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#### Abstract

We formulate a number of new results in Algebraic Geometry and outline their derivation from Theorem [2.12 which belongs to Algebraic Combinatorics.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we present a derivation of a number of results in Algebraic Geometry from a theorem (Theorem 2.12) in Algebraic Combinatorics and it extension (Theorem 8.3) to the case of $p$-adic rings. In the first half of the article we outline the derivations of results in Algebraic Geometry relying on results of [10] and [11]. Afterwards we present a proof of an extension of Theorem 2.12 to the $p$-adic realm and an application of this result to Algebraic Geometry.
1.1. Basic definitions. We will denote finite fields by $k$ and general fields by $K$. It will be important to distinguish between an algebraic variety $\mathbf{X}$ defined over a field $K$ and the set $X:=\mathbf{X}(K)$ of $K$-points of $\mathbf{X}$. For a polynomial $P: V \rightarrow K$ we write $\mathbf{X}_{P}:=P^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbf{V}$ and $X_{P}:=P^{-1}(0) \subset V$. So $X_{P}=\mathbf{X}_{P}(K)$.

In this paper we heavily use the notion of rank of polynomials introduced in a paper by Schmidt [20], which characterizes complexity of polynomials. 1 .

Definition 1.1 (Schmidt rank). Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ on a $K$-vector space $V$. We define the $\operatorname{rank} r_{K}(P)$ as the minimal number $r$ such that $P$ can be written in the form $P=\sum_{i=1}^{r} Q_{i} R_{i}$, where $Q_{i}, R_{i}$ are $K$-polynomials on $V$ of degrees $<d$.

When there is no confusion we will omit the subscript $K$ from $r_{K}(P)$.
Example 1.2. If $P: V \rightarrow K$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form then $\operatorname{dim}(V) / 2 \leq$ $r(P) \leq 3 \operatorname{dim}(V) / 2$.

We show that morphisms $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{c}$ defined by polynomials of sufficiently high rank and degree less then characteristic of $K$ possess a number of nice properties. In particular we show that these morphisms are flat and that their

[^0]fibers are complete intersections with rational singularities. In the case of fields of small characteristic we have to replace the rank $r(P)$ by the non-classical rank $r_{n c}(P)$ defined in 2.3.

For polynomials over finite fields there is also a notion of an analytic rank.
Definition 1.3 (Analytic rank). Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $P$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ on a $k$-vector space $V$. Fix a non trivial additive character $\psi: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and define the analytic rank $a_{k}(P):=-\log _{q}\left|q^{-\operatorname{dim} V} \sum_{v \in V} \psi(P(v))\right|$.

To simplify notations we assume throughout most of the introduction that $V=V_{1} \times \cdots \times V_{d}$ and that polynomials $P: V \rightarrow K$ are multilinear. In this case the analytic rank does not depend on a choice a non-trivial additive character.

Most of the results in this paper are based on the following Theorem relating rank and analytic rank for multilinear polynomials over finite fields (see [3, 19]):
Fact 1.4. There exist a function $\gamma_{d}(s), \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{d}(s)=\infty$, such that for any finite field $k$, and degree $d$ multilinear polynomial $P$ we have $a_{k}(P) \geq \gamma_{d}\left(r_{k}(P)\right)$.
1.2. Basic conjectures and questions. Before describing the our results we formulate some basic questions and conjectures regarding the notions of rank defined above.

Conjecture 1.5 (d). For any $d \geq 2$ there exists $\kappa_{d}>0$ such that for any multilinear polynomial $P$ we have $r_{K}(P) \leq \kappa_{d} r_{\bar{K}}(P)$ where $K$ is the algebraic closure of $K$.

## Remark 1.6.

(1) It is easy to see that Conjecture 1.5 holds for $d=2$ with $\kappa_{2}=1 / 2$.
(2) Since in the case when $d=3$ the Schmidt rank is equal to the slice rank the validity of Conjecture 1.5(3) follows from Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 4.9 in [6].

Conjecture 1.7 (d). For any $d \geq 2$ there exists $\epsilon_{d}>0$ such that $a_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(P) \geq$ $\epsilon_{d} r_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(P)$ for any multilinear polynomial of degree $d$.

## Remark 1.8.

(1) The inequality $a_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(P) \leq r_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(P)$ is known. See [13, 16].
(2) It is easy to see that Conjecture 1.7 holds for $d=2$ with $\epsilon_{2}=1 / 2$.

Let $K$ be an algebraically close field, and $\left(P_{s}\right)_{s \in S}$ be a family of polynomials. We define $U \subset S$ as the subset of points $t \in S$ such that $r\left(P_{t}\right)=\max _{s \in S} r\left(P_{s}\right)$. It is easy to see that this subset is constructible.

Question 1.9. Is the subset $U \subset S$ locally closed?
1.3. Main results. We formulate in the introduction special cases of the main results. To simplify notations we assume that $K$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $>d=\operatorname{deg} P$. For the validity of these results we do not have to assume that polynomials $P$ are multilinear.

Theorem 1.10. For any $d \geq 2$ there exists $r(d)$ such that varieties $\mathbf{X}_{P} \subset \mathbf{V}$ are geometrically irreducible normal varieties with rational singularities.

For a formulation of two other results we need a couple of definitions.
Definition 1.11. A polynomial $P$ of degree $d$ on a $K$-vector space $K^{m}$ is $m$ universal if for any $Q \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ of degrees $\leq d$ there exists an affine map $\phi: K^{m} \rightarrow V$ such that $Q=\phi^{\star}(P)$.
Theorem 1.12 (Universal). There exists a function $R(d, m)$ such that any polynomial $P$ of degree $d$ and rank $\geq R(d, m)$ is $m$-universal.

Definition 1.13. Let $V$ be a $K$-vector space and $X$ a subset of $V$. A function $f: X \rightarrow K$ is weakly polynomial of degree $\leq a$, if for any affine subspace $L \subset X$ the restriction of $f$ on $L$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq a$.

Theorem 1.14. For any $a, d \geq 1$ there exists $r(a, d)$ such that the following holds.

For any polynomial $P: V \rightarrow K$ of degree $d$ and rank $\geq r(a, d)$, any weakly polynomial function of degree $\leq a$ on $X_{P} \subset V$ is a restriction of a polynomial of degree $\leq a$ on $V$.

The last result we state is for polynomials over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Theorem 1.15. There exists a function $\rho(d)>0$ such that for any finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of characteristic $>d$ and polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(P)=d$, $r_{\mathbb{P}_{q}}(P) \geq \rho(d), \operatorname{deg}(Q) \leq q / d$ and such that $Q(\bar{x})=0$ for all $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$ such that $P(\bar{x})=0$, we have $Q=P R$ for some $R \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]$.

In the paper all the above mentioned results are extended to the case when we replace a polynomial $P$ by a collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$ of polynomials on $V$.
1.4. Acknowledgement. We thank U. Hrushovski for his help with simplifying the proof of Theorem 7.2 .

