

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE SECOND BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR $p$ -LAPLACIAN ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

V. V. BROVKIN AND A. A. KON'KOV

ABSTRACT. We obtain necessary and sufficient existence conditions for solutions of the boundary value problem

$$\Delta_p u = f \quad \text{on } M, \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial M} = h,$$

where  $p > 1$  is a real number,  $M$  is a connected oriented complete Riemannian manifold with boundary, and  $\nu$  is the external normal vector to  $\partial M$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a connected oriented complete Riemannian manifold with boundary. We consider the problem

$$\Delta_p u = f \quad \text{on } M, \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial M} = h, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \nabla_i (g^{ij} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla_j u)$ ,  $p > 1$ , is the  $p$ -Laplace operator,  $\nu$  is the external normal vector to  $\partial M$ , and  $f$  and  $h$  are distributions from  $\mathcal{D}'(M)$  with  $\text{supp } h \subset \partial M$ .

As customary, by  $g_{ij}$  we denote the metric tensor consistent with the Riemannian connection and by  $g^{ij}$  we denote the dual metric tensor, i.e.  $g_{ij} g^{jk} = \delta_i^k$ . In so doing,  $|\nabla u| = (g^{ij} \nabla_i u \nabla_j u)^{1/2}$ . Following [9], by  $W_{p,loc}^1(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is an open subset of  $M$ , we mean the space of measurable functions belonging to  $W_p^1(\omega' \cap \omega)$  for any open set  $\omega' \subset M$  with compact closure. The space  $L_{p,loc}(\omega)$  is defined analogously.

A function  $u \in W_{p,loc}^1(M)$  is called a solution of problem (1.1) if

$$-\int_M g^{ij} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla_j u \nabla_i \varphi \, dV = (f - h, \varphi)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where  $dV$  is the volume element of the manifold  $M$ .

As a condition at infinity, we require that solutions of (1.1) satisfy the relation

$$\int_M |\nabla u|^p \, dV < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Denote for brevity

$$F = f - h. \quad (1.3)$$

In the partial case of  $f \in L_{1,loc}(M)$  and  $h \in L_{1,loc}(\partial M)$ , we obviously have

$$(F, \varphi) = \int_M f \varphi \, dV - \int_{\partial M} h \varphi \, dS$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where  $dV$  is the volume element of  $M$  and  $dS$  is the volume element of  $\partial M$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The capacity of a compact set  $K \subset \omega$  relative to an open set  $\omega \subset M$  is defined by

$$\text{cap}_p(K, \omega) = \inf_{\varphi} \int_{\omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$  that are identically equal to one in a neighborhood of  $K$ . In the case of  $\omega = M$ , we write  $\text{cap}_p(K)$  instead of  $\text{cap}_p(K, M)$ . For an arbitrary closed set  $H \subset M$ , we put

$$\text{cap}_p(H) = \sup_K \text{cap}_p(K),$$

where the supremum is taken over all compact sets  $K \subset H$ . The capacity of the empty set is assumed to be equal to zero.

In the case of  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , the capacity  $\text{cap}_2(K)$  coincides with the well-known Wiener capacity [8]. It can be easily shown that  $\text{cap}_p(K, \omega)$  has the following natural properties.

(a) *Monotonicity.* If  $K_1 \subset K_2$  and  $\omega_2 \subset \omega_1$ , then

$$\text{cap}_p(K_1, \omega_1) \leq \text{cap}_p(K_2, \omega_2).$$

(b) *Semi-additivity.* If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact subsets of an open set  $\omega$ , then

$$\text{cap}_p(K_1 \cup K_2, \omega) \leq \text{cap}_p(K_1, \omega) + \text{cap}_p(K_2, \omega).$$

**Definition 1.2.** Manifold  $M$  is called  $p$ -hyperbolic, if its capacity is positive, i.e.  $\text{cap}_p(M) > 0$ ; otherwise this manifold is called  $p$ -parabolic.

If  $M$  is a compact manifold, it is obviously  $p$ -parabolic. It can be also shown that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $p$ -parabolic manifold for  $p \geq n$  and a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold for  $p < n$ .

