

VOLUME DECAY AND CONCENTRATION OF HIGH-DIMENSIONAL EUCLIDEAN BALLS — A PDE AND VARIATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

SIRAN LI

ABSTRACT. It is a well-known fact — which can be shown by elementary calculus — that the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n decays to zero and simultaneously gets concentrated on the thin shell near the boundary sphere as $n \nearrow \infty$. Many rigorous proofs and heuristic arguments are provided for this fact from different viewpoints, including Euclidean geometry, convex geometry, Banach space theory, combinatorics, probability, discrete geometry, etc. In this note we give yet another two proofs via the regularity theory of elliptic partial differential equations and calculus of variations.

1. THE PROBLEM

A well-known fact in high-dimensional Euclidean geometry, with which we may be familiar since the very first calculus class, can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbf{B}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ be the Euclidean unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . The volume of \mathbf{B}^n gets concentrated near the boundary sphere $\partial\mathbf{B}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ and tends to 0 as $n \nearrow \infty$.*

Under the MathOverflow question “*What’s a nice argument that shows the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n approaches 0?*” posted about 10 years ago ([1]), nearly a dozen elegant and surprising answers are provided. Contributors to the solutions and discussions include many renowned mathematicians: Greg Kuperberg, Timothy Gowers, Ian Agol, Bill Johnson, Gil Kalai, Pete L. Clark, Anton Petrunin... The answers employ techniques from radically different fields of mathematics, ranging from combinatorics to the geometry of Banach spaces.

The aim of this note is give yet another two proofs of Theorem 1.1 using the knowledge about harmonic functions and/or harmonic maps. More generally, we show that

Theorem 1.2. *For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let u_n be a harmonic function in \mathbf{B}^n . Assume that the Dirichlet energies of u_n in \mathbf{B}^n are uniformly bounded. Then the energies decay to 0 and increasingly concentrate on $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ as $n \nearrow \infty$.*

Remark 1.3. *In view of Theorem 1.2, our proof of Theorem 1.1 shall assume a priori that $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ are uniformly bounded in n . In fact, $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ are known to be maximised at $n = 5$. On the other hand, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 for weakly harmonic functions, i.e. functions that satisfy the Laplace equation in the distributional sense.*

Date: March 14, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 51M04.

Key words and phrases. High-dimensional geometry; volume of balls.

2. THE STRATEGY

Consider a harmonic function $u = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) : \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\Delta u^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x_j^2} = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A fundamental property of harmonic function is the *energy decay* phenomenon: For each $R \in]0, 1[$, the Dirichlet energy

$$\mathbf{E}(R) := \int_{\mathbf{B}_R} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx$$

satisfies

$$\mathbf{E}(R/2) \leq \theta \mathbf{E}(R) \quad (2.2)$$

for some number θ strictly less than 1. Throughout $\mathbf{B}_R^n \equiv \mathbf{B}_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$; we drop the superscript n when there is no confusion about the dimension. By a standard argument in the regularity theory of elliptic PDEs, we may strengthen Eq. (2.2) to the following form: for any $0 < r < R \leq 1$ there holds

$$\mathbf{E}(r) \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\beta \mathbf{E}(R), \quad (2.3)$$

where C is a universal constant (namely, independent of any parameters) and $\beta \simeq n$. As n gets large, the factor $(r/R)^\beta$ decays exponentially. It means that, given two arbitrary concentric balls \mathbf{B}_R and \mathbf{B}_r , the Dirichlet energy \mathbf{E}_R is always concentrated in the shell $\mathbf{B}_R \sim \mathbf{B}_r$. One can now conclude by taking the identity harmonic map $u(x) = x$.

We may also deduce Theorem 1.1 from calculus of variations. It is well-known that harmonic functions (between Euclidean domains) are *Dirichlet energy minimisers*:

$$\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{B}^n} = \arg \min \left\{ \mathbf{E}[v] = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx : v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{B}^n) \text{ and } v(\omega) = \omega \text{ for all } \omega \in \partial \mathbf{B}^n \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ denotes the Sobolev space of finite-energy maps:

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbf{B}^n) := \left\{ w : \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (|\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2) dx \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Eq. (2.4) is tantamount to the stationariness of $u = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{B}^n}$ with respect to both *inner* and *outer variations*, *i.e.*, the one-parameter families of smooth variations which deform the domain and the range of u , respectively. These together imply that

$$\mathbf{E}(1) \leq c_1 \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 d\Sigma, \quad (2.6)$$

where ∇_{\tan} and $d\Sigma$ are respectively the gradient and surface measure on the unit sphere; $c_1 \sim \mathcal{O}(1/n)$. From here, a rescaling and iteration argument as before will lead us to Eq. (2.3).