## 2. The main tool

We start with defining the Schimdt rank for a family of polynomials.
Definition 2.1 (Schmidt rank). (1) Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ on a $K$-vector space $V$. We define the rank $r_{K}(P)$ as the minimal number $r$ such that $P$ can be written in the form $P=\sum_{i=1}^{r} Q_{i} R_{i}$, where $Q_{i}, R_{i}$ are polynomials on $V$ of degrees $<d$.
(2) For a collection of polynomials $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ on $V$ we define the rank $r_{k}(\bar{P})$ as the minimal rank of polynomials $\bar{P}_{\bar{a}}^{c}:=\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i} P_{i}, \bar{a} \in K^{c} \backslash\{0\}$.

Remark 2.2. (1) We will often consider $\bar{P}$ as a morphism from $\mathbf{V}$ to $\mathbf{A}^{c}$.
(2) When there is no confusion we write $r(\bar{P})$ instead of $r_{k}(\bar{P})$.

When the characteristic of the field is smaller then the maximal degree in $\bar{P}$ we need to replace the notion of rank with non-classical rank.
Definition 2.3. Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ on a $K$-vector space $V$.
(1) We denote by $\tilde{P}: V^{d} \rightarrow k$ the symmetric multilinear form given by $\tilde{P}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{d}\right):=\Delta_{h_{1}} \ldots \Delta_{h_{d}} P: V^{d} \rightarrow k$, where $\Delta_{h} P(x):=P(x+h)-$ $P(x)$. Note that $\tilde{P}$ only depends on the top-degree part of $P$.
(2) We define the non-classical rank (nc-rank) $r_{n c}(P)$ to be the rank of $\tilde{P}$.
(3) For a collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ of polynomials on $V$ we define the ncrank $r_{n c}(\bar{P})$ as the minimal nc-rank of polynomials $P_{\bar{a}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i} P_{i}, \bar{a} \in$ $k^{c} \backslash\{0\}$.
Remark 2.4. (1) If $\operatorname{char}(K)>d$ then $r(P) \sim r_{n c}(P)$ (see [10]).
(2) In low characteristic it can happen that $P$ is of high rank while $\tilde{P}$ is of low rank.

Example 2.5. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $2, \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{A}^{n}$ and $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $\sum_{1<i<j<k<l \leq n} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}$ is of rank $\sim n$, but of nc-rank 3, (see [21]).
Definition 2.6. Let $k:=\mathbb{F}_{q}, V$ be a $k$-vector space and $\bar{P}: V \rightarrow k^{c}$ be a map. We define
(1) $F_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P}):=\{v \in V \mid \bar{P}(v)=\bar{t}\}, \bar{t} \in k^{c}$.
(2) $\nu_{\bar{P}}: k^{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the function given by $\nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t}):=\left|F_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right| / q^{\operatorname{dim}(V)-c}$.
(3) A map $\bar{P}$ is s-uniform if $\left|\nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t})-1\right| \leq q^{-s}$ for all $\bar{t} \in k^{c}$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right), P_{i}: V \rightarrow k$ be a collection of maps such that all the maps $P_{\bar{a}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i} P_{i}, \bar{a} \in k^{c} \backslash\{0\}$ are s-uniform. Then the map $\bar{P}$ is $(s-c)$-uniform.
Proof. Let $A$ be a finite commutative group written additively and $\Xi$ the group of characters $\chi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ of $A$. For a function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\chi): \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\hat{f}(\chi)=|A|^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a) f(a)$. The next statement is the formula for the inverse Fourier transform.

Fact 2.8. $\sum_{\chi \in \Xi} \hat{f}(\chi) \chi\left(-a_{0}\right)=f\left(a_{0}\right)$ for any $a_{0} \in A$.
Let $A=k^{c}$. We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ and associate with any $\bar{a} \in k^{c}$ a character $\chi_{\bar{a}}: k^{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ by $\chi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{t})=\psi(\langle\bar{a}, \bar{t}\rangle)$ where $\langle$,$\rangle :$ $k^{c} \times k^{c} \rightarrow k$ is the natural pairing. The map $\bar{a} \rightarrow \chi_{\bar{a}}$ is a group isomorphism between $A$ and the group $\Xi$ of characters of $A$.

The Fourier transform of the function $\nu$ is given by

$$
\hat{\nu}_{\bar{P}}\left(\chi_{\bar{a}}\right)=q^{-c} \sum_{\bar{t} \in k^{c}} \nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t}) \chi(\bar{t})=q^{-\operatorname{dim}(V)} \sum_{v \in V} \psi\left(P_{\bar{a}}(v)\right)
$$

Since, by the assumptions of the Lemma, for any $\bar{a} \neq 0$ the map $P_{\bar{a}}$ is $s$-uniform we see that $\left|\hat{\nu}_{\bar{P}}\left(\chi_{\bar{a}}\right)\right| \leq q^{-s}$ for $\bar{a} \neq 0$.

As follows from Claim 2.8 we have

$$
\nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t})=\sum_{\bar{a} \in k^{c}} \psi_{\bar{a}}(-\bar{t}) \hat{\nu}_{\bar{P}}(\bar{a})=1+\sum_{\bar{a} \in k^{c} \backslash\{0\}} \psi_{\bar{a}}(-\bar{t}) \hat{\nu}_{\bar{P}}(\bar{a})
$$

for all $\bar{t} \in k^{c}$. So $\left|\nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t})-1\right| \leq q^{-(s-c)}$.
The following result is from Algebraic Combinatorics (see [3], [19]).
Fact 2.9. There exist a function $\alpha_{d}(s), \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{d}(s)=\infty$, such that all polynomials $P: V \rightarrow k$ of degree $d$ and $r_{n c}(P) \geq \alpha_{d}(s)$ are $s$-uniform.

Remark 2.10. (1) Fact 2.9 is the corner stone of our work.
(2) Note that $\alpha_{d}(s)$ is independent of the finite field $k$ and of the (dimension of the) $k$-vector space $V$.
(3) A stronger form of this result showing the existence of explicitly definable functions $e(d), \gamma(d)$ such that one can take $\alpha_{d}(s)=e(d) s^{\gamma(d)}$ is proven in [19] and [8. This improvement has a number of important applications in Algebraic Combinatorics. One can ask whether it is possible to replace $\gamma(d)$ by 1.
Definition 2.11. For $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ we write $r_{\bar{d}}:=\alpha_{d}(c+2)$.
The following result, to which we often refer, follows immediately from Lemma 2.7 and Claim 2.9.

Theorem 2.12 (Uniform). Let $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$ be a collection of polynomials of degrees $\leq d$ and of nc-rank $r \geq r_{\bar{d}}$. Then $\left|\nu_{\bar{P}}(\bar{t})-q^{-c}\right| \leq q^{-(c+2)}$ for all $\bar{t} \in k^{c}$.

## 3. Irreducibility of fibers

In this section we show a derivation of the following result from Theorem 2.12.
Theorem 3.1. (1) For any field $K$ and a collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$, of polynomials $P_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of degrees $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ and nc-rank over the algebraic closure of $K$ is greater then $r_{\bar{d}}$, all the fibers $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P}):=$ $\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(\bar{t}) \subset \mathbf{A}^{n}, \bar{t} \in K^{c}$ are non-empty reduced, geometrically irreducible complete intersections.
(2) The schemes $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ are reduced.
(3) The schemes $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ are normal.
(4) If $K=\mathbb{R}$ and all degrees $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq c$ are odd, then $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset, \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{c}$.