By  $L_p^1(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is an open subset of  $M$ , we denote the space of distributions  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$  for which  $\nabla u \in L_p(\omega)$ . The semi norm in  $L_p^1(\omega)$  is defined as

$$\|u\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} = \left( \int_{\omega} |\nabla u|^p dV \right)^{1/p}.$$

It is known [10] that  $L_p^1(\omega) \subset L_p(K)$  for any compact set  $K \subset \omega$ . It can be also shown that  $L_p^1(\omega)/\langle 1 \rangle$  is a uniformly convex and therefore reflexive Banach space. By  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  we denote the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\omega)$  in  $L_p^1(\omega)$ . By  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*$  we mean the dual space to  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  or, in other words, the space of linear continuous functionals on  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$ . The norm of a functional  $l \in \mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*$  is defined as

$$\|l\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega), \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\omega)}=1} |(l, \varphi)|.$$

For the solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2) it is necessary and sufficient that the functional  $F$  defined by (1.3) is continuous in the space  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ . Indeed, if  $u$  is a solution of (1.1), (1.2), then

$$- \int_M g^{ij} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla_j u \nabla_i \varphi dV = (F, \varphi) \quad (1.4)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , whence in accordance with the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq \|u\|_{L_p^1(M)}^{p-1} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}.$$

Defining  $F$  by continuity to the whole space  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ , we complete the proof of necessity. To prove sufficiency, let us take a sequence  $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(M)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} J(\varphi_i) = \inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)} J(\varphi),$$

where

$$J(\varphi) = \frac{1}{p} \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV + (F, \varphi).$$

Since  $F$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ , the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is bounded in the semi norm of the space  $L_p^1(M)$ , in particular,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} J(\varphi_i) = \inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)} J(\varphi) > -\infty.$$

We select from the sequence  $\varphi_i + \langle 1 \rangle \in L_p^1(M)/\langle 1 \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , a subsequence  $\varphi_{i_j} + \langle 1 \rangle$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , converging weakly to some element  $u + \langle 1 \rangle$  of the space  $L_p^1(M)/\langle 1 \rangle$ . In view of the reflexivity of  $L_p^1(M)/\langle 1 \rangle$ , such a sequence exists. We denote by  $R_m$  the convex hull of the set  $\{\varphi_{i_j}\}_{j \geq m}$ . By Mazur's theorem, there is a sequence  $r_m \in R_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\|u - r_m\|_{L_p^1(M)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $r_m \in C_0^\infty(M)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , this implies the inclusion  $u \in \mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ . We can also assert that

$$J(r_m) \leq \sup_{j \geq m} J(\varphi_{i_j}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

as  $J$  is a convex functional. Passing to the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality, we obtain

$$J(u) \leq \inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)} J(\varphi).$$

Since the converse inequality is obvious, this yields

$$J(u) = \inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)} J(\varphi),$$

whence (1.4) follows according to the variational principle. Thus,  $u$  is a solution of problem (1.1), (1.2).

Exterior boundary value problems traditionally attract the attention of mathematicians [1–7]. In the paper presented to your attention, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the Neumann problem on Riemannian manifolds. These conditions are different for  $p$ -hyperbolic and  $p$ -parabolic manifolds. For example, in the simple case that  $M = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ , where  $B_1$  is a unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the exterior Neumann problem

$$\Delta_p u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, \quad \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial B_1} = h, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |\nabla u|^p dx < \infty$$

has a solution for any function  $h \in L_{p/(p-1)}(\partial B_1)$  if  $n > p$ . On the other hand, in the case of  $n \leq p$ , for a solution to exist it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\int_{\partial B_1} h dS = 0.$$

In this sense,  $p$ -parabolic manifolds are similar to bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2).

2. THE CASE OF THE FUNCTIONAL  $F$  WITH A COMPACT SUPPORT

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold and the functional  $F$  defined by (1.3) have a compact support. Then for problem (1.1), (1.2) to have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that  $F$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  for some open set  $\omega$  such that  $\text{supp } F \subset \omega$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -parabolic manifold and the functional  $F$  defined by (1.3) have a compact support. Then for problem (1.1), (1.2) to have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that  $F$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  for some open set  $\omega$  such that  $\text{supp } F \subset \omega$  and, moreover,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (F, \eta_s) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for some sequence  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$  such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_s\|_{L_p^1(M)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_s|_K = 1, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $K$  is a compact set of positive measure.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold with compact boundary and  $h$  be a functional from  $\mathcal{D}'(M)$  such that  $\text{supp } h \subset \partial M$ . Then the problem*

