q(x, R)|} \int_{Q(x, R)} |H(y) - H_{x,R}| dy < \infty.$$

Let us now introduce the space of vanishing mean oscillation functions ([19]).

DEFINITION 2.4. If $H \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and

$$\eta(H; R) = \sup_{\rho \leq R} \frac{1}{|Q(x, \rho)|} \int_{Q(x, \rho)} |H(y) - H_\rho| dy$$

We have that $H \in VMO(\Omega)$ if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \eta(H; R) = 0.$$

Throughout the present paper we consider $p \geq 2$ and $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a minimizer of the functional

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du) dx$$

where the hypothesis on the integrand function $A(x, u, \xi)$ are the following.

- (A-1) For every $(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$, $A(\cdot, u, \xi) \in VMO(\Omega)$ and the mean oscillation of $A(\cdot, u, \xi)/|\xi|^p$ vanishes uniformly with respect to u, ξ in the following sense: there exist a positive number ρ_0 and a function $\sigma(z, \rho) : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \rho_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\rho < R} \int_{Q(0, \rho) \cap \Omega} \sigma(z, \rho) dz = 0,$$

such that $A(\cdot, u, \xi)$ satisfies for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $y \in Q(x, \rho_0) \cap \Omega$

$$(2.2) \quad |A(y, u, \xi) - A_{x, \rho}(u, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x - y, \rho)(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn},$$

where

$$A_{x, \rho}(u, \xi) = \int_{Q(x, \rho) \cap \Omega} A(y, u, \xi) dy.$$

- (A-2) For every $x \in \Omega$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|A(x, u, \xi) - A(x, v, \xi)| \leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \omega(|u - v|^2)$$

where ω is some monotone increasing concave function with $\omega(0) = 0$,

- (A-3) For almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A(x, u, \cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$,

- (A-4) There exist positive constants λ_1, Λ_1 such that

$$\lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p) \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p)$$

$$\lambda_1(1 + |\eta|^p) \leq \frac{\partial^2 A(x, u, \xi)}{\partial \xi_\alpha^i \partial \xi_\beta^j} \eta_\alpha^i \eta_\beta^j \leq \Lambda_1(1 + |\eta|^p)$$

for all $(x, u, \xi, \eta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$.

Let us state the main theorem of the paper concerning the partial regularity of the minimizers of the functionals $\mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 2.5. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and that $p \geq 2$. Let $u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ a minimizer of the functional

$$\mathcal{A}(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du) dx$$

in the class

$$X_g(\Omega) = \{u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega) ; u - g \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$$

for a given boundary data $g \in H^{1,s}(\Omega)$ with $s > p$. Suppose that assumptions (A-1), (A-2), (A-3) and (A-4) are satisfied. Then, for some positive ε , for every $0 < \tau < \min\{2 + \varepsilon, m(1 - \frac{p}{s})\}$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad Du \in L^{p,\tau}(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^{mn})$$

where Ω_0 is a relatively open subset of $\overline{\Omega}$ which satisfies

$$\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_0 = \{x \in \Omega : \liminf_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{m-p}} \int_{\Omega(x,R)} |Du(y)|^p dy > 0\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{m-p-\delta}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $\mathcal{H}^r$ denotes the r -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

As a corollary of the above theorem we have the following partial Hölder regularity result.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let g , u and Ω_0 be as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that $p+2 \geq m$ and that $s > \max\{m, p\}$. Then, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, as a corollary of the proof of Theorem 2.5, we have the following full-regularity result for the case that A does not depend on u .

COROLLARY 2.7. Assume that A and g satisfy all assumptions of Theorem 2.5 and that A does not depend on u . Let u be a minimizer of $\mathcal{A}$ in the class X_g then

$$(2.5) \quad Du \in L^{p,\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{mn}).$$

Moreover, if $p+2 \geq m$ and $s > \max\{m, p\}$, we have full-Hölder regularity of u . Namely we have

$$u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

3 Preliminary Lemmas and Proof of the main results

Throughout the paper we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^+(x, R) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m ; |y^\alpha - x^\alpha| < R, \alpha = 1, \dots, m, y^m > 0\} \\ &\quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^m \cap \{x ; x^m = 0\}, \quad R > 0, \\ \Omega(x, R) &= Q(x, R) \cap \Omega \\ \Gamma(x, R) &= Q(x, R) \cap \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

When the center x is understood, we sometimes omit the center and write simply $Q(R)$, $Q^+(R)$ etc. For the sake of simplicity, we always assume that $0 < R < 1$ in the following.

