

Law of large numbers and fluctuations in the sub-critical and L^2 regions for SHE and KPZ equation in dimension $d \geq 3$

Clément Cosco* Shuta Nakajima† Makoto Nakashima‡

May 27, 2020

Abstract

There have been recently several works studying the regularized stochastic heat equation (SHE) and Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation in dimension $d \geq 3$ as the smoothing parameter is switched off, but most of the results did not hold in the full temperature regions where they should. Inspired by martingale techniques coming from the directed polymers literature, we first extend the law of large numbers for SHE obtained in [MSZ16] to the full weak disorder region of the associated polymer model and to more general initial conditions. We further extend the Edwards-Wilkinson regime of the SHE and KPZ equation studied in [GRZ18, MU17, DGRZ20] to the full L^2 -region, along with multidimensional convergence and general initial conditions for the KPZ equation (and SHE), which were not proven before. To do so, we rely on a martingale CLT combined with a refinement of the local limit theorem for polymers.

Keywords: KPZ, SHE, Edwards-Wilkinson equation, directed polymers, random environment, weak disorder, L^2 -region, martingales, local limit theorem for polymers, stochastic calculus.

AMS 2010 subject classifications: Primary 60K37. Secondary 60F05, 60G42, 82D60.

*Weizmann Institute of Science. clement.cosco@gmail.com

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science of the University of Basel. shuta.nakajima@unibas.ch

‡Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University. nakamako@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

We refer the reader to Section 2 for precise statements of the theorems that we show.

1.1 Regularization scheme of the KPZ equation and SHE

The stochastic heat equation (with multiplicative white noise) is formally given by the SPDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) + \beta u(t, x) \xi(t, x), \quad (1.1)$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and where ξ is a space-time white noise on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Let us assume that ξ is defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and let \mathbb{E} denote the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} .

The equation is closely related to the KPZ equation which is formally described by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h = \frac{1}{2} \Delta h + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 + \beta \xi, \quad (1.2)$$

and the two equations are related through the so-called Hopf-Cole transform

$$h = \log u. \quad (1.3)$$

In dimension $d = 1$, much work has been concentrated in the past years in order to make sense of equation (1.2), with the notable contributions [BG97, H13]. One of the difficulties coming when trying to do so is that the derivative $\partial_x h$ fails to be a function and so one has to make sense of the square of a distribution. When $d \geq 3$, the solution u should also fail to be a function, hence giving sense to the product $u\xi$ is also an issue. In this case, both SPDEs fall into the so-called *super-critical* dimensions classification and do not enter in the framework of the recent theories [H14, GIP15, KM17, GP18].

The general approach to studying equations as (1.1) and (1.2) is to begin with mollifying the noise, and then look at the behaviour of the regularized solutions when the mollification is removed. Supposing from now on that $d \geq 3$, we consider the regularized SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u_\varepsilon + \beta \varepsilon^{\frac{d-2}{2}} u_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon, \quad u_\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \equiv u_0, \quad (1.4)$$

where ξ_ε is a mollification in space of ξ such that $\xi_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \xi$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i.e.

$$\xi_\varepsilon(t, x) = (\xi(t, \cdot) \star \phi_\varepsilon)(x) = \int \phi_\varepsilon(x - y) \xi(t, y) dy,$$

with $\phi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-d} \phi(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ and ϕ being a smooth, compactly supported, symmetric function on \mathbb{R}^d , so that ϕ_ε converges in distribution to the Dirac mass δ_0 .