Remark 3.2. For fields of characteristic zero Theorem 3.1 follows from [20]. We include proofs to present our technique in details in the simplest case.

Proof. We first prove part (1). As is well known (see Krull's principal theorem, [17]) any irreducible component $\mathbf{Y}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ is of dimension $\geq(n-c)$. So it is sufficient to show that the varieties $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ are irreducible and of dimension $\leq(n-c)$.

We first consider the case when $K$ is a finite field when we can use the following result (see [15]).

Let $k:=\mathbb{F}_{q}, k_{l}:=\mathbb{F}_{q^{l}}$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an $m$-dimensional algebraic variety defined over $k$ and $c(\mathbf{X})$ be the number of irreducible components of $\mathbf{X}$ of dimension $m$ (considered as a variety over the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$ ). We define $\tau_{l}(\mathbf{X}):=$ $\frac{\left|\mathbf{X}\left(k_{k}\right)\right|}{q^{m l}}$, for $l \geq 1$.
Claim 3.3. There exists $u \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{l u}(\mathbf{X})=c(\mathbf{X})$.
For a proof of Theorem 3.1 in the case when $K=\mathbf{F}_{q}$ it is sufficient to observe that Claim 3.3 and Theorem 2.12 imply that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right)=n-c$ and $c\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right)=$ 1.

Now we consider the case when $K$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Since $K=$ $\bigcup \mathbf{F}_{q^{n}}$ we may assume that $\bar{t} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{n}}^{c}$. So $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right)=n-c$ and $c\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right)=1$. Therefore Theorem 3.1 is proven in the case when $K$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field.

We start the reduction of the general case of Theorem 3.1 to the case when $K$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field with a reformulation.

Definition 3.4. Let $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ and $n \geq 1$. A field $K$ has property $\star(n, \bar{d})$ if for any collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{c}$ of polynomials $P_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of degrees $d_{i}$ and $n c$-rank $\geq r_{\bar{d}}$, all the varieties $\mathbb{F}_{\bar{t}}, \bar{t} \in K^{c}$ are irreducible of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(V)-c$, where $r_{\bar{d}}$ is as in Definition [2.11.
Claim 3.5 (n). All fields have the property $\star(n, \bar{d})$ for any $\geq 1, \bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$.
Proof. It is clear that it is sufficient to prove this claim for algebraic closed fields. Our proof of Claim 3.5( $n$ ) uses the following result from Model theory (see [18]).
Fact 3.6. Let $T$ be the theory of algebraically closed fields. Then any first order property in $T$ which is true for all algebraic closures of finite fields is true for all algebraically closed fields.

Since $\star(n, d)$ is a first order property in $T$, Claim $3.5(n), n \geq 1$ are proven.
Since the constant $r(\bar{d})$ does not depend on $n$, the validity of Claim $3.5(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$, implies the validity of the part (1) of Theorem 3.1.

Our proof of parts (2) and (3) is based on the following statement (see [14]).
Fact 3.7. There exists a function $\tilde{r}(r, \bar{d})$ such that for any collection $\bar{P}$ of degree $\bar{d}$ and nc-rank $\geq \tilde{r}(r, \bar{d})$ there exist $v_{i}, w_{i} \in V, 1 \leq i \leq c$ such that the collection $\bar{Q}=\left(\bar{P}, P_{i} / \partial_{v_{i}}, \partial P_{i} / \partial_{w_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ is of nc-rank $\geq r$.
(2) Since (as follows from (1)) varieties $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ are irreducible complete intersections, to show that schemes $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ are reduced it is sufficient to show that they are reduced at the generic point, and to show the normality of $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ it is sufficient to show that they are non singular in codimension 1.

To show that a variety $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$ is reduced at the generic point it is sufficient to show the existence of vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{c} \in V$ such that $\partial P_{i} / \partial_{v_{i}}(x) \not \equiv 0, x \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})$.

For $\bar{v} \in V^{c}$ we define the collection $\bar{R}(\bar{v})$ by $\bar{R}(\bar{v})=\left(\bar{P}, \partial P_{i} / \partial_{v_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$. Let $\bar{d}^{\prime}$ be the degree of the collection $\bar{R}$. As follows from Claim 3.7 in the case when $n c$-rank of $\bar{P}$ is greater than $\tilde{r}\left(r_{\bar{d}^{\prime}}, \bar{d}\right)$ we can find $\bar{v} \in V^{c}$ such the $n c$-rank of $\bar{R}(\bar{v})$ is greater than $r_{\bar{d}^{\prime}}$. We fix such $\bar{v}$ and write $\bar{R}:=\bar{R}(\bar{v})$. We consider $\bar{R}$ as a morphism $\bar{R}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{c} \times \mathbf{A}^{c}$.

As follows from the part (1) we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(V)-2 c$ for all $\bar{t}, \bar{s} \in \mathbf{A}^{c}$.
Let $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{A}^{c}$ be the subvariety of $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{c}\right)$ such that $s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{c}=0$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\bar{R}^{-1}(\bar{t} \times \mathbf{Z}) \subset \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(V)-2 c$ for all $\bar{t}, \bar{s} \in \mathbf{A}^{c}$ we see that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{Y})=\operatorname{dim}(V)-c-1<\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}\right)$. Since the scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$ is reduced at any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}} \backslash \mathbf{Y}$ we see that the scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$ is reduced.

The proof of (3) is completely analogous. We choose $v_{i}, w_{i} \in V, 1 \leq i \leq c$ such that the rank of the collection $\bar{Q}=\left(\bar{P}, \partial P_{i} / \partial_{v_{i}}, \partial P_{i} / \partial_{w_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ is greater than $\tilde{r}\left(r_{\bar{d}}, \bar{d}\right)$ where $\bar{d}$ ' be the degree of the collection $\bar{Q}$. We consider $\bar{Q}$ as a morphism $\bar{Q}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{c} \times \mathbf{A}^{c} \times \mathbf{A}^{c}$. As follows from (1) the fibers of the morphism $\bar{Q}$ are of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(V)-3 c$.
Let $\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}:=\bar{Q}^{-1}(\bar{t} \times \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}) \subset \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\bar{t}, \bar{s}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(V)-2 c$ for all $\bar{t}, \bar{s} \in \mathbf{A}^{c}$ we see that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{Y})=\operatorname{dim}(V)-c-2=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}\right)-2$. Since the scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$ is smooth at any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}} \backslash \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}$ we see that the scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}$ is normal.

For the proof of part (4) we fix a generic subspace $\mathbf{W} \subset \mathbf{V}$ of dimension $c$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right)=n-c$ it follows from the Bézout theorem that $\left|\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P}) \cap \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{C})\right|=$ $\prod_{i} d_{i}$ is odd. Therefore there exists a point $x \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P}) \cap \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{C})$ which is invariant under complex conjugation. But then $x \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P}) \cap \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 3.8. For any infinite field $K, K$-vector spaces $V_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d$ and a collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$ of polylinear functions $P_{i}: V_{1} \times \cdots \times V_{d} \rightarrow K$ of degrees $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ and rank $\geq r_{\bar{d}}$, we have $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})(K) \neq \emptyset$ for $\bar{t} \in K^{c}$.

