

FOURIER-EXTENSION ESTIMATES FOR SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR HELMHOLTZ EQUATIONS

TOBIAS WETH AND TOLGA YEŞİL

ABSTRACT. We establish weighted L^p -Fourier-extension estimates for $O(N - k) \times O(k)$ -invariant functions defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} , allowing for exponents p below the Stein-Tomas critical exponent $\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$. Moreover, in the more general setting of an arbitrary closed subgroup $G \subset O(N)$ and G -invariant functions, we study the implications of weighted Fourier-extension estimates with regard to boundedness and nonvanishing properties of the corresponding weighted Helmholtz resolvent operator. Finally, we use these properties to derive new existence results for G -invariant solutions to the nonlinear Helmholtz equation

$$-\Delta u - u = Q(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where Q is a nonnegative bounded and G -invariant weight function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Starting with the pioneering work of Stein (cf. [11]), Tomas [15] and Strichartz [13], Fourier restriction and extension estimates have been receiving extensive attention due to their various applications, especially to partial differential equations. For an overview on classical results and recent progress, we refer the reader to e.g. [5, 12, 14]. In its classical form, the famous Fourier extension theorem of Stein and Tomas (see e.g. [12, §8: Corollary 5.4]) states that the inverse Fourier transform \tilde{F}_σ of $F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$, given by

$$\tilde{F}_\sigma(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} F(\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$$

belongs to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $N \geq 2$ if $q \geq \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$, and that

$$(1.1) \quad \|\tilde{F}_\sigma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ depending only on q and N . Here \mathbb{S}^{N-1} denotes the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^N and $d\sigma$ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . Due to the Knapp example given by the characteristic function of a small spherical cap, this range of exponents is known to be sharp for arbitrary functions, see e.g. [14, Chapter 4]. On the other hand, it is a natural question whether the range of exponents can be improved both by considering weighted L^q -norms and by restricting to functions having additional symmetries. A well known and classical observation in this context yields that case (1.1) holds for $q > \frac{2N}{N-1}$ and *radial* (and thus constant) functions $F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$, see e.g. [12, §8: Proposition 5.1].

In the present paper, we analyze this question for more general symmetries with respect to closed subgroups of $O(N)$. For this we introduce the following definition.

Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Institut für Mathematik, Robert-Mayer-Str. 10, D-60629 Frankfurt, Germany
Email addresses: weth@math.uni-frankfurt.de, yesil@math.uni-frankfurt.de.

Definition 1.1. Let $q \geq 1$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be closed subgroup, and let $Q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. We call (G, q, Q) an admissible extension triple if there exists a constant $C > 0$ with

$$(1.2) \quad \|Q\tilde{F}_\sigma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \quad \text{for every } G\text{-invariant function } F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}).$$

Here and in the following, a function $F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ is called G -invariant if $F(A\sigma) = F(\sigma)$ for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, $A \in G$. By the remarks above, $(\{\text{id}\}, q, 1)$ is an admissible extension triple if $q \geq \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$ and $(O(N), q, 1)$ is an admissible extension triple if $q > \frac{2N}{N-1}$.

If we consider weight functions $Q \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for suitable $s < \infty$, then the range of exponents giving rise to admissible extension triples can be readily extended by applying Hölder's inequality to the LHS of (1.2). In particular, this yields that $(\{\text{id}\}, q, Q)$ is an admissible extension triple if $Q \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $s \in [\frac{2(N+1)}{N+3}, \infty)$ and $q \geq \frac{2s(N+1)}{2(N+1)+s(N-1)}$. Moreover, $(O(N), q, Q)$ is an admissible extension triple if $Q \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $s \in [\frac{2N}{N+1}, \infty)$ and $q > \frac{2sN}{2N+s(N-1)}$.

In the present paper, we are interested in weight functions $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where Hölder's inequality does not yield an extended range of admissible exponents. The main aims of the paper are the following. First, we wish to detect a class of admissible extension triples corresponding to nontrivial subgroups of $O(N)$ and corresponding to functions $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which are not s -integrable for any $s < \infty$. Second, starting from a range of admissible extension triples (G, q, Q) , we wish to derive selfdual $(L^{p'}, L^p)$ -estimates for the restriction of mappings of the form

$$f \mapsto \mathcal{R}_Q f := Q\mathcal{R}(Qf)$$

to G -invariant functions in the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} of rapidly decreasing functions in \mathbb{R}^N . Here \mathcal{R} denotes the standard Helmholtz resolvent defined by $\mathcal{R}f = \Phi * f$, where

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(x) := \frac{i}{4}(2\pi|x|)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} H_{\frac{N-2}{2}}^{(1)}(|x|), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

is the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz operator associated with Sommerfeld's outgoing radiation condition $\partial_r u(x) - iu(x) = o(|x|^{\frac{1-N}{2}})$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Here $H_{\frac{N-2}{2}}^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of the first kind of order $\frac{N-2}{2}$. Moreover, we wish to derive corresponding nonvanishing results in the spirit of [3, Theorem 3.1]. Finally, we wish to deduce existence results for real-valued G -invariant solutions of nonlinear Helmholtz equations of the form

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta u - u = Q(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

With regard to our first aim, we focus our attention to the subgroups

$$(1.5) \quad G_k := O(N-k) \times O(k) \subset O(N) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Moreover, we consider weight functions of the form $Q_\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{L_\alpha}$ for the set

$$(1.6) \quad L_\alpha := \{x = (x^{(N-k)}, x^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k : |x^{(N-k)}| \leq a|x^{(k)}|^{-\alpha}\},$$

where $a > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed number and $\alpha > 0$. Since $|L_\alpha| = \infty$, we have $Q_\alpha \notin L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\alpha > 0$, $s < \infty$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, let $\alpha > 0$, and let $Q_\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{L_\alpha}$ with L_α given in (1.6).

(i) If $k = 1$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{N-1}$ or $k = N-1$ and $\alpha < N-1$, then (G_k, q, Q_α) is an admissible extension triple for every $q \geq 1$.

(ii) If $2 \leq k \leq N-2$ and $\lambda_{N,k,\alpha} := \max \left\{ \frac{2k-2\alpha(N-k)}{k-1}, \frac{2(N-k)-\frac{2k}{\alpha}}{N-k-1} \right\}$, then (G_k, q, Q_α) is an admissible extension triple for every $q > \lambda_{N,k,\alpha}$, $q \geq 1$.

We note that, in Theorem 2.2 below, we shall in fact prove a generalization of this result for characteristic functions of sets of the form $L_{\alpha,\beta} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x^{(N-k)}| \leq a \max\{|x^{(k)}|^{-\alpha}, |x^{(k)}|^{-\beta}\}\}$ with $\alpha > \beta > 0$. Regarding Theorem 1.1(ii), we note in particular that $\lambda_{N,k,\alpha} = 0$ for $\alpha = \frac{k}{N-k}$, so (G_k, q, Q_α) is an admissible extension triple for every $q \geq 1$ in this case. More generally, the latter property holds if $\alpha \in (\frac{k+1}{2(N-k)}, \frac{2k}{N-k+1})$, since then we have $\lambda_{N,k,\alpha} < 1$. The main part of the proof of this Theorem consists in a detailed asymptotic study of one-dimensional integrals which arise after integrating along the orbits of G_k . Here, the well-known bound

$$|d\check{\sigma}_k(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\frac{1-k}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

for the Fourier transform of the standard measure $d\sigma_k$ on \mathbb{S}^{k-1} will play a key role (see e.g. [12, §8: Theorem 3.1]).

We also remark that, if (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple and $Q' : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function with $|Q'| \leq |Q|$ in \mathbb{R}^N , then, by definition, (G, q, Q') is also an admissible extension triple. Consequently, the statement of Theorem 1.1 extends to functions $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $|Q| \leq c1_{L_\alpha}$ in \mathbb{R}^N for some $c > 0$.