The authors in [MSZ16] have shown that if one rescales the noise in (1.4) by a $\varepsilon^{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ factor, the solution u_ε of (1.4) can be expressed in terms of a partition function of a directed polymer in white noise environment, at inverse temperature β (see also [C17] for a general introduction to the directed polymer model). Let us briefly explain how this connection goes.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_T(x)$ be the *normalized partition function* of the associated polymer, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Z}_T(x) = \mathcal{Z}_T(x, \xi) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\exp \left(\beta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y - B_s) \xi(ds, dy) - \frac{\beta^2 TV(0)}{2} \right) \right],$$

where $(B_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion path and E_x the expectation associated to BM started at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $V(x) = \int \phi(x-y)\phi(y) dy$.¹ Further define:

$$\xi^{(\varepsilon, t)}(s, y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{d+2}{2}} \xi\left(t - \varepsilon^2 s, \varepsilon y\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^{(\varepsilon, t, x)}(s, y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{d+2}{2}} \xi\left(t - \varepsilon^2 s, \varepsilon y - x\right), \quad (1.5)$$

respectively as a diffusively rescaled, time-reversed (resp. space-shifted) white noise (note that by scaling properties of the white noise, both fields have the same law as ξ). Then, the Feynmann-Kac formula ensures that for the *flat initial condition* $u_\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \equiv 1$,

$$u_\varepsilon(t, x) = \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \xi^{(\varepsilon, t)}\right) = \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}\left(\xi^{(\varepsilon, t, x)}\right), \quad (1.6)$$

see [MSZ16] for more details. Note that similar relations hold for more general initial conditions u_0 (cf. (3.4) or (3.1)).

A regularized solution of the KPZ equation (1.2) can then be obtained via the Hopf-Cole transformation by letting

$$h_\varepsilon(t, x) = \log u_\varepsilon(t, x), \quad h_0 = \log u_0, \quad (1.7)$$

which by Itô calculus solves the regularized KPZ equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \Delta h_\varepsilon + \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla h_\varepsilon|^2 - C_\varepsilon \right] + \beta \varepsilon^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \xi_\varepsilon, \quad h_\varepsilon(0, x) = h_0(x), \quad (1.8)$$

with C_ε that is the diverging parameter

$$C_\varepsilon = \beta^2 V(0) \varepsilon^{-2} / 2. \quad (1.9)$$

Recently, there have been a few papers studying the asymptotic behavior u_ε and h_ε as the mollification parameter is switched off ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) [MSZ16, GRZ18, MU17, DGRZ20, DGRZ18b, CCM20, CCM19b], but most of the results that have been obtained are not optimal in the sense that they were not proved to hold in the optimal β -region where they should hold. In this paper, we bring new tools inspired from the polymer literature in order to extend some of the results to their optimal β -regions. Let us first introduce these β -regions of interest.

1.2 The weak disorder and the L^2 -region

It is a standard result of the polymer litterature that the following 0-1 law holds:

$$\text{either: (i) } \mathcal{Z}_T \rightarrow 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., or: (ii) } \mathcal{Z}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\infty \text{ where } \mathcal{Z}_\infty > 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (1.10)$$

and that there is a critical parameter $\beta_c \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty = 0 \text{ a.s. if } \beta > \beta_c \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_\infty > 0 \text{ a.s. if } \beta < \beta_c, \quad (1.11)$$

and $\beta_c > 0$ when $d \geq 3$. Moreover, (ii) holds if and only if $(\mathcal{Z}_T)_{T \geq 0}$ is uniformly integrable, see [MSZ16, CY06].

When situation (i) holds, we say that the system is in the *strong disorder* regime, while if (ii) holds, we say that it is in the *weak disorder* regime. Localization properties for the polymer model and the solution u_ε of SHE have been shown to hold inside the strong

¹When it is clear from the context, we sometimes use notations $\mathcal{Z}_T = \mathcal{Z}_T(\xi) = \mathcal{Z}_T(0, \xi)$.

disorder region, see [BC20, C17, BM19]. On the other hand, the polymer is diffusive in the full weak disorder region [CY06] (see Appendix A in the continuous case).

