

Weighted Choquard equation perturbed with weighted nonlocal term

Gurpreet Singh*

March 14, 2024

Abstract

We investigate the following problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(v(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u)+V(x)|u|^{m-2}u=\left(|x|^{-\theta}*\frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha}\right)\frac{|u|^{b-2}}{|x|^\alpha}u+\lambda\left(|x|^{-\gamma}*\frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta}\right)\frac{|u|^{c-2}}{|x|^\beta}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $b, c, \alpha, \beta > 0$, $\theta, \gamma \in (0, N)$, $N \geq 3$, $2 \leq m < \infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, we are concerned with the existence of groundstate solutions and least energy sign-changing solutions and that will be done by using the minimization techniques on the associated Nehari manifold and the Nehari nodal set respectively.

Keywords: Choquard Equation, weighted m -Laplacian, weighted nonlocal perturbation, groundstate solution, least energy sign-changing solutions

MSC 2010: 35A15, 35B20, 35Q40, 35Q75

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(v(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u)+V(x)|u|^{m-2}u=\left(|x|^{-\theta}*\frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha}\right)\frac{|u|^{b-2}}{|x|^\alpha}u+\lambda\left(|x|^{-\gamma}*\frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta}\right)\frac{|u|^{c-2}}{|x|^\beta}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $b, c, \alpha, \beta > 0$, $\theta, \gamma \in (0, N)$, $2 \leq m < \infty$, $N \geq 3$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{div}(v(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u)$ is the weighted m -Laplacian. Here v is a Muckenhoupt weight and $|x|^{-\xi}$ is the Riesz potential of order $\xi \in (0, N)$. The function $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ must satisfy either one or both of the following conditions:

(V1) $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \geq V_0 > 0$;

(V2) For all $M > 0$ the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq M\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure.

By taking $\lambda = 0$, the equation (1.1) becomes the weighted Choquard equation driven by weighted m -Laplacian and is given by

$$-\operatorname{div}(v(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u)+V(x)|u|^{m-2}u=\left(|x|^{-\theta}*\frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha}\right)\frac{|u|^{b-2}}{|x|^\alpha}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.2)$$

*School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin; gurpreet.bajwa2506@gmail.com

The case of $v(x) = V(x) \equiv 1$, $m = 2$, $\theta = b = 2$ and $\alpha = 0$ in (1.2) refers to the Choquard or nonlinear Schrödinger-Newton equation, that is,

$$-\Delta u + u = (|x|^{-2} * u^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.3)$$

and it was first studied by Pekar[22] in 1954 for $N = 3$. The equation (1.3) had been used by Penrose in 1996 as a model in self-gravitating matter(see [23], [24]). Also, if $v(x) \equiv 1$, $m = 2$ and $\alpha = \lambda = 0$, then (1.2) becomes stationary Choquard equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = (|x|^{-\theta} * |u|^b)|u|^{b-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which arises in quantum theory and in the theory of Bose-Einstein condensation. The Choquard equation has received a considerable attention in the last few decades and has been appeared in many different contexts and settings(see [1, 3, 15, 20, 21, 25]). In [5], Benhamida and Yazidi investigated the critical Sobolev problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(v(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) = |u|^{b^*-2}u + \lambda|u|^{c-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $N > b \geq 2$, $b \leq c < b^*$ and $b^* = \frac{Nb}{N-b}$ is called the critical Sobolev exponent. They investigated the existence of positive solutions which depends on the weight $v(x)$. In [7], Brezis and Nirenberg studied the problem (1.4) for $v(x) \equiv 1$ and $m = 2$ and it has stimulated a several work. The case $v \not\equiv \text{constant}$ and $m = 2$ received a considerable attention and was considered by Hadiji and Yazidi in [16] for existence and nonexistence results, see also [13, 17].

In this article, we are interested in the groundstate solutions and least energy sign-changing solutions to (1.1) and one could easily see that (1.1) has a variational structure. To this aim, in the subsection below we provide variational framework and main results.