Proof. We fix $\bar{t} \in K^{c}$ and for $w \in \mathbf{W}:=\mathbf{V}_{2} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V}_{d}$ define $\mathbf{Z}(w)=\left\{v_{1} \in\right.$ $\left.V_{1} \mid\left(v_{1}, w\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{t}}(\bar{P})\right\}$. Let $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{W}$ be the subvariety of $w \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{Z}(w))<\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{1}\right)-c$. As follows from Theorem 3.1 the closure $\overline{\mathbf{Y}} \subset \mathbf{W}$ of $\mathbf{Y}$ is a proper closed subset. Since $K$ is infinite we have $(\mathbf{W} \backslash \mathbf{Y})(K) \neq \emptyset$. For any $w \in \mathbf{W} \backslash \mathbf{Y}$ the subset $\mathbf{Z}(w)$ is defined by a system of linear equations in $V_{1}$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(w) \neq \emptyset$ we see that $\mathbf{Z}(w)(K) \neq \emptyset$.

## 4. Universality of high rank polynomial mappings

Definition 4.1. A collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ of degree $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ of polynomials on a $K$-vector space $V$ is $m$-universal if for any collection $\bar{Q}=\left(Q_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ of polynomials $Q_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ of degrees $\leq d_{i}$ there exists an affine map $\phi: K^{m} \rightarrow V$ such that $Q_{i}=\phi^{\star}\left(P_{i}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq c$.

Theorem 4.2 (Universal). There exists a function $R(\bar{d}, m)$ such that any collection of polynomials $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$ of degrees $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ and nc-rank $\geq R(\bar{d}, m)$ is $m$-universal, where $K$ is a field which is either finite or algebraically closed.

Proof. To simplify notations we only outline the proof in the case when $c=1$. Let $\Phi$ be the vector space of affine maps $\phi: K^{m} \rightarrow V$ and $L$ be the vector space of polynomials $Q \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ of degree $\leq d$. Choose a basis $\lambda_{j}, j \in J$ of the dual space to $L$. For any polynomial $P$ of degree $d$ on $V$ we define the map $\bar{R}_{P}: \Phi \rightarrow K^{J}$ by $\bar{R}_{P}(\phi)=\left(\lambda_{j}\left(\phi^{\star}(P)\right)\right)_{j \in J}$. We have to show the surjectivity of the map $\bar{R}_{P}$. This surjectivity follows immediately from the following result (see Claim 3.11 in [10]), and Fact 2.9.

Fact 4.3. For any $r$ there exists $h(r, d, m)$ such that the nc-rank of $\bar{R}_{P}$ is $\geq r$ for any polynomial $P$ on $V$ of nc-rank $\geq h(r, d, m)$.

Corollary 4.4. If $K=\mathbb{R}$ and all the degrees $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq c$ in a collection $\bar{P}$ are odd then under the conditions of Theorem 4.2 the collection $\bar{P}$ is m-universal.

Proof. In this case the polynomials in $\bar{R}_{\bar{P}}$ are of the same degrees and Corollary 4.4 follows from the part (2) of Theorem 3.1.

## 5. The universality for number fields

Let $K$ be a number field.
Theorem 5.1. For any $m, \bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ there exists $r(\bar{d}, m)$ such that any collection $\bar{P}: V \rightarrow K^{c}$ of degree $\bar{d}$ and rank $\geq r(\bar{d}, m)$ is $m$-universal if either $K$ is totally imaginary or all degrees $d_{i}$ are odd or the collection $\bar{P}$ consists of polylinear functions.

Proof. Let $\Phi$ be the space of affine maps from $\mathbf{A}^{m}$ to $V$. The condition $\phi^{\star}(\bar{P})=\bar{Q}$ is equivalent to a system $\bar{G}=\bar{G}(\bar{P}, \bar{Q})$ of $M=\sum_{i=1}^{c}\binom{m+d_{i}}{d_{i}}$ equations of degrees $\leq d=\max _{1 \leq i \leq c} d_{i}$ on $\phi \in \Phi$. Let $\mathbf{X} \subset \Phi$ be the subvariety defined by the system
$\bar{G}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\text {. }} \subset \mathbf{X}$ be the singular locus. $\bar{G}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\text {sing }} \subset \mathbf{X}$ be the singular locus.

Let $D=d^{M}, E=(D-1) D^{\left(2^{D}\right)}+1$. As follows from Claim 3.10 in [10] there exist $\tilde{r}$ such the inequality $r_{\mathbb{C}}(P) \geq r$ implies $r_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{G}) \geq D!(E+M)$. By Proposition $\mathrm{III}_{C}$ of [20] we know that $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\text {sing }}\right)>E+M$. Since the field $K$ is infinite there exists a $K$ - subspace $\mathbf{W} \subset \Phi$ of codimension $E+M+1$ such that the intersection $\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{W}$ is non-singular and geometrically irreducible. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{W}) \geq M+E$ and $\operatorname{codim}_{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}) \leq M$ we have $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq E$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{X}) \leq d^{M}$ we see that $\mathbf{Z}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2 in [22]. Now Theorem 5.1] follows from Theorem 1.1 in [5], part (2) of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.8.

## 6. WEAKLY POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS

We start the next topic with a couple of definitions.
Definition 6.1. (1) Let $K$ be a field, $V$ be a $K$-vector space and $X$ a subset of $V$. A function $f: X \rightarrow K$ is weakly polynomial of degree $\leq a$, if for any affine subspace $L \subset X$ the restriction of $f$ on $L$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq a$.
(2) A subset $X \subset V$ satisfies the condition $\star_{a}$ if any weakly polynomial function of degree $\leq a$ on $X$ is a restriction of a polynomial function of degree $\leq a$ on $V$.

The following example demonstrates the existence of cubic surfaces $X \subset K^{2}$ which do not have the property $\star_{1}$ for any field $K \neq \mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Example 6.2. Let $V=K^{2}, Q=x y(x-y)$, and let $X$ be the variety defined by $Q$. Then $X=X_{0} \cup X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ where $X_{0}=\{v \in V \mid x=0\}, X_{1}=\{v \in V \mid y=$ $0\}, X_{2}=\{v \in V \mid x=y\}$. The function $f: X \rightarrow K$ such that $f(x, 0)=f(0, y)=$ $0, f(x, x)=x$ is weakly linear but one can not extend it to a linear function on $V$.

Definition 6.3. A field $K$ is $e$-admissible for $e \geq 1$ if $K^{\star}$ contains the subgroup $\mu_{e}$ of roots of order $e$.

Remark 6.4. To simplify notations we define $C:=\mu_{e}$.
Theorem 6.5 (Extension). There exists an $S=S(a, d)$ such that any hypersurface $Y \subset V$ of degree $d$ and nc-rank $\geq S$ satisfies the condition $\star_{a}$ if $K$ is an ad-admissible field which is either finite field or is algebraically closed or is a number field.