We can always reduce locally to the case of flat boundary, by means of a diffeomorphism which does not change properties of the functional assumed in the conditions (A-1)–(A-4). More precisely, we can choose a positive constant R_1 depending only on $\partial\Omega$ which has the following properties:

1. A finite number of cubes $\{Q(x, R_1)\}$ centered at $x \in \partial\Omega$ cover the boundary. Namely,

$$\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N Q(x_k, R_1) \quad x_k \in \partial\Omega \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$

2. For every $Q(x_k, 2R_1)$, by means of a suitable diffeomorphism, we can assume that $x_k = 0$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x_k, 2R_1) &= Q(0, 2R_1) \cap \partial\Omega \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; x^m = 0\}, \\ Q(x_k, 2R_1) \cap \Omega &= Q^+(0, 2R_1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; |x| < 2R_1, x^m > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define so-called *frozen functional*. For some fixed point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $R > 0$ let us define $A^0(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{A}^0(u)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad A^0(\xi) = A_R(u_R, \xi) := \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} A(y, u_R, \xi) dy,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{A}^0(u, \Omega(x_0, R)) := \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} A^0(Du) dx,$$

where

$$u_R = u_{x_0, R} = \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} u(y) dy.$$

For weak solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation of $\mathcal{A}^0$, we have the following regularity results.

For interior points, we have the following . (See [2, Theorem 3.1].)

LEMMA 3.1. Let $u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ $p \geq 2$, a solution of the system

$$D_\alpha a_i^\alpha(Du) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

in the sense that

$$\int_{\Omega} a_i^\alpha(Du) D_\alpha \varphi^i dx = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

under the conditions

$$(1) \quad a_i^\alpha(0) = 0$$

(2) there exist two constants $\nu > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that, $\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$

$$\|A(\xi)\| \leq M \cdot (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$$

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(\xi) \zeta_\alpha^i \zeta_\beta^j \geq \nu \cdot (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|\zeta\|^2$$

where $A = (A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta})$ and $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(\xi) = \partial a_i^\alpha(\xi) / \partial \xi_\beta^j$.

Then, $\forall Q(\sigma) = Q(x_0, \sigma) \subset \subset \Omega$ and $\forall t \in (0, 1)$

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{Q(t\sigma)} |Du|^p dx \leq c \cdot t^{\lambda_0} \cdot \int_{Q(\sigma)} |Du|^p dx, \quad \lambda_0 = \min\{2 + \varepsilon_0, m\}$$

for some positive constants ε_0 and c which do not depend on t , σ and x^0 .

In the neighborhood of the boundary, by the proof of [2, Theorem 7.1], we have the following.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $a_i^\alpha(\xi)$ and λ_0 be as in Lemma 3.1 and $v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(0, R))$ a solution of the problem

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{Q^+(0, R)} a_i^\alpha(Dv + Dg) D_\alpha \varphi^i dx = 0 & \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q^+(0, R)), \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma(0, R), \end{cases}$$

where g is a given function with

$$Dg \in L^s(Q^+(0, R))$$

for some $s > p$. Then, for every $x_0 \in \Gamma(0, R)$ and τ_0 with $0 < \tau_0 < \min\{\lambda_0, m(1 - p/s)\}$, there exist a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(x_0, t\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\ & \leq ct^{\tau_0} \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + c\sigma^{\tau_0} \left(\int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^{\frac{2s}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma \in (0, R - |x_0|]$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, where

$$W(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \xi.$$

Outline of the proof. Since (3.4) is exactly (7.6) of [2], we can proceed as in p.148–150 of [2] and get the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q^+(x_0, t\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
& \leq c_1 t^\lambda \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + c_1 \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} (1 + |Dv| + |Dg|)^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx, \\
& \leq c_2 \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx + c_2 \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |Dv|^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx. \\
& \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \delta \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + \frac{2}{p} \delta^{1-p/2} \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. These estimates are nothing else than (17)–(19) of [2]. Combining them, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q^+(x_0, t\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
(3.6) \quad & \leq c_1 \{t^\lambda + c_2(1 - \frac{2}{p})\delta\} \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + c_1 c_2 (1 + \frac{2}{p} \delta^{1-p/2}) \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx. \\
& \leq c_1 \{t^\lambda + c_1 c_2 (1 - \frac{2}{p})\delta\} \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + c_3(p, \delta) \sigma^{m(1-p/s)} \left(\int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^{2s/p} dx \right)^{p/s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using "A useful lemma" of [8, p.44], we get (3.5). $\square$

Moreover, we have the following L^q -estimate for u .