Another region of interest is the L^2 -region $[0, \beta_{L^2})$, where

$$\beta_{L^2} = \sup\{\beta \geq 0, \sup_t \mathbb{E} \mathcal{Z}_t^2 = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_\infty^2] < \infty\}, \quad (1.12)$$

satisfies $\beta_{L^2} \leq \beta_c$. In fact, it is widely believed that $\beta_{L^2} < \beta_c$, this strict inequality being proved for the discrete polymer when $d \geq 3$ [BGH11, BT10, BS10, BS11] (see in particular [BS10, Section 1.4] for $d = 3, 4$). The L^2 -region has been first introduced in [B89, IS88] to show that diffusivity could happen for the polymer for small β . It has the particular interest of allowing second moment computations that are not accessible in the weak disorder region part where $\beta \geq \beta_{L^2}$. The region has also been recently involved in the study of the speed of convergence and fluctuations of the polymer partition function [CL17, CN19], as well as for asymptotic properties of u_ε and h_ε that we describe now.

1.3 Presentation of the results

It was first observed in [MSZ16] that when $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, the following law of large numbers holds if $u_0 = u_\varepsilon(0, \cdot)$ is regular enough:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) f(x) dx, \quad (1.13)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, where

$$\partial_t \bar{u}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \bar{u}(t, x), \quad \bar{u}(0, \cdot) = u_0. \quad (1.14)$$

The reason behind (1.13) is that $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ and $u_\varepsilon(t, y)$ become asymptotically independent for $x \neq y$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which is well captured by a covariance computation when $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$.

Our first main result (cf. Section 2.1) is to extend (1.13) to the full *weak disorder* region. Extending (1.13) to the full weak disorder region requires more sophisticated arguments than a covariance computation, in particular because second moments blow up when $\beta \geq \beta_{L^2}$. To get around this issue, we rely on both the central limit theorem for polymer paths [CY06] (which was shown in the full weak disorder region) and a homogenization argument as in [CN19] that builds on the uniform integrability of $(\mathcal{Z}_T)_{T \geq 0}$. In addition, we obtain a similar convergence to (1.13) in the case of Dirac initial condition $u_0 = \delta_{x_0}$ (although the limit will be purely random), see Theorem 2.3.

Fluctuations in the law of large numbers (1.13) have been studied in [GRZ18] in the case where the noise can also be colored in time. The authors showed that for β sufficiently small (namely for $\beta < \beta_0$ with $\beta_0 < \beta_{L^2}$) and for all $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (u_\varepsilon(t, x) - \bar{u}(t, x)) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{W}_1(t, x) dx, \quad (1.15)$$

with \mathcal{W}_1 solving the stochastic heat equation with additive noise (also called the Edwards-Wilkinson (EW) equation):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{W}_1(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{W}_1(t, x) + \bar{u}(t, x) \gamma(\beta) \xi(t, x), \quad \mathcal{W}_1(0, x) = 0, \quad (1.16)$$

where $\gamma(\beta)$ is defined in (2.13). To prove this convergence, the authors relied on Malliavin calculus techniques.

Our second main result (c.f. Section 2.2) is a proof that the Edwards-Wilkinson regime described in (1.15) can be extended to the region $\beta \in (0, \beta_{L^2})$ with joint in time convergence. Note that the parameter $\gamma(\beta)$ is finite in $(0, \beta_{L^2})$ and blows up precisely at β_{L^2} , indicating that (1.15) should indeed hold up to that point (and not above), but the proof in [GRZ18] does not carry up until there. In order to reach β_{L^2} , we follow here a distinct strategy of proof that builds on stochastic calculus and the martingale central limit theorem, in the same spirit as in [CN19, CCM19b, CL17, CN95]. Like in [CN19], the additional ingredients that we use to control the quadratic variations and the cross-brackets of the martingales are the local limit theorem for polymers (Theorem B.1 in the appendix, see also [CCM20, V06, S95]) and a homogenization argument, c.f. Section 4.1 for a quick description of the proof. We believe that in addition to leading us to β_{L^2} , this approach to the problem has the advantage of being quite straightforward and simple and that it should be applicable in different contexts (for example discrete structures). Furthermore, the method gives directly multi-dimensional in time convergence in (1.15), which has not been considered in [GRZ18].