Remark 6.6. (1) The main difficulty in a proof of Theorem 6.5 is the nonuniqueness of an extension of $f$ to a polynomial on $V$ in the case when $a>d$.
(2) An analogous statement is true for weakly polynomial functions on subsets $X_{\bar{P}}$ where $\bar{P}$ is a collection of a sufficiently high $n c$-rank.

Proof. We fix the degree $d$ of $P$. The proof consists of two steps. We first construct a collection $\mathbf{X}_{m} \subset \mathbf{V}_{m}$ of hypersurfaces of degree $d$ and nc-rank $\geq m$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that for all ad-admissible fields the subsets $X_{m}:=\mathbf{X}_{m}(K) \subset$ $V_{m}$ satisfy the condition $\star_{a}$. In the second step we derive the general case of Theorem 6.5 from this special case.
6.1. The first step. We denote by $x^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d$ the coordinate functions on $\mathbb{A}^{d}$.

## Definition 6.7.

(1) $\mathbf{W}:=\mathbf{A}^{d}$ and $\mu: \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is the product $\mu\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right):=\prod_{j=1}^{d} x^{j}$.
(2) $\mathbf{V}_{m}:=\mathbf{W}^{m}$ and $Q_{m}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu\left(w_{i}\right)$,
(3) $\mathbf{X}_{m}=\mathbf{X}_{Q_{m}}$.

## Proposition 6.8.

(1) $r_{n c}\left(Q_{m}\right) \geq m$.
(2) For any ad-admissible field $K$ the subvariety $\mathbf{X}_{m}(K) \subset \mathbf{V}_{m}(K)$ has the property $\star_{a}$.
Proof. The proof of the inequality $r_{n c}\left(Q_{m}\right) \geq m$ is easy. In the case when $K=\mathbb{C}$ the result follows immediately from Lemma 16.1 of [20].

To outline a proof of the second statement we introduce a number of definitions. We fix $m$ and write $X$ instead of $X_{m}$. Since our field $K$ is $a d$-admissible the group $K^{\star}$ contains a finite subgroup $C$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{a d}$.

## Definition 6.9.

(1) $W_{1}:=\left\{\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right) \in W \mid x^{i}=1, i \geq 2\right\}$.
(2) $L:=W_{1}^{m} \cap X$.
(3) $H \subset C^{d} \subset\left(K^{\star}\right)^{d}$ is the kernel of the product map $\mu_{C}: C^{d} \rightarrow C$. The group $H$ acts on $W$ by $\left(c^{1}, \ldots, c^{d}\right)\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)=\left(c^{1} x^{1}, \ldots, c^{d} x^{d}\right)$.
(4) $\Theta$ is the group of characters $\theta: H^{m} \rightarrow K^{\star}$.
(5) We write elements of $V_{m}$ in the form $v=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m, w_{i} \in$ $W$. The group $H^{m}$ acts on $X_{m} \subset V_{m}$ by $\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)=$ $\left(h_{1} w_{1}, \ldots, h_{m} w_{m}\right)$.
(6) $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X) \subset k[X]$ is the subspace of weakly polynomial functions of degree $\leq a$ on $X$.
(7) $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{a}(X) \subset \mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)$ is the subspace of functions $f: X \rightarrow k$ which are restrictions of polynomial functions on $V$ of degree $\leq a$.
(8) For $\theta \in \Theta$, denote by $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)^{\theta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X), \mathcal{P}_{a}(X)^{\theta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{a}(X)$, the subspaces $\theta$-eigenfunctions.
Since $C \subset K^{\star}$ we have direct sum decompositions $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)=\oplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)^{\theta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{a}(X)=\oplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{P}_{a}(X)^{\theta}$. Therefore for a proof of Proposition 6.8 it is sufficient to show the equality $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)^{\theta}=\mathcal{P}_{a}(X)^{\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

Fix $f \in \mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)^{\theta}$. Since $L \subset V$ is a linear subspace the restriction $\left.f\right|_{L}$ extends to a polynomial on $V$. So (after the subtraction of a polynomial) we may assume that $\left.f\right|_{L} \equiv 0$. As shown in [10] Section 4.2, any weakly admissible function $f \in \mathcal{P}_{a}^{w}(X)^{\theta}$ vanishing on $L$ is identically 0 . So $f \in \mathcal{P}_{a}(X)^{\theta}$.
6.2. The second step. The proof of the general case of Theorem 6.5 is based on the following result.
Proposition 6.10. There exists a function $r(d, a)$ such that the following holds. Let $K$ be a field which is either finite or algebraically closed, $V$ a $K$-vector space, $P$ a polynomial of degree $d$ and $W \subset V$ an affine subspace such that the nc-rank of the restriction of $P$ on $W$ is $\geq r(d, a)$. Then any weakly polynomial function $f$ on $X$ of degree $\leq a$ such that $f_{\mid X \cap W}$ extends to a polynomial on $W$ of degree $\leq a$ is a restriction of a polynomial of degree $\leq a$ on $V$.

Proof. After subtracting a polynomial from $f$ we may assume that $\left.f\right|_{X \cap W} \equiv 0$. Using induction on the codimension of $W$ we reduce the Proposition to the case when $W \subset V$ is a hyperplane. We fix a direct sum decomposition $V=W \oplus K$ and denote by $t: V \rightarrow K$ the projection. Our proof is by induction on $a$.

The function $g^{\prime}:=f / t$ is defined on $X \backslash X \cap W$. We start with a construction of an extension of $g^{\prime}$ to a function $g$ on $X$. Given a point $y \in X \cap W$ consider the set $\mathcal{L}$ of lines $L \subset X$ such that $L \cap W=\{y\}$. Since $f$ is weakly polynomial, the restriction $f_{L}$ is a polynomial $p_{L}(t)$ vanishing at 0 . We define $g_{L}(y)$ as the value of $p_{L}(t) / t$ at 0 . It is clear that the following two results (see Proposition 4.33 of [10] ) imply the validity of Proposition 6.10.

Claim 6.11. Under the conditions of Proposition 6.10, there exist $g(y) \in K$ such that
(1) $\left|\mathcal{L}^{-}\right| /|\mathcal{L}|<1 / q$ if $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and
(2) $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}^{-}\right)<\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L})$ if $K$ is algebraically closed
where $\mathcal{L}^{-}=\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid g_{L}(y) \neq g(y)\right\}$.
We extend $g^{\prime}$ to a function on $X$ whose values on $y \in X \cap W$ are equal to $g(y)$.
Claim 6.12. The function $g: X \rightarrow K$ is weakly polynomial of degree $a-1$.

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 6.5. Fix $m$ such that $r_{n c}\left(Q_{m}\right) \geq r(d, a)$. Let $R(d, m)$ be as Theorem 4.2 or in Theorem 5.1 if $K$ is a number field. We claim that for any admissible field $K$ which is either finite or algebraically closed, any hypersurface $Y \subset V$ of degree $d$ and $n c$-rank $\geq R(d, m)$ satisfies $\star_{a}$. Indeed, let $f$ be a weakly polynomial function on $X=X_{P}$ of degree $\leq a$ where $P: V \rightarrow K$ is a polynomial of degree $d$ of nc-rank $\geq R(d, m)$. Since $r_{n c}(P) \geq R(d, m)$ there exists an affine map $\phi: K^{m} \rightarrow V$ such that $P \circ \phi=Q_{m}$. It is clear that the function $f \circ \phi$ is a weakly polynomial function on $X_{m}$ of degree $\leq a$. Therefore it follows from Proposition 6.8 that the restriction of $f$ on $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap X$ extends to a polynomial on $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$. It follows now from Proposition 6.10 that $f$ extends to a polynomial on $V$.