1.1 Variational Framework and Main Results

Definition 1. (*Muckenhoupt Weight*) Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a locally integrable function such that $0 < v < \infty$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then $v \in A_m$, that is, the Muckenhoupt class if there exists a positive constant $C_{m,v}$ depending on m and v such that for all balls $B \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B v dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B v^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} dx\right)^{m-1} \leq C_{m,v}.$$

Definition 2. (*Weighted Sobolev Space*) For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote the weighted Sobolev space by $W^{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^N, v)$ and is defined as

$$W^{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^N, v) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \|u\|_{1,m,v} < \infty\},$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,m,v} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^m v(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^m v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (1.5)$$

And the space $X = W_0^{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^N, v)$ is the closure of $(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{1,m,v})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^m v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Definition 3. (*Subclass of A_m*) Let us denote the subclass of A_m by A_p and define A_p as

$$A_p = \left\{ v \in A_m : v^{-p} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for some } p \in \left[\frac{1}{m-1}, \infty \right) \cap \left(\frac{N}{m}, \infty \right) \right\}.$$

Definition 4. (*Weighted Morrey space*) Assume $1 < m < \infty$, $r > 0$ and $v \in A_m$. Then $u \in L^{m,r}(\mathbb{R}^N, v)$ - the weighted Morrey space, if $u \in L^m(\mathbb{R}^N, v)$, where

$$L^m(\mathbb{R}^N, v) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) |u|^m dx < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^{m,r}(\mathbb{R}^N, v)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, R > 0} \left(L \int_{B(x,R)} v(y) |u(y)|^m dy \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} < \infty,$$

where $L = \frac{R^r}{\int_{B(x,R)} v(x) dx}$ and $B(x, R)$ is the ball centered at x and radius R .

Throughout this paper, we have the following assumption on the weight function $v(x)$:

- For $1 \leq m_p \leq N$, $v \in A_p$ and

$$\frac{1}{v} \in L^{t, mN - \eta t(m-1)}(\mathbb{R}^N, v),$$

where $t > N$ and $0 < \eta < \min\{1, \frac{mN}{t(m-1)}\}$.

Next, let us define the functional space

$$X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^m < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_v} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) |\nabla u|^m + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Throughout this paper, assume that b satisfies

$$\frac{mp(2N - 2\alpha - \theta)}{2N(p+1)} < b < \frac{mp(2N - 2\alpha - \theta)}{2N + 2p(N - m)}, \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$\frac{2N - 2\alpha - \theta}{2N} < b < \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

and c satisfies

$$\frac{mp(2N - 2\beta - \gamma)}{2N(p+1)} < c < \frac{mp(2N - 2\beta - \gamma)}{2N + 2p(N - m)}, \quad (1.9)$$

or

$$\frac{2N - 2\beta - \gamma}{2N} < c < \infty. \quad (1.10)$$

We also need the following double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality by Stein and Weiss (see [26])

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\delta} * \frac{u}{|x|^\mu} \right) \frac{v}{|x|^\mu} \right| \leq C \|u\|_p \|v\|_q, \quad (1.11)$$

for $\delta \in (0, N)$, $\mu \geq 0$, $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$1 - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\delta}{N} < \frac{\mu}{N} < 1 - \frac{1}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\delta + 2\mu}{N} = 2.$$

Define the energy functional $\mathcal{L}_\lambda : X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{m} \|u\|_{X_v}^m - \frac{1}{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta}. \quad (1.12)$$

The energy functional $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is well defined by using (1.7) to (1.10) together with the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.11) and moreover $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \in C^1(X_v)$. Any solution of (1.1) is a critical point of the energy functional $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$. We first deal with the existence of groundstate solutions for the equation (1.1) under the assumption that V satisfies (V1). To this aim, we shall be using a minimization method on the Nehari manifold associated with $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \{u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}. \quad (1.13)$$

The groundstate solutions will be obtained as minimizers of

$$d_\lambda = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u).$$

Our main result regarding the existence of groundstate solutions is stated below.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $N > m \geq 2$, $b > c > \frac{m}{2}$, $\lambda > 0$, $\theta + 2\alpha < N$, $\gamma + 2\beta < N$. If b, c satisfies (1.7) and (1.9) or if b, c satisfy (1.8) and (1.10) and V satisfies (V1), then the equation (1.1) has a groundstate solution $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

We rely on the analysis of the Palais-Smale sequences for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$. We will show that any Palais-Smale sequence of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is either converging strongly to its weak limit or differs from it by a finite number of sequences, which are the translated solutions of (1.2) by using ideas from [9, 10]. Here, we shall be relying on several weighted nonlocal Brezis-Lieb results which we have presented in Section 2.

