

CONSTRUCTION OF EXCITED MULTI-SOLITONS FOR THE 5D ENERGY-CRITICAL WAVE EQUATION

XU YUAN

ABSTRACT. For the 5D energy-critical wave equation, we construct excited N -solitons with collinear speeds, *i.e.* solutions u of the equation such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \nabla_{t,x} u(t) - \nabla_{t,x} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N Q_n(t) \right) \right\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

where for $n = 1, \dots, N$, $Q_n(t, x)$ is the Lorentz transform of a non-degenerate and sufficiently decaying excited state, each with different but collinear speeds. The existence proof follows the ideas of Martel-Merle [18] and Côte-Martel [3] developed for the energy-critical wave and nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. In particular, we rely on an energy method and on a general coercivity property for the linearized operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. We consider the energy-critical focusing wave equation in dimension 5,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - |u|^{\frac{4}{3}} u = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^5, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in \dot{H}^1, & \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1 \in L^2. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Recall that the Cauchy problem for equation (1.1) is locally well-posed in the energy space $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. See *e.g.* [15] and references therein. Let $f(u) = |u|^{\frac{4}{3}} u$ and $F(u) = \frac{3}{10} |u|^{\frac{10}{3}}$. For any $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ solution $\vec{u} = (u, \partial_t u)$, the energy E and the momentum P are conserved along the flow, where

$$E(u, \partial_t u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 - 2F(u) \right) dx, \quad P(u, \partial_t u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\partial_t u \nabla u) dx.$$

Looking for stationary solutions $u(t, x) = q(x)$ of (1.1) in \dot{H}^1 , we reduce to the Yamabe equation

$$\Delta q + f(q) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^5. \quad (1.2)$$

Denote

$$\Sigma = \left\{ q \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^5)/0 : q \text{ satisfies (1.2)} \right\}.$$

For $q \in \Sigma$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $|\ell| < 1$, let

$$q_\ell(x) = q \left(\frac{1}{|\ell|^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|\ell|^2}} \ell \cdot x \right) \ell + x \right), \quad (1.3)$$

then $u(t, x) = q_\ell(x - \ell t)$ is a global, bounded solution of (1.1).

It is known (see *e.g.* [1, 24]) that the unique (up to scaling invariance and sign change) *radially symmetric* element of Σ is the *ground state* W given explicitly by

$$W(x) = \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{15} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

The existence of non-radially symmetric, sign changing elements of Σ with arbitrary large energy was first proved by Ding [9], using variational arguments. Functions $q \in \Sigma$ with $q \neq W$ (up to invariances) are usually called *excited states*.

For any $q \in \Sigma$, we denote the linearized operator around q by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta - f'(q).$$

Set

$$\mathcal{Z}_q = \left\{ f \in \dot{H}^1 \text{ such that } \mathcal{L}f = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q = \text{Span} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}q + x \cdot \nabla q; (x_i \partial_{x_j} q - x_j \partial_{x_i} q), 1 \leq i < j \leq 5; \right. \\ \left. \partial_{x_i} q; -3x_i q + |x|^2 \partial_{x_i} q - 2x_i (x \cdot \nabla q), 1 \leq i \leq 5 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The function space $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q \subset \mathcal{Z}_q$ is the null space of \mathcal{L} that is generated by a family of explicit transformations (see [10, Lemma 3.8] and §2.1).

We will need the following definitions.

Definition 1.1. Let $q \in \Sigma$.

- (i) q is called a *non-degenerate state* if $\mathcal{Z}_q = \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q$.
- (ii) q is called a *decaying state* if $|q(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-4}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^5$.

Remark 1.2. The existence of non-degenerate sign-changing states was proved in [7, 8, 23]. As noticed in [10, Remark 3.2], the existence of *non-degenerate, decaying states* then follows by translation and the Kelvin transformation.

The main goal of this article is to construct N -excited states.

Theorem 1.3 (Existence of multi-excited states). *Let $N \geq 2$ and let \mathbf{e} be a unit vector of \mathbb{R}^5 . For $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $\ell_n \in \mathbb{R}^5$ be such that*

$$\ell_n = \ell_n \mathbf{e} \text{ where } -1 < \ell_n < 1 \text{ and for all } n \neq n', \ell_n \neq \ell_{n'}.$$

Let q_1, \dots, q_N be non-degenerate decaying states of (1.2). Then there exist $T_0 > 0$ and a solution $\vec{u} = (u, \partial_t u)$ of (1.1) in the energy space $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$, defined on $[T_0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\vec{Q}_n(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{n, \ell_n}(x - \ell_n t) \\ -\ell_n \partial_{x_1} q_{n, \ell_n}(x - \ell_n t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 1.4. By invariance by rotation in \mathbb{R}^5 , we assume without loss of generality that \mathbf{e} is \mathbf{e}_1 , the first vector of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^5 .

Remark 1.5. The *non-degeneracy* condition ensures that the null space of \mathcal{L} is generated by 21-parameter transformations, which is needed in our proof to obtain cancellation properties for the linearized operator around the excited states. See more details in §2.1 and §2.2.

Remark 1.6. The *decay* condition ensures that the nonlinear interactions between two excited states of different speeds is of order at most t^{-4} . This rate allows us to close the energy estimates (see more details in §4.2 and §4.3). At this point, we do not know how to prove Theorem 1.3 without this condition. In particular, we do not construct multi-solitons partly based on *non-degenerate decaying state* and ground state, since the interaction caused by the ground state would be t^{-3} .

Remark 1.7. Using the Lorentz transformation, the existence result extends to the case of 2-solitons for any different, possibly noncollinear speeds ℓ_1, ℓ_2 . See [18, Section 5].

The constructions of *asymptotic multi-solitons* for dispersive and wave equations have been the subject of several previous works, for both stable and unstable solitons. First results in non-integrable contexts were given by Merle [20] for the L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) and Martel [16] for the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations (gKdV). Next, the strategy of these works was extended to the case of exponentially unstable solitons: see Côte, Martel and Merle [5] for the construction of multi-solitons for supercritical (gKdV) and (NLS), and Combet [2] for a classification result for supercritical (gKdV). We refer to [3, 18] for results on the existence of multi-solitons for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon (NLKG) and 5D energy critical wave equation that inspired the present work. See also [6, 17, 22, 25] for other existence results.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the spectral theory for any *non-degenerate decaying state* q . Section 3 introduces technical tools involved in a dynamical approach to the N -soliton problem for (1.1): estimates of the nonlinear interactions between solitons, decomposition by modulation and parameter estimates. Finally, Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 4 by energy estimates and a suitable compactness argument.

1.2. Notation. We denote

$$(u, v)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} uv dx, \quad (u, v)_{\dot{H}^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dx.$$

For

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

denote

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v})_{L^2} = \sum_{k=1,2} (u_k, v_k)_{L^2}, \quad \|\vec{u}\|_{L^2} = (\vec{u}, \vec{u})_{L^2},$$

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v})_{\mathcal{H}} = (u_1, v_1)_{\dot{H}^1} + (u_2, v_2)_{L^2}, \quad \|\vec{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = (\vec{u}, \vec{u})_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

When x_1 is seen as a specific coordinate, denote

$$x' = (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), \quad \bar{\nabla} = (\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_4}, \partial_{x_5}), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} = \sum_{i=2}^5 \partial_{x_i}^2.$$

For $-1 < \ell < 1$, set

$$x_\ell = \left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}}, x' \right) \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^5.$$

Let $X_1(\mathbb{R}^5) = \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^5) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^5)$ and $X = X_1(\mathbb{R}^5) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^5)$.

Denote by \mathcal{O}_5 be the orthogonal group in dimension 5. Let \mathcal{SO}_5 be the special orthogonal group, *i.e.* the subgroup of the elements of \mathcal{O}_5 with determinant 1.

Acknowledgements. The author wants to thank his advisor Yvan Martel for his constant help and unfailing encouragement throughout the completion of this work.

2. SPECTRAL THEORY FOR NON-DEGENERATE STATE

2.1. Transforms of stationary solutions. Following [10], we recall that (1.2) is invariant under the following four transformations:

- (1) translations: If $q \in \Sigma$ then $q(x + a) \in \Sigma$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^5$;
- (2) dilation: If $q \in \Sigma$ then $\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}q(\lambda x) \in \Sigma$ for all $\lambda > 0$;
- (3) orthogonal transformation: If $q \in \Sigma$ then $q(Px) \in \Sigma$ where $P \in \mathcal{O}_5$;
- (4) Kelvin transformation: If $q \in \Sigma$ then $|x|^{-3}q(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) \in \Sigma$.

Let M be the group of one-to-one maps of \mathbb{R}^5 generated by the above four transformations. Then, from [10, Section 3], M generates a 21-parameter family of transformations in a neighborhood of the identity. More precisely, we give explicitly the formula for this 21-parameter family of transformations. Set the one-to-one map

$$\tau : \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : 1 \leq i < j \leq 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 10\},$$

with

$$\tau(i, j) = 3i + j - \frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2} - 4.$$

For $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{10})$, set

$$A_c = [a_{i,j}^c]_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 5}, \quad e^{A_c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_c^n}{n!} \in \mathcal{SO}_5,$$

where $a_{i,i}^c = 0$, $a_{i,j} = c_{\tau(i,j)}$ if $i < j$ and $a_{i,j} = -c_{\tau(j,i)}$ if $j < i$. Note that this definition provides a parametrization of \mathcal{SO}_5 by \mathbb{R}^{10} in a neighborhood of the identity matrix.