Next we state our main result on $(L^{p'}, L^p)$ -Helmholtz resolvent estimates for G -invariant functions. Here and in the following, for $r \in [1, \infty]$, we let $L_G^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the closed subspace of G -invariant functions in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ be such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple. Then for any $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_Q(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p'}} \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Here and in the following, $\mathcal{S}_G \subset \mathcal{S}$ denotes the subspace of G -invariant functions in the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} .

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the strategy used in [7] and [8], see also [3]. We recall that a selfdual estimate of the form (1.7) has been proved for the Helmholtz resolvent \mathcal{R} in place of \mathcal{R}_Q in the range of exponents $p \in [\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$, while non-selfdual estimates were obtained in [7]. Clearly, these already available (L^r, L^s) -estimates for \mathcal{R} extend, by approximation, to the weighted resolvent \mathcal{R}_Q in the case where $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Theorem 1.2 yields an improvement of these estimates, for \mathcal{R}_Q and G -invariant functions, in the case where (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple for some $q < \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$, which is equivalent to the inequality $\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2} < \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, it follows, by density, that the weighted resolvent \mathcal{R}_Q extends to a bounded linear operator $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In our next result we state that, under the same assumptions, a nonvanishing property in the spirit of [3, Theorem 3.1] holds.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ be such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple. Moreover, let $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$. Then for every bounded sequence $(v_n)_n \subset L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $\left| \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \mathcal{R}_Q(v_n) dx \right| > 0$, there exist – after passing to a subsequence – numbers $R, \zeta > 0$ and a sequence of points $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with*

$$\int_{B_R(x_n)} |Qv_n(x)|^{p'} dx \geq \zeta, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

In the special (non-symmetric) case $G = \{\text{id}\}$, $Q \equiv 1$, $q = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$, this theorem reduces to [3, Theorem 3.1]. Here we note that $\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2} = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$ if $q = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$. The general strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is inspired by [3, Theorem 3.1]. However, additional difficulties, related to the fact that the multiplication with $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ does not map \mathcal{S} into itself, lead to a somewhat more involved argument.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are useful in the study of real-valued G -invariant solutions of the nonlinear Helmholtz equation (1.4) with a real-valued weight function $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $G \subset O(N)$ is a given closed subgroup. In the following, we focus on *dual bound state solutions*, which arise as solutions $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of the integral equation $u = R(Q|u|^{p-2}u)$, where R is the real part of the resolvent operator \mathcal{R} , see Section 5 for details. Our first main result in this context is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, and let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a real-valued nonnegative function with $Q \not\equiv 0$ and with the property that*

$$(1.8) \quad \|Q\|_{L^1(B_R(x))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } R > 0.$$

Moreover, let $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3})$, and let $p \in (\max\{\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, 2\}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ be such that $(G, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple. Then (1.4) admits a nontrivial G -invariant dual bound state solution.

We recall that, by the Stein-Tomas inequality, (G, q, Q') is an admissible extension triple for $q = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$ and every closed subgroup $G \subset O(N)$ and every $Q' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Recalling moreover that $\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2} = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$ in this case, we readily deduce the following corollary of Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, and let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying $Q \not\equiv 0$ and (1.8). Then (1.4) admits a nontrivial G -invariant dual bound state solution for every $p \in (\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$.*

This corollary applies in particular in the non-symmetric case $G = \{\text{id}\}$, but it requires the asymptotic condition (1.8). On the other hand, in the case of special symmetries corresponding to the subgroups G_k defined in (1.5), we may drop assumption (1.8), as the following result shows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $N \geq 4$, let $k \in \{2, \dots, N-2\}$, and let $Q \in L_{G_k}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative function with $Q \not\equiv 0$. Then (1.4) admits a nontrivial G_k -invariant dual bound state solution for every $p \in (\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$.*

Finally, we point out that assumption (1.8) holds in particular for functions $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $|Q| \leq c\mathbb{1}_{L_\alpha}$ for some $c, \alpha > 0$, where L_α is given in (1.6). Using this fact, the following corollary can be deduced from Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

Corollary 1.7. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, and let $\alpha > 0$. Moreover, let $Q \in L_{G_k}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative function with $Q \not\equiv 0$ and satisfying $|Q| \leq c\mathbb{1}_{L_\alpha}$ for some $c > 0$ with L_α given in (1.6). Then (1.4) admits a nontrivial G_k -invariant dual bound state solution if one of the following holds.*

- (i) $k = 1$, $\alpha < \frac{1}{N-1}$ and $p \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$, or
- (ii) $k = N-1$, $\alpha > N-1$ and $p \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$, or
- (iii) $2 \leq k \leq N-2$ and $p \in (\mu_{N,k,\alpha}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$, where

$$(1.9) \quad \mu_{N,k,\alpha} := \begin{cases} \frac{4N(k - \alpha(N-k))}{(N-1)(2k-1 - \alpha(N-k))}, & \alpha < \frac{N+2k-1}{(N+1)(N-k)}, \\ 2, & \frac{N+2k-1}{(N+1)(N-k)} < \alpha < \frac{(N+1)k}{N-1+2(N-k)}, \\ \frac{4N(\alpha(N-k) - k)}{(N-1)(2\alpha(N-k) - \alpha - k)}, & \alpha > \frac{(N+1)k}{N-1+2(N-k)} \end{cases}$$

We point out that, in contrast to Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, Corollary 1.7 allows to consider exponents $p < \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$.

To put our existence results for (1.4) into perspective, we recall some previous results. In [7], the existence of small complex solutions has been proved via the use of contraction mappings in dimensions $N = 3, 4$, $p = 3$ and $Q = \pm 1$. A variant of this technique is developed in [9], where continua of small real-valued solutions of (1.4) are detected for a larger class of nonlinearities. The dual variational approach to (1.4) was introduced in [3], where the existence of nontrivial dual bound state solutions was proved for $p \in \left(\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2}\right)$ and for nonnegative weight functions $Q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ which are either \mathbb{Z}^N -periodic or satisfy the uniform decay assumptions $Q(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Under additional restrictions on Q , this approach was extended to the Sobolev critical case $p = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ in [4]. Moreover, a dual approach in Orlicz spaces was developed in [2] to treat more general nonlinearities in (1.4). The defocusing case $Q \leq 0$ in (1.4) and radial solutions are considered in [10]. We are not aware of any previous work where symmetries different from radial symmetry are used to extend the range of admissible exponents to values below the Stein-Tomas exponent $\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$ and to overcome lack of compactness issues in the context of (1.4).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive a Fourier extension estimate for G_k -invariant functions, where G_k is defined in (1.5). In particular, we prove a generalization of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we provide weighted Helmholtz resolvent estimates relative to a given admissible extension triple, thereby giving the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we study related nonvanishing properties, and we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to our main existence results for dual bound state solutions of (1.4).

We close this introduction by fixing some notation. Throughout the paper we denote by $B_r(x)$ the open ball in \mathbb{R}^N with radius $r > 0$ and center at x . Moreover, we set $B_r = B_r(0)$ and \mathbb{S}^{N-1} for the boundary of $B_1 =: B$. The constant α_N represents the volume of the unit ball B_1 in \mathbb{R}^N . For any element $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we write $x = (x^{(N-k)}, x^{(k)}) := ((x_1, \dots, x_{N-k}), (x_{N-k+1}, \dots, x_N)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Moreover by $B^{(k)}$ we denote the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^k . By $\mathbf{1}_L$ we denote the characteristic function of a measurable set $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore, we shall indifferently denote by \hat{f} or $\mathcal{F}(f)$ the Fourier transform of a function in \mathbb{R}^N given by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$$

and by \check{F}_σ the inverse Fourier transform of an admissible functions F defined on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} via

$$\check{F}_\sigma(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{ix \cdot \omega} F(\omega) d\sigma(\omega).$$

For $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, we abbreviate the norm on $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\|\cdot\|_s$. The Schwartz-class of rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^N is denoted by \mathcal{S} . For any $p \in (1, \infty)$ we always denote by $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$ the Hölder conjugate of p .