Let us turn to results on the KPZ equations. In [DGRZ20], the authors have considered the *flat initial condition* $h_0 \equiv 0$ and proved that there is some $\beta_0 < \beta_{L^2}$ such that for all $\beta < \beta_0$,

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (h_\varepsilon(t, x) - \mathbb{E}[h_\varepsilon(t, x)]) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{Z}_1(t, x) dx, \quad (1.17)$$

with $\bar{u}(t, x) = 1$ in the definition of $\mathcal{Z}_1(t, x)$. See also [MU17] for another approach to the problem. Both of these proofs are restricted to small β and some additional arguments have to be brought to go up to β_{L^2} . In particular, higher moments for the partition function (which do not hold when approaching β_{L^2}) are technically required in the proof of [DGRZ20], while the renormalization approach in [MU17] is restricted by essence to a small β region.

We prove in this paper (c.f. Section 2.3) that (1.17) extends to $(0, \beta_{L^2})$ with joint in time convergence and general continuous initial conditions. Note that in [MU17], the authors consider initial conditions at a macroscopic level (i.e. $u_\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$), while ours are at a microscopic level (i.e. $u_\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x)$) and contrary to our result (see Theorem 2.8), the dependence in the initial condition disappears in the limit in their case. Our strategy of proof is based on the same martingale method as for SHE and relies on Itô's formula. The proof requires significant additional work compared to the SHE case. One of the difficulties to overcome is to deal with ratios of partition functions and we do so by relying on a concentration inequality for \mathcal{Z}_T proven in [CCM20] (see also [CH02]) and precise estimates in the local limit theorem for polymers that we obtain in Theorem B.1. Another issue to tackle is to control the Itô correction and show that it satisfies some homogenization property by studying some 4-th moments.

As we just mentioned, we needed some precise estimates (in particular a speed of convergence) on the local limit theorem for polymers which were not accessible via the pre-existing statements [CCM20, V06, S95]. Our Theorem B.1 improves the previous ones on the following points: (i) it is not restricted to the diffusive scale between the starting and ending points, in particular it shows that the error still vanishes up to a linear scale; (ii) it gives a speed of convergence with exponents that do not depend on β . We think that these improvements are interesting on their own and may be useful for other purposes.

1.4 Results on convergence to stationary Edwards-Wilkinson and GFF

As additional results, we show (stationary) Edwards-Wilkinson asymptotics for the *stationary solutions* of the regularized SHE and KPZ (cf. Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.10). These considerations have a counterpart in the polymer framework, namely that the diffusively rescaled and normalized (infinite-horizon) partition function $\mathcal{Z}_\infty(x)$ and log-partition function $\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty(x)$ converge to a Gaussian Free Field (cf. Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.11), which we believe are an interesting results on their own.

The notion of stationary solutions of the regularized equations can be defined as follows. If $\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\xi)$ denotes a measurable function such that $\mathcal{V}(\xi) = \mathcal{Z}_\infty(0, \xi)$, then (similarly to (1.6))

$$u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, x) = \mathcal{V}(\xi^{(\varepsilon, t, x)}), \quad (1.18)$$

defines a stationary solution of the SPDE in (1.4), where by stationary we mean that the distribution of $u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, x)$ is invariant by time-space translation (see [CCM20, CCM19b, DGRZ18b]).

The function u_ε^{stat} plays a central role in the *pointwise* asymptotic approximation of u_ε (in contrast to (1.13) which is averaged), at first order, for different types of initial conditions u_0 (continuous and bounded, Dirac). For example, for any continuous and bounded u_0 , it holds that for all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u_\varepsilon(t, x) - \bar{u}(t, x)u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, x) \xrightarrow{L^2} 0,$$

see [DGRZ18b, CCM20] for further discussion on this matter.