For $\mathcal{A} = (\lambda, \xi, a, c) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^{10}$, we introduce the following transform $\theta_{\mathcal{A}} \in M$,

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \frac{x}{|x|} - a|x| \right|^{-3} f \left(\xi + \frac{\lambda e^{A_c} (x - a|x|^2)}{1 - 2\langle a, x \rangle + |a|^2|x|^2} \right) \quad \text{for all } f \in \dot{H}^1.$$

Observe that for all $q \in \Sigma$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q$ is generated by taking partial derivatives of $\theta_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ with respect to $\mathcal{A} = (\lambda, \xi, a, c)$ at $\mathcal{A} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ (see details in [10, Lemma 3.8]).

2.2. Spectral analysis of \mathcal{L} . Following [10], we gather some spectral properties of the linearized operator. For q be a non-degenerate decaying state and collinear speeds $\ell = \ell e_1$, let

$$q\ell = q(x\ell) = q\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}}, x'\right), \quad -(1-\ell^2)\partial_{x_1}^2 q\ell - \bar{\Delta} q\ell + |q\ell|^{\frac{4}{3}} q\ell = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Define the following operator,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta - f'(q), \quad \mathcal{L}_\ell = -(1-\ell^2)\partial_{x_1}^2 - \bar{\Delta} - f'(q\ell), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta - f'(q\ell) & -\ell\partial_{x_1} \\ \ell\partial_{x_1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.1 (Spectral properties of \mathcal{L}). (i) Spectrum. *The self-adjoint operator \mathcal{L} has essential spectrum $[0, +\infty)$, a finite number $J \geq 1$ of negative eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity), and its kernel is $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q$. Let $(Y_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, J\}}$ be an L^2 orthogonal family of eigenvectors of \mathcal{L} corresponding to the eigenvalues $(-\lambda_j^2)_{j \in \{1, \dots, J\}}$, i.e. for $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$*

$$\mathcal{L}Y_j = -\lambda_j^2 Y_j, \quad \lambda_j > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_j, Y_{j'})_{L^2} = \delta_{jj'}.$$

Let Ψ_k be an \dot{H}^1 -orthogonal basis of $\text{Ker } \mathcal{L}$, i.e. for any $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, K\}$

$$(\Psi_k, \Psi_{k'})_{\dot{H}^1} = \delta_{kk'} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Span}(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_K) = \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_q.$$

It holds, for all $k = 1, \dots, K$, $j = 1, \dots, J$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^5$ with $|\alpha| \leq 2$, on \mathbb{R}^5 ,

$$|\partial_x^\alpha Y_j(x)| \lesssim e^{-\lambda_j|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \Psi_k(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-(3+\alpha)}.$$

(ii) Nonnegativity under $(Y_j)_{j=1, \dots, J}$ orthogonality. It holds

$$(\mathcal{L}v, v)_{L^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in N^\perp,$$

where

$$N^\perp = \left\{ v \in \dot{H}^1 : (v, Y_j)_{L^2} = 0, \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, J \right\}.$$

(iii) Cancellation. It holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} f''(q) \Psi_k \Psi_{k'} \Psi_{k''} dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } k, k', k'' = 1, \dots, K.$$

Proof. Proof of (i). For the spectral properties of \mathcal{L} , see the proof of [10, Claim 3.5]. The algebraic decay of kernel functions Ψ_k directly follows from the non-degenerate decaying condition. The exponential decay of negative functions Y_j follows from standard elliptic arguments. See e.g. [21] and [10, Proposition 3.9].

Proof of (ii). The proof relies on a standard argument based on the min-max principle (see e.g. [10, Proposition 3.6]) and we omit it.

Proof of (iii). Without loss of generality, we consider

$$\Psi_{k''} = -3x_i q + |x|^2 \partial_{x_i} q - 2x_i x \cdot \nabla q.$$

For all $k' = 1, \dots, K$, we have

$$-\Delta \Psi_{k'} - f'(q) \Psi_{k'} = 0.$$

We consider the transformation $T_a = \theta_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\theta_{\mathcal{A}} = (1, 0, a, 0)$ for the above identity,

$$-\Delta T_a \Psi_{k'} - f'(T_a q) T_a \Psi_{k'} = 0.$$

Taking the derivative of above identity with respect to a_i , and then letting $a = 0$, we obtain

$$f''(q) \Psi_{k'} \Psi_{k''} = -\Delta \tilde{\Psi}_{k'} - f'(q) \tilde{\Psi}_{k'} = \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Psi}_{k'},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{k'} = -3x_i \Psi_{k'} + |x|^2 \partial_{x_i} \Psi_{k'} - 2x_i (x \cdot \nabla \Psi_{k'}).$$

Therefore, by integration by parts, for any $k = 1, \dots, K$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_k (f''(q) \Psi_{k'} \Psi_{k''}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_k (\mathcal{L} \tilde{\Psi}_{k'}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\mathcal{L} \Psi_k) \tilde{\Psi}_{k'} dx = 0.$$

Using the non-degenerate condition and proceeding similarly for all the parameters in the transformation $\theta_{\mathcal{A}}$, we complete the proof of (iii). \square

2.3. Coercivity of \mathcal{H}_ℓ . For $-1 < \ell < 1$, let

$$\vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k(x_\ell) \\ -\ell \partial_{x_1} \Psi_k(x_\ell) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K,$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, J$

$$Y_{j,\ell} = Y_j(x_\ell), \quad \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{j,\ell} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{j,\ell} e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \\ -(\ell \partial_{x_1} Y_{j,\ell} \mp \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_{j,\ell}) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Following [4], we define the function $\vec{W}_{j,\ell}$ by

$$\vec{W}_{j,\ell} = \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+ + \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, J.$$

First, we prove the following technical identities of $\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm$ and $\vec{W}_{j,\ell}$.

Lemma 2.2. *The functions $\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm$ and $\vec{W}_{j,\ell}$ satisfy the following properties.*

(i) For $j = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm = \mp \lambda_j (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} J \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm. \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) For $j = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{W}_{j,\ell}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2} = -4\lambda_j^2 (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

(iii) For $j, j' = 1, \dots, J$ with $j \neq j'$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{W}_{j,\ell}, \vec{W}_{j',\ell} \right)_{L^2} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Proof of (i). On the one hand, from (i) of Lemma 2.1 and direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\Delta - f'(q_\ell)) Y_{j,\ell} e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} + \ell \partial_{x_1} \left(\left(\ell \partial_{x_1} Y_{j,\ell} \mp \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_{j,\ell} \right) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \right) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_\ell Y_{j,\ell}) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \pm \ell (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j (\partial_{x_1} Y_{j,\ell}) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \\ &= \pm \lambda_j (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ell \partial_{x_1} Y_{j,\ell} \mp \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_{j,\ell} \right) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell \partial_{x_1} \left(Y_{j,\ell} e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \right) - \left(\ell \partial_{x_1} Y_{j,\ell} \mp \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} Y_{j,\ell} \right) e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} \\ &= \left(\pm \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} \mp \frac{\ell^2 \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} \right) Y_{j,\ell} e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1} = \pm \lambda_j (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{j,\ell} e^{\mp \frac{\ell \lambda_j}{\sqrt{1-\ell^2}} x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above identities, we obtain (2.4).

Proof of (ii). To prove (2.5), we first show that for $j, j' = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^- \right)_{L^2} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

On the one hand, by (2.4), for $j, j' = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = -\lambda_j (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(J \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, using again (2.4), for $j, j' = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = \left(\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = \lambda_{j'} (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(J \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2}.$$

Since $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j'} > 0$, this implies $\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+ \right)_{L^2} = 0$. The proof of (2.7) for

$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^- \right)_{L^2} = 0$ follows from similar arguments and it is omitted.

Now, we start to prove (2.5). From (2.7), we have

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{W}_{j,\ell}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2} = 2 \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^- \right)_{L^2}.$$

Using (2.4) and the explicit expression of $\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm$, we compute

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^- \right)_{L^2} = -\lambda_j (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(J \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^- \right)_{L^2} = -2\lambda_j^2 (1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_j, Y_j)_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, the identity (2.5) follows from the normalization $(Y_j, Y_j)_{L^2} = 1$.

Proof of (iii). To prove (2.6), we first show that for $j, j' = 1, \dots, J$ with $j \neq j'$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^- \right)_{L^2} = 0 \quad \text{when } \lambda_j \neq \lambda_{j'}, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} + \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = 0 \quad \text{when } \lambda_j = \lambda_{j'}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $j \neq j'$. In the case where $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{j'}$. On the one hand, by (2.4), for $j \neq j'$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = -\lambda_j(1-\ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{J} \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, using again (2.4),

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = \left(\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = -\lambda_{j'}(1-\ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{J} \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2}.$$

Since $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{j'}$, this implies (2.8).

In the case where $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j'}$. From (2.4) and the explicit expression of $\vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm$, $(Y_j, Y_{j'})_{L^2} = 0$ and integration by parts, we compute

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = -\lambda_j(1-\ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{J} \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = -2\ell\lambda_j(1-\ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_{x_1} Y_j, Y_{j'})_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, by (2.7) and integration by parts,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} + \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} = 0,$$

which proves (2.9).

Now, we start to prove (2.6). Let $j \neq j'$. From (2.7),

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{W}_{j,\ell}, \vec{W}_{j',\ell}\right)_{L^2} = \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^-\right)_{L^2} + \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{Y}_{j',\ell}^+, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^-\right)_{L^2}.$$

Thus, the identity (2.6) directly follows from (2.8) and (2.9). \square

Second, we prove the following coercivity property.