In the following two sections we make the above discussions rigorous, thus giving two more proofs of Theorem 1.1. Our arguments can be found, in one form or another, in any standard textbook on elliptic PDEs and calculus of variations. We refer the readers to [2] by Qing Han and Fang-Hua Lin, and [4] by Leon Simon, among many other references. For background materials on mollifiers and elementary inequalities, see [3] by Elliott Lieb and Michael Loss.

3. THE PDE PROOF

3.1. Gradient estimate. Let us take $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ to be any weak (*i.e.*, distributional) solution for the Laplace equation (2.1). We show for all large p that the L^p -norm of ∇u over $\mathbf{B}_{1/2}$ can be controlled by the L^2 -norm of u over $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *For each $p \in]2, \infty[$, there is a constant C_2 depending only on p such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{B}_{1/2})} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{B}_1)}.$$

Proof. It is well-known that harmonic functions satisfy the mean-value property. So, for a symmetric mollifier J on \mathbb{R}^n , pointwise we have $u = J_\delta \star u$ for each $\delta \in]0, 1/2]$, where $J_\delta(x) := \delta^{-n} J(x/\delta)$ and \star is the convolution. Thus, by Young's convolution inequality we can bound

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{B}_{1/2})} \leq \|\nabla J_\delta\|_{L^q(\mathbf{B}_{1/2})} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{B}_1)},$$

where q is determined by $1/q = 1/p + 1/2$. A simple scaling argument gives us $\|\nabla J_\delta\|_{L^q(\mathbf{B}_{1/2})} \leq \delta^{-1} \|\nabla J\|_{L^q(\mathbf{B}_1)}$. Now one may complete the proof by fixing δ and J . \square

3.2. Energy decay. Next let us deduce that

Lemma 3.2. *For any $0 \leq r < R \leq 1$ there holds*

$$\mathbf{E}(r) \leq C_3 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\beta \mathbf{E}(R). \quad (3.1)$$

Here C_3 is a universal constant, and $\beta \in]n/2, n[$ is a dimensional constant. In fact, β can be chosen as close to n as we want.

Proof. By considering $u_R(x) := u(x/R)$ it suffices to prove for $R = 1$ and $r \in]0, 1/2]$. We apply the Hölder inequality, Lemma 3.1, and the scaling $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r)/\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_1^n) = r^n$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(r) &:= \int_{\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{B}_{1/2}} |\nabla u|^{2p} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} [\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r)]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq (C_2)^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{B}_1)}^2 [\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r)]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\equiv (C_2)^2 r^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} [\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_1^n)]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \mathbf{E}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here p is an arbitrary number in $]2, \infty[$. We select $\beta := \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$ and note that $\beta \nearrow n$ as $p \nearrow \infty$. In addition, the volume of the unit ball is uniformly bounded in n ; hence, there is a universal constant C_3 which bounds $(C_2)^2 [\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_1^n)]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ from the above. The proof is now complete. \square

3.3. Conclusion. Now we are at the stage of presenting

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.2, for each $r \in [0, 1[$ one has $\mathbf{E}(r) \leq C_3 r^\beta \mathbf{E}(1)$. Since $\beta \nearrow \infty$ and C_3 is universal, we have $\mathbf{E}(r) \searrow 0$ as $n \nearrow \infty$. Since $r \in [0, 1[$ is arbitrary, we can conclude that $\mathbf{E}(1) \searrow 0$. Energy concentration follows directly from Eq. (3.1). Hence Theorem 1.2 is proved. On the other hand, clearly $u = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{B}^n}$ is a harmonic function. Its Dirichlet energy is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(r) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla x|^2 dx = n \text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r).$$

Sending $r \nearrow 1$, we find that $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ decays no slower than $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$. This yields Theorem 1.1. \square

4. THE VARIATIONAL PROOF

4.1. Inner and outer variations. It is well-known that a harmonic function $u : \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a *Dirichlet-minimiser*; that is, u minimises $\mathbf{E}(1)$ among all the finite-energy maps attaining the same values on $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ (see Eq. (2.4)). In particular, consider the following two types of variations:

- **(Inner variation).** Consider $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi_{\text{in}}^t(x) := x + t\phi(x)$.
- **(Outer variation).** Consider $\tilde{\phi} \in C^\infty(\mathbf{B}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(x, u) = 0$ near $\partial\mathbf{B}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\nabla_u \tilde{\phi}(x, u)| \leq C_4$ and $|\tilde{\phi}(x, u)| + |\nabla_x \tilde{\phi}(x, u)| \leq C_5(1 + |u|)$ for universal constants C_4 and C_5 . Then we set $\psi_{\text{out}}^t(x, u) := u(x) + t\tilde{\phi}(x, u)$.