Next, we study the least energy sign-changing solutions of (1.1). Now, we need V to satisfy both the conditions (V1) and (V2). We use the minimization method on the Nehari nodal set defined as

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) : u^\pm \neq 0 \text{ and } \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u^\pm \rangle = 0 \right\},$$

and solutions will be obtained as minimizers for

$$\overline{d}_\lambda = \inf_{u \in \overline{N}_\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u).$$

Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u^\pm \rangle &= \|u^\pm\|_{X_v}^m - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^\mp)^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^\mp)^c}{|x|^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We now state our second main result in reference to the least energy sign-changing solutions.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N > m \geq 2$, $b > c > m$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta + 2\alpha < m$, $\gamma + 2\beta < m$. If b, c satisfies (1.7) and (1.9) or if b, c satisfy (1.8) and (1.10) and V satisfies both (V1) and (V1), then the equation (1.1) has a least energy sign-changing solution $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results on Sobolev embeddings and weighted nonlocal versions of the Brezis-Lieb lemma which will be crucial to our investigation of groundstate solutions of (1.1). Section 3 and 4 consists of the proofs of our main results.

2 Preliminary results

Lemma 2.1. *([2], [12], [14]) For any $v \in A_p$, the inclusion map*

$$X_v \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,m_p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), & \text{for } m_p \leq s \leq m_p^*, & \text{when } 1 \leq m_p < N, \\ L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), & \text{for } 1 \leq s < \infty, & \text{when } m_p = N, \end{cases}$$

is continuous, where $m_p = \frac{mp}{p+1}$ and $m_p^* = \frac{Nm_p}{N-m_p}$. Here, m_p^* is called the critical Sobolev exponent. Moreover, the embeddings are compact except when $s = m_p^*$ in case of $1 \leq m_p < N$.

Lemma 2.2. *([18, Lemma 1.1], [19, Lemma 2.3]) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^r \leq C \|u\| \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u|^r \right)^{1-\frac{2}{r}},$$

where $r \in [m_p, m_p^*]$.

Lemma 2.3. *([6, Proposition 4.7.12]) Let (z_n) be a bounded sequence in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $r \in (1, \infty)$ which converges to z almost everywhere. Then $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ weakly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Lemma 2.4. (*Local Brezis-Lieb lemma*) Let (z_n) be a bounded sequence in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $r \in (1, \infty)$ which converges to z almost everywhere. Then, for every $q \in [1, r]$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |z_n|^q - |z_n - z|^q - |z|^q \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |z_n|^{q-1} z_n - |z_n - z|^{q-1} (z_n - z) - |z|^{q-1} z \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $g, h \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left| |g + h|^q - |g|^q \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} \leq \varepsilon |g|^r + C(\varepsilon) |h|^r. \quad (2.1)$$

By equation (2.1), one could obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n,\varepsilon}| &= \left(\left| |z_n|^q - |z_n - z|^q - |z|^q \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} - \varepsilon |z_n - z|^r \right)^+ \\ &\leq (1 + C(\varepsilon)) |z|^r. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{n,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\left| |z_n|^q - |z_n - z|^q - |z|^q \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} \leq f_{n,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon |z_n - z|^r,$$

and this further gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |z_n|^q - |z_n - z|^q - |z|^q \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} \leq c\varepsilon,$$

where $c = \sup_n |z_n - z|_r^r < \infty$. In order to conclude our proof, we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. (*Weighted Nonlocal Brezis-Lieb lemma* ([19, Lemma 2.4])) Let $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\theta \in (0, N)$, $\theta + 2\alpha < N$ and $b \in [1, \frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta})$. Assume (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n - u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n - u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} dx.$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n - u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n - u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n - u|^b \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n - u|^b \right) dx \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n - u|^b \right) \right] \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n - u|^b dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we use Lemma 2.4 with $q = b$, $r = \frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}$ to get $|u_n - u|^b - |u_n|^b \rightarrow |u|^b$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and by Lemma 2.3 we have $|u_n - u|^b \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Also by the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.11) we obtain

$$|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n - u|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b \right) \rightarrow |x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{2N}{\theta+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Using all the above arguments and passing to the limit in (2.3) we conclude the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\theta \in (0, N)$, $\theta + 2\alpha < N$ and $b \in [1, \frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta})$. Assume (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then, for any $h \in L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u|^{b-2} u h \, dx.$$