## 7. Nullstellensatz

Let $K$ be a field and $V$ be a finite dimensional $K$-vector space. We denote by $\mathbf{V}$ the corresponding $K$-scheme, and by $\mathcal{P}(V)$ the algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathbf{V}$ defined over $K$.

For a finite collection $\bar{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}\right)$ of polynomials on $\mathbf{V}$ we denote by $J(\bar{P})$ the ideal in $\mathcal{P}(V)$ generated by these polynomials, and by $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}$ the subscheme of $\mathbf{V}$ defined by this ideal.

Given a polynomial $R \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{V})$, we would like to find out whether it belongs to the ideal $J(\bar{P})$. It is clear that the following condition is necessary for the inclusion $R \in J(\bar{P})$.
(N) $R(x)=0$ for all $K$-points $x \in \mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}(K)$.

Claim 7.1 (Nullstellensatz). Suppose that the field $K$ is algebraically closed and that the ideal $J(\bar{P})$ is radical. Then any polynomial $R$ satisfying the condition $(N)$ lies in $J(\bar{P})$.

We will show that the analogous result hold for $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is of high $n c$-rank.
From now on we fix a degree vector $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ and write $D:=\prod_{i=1}^{c} d_{i}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{d}}(\mathbf{V})$ the space of $\bar{d}$-families of polynomials $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{c}$ on $V$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right) \leq d_{i}$.

Theorem 7.2. There exists and an bound $r(\bar{d})>0$ such that for any finite field $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}, a<q / D$ and any collection $\bar{P}$ of degrees $\bar{d}$ and nc-rank $\geq r(\bar{d})$ the following holds. Any polynomial $Q$ on $V$ of degree a vanishing on $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}(k)$ belongs to the ideal $J(\mathbf{P})$.

Proof. Our proof is based on the following rough bound (see [7]).
Lemma 7.3. Let $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{c} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a collection of polynomials of degrees $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq c$ such that the variety $\mathbf{Y}:=\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}} \subset \mathbf{A}^{n}$ is of dimension $n-c$. Then $\left|\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-c} D$ where $D:=\prod_{i=1}^{c} d_{i}$.

For the convenience we reproduce the proof of this result.
Proof. Let $F$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is the intersection of $\mathbf{Y}(F)$ with hypersurfaces $\mathbf{Y}_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ defined by the equations $h_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ 0 where $h_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{j}^{q}-x_{j}$.

Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n-c}$ be generic linear combinations of the $h_{j}$ with algebraically independent coefficients from an transcendental extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{n-c} \subset$ $\mathbf{A}^{n}$ be the corresponding hypersurfaces.

Intersect successively $\mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{n-c}$. Inductively we see that for each $j \leq n-c$, each component $C$ of the intersection $\mathbf{Y} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{Z}_{j}$ has dimension $n-c-j$. Indeed, passing from $j$ to $j+1$ for $j<n-c$ we have $\operatorname{dim}(C)=n-c-j>0$. So not all the functions $h_{i}$ vanish on $C$. Hence by the genericity of the choice of linear combinations $\left\{H_{j}\right\}$ we see that $H_{j+1}$ does not vanish on $C$ and therefore $\mathbf{Z}_{j+1} \cap C$ is of pure dimension $n-c-j-1$. Thus the intersection $\mathbf{Y} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{Z}_{n-c}$ has dimension 0 . This concludes the induction step.

By Bézout's theorem we see that $\left|\mathbf{Y} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{Z}_{n-c}\right| \leq q^{n-c} D$. Since $\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=$ $\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cap \mathbf{Z}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \subset \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cap \mathbf{Y}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{Y}_{n-c}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ we see that $\left|\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq q^{n-c} D$.

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 7.2, Let $R \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial of degree $a$ vanishing on the set $\mathbf{X}_{R}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Suppose that $R$ does not lie in the ideal generated by the $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq c$. Let $\mathbf{Y}:=\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}$. Since $R$ vanishes on $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ we have $\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Since $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}$ is irreducible we have $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{Y})=n-c-1$. As follows from Lemma 7.3 we have the upper bound $\left|\mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq a D q^{n-c-1}$. On the other hand as follows from Theorem 2.12 there exists an bound $r(\bar{d})>0$ such that the condition $r_{n c}(\bar{P}) \geq$ $r(\bar{d})$ implies the inequality $\left|\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|>q^{n-c \frac{q-1}{q}}$, which is a contradiction to $q>$ $a D$.

## 8. p-ADIC BIAS-RANK

For the last two applications we formulate and prove an analogue of Claim 2.9 for local non-archimedian fields of characteristic zero.

Let $K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be a finite extension of degree $u, \mathcal{O} \subset K$ the ring of integers, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ the maximal ideal and $q=|\mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{p}|$. For $l \geq 1$ we write $A_{l}=\mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{p}^{l}$.

Definition 8.1. (1) For a map $P: A_{l}^{n} \rightarrow A_{l}$ and $a \in A_{l}$ we write $\nu(a):=$ $q^{(1-n) l}\left|P^{-1}(a)\right|$.
(2) A map $P$ is $s$-uniform if $|\nu(a)-1| \leq q^{-s}$.
(3) We denote by $\Xi_{l}$ the group of additive characters $\chi: A_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$.
(4) For $\chi \in \Xi_{l}$ we denote by $d(\chi)$ the smallest number $d$ such that $\chi_{\mid p^{d} A_{l}} \equiv 1$.
(5) $\Xi_{l}^{d} \subset \Xi_{l}$ is the subset of characters $\chi$ such that $d(\chi)=d$.
(6) For $\chi \in \Xi$ we define $b(P ; \chi):=q^{-n l} \sum_{v \in A_{l}^{n}} \chi(P(v))=q^{-n l} \sum_{a \in A_{l}} \nu(a) \chi(a)$.
(7) For $P: A_{l}^{n} \rightarrow A_{l}$ of degree $d$, we denote $\tilde{P}:\left(A_{l}^{n}\right)^{d} \rightarrow A_{l}$ the multilinear form $\tilde{P}\left(h_{1}, \ldots h_{d}\right)=\Delta_{h_{d}} \ldots \Delta_{h_{1}} P$, where $\Delta_{h} P(x)=P(x+h)-P(x)$.
(8) We write $\hat{P}$ for the reduction of $\tilde{P} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$.

Claim 8.2. If $|b(P ; \chi)|<q^{-s d(\chi)}$ for all $\chi \in \Xi$ then $P$ is ( $s-2$ )-uniform.
Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.7.
Theorem 8.3. There exists a function $r(d, s, u)$ such that the following holds: for any polynomial $P: A_{l}^{n} \rightarrow A_{l}$ of degree $d$ such that the reduction $\hat{P}:\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}\right)^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $\tilde{P}$ is of rank $\geq r(d, s, u)$, we have $|b(P ; \chi)|<q^{-s d(\chi)}$ for all $\chi \in \Xi_{d}$.

