

# COMPACT DIFFERENCES OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

BIN LIU AND JOUNI RÄTTYÄ

**ABSTRACT.** Compact differences of two weighted composition operators acting from the weighted Bergman space  $A_\omega^p$  to another weighted Bergman space  $A_\nu^q$ , where  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $\omega, \nu$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{D}$  of radial weights satisfying two-sided doubling conditions, are characterized. On the way to the proof a new description of  $q$ -Carleson measures for  $A_\omega^p$ , with  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ , in terms of pseudohyperbolic discs is established. This last-mentioned result generalizes the well-known characterization of  $q$ -Carleson measures for the classical weighted Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p$  with  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  to the setting of doubling weights.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of analytic functions in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For a nonnegative function  $\omega \in L^1([0, 1))$ , the extension to  $\mathbb{D}$ , defined by  $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , is called a radial weight. For  $0 < p < \infty$  and a radial weight  $\omega$ , the weighted Bergman space  $A_\omega^p$  consists of  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where  $dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$  is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . As usual,  $A_\alpha^p$  stands for the classical weighted Bergman space induced by the standard radial weight  $\omega(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$ , where  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ .

For a radial weight  $\omega$ , write  $\hat{\omega}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) ds$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In this paper we always assume  $\hat{\omega}(z) > 0$ , for otherwise  $A_\omega^p = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for each  $0 < p < \infty$ . A weight  $\omega$  belongs to the class  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  if there exists a constant  $C = C(\omega) \geq 1$  such that  $\hat{\omega}(r) \leq C\hat{\omega}(\frac{1+r}{2})$  for all  $0 \leq r < 1$ . Moreover, if there exist  $K = K(\omega) > 1$  and  $C = C(\omega) > 1$  such that  $\hat{\omega}(r) \geq C\hat{\omega}(1 - \frac{1-r}{K})$  for all  $0 \leq r < 1$ , then we write  $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ . In other words,  $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$  if there exists  $K = K(\omega) > 1$  and  $C' = C'(\omega) > 0$  such that

$$\hat{\omega}(r) \leq C' \int_r^{1 - \frac{1-r}{K}} \omega(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

The intersection  $\hat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ , and this is the class of weights that we mainly work with.

Each analytic self-map  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  induces the composition operator  $C_\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  defined by  $C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi$ . The weighted composition operator induced by  $u \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\varphi$  is  $uC_\varphi$  and sends  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  to  $u \cdot f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . These operators have been extensively studied in a variety of function spaces. See for example [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 22, 23, 25, 26]. XXX

If now  $\psi$  is another analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  induces the operator  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$ . One of the most important problem considering these operators is to characterize compact differences in Hardy spaces. Shapiro and Sundberg [24] studied this problem in 1990. Very recently, Choe, Choi, Koo and Yang [3] have solved this problem. For more about difference operators, see [2, 8, 10, 11, 20]. Moorhouse [11, 12] obtain some important results on this operator in weighted Bergman spaces. He showed [11], among other things, that  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is

---

*Key words and phrases.* Bergman space, Doubling weight, Weighted composition operator.  
The first author is supported by China Scholarship Council.

compact on  $A_\alpha^2$  if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} + \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\delta_1(z) = \frac{\varphi(z) - \psi(z)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\psi(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Saukko [20, 21] generalized this result by showing that if either  $1 < p \leq q$ , or  $p > q \geq 1$ , then  $C_\varphi - C_\psi : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow A_\beta^q$  is compact if and only if the operators  $\delta_1 C_\varphi$  and  $\delta_1 C_\psi$  are both compact from  $A_\alpha^p$  to  $L_\beta^q$ . Very recently, Acharyya and Wu [1] characterized the compact differences of two weighted composition operators  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi$  between different weighted Bergman spaces  $A_\alpha^p$  and  $A_\beta^q$ , where  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ . Their result states that, if  $\frac{\alpha+2}{p} \leq \frac{\beta+2}{q}$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  satisfy

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (|u(z)| + |v(z)|) (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\beta+2}{q} - \frac{\alpha+2}{p}} < \infty,$$

then  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow A_\beta^q$  is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| \left( |u(z)| \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{2+\beta}{q}}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}} + |v(z)| \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{2+\beta}{q}}}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}} \right) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |\delta_1(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}} |u(z) - v(z)| \left( \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{2+\beta}{q}}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}} + \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{2+\beta}{q}}}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}} \right) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper we characterize compact differences of two weighted composition operators from the weighted Bergman space  $A_\omega^p$  to another weighted Bergman space  $A_\nu^q$  with  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$ . To state the result, write

$$\delta_2(z) = \delta_{2,\varphi,\psi}(z) = \frac{\psi(z) - \varphi(z)}{1 - \overline{\psi(z)}\varphi(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and observe that  $|\delta_1| = |\delta_2|$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  such that  $\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|) \lesssim (\hat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{q}{p}}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Further, let  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  analytic self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (|u(z)| + |v(z)|) \frac{(\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\hat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

*Then there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, p) > 0$  with the following property:  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\nu^q$  is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| \left( |u(z)| \frac{(\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\hat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} + |v(z)| \frac{(\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\hat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \left( \frac{|1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\delta_1(z)|^\gamma}{(\hat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} + \frac{|1 - \overline{\psi(z)}\delta_2(z)|^\gamma}{(\hat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \\ & \cdot |u(z) - v(z)| (\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