Acknowledgement: T. Weth and T. Yesil are supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) within the project WE-2821/5-2.

2. FOURIER EXTENSION ESTIMATES FOR G_k -INVARIANT FUNCTIONS

We recall that, for a function $F \in L^2(S^{N-1})$, we define the (inverse) Fourier transform of $F d\sigma$ by

$$\check{F}_\sigma(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} F(\omega) d\sigma(\omega).$$

For $F \equiv 1$ we use the notation

$$\check{d}\sigma_N(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\sigma(\omega)$$

and will often omit the dimensional index if no confusion is possible. We point out that this function satisfies the key uniform bound

$$(2.1) \quad |\check{d}\sigma_N(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\frac{1-N}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

with a constant $C = C(N) > 0$, see e.g. [12, §8: Theorem 3.1].

For $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, we consider the closed subgroup $G_k = O(N-k) \times O(k) \subset O(N)$. We first derive a useful expression for \check{F}_σ in the case where $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ is G_k -invariant. Note that in this case F only depends on one variable $r \in [0, 1]$ via the function

$$(2.2) \quad h_F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h_F(r) := F(r\eta, \sqrt{1-r^2}\mu) \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-k-1}, \mu \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ be G_k -invariant. Then we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \check{F}_\sigma(x) = (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} h_F(r) \check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)}) \check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)}) dr$$

with h_F given in (2.2). Moreover,

$$|\check{F}_\sigma(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \frac{\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{S}^{N-k-1}| |\mathbb{S}^{k-1}|}} \left(\int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} |\check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})|^2 |\check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. By using slice integration (see e.g. [1, A.5]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{F}_\sigma(x) &= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{ix \cdot \omega} F(\omega) d\sigma(\omega) \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \int_{B^{(N-k)}} (1-|y|^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} e^{ix^{(N-k)} \cdot y} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} e^{ix^{(k)} \cdot \sqrt{1-|y|^2} \mu} F(y, \sqrt{1-|y|^2} \mu) d\sigma_k(\mu) d_{N-k}(y) \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-k-1}} e^{ix^{(N-k)} r \eta} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} e^{ix^{(k)} \sqrt{1-r^2} \mu} F(r\eta, \sqrt{1-r^2} \mu) d\sigma_k(\mu) d\sigma_{N-k}(\eta) dr \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} h_F(r) \check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)}) \check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)}) dr \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with h_F given in (2.2), as claimed in (2.3). In particular, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\check{F}_\sigma(x)| &\leq (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} |h_F(r)| |\check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})| |\check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})| dr \\
&\leq (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \left(\int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} |h_F(r)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\
&\quad \left(\int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} |\check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})|^2 |\check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{k\alpha_k}{N\alpha_N} \frac{\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{S}^{N-k-1}| |\mathbb{S}^{k-1}|}} \left(\int_0^1 r^{N-k-1} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} |\check{d}\sigma_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})|^2 |\check{d}\sigma_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For $\alpha > \beta > 0$ and fixed $a > 0$, we now consider the subset

$$(2.4) \quad L_{\alpha,\beta} := \{x = (x^{(N-k)}, x^{(k)}) : |x^{(N-k)}| \leq a \max\{|x^{(k)}|^{-\alpha}, |x^{(k)}|^{-\beta}\}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We shall prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\alpha > \beta > 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, let $Q = \mathbb{1}_{L_{\alpha,\beta}}$ with L given as in (1.6), and let*

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_{N,k,\alpha,\beta} := \max \left\{ \frac{2k-2\beta(N-k)}{k-1}, \frac{2(N-k)-\frac{2k}{\alpha}}{N-k-1}, 2 - 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{k}{k-2} \right\}.$$

Suppose furthermore that $q \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ satisfy

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} k = 1, & \beta > \frac{1}{N-1} & \text{or} \\ 2 \leq k \leq N-2, & q > \lambda_{N,k,\alpha,\beta} & \text{or} \\ k = N-1, \quad \alpha < N-1, & q > 2 - 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{k}{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, k, \alpha, \beta, a)$ with the property that

$$(2.7) \quad \|Q\check{F}_\sigma\|_q \leq C \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \quad \text{for every } G_k\text{-invariant function } F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}).$$

Proof. In the following, the letter C stands for positive constants depending only on N, k, α, β and a . Let $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ be a G_k -invariant function, and assume without loss of generality that

$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} = 1$. Using Lemma 2.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q\check{F}_\sigma\|_q^q &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |[Q\check{F}_\sigma](x)|^q dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(x)|\check{F}_\sigma(x)|^q dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}} |\check{\sigma}_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})|^q |\check{\sigma}_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})|^q dr dx \\
&= C \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) |\check{\sigma}_{N-k}(rx^{(N-k)})|^q |\check{\sigma}_k(\sqrt{1-r^2}x^{(k)})|^q dx dr \\
(2.8) \quad &= C \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2} - (N-k)} (1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2} - \frac{k}{2}} H(r) dr
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$H(r) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q\left(\frac{x^{(N-k)}}{r}, \frac{x^{(k)}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\right) |\check{\sigma}_{N-k}(x^{(N-k)})|^q |\check{\sigma}_k(x^{(k)})|^q dx.$$

Since $Q = 1_{L_{\alpha,\beta}}$, we have, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
H(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\check{\sigma}_k(x^{(k)})|^q \int_{|x^{(N-k)}| \leq ar \max\left\{\left(\frac{|x^{(k)}|}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\right)^{-\alpha}, \left(\frac{|x^{(k)}|}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\right)^{-\beta}\right\}} |\check{\sigma}_{N-k}(x^{(N-k)})|^q dx^{(N-k)} dx^{(k)} \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (1+|x^{(k)}|)^{q\frac{1-k}{2}} \int_{|x^{(N-k)}| \leq ar \max\left\{\left(\frac{|x^{(k)}|}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\right)^{-\alpha}, \left(\frac{|x^{(k)}|}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\right)^{-\beta}\right\}} (1+|x^{(N-k)}|)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dx^{(N-k)} dx^{(k)} \\
&= C \int_0^\infty s^{k-1} (1+s)^{q\frac{1-k}{2}} \int_0^{ar \max\left\{\frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{s^\alpha}, \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}\right\}} t^{N-k-1} (1+t)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

If $s \geq 1$ then $\max\left\{\frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{s^\alpha}, \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}\right\} = \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}$ since $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha > \beta$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(r) &:= \int_1^\infty s^{k-1} (1+s)^{q\frac{1-k}{2}} \int_0^{ar \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}} t^{N-k-1} (1+t)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds \\
&\leq \int_1^\infty s^{k-1} (1+s)^{q\frac{1-k}{2}} \left(ar \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}\right)^{N-k} ds \leq Cr^{N-k} (1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}(N-k)} \int_1^\infty s^{k-1-\beta(N-k)+q\frac{1-k}{2}} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the integral over s is finite iff $k - \beta(N-k) + q\frac{1-k}{2} < 0$, i.e., iff

$$(2.9) \quad k = 1, \quad \beta > \frac{1}{N-1} \quad \text{or} \quad k \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}, \quad q > \frac{2k - 2\beta(N-k)}{k-1}$$

Hence, for these values of β and q we have

$$\int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}-(N-k)}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}}H_1(r) \leq C \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}(N-k)} dr,$$

and the latter integral is finite iff $\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}(N-k) > -1$, i.e., iff

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} k = 1, & q < 2 + 2\beta(N-1), & \text{or} \\ k = 2, & & \text{or} \\ k = 3, \dots, N-1, & q > \frac{2(k-2) - 2\beta(N-k)}{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

Here we note that the last inequality is already implied by (2.9). To estimate

$$H_2(r) := \int_0^1 s^{k-1}(1+s)^{q\frac{1-k}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}} t^{N-k-1}(1+t)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds$$

we note that for $0 < s < 1$ we have $\max\{\frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{s^\alpha}, \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\beta}\} \leq \frac{(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{s^\alpha}$. We set

$$A_r := \{0 < s < 1 : ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha} \leq 1\} = \{0 < s < 1 : s \geq (ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}\},$$

and

$$B_r := \{0 < s < 1 : ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha} \geq 1\} = \{0 < s < 1 : s \leq (ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}\}.$$