LEMMA 3.3. Assume that $u \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(0, R))$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}(u, Q^+(0, R)) \leq \mathcal{A}(u + \varphi, Q^+(0, R)) \quad \varphi \in H_0^{1,p}(Q^+(0, R)),$$

and that $u = g$ on $\Gamma(0, R)$ for some $g \in H^{1,q_1}(Q^+(0, R))$ with $q_1 > p$. Then there exists an exponent $q \in (p, q_1]$ such that $u \in H^{1,q}(Q^+(0, r))$ for any $r < R$. Moreover, if $x_0 \in Q^+(0, r) \cup \Gamma(0, r)$ and $\rho < R - r$, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \rho/2) \cap Q^+(0, R)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q} \\
(3.7) \quad & \leq c \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \rho) \cap Q^+(0, R)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p} + c \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \rho) \cap Q^+(0, R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $Q(x_0, \rho) \subset\subset Q^+(0, R)$, then we have

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \rho/2)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \rho)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Outline of the Proof. For the case that $Q(x_0, \rho) \subset\subset Q^+(0, R)$, we can proceed as in the proof of [9, Theorem 4.1] to get (3.8). For general case, mentioning the difference on the growth conditions, we can proceed as in the proof of [14, Lemma 1]. $\square$

Mention that the above lemma is valid for minimizers of $\mathcal{A}^0$ also.

For bounded domain D with smooth boundary, covering ∂D with a finite number of cubes and using the above local estimates we get the following global L^q -estimates for a minimizer.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an open set with smooth boundary ∂D , and let $v \in H^{1,p}(D)$ be a minimizer for the functional $\mathcal{A}$ (or $\mathcal{A}^0$) in the class*

$$X_g := \{w \in H^{1,p}(D); w - g \in H_0^{1,p}(D)\}$$

for a given map $g \in H^{1,q_1}(D)$, $q_1 > p$. Then $Dv \in L^q(D)$ for some $q \in (p, q_1)$ and

$$(3.9) \quad \int_D (1 + |Dv|^2)^{q/2} dx \leq c \int_D (1 + |Dg|^2)^{q/2} dx.$$

We show the partial regularity of u by comparing u with v . For this purpose, we need the following lemma which can be shown as [11, Theorem 4.2, (4.8)].

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $v \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r))$ is a minimizer for $\mathcal{A}^0(w, \Omega(x_0, r))$ in the class*

$$\{w \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r)) ; w - u \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r))\}$$

for a given function $u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r))$. Then we have

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |Du - Dv|^p dx \leq c \{ \mathcal{A}^0(u; \Omega(x_0, r)) - \mathcal{A}^0(v; \Omega(x_0, r)) \}.$$

Now, we can prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Assume that $Q(R) = Q(x_0, R) \subset\subset \Omega$. Let $v \in H^{1,p}(Q(R))$ be a minimizer of $\mathcal{A}^0(\tilde{v}, Q(R))$ in the class

$$\{\tilde{v} \in H^{1,p}(Q(R)) ; u - \tilde{v} \in H_0^{1,p}(Q(R))\},$$

and let $w = u - v$. First we will estimate $\int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx$. By Lemma 3.5 we can see

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx = c\{\mathcal{A}^0(u) - \mathcal{A}^0(v)\} \\
& \leq c \int_{Q(R)} |A_R(u_R, Du) - A(x, u_R, Du)| dx \\
(3.11) \quad & + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, u_R, Du) - A(x, u, Du)| dx \\
& + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, v, Dv) - A(x, u_R, Dv)| dx \\
& + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, u_R, Dv) - A_R(u_R, Dv)| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the minimality of u . So, using the assumptions on A , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx \\
(3.12) \quad & \leq \int_{Q(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
& + \int_{Q(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Lemma 3.3, (3.8) and the boundedness of ω and σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
(3.13) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \left(\int_{Q(R)} \omega(|u - u_R|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\
& \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 3.4, and (3.8) we get similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
(3.14) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \left(\int_{Q(R)} \omega(|v - u_R|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of concavity of ω , using Jensen's inequality and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} \omega(|u - u_R|^2) dx, \quad \int_{Q(R)} \omega(|v - u_R|^2) dx \\
(3.15) \quad & \leq C \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^p dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12) – (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx \\
(3.16) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, from Lemma 3.1 and the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(r)} |Du|^p dx \leq \int_{Q(r)} (|Dv|^p + |Dw|^p) dx \\
(3.17) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\lambda + \left(\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^p)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the behavior of u near the boundary. Let $Q(x_l, 2R_1)$ be a member of the covering $\{Q(x_k, 2R_1)\}$ which is introduced at the beginning of this section. Then, u satisfies