Proof. Say $h = h^+ - h^-$, then it is enough to prove our lemma for $h \geq 0$. Let $v_n = u_n - u$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^b \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^b \right) \right] \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h \right) \right] \frac{|v_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|v_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, apply Lemma 2.4 with $q = b$ and $r = \frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}$ and by taking $(z_n, z) = (u_n, u)$ and then $(z_n, z) = (u_n h^{1/b}, u h^{1/b})$ respectively, we get

$$\begin{cases} |u_n|^b - |v_n|^b \rightarrow |u|^b \\ |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h - |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h \rightarrow |u|^{b-2} u h \end{cases} \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Further, using the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we obtain

$$\begin{cases} |x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^b \right) \rightarrow |x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ |x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2} u_n h - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2} v_n h \right) \rightarrow |x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u|^{b-2} u h \right) \end{cases} \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\frac{2N}{\theta+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.5}$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{cases} |u_n|^{b-2}u_nh \rightharpoonup |u|^{b-2}uh \\ |v_n|^b \rightharpoonup 0 \\ |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh \rightharpoonup 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^b \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2}u_nh - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh \right) &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u|^{b-2}uh, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^b - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^b \right) \right] \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh &\rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|x|^{-\theta} * \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |u_n|^{b-2}u_nh - \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh \right) \right] \frac{|v_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|v_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh \right| &\leq \|v_n\|_{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}^b \| |v_n|^{b-1}h \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} \\ &\leq C \| |v_n|^{b-1}h \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Also, by Lemma 2.3 we have $v_n^{\frac{2N(b-1)}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^{\frac{b}{b-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ so

$$\| |v_n|^{b-1}h \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{\frac{2N(b-1)}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} |h|^{\frac{2N}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{2N-2\alpha-\theta}{2N}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by (2.8) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|v_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} |v_n|^{b-2}v_nh = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Passing to the limit in (2.4), from (2.7) and (2.9) we reach the conclusion. $\square$

In the next section, we investigate the groundstate solutions to (1.1).

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume $\lambda > 0$. For $u, \phi \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), \phi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^{m-2} u \phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^{b-1}}{|x|^\alpha} \phi \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u|^{c-1}}{|x|^\beta} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $t > 0$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(tu), tu \rangle = t^m \|u\|_{X_v}^m - t^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \lambda t^{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta}.$$

As $b > c > \frac{m}{2}$, so the equation $\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(tu), tu \rangle = 0$ has a unique positive solution $t = t(u)$. The element $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is called the *projection of u on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$* . The main properties of the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ which we use in this paper are given by the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded from below by a positive constant.

Proof. First we show that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is coercive. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) &= \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) - \frac{1}{2c} \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|u\|_{X_v}^m + \left(\frac{1}{2c} - \frac{1}{2b} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|u\|_{X_v}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality together with the continuous embeddings $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_{X_v}^m - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &\geq \|u\|_{X_v}^m - C \|u\|_{X_v}^{2b} - C_\lambda \|u\|_{X_v}^{2c}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{X_v} \geq C_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence, using coercivity of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ and (3.1), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) C_0^m > 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. Any critical point u of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is a free critical point.

Proof. Let us assume $\mathcal{K}(u) = \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle$ for any $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using (3.1), for any $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{K}'(u), u \rangle &= m \|u\|^m - 2b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} - 2c\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u|^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &= (m - 2c) \|u\|_{X_v}^m - 2(b - c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &\leq -(2c - m) \|u\|_{X_v}^m \\ &< -(2c - m) C_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, say $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$. Using the Lagrange multiplier theorem, there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u) = \nu \mathcal{K}'(u)$. So, in particular we have $\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \nu \langle \mathcal{K}'(u), u \rangle$. Since $\langle \mathcal{K}'(u), u \rangle < 0$, which further implies $\nu = 0$ so $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Any sequence (u_n) which is a (PS) sequence for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is a (PS) sequence for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$.*

Proof. Assume that $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a (PS) sequence for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$. As,

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|u_n\|_{X_v}^m,$$

this gives us that (u_n) is bounded in X_v . Next, we show that $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since,

$$\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n) - \nu_n \mathcal{K}'(u_n) = \mathcal{L}'_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}(u_n) = o(1),$$

for some $\nu_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\nu_n \langle \mathcal{K}'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle + o(1) = o(1).$$

Using (3.2), we get $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$ which further gives us that $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

3.1 Compactness result

Define the energy functional $\mathcal{I} : X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \frac{1}{m} \|u\|^m - \frac{1}{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha},$$

and the associated Nehari manifold for $\mathcal{I}$ is given as

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{I} = \{u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{I}'(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

and let

$$d_\mathcal{I} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}(u).$$

Also, for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(u), \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^{m-2} u \phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^{b-1}}{|x|^\alpha} \phi.$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_{X_v}^m - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let us assume that $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{I}$ is a (PS) sequence of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$, that is,*

- (a) $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n))$ is bounded;
- (b) $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $X_v^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Then there exists a solution $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of (1.1) such that, if we replace the sequence (u_n) with a subsequence, then one of the following alternative holds:

(A₁) either $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

or

(A₂) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists a positive integer $k \geq 1$ and k functions $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which are nontrivial weak solutions to (1.2) and k sequences of points $(w_{n,1}), (w_{n,2}), \dots, (w_{n,k}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the following conditions hold:

(i) $|w_{n,j}| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|w_{n,j} - w_{n,i}| \rightarrow \infty$ if $i \neq j$, $n \rightarrow \infty$;

(ii) $u_n - \sum_{j=1}^k u_j(\cdot + w_{n,j}) \rightarrow u$ in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

(iii) $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{I}(u_j)$.