Integrating over the set A_r gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^1(r) &:= \int_{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}}^1 s^{k-1}(1+s)^{\frac{1-k}{2}q} \int_0^{ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha}} t^{N-k-1}(1+t)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds \\ &\leq C \int_{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}}^1 s^{k-1} \left(ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha} \right)^{N-k} ds \leq Cr^{N-k}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}(N-k)} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}-(N-k)}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}}H_2^1(r)dr \leq C \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}(N-k)} dr$$

which is finite if (2.10) holds. Moreover, integrating over the set B_r gives

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2^2(r) &:= \int_0^{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}} s^{k-1}(1+s)^{\frac{1-k}{2}q} \int_0^{ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha}} t^{N-k-1}(1+t)^{q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}} s^{k-1} \int_1^{ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha}} t^{N-k-1+q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} dt ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}} s^{k-1} \left[ar(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\alpha} \right]^{N-k+q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}} ds \\
&\leq Cr^{N-k+q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}\left(N-k+q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}\right)} \int_0^{(ar)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}}} s^{k-1-\alpha\left(N-k+q\frac{1-(N-k)}{2}\right)} ds \\
&\leq Cr^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{k}{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$(2.11) \quad k - \alpha(N-k) + \alpha q \frac{N-k-1}{2} > 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \begin{cases} k = N-1, & \alpha < N-1, & \text{or} \\ k < N-1, & q > \frac{2(N-k) - \frac{2k}{\alpha}}{N-k-1}. \end{cases}$$

If this holds, then integration over r gives

$$\int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}-(N-k)}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} H_2^2(r) dr \leq \int_0^1 r^{(N-k-1)\frac{q}{2}-(N-k)+\frac{k}{\alpha}}(1-r^2)^{\frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2}-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{k}{\alpha}} dr,$$

and the latter integral is finite if

$$(2.12) \quad (N-k-1)\frac{q}{2} - (N-k) + \frac{k}{\alpha} > -1$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{k-2}{2}\frac{q}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}\frac{k}{\alpha} > -1.$$

Now (2.12) holds iff

$$(2.14) \quad k = N-1 \quad \text{or} \quad k < N-1, \quad q > \frac{2(N-k-1) - \frac{2k}{\alpha}}{N-k-1},$$

which is already guaranteed by (2.11). Moreover, (2.13) holds iff

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} k = 1, & q < 2 + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, & \text{or} \\ k = 2, & & \text{or} \\ k = 3, \dots, N-1, & q > \frac{2k(\alpha-\beta) - 4\alpha}{\alpha(k-2)} = 2 - 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\frac{k}{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

We now observe that if $k \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}$ and (2.6) holds, then all required conditions (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.14) and (2.15) are satisfied. The same is true in the case $k = 1$ if we assume in addition that $q < 2 + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, which by the first line in (2.6) implies that $q < 2 + 2(N-1)\beta$. So in these

cases we conclude, using (2.8) and the fact that $H(r) = H_1(r) + H_2^1(r) + H_2^2(r)$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, that $\|Q\check{F}_\sigma\|_q < \infty$. However, since we can always interpolate with the trivial estimate

$$\|Q\check{F}_\sigma\|_\infty \leq \|\check{F}_\sigma\|_\infty \leq (2\pi)^{-N} \sqrt{|\mathbb{S}^{N-1}|} \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}$$

assumptions on upper bounds on q can be removed a posteriori. The proof is thus finished. \square

We note that Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2, since the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 imply those of Theorem 2.2 in the case $\alpha = \beta$.

Moreover, we have the following duality property.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that a closed subgroup $G \subset O(N)$, $q \geq 1$, and $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are given with the property that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension pair in the sense of Definition 1.1. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ with*

$$\|\widehat{Qf}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{q'} \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Here and in the following, \mathcal{S}_G denotes the subspace of G -invariant functions in \mathcal{S} .

In particular, this holds if $G = G_k$ and N, k, α, β, q and Q satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_G$ and $F := \widehat{Qf}|_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \widehat{Qf} \overline{F} \, d\sigma = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix\theta} f(x) Q(x) \, dx \overline{F(\theta)} \, d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) \overline{Q(x)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{ix\theta} F(\theta) \, d\sigma(\theta) \, dx \leq \|f\|_{q'} \|Q\check{F}_\sigma\|_q \leq C \|f\|_{q'} \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{q'}$, as claimed. \square

3. RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR G -INVARIANT FUNCTIONS

For $N \geq 3$, the radial outgoing fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u - u = \delta_0$ in \mathbb{R}^N is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi(x) := \frac{i}{4} (2\pi|x|)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} H_{\frac{N-2}{2}}^{(1)}(|x|), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

where $H_{\frac{N-2}{2}}^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of the first kind of order $\frac{N-2}{2}$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the convolution $u := \Phi * f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u - u = f$ which satisfies the Sommerfeld outgoing radiation condition $\partial_r u(x) - iu(x) = o(|x|^{\frac{1-N}{2}})$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, it is known (see [6]) that, in the sense of tempered distributions, the Fourier transform of Φ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \widehat{\Phi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 - (1+i0)} := (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 - (1+i\varepsilon)}.$$

As a consequence of a classical estimate of Kenig, Ruiz and Sogge (see [8, Theorem 2.3]), the mapping $f \mapsto \Phi * f$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ extends as a continuous linear operator

$$\mathcal{R} : L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

for $\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1} \leq p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$. The aim of this section is to establish an analogue estimate for the operator \mathcal{R}_Q defined by $\mathcal{R}_Q(f) := f \mapsto Q[\Phi * (Qf)]$, where G is a closed subgroup of $O(N)$ and $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weight function. For the reader's convenience, we restate Theorem 1.2 here.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ be such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple. Then for any $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_Q(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p'}} \quad \text{for every function } f \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we adapt the strategy of [7] and [8], see also [3]. Throughout the remainder of this section, we fix a closed subgroup $G \subset O(N)$ and $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We first note the following lemma which is a basic consequence of complex interpolation.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ and let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ be a radial function. Suppose furthermore that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_2 &\leq CD \|u\|_{q'} && \text{and} \\ \|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_\infty &\leq CD^{1-N} \|u\|_1 && \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}_G(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{aligned}$$

with constants $C, D > 0$. For $p \in (\frac{4q}{q+2}, \infty)$, we then have

$$\|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_p \leq CD^{A_{p,q}} \|u\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}_G(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where the exponent $A_{p,q} := \frac{4qN}{p(q+2)} - (N-1)$ is negative if and only if $p > \frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}$.

Proof. Since $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ is radial, the convolution with ρ maps G -invariant functions to G -invariant functions. Moreover, by assumption we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} vQ[\rho * (Qu)] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uQ[\rho * (Qv)] dx \leq \|u\|_2 \|Q[\rho * (Qv)]\|_2 \leq CD \|u\|_2 \|v\|_{q'}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_G$. By duality, we therefore have $\|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_{L^q} \leq CD \|u\|_{L^2}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}_G$. Complex interpolation of this estimate with the assumed $(L^{q'}, L^2)$ estimate gives

$$(3.4) \quad \|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_s \leq CD \|u\|_{s'} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}_G$$

with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q}) = \frac{q+2}{4q}$. Let $p \in (\frac{4q}{q+2}, \infty)$. Then we have $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{s}$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and therefore, by complex interpolation of (3.4) with the assumed (L^1, L^∞) -estimate,

$$\|Q[\rho * (Qu)]\|_p \leq CD^{A_{p,q}} \|u\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}_G(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

with

$$A_{p,q} = \theta + (1-\theta)(1-N) = \frac{sN}{p} - (N-1) = \frac{4qN}{p(q+2)} - (N-1).$$

Clearly, $A_{p,q} < 0$ if and only if $p > \frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}$. □

Next we decompose the fundamental solution Φ as in [7] and [3]. For this we fix $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty$ is radial, $0 \leq \widehat{\psi} \leq 1$ and $\widehat{\psi} = 1$ for $|\xi| - 1 \leq \frac{1}{6}$, $\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| - 1 \geq \frac{1}{4}$. We then write

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_1 = \psi * \Phi, \quad \Phi_2 = \Phi - \Phi_1.$$

Accordingly, we write

$$\mathcal{R}_Q = \mathcal{R}_Q^1 + \mathcal{R}_Q^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{R}_Q^i(f) := Q[\Phi_i * (Qf)], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

As shown in [3, Section 3], we have

$$(3.5) \quad |\Phi_2(x)| \leq C \min\{|x|^{2-N}, |x|^{-N}\} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Consequently, by the Hardy Littlewood Sobolev inequality, the convolution operator (3.6)

$$\mathcal{R}_Q^2 : f \mapsto \Phi_2 * f \text{ defines a bounded linear map from } L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 2 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}.$$

Hence we have the following.