$$\Delta_p u = 0 \quad \text{on } M, \quad \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial M} = h, \quad (2.3)$$

has a solution satisfying condition (1.2) if and only if  $h$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  for some open set  $\omega$  such that  $\partial M \subset \omega$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -parabolic manifold with compact boundary and  $h$  be a functional from  $\mathcal{D}'(M)$  such that  $\text{supp } h \subset \partial M$ . Then for problem (2.3), (1.2) to have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that  $h$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  for some open set  $\omega$  such that  $\partial M \subset \omega$  and, moreover,*

$$(h, 1) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 immediately follow from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Assuming without loss of generality that  $\overline{\omega}$  is a compact set, we only note that condition (2.4) implies (2.1) for any sequence  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$  satisfying (2.2), where  $K = \overline{\omega}$ . In the case of  $p$ -parabolic manifold, such a sequence obviously exists. On the other hand, if  $h \in \mathring{L}_p^1(M)^*$ , then (2.1) is valid for any sequence  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$  satisfying (2.2), where  $K = \overline{\omega}$ . This in turn implies the validity of (2.4).

To prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\text{cap}(K) = 0$  for some compact set  $K$  of positive measure. Then  $M$  is a  $p$ -parabolic manifold.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold. Then for any compact set  $K$  the space  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$  is continuously embedded in  $L_p(K)$ . In other words,*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_p(K)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(M)} \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where the constant  $C > 0$  does not depend of  $\varphi$ .

In the case of  $p = 2$ , Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in [5, Chapter 3, §1]. For  $p > 1$ , they are proved in a similar way. For the convenience of readers, we give this proof in full.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Let  $H$  be a compact set containing  $K$  and  $\omega$  be a domain with compact closure such that  $H \subset \omega$ . We represent  $M$  as a union of Lipschitz domains  $\omega_i$  with compact closures such that  $\bar{\omega} \subset \omega_i \subset \omega_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let us denote by  $u_i$  the solution of the problem

$$\Delta_p u_i = 0 \quad \text{on } \omega_i \setminus K, \quad u_i|_K = 1, \quad u_i|_{\partial\omega_i} = 0, \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\omega_i \cap \partial M} = 0.$$

By the maximum principle, we have  $0 \leq u_i(x) \leq u_{i+1}(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . At the same time,

$$\|u_i\|_{L_p^1(\omega_i)} = \text{cap}_p(K, \omega_i) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a fundamental sequence in  $W_p^1(\omega)$ . Since  $\text{mes } K > 0$ , we obviously obtain  $u_i \rightarrow 1$  in  $W_p^1(\omega)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . It is known [9] that the sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is bounded in a Hölder norm in some neighborhood of the set  $\partial\omega$ ; therefore, it has a subsequence converging to one uniformly on  $\partial\omega$ . By the maximum principle, on the set  $\omega$  the function  $u_i$  does not exceed one and is not less than the exact lower bound of this function on  $\partial\omega$ . Thus,  $u_i \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\omega$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, let us take a function  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  which is equal to zero on  $(-\infty, 1/4]$  and is equal to one on  $[3/4, \infty)$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta \circ u_i \in \dot{W}_p^1(\omega_i)$  and, moreover,  $\eta \circ u_i = 1$  on  $\omega$  for all enough large  $i$ . Since

$$\|\eta \circ u_i\|_{L_p^1(\omega_i)} \leq \|\eta'\|_{C(\mathbb{R})} \|u_i\|_{L_p^1(\omega_i)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

this allows us to assert that

$$\text{cap}_p(H) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta \circ u_i\|_{L_p^1(\omega_i)} = 0.$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* We take a Lipschitz domain  $\omega$  with compact closure such that  $K \subset \omega$ . Assume to the contrary that there is a sequence of functions  $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , satisfying the conditions

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_i\|_{L_p^1(M)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi_i\|_{L_p(\omega)} = \text{mes } \omega > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