In the KPZ case, a stationary solution to the SPDE in (1.8) is given by the Hopf-Cole transform

$$h_\varepsilon^{stat} = \log u_\varepsilon^{stat}, \quad (1.19)$$

and as for SHE, h_ε^{stat} is a central object in the *pointwise* approximation (of first order) of $h_\varepsilon(t, x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for a variety of initial conditions, c.f. [CCM20, DGRZ18b]. In particular, when $\beta < \beta_c$ and $h_0 = 0$,

$$\forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad h_\varepsilon(t, x) - h_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, x) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (1.20)$$

The (pointwise) fluctuations in the convergence (1.20) have been studied in [CCM19b] deep in the L^2 -region, and the limit of the correctly rescaled fluctuations involves a convolution of the heat kernel with a Gaussian free field. By a heuristic combination of this result and of (1.17), it was conjectured therein that convergence (2.10) below should hold in the L^2 -region. Our method enables us to prove this property (Theorem 2.10).

1.5 Additional comments

Similarly to the discussion in [CN19], it is not believed that (1.15) nor (1.17) extend to the region $[\beta_{L^2}, \beta_c)$ since $\gamma(\beta)$ blows up at β_{L^2} . In particular, different scalings and limits for the fluctuations are expected above β_{L^2} .

Let us end this introduction by mentioning some related works. Analogous Edwards-Wilkinson type of fluctuations for the partition functions of the discrete polymer (or equivalently discrete SHE and KPZ equation) when $d \geq 3$ have been obtained, in the full L^2 -region, by the authors of [LZ20] simultaneously and independently to our work. Their approach relies on chaos expansion and the 4-th moment theorem as used in [CSZ17b]

and is quite different from ours. This powerful technique allows them in particular to consider moments slightly above 2 for the partition function. They moreover introduce a thoughtful martingale argument in order to overcome the technical difficulties that arise when dealing with the log-partition function (i.e. KPZ) in the full L^2 -region.

In dimension $d = 2$, similar questions on the regularized SHE and KPZ equation have been studied in a series of papers [CSZ17b, CD18, G18, CSZ18a, CSZ18b]. Edwards-Wilkinson type of results for the KPZ equation have been proved to hold in a restricted part of the L^2 -region in [CD18, G18], and extended to the full L^2 -region in [CSZ18b]. Interesting phenomena appear at the corresponding critical point β_{L^2} (which equals β_c in this case) [GQT19, CSZ18a, CSZ19]. As discussed above, the situation is quite different in dimension $d = 1$ and the corresponding u_ε and h_ε actually converge to random continuous processes that coincide with the notion of solutions of SHE and KPZ. Nevertheless, the link with directed polymers still holds and there is moreover a notion of polymer on white noise (not mollified) environment, see [AKQ14, AKQ14b, CSZ17a] for more details.

2 Statement of the results

2.1 On the law of large number

Theorem 2.1 (Case of regular initial condition). *Suppose that u_0 is continuous and bounded. Then, if weak disorder holds, we have that for any test function $f \in C_c^\infty$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) f(x) dx, \quad (2.1)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where \bar{u} is as in (1.14).

Corollary 2.2 (The stationary case). *Recall u_ε^{stat} from (1.18). If weak disorder holds, then for $f \in C_c^\infty$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, x) f(x) dx \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.3 (Case of Dirac initial condition). *Suppose that $u_0(x) = \delta_{x_0}$ and that weak disorder holds. Then, for all $f \in C_c^\infty$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{Z}_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) f(x) dx, \quad (2.3)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where \bar{u} is as in (1.14), i.e. $\bar{u}(t, x) = \rho_t(x - x_0)$ with $\rho_t(x)$ is the standard heat kernel.