Lemma 3.3. *The operator \mathcal{R}_Q^2 defines a bounded linear map $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$.*

Next we turn to the operator \mathcal{R}_Q^1 , and we assume from now on that $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ is given such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \infty)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_Q^1 f\|_p \leq C \|Qf\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all functions } f \in \mathcal{S}_G(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is radial, $0 \leq \widehat{\varphi} \leq 1$ with $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| - 1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 0$ for $|\xi| - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By construction of Φ_1 , we then have $\widehat{\Phi_1} = \widehat{\Phi_1} \widehat{\varphi}$, which means that $\Phi_1 = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \Phi_1 * \varphi$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{R}_Q^1 f = Q[\Phi_1 * (Qf)] = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} Q[\Phi_1 * \varphi * Qf] \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Choose $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ radial with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. Moreover we define $\psi_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\psi_0 = \eta$ and $\psi_j(x) = \eta(2^{-j}x) - \eta(2^{-(j-1)}x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then we have the dyadic composition

$$\Phi_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_1^j \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_1^j = \psi_j \Phi_1$$

Using the asymptotics of Φ_1 we deduce

$$\|\Phi_1^j\|_\infty \leq C 2^{-\frac{j(N-1)}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } j,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of j . Using that $\widehat{\Phi_1^j}$ is radial, we get, with Plancharel's theorem and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right\|_2^2 &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widehat{\Phi_1^j}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{Qf}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{7}{4}} r^{N-1} |\widehat{\Phi_1^j}(r)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\widehat{Qf}(r\omega)|^2 d\sigma(\omega) dr \\ &\leq C \|\Phi_1^j\|_2^2 \|Qf\|_{q'}^2 \leq C 2^j \|Qf\|_{q'}^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant does not depend on j . Consequently, we thus have

$$\left\| Q(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right\|_2 \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|Qf\|_{q'} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|\Phi_1^j * \varphi\|_\infty \leq \|\Phi_1^j\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_1 \leq C 2^{-\frac{j(N-1)}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } j,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| Q(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right\|_\infty \leq C 2^{-\frac{j(N-1)}{2}} \|Qf\|_1 \leq C 2^{-\frac{j(N-1)}{2}} \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 to the radial kernel $\Phi_1^j * \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ gives

$$\left\| Q(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right\|_p \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2} A_{p,q}} \|f\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_G$$

with $A_{p,q} = \frac{4qN}{p(q+2)} - (N-1)$. Since $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \infty)$, we have $A_{p,q} < 0$. Since, as remarked above, $\Phi_1 = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \Phi_1 * \varphi$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_Q^1 f\|_p &= \|Q\Phi_1 * (Qf)\|_p = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|Q(\Phi_1 * \varphi) * (Qf)\|_p \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| Q(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right\|_p \leq C_0 \|f\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_G \end{aligned}$$

with $C_0 = C(2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{j}{2}A_{p,q}} < \infty$. The proof is finished. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The claim follows readily by combining Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4. \square

4. NONVANISHING FOR G -INVARIANT FUNCTIONS

Our next aim is to deduce a nonvanishing theorem for the operator \mathcal{R}_Q and G -invariant functions, where again $G \subset O(N)$ is a closed subgroup and $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a given weight function. We restate Theorem 1.3 for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $N \geq 3$, let $G \subset O(N)$ be a closed subgroup, let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ be such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple. Moreover, let $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$. Then for every bounded sequence $(v_n)_n \subset L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $\left| \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \mathcal{R}_Q(v_n) dx \right| > 0$, there exist – after passing to a subsequence – numbers $R, \zeta > 0$ and a sequence of points $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{B_R(x_n)} |Qv_n(x)|^{p'} dx \geq \zeta, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. For this we fix $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3}]$ such that (G, q, Q) is an admissible extension triple. Moreover, we keep using the notation of the previous section, so we write $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_Q = \mathcal{R}_Q^1 + \mathcal{R}_Q^2$. We need to analyze the operators \mathcal{R}_Q^1 and \mathcal{R}_Q^2 separately. We start by proving the following variant of Proposition 3.4 for the operator \mathcal{R}_Q^1 .

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is radial, $0 \leq \widehat{\varphi} \leq 1$ with $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| - 1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 0$ for $|\xi| - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

Moreover, let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be radial with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$, and let $\eta_k(x) = \eta(2^{-k}x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, let $p \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \infty)$ and $A_{p,q} := \frac{N}{p} \frac{4q}{q+2} + 1 - N < 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\left\| Q \left([(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1] * \varphi * (Qf) \right) \right\|_p \leq C \frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{2}A_{p,q}}}{1 - 2^{\frac{A_{p,q}}{2}}} \|f\|_{p'}$$

for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_G$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion for $k \geq 4$. Using the given function η , we let Φ_1^j , $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be defined as in the proof of Proposition 3.4. As in the proof of this proposition, we see that

$$\left\| Q \left[(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf) \right] \right\|_p \leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2}A_{p,q}} \|f\|_{p'} \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N}$$

and all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_G$. Moreover, by construction, we have the dyadic decomposition

$$(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \Phi_1^j$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Q\left([(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1] * \varphi * (Qf)\right) \right\|_p &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left\| Q\left[(\Phi_1^j * \varphi) * (Qf)\right] \right\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{p'} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{j}{2}A_{p,q}} \\ &= C \frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{2}A_{p,q}}}{1 - 2^{\frac{A_{p,q}}{2}}} \|f\|_{p'}, \end{aligned}$$

for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_G$, as claimed. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p > \frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}$ and suppose that $(v_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_G$ is an $L^{p'}$ -bounded sequence with*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[\Phi_1 * (Qv_n)] dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let, as in the assumptions of Proposition 4.2, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is radial, $0 \leq \widehat{\varphi} \leq 1$ with $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| - 1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 0$ for $|\xi| - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, let $w_n = \varphi * (Qv_n)$. Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \|w_n\|_{p'} \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \|Qv_n\|_{p'} \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \|Q\|_\infty \|v_n\|_{p'}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Young's inequality, so $(w_n)_n$ is also a bounded sequence in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by assumption. Since $\widehat{\Phi}_1 = \widehat{\Phi}_1 \widehat{\varphi}$, we have $(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \Phi_1 = \Phi_1 * \varphi$. Therefore, with η_k defined as in Proposition 4.2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[\Phi_1 * (Qv_n)] dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[\Phi_1 * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[\eta_k \Phi_1 * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1 * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx, \end{aligned}$$

for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1 * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx \right| \leq \|v_n\|_{p'} \left\| Q\left([(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1] * \varphi * (Qv_n)\right) \right\|_p \leq C \frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{2}A_{p,q}}}{1 - 2^{\frac{A_{p,q}}{2}}} \|v_n\|_{p'}^2$$