Since  $W_p^1(\omega)$  is completely continuously embedded in  $L_p(\omega)$ , there is a subsequence of the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converging in  $L_p(\omega)$ . In order not to clutter up indices, we denote this subsequence also by  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . In view of (2.6), we have  $\varphi_i \rightarrow 1$  in  $W_p^1(\omega)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore, some subsequence of this sequence which we again denote by  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to one almost everywhere on  $\omega$ . According to Egorov's theorem, there is a set  $E \subset \omega$  of positive measure such that  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  tends to one uniformly on  $E$ . Since  $\varphi_i$  are continuous functions, the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  also tends to one uniformly on the compact set  $\bar{E}$ . Thus, taking the function  $\eta$  the same as in the proof of the Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{cap}_p(\bar{E}) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta \circ \varphi_i\|_{L_p^1(M)} = 0,$$

whence in accordance with Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $M$  is a  $p$ -parabolic manifold. This contradiction proves the inequality

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_p(\omega)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where the constants  $C > 0$  does not depend of  $\varphi$ , from which (2.5) follows at once. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* The necessity is obvious. Indeed, from the continuity of the functional  $F$  in the space  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ , it follows that  $F$  is also continuous in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)$  for any open subset  $\omega$  of the manifolds  $M$ . We prove the sufficiency. Assume that  $F \in \mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*$  for some open set  $\omega$  with  $\text{supp } F \subset \omega$ . Let us show that  $F \in \mathring{L}_p^1(M)^*$ . Take a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$  equal to one in a neighborhood of  $\text{supp } F$ . It is easy to see that

$$|(F, \psi\varphi)| \leq \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} \|\psi\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\omega)}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , whence in accordance with the fact that  $(F, \varphi) = (F, \psi\varphi)$  and

$$\|\psi\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} \leq \|\psi\|_{C(\omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{C(\omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_p(\text{supp } \psi)}$$

we obtain

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} \left( \|\psi\|_{C(\omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{C(\omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_p(\text{supp } \psi)} \right)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ . At the same time, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_p(\text{supp } \psi)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where the constant  $C > 0$  does not depend of  $\varphi$ .

Thus, to complete the proof, it remains to combine the last two estimates.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* As in the case of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the sufficiency as the necessity is obvious. Let  $\Omega$  be a Lipschitz domain with compact closure containing  $K$  and  $\text{supp } F$ . Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that the norms  $\|\eta_s\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)}$  are bounded by a constant independent of  $s$ . If the last condition is not valid, we replace  $\eta_s$  with

$$\tilde{\eta}_s(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \eta_s(x) \leq 0, \\ \eta_s(x), & 0 < \eta_s(x) < 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq \eta_s(x), \end{cases} \quad s = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Since  $W_p^1(\Omega)$  is completely continuous embedded in  $L_p(\Omega)$ , there exists a subsequence of the sequence  $\{\eta_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$  converging in  $L_p(\Omega)$ . Denote this subsequence also by  $\{\eta_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$ . In view of (2.2), we obtain

$$\|1 - \eta_s\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Assume that  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ . By the Poincare inequality,

$$\int_\Omega |\varphi - \alpha|^p dV \leq C \int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi|^p dV, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Omega} \int_\Omega \varphi dV. \quad (2.10)$$

Hereinafter in the proof of Theorem 2.2, by  $C$  we mean various positive constants depending only on  $p$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\Omega$ , and the support of the functional  $F$ . Take a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\omega \cap \Omega)$  equal to one in a neighborhood of  $\text{supp } F$ . Let us denote

$$\varphi'_j = (\varphi - \alpha\eta_j)(1 - \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi''_j = (\varphi - \alpha\eta_j)\psi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.11)$$

We have  $\varphi = \varphi'_j + \varphi''_j + \alpha\eta_j$ ; therefore,

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq |(F, \varphi'_j)| + |(F, \varphi''_j)| + |\alpha| |(F, \eta_j)|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.12)$$

Since  $(F, \varphi'_j) = 0$  and  $\varphi''_j \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ , this obviously implies the estimate

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq \|F\|_{\dot{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} \|\varphi''_j\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} + |\alpha| |(F, \eta_j)|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Combining it with the inequality

$$\|\varphi''_j\|_{L_p^1(\omega)} \leq \|\psi\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(F, \varphi)| &\leq C \|F\|_{\dot{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} \left( \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\quad + |\alpha| |(F, \eta_j)|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in the last formula as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  with account of the relations

$$\|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p^1(M)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)} + |\alpha| \|\eta_j\|_{L_p^1(M)} \rightarrow \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &= \|\varphi - \alpha + \alpha(1 - \eta_j)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi - \alpha\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + |\alpha| \|1 - \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega)} + |\alpha| \|1 - \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \rightarrow C \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega)} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

we have

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq C \|F\|_{\dot{L}_p^1(\omega)^*} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3. THE CASE OF THE GENERAL FUNCTIONAL $F$

We assume that the manifold  $M$  admits a locally finite cover

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \quad (3.1)$$

of the multiplicity  $k < \infty$ , where  $\Omega_i$  are Lipschitz domains with compact closure such that  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . In so doing, let  $\gamma : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function separated from zero and infinity on every compact subset of the manifold  $M$  and  $\psi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_i)$  be a partition of unity on  $M$  such that

$$|\nabla \psi_i(x)|^p \leq \gamma(x), \quad x \in \Omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

We need the following well-known assertion.