Here, ψ_{in}^t and ψ_{out}^t are one-parameter families of boundary-preserving diffeomorphisms obtained by deforming the domain and the range of u , respectively. The minimality of u yields

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left| \nabla(u \circ \psi_{\text{in}}^t(x)) \right|^2 dx \right\} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \left| \nabla \psi_{\text{out}}^t(x, u) \right|^2 dx \right\} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

As is standard in calculus of variation, we take $\phi(x) = \eta(|x|)x$ and $\tilde{\phi}(x, u) = \eta(|x|)u$. If, furthermore, the test function η is chosen to tend to the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{[0, r[}$, then a direct computation from Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2) gives us

$$(n-2) \int_{\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx = r \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla u|^2 d\Sigma - 2r \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}_r} |\partial_\nu u|^2 d\Sigma, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}_r} u^i (\partial_\nu u)^i d\Sigma. \quad (4.4)$$

In the above, $r \in [0, 1]$ is arbitrary, ν is the outward unit normal vectorfield, and $d\Sigma$ is the (Riemannian) surface measure as before.

4.2. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. In this subsection we show

Lemma 4.1. *If $u : \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a non-constant Dirichlet minimiser for $n \geq 3$, then*

$$\mathbf{E}(1) < \frac{2}{n-2} \mathbf{H}(1). \quad (4.5)$$

Definition 4.2. \mathbf{H} denotes the surface-Dirichlet energy:

$$\mathbf{H}(r) := \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}_r} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} u|^2 d\Sigma.$$

∇_{tan} is the tangential gradient on $\partial\mathbf{B}_r$, i.e., the gradient associated to the Levi-Civita connection on the round sphere $\partial\mathbf{B}_r$.

Assuming Lemma 4.1, we may immediately deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. By assumption, $\mathbf{H}(1)$ is bounded independent of n . Hence $\mathbf{E}(1) \searrow 0$ as $n \nearrow \infty$. The identity map $u(x) = x$ is a Dirichlet minimiser with $\mathbf{E}(r) = n\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r)$, so we have $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}^n) \searrow 0$. In fact, it follows that $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ decays no slower than $\mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$. \square

What's left now is to present a proof of Lemma 4.1. It follows fairly straightforwardly from the formulae of inner and outer variations, Eqs. (4.3) and (4.4).

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Assume for contradiction that $\mathbf{E}(1) \geq \frac{2}{n-2}\mathbf{H}(1)$. We may compute $\mathbf{H}(1)$ by subtracting the angular derivatives from the total derivatives:

$$\mathbf{H}(1) = \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 - |\partial_\nu u|^2) d\Sigma.$$

By Eq. (4.3) for inner variations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(1) &= \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 d\Sigma + \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 d\Sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 d\Sigma + \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is no greater than $(n-2)\mathbf{E}(1)/2$ by assumption. It implies that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} |\nabla u|^2 d\Sigma = 0.$$

But this forces $\partial_\nu u$ to vanish in the L^2 -norm on $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$, which in turn implies that $\mathbf{E}(1) = 0$ by the outer variation Eq. (4.4). Thus u is a constant on \mathbf{B}^n . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] MathOverflow question, “What’s a nice argument that shows the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n approaches 0?” <<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/8258/whats-a-nice-argument-that-shows-the-volume-of-the-unit-ball-in-mathbb-rn-a>>
- [2] Qing Han and Fang-Hua Lin, *Elliptic partial differential equations*, Second edition. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011
- [3] Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss, *Analysis: second edition*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001
- [4] Leon Simon, *Theorems on regularity and singularity of energy minimizing maps*, Based on lecture notes by Norbert Hungerbühler. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996

SIRAN LI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, MS 136 P.O. Box 1892, HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77251, USA.

E-mail address: Siran.Li@rice.edu