Proposition 2.3. *There exist $\mu > 0$ such that, for all $\vec{v} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$*

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \geq \mu \|\vec{v}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \mu^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K (\vec{v}, \vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell})_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{\pm, j=1}^J (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^\pm)_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. By a standard argument, it suffices to prove that there exists $\mu > 0$ such that for any $\vec{v} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ with orthogonality conditions $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell})_{L^2} = (\vec{v}, \vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell})_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, J$ and $k = 1, \dots, K$ there holds

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \geq \mu \|\vec{v}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Step 1. Negative direction. Note that, for $\vec{v} = (v, z) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta v - f'(q_\ell)v - \ell \partial_{x_1} z \\ \ell \partial_{x_1} v + z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} = (\mathcal{L}_\ell v, v)_{L^2} + \|\ell \partial_{x_1} v + z\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, from (ii) of Lemma 2.1, for any $\vec{v} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$,

$$(\vec{v}, \vec{Y}_{j,\ell}^0)_{L^2} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, J \implies (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

We claim, for any $\vec{v} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$,

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell})_{L^2} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, J \implies (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \geq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists a vector $\vec{v} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ satisfying the orthogonality conditions in (2.14) and $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v}) < 0$. For any $(c_j)_{j=0,\dots,J} \in \mathbb{R}^{J+1}/\mathbf{0}$, set

$$\vec{h} = c_0 \vec{v} + \sum_{j=1}^J c_j \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \in \text{Span}(\vec{v}, \vec{W}_{1,\ell}, \dots, \vec{W}_{J,\ell}).$$

By direct computation, (2.5), (2.6) and the orthogonality conditions in (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{h}, \vec{h} \right)_{L^2} &= c_0^2 (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} + \sum_{j=1}^J c_j^2 \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{W}_{j,\ell}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2} \\ &= c_0^2 (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} - 4(1 - \ell^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^J c_j^2 \lambda_j^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \cdot, \cdot)_{L^2} < 0$ on $\text{Span}(\vec{v}, \vec{W}_{1,\ell}, \dots, \vec{W}_{J,\ell})$ and

$$\dim \text{Span}(\vec{v}, \vec{W}_{1,\ell}, \dots, \vec{W}_{J,\ell}) = J + 1.$$

This is contradictory with (2.13) which says that $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ is nonnegative under only J orthogonality conditions. The proof of (2.14) is complete.

Step 2. Null direction. Note that, from (2.12), for any $\vec{v} = (v, z) \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{H}_\ell$,

$$-\Delta v - f'(q_\ell)v - \ell \partial_{x_1} z = 0, \quad \ell \partial_{x_1} v + z = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\Delta v + \ell^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 v - f'(q_\ell)v = 0, \quad z = -\ell \partial_{x_1} v.$$

Thus,

$$\text{Ker} \mathcal{H}_\ell = \text{Span}(\vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell}, k = 1, \dots, K).$$

We claim, for all $\vec{v} = (v, z) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ with orthogonality conditions $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell})_{L^2} = (\vec{v}, \vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell})_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, J$ and $k = 1, \dots, K$,

$$\vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v}) > 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp = \left\{ \vec{v} = (v, z) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2 : \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, J \right\}.$$

Indeed, it suffices to prove that for any $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp$

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} = 0 \implies \vec{v} \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{H}_\ell.$$

Fix $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp$ with $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} = 0$. From $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \cdot, \cdot)_{L^2} \geq 0$ on \mathcal{N}_ℓ^\perp and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $(\mathcal{H}_\ell \cdot, \cdot)$,

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{f}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \vec{f} = (f, g) \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp. \quad (2.16)$$

For any $\vec{v} = (\tilde{v}, \tilde{z}) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$, we decompose

$$\vec{v} = \vec{f} + \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \quad \text{where } \vec{f} \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{4} \lambda_j^{-2} (1 - \ell^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2}.$$

Thus, from (2.16),

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v} \right)_{L^2} = \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{f} \right)_{L^2} + \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \left(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{W}_{j,\ell} \right)_{L^2} = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v} = 0$ in the sense of distribution, *i.e.* $\vec{v} \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{H}_\ell$. The proof of (2.15) is complete.

Step 3. Conclusion. Now, we prove (2.11) by contradiction and using standard compactness argument. For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists a sequence

$$\{\vec{v}_n = (v_n, z_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$$

such that

$$\vec{v}_n \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^\perp, \quad (\vec{v}_n, \vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell})_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$0 < (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_n)_{L^2} < \frac{1}{n} \|\vec{v}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} f'(q_\ell) v_n^2 = 1 \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.18)$$

From the above inequalities and (2.12), the sequence $\{\vec{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. Upon extracting a subsequence, we can assume

$$\vec{v}_n = (v_n, z_n) \rightharpoonup \vec{v} = (v, z) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.19)$$

On the one hand, by the Rellich theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} f'(q_\ell) v^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} f'(q_\ell) v_n^2 dx = 1,$$

which implies $\vec{v} \neq 0$. On the other hand, from $\vec{v}_n = (v_n, z_n) \rightharpoonup \vec{v} = (v, z) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\ell \partial_{x_1} v + z)^2 dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\ell \partial_{x_1} v_n + z_n)^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} ((1 - \ell^2)(\partial_{x_1} v)^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} ((1 - \ell^2)(\partial_{x_1} v_n)^2 + |\nabla v_n|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities with (2.12) and (2.18),

$$(\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}, \vec{v})_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{H}_\ell \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_n)_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \|\vec{v}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq 0.$$

Using again (2.19) and taking limit in (2.17), we have

$$\vec{v} \in \mathcal{N}^\perp, \quad (\vec{v}, \vec{\Psi}_{k,\ell})_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K,$$

Therefore, from (2.15), we obtain $\vec{v} = 0$ which is a contradiction. The proof of (2.11) is complete. \square

3. DECOMPOSITION AROUND THE SUM OF N SOLITONS

We prove in this section a general decomposition result around the sum of N solitons. Let $N \geq 1$ and for any $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $\ell_n = \ell_n \mathbf{e}_1$ where $-1 < \ell_n < 1$ and $\ell_n \neq \ell_{n'}$ for $n \neq n'$. Let q_1, \dots, q_N be any non-degenerate decaying excited states. Denote by I and I^0 the following two sets of indices

$$\begin{aligned} I^0 &= \{(n, k) : n = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, K_n\}, \quad |I^0| = \text{Card } I^0 = \sum_{n=1}^N K_n, \\ I &= \{(n, j) : n = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, J_n\}, \quad |I| = \text{Card } I = \sum_{n=1}^N J_n. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $(\lambda_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I}$, $(Y_{(n,j)})_{(n,j) \in I}$, $(\Psi_{(n,k)})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ the negative eigenvalues, corresponding eigenfunctions and kernel functions for q_n as defined in Lemma 2.1. For $n = 1, \dots, N$, set

$$Q_n(t, x) = q_{n, \ell_n}(x - \ell_n t), \quad \vec{Q}_n(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n(t, x) \\ -\ell_n \partial_{x_1} Q_n(t, x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for $(n, k) \in I^0$,

$$\Psi_{n,k}(t, x) = \Psi_{(n,k), \ell_n}(x - \ell_n t), \quad \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(t, x) = \vec{\Psi}_{(n,k), \ell_n}(x - \ell_n t),$$

and for $(n, j) \in I$,

$$\vec{Y}_{n,j}^\pm(t, x) = \vec{Y}_{(n,j), \ell_n}^\pm(x - \ell_n t), \quad \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm = \mathcal{H}_n \vec{Y}_{n,j}^\pm,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta - f'(Q_n) & -\ell_n \partial_{x_1} \\ \ell_n \partial_{x_1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a time dependent C^1 function \mathbf{b} of the form

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I^0|} \quad \text{with} \quad |\mathbf{b}| \ll 1.$$

We introduce

$$U = \sum_{n=1}^N Q_n, \quad V = \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k},$$

$$G = f(U + V) - \sum_{n=1}^N f(Q_n) - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} f'(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k}.$$

First, we start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let W_1 and W_2 be continuous functions such that,*

$$|W_1(x)| + |W_2(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-4} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^5.$$

Define

$$W_{n_1}(t, x) = W_1(x - \ell_{n_1} t), \quad W_{n_2}(t, x) = W_2(x - \ell_{n_2} t).$$

Let $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ be such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \frac{5}{4}$. There exists $T_0 \gg 1$ such that, for all $n_1, n_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $n_1 \neq n_2$ and $t \geq T_0$, the following hold.

(i) *If $\alpha_2 > \frac{5}{4}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1}. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) *If $\alpha_2 \leq \frac{5}{4}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{5-4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. For $k = 1, 2$, we denote

$$\rho_k = x - \ell_{n_k} t, \quad \Omega_k(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : |\rho_k| \leq 10^{-1} |\ell_{n_1} - \ell_{n_2}| t\}.$$

Let $T_0 \gg 1$ large enough. For $t \geq T_0$, from the decay properties of W_1 and W_2 ,

$$|W_{n_2}(t, x)| \lesssim \langle \rho_2 \rangle^{-4} \lesssim (\langle \rho_1 \rangle + t)^{-4}, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|W_{n_1}(t, x)| \lesssim \langle \rho_1 \rangle^{-4} \lesssim (\langle \rho_2 \rangle + t)^{-4}, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$|W_{n_1}(t, x)| \lesssim (\langle \rho_1 \rangle + t)^{-4} \lesssim t^{-4}, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_1^C, \quad (3.5)$$

$$|W_{n_2}(t, x)| \lesssim (\langle \rho_2 \rangle + t)^{-4} \lesssim t^{-4}, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_2^C. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof of (i). *Case $\alpha_1 > \frac{5}{4}, \alpha_2 > \frac{5}{4}$.* From (3.3) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_2},$$

$$\int_{\Omega_1^C} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega_1^C} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1},$$

which implies (3.1).

Case $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \frac{5}{4}, \alpha_2 > \frac{5}{4}$. By (3.3) and change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \langle \rho_1 \rangle^{-4\alpha_1} (\langle \rho_1 \rangle + t)^{-4\alpha_2} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \langle x \rangle^{-4\alpha_1} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-4\alpha_2} dx \\ &\lesssim t^{5-4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (3.5),

$$\int_{\Omega_1^C} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega_1^C} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \lesssim t^{-4\alpha_1}.$$

These estimates imply (3.1).