If  $\omega(z) = (1 - |z|)^\alpha$  and  $\nu(z) = (1 - |z|)^\beta$  for  $-1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ , then  $\hat{\omega}(z) \asymp (1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}$  and  $\hat{\nu}(z) \asymp (1 - |z|)^{\beta+1}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Therefore (1.5) reduces to (1.2). Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the only requirement for  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, p) > 0$  appearing in the statement is that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^\gamma} dA(z) \leq C \frac{\hat{\omega}(a)}{(1 - |a|)^{\gamma p - 1}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some constant  $C = C(\omega, p, \gamma) > 0$ . If  $\omega(z) = (1 - |z|)^\alpha$ , any  $\gamma > \frac{\alpha+2}{p}$  is acceptable, and the choice  $\gamma = 2\frac{\alpha+2}{p}$  converts (1.6) to (1.3), as a simple computation shows. Therefore Theorem 1 indeed generalizes [1, Theorem 1] for weights in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We need two specific tools for the proof of Theorem 1. The first one concerns continuous embeddings  $A_\omega^p \subset L_\mu^q$ . Recall that a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$  if the identity operator  $I_d : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  is bounded. A complete characterization of such measures in the case  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  can be found in [15], see also [13, 17]. In particular, it is known that if  $q \geq p$  and  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , then  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$  if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty.$$

Here and from now on  $S(a) = \{z : 1 - |a| < |z| < 1, |\arg z - \arg a| < (1 - |a|)/2\}$  is the Carleson square induced by the point  $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $S(0) = \mathbb{D}$  and  $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega dA$  for each measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{D}$ . We will need a variant of this result and its “compact” counterpart for  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$  where the Carleson squares are replaced by pseudohyperbolic discs. To this end, denote  $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$  for  $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The pseudohyperbolic distance between two points  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $\rho(a, b) = |\varphi_a(b)|$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , the pseudohyperbolic disc of center  $a$  and of radius  $r$  is  $\Delta(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \rho(a, z) < r\}$ . It is well known that  $\Delta(a, r)$  is an Euclidean disk centered at  $(1 - r^2)a/(1 - r^2|a|^2)$  and of radius  $(1 - |a|^2)r/(1 - r^2|a|^2)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mu$  a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then there exists  $r = r(\omega) \in (0, 1)$  such that the following statements hold:*

(i)  *$\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(\Delta(a, r))}{(\omega(\Delta(a, r)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

*Moreover, if  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure for  $A_\omega^p$ , then the identity operator satisfies*

$$\|I_d\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \asymp \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(\Delta(a, r))}{(\omega(\Delta(a, r)))^{\frac{q}{p}}}.$$

(ii) *The identity operator  $I_d : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu(\Delta(a, r))}{(\omega(\Delta(a, r)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Another result needed is a lemma that allows us to estimate the distance between images of two points, say  $z$  and  $a$ , under  $f$  sufficiently accurately whenever  $z$  is close to  $a$  in the sense that  $z \in \Delta(a, r)$ , and  $f \in A_\omega^p$  with  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ . For the statement, denote  $\tilde{\omega}(z) = \hat{\omega}(z)/(1 - |z|)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $0 < r < R < 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(\omega, p, q, r, R) > 0$  such that*

$$|f(z) - f(a)|^q \leq C \frac{\rho(z, a)^q}{(\hat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\Delta(a, R)} |f(\zeta)|^p \tilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad a \in \mathbb{D}, \quad z \in \Delta(a, r), \quad (1.9)$$

*for all  $f \in A_\omega^p$  with  $\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq 1$ .*

This lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1 when we show that (1.5) and (1.6) are sufficient conditions for the compactness. By [18, Proposition 5] we know that

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \asymp \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (1.10)$$

provided  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ . This explains the appearance of the weight  $\tilde{\omega}$  on the right hand side of (1.9). It is worth observing that, despite of (1.10), the strictly positive weight  $\tilde{\omega}$  cannot be replaced by  $\omega$  in the statement because  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$  may vanish in pseudohyperbolic discs of fixed radius that tend to the boundary.

The rest of the paper contains the proofs of the results stated above. We first prove Lemma 3 in the next section. The proof of the result on Carleson measures, Theorem 2, is given in Section 3, and finally, Theorem 1 is proved in Section 4.

To this end, couple of words about the notation used in the sequel. The letter  $C = C(\cdot)$  will denote an absolute constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation  $a \lesssim b$  if there exists a constant  $C = C(\cdot) > 0$  such that  $a \leq Cb$ , and  $a \gtrsim b$  is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if  $a \lesssim b$  and  $a \gtrsim b$ , then we write  $a \asymp b$  and say that  $a$  and  $b$  are comparable.

## 2. PROOF OF LEMMA 3

It is known that if  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ , then there exist constants  $0 < \alpha = \alpha(\omega) \leq \beta = \beta(\omega) < \infty$  and  $C = C(\omega) \geq 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \left( \frac{1-r}{1-t} \right)^\alpha \leq \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{\hat{\omega}(t)} \leq C \left( \frac{1-r}{1-t} \right)^\beta, \quad 0 \leq r \leq t < 1. \quad (2.1)$$

In fact, this pair of inequalities characterizes the class  $\mathcal{D}$  because the right hand inequality is satisfied if and only if  $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$  by [17, Lemma 2.1] while the left hand inequality describes the class  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  in an analogous way, see [14, (2.27)]. The chain of inequalities (2.1) will be frequently used in the sequel.