Remark 2.4. *In the Dirac case, $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ can be represented by a product of $\rho_t(x)$ and a polymer partition function that starts at $(0, \varepsilon^{-1}x_0)$ and ends at $(\varepsilon^{-2}t, \varepsilon^{-1}x)$, see (3.1). When $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, the local limit theorem for polymers (Theorem B.1) states that this partition function factorizes in the product $\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\varepsilon^{-1}x_0) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\varepsilon^{-2}t, \ell}(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ with $\ell = o(\varepsilon^{-2})$ and $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ and where $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T, \ell}(x)$ the time reversed partition function defined in (2.14). When ε is small, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\varepsilon^{-2}t, \ell}(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ and $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\varepsilon^{-2}t, \ell}(\varepsilon^{-1}x')$ are morally independent for $x \neq x'$, hence one expects*

that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x) \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\varepsilon^{-1} x_0) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\varepsilon^{-2}t, \ell}(\varepsilon^{-1} x) f(x) dx \\
&\approx \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\varepsilon^{-1} x_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x) \mathbb{E} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\varepsilon^{-2}t, \ell}(\varepsilon^{-1} x) f(x) dx \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{Z}_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x) f(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, there is no proof of a local limit theorem for $\beta \geq \beta_{L^2}$ and different arguments have to be brought in the higher β -part of the weak disorder region.

2.2 On the fluctuations for SHE

In the following, \mathcal{C}_c^∞ denotes the set of infinitely differentiable, compactly supported functions on \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 2.5 (Case of regular initial condition). *Suppose $u_\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = u_0$ where u_0 is a continuous and bounded function. For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, the following convergence holds jointly for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) (u_\varepsilon(t_i, x) - \bar{u}(t_i, x)) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \mathcal{U}_1(t_i, x) dx, \quad (2.4)$$

with \mathcal{U}_1 defined in (1.16) and \bar{u} in (1.14).

Theorem 2.6 (The stationary case). *For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, the following convergence holds jointly for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) (u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t_i, x) - 1) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \mathcal{U}_2(t_i, x) dx, \quad (2.5)$$

with \mathcal{U}_2 being the **stationary** solution of the EW equation:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U}_2(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{U}_2(t, x) + \gamma(\beta) \xi(t, x), \quad \mathcal{U}(0, x) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{H}(x), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\gamma(\beta)$ is given in (2.13) and \mathcal{H} is the Gaussian free field on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying:

$$\text{Cov}(\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}(y)) = \gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^\infty \rho_{2\sigma}(x-y) d\sigma = \frac{\gamma^2(\beta) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - 1)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

The particular case when $t = 0$ in Theorem 2.6 gives that $\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} (u_\varepsilon^{stat}(0, \cdot) - 1) \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{H}$ in distribution, which entails the following result since $u_\varepsilon^{stat}(0, \cdot) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\cdot)$.

Corollary 2.7 (Gaussian free field limit of the polymer partition function). *For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, the following convergence holds jointly for finitely many $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) T^{\frac{(d-2)}{4}} \left(\mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) - 1 \right) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{H}(x) dx, \quad (2.8)$$

i.e. the spatially rescaled and centered normalized partition function $T^{\frac{(d-2)}{4}} (\mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}\cdot) - 1)$ converges in distribution towards the Gaussian free field \mathcal{H} .

2.3 On the fluctuations for the KPZ equation

Let h_ε be a solution of the regularized KPZ equation satisfying (1.7)-(1.8).

Theorem 2.8 (Case of regular initial conditions). *Suppose that $0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) < \infty$ and $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$. For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C_c^\infty$, the following convergence holds jointly for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) (h_\varepsilon(t_i, x) - \mathbb{E}[h_\varepsilon(t_i, x)]) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \mathcal{U}_3(t_i, x) dx,$$

with \mathcal{U}_3 solving the following Edwards-Wilkinson type of stochastic heat equation with additive noise:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \nabla \log \bar{u}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \xi(x, \tau). \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 2.9. *The solution of the above equation satisfies $\mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \bar{u}(x, t)^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1(t, x)$ in distribution.*

Theorem 2.10 (The stationary case). *Recall h_ε^{stat} from (1.19). For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C_c^\infty$, the following convergence holds jointly for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) (h_\varepsilon^{stat}(t_i, x) - \mathbb{E}[h_\varepsilon^{stat}(t_i, x)]) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \mathcal{U}_2(t_i, x) dx, \quad (2.10)$$

with \mathcal{U}_2 from (2.6).