Proof of (ii). First, from (3.3), (3.4) and change of variable, as before,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_1} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx + \int_{\Omega_2} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\langle x \rangle^{-4\alpha_1} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-4\alpha_2} + \langle x \rangle^{-4\alpha_2} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-4\alpha_1} \right) dx \lesssim t^{5-4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, by (3.5), (3.6), the Hölder's inequality and change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)^C} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_2} dx & \lesssim \left(\int_{\Omega_1^C} |W_{n_1}|^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_2^C} |W_{n_2}|^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} dx \lesssim t^{5-4(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain (3.2). \square

Second, we introduce some pointwise estimates following from Taylor expansion, and omit its proof.

Lemma 3.2. *The following estimates hold.*

(i) For all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$|f'(U) - f'(Q_n)| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \left(|Q_{n'}| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

(ii) We have

$$|f'(U+V) - f'(U)| \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^N |V| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{4}{3}}. \quad (3.8)$$

(iii) For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(U+V+s) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)s| \lesssim \left(|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) |s|^2 + |s|^{\frac{7}{3}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$|F(U+V+s) - F(U+V) - f(U+V)s| \lesssim \left(|U|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) |s|^2 + |s|^{\frac{10}{3}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Third, we state some preliminary estimates related to the nonlinear interaction. We decompose G as

$$G = G_1 + G_2 = G_{1,1} + G_{1,2} + G_{1,3} + G_2,$$

where

$$G_{1,1} = f(U+V) - f(U) - f'(U)V - \frac{1}{2}f''(U)V^2,$$

$$G_{1,2} = f'(U)V - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} f'(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k},$$

$$G_{1,3} = f(U) - \sum_{n=1}^N f(Q_n) \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = \frac{1}{2}f''(U)V^2.$$

For $n = 1, \dots, N$, set

$$G_{3,n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_n} b_{n,k} b_{n,k'} f''(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k'} \quad \text{and} \quad G_3 = \sum_{n=1}^N G_{3,n}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $T_0 \gg 1$ such that the following estimates hold.*

(i) Estimates on G_1 . For $t \geq T_0$,

$$\|G_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|G_{1,1}\|_{L^2} + \|G_{1,2}\|_{L^2} + \|G_{1,3}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4}. \quad (3.11)$$

(ii) Expansion of G_2 . For $t \geq T_0$,

$$\|G_2 - G_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4}. \quad (3.12)$$

(iii) Estimate on G . For $t \geq T_0$,

$$\|G\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Proof of (i). From Taylor formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{1,1}| &\lesssim |V|^{\frac{7}{3}} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} |\Psi_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}}, \quad |G_{1,3}| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} |Q_n|^{\frac{4}{3}} |Q_{n'}|, \\ |G_{1,2}| &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}| \left[\sum_{n' \neq n} |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} |Q_{n'}| |\Psi_{n,k}| + \sum_{n' \neq n} |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{4}{3}} |\Psi_{n,k}| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Based on above pointwise estimates, Lemma 3.1 (i), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young's inequality, we obtain (3.11).

Proof of (ii). Observe that

$$V^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_n} b_{n,k} b_{n,k'} \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k'} + \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \sum_{k'=1}^{K_{n'}} b_{n,k} b_{n',k'} \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n',k'}.$$

Thus, we can decompose

$$G_2 = G_3 + G_{2,1} + G_{2,2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \sum_{k'=1}^{K_{n'}} b_{n,k} b_{n',k'} f''(U) \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n',k'}, \\ G_{2,2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_n} b_{n,k} b_{n,k'} (f''(U) - f''(Q_n)) \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k'}. \end{aligned}$$

By (i) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{2,1}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \sum_{k'=1}^{K_{n'}} |b_{n,k} b_{n',k'}| \| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n',k'} \|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_{n'}} b_{n',k'}^2 \| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} \Psi_{n',k'}^2 \|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

From Taylor formula,

$$|f''(U) - f''(Q_n)| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus, using again (i) of Lemma 3.1,

$$\|G_{2,2}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 \left(\sum_{n' \neq n} \| |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{1}{3}} \Psi_{n,k}^2 \|_{L^2} \right) \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4}.$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain (3.12).

Proof of (iii). Estimate (3.13) is a consequence of (3.11) and (3.12). \square

Last we prove a standard decomposition result around the sum of N solitons.

Proposition 3.4 (Properties of the decomposition). *There exist $T_0 \gg 1$ and $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$ such that if $\vec{u}(t) = (u(t), \partial_t u(t))$ is a solution of (1.1) on $[T_1, T_2]$, where $T_0 \leq T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$, such that for any $t \in [T_1, T_2]$*

$$\|\vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \delta_0, \quad (3.14)$$

then there exists C^1 functions $\mathbf{b} = (b_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ on $[T_1, T_2]$ such that, $\vec{\varepsilon}(t)$ being defined by

$$\vec{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \vec{\Psi}_{n,k} \quad (3.15)$$

the following hold on $[T_1, T_2]$,

(i) First properties of the decomposition. For all $(n, k) \in I^0$ and $t \in [T_1, T_2]$,

$$(\vec{\varepsilon}(t), \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(t))_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad |b_{n,k}(t)| \lesssim \|\vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (3.16)$$

(ii) Equation of $\vec{\varepsilon}$. It holds

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varepsilon = \eta - \text{Mod}_1, \\ \partial_t \eta = \Delta \varepsilon + f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - \text{Mod}_2 + G \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\text{Mod}_1 = \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mod}_2 = - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}.$$

(iii) Estiamtes for \mathbf{b} . For $t \in [T_1, T_2]$, we have

$$\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\dot{b}_{n,k}(t)| \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}. \quad (3.18)$$

(iv) Unstable directions. Let $a_{n,j}^{\pm} = \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2}$ for all $(n, j) \in I$. Then

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} a_{n,j}^{\pm}(t) \pm \alpha_{n,j} a_{n,j}^{\pm}(t) \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}. \quad (3.19)$$

where $\alpha_{n,j} = \lambda_{n,j} (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$.

Proof. Proof of (i). Let $T_0 \gg 1$, fix $t \geq T_0$. Note that, the orthogonality conditions in (3.16) is equivalent to the following matrix identity,

$$\mathcal{M} \mathbf{b} = \left(\left(\vec{u} - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k} \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{(n,k) \in I^0},$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (b_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ written in one row and

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{\Psi}_{n',k'} \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{(n,k), (n',k') \in I^0}.$$

Moreover, from (ii) of Lemma 3.1 and the decay property of $\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}$,

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_N) + O(t^{-2}) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{M}_n = \left((\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k'})_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{k,k'=1, \dots, K_n}.$$

Note that for fixed n , the family $(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{k=1,\dots,K_n}$ being linearly independent, the Gram matrix \mathcal{M}_n is invertible. Hence, \mathcal{M} is invertible, for T_0 large enough, and \mathcal{M}^{-1} has uniform norm in $t \geq T_0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \left(\left(\vec{u} - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k} \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{(n,k) \in I^0}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{b}| \leq \|\mathcal{M}^{-1}\| \left\| \left(\left(\vec{u} - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k} \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{(n,k) \in I^0} \right\| \lesssim \left\| \vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Proof of (ii). First, by the definition of $\vec{\varepsilon}$ in (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varepsilon &= \partial_t u - \sum_{n=1}^N \partial_t Q_n - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \partial_t \Psi_{n,k} - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k} \\ &= \eta - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, using again (3.15) and (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta &= \partial_t^2 u + \sum_{n=1}^N \partial_t (\ell_n \partial_{x_1} Q_n) + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \partial_t (\ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}) + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} (\ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}) \\ &= \Delta u + f(u) - \sum_{n=1}^N \ell_n^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 Q_n - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \ell_n^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} (\ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.15), $-(1 - \ell_n^2) \partial_{x_1}^2 Q_n - \bar{\Delta} Q_n - f(Q_n) = 0$, $-(1 - \ell_n^2) \partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k} - \bar{\Delta} \Psi_{n,k} - f'(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k} = 0$ and the definition of G ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + f(u) &- \sum_{n=1}^N \ell_n^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 Q_n - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \ell_n^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k} \\ &= \Delta \varepsilon + f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) + G. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_t \eta = \Delta \varepsilon + f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k} + G.$$

Proof of (iii). First, we decompose

$$f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) = \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \varepsilon + R_1 + R_2 + R_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V) \varepsilon, \\ R_2 &= (f'(U + V) - f'(U)) \varepsilon, \quad R_3 = \left(f'(U) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) \right) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.17), we obtain

$$\partial_t \vec{\varepsilon} = \vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varepsilon} - \vec{\text{Mod}} + \vec{G} + \vec{R}_1 + \vec{R}_2 + \vec{R}_3, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta + \sum_{n=1}^N f'(Q_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\text{Mod}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Mod}_1 \\ \text{Mod}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\vec{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{R}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{R}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{R}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We differentiate the orthogonality condition $(\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ in (3.16) and using (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}(\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} = (\partial_t \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} - (\vec{\varepsilon}, \partial_t \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{(n',k') \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n',k'} (\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{\Psi}_{n',k'})_{\mathcal{H}} + (\vec{G}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + (\vec{R}_1, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} + (\vec{R}_2 + \vec{R}_3, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} - (\vec{\varepsilon}, \ell_n \partial_{x_1} \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and the decay properties of $\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}$, the first term is

$$(\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathbf{J} \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} = O(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

From (3.13) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| (\vec{G}, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}.$$

Next, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), the Sobolev embedding theorem and the decay properties of $\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}$,

$$\left| (\vec{R}_1, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \lesssim \left| \left((|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}}) \varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{7}{3}}, \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k} \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

$$\left| (\vec{R}_2 + \vec{R}_3, \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \right| + \left| (\vec{\varepsilon}, \ell_n \partial_{x_1} \vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \lesssim \|R_2\|_{L^2} + \|R_3\|_{L^2} + \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Gathering above estimates and proceeding similarly for all $(n,k) \in I^0$,

$$\mathcal{M} \dot{\mathbf{b}} = O\left(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}\right),$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{b}} = (\dot{b}_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ written in one row. Therefore, from the matrix \mathcal{M}^{-1} being uniformly bounded, we obtain (3.18).