To simplify notations we assume that $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. The proof in the general case is completely analogous. We denote $V_{l}=A_{l}^{n}$, and $e(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$. We introduce the Gowers uniformity norms: if $G$ is a finite abelian group $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$
\|f\|_{U_{m}}^{2^{m}}=\mathbb{E}_{x, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m} \in G} \partial_{h_{m}} \ldots \partial_{h_{1}} f(x)
$$

where $\partial_{h} f(x)=f(x+h) \overline{f(x)}$. Note that $\partial_{h} \chi(P(x))=\chi\left(\Delta_{h} P(x)\right)$
Our proof of Theorem 8.3, which is by induction in $d, l$ is based on the following stronger result.

Proposition $8.4(d, l)$. For any $s>0$, there exists $r=r^{B}(d, s)$ such that for any polynomial $S$ of degree $<d$, any $m$, if $\hat{R}$ is of rank $>r$ then

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right|<q^{-s l}
$$

Remark 8.5. The constant $r=r^{B}(d, s)$ does not depend on $l$.
Proof. We start with the following result.
Lemma 8.6. Let $r=\alpha_{d}\left(2^{2 d-1} d s\right)$ be as in Fact 2.9. Then Proposition 8.4 (d,l) holds for $l \leq d$.
Proof. It suffices to show that for any $1 \leq l \leq d$, for any polynomial $R: V_{l} \rightarrow A_{l}$ of degree $d$ such that the rank of $\hat{R}$ is $>r$, a polynomial $S: V_{l}^{d}$ of degree $<d$, and any $m$ we have

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}}\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right|<q^{-d s}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right| \geq q^{-d s}
$$

for some $1<l \leq d, m \geq 0$. We observe that by the CS inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}}\left|\mathbb{E}_{x_{1} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}, y\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S\left(x_{1}, y\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} \mathbb{E}_{x_{1}, t \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\left(\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}+t, y\right)-\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+\left(S\left(x_{1}+t, y\right)-S\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whiih is equal to

$$
\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} \mathbb{E}_{x_{1}, t \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\tilde{R}(t, y) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+\left(S\left(x_{1}+t, y\right)-S\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

Applying CS inequality $d-1$ more times in the coordinates $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}$ we obtain (since $S$ is of degree $<d$ ):

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)\right)^{1 / 2^{d}} \geq q^{-d s}
$$

In other words

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right) \geq q^{-2^{d} d s}
$$

We rewrite the LHS as

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x+\mathfrak{p} y) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)
$$

We observe that by the CS inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x+\mathfrak{p} y) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime} \in V_{l-1}^{d-1}}\left|\mathbb{E}_{y_{1} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}+\mathfrak{p} y_{1}, x^{\prime}+\mathfrak{p} y^{\prime}\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime} \in V_{l-1}^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_{y_{1}, h_{1} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\left(\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}+\mathfrak{p}\left(y_{1}+h_{1}\right), x^{\prime}+\mathfrak{p} y^{\prime}\right)-\tilde{R}\left(x_{1}+\mathfrak{p} y_{1}, x^{\prime}+\mathfrak{p} y^{\prime}\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which is equal to
$\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime} \in V_{l-1}^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_{y_{1}, h_{1} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\left(\tilde{R}\left(\mathfrak{p} h_{1}, x^{\prime}+\mathfrak{p} y^{\prime}\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime} \in V_{l-1}^{d-1}} \mathbb{E}_{y_{1}, h_{1} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\left(\tilde{R}\left(h_{1}, x^{\prime}+\mathfrak{p} y^{\prime}\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l-1}\right)\right.\right.$.
Applying the CS inequality $l-2$ more times we see that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}\right) \leq\left[\mathbb{E}_{x} \mathbb{E}_{h_{i} \in V_{l-1}} e\left(\tilde{R}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{l-1}, x_{l}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) / \mathfrak{p}\right)\right]^{1 / 2^{l-1}}
$$

and therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{1}^{d}} e\left(\hat{R}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) / \mathfrak{p}\right) \geq q^{-2^{d+l-1} d s}
$$

Thus $\hat{R}$ is of rank $\leq \alpha_{d}\left(2^{d+l-1} d s\right)$.
We prove Proposition 8.4(d,l) by induction on $d, l$. Let $P$ be such that $\hat{P}$ is of rank $>c(d, s)$ where

$$
c(d, s)=\max \left\{\alpha_{d}\left(2^{2 d-1} d s\right), \alpha_{d}\left(2^{d}\left(r^{B}(d-1,2 s+1)+2 d s+1\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 8.6, Proposition 8.4( $(, 1)$ holds when $l \leq d$. So we assume $l>d$.
Suppose that Proposition $8.4\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}, \mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$ holds if either $d^{\prime}<d$ or $d^{\prime}=d$ and $l^{\prime}<l$. If Proposition $8.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{l})$ fails then there exists a degree $d$ polynomial $R$ such that $\tilde{R}: V_{l}^{d} \rightarrow A_{l}$ is of rank $>c(d, s)$ and some polynomial $S: V_{l}^{d} \rightarrow A_{l}$ of degree $<d$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}}\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right| \geq 1 / q^{l s}
$$

From this it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{q^{2 l s}} & \leq\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left(\tilde{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left.=\mathbb{E}_{x, y \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)-\tilde{R}(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+(S(x+y)-S(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{t \in V_{1}^{d}} \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l}^{d}: y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)-\tilde{R}(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+(S(x+y)-S(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $t$ and consider the inner average:

$$
a_{t}:=\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l}^{d}: y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)-\tilde{R}(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+(S(x+y)-S(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

By shifting $x \rightarrow x+y^{\prime}$ and averaging we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{t}= & \mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} \mathbb{E}_{y, y^{\prime} \in V_{l}^{d}: y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p}), y^{\prime} \equiv 0(\mathfrak{p})} \\
& \quad e\left(\left(\tilde{R}\left(x+y^{\prime}+y\right)-\tilde{R}\left(x+y^{\prime}\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+\left(S\left(x+y^{\prime}+y\right)-S\left(x+y^{\prime}\right)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \\
= & \left.\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} \mid \mathbb{E}_{y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x+y)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\left.\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.7. $\left|a_{t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q^{2(l-d) s}}$
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mid \mathbb{E}_{y \equiv t(p)} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x+y)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \mid \\
& \left.=\mid \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l}^{d}, y \equiv 0(\mathfrak{p})} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+t+y)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x+t+y)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \mid \\
& \left.=\mid \mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} e\left((\tilde{R}(x+t+\mathfrak{p} y)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+S(x+t+\mathfrak{p} y)\right) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \mid \\
& =\left|\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l-1}^{d}} e\left(\frac{\tilde{R}(y)}{\mathfrak{p}^{l-d}}+\frac{S(y)}{\mathfrak{p}^{m-d}}+\frac{\left.S_{x, t}(\mathfrak{p} y)\right)}{\mathfrak{p}^{m}}+\frac{\left.T_{x, t}(\mathfrak{p} y)\right)}{\mathfrak{p}^{l}}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

(if $d>m$ the second term does not exist) where $T_{x, t}(\mathfrak{p} y)$ is of degree $\leq(d-1)$ in $y$. By the induction hypothesis the latter is $\leq \frac{1}{q^{(l-d) s}}$.