by Hölder's inequality and Proposition 4.2. Since $A_{p,q} < 0$, it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(1 - \eta_k)\Phi_1 * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we now choose $R = 2^{k+1}$, which implies that $\eta_k \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$. Decomposing \mathbb{R}^N into disjoint N -cubes $\{Z_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of side length R , and considering for each l the N -cube Z'_l with

the same center as Z_l but with side length $3R$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(\eta_k \Phi_1) * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(\eta_k \Phi_1) * w_n] dx \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{Z_l} \left(\int_{|x-y|<R} |\Phi_1(x-y)| |Qv_n(x)| |w_n(y)| dx \right) dy \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{Z_l'} |Qv_n| dx \int_{Z_l'} |w_n| dx \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{Z_l'} |w_n| dx \right)^{p'} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{Z_l'} |Qv_n| dx \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} (3R)^{\frac{2N}{p}} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{Z_l'} |w_n|^{p'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{Z_l'} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} (3R)^{\frac{2N}{p}} 3^{\frac{N}{p'}} \|w_n\|_{L^{p'}} \left[\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{Z_l'} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}-1} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{Z_l'} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} (3R)^{\frac{2N}{p}} 3^N \|w_n\|_{L^{p'}} \left[\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_{3R\sqrt{N}}(y)} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}-1} \|Qv_n\|_{p'}^{\frac{p}{p'}} \\
& \leq \|\Phi_1\|_{L^\infty} \|Q\|_{\infty}^{1+\frac{p'}{p}} \|\varphi\|_1 (3R)^{\frac{2N}{p}} 3^N \|v_n\|_{p'}^{1+\frac{p'}{p}} \left[\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_{3R\sqrt{N}}(y)} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.2) in the last step. By assumption, it now follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Qv_n[(\eta_k \Phi_1) * \varphi * (Qv_n)] dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The claim now follows by combining (4.3) and (4.4). \square

Regarding Φ_2 we make use of the following variant of [4, Theorem 2.5].

Lemma 4.4. *Let $2 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and suppose that $(v_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |v_n|^{p'} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n[\Phi_2 * v_n] dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The claim follows from [4, Theorem 2.5] in the case where $v_n \in \mathcal{S}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(v_n)_n$ is an arbitrary bounded sequence in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we first recall that, by (3.6), there exists a constant $C > 0$ with

$$\|\Phi_2 * v\|_p \leq C \|v\|_{p'} \quad \text{for every } v \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover we choose, by density, $\tilde{v}_n \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\|v_n - \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The assumption then implies that also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |\tilde{v}_n|^{p'} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_n [\Phi_2 * \tilde{v}_n] dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

by [4, Theorem 2.5]. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [v_n(\Phi_2 * v_n) - \tilde{v}_n(\Phi_2 * \tilde{v}_n)] dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n - \tilde{v}_n) \Phi_2 * (v_n + \tilde{v}_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|v_n - \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \|v_n + \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \leq \frac{C(1 + \frac{1}{n}) \|v_n\|_{p'}}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and thus also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n [\Phi_2 * v_n] dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

as claimed. \square

We are now in position to finish the proof of Theorem 4.1:

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(v_n)_n \subset L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence, and suppose by contradiction that (4.1) does not hold. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Qv_n|^{p'} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

By density, we may choose $\tilde{v}_n \in \mathcal{S}_G$ with $\|v_n - \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $\|Qv_n - Q\tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \leq \frac{\|Q\|_\infty}{n}$ for all n and therefore also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Q\tilde{v}_n|^{p'} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

Combining Lemma 4.3 (applied to \tilde{v}_n) and Lemma 4.4 (applied to $Q\tilde{v}_n$), we then deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_n \mathcal{R}_Q \tilde{v}_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q\tilde{v}_n [\Phi_1 * (Q\tilde{v}_n)] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q\tilde{v}_n [\Phi_2 * (Q\tilde{v}_n)] dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [v_n \mathcal{R}_Q v_n - \tilde{v}_n \mathcal{R}_Q \tilde{v}_n] dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n - \tilde{v}_n) \mathcal{R}_Q (v_n + \tilde{v}_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|v_n - \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \|\mathcal{R}(v_n + \tilde{v}_n)\|_p \leq C \|v_n - \tilde{v}_n\|_{p'} \|v_n + \tilde{v}_n\|_p \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we also have that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \mathcal{R}_Q v_n dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contrary to the assumption. The claim thus follows. \square

5. DUAL VARIATIONAL FRAMEWORK AND G -INVARIANT SOLUTIONS

Let $G \subset O(N)$ be a fixed closed subgroup, and let $Q \in L_G^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative fixed weight function with $Q \not\equiv 0$. We now focus our attention to the equation

$$(5.1) \quad -\Delta u - u = Q(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

To prove the existence of nontrivial real-valued solutions of (5.1), we will use the dual variational approach introduced in [3] and consider the operator K_Q formally defined as $K_Q f = Q^{\frac{1}{p}} R(Q^{\frac{1}{p}} f)$, where R denotes the real part of the Helmholtz resolvent operator \mathcal{R} , i.e., $Rg = (\operatorname{Re} \Phi) * g$ with the fundamental solution Φ defined in (1.3).

To analyze the mapping properties of K_Q and to set up a variational framework, we assume, as in Theorem 1.4, that $q \in [1, \frac{2(N-1)}{N-3})$ and $p \in (\max\{\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, 2\}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ are chosen such that $(G, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple. By Theorem 1.2,

$$(5.2) \quad K_Q : L_G^{t'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is bounded for every } t \in \left(\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right],$$

so this applies in particular to $t = p$. Moreover, K_Q is symmetric in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w K_Q v \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v K_Q w \, dx \quad \text{for } v, w \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We also note the following non-selfdual estimates for the operator K_Q .

Lemma 5.1. *There exist $\sigma_1 < p < \sigma_2$ with the property that K_Q is bounded as a map $L_G^{\sigma'_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and as a map $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^{\sigma_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Choose $s > p$ with $\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1} < s < \frac{2N}{N-2}$, which implies that $\frac{2}{N+1} < \frac{1}{s'} - \frac{1}{s} < \frac{2}{N}$. Then there exists $\tau_1 > s$ and $\tau_2 \in (p, s)$ with $\frac{2}{N+1} < \frac{1}{s'} - \frac{1}{\tau_i} < \frac{2}{N}$ for $i = 1, 2$, which, by the non-selfdual estimates of Gutierrez in [7, Theorem 6], implies that

$$(5.3) \quad K_Q \text{ is bounded as a map } L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{\tau_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

We now fix $t \in (\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, p)$, and we recall that

$$(5.4) \quad K_Q \text{ is bounded as a map } L_G^{t'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^t(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover, for $i = 1, 2$, we let $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ be defined by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta_i}{t} + \frac{(1-\theta_i)}{\tau_i}$$

Complex interpolation of (5.3) and (5.4) then yields that K_Q is bounded as a map $L_G^{\sigma'_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma'_i} = \frac{\theta_i}{t'} + \frac{(1-\theta_i)}{s'} = 1 - \left(\frac{\theta_i}{t} + \frac{(1-\theta_i)}{s} \right),$$

which means that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma'_1} < 1 - \left(\frac{\theta_1}{t} + \frac{(1-\theta_1)}{\tau_1} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p'}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sigma'_2} < 1 - \left(\frac{\theta_2}{t} + \frac{(1-\theta_2)}{\tau_2} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p'}.$$

Consequently, $\sigma'_1 > p' > \sigma'_2$ and therefore $\sigma_1 < p < \sigma_2$. This finishes the proof of the first mapping property, and the second mapping property follows by duality. \square

Next we note the following variant of [3, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 5.2. *The operator $K_Q : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is locally compact, i.e., the operators*

$$K_Q \mathbf{1}_B : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}_B K_Q : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are compact for every bounded and measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded and measurable, and fix $s \in [\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ with $s \geq p$, i.e., $s' \leq p'$. By [3, Lemma 4.1], the operator $\mathbf{1}_B K_Q : L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact. By duality, the operator $K_Q \mathbf{1}_B : L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is therefore also compact.