**Lemma 3.1** (Poincare inequality). *Let  $\omega$  be a Lipschitz domain with compact closure. Then*

$$\int_{\omega} \gamma |u - \bar{u}| dV \leq C \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV \quad (3.3)$$

for any function  $u \in W_1^1(\omega)$ , where

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\int_{\omega} u dV}{\int_{\omega} \gamma dV}$$

and the constant  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $u$ .

We also assume that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \left( \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_i} \gamma dV} + \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma dV} \right) \sum_{j=1}^i \int_{\Omega_j} \gamma dV < \infty \quad (3.4)$$

in the case of the  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold  $M$  and

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \left( \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_i} \gamma dV} + \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma dV} \right) \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_j} \gamma dV < \infty \quad (3.5)$$

in the case of the  $p$ -parabolic manifold  $M$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of positive integers and  $C_i > 0$  is the constant in (3.3) for  $\omega = \Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold. Then for problem (1.1), (1.2) to have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{\dot{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} < \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $F$  is defined by (1.3).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -parabolic manifold. Then for problem (1.1), (1.2) to have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that (3.6) holds and, moreover, conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are valid for some sequence  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$ .*

The proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 relies on Lemmas 3.2 – 3.4.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are measurable subsets of a Lipschitz domain  $\omega \Subset M$  such that*

$$\gamma_i = \int_{\omega_i} \gamma dV > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \int_{\omega_1} \gamma |u| dV \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \right) \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \int_{\omega_2} \gamma |u| dV$$

for any function  $u \in W_1^1(\omega)$ , where  $C > 0$  is the constant in (3.3).

*Proof.* Taking into account (3.3), we have

$$\int_{\omega_1} \gamma |u - \bar{u}| dV \leq C \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV.$$

By the inequality  $|u| - |\bar{u}| \leq |u - \bar{u}|$ , this implies that

$$\int_{\omega_1} \gamma |u| dV - \int_{\omega_1} \gamma |\bar{u}| dV \leq C \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV$$

or, in other words,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \int_{\omega_1} \gamma |u| dV \leq \frac{C}{\gamma_1} \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV + |\bar{u}|. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.3), we also have

$$\int_{\omega_2} \gamma |u - \bar{u}| dV \leq C \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV,$$



whence in accordance with the inequality  $|\bar{u}| - |u| \leq |u - \bar{u}|$  we immediately obtain

$$\int_{\omega_2} \gamma |\bar{u}| dV - \int_{\omega_2} \gamma |u| dV \leq C \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV.$$

This is obviously equivalent to

$$|\bar{u}| \leq \frac{C}{\gamma_2} \int_{\omega} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\nabla u| dV + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \int_{\omega_2} \gamma |u| dV.$$

Combining the last formula with (3.7), we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let the cover (3.1) satisfies condition (3.4). Then*

$$\int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \leq C \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV \quad (3.8)$$

for any function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ , where the constant  $C > 0$  depend only on  $p$ , the multiplicity of the cover (3.1), and the left-hand side of (3.4).

*Proof.* We denote by  $C_i > 0$  the constant in (3.3) for  $\omega = \Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}$ . Put

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \int_{\Omega_j} \gamma dV \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i = \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma dV, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us also assume that  $S_0 = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_i - S_{i-1}}{\gamma_i} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV - \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \right), \end{aligned}$$

whence in accordance with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV &\leq C_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \right) p \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\varphi|^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi| dV \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \end{aligned}$$

which follows from Lemma 3.2 we arrive at the estimate

$$\int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i C_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \right) p \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\varphi|^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi| dV. \quad (3.9)$$