Claim 8.8. Let $b_{t} \geq 0$ be such that $\mathbb{E}_{t \in E} b_{t} \geq \frac{1}{q^{2 l s}}$ and $b_{t} \leq \frac{1}{q^{2(l-d) s}}$ then for $\frac{1}{2 q^{2 d s}}|E|$ many $t$ we have that $b_{t} \geq \frac{1}{2 q^{21 s}}$.
Proof. Let $F$ be the set where $b_{t} \geq \frac{1}{2 q^{2 / s}}$. We have that

$$
\frac{1}{q^{2 l s}}|E| \leq \sum_{t \in E}\left|a_{t}\right| \leq \sum_{t \in F} \frac{1}{q^{2(l-d) s}}+\sum_{t \in E-F} \frac{1}{2 q^{2 l s}}
$$

Rearranging we get

$$
|E| \leq|F|\left(q^{2 d s}-1 / 2\right)+|E| \frac{1}{2},
$$

so that $|F| \geq \frac{|E|}{2\left(q^{2 d s}-1 / 2\right)}$.
Applying this Claim to $b_{t}:=\left|a_{t}\right|$ we see that for $\geq \frac{1}{2 q^{2 d s}}\left|V_{1}^{d}\right|$ many $t \in V_{1}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l}^{d}: y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}}\left((\tilde{R}(x+y)-\tilde{R}(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{l}+(S(x+y)-S(x)) / \mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2 q^{2 l s}} \geq \frac{1}{q^{l(2 s+1)}}
$$

Now $Q_{y}=\Delta_{y} R$ is of degree $<d$ so by the induction on the degree $\hat{Q}_{y}$ is of rank $<r^{B}(d-1,2 s+1)$.

This implies that for $\geq \frac{1}{2 q^{2 d s}}\left|V_{1}^{d}\right|$ many $t \in V_{1}^{d}$, if $y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})$ then

$$
\left\|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{1}^{d}} e((\hat{R}(x+y)-\hat{R}(x)) / p)\right\|_{U_{d-1}} \geq \frac{1}{q^{r^{B}(d-1,2 s+1)}}
$$

But this now implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{t \in V_{1}^{d}}\left\|\mathbb{E}_{y \in V_{l}^{d}: y \equiv t(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{l}^{d}} e((\hat{R}(x+y)-\hat{R}(x)) / \mathfrak{p})\right\|_{U_{d-1}} \geq \frac{1}{{q^{B}(d-1,2 s+1)}^{2 d s+1}}
$$

But LHS is bounded by

$$
\|e(\hat{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p})\|_{U_{d}}^{2} \leq\|e(\hat{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p})\|_{U_{d}} \leq\left|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V_{1}} e(\hat{R}(x) / \mathfrak{p})\right|^{1 / 2^{d}}
$$

and we are given that $\hat{R}$ is of rank $>\alpha_{d}\left(2^{d}\left(r^{B}(d-1,2 s+1)+2 d s+1\right)\right)$, contradiction.

## 9. Rational singularities

Definition 9.1. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a normal irreducible variety over a field of characteristic zero and $a: \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a resolution of singularities. We say that $\mathbf{X}$ has rational singularities if $R^{i} a_{\star}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}\right)=\{0\}$ for $i>0$.

Remark 9.2. This property of $\mathbf{X}$ does not depend on a choice of a resolution $a: \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$.

Theorem 9.3. There exists a function $r(\bar{d}), \bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right)$ such that the following holds.

Let $\bar{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{c} \subset \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a collection of (not necessarily homogeneous) polynomials of degrees $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq c$ of rank $\geq r(\bar{d})$. Then the variety $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{P}}$ has rational singularities.
Proof. To simplify the exposition we assume that $c=1$. Thus $\bar{P}=(P)$ where $P \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$.

We first consider the case when $P \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ where $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite extension. In this case there exists an infinite set $S$ of prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that
(1) for any $\pi \in S$ the completion $\mathcal{O}_{K \pi}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ at $\pi$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ where $p=\operatorname{char}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \pi\right)$,
(2) $P \in \mathcal{O}_{K \pi}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and
(3) the reduction $\hat{P} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is of rank $r(P)$ over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
We fix $\pi \in S$ such that $p>\operatorname{deg}(P)$. As follows from Theorem A of [2] it is sufficient to establish the inequalities $\left|\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)-p^{m(n-1)}\right| \leq p^{m(n-1)-1 / 2}, m \geq 1$.

We see that for number fields the validity of Theorem 9.3 in the case when $P \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ follows immediately from Theorem 8.3,

We show now how to derive the general case of Theorem 9.3 from the case when $P \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ where $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite extension.
Definition 9.4. Let $a: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism between complex algebraic varieties such that all the fibers $X_{y}$ are normal and geometrically irreducible. We write $Y=\mathbf{Y}(\mathbb{C})$ and denote by $Y_{a} \subset Y$ the subset of points $y$ such that the fiber $X_{y}$ has rational singularities.
Lemma 9.5. If a projective morphism a is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ then the subset $Y_{a} \subset Y$ is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Proof. The proof is by induction in $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)$. Suppose that the Lemma is known in the case when the dimension of the base is $<d$. Let $t$ be the generic point of $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{t}$ the fiber of $\mathbf{X}$ over $t$. Fix a resolution $\tilde{b}: \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{t}$ over the field $k(t)$ of rational functions on $Y$. Then there exists a non-empty open subset $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ such that $b:=\tilde{b}_{\mid(a \circ \tilde{b})^{-1} U}$ is a resolution of $X_{U}:=a^{-1}(U)$. By definition $Y_{a} \cap U=\left\{u \in U \mid R^{i} b_{\star}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)_{u}=\{0\}\right\}$ for all $i>0$. Since the sheaves $R^{i} b_{\star}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)$ are coherent we see that the subset $Y_{a} \cap U$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, the inductive assumption implies that $Y_{a} \cap(Y \backslash U)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now we can finish a proof of Theorem 9.3 in the general case. Consider the trivial fibration $\hat{a}: \mathbf{A}^{n} \times \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ where $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{P}_{d}^{n}$ is the constructible subset of polynomials of degree $d$ on $\mathbf{A}^{n}$ and of rank $\geq r(d)$. Let $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{A}^{n} \times \mathbf{Y}$ be the hypersurface such that $\hat{a}^{-1}(P) \cap \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}_{P}$ and $a: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be the restriction of $\hat{a}$ onto $\mathbf{X}$. As follows from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition $\mathrm{III}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of [20] all fibers
of $a$ are irreducible and normal. For a proof of Theorem 9.3 we have to show that $Y_{a}=Y$. The validity of Theorem 9.3 in the case when $P \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, where $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is any finite extension, shows that any point of $Y$ defined over a finite extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ belongs to $Y_{a}$. Since the subset $Y_{a}$ of $Y$ is is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ we see that $Y_{a}=Y$.
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