Next, let $(v_n)_n \subset L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence with $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we have $w_n := \mathbf{1}_B v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and thus also in $L_G^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since B has finite measure. By the compactness property mentioned above, it follows that $K_Q \mathbf{1}_B v_n = K_Q \mathbf{1}_B w_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L_G^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that the sequence of functions $K_Q \mathbf{1}_B v_n = K_Q w_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is bounded in $L_G^{\sigma_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\sigma_1 < p$. Since $\sigma_1 < p \leq s$, it thus follows by interpolation that there exists $\theta \in (0, 1]$ with

$$\|K_Q \mathbf{1}_B v_n\|_p \leq \|K_Q \mathbf{1}_B v_n\|_{\sigma_1}^{1-\theta} \|K_Q \mathbf{1}_B v_n\|_s^\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence the operator $K_Q \mathbf{1}_B : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact, and by duality it follows that also $\mathbf{1}_B K_Q : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a compact operator. \square

As in [3], we now introduce the (dual) energy functional

$$J : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad J(v) = \frac{1}{p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p'} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v [K_Q v](x) dx.$$

Then J is of class \mathcal{C}^1 with

$$J'(v)w = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v|^{p'-2}v - K_Q v)w dx \quad \text{for } v, w \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover, we have

Lemma 5.3. *If $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of J , then $u = RQ^{\frac{1}{p}}v$ is a real-valued solution of (5.1) of class $W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \geq p$, $s \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $w \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $w_G \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be defined by

$$w_G = \int_G w \circ A d\mu(A), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad w_G(x) = \int_G w(Ax) d\mu(A) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where μ is the Haar-measure of G . Since v is G -invariant, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v|^{p'-2}v - K_Q v)w dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v|^{p'-2}v - K_Q v)[w \circ A] dx \quad \text{for all } A \in G$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v|^{p'-2}v - K_Q v)w dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v|^{p'-2}v - K_Q v)w_G dx = J'(v)w_G = 0$$

Consequently, we have $|v|^{p'-2}v = K_Q v$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies that $u = RQ^{\frac{1}{p}}v$ satisfies the equation

$$u = RQ|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The claim now follows by [3, Lemma 4.3]. \square

Next we note that the functional J has a mountain pass geometry. More precisely, we have:

- Lemma 5.4.** (i) *There exists $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ such that $J(v) \geq \delta > 0$ for all $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|v\|_{p'} = \rho$.*
(ii) *There is $v_0 \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|v_0\|_{p'} > 1$ and $J(v_0) < 0$.*
(iii) *Every Palais-Smale sequence for J is bounded in $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*
(iv) *There exists a Palais-Smale sequence for J at the mountain pass level*

$$(5.5) \quad d := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)) > 0,$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \|\gamma(1)\|_{p'} > \rho, J(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

Proof. Since $p > 2$, the parts (i)-(iii) are proved in [3, Lemma 4.2] for $G = \{id\}$, and the proof remains the same for general closed subgroups $G \subset O(N)$. Moreover, the positivity of the mountain pass level c defined in (5.5) is a direct consequence of (i) and (ii), which also shows that the set Γ is nonempty. Finally, the proof of the existence of a Palais-Smale sequence for J at level d is exactly the same as the proof of [3, Lemma 6.1]. Here we note that periodicity of Q was assumed in [3, Section 6], but this property is not used in Lemma 6.1. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let $(v_n)_n \subset L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence of J with $c := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(v_n) > 0$. Moreover, suppose that one of the following conditions hold:*

- (A1) *For some $R > 0$, we have $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \|Q\|_{L^1(B_R(x))} = 0$.*
(A2) *For every $R > 0$ we have $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} N_G(x, R) = \infty$, where, for $R > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, $N_G(x, R)$ denotes the maximal number of elements of a subset $H \subset G$ with $B_R(Ax) \cap B_R(A'x) = \emptyset$ for $A, A' \in H$.*

Then, after passing to a subsequence, we have

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ is a critical point of J .

Proof. We first note that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded by Lemma 5.4. Consequently, since $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is reflexive, there exists $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n K_Q v_n dx = \frac{2p'}{2-p'} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(J(v_n) - \frac{1}{p'} J'(v_n) v_n \right) = \frac{2p'}{2-p'} c > 0$$

by assumption, which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \mathcal{R}_{Q^{\frac{1}{p}}} v_n dx \right| > 0.$$

Since moreover $(G, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple by assumption, Theorem 1.3 applies and yields $\delta, R > 0$ and a sequence of points $(x_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{B_R(x_n)} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}} v_n|^{p'} dx \geq \delta > 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We claim that $(x_n)_n$ has to be bounded. To see this, we argue by contradiction and assume that, after passing to a subsequence again, $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: (A1) holds.

In this case we put $\varphi_n := Q^{p-1}v_n 1_{B_R(x_n)}$, and we note that $(\varphi_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_n)} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}}v_n|^{p'} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p'-2}v_n\varphi_n dx = J'(v_n)\varphi_n + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n K_Q \varphi_n dx \\ &\leq o(1)\|\varphi_n\|_p + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n K_Q \varphi_n dx \right| = o(1) + \|v_n\|_{p'} \|K_Q \varphi_n\|_p \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists $\sigma > p'$ and $C > 0$ with the property that

$$\|K_Q \varphi_n\|_p \leq C \|\varphi_n\|_{\sigma'} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whereas, since $\sigma' < p'$ and by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n\|_{\sigma'} &= \|Q^{p-1}v_n\|_{L^{\sigma'}(B_R(x_n))} \leq \left(\int_{B_R(x_n)} |Q|^{\frac{p'\sigma'(p-1)}{p'-\sigma'}} dx \right)^{\frac{p'-\sigma'}{p'\sigma'}} \|v_n\|_{p'} \\ &\leq \left(\|Q\|_{L^1(B_R(x_n))} \right)^{\frac{p'-\sigma'}{p'\sigma'}} \|Q\|_{\infty}^{\left(\frac{p'\sigma'(p-1)}{p'-\sigma'} - 1 \right) \frac{p'-\sigma'}{p'\sigma'}} \|v_n\|_{p'} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|Q\|_{L^1(B_R(x_n))} \rightarrow 0$ by (1.8), it thus follows that $\|\varphi_n\|_{\sigma'} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here we note that, by an easy covering argument, (1.8) holds for every $R > 0$ if it holds for one $R > 0$. Going back to (5.8), we thus deduce that

$$\int_{B_R(x_n)} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}}v_n|^{p'} dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts (5.7).

Case 2: (A2) holds.

In this case it follows from (5.7) and the fact that v_n and Q are G -invariant that

$$\|Q^{\frac{1}{p}}v_n\|_{p'}^{p'} \geq N_G(x_n, R) \int_{B_R(x_n)} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}}v_n|^{p'} dx \geq N_G(x_n, R)\delta \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts the boundedness of the sequence $(v_n)_n$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Since in both cases we have reached a contradiction, we conclude that $(x_n)_n$ is bounded. Therefore, making R larger if necessary, we can assume that (5.7) holds with $x_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now for any fixed G -invariant function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, any $r > 0$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|v_n|^{p'-2}v_n - |v_m|^{p'-2}v_m \right) \varphi dx \right| &= \left| J'(v_n)\varphi - J'(v_m)\varphi + \int_{B_r} \varphi K_Q (v_n - v_m) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|J'(v_n) - J'(v_m)\| \|\varphi\|_{p'} + \|\mathbf{1}_{B_r} K_Q (v_n - v_m)\|_p \|\varphi\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

So by assumption and the local compactness of K_Q , as stated in Lemma 5.2, we get that $(|v_n|^{p'-2}v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(B_R)$. Consequently, $|v_n|^{p'-2}v_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ strongly in $L^p(B_R)$ for some $\tilde{v} \in L^p(B_R)$, and passing to a subsequence also pointwisely almost everywhere on B_R . This clearly implies that $v_n \rightarrow |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}$ almost everywhere on B_r . Now (5.6) and the uniqueness of the weak

limit gives $\tilde{v} = |v|^{p'-2}v$ and

$$0 < \delta \leq \int_{B_R} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}} v_n(x)|^{p'} dx \rightarrow \int_{B_R} |Q^{\frac{1}{p}} v|^{p'} dx, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies that $v \neq 0$.