To prove the lemma, let  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$  and  $z \in \Delta(a, r)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(a)|^p &= |f(\varphi_a(\varphi_a(z))) - f(\varphi_a(0))|^p = \left| \int_0^{\varphi_a(z)} (f \circ \varphi_a)'(\xi) d\xi \right|^p \\ &\leq \frac{\max_{\xi \in D(0, |\varphi_a(z)|)} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(\xi)|^p |\varphi_a(z)|^p}{\max_{\xi \in D(0, r)} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(\xi)|^p |\varphi_a(z)|^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $R \in (r, 1)$  and set  $R' = \frac{r+R}{2}$ . Further, let  $0 < s < 1$ . Then the Cauchy integral formula for the derivative and the subharmonicity of  $|f|^p$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(\xi)|^p &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|w|=R'} \frac{(f \circ \varphi_a)(w)}{(w - \xi)^2} dw \right|^p \leq \left( \frac{2}{R-r} \right)^{2p} (R')^p \max_{|w|=R'} |f(\varphi_a(w))|^p \\ &\lesssim \frac{4}{\pi s^2} \max_{|w|=R'} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi_a(w)|)^2} \int_{\Delta(\varphi_a(w), s)} |f(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \max_{|w|=R'} \frac{1}{(1 - |a|)^2} \int_{\Delta(\varphi_a(w), s)} |f(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta), \quad \xi \in \overline{D(0, r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Fix now  $s = s(r, R) \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently small such that  $\Delta(\varphi_a(w), s) \subset \Delta(a, R)$  for all  $w$  such that  $|w| = R'$ . Further, an application of the right hand inequality in (2.1) shows that  $\hat{\omega}(\zeta) \asymp \hat{\omega}(a)$  for all  $\zeta \in \Delta(a, R)$ . Therefore, by combining (2.2) and (2.3) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(a)|^p &\lesssim \frac{|\varphi_a(z)|^p}{(1 - |a|)^2} \int_{\Delta(a, R)} |f(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \frac{|\varphi_a(z)|^p}{\hat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|)} \int_{\Delta(a, R)} |f(\zeta)|^p \tilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad a \in \mathbb{D}, \quad z \in \Delta(a, r). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the case  $p = q$  because  $|\varphi_a(z)| = \rho(z, a)$  for all  $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ . This part of the proof is valid for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  if  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ .

Let now  $q > p$ , and observe that trivially  $|f(z) - f(a)|^q = (|f(z) - f(a)|^p)^{\frac{q}{p}}$ . An application of the case  $q = p$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(a)|^q &\lesssim \frac{\rho(z, a)^q}{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \left( \int_{\Delta(a, R)} |f(\zeta)|^p \widehat{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\rho(z, a)^q \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^{q-p}}{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\Delta(a, R)} |f(\zeta)|^p \widehat{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

But (1.10) guarantees  $\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p} \asymp \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p} \leq 1$ , and thus the assertion in the case  $q > p$  follows from the above estimate.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove (i), assume first (1.7) and let  $0 < r < 1$ . The fact that  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$  together with Minkowski's inequality in continuous form (Fubini's theorem in the case  $q = p$ ) and (1.7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{\mu}^q}^q &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\Delta(z, r)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\mu(z) \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \frac{\mu(\Delta(\zeta, r))^{\frac{p}{q}}}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \frac{\omega(\Delta(\zeta, r))}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$  by the hypothesis, we may apply the right hand inequality in (2.1) to deduce

$$\omega(\Delta(\zeta, r)) \lesssim \widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.1)$$

It follows that  $\|f\|_{L_{\mu}^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}$ , and hence  $\|f\|_{L_{\mu}^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  by (1.10). Thus  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure  $A_{\omega}^p$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\mu$  is a  $q$ -Carleson measure  $A_{\omega}^p$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , consider the function

$$f_a(z) = \left( \frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \frac{(1 - \bar{a}\varphi_a(z))^{\gamma}}{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.2)$$

induced by  $\omega$  and  $0 < \gamma, p < \infty$ . Then [17, Lemma 2.1] implies that for all  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, p) > 0$  sufficiently large we have  $\|f_a\|_{A_{\omega}^p} \asymp 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Therefore the assumption yields

$$1 \asymp \|f_a\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^q \gtrsim \|f_a\|_{L_{\mu}^q}^q \gtrsim \frac{\mu(\Delta(a, r))}{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is,  $\mu(\Delta(a, r)) \lesssim (\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $\omega \in \mathcal{D} \subset \check{\mathcal{D}}$  by the hypothesis, there exists  $K = K(\omega) > 1$  and  $C = C(\omega) > 1$  such that  $\widehat{\omega}(r) \geq C\widehat{\omega}(1 - \frac{1-r}{K})$  for all  $0 \leq r < 1$  by the definition. Fix now  $r = r(K) \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently large such that

$$\Delta(a, r) \supset \left\{ te^{i\theta} : |a| \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1 - |a|}{K}, \quad |\arg a - \theta| \leq \frac{1 - |a|}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{K} \right) \right\}.$$

Then, as  $\omega \in \mathcal{D} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , the right hand inequality in (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\Delta(a, r)) &\geq (1 - |a|) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{K} \right) |a| \int_{|a|}^{1 - \frac{1 - |a|}{K}} \omega(s) ds \\ &\geq (C - 1)(1 - |a|) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{K} \right) |a| \widehat{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1 - |a|}{K} \right) \\ &\gtrsim \widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|)|a|, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mu(\Delta(a, r)) \lesssim (\hat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}} \lesssim \left( \frac{\omega(\Delta(a, r))}{|a|} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The claim (1.7) now follows from these estimates for all  $r = r(\omega) \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently large.