Proof. As (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in } X_v(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad m_p \leq s \leq m_p^*, \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Using (3.3) together with Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u) = 0.$$

Hence, $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of (1.1). Further, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then (A₁) holds and we are done.

Next, let us assume that (u_n) does not converge strongly to u in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and define $y_{n,1} = u_n - u$. Then $(y_{n,1})$ converges weakly (not strongly) to zero in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\|u_n\|_{X_v}^m = \|u\|_{X_v}^m + \|y_{n,1}\|_{X_v}^m + o(1). \quad (3.4)$$

Also, by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n|^b}{|x|^\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + o(1). \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) + \mathcal{I}(y_{n,1}) + o(1). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, by Lemma 2.6, for any $h \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,1}), h \rangle = o(1). \quad (3.7)$$

Further, using Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u), u \rangle + \langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,1}), y_{n,1} \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,1}), y_{n,1} \rangle + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,1}), y_{n,1} \rangle = o(1). \quad (3.8)$$

Next, we claim that

$$\Delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(w)} |y_{n,1}|^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} \right) > 0.$$

Let us assume that $\Delta = 0$. Using Lemma 2.2 we have $y_{n,1} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} = o(1).$$

Combining this together with (3.8), we deduce that $y_{n,1} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\Delta > 0$.

As $\Delta > 0$, one could find $w_{n,1} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(w_{n,1})} |y_{n,1}|^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} > \frac{\Delta}{2}. \quad (3.9)$$

For the sequence $(y_{n,1}(\cdot + w_{n,1}))$, there exists $u_1 \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n,1}(\cdot + w_{n,1}) &\rightharpoonup u_1 \quad \text{weakly in } X_v(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ y_{n,1}(\cdot + w_{n,1}) &\rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ y_{n,1}(\cdot + w_{n,1}) &\rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in (3.9), we have

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |u_1|^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}} \geq \frac{\Delta}{2},$$

hence, $u_1 \not\equiv 0$. As $(y_{n,1})$ converges weakly to zero in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get that $(w_{n,1})$ is unbounded. Therefore, passing to a subsequence, we could assume that $|w_{n,1}| \rightarrow \infty$. Using (3.8), we have $\mathcal{I}'(u_1) = 0$, which further implies that u_1 is a nontrivial solution of (1.2). Now, define

$$y_{n,2}(x) = y_{n,1}(x) - u_1(x - w_{n,1}).$$

Similarly as before, we get

$$\|y_{n,1}\|^m = \|u_1\|^m + \|y_{n,2}\|^m + o(1).$$

By Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|y_{n,1}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_1|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_1|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|y_{n,2}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|y_{n,2}|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + o(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}(y_{n,1}) = \mathcal{I}(u_1) + \mathcal{I}(y_{n,2}) + o(1).$$

By (3.6) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) + \mathcal{I}(u_1) + \mathcal{I}(y_{n,2}) + o(1).$$

Using the same approach as above, we get

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,2}), h \rangle = o(1) \quad \text{for any } h \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'(y_{n,2}), y_{n,2} \rangle = o(1).$$

Now, if $(y_{n,2}) \rightarrow 0$ strongly, then we are done by taking $k = 1$ in the Lemma 3.4. Assume $y_{n,2} \rightarrow 0$ weakly (not strongly) in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then we could iterate the whole process and in k number of steps we find a set of sequences $(w_{n,j}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ with

$$|w_{n,j}| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |w_{n,i} - w_{n,j}| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, i \neq j$$

and k nontrivial solutions $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of (1.2) such that, by denoting

$$y_{n,j}(x) := y_{n,j-1}(x) - u_{j-1}(x - w_{n,j-1}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq k,$$

we get

$$y_{n,j}(x + w_{n,j}) \rightharpoonup u_j \quad \text{weakly in } X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{I}(u_j) + \mathcal{I}(y_{n,k}) + o(1).$$

Now, as $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n)$ is bounded and $\mathcal{I}(u_j) \geq d_{\mathcal{I}}$, one could iterate the process only a finite number of times and with this, we conclude our proof. $\square$