Proof of (iv). Using (3.20), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} a_{n,j}^{\pm} &= \left(\partial_t \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \partial_t \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} \\ &= \left(\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} - \ell_n \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \partial_{x_1} \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} - \left(\vec{\text{Mod}}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left(\vec{G}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{R}_1, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{R}_2, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} + \left(\vec{R}_3, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4), integration by parts and $-\mathbf{J}^2$ is identity matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\vec{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} - \ell_n \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \partial_{x_1} \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} &= - \left(\vec{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{H}_n \mathbf{J} \vec{Z}_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \left(\varepsilon, f'(Q_{n'}) Z_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2} \\ &= \mp \alpha_{n,j} a_{n,j}^{\pm} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \left(\varepsilon, f'(Q_{n'}) Z_{n,j}^{\pm} \right)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_{n,j}^{\pm} = \pm \alpha_{n,j} \left(Y_{(n,j), \ell_n} e^{\mp \frac{\ell_n \lambda_{n,j}}{\sqrt{1-\ell_n^2}} x_1} \right) (\cdot - \ell_n t).$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem and (i) of Lemma 3.1,

$$\sum_{n' \neq n} \left| (\varepsilon, f'(Q_{n'}) Z_{n,j}^\pm)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \|f'(Q_{n'}) Z_{n,j}^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + t^{-4}.$$

Note that, for all $(n, k) \in I^0$ and $(n, j) \in I$, we have

$$\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \mathcal{H}_n \vec{Y}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} = \left(\mathcal{H}_n \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{Y}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, from (i) of Lemma 3.1, (3.18) and concerning the term with $\vec{\text{Mod}}$,

$$\left(\vec{\text{Mod}}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} = - \sum_{n' \neq n} \sum_{k=1}^{K_{n'}} \dot{b}_{n',k} \left(\vec{\Psi}_{n',k}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} = O \left(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right).$$

Next, from (3.13) and the Cauchy Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \left(\vec{G}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|G\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}.$$

Last, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (i) of Lemma 3.1 and decay properties of $Z_{n,j}^\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\vec{R}_1, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| &\lesssim \left| \left((|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}}) |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{7}{3}}, Z_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \\ \left| \left(\vec{R}_2, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \|f'(U+V) - f'(U)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2, \\ \left| \left(\vec{R}_3, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^\pm \right)_{L^2} \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| \sum_{n' \neq n} \left(|Q_{n'}| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) Z_{n,j}^\pm \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \lesssim \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + t^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates and proceeding similarly for all $(n, j) \in I$, we obtain (3.19). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove the existence of a solution $\vec{u}(t)$ of (1.1) satisfying (1.4) in Theorem 1.3. We argue by compactness and obtain $\vec{u}(t)$ as the limit of suitable approximate multi-solitons $\vec{u}_m(t)$.

We start with a technical lemma which constructs well-prepared initial data at $t = T \gg 1$ with sufficient freedom related to unstable directions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T \gg 1$ and $C \gg 1$. For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$, there exists*

$$A = (\tilde{a}_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}, \quad B = (\tilde{b}_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I^0|},$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{(n,j) \in I} |\tilde{a}_{n,j}| + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\tilde{b}_{n,k}| \leq C \sum_{(n,j) \in I} |a_{n,j}|, \quad (4.1)$$

such that the function $\vec{\varepsilon}(T)$ defined by

$$\vec{\varepsilon}(T) = \sum_{(n,j) \in I} \tilde{a}_{n,j}(\mathbf{a}) \vec{Z}_{n,j}^+(T) + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \tilde{b}_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}) \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T), \quad (4.2)$$

satisfies for all $(n, k) \in I^0$, $(n, j) \in I$,

$$\left(\vec{\varepsilon}(T), \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \left(\vec{\varepsilon}(T), \vec{Z}_{n,j}^+(T) \right)_{L^2} = a_{n,j}. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, the initial data defined by $\vec{u}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(T) + \vec{\varepsilon}(T)$ is modulated in the sense of Proposition 3.4 with $b_{n,k}(T) = 0$ for all $(n, k) \in I^0$ and $a_{n,j}^+(T) = a_{n,j}$ for all $(n, j) \in I$.

Proof. Our goal is to solve for $A = (\tilde{a}_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I}$ and $B = (\tilde{b}_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ in terms of $\mathbf{a} = (a_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I}$. From the relations, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^+ \right)_{L^2} = \left(\mathcal{H}_n \vec{\Psi}_{n,k}, \vec{Y}_{n,j}^+ \right)_{L^2} = 0$$

and for all $n \neq n'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T), \vec{\Psi}_{n',k'}(T) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} &= O(T^{-1}), \quad \left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T), \vec{Z}_{n',j}^+(T) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} = O(T^{-1}), \\ \left(\vec{Z}_{n,j}^+(T), \vec{\Psi}_{n',k'}(T) \right)_{L^2} &= O(T^{-1}), \quad \left(\vec{Z}_{n,j}^+(T), \vec{Z}_{n',j}^+(T) \right)_{L^2} = O(T^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

the conditions in (4.3) are equivalent to a linear relation between A and B of the following form, for all $(n, k) \in I^0$ and $(n, j) \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} \left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T), \vec{Z}_{n,j}^+(T) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{a}_{n,j} + \sum_{k'=1}^{K_n} \left(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k}(T), \vec{\Psi}_{n,k'}(T) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{b}_{n,k'} \\ &= O \left(\sum_{n' \neq n} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^{J_{n'}} |\tilde{a}_{n',j'}| + \sum_{k'=1}^{K_{n'}} |\tilde{b}_{n',k'}| \right) T^{-1} \right), \\ & \sum_{j'=1}^{J_n} \left(\vec{Z}_{n,j'}^+, \vec{Z}_{n,j}^+ \right)_{L^2} \tilde{a}_{n,j'} = a_{n,j} + O \left(\sum_{n' \neq n} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^{J_{n'}} |\tilde{a}_{n',j'}| + \sum_{k'=1}^{K_{n'}} |\tilde{b}_{n',k'}| \right) T^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the families $(\vec{\Psi}_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ and $(\vec{Z}_{n,j}^+)_{(n,j) \in I}$ are linear independent, the above linear system is invertible for T large enough. We obtain the existence and desired properties of $A = (\tilde{a}_{n,j})_{(n,j) \in I}$ and $B = (\tilde{b}_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$ for T large enough. \square

To obtain weak convergence on any finite time interval, not just at initial time, we recall a proposition from [13, 14] which associates with weak continuity of the flow.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $K \subset \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ be a compact set and let $\vec{u}_m : [T_1, T_2] \rightarrow \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ be a sequence of solutions of (1.1) such that*

$$\text{dist}(\vec{u}_m(t), K) \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } t \in [T_1, T_2].$$

Suppose that $\vec{u}_m(T_1) \rightharpoonup \vec{u}_0$ weakly in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. Then the solution $\vec{u}(t)$ of (1.1) with the initial data $\vec{u}(T_1) = \vec{u}_0$ is defined for $t \in [T_1, T_2]$ and

$$\vec{u}_m(T_1) \rightharpoonup \vec{u}(t), \quad \text{weakly in } \dot{H}^1 \times L^2 \text{ for all } t \in [T_1, T_2].$$

Proof. The proof relies on a standard argument based on the result of profile decomposition stated in [11, Proposition 2.8]. See more details in [13, Appendix A.2] and [14, Appendix]. \square

Let $T_m = m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m)_{(n,j) \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$ small to be determined later, we consider the solution $\vec{u}_m \in C(T_{\max}^m; \dot{H}^1 \times L^2)$ with the initial data $\vec{u}_m(T_m)$ given by Lemma 4.1. Since $\vec{u}_m(T_m) \in X$, by persistence of regularity (see for instance [12, Appendix B]), we have $\vec{u}_m \in C(T_{\max}^m; X)$. Such regularity will allow energy computations without density argument, see §4.3.

The following Proposition is the main part of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 4.3 (Uniform estimates). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, there exist $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $T_0 \gg 1$ such that, for any $m \geq m_0$, there exist $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m)_{(n,j) \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$ such that the solution \vec{u}_m of (1.1) with initial data $\vec{u}_m(T_m)$*

given by Lemma 4.1 is well-defined in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ on the time interval $[T_0, T_m]$ and satisfies

$$\forall t \in [T_0, T_m], \quad \left\| \vec{u}_m(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq t^{-\frac{8}{5}}. \quad (4.4)$$

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3 from Proposition 4.3. We follow the strategy by uniform estimates and compactness introduced in [14, 16, 17]. Consider the solution $\vec{u}_m(t)$ given by Proposition 4.3. On the interval $[T_0, T_m]$, from (4.4), we know that, there exists $T_0 \gg 1$ such that

$$\forall t \in [T_0, T_m], \quad \left\| \vec{u}_m(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is the constant of Proposition 4.2.