To prove (ii), assume first that  $I_d : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  is compact. An application of [17, Lemma 2.1] and the right hand inequality in (2.1) ensure that we may choose  $\gamma = \gamma(p, \omega) > 0$  sufficiently large such that  $\|f_a\|_{A_\omega^p} \asymp 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , and  $f_a \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , as  $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$ . Therefore the closure of the set  $\{f_a : a \in \mathbb{D}\}$  is compact in  $L_\mu^q$ . Since for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the open balls  $B(f_a, \varepsilon) = \{f \in L_\mu^q : \|f_a - f\|_{L_\mu^q} < \varepsilon\}$  cover  $\overline{\{f_a : a \in \mathbb{D}\}}$ , there exists a finite subcover  $\{B(f_{a_n}, \varepsilon) : n = 1, \dots, N = N(\varepsilon)\}$ . Let now  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  be arbitrary, and let  $j = j(a) \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $f_a \in B(f_{a_n}, \varepsilon)$ . Then, for each  $R \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, R)} |f_a(z)|^q d\mu(z) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, R)} |f_a(z) - f_{a_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, R)} |f_{a_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \|f_a - f_{a_j}\|_{L_\mu^q}^q + \max_{n=1, \dots, N} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, R)} |f_{a_n}(z)|^q d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

By fixing  $R \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently large, and taking into account that  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we deduce

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, R)} |f_a(z)|^q d\mu(z) = 0$$

uniformly in  $a$ . This together with the uniform convergence yield

$$0 = \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_a\|_{L_\mu^q}^q \geq \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\Delta(a, r)} |f_a(z)|^q d\mu(z) \gtrsim \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu(\Delta(a, r))}{(\hat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}}$$

for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Now fix  $r = r(\omega)$  as in the case (i) to have  $\hat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|) \lesssim \omega(\Delta(a, r))$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then we obtain (1.8).

Conversely, assume (1.8). Let  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $A_\omega^p$  such that  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{A_\omega^p} = M < \infty$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  – this follows, for example, from (4.3) below. Therefore  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  constitutes a normal family by Montel's theorem, and hence we may extract a subsequence  $\{f_{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  that converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  to a function  $f$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  by Weierstrass' theorem. Fatou's lemma now shows that  $f \in A_\omega^p$ . For  $r \in (0, 1)$ , fix an  $r$ -lattice  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $|a_n| \rightarrow 1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(\Delta(a_n, r))}{\omega(\Delta(a_n, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = 0$$

by the hypothesis. Therefore, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{\mu(\Delta(a_n, r))}{\omega(\Delta(a_n, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \varepsilon, \quad n \geq N.$$

Hence, as in the case (i), Minkowski's inequality in continuous form (Fubini's theorem in the case  $q = p$ ), (3.1), (2.1) and (1.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta(a_n, r)} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta(a_n, r)} \left( \int_{\Delta(z, R)} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f_{k_j}(\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\mu(z) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\{\zeta: \Delta(a_n, r) \cap \Delta(\zeta, R) \neq \emptyset\}} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f_{k_j}(\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} \mu(\Delta(a_n, r))^{\frac{p}{q}} dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\{\zeta: \Delta(a_n, r) \cap \Delta(\zeta, R) \neq \emptyset\}} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f_{k_j}(\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} \omega(\Delta(a_n, r)) dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_{\{\zeta: \Delta(a_n, r) \cap \Delta(\zeta, R) \neq \emptyset\}} |f(\zeta) - f_{k_j}(\zeta)|^p \tilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \|f - f_{k_j}\|_{A_{\tilde{\omega}}^p}^q \asymp \varepsilon \|f - f_{k_j}\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^q \lesssim M^q \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_{\Delta(a_n, r)} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) = 0$$

by the uniform convergence in compact subsets, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) & \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \int_{\Delta(a_n, r)} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta(a_n, r)} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right) \lesssim \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_{k_j}(z)|^q d\mu(z) = 0,$$

and hence  $I_d : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\mu}^q$  is compact. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

With the auxiliary results proved in the previous sections we are ready for the proof of the main result. We will follow the arguments used in [1] with appropriate modifications. The following two propositions will prove Theorem 1. The first one gives necessary conditions for  $uC_{\varphi} - vC_{\psi} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow A_{\nu}^q$  to be compact.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $0 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be analytic self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $uC_{\varphi} - vC_{\psi} : A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow A_{\nu}^q$  is compact, then*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| \left( |u(z)| \frac{(\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\hat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} + |v(z)| \frac{(\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\hat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) = 0$$

and there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, p) > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \left( \frac{|1 - \overline{\varphi}(z)\delta_1(z)|^{\gamma}}{(\hat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} + \frac{|1 - \overline{\psi}(z)\delta_2(z)|^{\gamma}}{(\hat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) |u(z) - v(z)| (\hat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0.$$



*Proof.* Consider the test functions  $f_a$  defined in (3.2), and set  $F_a(z) = \varphi_a(z)f_a(z)$  for all  $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Obviously,  $\|F_a\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq \|f_a\|_{A_\omega^p}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Further, by the proof of Theorem 2, both  $f_a$  and  $F_a$  tend to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$ , and  $\|f_a\|_{A_\omega^p} \asymp 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  if  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, p) > 0$  is sufficiently large. Since  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\nu^q$  is compact by the hypothesis, we therefore have

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \|uC_\varphi(f_a) - vC_\psi(f_a)\|_{A_\nu^q} = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \|uC_\varphi(F_a) - vC_\psi(F_a)\|_{A_\nu^q} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Also, if  $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-}$  is replaced by  $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}}$  in the above formulas, then the corresponding quantities are bounded.