At the same time, from Jensen's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_i C_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \right) p \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\varphi|^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi| dV &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \\ &\quad + A S_i^p C_i^p \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \right)^p \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} |\nabla \varphi|^p dV \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where the constant  $A > 0$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$  and  $p$ ; therefore, (3.9) allows us to assert that

$$\int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \leq 2k\varepsilon \int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV + B \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $k$  is the multiplicity of the cover (3.1) and  $B > 0$  is a constant, depending only on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p$ ,  $k$ , and the left hand-side of (3.4). Thus, taking sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  in the last inequality, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let the cover (3.1) satisfy condition (3.5). Then inequality (3.8) is valid for any function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$  equal to zero on  $\Omega_1$ , where the constant  $C > 0$  depends only on  $p$ , the multiplicity of the cover (3.1) and the left-hand side of (3.5).*

*Proof.* We put

$$S_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_j} \gamma dV \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i = \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma dV, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Condition (3.5), in particular, means that  $S_i < \infty$  for all positive integers  $i$ . As before, by  $C_i > 0$  we denote the constant in inequality (3.3) for  $\omega = \Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}$ .

It can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_i - S_{i+1}}{\gamma_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV - \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \right), \end{aligned}$$

whence in accordance with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_{i+1}} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV &\leq C_i \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}} \right) p \int_{\Omega_i \cup \Omega_{i+1}} \gamma^{1-1/p} |\varphi|^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi| dV \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV \end{aligned}$$

which follows from Lemma 3.2 we obtain (3.9). In conclusion, it remains to repeat the arguments given in the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If the cover (3.1) satisfies condition (3.4), then the manifold  $M$  is  $p$ -hyperbolic.*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $K$  be a compact set of positive measure. Using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$0 < \int_K \gamma dV \leq C \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV$$

for any function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$  equal to one in a neighborhood of  $K$ , where the constant  $C > 0$  does not depend of  $\varphi$ . Thus,  $\text{cap}(K) > 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We shall follow the idea given in [11]. Assume that problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution. In this case,  $F$  is a continuous functional in  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ . Let us show the validity of (3.6). We take functions  $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_i)$  such that

$$\|\varphi_i\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (F, \varphi_i) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Putting

$$\Phi_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{1/(p-1)} \varphi_i(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have

$$(F, \Phi_j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand,

$$(F, \Phi_j) \leq \|F\|_{L_p^1(M)^*} \|\Phi_j\|_{L_p^1(M)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, taking in to account the relation

$$\|\Phi_j\|_{L_p^1(M)}^p = \int_M |\nabla \Phi_j|^p dV \leq k^p \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \int_{\Omega_i} |\nabla \varphi_i|^p dV = k^p \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)},$$

where  $k$  is the multiplicity of the cover (3.1), we obtain

$$(F, \Phi_j) \leq k \|F\|_{L_p^1(M)^*} \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{1/p}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Combining the last inequality with (3.10), we conclude that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^j \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{1-1/p} \leq 2k \|F\|_{L_p^1(M)^*}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

whence (3.6) follows in the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, we show that (3.6) implies the continuity of the functional  $F$  in  $L_p^1(M)$ . Really, let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ . Since  $\text{supp } \varphi$  is a compact set and (3.1) is a locally finite cover, the support of  $\varphi$  can intersect only with a finite number of domains  $\Omega_i$ . Consequently,

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i \varphi,$$

where almost all terms in the right-hand side are equal to zero, whence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(F, \varphi)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(F, \psi_i \varphi)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p = \int_{\Omega_i} |\nabla(\psi_i \varphi)|^p dV \leq 2^p \int_{\Omega_i} |\nabla \psi_i|^p |\varphi|^p dV + 2^p \int_{\Omega_i} \psi_i^p |\nabla \varphi|^p dV,$$

whence in accordance with (3.2) and the fact that  $0 \leq \psi_i^p \leq \psi_i \leq 1$  on  $\Omega_i$  we obtain

$$\|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p \leq 2^p \int_{\Omega_i} \gamma |\varphi|^p dV + 2^p \int_{\Omega_i} \psi_i |\nabla \varphi|^p dV, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p \leq 2^p k \int_M \gamma |\varphi|^p dV + 2^p \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV,$$

where  $k$  is the multiplicity of the cover (3.1). By Lemma 3.3, this implies the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\psi_i \varphi\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p \leq C \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV,$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  depends only on  $p$ ,  $k$ , and the left-hand side of (3.4). Thus, relation (3.11) allows us to assert that

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq C^{1/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^p dV \right)^{1/p}. \quad (3.12)$$

Theorem 3.1 is completely proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* The necessity is proved in the same way as in the case of Theorem 3.1. We only note that since the manifold  $M$  is  $p$ -parabolic, there is a sequence  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$  satisfying (2.2). This sequence also satisfies (2.1) as the existence of a solution of problem (1.1), (1.2) implies that  $F$  is a continuous functional in the space  $\mathring{L}_p^1(M)$ .