From the uniform estimates obtained in (4.4) at $T = T_0$, up to the extraction of a subsequence, there exists $\vec{u}_0 = (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ such that

$$\vec{u}_m(T_0) \rightharpoonup \vec{u}_0 \quad \text{in } \dot{H}^1 \times L^2 - \text{weak} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix $T > T_0$. From Proposition 4.2 applied to the compact set

$$K = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2, t \in [T_0, T] \right\},$$

the solution $\vec{u}(t)$ of (1.1) corresponding to $\vec{u}(T_0) = \vec{u}_0$ is well-defined and it holds $\vec{u}_m(t) \rightharpoonup \vec{u}(t)$ weakly in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ on $[T_0, T]$. By (4.4) and the properties of weak convergence, the solution \vec{u} satisfies, for all $t \in [T_0, T]$,

$$\left\| \vec{u}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \vec{u}_m(t) - \sum_{n=1}^N \vec{Q}_n(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq t^{-\frac{8}{5}}.$$

Since $T \geq T_0$ is arbitrary, the solution \vec{u} is defined and satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 on $[T_0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 from Proposition 4.3 is complete.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.3.

4.2. Bootstrap setting. For $0 < t \leq T_m$, as long as $\vec{u}_m(t)$ is well defined in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ and satisfies (3.14), we decompose $\vec{u}_m(t)$ as in Proposition 3.4. In particular, we denote by $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon, \eta)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$, $(a_{n,j}^\pm)_{(n,j) \in I}$ the parameters of the decomposition of \vec{u}_m .

To prove Proposition 4.3, we introduce the following bootstrap estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq t^{-\frac{29}{10}}, \quad \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}(t)| \leq t^{-\frac{9}{5}}, \\ \sum_{(n,j) \in I} |a_{n,j}^+(t)|^2 &\leq t^{-6}, \quad \sum_{(n,j) \in I} |a_{n,j}^-(t)|^2 \leq t^{-\frac{59}{10}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For $\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$, set

$$T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) = \inf\{t \in [T_0, T_m]; \vec{u}_m \text{ satisfies (3.14) and (4.5) holds on } [t, T_m]\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Note that, for the proof of Proposition 4.3, it suffices to prove that there exists $T_0 \gg 1$ (independent with m) large enough and at least one choice of $\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$ such that $T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) = T_0$.

4.3. Energy functional. Without loss of generality

$$-1 < \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_N < 1.$$

Denote

$$\ell^* = \max_n(|\ell_n|) < 1.$$

For

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{100} \min_n(\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n)$$

small enough to be chosen later, we denote

$$\bar{\ell}_n = \ell_n + \delta(\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n), \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

$$\underline{\ell}_n = \ell_n - \delta(\ell_n - \ell_{n-1}), \quad \text{for } n = 2, \dots, N.$$

For $t > 0$, denote

$$\Omega(t) = ((\bar{\ell}_1 t, \underline{\ell}_2 t) \cup \dots \cup (\bar{\ell}_{N-1} t, \underline{\ell}_N t)) \times \mathbb{R}^4, \quad \Omega^C(t) = \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Omega(t).$$

We define the continuous function $\chi_N(t, x) = \chi_N(t, x_1)$ as follow (see [18, 25] for a similar choice of cut-off function), for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \chi_N(t, x) = \ell_1 \text{ for } x_1 \in (-\infty, \bar{\ell}_1 t], \\ \chi_N(t, x) = \ell_n \text{ for } x_1 \in [\underline{\ell}_n t, \bar{\ell}_n t], \text{ for } n \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}, \\ \chi_N(t, x) = \ell_N \text{ for } x_1 \in [\underline{\ell}_N t, +\infty), \\ \chi_N(t, x) = \frac{x_1}{(1-2\delta)t} - \frac{\delta}{1-2\delta}(\ell_{n+1} + \ell_n) \text{ for } x_1 \in [\bar{\ell}_n t, \underline{\ell}_{n+1} t], n \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{x_1} \chi_N(t, x) = \frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t \chi_N(t, x) = -\frac{1}{t} \frac{x_1}{(1-2\delta)t} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t \chi_N(t, x) = 0, \quad \nabla \chi_N(t, x) = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega^C(t). \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

We define (see [18, 19, 25] for similar energy functional)

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{G}(t) = \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{P}(t) - \mathcal{G}(t)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \eta^2 - 2(F(U + V + \varepsilon) - F(U + V) - f(U + V)\varepsilon)) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{P}(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\chi_N(t, x) \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon(t, x)) \eta(t, x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \varepsilon(t, x) G_3(t, x) dx.$$

We start with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let W be a continuous function such that*

$$|W(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-(4+\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^5, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$. For all $n = 1, \dots, N$, the following estimates hold.

(i) Estimate of $L^{\frac{10}{7}}$ norm.

$$\|(\ell_n - \chi_N)W(x - \ell_n t)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha)}. \quad (4.10)$$

(ii) Estimate of L^2 norm.

$$\|(\ell_n - \chi_N)W(x - \ell_n t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{3}{2}+\alpha)}. \quad (4.11)$$

(iii) Estimate of $L^{\frac{10}{3}}$ norm.

$$\|(\ell_n - \chi_N)W(x - \ell_n t)\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{5}{2}+\alpha)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. From (4.9), the definition of χ_N and change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\ell_n - \chi_N|^{\frac{10}{7}} |W(x - \ell_n t)|^{\frac{10}{7}} dx &\lesssim \int_{|x| \geq \delta^2 t} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{10}{7}(4+\alpha)} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\delta^2 t}^{\infty} \frac{r^4}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{5}{7}(4+\alpha)}} dr \lesssim t^{-\frac{10}{7}(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.10). The proof of (4.11) and (4.12) follows from similar arguments and it is omitted. \square

Under the bootstrap setting (4.5), we prove the following estimates.

Proposition 4.5. *There exists $0 < \nu \ll 1$ such that the following hold.*

(i) Coercivity.

$$\nu \|\tilde{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \mathcal{K}(t) + \nu^{-1} t^{-\frac{59}{10}}. \quad (4.13)$$

(ii) Time variation of \mathcal{K} .

$$-\frac{d}{dt}(t^2 \mathcal{K})(t) \leq \nu^{-1} t^{-\frac{49}{10}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Proof of (i). We set

$$\mathcal{F}_\Omega(t) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \eta^2 + 2(\chi_N \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \eta) dx, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Omega^c}(t) = \int_{\Omega^c} (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \eta^2) dx.$$

First, from $|\chi_N| \leq \bar{\ell} < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\Omega &= \bar{\ell} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\chi_N}{\bar{\ell}} \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon + \eta \right)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\chi_N^2}{\bar{\ell}} \right) (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon)^2 + (1 - \bar{\ell}) \eta^2 + |\overline{\nabla} \varepsilon|^2 \right) dx \\ &\geq \bar{\ell} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\chi_N}{\bar{\ell}} \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon + \eta \right)^2 dx + (1 - \bar{\ell}) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \eta^2) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Second, by (4.5) and Young's inequality for product,

$$|\mathcal{G}(t)| \lesssim \left(\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 \right) \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{13}{2}}. \quad (4.16)$$

Therefore the coercivity property for \mathcal{K} is a consequence of the following stronger coercivity property, for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}(t) \geq \mathcal{F}_\Omega(t) + \mu \mathcal{F}_{\Omega^c}(t) - \mu^{-1} t^{-\frac{59}{10}} - \mu^{-1} t^{-\alpha} \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \mu^{-1} \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^3. \quad (4.17)$$

The coercivity property (4.17) is a standard consequence of the localized coercivity property around one excited solitary wave q_ℓ in Proposition 2.3 with the orthogonality relations (3.16), and an elementary localization argument. We refer to the proof of [18, Proposition 4.2 (ii)] and [25, Lemma 5.4 (ii)] for a similar proof.

Proof of (ii). **Step 1.** Time variation of \mathcal{E} . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E} &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \text{Mod}_1 (\Delta \varepsilon + f'(U) \varepsilon) dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta \text{Mod}_2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_3 dx \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \ell_n \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V) \varepsilon) dx + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

We decompose

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_t U (f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V)\varepsilon) dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_t V (f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V)\varepsilon) dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_3 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_t \varepsilon (-\Delta \varepsilon - f(U + V + \varepsilon) + f(U + V)) dx, \quad \mathcal{I}_4 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta \partial_t \eta dx.\end{aligned}$$

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_1 . We claim

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \ell_n \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V)\varepsilon) dx. \quad (4.19)$$

By direct computation, we obtain

$$\partial_t U = \sum_{n=1}^N \partial_t Q_n = - \sum_{n=1}^N \ell_n \partial_{x_1} Q_n,$$

which implies (4.19).

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_2 . We claim

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| \lesssim t^{-7}. \quad (4.20)$$

By direct computation,

$$\partial_t V = \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k} - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}.$$

Thus, from (3.9), (3.18), (4.5), and the decay properties of $\Psi_{n,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_2| &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(|\dot{b}_{n,k}| |\Psi_{n,k}| + |b_{n,k}| |\ell_n \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}| \right) \left((|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}}) |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{7}{3}} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |b_{n,k}| + t^{-4} \right) \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{87}{10}} + t^{-\frac{38}{5}} + t^{-7} \lesssim t^{-7},\end{aligned}$$

which means (4.20).

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_3 . We prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_3 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (\Delta \varepsilon + f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V)) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \text{Mod}_1 (\Delta \varepsilon + f'(U)\varepsilon) dx + O(t^{-7}).\end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

By direct computation and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_3 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (\Delta \varepsilon + f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V)) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \text{Mod}_1 (\Delta \varepsilon + f'(U)\varepsilon) dx + \mathcal{I}_{3,1} + \mathcal{I}_{3,2},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{3,1} &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \text{Mod}_1 (f'(U + V) - f'(U)) \varepsilon dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_{3,2} &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \text{Mod}_1 (f(U + V + \varepsilon) - f(U + V) - f'(U + V)\varepsilon) dx.\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.8), (3.9), (3.18) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{I}_{3,1}| &\lesssim \|\text{Mod}_1\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \|f'(U+V) - f'(U)\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}| \left(\|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n',k') \in I^0} |b_{n',k'}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{9}{5}} \left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) t^{-\frac{29}{10}} \lesssim t^{-7}, \\
|\mathcal{I}_{3,2}| &\lesssim \|\text{Mod}_1\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \|f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \lesssim \left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) t^{-\frac{29}{5}} \lesssim t^{-7}.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that (4.21) follows from above estimates.