We next observe that for each  $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $0 < q < \infty$ , there exists a positive bounded function  $C_\omega$  on  $[0, 1)$  such that

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{C_\omega(|z|)}{(\widehat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|f\|_{A_\omega^q}, \quad f \in A_\omega^q, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (4.3)$$

and  $C_\omega(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$ . Namely, for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{A_\omega^q}^q &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1+|z|}{2})} |f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \geq M_q^q \left( \frac{1+|z|}{2}, f \right) \int_{\frac{1+|z|}{2}}^1 r \omega(r) dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} M_q^q \left( \frac{1+|z|}{2}, f \right) \widehat{\omega} \left( \frac{1+|z|}{2} \right) \gtrsim M_q^q \left( \frac{1+|z|}{2}, f \right) \widehat{\omega}(z), \end{aligned}$$

which combined with the well-known inequality  $M_\infty(|z|, f) \lesssim M_q \left( \frac{1+|z|}{2}, f \right) (1 - |z|)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ , valid for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ , yields (4.3) because

$$\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1+|z|}{2})} |f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \rightarrow 0, \quad |z| \rightarrow 1^-,$$

for each  $f \in A_\omega^q$ .

By combining (4.1) and (4.2) with (4.3), we deduce

$$\lim_{\max(|a|, |z|) \rightarrow 1^-} |uC_\varphi(f_a)(z) - vC_\psi(f_a)(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\max(|a|, |z|) \rightarrow 1^-} |uC_\varphi(F_a)(z) - vC_\psi(F_a)(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0.$$

By choosing  $a = \varphi(z)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |u(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| |v(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Since  $|\delta_1(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , and

$$|u(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))| \leq |u(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| + |v(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))|,$$

by combining (4.4) and (4.5) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|\delta_1(z)| |u(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(\widehat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &= \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |\delta_1(z)| |u(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$



Further, we claim that for each  $0 < \gamma < \infty$  and each bounded set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\gamma, \Omega) > 0$  such that

$$|1 - z^\gamma| \leq C|1 - z|, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (4.7)$$

the proof of which is postponed for a moment. By using this and the fact that  $1 - \frac{1-|a|^2}{1-\bar{a}b} = \bar{a}\varphi_a(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| &= |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))| \left| 1 - \left( \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\psi(z)} \right)^\gamma \right| \\ &\lesssim |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))| \left| 1 - \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\psi(z)} \right| \leq |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))| |\delta_1(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z) - v(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| &\leq |u(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| \\ &\quad + |u(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| \\ &\lesssim |u(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| \\ &\quad + |\delta_1(z)| |u(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(z))|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.4) and (4.6), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \left( \frac{|1 - \overline{\varphi}(z)\delta_1(z)|^\gamma}{(\widehat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^\frac{1}{p}} \right) |u(z) - v(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^\frac{1}{q} \\ &= \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |u(z) - v(z)| |f_{\varphi(z)}(\psi(z))| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^\frac{1}{q} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By following the reasoning above, but with the choice  $a = \psi(z)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|\delta_1(z)| |v(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^\frac{1}{q}}{(\widehat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^\frac{1}{p}} = 0$$

as an analogue of (4.6), and then eventually

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \left( \frac{|1 - \overline{\psi}(z)\delta_2(z)|^\gamma}{(\widehat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^\frac{1}{p}} \right) |u(z) - v(z)| (\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|))^\frac{1}{q} = 0.$$

Therefore to finish the proof of the proposition, it remains to establish (4.7). If  $z \in \Omega \setminus \{z : |1 - z| < 1/2\}$ , then

$$|1 - z^\gamma| \leq 1 + \sup_{z \in \Omega} |z|^\gamma \leq 2 \left( 1 + \sup_{z \in \Omega} |z|^\gamma \right) |1 - z|,$$

while if  $z \in \Omega \cap \{z : |1 - z| < 1/2\}$ , we have

$$|1 - z^\gamma| = \left| \int_z^1 \gamma \zeta^{\gamma-1} d\zeta \right| \leq \gamma \int_z^1 |\zeta|^{\gamma-1} |d\zeta| \leq \frac{\gamma \max\{1, 3^{\gamma-1}\}}{2^{\gamma-1}} |1 - z|.$$

This proves (4.7), and completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Sufficient conditions for the compactness of  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\nu^q$  are given in the next result.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  such that  $\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|) \lesssim (\widehat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^\frac{q}{p}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Further, let  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  analytic self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that (1.4) is satisfied. If there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied, then  $uC_\varphi - vC_\psi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\nu^q$  is compact.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any norm bounded sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_\omega^p$  which tends to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\|(uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)(f_n)\|_{A_\nu^q} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For simplicity, assume  $\|f_n\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq 1$  for all  $n$ . Fix  $0 < r < R < 1$ , and denote  $E = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\delta_1(z)| < r\}$  and  $E' = \mathbb{D} \setminus E$ . Write

$$(uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)(f_n) = (uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)(f_n)\chi_{E'} + (u - v)C_\psi(f_n)\chi_E + u(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(f_n)\chi_E,$$

and observe that it is enough to prove that each of the three quantities

$$\|(uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)(f_n)\chi_{E'}\|_{A_\nu^q}, \quad \|(u - v)C_\psi(f_n)\chi_E\|_{A_\nu^q} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(f_n)\chi_E\|_{A_\nu^q} \quad (4.8)$$

tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We begin with considering the first two quantities in (4.8). By the definition of the set  $E$  we have the estimates

$$|(uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)(f_n)\chi_{E'}| \leq \frac{1}{r}(|\delta_1 u C_\varphi(f_n)| + |\delta_1 v C_\psi(f_n)|)$$

and

$$|(u - v)C_\psi(f_n)\chi_E| \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^\gamma |1 - \bar{\psi}\delta_2|^\gamma |u - v| |C_\psi(f_n)|$$

on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore it suffices to prove that  $\delta_1 u C_\varphi$ ,  $\delta_1 v C_\psi$  and  $(1 - \bar{\psi}\delta_2)^\gamma (u - v)C_\psi$  are compact operators from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_\nu^q$ . We show in detail that  $\delta_1 u C_\varphi$  is compact - the same argument shows the compactness of the other two operators.

Let  $\mu$  be a finite nonnegative Borel measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $h$  a measureable function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For an analytic self-map  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the weighted pushforward measure is defined by

$$\varphi_*(h, \mu)(M) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(M)} h d\mu \quad (4.9)$$

for each measurable set  $M \subset \mathbb{D}$ . If  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure, we omit the measure in the notation and write  $\varphi_*(h)(M)$  for the left hand side of (4.9). By the measure theoretic change of variable [9, Section 39], we have  $\|\delta_1 u C_\varphi(f)\|_{L_\nu^q} = \|f\|_{L_{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)}^q}$  for each  $f \in A_\omega^p$ . Therefore Theorem 2 shows that  $\delta_1 u C_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\nu^q$  is compact if and only if

$$\frac{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(a, r))}{\omega(\Delta(a, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = \frac{\int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))} |\delta_1(z)u(z)|^q \nu(z) dA(z)}{\omega(\Delta(a, r))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \rightarrow 0, \quad |a| \rightarrow 1^-.$$

This is what we prove next. Define

$$W_{a,r} = \sup_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))} \left| (\delta_1(z)u(z))^q \frac{\hat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)}{(\hat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1-|\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right|.$$

Then  $W_{a,r} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$ , by the hypothesis (1.5). Moreover, for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \in \varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))$ , (2.1) yields

$$|\delta_1(z)u(z)|^q \lesssim W_{a,r} \frac{(\hat{\omega}(a)(1-|a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\hat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)},$$

and therefore, for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(a, r)) &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))} |\delta_1(z)u(z)|^q \nu(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| (\delta_1(z)u(z))^q \frac{\hat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)}{(\hat{\omega}(z)(1-|z|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right|^{1-\varepsilon} W_{a,r}^\varepsilon (\hat{\omega}(a)(1-|a|))^{\varepsilon \frac{q}{p}} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))} \frac{(\hat{\omega}(z)(1-|z|))^{(1-\varepsilon)\frac{q}{p}} \nu(z)}{\hat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)} dA(z), \quad a \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Before proceeding further, we indicate how to get to this point with the operator  $(1 - \overline{\psi}\delta_2)^\gamma(u - v)C_\psi$ . After the measure theoretic change of variable and an application of Theorem 2, consider

$$V_{a,r} = \sup_{z \in \psi^{-1}(\Delta(a,r))} \left| (1 - \overline{\psi}(z)\delta_2(z))^{\gamma q} (u(z) - v(z))^q \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|)}{(\widehat{\omega}(\psi(z))(1 - |\psi(z)|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right|$$

instead of  $W_{a,r}$ . Then  $V_{a,r} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$ , by the hypothesis (1.6), and moreover, for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \in \psi^{-1}(\Delta(a,r))$ , (2.1) yields

$$|1 - \overline{\psi}(z)\delta_2(z)|^{\gamma q} |u(z) - v(z)|^q \lesssim V_{a,r} \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|)}.$$

Therefore, for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_* (|1 - \overline{\psi}\delta_2|^{\gamma q} |u - v|^q \nu)(\Delta(a, r)) \\ & \lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| (1 - \overline{\psi}(z)\delta_2(z))^{\gamma q} (u(z) - v(z))^q \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|)}{(\widehat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right|^{1-\varepsilon} V_{a,r}^\varepsilon (\widehat{\omega}(a)(1 - |a|))^{\varepsilon \frac{q}{p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{\psi^{-1}(\Delta(a,r))} \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{(1-\varepsilon)\frac{q}{p}} \nu(z)}{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|)} dA(z), \quad a \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate this last integral, which is the same as the one appearing in (4.10), we first show that there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\omega, \nu, q, p) \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently small such that the function