We prove the sufficiency. Let  $\eta_s \in C_0^\infty(M)$  be a sequence satisfying conditions (2.1), (2.2). Take a Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$  with compact closure such that  $K \subset \Omega$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$ . Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that the norms  $\|\eta_s\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)}$  are bounded by a constant independent of  $s$ ; otherwise we replace  $\eta_s$  with (2.7). Since  $W_p^1(\Omega)$  is completely continuously embedded in  $L_p(\Omega)$ , there exists a subsequence of the sequence  $\{\eta_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$  converging in  $L_p(\Omega)$ . For this subsequence we keep the same notation  $\{\eta_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$ . Taking into account (2.2), one can assert that (2.8) is valid.

We agree to denote by  $C$  various positive constants depending only on  $p$ , the cover (3.1), the partition of unity  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , the set  $\Omega$ , and the left-hand side of (3.5). Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$  and, moreover,  $\alpha$  be the real number defined by (2.10). In view of the Poincaré inequality, estimate (2.9) holds. Also assume that  $\varphi'_j$  and  $\varphi''_j$  are defined by (2.11), where  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is some function equal to one on  $\Omega_1$ . For any positive integer  $j$  we have

$$\varphi'_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi'_j \psi_i,$$

where almost all terms in the right-hand side are equal to zero; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(F, \varphi'_j)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(F, \psi_i \varphi'_j)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \|\psi_i \varphi'_j\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\psi_i \varphi'_j\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, replacing in the arguments with which estimate (3.12) was obtained the function  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi'_j$  and Lemma 3.3 by Lemma 3.4, we arrive at the inequality

$$|(F, \varphi'_j)| \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{\mathring{L}_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_M |\nabla \varphi'_j|^p dV \right)^{1/p}. \quad (3.13)$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$\left( \int_M |\nabla \varphi'_j|^p dV \right)^{1/p} \leq \|1 - \psi\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p^1(M)} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

and, moreover, (2.13) and (2.14) are valid; therefore, (3.13) implies the estimate

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |(F, \varphi'_j)| \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \Omega$ , the function  $\varphi''_j$  can be represented as

$$\varphi''_j = \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} (\varphi - \alpha \eta_j) \psi \psi_i.$$

We note that the family of domains  $\Omega_i$  satisfying the condition  $\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset$  is finite as  $\overline{\Omega}$  is a compact set and the cover (3.1) is locally finite. Hence,

$$|(F, \varphi''_j)| \leq \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} |(F, (\varphi - \alpha \eta_j) \psi \psi_i)| \leq \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \|(\varphi - \alpha \eta_j) \psi \psi_i\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)}.$$

At the same time,

$$\|(\varphi - \alpha \eta_j) \psi \psi_i\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)} \leq \|\psi \psi_i\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\nabla(\psi \psi_i)\|_{C(\Omega)} \|\varphi - \alpha \eta_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

whence in accordance with (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi - \alpha \eta_j) \psi \psi_i\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}$$

for all  $i$  such that  $\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, one can assert that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |(F, \varphi''_j)| \leq C \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}.$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \leq N^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p},$$

where  $N$  is the number of domains  $\Omega_i$  satisfying the condition  $\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset$ ; therefore,

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |(F, \varphi''_j)| \leq C \left( \sum_{\Omega \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}.$$

Combining this with (2.1), (2.12), and (3.14), we have

$$|(F, \varphi)| \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|F\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*}^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \|\varphi\|_{L_p^1(M)}.$$

Theorem 3.2 is completely proved.  $\square$

*Example 3.1.* Let  $M$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\{x = (x', x_n) : |x'| \leq x_n^\lambda, x_n \geq 0\}$  with a smoothed boundary near zero, where  $n \geq 2$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  is some real number.