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_4 . We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_4 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (\Delta \varepsilon + f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V)) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta \text{Mod}_2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_3 dx + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

We compute, using again (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_4 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (\Delta \varepsilon + f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V)) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta \text{Mod}_2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_3 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_1 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (G_2 - G_3) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, from (3.11), (3.12) and (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_1 dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (G_2 - G_3) dx \right| &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{L^2} (\|G_1\|_{L^2} + \|G_2 - G_3\|_{L^2}) \\
&\lesssim \|\eta\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{69}{10}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.22).

In conclusion of estimates (4.19), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22), we obtain (4.18).

Step 2. Time variation of \mathcal{P} . We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P} &= -\frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} (\eta^2 + (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon)^2 + 2 \frac{x_1}{t} (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \eta - |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\eta \partial_{x_1} \text{Mod}_1 + \text{Mod}_2 \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_3 dx + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

We decompose

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\partial_t \chi_N) (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \eta dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_t ((\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \eta) dx = \mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_6. \tag{4.24}$$

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_5 . From (4.8), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_5 = -\frac{2}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{x_1}{t} (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \eta dx. \tag{4.25}$$

Estimate on \mathcal{I}_6 . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_6 &= -\frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} (\eta^2 + (\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon)^2 - |\overline{\nabla}\varepsilon|^2) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\eta \partial_{x_1} \text{Mod}_1 + \text{Mod}_2 \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_3 dx + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

By direct computation, we decompose

$$\mathcal{I}_6 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} (\partial_t \varepsilon)) \eta dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \partial_t \eta dx = \mathcal{I}_{6,1} + \mathcal{I}_{6,2}.$$

From (3.17), (4.8), and integration by parts,

$$\mathcal{I}_{6,1} = -\frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\eta \partial_{x_1} \text{Mod}_1) dx. \quad (4.27)$$

Using again (3.17), (4.8), and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{6,2} &= -\frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} ((\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon)^2 - |\overline{\nabla}\varepsilon|^2) dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) \text{Mod}_2 dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_3 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V)) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_1 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N (\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) (G_2 - G_3) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Note that, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} \varepsilon (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V)) dx \\ &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon) dx + \mathcal{I}_6^1 + \mathcal{I}_6^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_6^1 = -\frac{2}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} (F(U+V+\varepsilon) - F(U+V) - f(U+V)\varepsilon) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{I}_6^2 = -2 \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k} (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon) dx.$$

From (3.10), (4.5), the decay properties of Q_n and $\Psi_{n,k}$ and Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_6^1| &\lesssim t^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left((|U|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{4}{3}}) \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{\frac{10}{3}} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} \left(t^{-1} \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{10}{3}} \right) \lesssim t^{-1} (t^{-\frac{34}{5}} + t^{-\frac{29}{3}}) \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9), (4.5) and Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_6^2| &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}| \left((|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}}) |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{7}{3}} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}| \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{9}{5}} (t^{-\frac{29}{5}} + t^{-\frac{20}{3}}) \lesssim t^{-\frac{38}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.11), (3.12) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N(\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_1 dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N(\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) (G_2 - G_3) dx \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} (\|G_1\|_{L^2} + \|G_2 - G_3\|_{L^2}) \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^{\frac{7}{3}} + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{29}{10}} \left(t^{-\frac{21}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{69}{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain (4.26).

In conclusion of estimates (4.25) and (4.26), we obtain (4.23).

Step 3. Time variation of \mathcal{G} . We claim

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_3 dx + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \ell_n(\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_{3,n} dx + O(t^{-7}). \quad (4.29)$$

By direct computation and integration by parts,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta G_3 dx + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \ell_n(\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon) G_{3,n} dx + \mathcal{I}_7 + \mathcal{I}_8 + \mathcal{I}_9,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_7 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_n} \dot{b}_{n,k} b_{n,k'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \varepsilon (f''(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k'}) dx, \\ \mathcal{I}_8 &= - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \dot{b}_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_{n,k} G_{3,n} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_9 = - \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \dot{b}_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_{n,k} G_{3,n'} dx. \end{aligned}$$

First, from (3.18), (4.5) and Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_7| &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k,k'=1}^{K_n} \left| \dot{b}_{n,k} b_{n,k'} \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\varepsilon| |f''(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k} \Psi_{n,k'}| dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}| \|\dot{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\dot{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k}^2 + t^{-4} \right) \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{9}{5}} \left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) t^{-\frac{29}{10}} \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, from (iii) of Lemma 2.1, we have for all $(n, k) \in I^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_{n,k} G_{3,n} dx &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k',k''=1}^{K_n} b_{n,k'} b_{n,k''} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_{n,k} (f''(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k'} \Psi_{n,k''}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k',k''=1}^K b_{n,k'} b_{n,k''} (1 - \ell_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} f''(Q) (\Psi_k \Psi_{k'} \Psi_{k''}) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{I}_8 = 0$. Last, from Lemma 3.1, (3.18) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_9| &\lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \sum_{k',k''=1}^{K_{n'}} \left| \dot{b}_{n,k} b_{n',k'} b_{n',k''} \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\Psi_{n,k} (f''(Q_{n'}) \Psi_{n',k'} \Psi_{n',k''})| dx \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k}^2 \left(\|\dot{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k}^2 + t^{-4} \right) \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} \left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) t^{-\frac{18}{5}} \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain (4.29).

Step 4. Conclusion. Combining estimates (4.18), (4.23) and (4.29), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5 + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}).$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = -\frac{1}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} \left(\eta^2 + (\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon)^2 + 2\frac{x_1}{t}(\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon)\eta - |\bar{\nabla}\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\Delta\varepsilon + f'(U)\varepsilon) \text{Mod}_1 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_N(\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon) \text{Mod}_2 dx,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_3 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1} Q_n (f(U+V+\varepsilon) - f(U+V) - f'(U+V)\varepsilon) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_4 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \eta (\text{Mod}_2 + \chi_N \partial_{x_1} \text{Mod}_1) dx, \quad \mathcal{J}_5 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\chi_N - \ell_n) (\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon) G_{3,n} dx.$$

Estimate on \mathcal{J}_1 . For $0 < \delta \ll 1$ small enough and T_0 large enough, we claim

$$-\mathcal{J}_1 \leq 2t^{-1}\mathcal{K} + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}). \quad (4.30)$$

From (4.13), (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(1-2\delta)t\mathcal{J}_1 &= \bar{\ell} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\chi_N}{\bar{\ell}} \partial_{x_1}\varepsilon + \eta \right)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\chi_N^2}{\bar{\ell}} \right) (\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon)^2 - |\bar{\nabla}\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad + (1-\bar{\ell}) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{x_1}{t} - \chi_N \right) (\partial_{x_1}\varepsilon)\eta dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}_{\Omega} + O\left(\delta \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^2\right) \leq (1 + O(\delta + T_0^{-\alpha}))\mathcal{K} + O(t^{-\frac{59}{10}}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting δ small enough and T_0 large enough in above estimate, we obtain (4.30).

Estimate on \mathcal{J}_2 . By integration by parts, (4.8) and $-(1-\ell_n^2)\partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k} - \bar{\Delta}\Psi_{n,k} - f'(Q_n)\Psi_{n,k} = 0$ for all $(n,k) \in I^0$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{J}_{2,1} + \mathcal{J}_{2,2} + \mathcal{J}_{2,3},$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_{2,1} = \frac{2}{(1-2\delta)t} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \text{Mod}_2 dx,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{2,2} = 2 \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \varepsilon (\ell_n - \chi_N) \ell_n \partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k} dx,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{2,3} = 2 \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \varepsilon (f'(U) - f'(Q_n)) \Psi_{n,k} dx.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.18), (4.5), (4.10) and the decay properties of $\Psi_{n,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{2,1}| &\lesssim t^{-1} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\dot{b}_{n,k}| \|\partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-7}, \\ |\mathcal{J}_{2,2}| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\dot{b}_{n,k}| \|(\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1}^2 \Psi_{n,k}\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

From (i) of Lemma 3.1 and (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f'(U) - f'(Q_n)) \Psi_{n,k} \|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n' \neq n} \left\| |Q_n|^{\frac{1}{3}} |Q_{n'}| \Psi_{n,k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \left\| |Q_{n'}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \Psi_{n,k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \lesssim t^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.18), (4.5) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{2,3}| & \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\dot{b}_{n,k}| \| (f'(U) - f'(Q_n)) \Psi_{n,k} \|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \\ & \lesssim t^{-2} \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering above estimates, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{J}_2| \lesssim |\mathcal{J}_{2,1}| + |\mathcal{J}_{2,2}| + |\mathcal{J}_{2,3}| \lesssim t^{-7}. \quad (4.31)$$

Estimate on \mathcal{J}_3 . From (3.9), (4.5), (4.12), Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the decay properties of Q_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_3| & \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^N \| (\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1} Q_n \|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| \left(|U|^{\frac{1}{3}} + |V|^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{7}{3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}} \\ & \lesssim \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \| (\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1} Q_n \|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Estimate on \mathcal{J}_4 . Note that,

$$\text{Mod}_2 + \chi_N \partial_{x_1} \text{Mod}_1 = - \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} \dot{b}_{n,k} (\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k}.$$

Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.18), (4.5) and (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_4| & \lesssim \|\eta\|_{L^2} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |\dot{b}_{n,k}| \| (\ell_n - \chi_N) \partial_{x_1} \Psi_{n,k} \|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\bar{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4} \right) \lesssim t^{-7}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Estimate on \mathcal{J}_5 . From (4.5), (4.11) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|\mathcal{J}_5| \lesssim \|\partial_{x_1} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 \| (\ell_n - \chi_N) f''(Q_n) \Psi_{n,k}^2 \|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-7}. \quad (4.34)$$

In conclusion of estimates (4.30), (4.31), (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34), for δ small enough and T_0 large enough, we obtain

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K} \leq 2t^{-1} \mathcal{K} + O(t^{-\frac{69}{10}}), \quad (4.35)$$

which implies (4.14). \square

4.4. End of the proof of Proposition 4.3. We start by improving all the estimates in (4.5) except the ones for the unstable directions $(a_{n,j}^+)_{(n,j) \in I}$.