$$\mu(z) = \mu_{\omega, \nu, \varepsilon, q, p}(z) = \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(z)(1 - |z|))^{(1-\varepsilon)\frac{q}{p}} \nu(z)}{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1 - |z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is a weight and belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ . To see that  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , define  $\rho_n$  by  $\widehat{\nu}(\rho_n) = \frac{\widehat{\nu}(0)}{K^n}$ , where  $K > 1$ . Let  $0 \leq r < 1$  and fix  $M \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\rho_M \leq r < \rho_{M+1}$ . Set  $\alpha = (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{q}{p}$  for short. Since  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$  by the hypothesis, (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\omega}(\rho_{j+N+1}) & \leq \widehat{\omega}(\rho_j) \lesssim \widehat{\omega}(\rho_{j+N+1}) \left( \frac{1 - \rho_j}{1 - \rho_{j+N+1}} \right)^{\beta(\omega)} \lesssim \widehat{\omega}(\rho_{j+N+1}) \left( \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\rho_j)}{\widehat{\nu}(\rho_{j+N+1})} \right)^{\frac{\beta(\omega)}{\alpha(\nu)}} \\ & = \widehat{\omega}(\rho_{j+N+1}) K^{(N+1)\frac{\beta(\omega)}{\alpha(\nu)}} \asymp \widehat{\omega}(\rho_{j+N+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $1 - \rho_j \asymp 1 - \rho_{j+1}$  for all  $j$  and for each fixed  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^1 \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt & \leq \sum_{j=M}^\infty \int_{\rho_j}^{\rho_{j+1}} \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \leq \sum_{j=M}^\infty \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(\rho_j))^\alpha}{(1 - \rho_{j+1})^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\rho_j}^{\rho_{j+1}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \\ & = \sum_{j=M}^\infty \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(\rho_j))^\alpha}{(1 - \rho_{j+1})^{1-\alpha}} \int_{\rho_{j+N}}^{\rho_{j+N+1}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \asymp \int_{\rho_{M+N}}^1 \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Another application of (2.1) shows that there exists  $N = N(\nu) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\rho_{M+N} \geq \frac{1+\rho_{M+1}}{2}$ . Namely, the right hand inequality implies

$$\frac{1 - \rho_{M+1}}{1 - \rho_{M+N}} \geq \left( \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\rho_{M+1})}{C\widehat{\nu}(\rho_{M+N})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \left( \frac{K^{N-1}}{C} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \geq 2$$

for sufficiently large  $N$  giving what we want. Therefore

$$\int_r^1 \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \lesssim \int_{\frac{1+\rho_{M+1}}{2}}^1 \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \leq \int_{\frac{1+r}{2}}^1 \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1 - t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt,$$

which shows that  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , provided  $\mu$  is a weight.

We next show that  $\mu$  is a weight in  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ . By using (2.1), with  $\beta$  in place of  $\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^1 \frac{(\hat{\omega}(t))^\alpha}{(1-t)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\nu(t)}{\hat{\nu}(t)} dt &\lesssim \int_r^1 \frac{\left(\hat{\omega}(r) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-r}\right)^\beta (1-t)\right)^\alpha}{\hat{\nu}(t)(1-t)} \nu(t) dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)^\alpha}{(1-r)^{\beta\alpha}} \int_r^1 \frac{(1-t)^{(1+\beta)\alpha}}{\hat{\nu}(t)(1-t)} \nu(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  sufficient small such that  $\sigma = (1 + \beta)\alpha = (1 + \beta)(1 - \varepsilon)\frac{q}{p} > 1$ . By [19, Lemma 3], the last expression above is dominated by a constant times

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}(r)^\alpha}{(1-r)^{\beta\alpha}} (1-r)^{\sigma-1} = \frac{(\hat{\omega}(r)(1-r))^\alpha}{1-r}.$$

Since  $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$  by the hypothesis, there exists  $K = K(\nu) > 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\hat{\omega}(r)(1-r))^\alpha}{1-r} &\asymp \frac{(\hat{\omega}(r)(1-r))^\alpha}{\hat{\nu}(r)(1-r)} \int_r^{1-\frac{1-r}{K}} \nu(t) dt \\ &\asymp \int_r^{1-\frac{1-r}{K}} \frac{(\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t))^\alpha}{\hat{\nu}(t)(1-t)} \nu(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is a consequence of (2.1), applied to both weights  $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$ . This reasoning shows that  $\mu$  is a weight in  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ , and thus  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We return to estimate the last integral in (4.10). By [16, Proposition 18], the operator  $C_\varphi : A_\mu^p \rightarrow A_\mu^p$  is bounded for each  $0 < p < \infty$ . By the measure theoretic change of variable, this is equivalent to saying that  $I_d : A_\mu^p \rightarrow L_{\varphi_*(\mu)}^p$  is bounded. Since we just proved that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}$ , this is in turn equivalent to  $\varphi_*(\mu)(\Delta(a, r)) \lesssim \mu(\Delta(a, r))$  by Theorem 2. By the definition of these two measures and the poof of the fact  $\mu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$  above, we have

$$\int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(a, r))} \mu(z) dA(z) \lesssim \int_{\Delta(a, r)} \mu(z) dA(z) \lesssim (\hat{\omega}(a)(1-|a|))^{(1-\varepsilon)\frac{q}{p}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This combined with (4.10) gives

$$\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(a, r)) \lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| (\delta_1(z) u(z))^q \frac{\hat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)}{(\hat{\omega}(z)(1-|z|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right|^{1-\varepsilon} W_{a,r}^\varepsilon (\hat{\omega}(a)(1-|a|))^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Since the supremum above is bounded by the hypothesis (1.4), and  $\hat{\omega}(a)(1-|a|) \lesssim \omega(\Delta(a, r))$  for  $r = r(\omega) \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently large by the proof of Theorem 2, we deduce via Theorem 2 that  $\delta_1 u C_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\nu^q$  is compact. As mentioned already,  $\delta_1 v C_\psi$  and  $(1 - \bar{\psi} \delta_2)^\gamma (u - v) C_\psi$  can be treated in the same way.