Corollary 1. *Any $(PS)_c$ sequence of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$ is relatively compact for any $c \in (0, d_{\mathcal{I}})$.*

Proof. Let us assume that (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}$. Then, by Lemma 3.4 we have $\mathcal{I}(u_j) \geq d_{\mathcal{I}}$ and upto a subsequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence, u is a solution of (1.1). $\square$

3.2 Completion of the Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need the following result in order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.5.

$$d_\lambda < d_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

Proof. Let us assume that $P \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a groundstate solution of (1.2) and by [4, 11] we know that such a groundstate solution exists. Let us denote by tP , the projection of P on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$, that is, $t = t(P) > 0$ is the unique real number such that $tP \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Since, $P \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $tP \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we have

$$||P||^m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$t^m \|P\|^m = t^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + \lambda t^{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|P|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|P|^c}{|x|^\beta}.$$

Therefore, we get $t < 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda \leq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(tP) &= \frac{1}{m} t^m \|P\|^m - \frac{1}{2b} t^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{2c} t^{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|P|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|P|^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &= \left(\frac{t^m}{m} - \frac{t^{2b}}{2b} \right) \|P\|^m - \frac{1}{2c} \left(t^m \|P\|^m - t^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|P|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \\ &= t^m \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|P\|^m + t^{2b} \left(\frac{1}{2c} - \frac{1}{2b} \right) \|P\|^m \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|P\|^m + \left(\frac{1}{2c} - \frac{1}{2b} \right) \|P\|^m \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2b} \right) \|P\|^m = \mathcal{I}(P) = d_\mathcal{I}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Next, we use the Ekeland variational principle, that is, for any $n \geq 1$ there exists $(u_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) &\leq d_\lambda + \frac{1}{n} && \text{for all } n \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) &\leq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tilde{u}) + \frac{1}{n} \|\tilde{u} - u_n\| && \text{for all } \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda, n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, one could easily deduce that $(u_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a $(PS)_{d_\lambda}$ sequence for $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Then, by Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 1 we have that up to a subsequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is a groundstate of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we are concerned the existence of a least energy sign-changing solution of (1.1).

4.1 Proof of Theorem

Lemma 4.1. *Let $N > m \geq 2$, $b > c > m$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a unique pair $(\tau_0, \delta_0) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$,for any $u \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u^\pm \neq 0$, such that $\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^- \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$. Also, if $u \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$ then for all $\tau, \delta \geq 0$ we have $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) \geq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau u^+ + \delta u^-)$.*

Proof. In order to prove this lemma, we follow the idea developed in [27]. Define the

function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\tau, \delta) &= \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau^{\frac{1}{2b}} u^+ + \delta^{\frac{1}{2b}} u^-) \\ &= \frac{\tau^{\frac{m}{2b}}}{m} \|u^+\|_{X_v}^m + \frac{\delta^{\frac{m}{2b}}}{m} \|u^-\|_{X_v}^m - \lambda \frac{\tau^{\frac{c}{b}}}{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^+)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^+)^c}{|x|^\beta} - \lambda \frac{\delta^{\frac{c}{b}}}{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^-)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^-)^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{\tau^{\frac{c}{2b}} \delta^{\frac{c}{2b}}}{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^+)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^-)^c}{|x|^\beta} - \frac{\tau}{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^+)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^+)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &\quad - \frac{\delta}{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^-)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^-)^b}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^+)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^-)^b}{|x|^\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

One could observe that φ is strictly concave. Hence, φ has at most one maximum point. On the other hand we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\tau, \delta) = -\infty \text{ for all } \delta \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\tau, \delta) = -\infty \text{ for all } \tau \geq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and one could easily check that

$$\lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau}(\tau, \delta) = \infty \text{ for all } \delta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \delta}(\tau, \delta) = \infty \text{ for all } \tau > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, by (4.1) and (4.2) maximum cannot be achieved at the boundary. Hence, φ has exactly one maximum point $(\tau_0, \delta_0) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. $\square$

Next, we divide our proof into two steps.