The manifold  $M$  is  $p$ -hyperbolic if and only if

$$n > p \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda > (p-1)/(n-1). \quad (3.15)$$

Indeed, if at least one of the inequalities in (3.15) is not valid, then taking

$$\varphi_{r,R}(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{\ln \frac{R}{|x|}}{\ln \frac{R}{r}}\right), \quad 0 < r < R,$$

where  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is some function equal to zero in a neighborhood of  $(-\infty, 0]$  and to one in the neighborhood of  $[1, \infty)$ , we immediately obtain

$$\text{cap}_p(\overline{B}_r) \leq \int_M |\nabla \varphi_{r,R}|^p dV \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $r > 0$ , where  $B_r = \{x \in M : |x| < r\}$ . Thus,  $\text{cap}(M) = 0$ .

On the other hand, if both inequalities in (3.15) are valid, then taking  $\Omega_1 = \{x \in M : |x| < 4\}$ ,  $\Omega_i = \{x \in M : 2^{i-1} < |x| < 2^{i+1}\}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $\gamma(x) = c(1 + |x|)^{-p}$ , where  $c > 0$  is enough large real number, we can construct a partition of unity  $\psi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_i)$  satisfying condition (3.2). Since (3.4) holds, Corollary 3.1 implies that  $M$  is a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold.

*Example 3.2.* Let  $M$  be the manifold from Example 3.1. We assume that  $h$  is a measure on  $\partial M$  with the density  $(1 + |x|)^\sigma$ . If  $M$  is a  $p$ -hyperbolic manifold or, in other words, inequalities (3.15) are fulfilled, then in accordance with Theorem 3.1 problem (2.3), (1.2) has a solution if and only if

$$\sigma < \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda n(p-1)}{p} - (1-\lambda)\left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right), & \lambda < 1, \\ -\frac{n(p-1)}{p}, & 1 \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, by estimates based on the embedding theorems, we can show that

$$\|h\|_{L_p^1(\Omega_i)^*} \asymp \begin{cases} 2^{i(\sigma + \lambda n(p-1)/p + (1-\lambda)(2-1/p))}, & \lambda < 1, \\ 2^{i(\sigma + n(p-1)/p)}, & 1 \leq \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is the cover constructed in Example 3.1.

Let us note that, for  $p$ -parabolic manifold  $M$ , problem (2.3), (1.2) has no solutions for any  $\sigma$  as condition (2.1) is not fulfilled.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. Gadyl'shin, G. A. Chechkin, The boundary value problem for the Laplacian with rapidly changing type of boundary conditions in a multidimensional domain, *Sib. Math. J.* 40 (1999) 229–244.
- [2] A. A. Grigor'yan, Dimension of spaces of harmonic functions, *Math. Notes*, 48:5 (1990) 1114–1118.
- [3] V. N. Denisov, Necessary and sufficient conditions of stabilization of solutions of the first boundary-value problem for a parabolic equation, *J. Math. Sci.* 19 (2014) 303–324.
- [4] V. A. Kondratiev, O. A. Oleinik, Time-periodic solutions of a second-order parabolic equation in exterior domains, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1. Mat. Mekh.*, 1985, no. 4, 38–47.
- [5] A. A. Kon'kov, Uniqueness theorems for elliptic equations in unbounded domains, PhD Thesis, Moscow Lomonosov State University, 1988.
- [6] A. A. Kon'kov, On the dimension of the solution space of elliptic systems in unbounded domains *Sbornik Mathematics* 80:2 (1995) 411–434.
- [7] L. D. Kudryavtsev, The solution of the first boundary-value problem for self-adjoint elliptic equations in the case of an unbounded region, *Math. USSR-Izv.* 1:5 (1967) 1131–1151.
- [8] N. S. Landkof, Foundations of modern potential theory, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 180, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

- [9] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, N. N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [10] V. G. Maz'ya, Sobolev spaces, Springer Ser. Soviet Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985.
- [11] V. G. Maz'ya, S. V. Poborchii, On solvability of the Neumann problem in domains with peak, St. Petersburg Math. J. 20:5 (2009) 757–790

DEPARTMENT OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,  
MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY, VOROBYOVY GORY, MOSCOW, 119992 RUSSIA  
*Email address:* `brovvadim@rambler.ru`

DEPARTMENT OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,  
MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY, VOROBYOVY GORY, MOSCOW, 119992 RUSSIA  
*Email address:* `konkov@mech.math.msu.su`