It remains to deal with the third term in (4.8). By Lemma 3, Fubini's theorem and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(f_n)\chi_E\|_{A_\nu^q}^q &= \int_E |u(z)|^q |f_n(\varphi(z)) - f_n(\psi(z))|^q \nu(z) dA(z) \\
&\lesssim \int_E \frac{|u(z)\delta_1(z)|^q}{(\widehat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\Delta(\varphi(z), R)} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \nu(z) dA(z) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(\zeta, R)) \cap E} \frac{|u(z)\delta_1(z)|^q}{(\widehat{\omega}(\varphi(z))(1 - |\varphi(z)|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \nu(z) dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\
&\asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\Delta(\zeta, R))} \frac{|u(z)\delta_1(z)|^q}{(\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \nu(z) dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \frac{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(\zeta, R))}{(\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the identity operator from  $A_\omega^p$  to  $L_{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)}^q$  is compact, it is also bounded. This and Theorem 2 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(f_n)\chi_E\|_{A_\nu^q}^q &\lesssim \sup_{\zeta \in D(0, r)} \frac{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(\zeta, R))}{(\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{D(0, r)} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\
&\quad + \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, r)} \frac{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(\zeta, R))}{(\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, r)} |f_n(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\zeta \in D(0, r)} |f_n(\zeta)|^p + \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, r)} \frac{\varphi_*(|\delta_1 u|^q \nu)(\Delta(\zeta, R))}{(\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)(1 - |\zeta|))^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad 0 < r < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $0 < r < 1$  sufficiently large, the last term can be made smaller than a pregiven  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For such fixed  $r$ , the first term tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the uniform convergence. Therefore

$$\|u(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(f_n)\chi_E\|_{A_\nu^q}^q \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence also the last term in (4.8) tends to zero. This finishes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Acharyya, Soumyadip; Wu, Zhijian: Compact and Hilbert-Schmidt differences of weighted composition operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 88 (2017), no. 4, 465–482.
- [2] Bonet, José; Lindström, Mikael; Wolf, Elke: Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 84 (2008), no. 1, 9–20.
- [3] Choe, Boo Rim; Choi, Koeun; Koo, Hyungwoon; Yang, Jongho: Difference of weighted composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 278 (2020), no. 5, 108401, 38 pp.
- [4] Contreras, Manuel D.; Hernández-Díaz, Alfredo G.: Weighted composition operators on Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 263 (2001), no. 1, 224–233.
- [5] Cowen, Carl C.; MacCluer, Barbara D.: Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions. *Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. xii+388 pp.
- [6] Čučković, Željko; Zhao, Ruhan: Weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces and different Hardy spaces. *Illinois J. Math.* 51 (2007), no. 2, 479–498.
- [7] Čučković, Željko; Zhao, Ruhan: Weighted composition operators on the Bergman space. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 70 (2004), no. 2, 499–511.
- [8] Goebeler, Thomas E., Jr.: Composition operators acting between Hardy spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 41 (2001), no. 4, 389–395.
- [9] Halmos, Paul R.: *Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [10] Hosokawa, Takuya; Ohno, Shūichi: Differences of composition operators on the Bloch spaces. *J. Operator Theory* 57 (2007), no. 2, 229–242.

- [11] Moorhouse, Jennifer: Compact differences of composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 219 (2005), no. 1, 70–92.
- [12] Moorhouse, Jennifer; Toews, Carl: Differences of composition operators. *Trends in Banach spaces and operator theory* (Memphis, TN, 2001), 207–213, *Contemp. Math.*, 321, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [13] Peláez, José Ángel; Rättyä, Jouni: Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 227 (2014), no. 1066, vi+124pp.
- [14] Peláez, José Ángel and Rättyä, Jouni: Bergman projection induced by radial weight, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1902.09837.pdf>.
- [15] Peláez, José Ángel and Rättyä, Jouni: Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis, *Math. Ann.* 362 (2015), no. 1-2, 205–239.
- [16] Peláez, José Ángel; Rättyä, Jouni: Trace class criteria for Toeplitz and composition operators on small Bergman spaces, *Adv. Math.* 293 (2016), 606–643.
- [17] Peláez, José Ángel: Small weighted Bergman spaces, *Proceedings of the summer school in complex and harmonic analysis, and related topics*, (2016).
- [18] Peláez, José Ángel; Rättyä, Jouni; Sierra, Kian: Berezin transform and Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces induced by regular weights. *J. Geom. Anal.* 28 (2018), no. 1, 656–687.
- [19] Peláez, José Ángel; Perälä, Antti and Rättyä, Jouni: operators induced by radial Bekollé - Bonami weights on Bergman spaces, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.09854>.
- [20] Saukko, Erno: Difference of composition operators between standard weighted Bergman spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 381 (2011), no. 2, 789–798.
- [21] Saukko, Erno: An application of atomic decomposition in Bergman spaces to the study of differences of composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), no. 9, 3872–3890.
- [22] Shapiro, Joel H.: The essential norm of a composition operator. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 125 (1987), no. 2, 375–404.
- [23] Shapiro, Joel H.: *Composition operators and classical function theory*. Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. xvi+223 pp.
- [24] Shapiro, Joel H.; Sundberg, Carl: Isolation amongst the composition operators. *Pacific J. Math.* 145 (1990), no. 1, 117–152.
- [25] Smith, Wayne: Composition operators between Bergman and Hardy spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996), no. 6, 2331–2348.
- [26] Smith, Wayne; Yang, Liming: Composition operators that improve integrability on weighted Bergman spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126 (1998), no. 2, 411–420.

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, P.O.BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND  
*E-mail address:* `binl@uef.fi`

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, P.O.BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND  
*E-mail address:* `jouni.ratty@uef.fi`