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(u, Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)) \leq \mathcal{A}(u + \varphi, Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^{1,p}(Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)), \\ u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma(x_l, 2R_1). \end{cases}$$

Fix a point $x_0 \in \Gamma(x_l, R_1)$ and a positive number $R < R_1$ arbitrarily (here, mention that $Q^+(x_0, R) \subset Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)$). Let $v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(x_0, R))$ be a minimizer of $\mathcal{A}^0(v, Q^+(x_0, R))$ in the class

$$\{v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(x_0, R)) ; u - v \in H_0^{1,p}(Q^+(x_0, R))\},$$

and put $w = u - v$. Then, using Lemma 3.5, we can proceed as in the interior case and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(R)} |Dw|^p dx \\ (3.19) \quad & \leq \int_{Q^+(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ & \quad + \int_{Q^+(R)} \{\sigma(x, R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2)\} (1 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (3.7) instead of (3.8) and proceeding as in the interior case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(R)} |Dw|^p dx \\ (3.20) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q^+(R)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ & \quad + CR^{m\frac{q-p}{q}} \left(\int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, combining (3.5) and (3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(r)} |Du|^p dx \\ (3.21) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\tau_0} + \left(\int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q^+(R)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ & \quad + cR^{\tau_0} \left(\int_{Q^+(R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} + CR^{m\frac{q-p}{q}} \left(\int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are assuming that $Dg \in L^s$ for some $s > p$, and we can choose $q > p$ sufficiently near to p , without loss of generality we can assume that $s > q > p$. So, we can estimate the last term of (3.21) as follows:

$$R^{m\frac{q-p}{q}} \left(\int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C R^{m(1-p/s)} \left(\int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{s}}.$$

Here, we can assume that $R < 1$, so the above estimates hold even if $m(1-p/s)$ can be replaced by the smaller constant τ_0 . Mentioning the above fact and combining the above estimate with (3.21), we get the following estimate.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(r)} |Du|^p dx \\ (3.22) \quad & \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\tau_0} + \left(\int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left(R^{p-m} \int_{Q^+(R)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + C(g) R^{\tau_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (A-1), we have

$$\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0.$$

So, using "A useful Lemma" on p.44 of [8] for (3.17) and (3.22), and putting

$$(3.23) \quad \Phi(x, r) = \int_{\Omega(x, r)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx,$$

we can see that for any τ with $0 < \tau < \tau_0 (< \lambda_0)$ there exist positive constants δ , M and R_0 ($R_0 < R_1/2$) with the following properties.

[Interior Case] If

$$r_1, \quad r_1^{p-m} \Phi(x, r_1) < \delta$$

for some $r_1 \in (0, R_0)$ with $Q(x, r_1) \subset\subset \Omega$, then for $0 < \rho < r < r_1$ we have

$$(3.24) \quad \Phi(x, \rho) \leq M \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{\tau} \Phi(x, r).$$

[Boundary Case] For $x \in \partial\Omega$, if

$$r_1, \quad r_1^{p-m} \Phi(x, r_1) < \delta$$

for some $r_1 \in (0, R_0)$, then we have

$$(3.25) \quad \Phi(x, \rho) \leq M \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{\tau} \Phi(x, r) + M \rho^{\tau}.$$

Now, we can proceed as in pp.318–319 of Giusti's book [12] to show partial Morrey-type regularity of u . Namely, there exist positive constants δ and M with the following properties. For any $x \in \Omega$, if

$$(3.26) \quad r_0, \quad r_0^{p-m} \Phi(x, r_0) \leq \delta$$

for some $r_0 > 0$, then

$$(3.27) \quad \rho^{-\tau} \Phi(x, \rho) \leq \tilde{M}.$$

So, we get the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.6. When $p + 2 \geq m$ and $s > \max\{m, p\}$, we can take τ sufficiently near to $\min\{2 + \varepsilon, m(1 - \frac{p}{s})\}$ so that $\tau > m - p$. So, Corollary 2.6 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5 and Morrey's theorem on the growth of the Dirichlet integral (see, for example, p.43 of [8]). $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.7. When $A(x, u, \xi)$ does not depend on u , we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 without the term with ω and get, instead of (3.17) and (3.21),