Step 1. *The energy level $\overline{d}_\lambda > 0$ is achieved by some $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$.*

Let us assume that $(u_n) \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$ be a minimizing sequence for $\overline{d}_\lambda$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) &= \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2c} \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|u_n\|_{X_v}^m + \left(\frac{1}{2c} - \frac{1}{2b} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u|^b}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2c} \right) \|u_n\|_{X_v}^m \\ &\geq C \|u_n\|_{X_v}^m,\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C_1 > 0$. Hence, for $C_2 > 0$ we have

$$\|u_n\|_{X_v}^m \leq C_2 \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) \leq M,$$

that is, (u_n) is bounded in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This further implies that (u_n^+) and (u_n^-) are also bounded in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, passing to a subsequence, there exists $u^+, u^- \in X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n^+ \rightharpoonup u^+ \text{ and } u_n^- \rightharpoonup u^- \quad \text{weakly in } X_v(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

As $b, c > m \geq 2$ satisfy (1.7) and (1.9) or (1.8) and (1.10), we have that the embeddings $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are compact. Thus,

$$u_n^\pm \rightarrow u^\pm \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (4.3)$$

Using the double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \left(\|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}}^m + \|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}}^m \right) &\leq \|u_n^\pm\|_{X_v}^m \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{|u_n|}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{|u_n^\pm|^b}{|x|^\alpha} + |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{|u_n|^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{|u_n^\pm|^c}{|x|^\beta} \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}}^b + \|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}}^c \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}}^m + \|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}}^m \right) \left(\|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}}^{b-m} + \|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}}^{c-m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

As $u_n^\pm \neq 0$, we get

$$\|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nb}{2N-2\alpha-\theta}}}^{b-m} + \|u_n^\pm\|_{L^{\frac{2Nc}{2N-2\beta-\gamma}}}^{c-m} \geq C > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, using (4.3) and (4.4) one could have that $u^\pm \neq 0$. Next, using (4.3) together with double weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u_n^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u_n^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^\pm)^b}{|x|^\alpha}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u_n^+)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u_n^-)^b}{|x|^\alpha} &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\theta} * \frac{(u^+)^b}{|x|^\alpha} \right) \frac{(u^-)^b}{|x|^\alpha}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u_n^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u_n^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^\pm)^c}{|x|^\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u_n^+)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u_n^-)^c}{|x|^\beta} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|x|^{-\gamma} * \frac{(u^+)^c}{|x|^\beta} \right) \frac{(u^-)^c}{|x|^\beta}.$$

Next, by using Lemma 4.1, we get that there exists a unique pair (τ_0, δ_0) such that $\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^- \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$. Further, using the fact that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_v}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d}_\lambda &\leq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^-) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^-) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^-) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(u_n) \\ &= \overline{d}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by taking $\sigma = \tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^- \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$.

Step 2. $\mathcal{L}'_\lambda(\sigma) = 0$, that is, $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$ is the critical point of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda : X_v(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Say σ is not a critical point of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$, then there exists $\kappa \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(\sigma), \kappa \rangle = -2$. As $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is continuous and differentiable, so there exists $\zeta > 0$ small such that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(\tau u^+ + \delta u^- + \omega \bar{\sigma}), \bar{\sigma} \rangle \leq -1 \quad \text{if } (\tau - \tau_0)^2 + (\delta - \delta_0)^2 \leq \zeta^2 \text{ and } 0 \leq \omega \leq \zeta. \quad (4.5)$$

Next, let us assume that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open disc of radius $\zeta > 0$ centered at (τ_0, δ_0) and define a continuous function $\Phi : D \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\Phi(\tau, \delta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\tau - \tau_0)^2 + (\delta - \delta_0)^2 \leq \frac{\zeta^2}{16}, \\ 0 & \text{if } (\tau - \tau_0)^2 + (\delta - \delta_0)^2 \geq \frac{\zeta^2}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Also, let us define a continuous map $T : D \rightarrow X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as

$$T(\tau, \delta) = \tau u^+ + \delta u^- + \zeta \Phi(\tau, \delta) \bar{\sigma} \quad \text{for all } (\tau, \delta) \in D$$

and $Q : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$Q(\tau, \delta) = (\langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(T(\tau, \delta)), T(\tau, \delta)^+ \rangle, \langle \mathcal{L}'_\lambda(T(\tau, \delta)), T(\tau, \delta)^- \rangle) \quad \text{for all } (\tau, \delta) \in D.$$

As the mapping $u \mapsto u^+$ is continuous in $X_v(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get that Q is also continuous. Furthermore, if we are on the boundary of D , that is, $(\tau - \tau_0)^2 + (\delta - \delta_0)^2 = \zeta^2$, then $\Phi = 0$ according to the definition. Therefore, we get $T(\tau, \delta) = \tau u^+ + \delta u^-$ and by Lemma 4.1, we deduce

$$Q(\tau, \delta) \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

Hence, the Brouwer degree is well defined and $\deg(Q, \text{int}(D), (0, 0)) = 1$ and there exists $(\tau_1, \delta_1) \in \text{int}(D)$ such that $Q(\tau_1, \delta_1) = (0, 0)$. Therefore, we get that $T(\tau_1, \delta_1) \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda$ and by the definition of $\overline{d}_\lambda$ we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T(\tau_1, \delta_1)) \geq \overline{d}_\lambda. \quad (4.6)$$