For every G -invariant function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} J'(v)\varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p'-2}v\varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi K_Q(v) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p'-2}v_n\varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi K_Q(v_n) dx \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'(v_n)\varphi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

using the local strong convergence of $|v_n|^{p'-2}v_n$ and the continuity of linear operator $K_Q : L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L_G^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By density, it now follows that $J'(v)w = 0$ for every $w \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ is a critical point of J . \square

We now have all the tools to complete the proofs of our main existence results for nontrivial G -invariant dual ground state solutions as stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (completed). By Lemma 5.4(iv), there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $(v_n)_n$ in $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for J at the mountain pass level $d > 0$. By Proposition 5.5, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ after passing to a subsequence, where $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial critical point of J . Here we note that assumption (A1) of Proposition 5.5 is satisfied by (1.8). The proof is finished by Lemma 5.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Since $Q^{\frac{1}{p}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows by the classical Stein-Tomas estimate that $(G, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple for $q = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$. Since

$$p \in \left(\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right) = \left(\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right),$$

the assumptions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied and yield the existence of a nontrivial solution $v \in L_G^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of (1.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. As above, it follows by the classical Stein-Tomas estimate that $(G_k, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple for $q = \frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}$, whereas

$$p \in \left(\frac{2(N+1)}{N-1}, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right) = \left(\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, \frac{2N}{N-2} \right).$$

Moreover, since $2 \leq k \leq N-2$, we have $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} N_G(x, R) = \infty$ for $R > 0$, where $N_G(x, R)$ is defined as in Proposition 5.5. Hence assumption (A2) of Proposition 5.5 is satisfied, and thus the proof is completed as the proof of Theorem 1.4 above. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.7. We first note that Q satisfies the asymptotic condition (1.8). Indeed, since $0 \leq Q \leq c1_{L_\alpha}$ for some $c > 0$ by assumption, it suffices to show that

$$(5.9) \quad |L_\alpha \cap B_R(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } R > 0.$$

To see the latter, it suffices to consider a sequence $(x_n)_n = (x_n^{(N-k)}, x_n^{(k)}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ with $x_n^{(N-k)} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_n := |x_n| = |x_n^{(k)}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case we have $|x^{(k)} - x_n^{(k)}| < R$ for $x \in B_R(x_n)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |L_\alpha \cap B_R(x_n)| &= \int_{\{|x^{(k)} - x_n^{(k)}| < R\}} \int_{\{|x^{(N-k)}| \leq a|x^{(k)}|^{-\alpha}\}} dx^{(N-k)} dx^{(k)} \leq C \int_{\{|x^{(k)} - x_n^{(k)}| < R\}} |x^{(k)}|^{-(N-k)\alpha} dx^{(k)} \\ &= C \int_{|z^{(k)}| < R} |z^{(k)} + x_n^{(k)}|^{-(N-k)\alpha} dx^{(k)} \leq C \int_{|z^{(k)}| < R} (|x_n^{(k)}| - R)^{-(N-k)\alpha} dx^{(k)} \\ &= C(r_n - R)^{-(N-k)\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

with constants $C > 0$. Hence (5.9) holds.

We now first consider the case where $k = 1$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{N-1}$ or $k = N - 1$ and $\alpha < N - 1$. In this case, $(G_k, q, 1_{L_\alpha})$ is an admissible extension triple for every $q \geq 1$ by Theorem 1.1. Since moreover, $0 \leq Q^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c^{\frac{1}{p}} 1_{L_\alpha}$ by assumption, it follows that $(G_k, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is also an admissible extension triple for every $q \geq 1$. Hence Theorem 1.4 applies with $q = 1$, and in this case we have $\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2} = \frac{4N}{3(N-1)} \leq 2$ for $N \geq 3$. Hence (1.4) admits a nontrivial dual bound state solution for every $p \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$. Thus the claim holds in this case.

Next we consider the case where $2 \leq k \leq N - 2$ and $p \in (\mu_{N,k,\alpha}, \frac{2N}{N-2})$, where $\mu_{N,k,\alpha}$ is defined in (1.9). A case distinction shows that $\mu_{N,k,\alpha} = \max\{\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+2}, 2\}$, where $\lambda := \lambda_{N,k,\alpha}$ is given in Theorem 1.1. Consequently, by Theorem 1.1, we may fix $q \in (\mu_{N,k,\alpha}, p)$ with $\max\{\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2q}{q+2}, 2\} < p < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and the property that $(G_k, q, 1_{L_\alpha})$ is an admissible extension triple. As above, it follows that also $(G_k, q, Q^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an admissible extension triple. Again, Theorem 1.4 applies and yields that (1.4) admits a nontrivial dual bound state solution. Thus the claim holds in this case as well. \square

Remark 5.6. *We note that Corollary 1.7 extends to the case where L_α is replaced by the more general class of sets $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ considered in Theorem 2.2. For this, one has to replace the assumption $\alpha > \frac{1}{N-1}$ by $\beta > \frac{1}{N-1}$ in the case $k = 1$. Moreover, in the case $2 \leq k \leq N - 2$, the value $\mu_{N,k,\alpha}$ needs to be replaced by $\max\{\frac{2N}{N-1} \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+2}, 2\}$, where now $\lambda = \lambda_{N,k,\alpha,\beta}$ is given in (2.5).*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Axler, H. Bourdon and R. Wamey. *Harmonic function theory*. Vol. 137. (Springer, 2013).
- [2] G. Evéquoz. A dual approach in Orlicz spaces for the nonlinear Helmholtz equation. *Zeitschrift Angewandte Mathematik und Physik* **66** (2015): 2995-3015.
- [3] G. Evéquoz and T. Weth. Dual variational methods and nonvanishing for the nonlinear Helmholtz equation. *Adv. Math.* **280** (2015): 690–728.
- [4] G. Evéquoz and T. Yeşil. Dual ground state solutions for the critical nonlinear Helmholtz equation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, (2019): 1-32.
- [5] D. Foschi and D. Oliveira e Silva. Some recent progress on sharp Fourier restriction theory. *Analysis Mathematica* **43.2** (2017): 241-265.
- [6] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov. *Generalized functions, vol. 1: Properties and operations*. (Academic Press, 1964).
- [7] S. Gutiérrez, Non trivial L^q solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equation. *Mathematische Annalen* **328.1-2** (2004): 1-25.
- [8] C. E. Kenig, A. Ruiz, and C. Sogge. Uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation for second order constant coefficient differential operators. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **55.2** (1987): 329–347.
- [9] R. Mandel, Uncountably many solutions for nonlinear Helmholtz and curl-curl equations, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, **19** (2019): 569–593.
- [10] R. Mandel, E. Montefusco, and B. Pellacci. Oscillating solutions for nonlinear Helmholtz equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **68** (2017): 121
- [11] E.M. Stein, Oscillatory integral in Fourier analysis *Princeton University Press* **112** (1986): 307 - 355
- [12] E.M. Stein and R. Shakarchi. *Functional analysis: introduction to further topics in analysis*. Vol. 4. (Princeton University Press, 2011).
- [13] R.S. Strichartz, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **44** (1977): 705–714.
- [14] T. Tao. Some recent progress on the restriction conjecture. *Fourier analysis and convexity*. Birkhäuser, (2004): 217-243.
- [15] P. A. Tomas, A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **81** (1975): 477–478.

GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, ROBERT-MAYER-STR. 10, D-60629 FRANKFURT, GERMANY

E-mail address: weth@math.uni-frankfurt.de, yesil@math.uni-frankfurt.de