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{Q(x_0, r)} |Du|^p dx \\ & \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\lambda + \left(\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

for $Q(2R) = Q(x_0, 2R) \subset \subset \Omega$ and

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^+(x_0, r)} |Du|^p dx \\ & \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\lambda + \left(\int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + C(g)R^\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. So, we can proceed as in the last part of Theorem 2.5 without assuming that

$$r_1^{p-m} \Phi(x, r_1) = r_1^{p-m} \int_{\Omega(x, r_1)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx < \delta.$$

and see that

$$\rho^{-\tau} \Phi(x, \rho) \leq \tilde{M}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Thus we get the assertions $\square$

REMARK 3.6. Without any restriction on the dimension of the domain, it is not possible to obtain Hölder regularity result in all the domain Ω as showed by V. Šverák and X. Yan in a counterexample contained in [20].

References

- [1] Acquistapace, P., On BMO –regularity for linear elliptic systems. *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.* 161(4) (1992), 231–269.
- [2] Campanato, S., Elliptic Systems with Non-Linearity q Greater or Equal to Two. Regularity of the Solution of the Dirichlet Problem. *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.* 147(4) (1987), 117–150.
- [3] Campanato, S., A maximum principle for non-linear elliptic systems: Boundary fundamental estimates. *Adv. Math.* 66 (1987), 291–317.
- [4] Daněček, J. and Viszus, E., $L^{2,\lambda}$ –Regularity for minima of variational integrals. *Boll. U.M.I.* 8, 6-B (2003), 39–48.
- [5] E. De Giorgi, E., Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico. *Boll. U.M.I.* 4 (1968), 135–137.
- [6] Di Gironimo, P., L. Esposito, L. and L. Sgambati, L., A remark on $L^{2,\lambda}$ –regularity for minimizers of quasilinear functionals. *Manuscripta Math.* 113 (2004), 143–151.
- [7] Giaquinta, M., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*. Ann. of Math. Studies 105 (Princeton University Press, 1983).
- [8] Giaquinta, M., *Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems*. Lectures in Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1993.
- [9] Giaquinta, M. and Giusti, E., On the regularity of the minima of variational integrals, *Acta Math.* 148 (1982), 31–46.
- [10] Giaquinta, M. and Martinazzi, L., An introduction to the regularity theory for elliptic systems, harmonic maps and minimal graphs, *Edizioni della Normale*, Pisa, 2005.
- [11] Giaquinta, M. and Modica, G., Remarks on the regularity minimizers for certain degenerate functionals. *Manusc. Math.* 57 (1) (1986), 55–99.
- [12] Giusti, E., *Direct method in the calculus of variations*. (World Scientific, New Jersey-London-Singapore-Hong Kong, 2003.)
- [13] Huang, Q., Estimates on the generalized Morrey Spaces $L_\phi^{p,\lambda}$ and BMO for linear elliptic systems. *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal* 45 (2) (1996), 397–439.
- [14] Jost, J. and Meier, M. Boundary regularity for minima of certain quadratic functionals. *Math. Ann.* 262 (1983), 549–561.
- [15] F. John, F. and Nirenberg, L., On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 14 (1961), 415–476.

- [16] Kufner, A., John, O. and Fučík, S., *Functions spaces*, Academia, Prague, (1977).
- [17] Ragusa, M. A. and Tachikawa, A., Partial regularity of the minimizers of quadratic functionals with VMO coefficients. *J. London Math. Soc.* 72 (2005), 609–620.
- [18] Ragusa, M. A. and Tachikawa, A., On continuity of minimizers for certain quadratic functionals. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 57 (3) (2005), 691–700.
- [19] D. Sarason, D., On functions of vanishing mean oscillation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 207 (1975), 391–405.
- [20] Šverák, V. and X. Yan, X., A singular minimizer of a smooth strongly convex functional in the three dimension, *Calc. Var. P.D.E.* 10 (2000), 213–221.