Lemma 4.6 (Closing estimates except $(a_{n,j}^+)_{(n,j) \in I}$). *For all $t \in [T_*, T_m]$,*

$$\|\bar{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{-\frac{29}{10}}, \quad \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{-\frac{9}{5}}, \quad \sum_{(n,j) \in I} |a_{n,j}^-(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{-\frac{59}{10}}. \quad (4.36)$$

Proof. Step 1. Bound on the energy norm. First, from (4.1), (4.2) and $\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}(T_m)| \lesssim |\mathcal{E}(T_m)| + |\mathcal{P}(T_m)| + |\mathcal{G}(T_m)| \lesssim \|\tilde{\varepsilon}(T_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \lesssim T_m^{-6}.$$

Thus, integrating (4.14) on $[t, T_m]$ for all $t \in [T_*, T_m]$,

$$\mathcal{K}(t) \leq (t/T_m)^{-2} \mathcal{K}(T_m) + \nu^{-1} t^{-\frac{59}{10}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{59}{10}}.$$

Using (4.13), we have

$$\|\tilde{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{K}(t) + t^{-\frac{59}{10}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{59}{10}}.$$

Letting T_0 large enough, we conclude (4.36) on energy norm.

Step 2. Parameter estimates. Using again (4.1), (4.2) and $\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$,

$$\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}(T_m)| + \sum_{(n,j) \in I} |a_{n,j}^-(T_m)| \lesssim T_m^{-3}. \quad (4.37)$$

Integrating (3.18) on $[t, T_m]$ for all $t \in [T_*, T_m]$ and using (4.5), (4.37), we obtain

$$\sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}(t)| \lesssim \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}(T_m)| + \int_t^{T_m} s^{-\frac{29}{10}} ds \lesssim t^{-\frac{19}{10}}.$$

Letting T_0 large enough, we conclude (4.36) for $(b_{n,k})_{(n,k) \in I^0}$.

Now we prove the bound on $(a_{n,j}^-(t))_{(n,j) \in I}$. By direct computation, (3.19) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}t} (a_{n,j}^-(t))^2) &= e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}t} a_{n,j}^-(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} a_{n,j}^- - \alpha_{n,j} a_{n,j}^- \right) \\ &= e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}t} O \left(|a_{n,j}^-| (\|\tilde{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} |b_{n,k}|^2 + t^{-4}) \right) \\ &= e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}t} O \left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} \left(t^{-\frac{29}{5}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4} \right) \right) = e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}t} O(t^{-6}). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on $[t, T_m]$ for all $t \in [T_*, T_m]$ and using (4.37), we obtain

$$(a_{n,j}^-(t))^2 \lesssim e^{-2\alpha_{n,j}(T_m-t)} (a_{n,j}^-(T_m))^2 + \int_t^{T_m} e^{2\alpha_{n,j}(t-s)} s^{-6} ds \lesssim t^{-6},$$

which implies the estimates on $(a_{n,j}^-)_{(n,j) \in I}$ in (4.36) for taking T_0 large enough. \square

Last, we prove the existence of suitable parameters $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m)_{(n,j) \in I}$ by contradiction, using a topological argument.

Lemma 4.7 (Control of unstable directions). *There exist $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$ such that, for T_0 large enough, $T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) = T_0$. In particular, the solution \vec{u}_m with the initial data $\vec{u}_m(T_m)$ given by Lemma 4.1 satisfies (4.4).*

Note that Lemma 4.7 completes the proof of Proposition 4.3.

Proof. Let

$$a(t) = \sum_{(n,j) \in I} (a_{n,j}^+(t))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha} = \min_{(n,j) \in I} \alpha_{n,j} > 0.$$

We claim the following transversality property, for any $t \in [T_*, T_m]$ where it holds $a(t) = t^{-6}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (t^6 a(t)) \leq -\bar{\alpha}. \quad (4.38)$$

Indeed, from (3.19) and (4.5), for any $t \in [T_*, T_m]$ where it holds $a(t) = t^{-6}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}a(t) &= -2 \sum_{(n,j) \in I} \alpha_{n,j} (a_{n,j}^+(t))^2 + O\left(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|\vec{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{(n,k) \in I^0} b_{n,k}^2 + t^{-4}\right)\right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{(n,j) \in I} \alpha_{n,j} (a_{n,j}^+(t))^2 + O\left(t^{-\frac{29}{10}} \left(t^{-\frac{29}{5}} + t^{-\frac{18}{5}} + t^{-4}\right)\right) \\ &\leq -2\bar{\alpha}t^{-6} + O(t^{-\frac{13}{2}}) \leq -\frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}t^{-6}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.38) for T_0 large enough. This transversality property is enough to justify the existence of at least a couple $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$ such that $T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) = T_0$.

The proof is by contradiction, we assume that for any $\mathbf{a}_m = (a_{n,j}^m) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$, it holds $T_0 < T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) \leq T_m$. Then, a construction follows from the following discussion (see for instance more details in [5, 6] and [18, Lemma 4.2]).

Continuity of T_ .* The above transversality property (4.38) implies that the map

$$\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3}) \mapsto T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) \in (T_0, T_m]$$

is continuous and

$$T_*(\mathbf{a}_m) = T_m \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a}_m \in S_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3}).$$

Construction of a retraction. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma : \bar{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3}) &\mapsto S_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3}) \\ \mathbf{a}_m &\mapsto \left(\frac{T_*(\mathbf{a}_m)}{T_m}\right)^3 (a_{n,j}^+(T_*(\mathbf{a}_m)))_{(n,j) \in I}. \end{aligned}$$

From what precedes, Γ is continuous. Moreover, Γ restricted to $S_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$ is the identity. The existence of such a map is contradictory with the no retraction theorem for continuous maps from the ball to the sphere. Therefore, the existence of $\mathbf{a}_m \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}}(T_m^{-3})$ have proved. Then, the uniform estimates (4.4) is a consequence of Lemma 4.6. The proof of Lemma 4.7 is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. (French) *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976), no. 4, 573–598.
- [2] V. Combet, Multi-soliton solutions for the supercritical gKdV equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **36** (2011), no. 3, 380–419.
- [3] R. Côte and Y. Martel, Multi-travelling waves for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370** (2018), no. 10, 7461–7487.
- [4] R. Côte and Y. Martel, Correction: “Multi-travelling waves for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation”. Preprint 2020.
- [5] R. Côte, Y. Martel and F. Merle, Construction of multi-soliton solutions for the L^2 -supercritical gKdV and NLS equations. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **27** (2011), no. 1, 273–302.
- [6] R. Côte and C. Muñoz, Multi-solitons for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Forum Math. Sigma* **2** (2014), Paper No. e15, 38 pp.
- [7] M. del Pino, M. Musso, F. Pacard and A. Pistoia, Large energy entire solutions for the Yamabe equation. *J. Differential Equations* **251** (2011), no. 9, 2568–2597.
- [8] M. del Pino, M. Musso, F. Pacard and A. Pistoia, Torus action on S^n and sign changing solutions for conformally invariant equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **12** (2013), no. 1, 209–237.
- [9] W. Ding, On a conformally invariant elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^n . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **107** (1986), no. 2, 331–335.
- [10] T. Duyckaerts, C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, Solutions of the focusing nonradial critical wave equation with the compactness property. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **15** (2016), 731–808.

- [11] T. Duyckaerts, C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, Universality of blow-up profile for small radial type II blow-up solutions of the energy-critical wave equation. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **13** (2011), no. 3, 533–599.
- [12] J. Jendrej, Construction of type II blow-up solutions for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** (2017), no. 3, 866–917.
- [13] J. Jendrej, Construction of two-bubble solutions for energy-critical wave equations. *Amer. J. Math.* **141** (2019), no. 1, 55–118.
- [14] J. Jendrej and Y. Martel, Construction of multi-bubble solutions for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **139** (2020), 317–355.
- [15] C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation. *Acta Math.* **201** (2008), 147–212.
- [16] Y. Martel, Asymptotic N -soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 5, 1103–1140.
- [17] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Multi-solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **23** (2006), no. 6, 849–864.
- [18] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Construction of multi-solitons for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **222** (2016), no. 3, 1113–1160.
- [19] Y. Martel, F. Merle and T.-P. Tsai, Stability and asymptotic stability in the energy space of the sum of N solitons for subcritical gKdV equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), no. 2, 347–373.
- [20] F. Merle, Construction of solutions with exactly k blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **129** (1990), no. 2, 223–240.
- [21] V. Z. Meshkov, Weighted differential inequalities and their application for estimates of the decrease at infinity of the solutions of second-order elliptic equations. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **190** (1989), 139–158.
- [22] Mei Ming, F. Rousset, N. Tzvetkov, Multi-solitons and Related Solutions for the Water-waves System. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), no. 1, 897–954.
- [23] M. Musso and J. Wei, Nondegeneracy of nodal solutions to the critical Yamabe problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **340** (2015), no. 3, 1049–1107.
- [24] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **110** (1976), 353–372.
- [25] X. Yuan, On multi-solitons for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. *Nonlinearity* **32** (2019), no. 12, 5017–5048.

CMLS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, F-91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE.

Email address: xu.yuan@polytechnique.edu