Next, by equation (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(T(\tau_1, \delta_1)) &= \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^-) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^- + \zeta t \Phi(\tau_1, \delta_1) \bar{\sigma}) dt \\ &= \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^-) - \zeta \Phi(\tau_1, \delta_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Now, by definition of Φ we have $\Phi(\tau_1, \delta_1) = 1$ when $(\tau_1, \delta_1) = (\tau_0, \delta_0)$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T(\tau_1, \delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^-) - \zeta \leq \overline{d}_\lambda - \zeta < \overline{d}_\lambda.$$

The case when $(\tau_1, \delta_1) \neq (\tau_0, \delta_0)$, then by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^-) < \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_0 u^+ + \delta_0 u^-) = \overline{d}_\lambda,$$

which further gives

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T(\tau_1, \delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\tau_1 u^+ + \delta_1 u^-) < \overline{d}_\lambda.$$

This contradicts the equation (4.6) and with this we conclude our proof.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the financial support of Irish Research Council Postdoctoral Scholarship number R13165.

References

- [1] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo and M. Yang, Existence of solutions for a nonlinear Choquard equation with potential vanishing at infinity, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **5** (2016), no. 4, 331–345.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and D. Arcoya, An introduction to nonlinear functional analysis and elliptic problems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, **82** (2011), Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA.
- [3] C.O. Alves, F. Gao, M. Squassina and M. Yang, Singularly perturbed critical Choquard equations, *J. Differential Equations* **263** (2017), no. 7, 3943–3988.
- [4] M. Bhakta, On the existence and breaking symmetry of the groundstate solution of Hardy Sobolev type equations with weighted p –Laplacian, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies* **12**(3) (2012), 555–568.
- [5] A. Benhamida and H. Yazidi, Solutions of a weighted p –Laplacian critical Sobolev problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **487** (2020), 123926.
- [6] V.I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory. Vol. I, II*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [7] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(36) (1983), 437–477.
- [8] D. Cassani and J. Zhang, Choquard-type equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev upper-critical growth, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **8** (2019), no. 1, 1184–1212.
- [9] G. Cerami and R. Molle, Positive bound state solutions for some Schrödinger-Poisson systems, *Nonlinearity* **29** (2016), no. 10, 3103–3119.
- [10] G. Cerami and G. Vaira, Positive solutions for some non-autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson systems, *J. Differential Equations* **248** (2010), no. 3, 521–543.
- [11] C. Chen and H. Wang, Ground state solutions of singular p –Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351** (2009), 773–780.
- [12] P. Drábek, A. Kufner and F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities, *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* **5** (1997), Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin.
- [13] M.F. Furtado and B.N. Souza, Positive and nodal solutions for an elliptic equation with critical growth, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **18**(02) (2016), 16 pages.

- [14] P. Garain , On a degenerate singular elliptic problem *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv: 1803.02102, Mar 2018.
- [15] M. Ghergu and S.D. Taliaferro, Pointwise bounds and blow-up for Choquard-Pekar inequalities at an isolated singularity, *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016), no. 1, 189–217.
- [16] R. Hadiji and H. Yazidi, Problem with critical Sobolev exponent and with weight, *Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B* **3**(28) (2007), 327–352.
- [17] R. Hadiji, R. Molle, D. Passaseo and H. Yazidi, Localization of solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems with weight, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Séc. I Math.* **334** (2006), 725–730.
- [18] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1** (1984), no. 4, 223–283.
- [19] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 2, 153–184.
- [20] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, A guide to the Choquard equation, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **19** (2017), no. 1, 773–813.
- [21] T. Mukherjee and K. Sreenadh, Positive solutions for nonlinear Choquard equation with singular nonlinearity, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **62** (2017), no. 8, 1044–1071.
- [22] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [23] R. Penrose, On gravity’s role in quantum state reduction, *Gen. Relativity Gravitation* **28** (1996), no. 5, 581–600.
- [24] R. Penrose, Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction, *R. Soc. Land. Philos. Trans. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **356** (1998), no. 1743, 1927–1939.
- [25] G. Singh, Nonlocal perturbations of the fractional Choquard equation, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **8** (2019), 694–706.
- [26] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Fractional integrals in n -dimensional Euclidean space, *J. Math. Mech.* **7** (1958).
- [27] J. Van Schaftingen and J. Xia, Choquard equations under confining external potentials, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **24** (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 1.