Again, letting $t = 0$ in the above theorem leads to the following:

Corollary 2.11 (Gaussian free field limit of the polymer log-partition function). *For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, the following convergence holds jointly for finitely many $f \in C_c^\infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) T^{\frac{(d-2)}{4}} \left(\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E} \log \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) \right) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{H}(x) dx, \quad (2.11)$$

i.e. the rescaled and centered log-partition function $T^{\frac{(d-2)}{4}} (\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T} \cdot) - \mathbb{E} \log \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T} \cdot))$ converges in distribution towards the Gaussian free field \mathcal{H} .

Structure of the article Section 3 is dedicated to the proof of the laws of large numbers from Section 2.1. Section 4 concentrates on showing the Edwards-Wilkinson fluctuations of Section 2.2 and the section starts with a concise summary of the proof. The Edwards-Wilkinson results for the KPZ equation (Section 2.3) are presented in Section 5. The proof builds on the martingale strategy for the SHE so we recommend the interested reader to begin with Section 2.2.

Some notations

- \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{P} (resp. \mathbb{E}_x and \mathbb{P}_x) denote the expectations and probability with respect to the white noise ξ (resp. with respect to the Brownian motion B started at x).
- $\mathbb{P}_{0,x}^{t,y}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{0,x}^{t,y}$ be the probability measure and expectation of the Brownian bridge from $(0, x)$ to (t, y) .

- $\rho_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-|x|^2/(2t)}$ the heat kernel and $\rho_t(x, y) = \rho_t(x - y)$.
- $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the filtration associated to the white noise.
- $\Phi_T(B, \xi) = \exp \left\{ \beta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y - B_s) \xi(ds, dy) - \frac{\beta^2 V(0)T}{2} \right\}$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{Z}_T(x, \xi) = \mathbb{E}_x [\Phi_T(B, \xi)]. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that we will repeatedly use the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_T(x, \xi)] = \mathbb{E}[\Phi_T] = 1$.

- $\gamma^2(\beta)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^2(\beta) &= \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(Z) \mathbb{E}_Z \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^\infty V(B_{2s}) ds} \right] dZ, \\ &= \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(Z) \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}(u)\mathcal{Z}(u+Z)] dZ, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

- When switching from solutions u_ε and h_ε to their polymer representations, we use $T = T(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2}$ to denote the time horizon of the polymer.
- We denote by $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T,\ell}(z)$ the time-reversed partition function of time horizon ℓ :

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T,\ell}(z) = \mathbb{E}_z \left[\exp \left\{ \int_{T-\ell}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(B_{T-s} - y) \xi(ds, dy) - \frac{\beta^2 V(0)\ell}{2} \right\} \right]. \quad (2.14)$$

- Given $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\ell > 0$, and T , we denote by $\mathbf{R}_{\ell,T}(z)$ the cuboid in $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\ell,T}(z) = [0, T] \times \prod_{i=1}^d [z_i - \ell, z_i + \ell]. \quad (2.15)$$

- We define the constrained partition function as

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}_\zeta [\Phi_\ell; B[0, \ell] \subset \mathbf{R}_{\ell,\ell}(\zeta)], \quad (2.16)$$

where the event $\{B[0, \ell] \subset \mathbf{R}_{\ell,\ell}(\zeta)\}$ means that the graph of B in time $[0, \ell]$ lies in $\mathbf{R}_{\ell,\ell}(\zeta)$.

Note: throughout the paper and if clear from the context, the constant C that appears in successive upper-bounds may take different values.

3 Proofs of the law on large numbers results

Throughout this section, we set $T = \varepsilon^{-2}$.

3.1 Case of Dirac initial conditions $u_0 = \delta_{x_0}$

For Dirac initial condition $u_0 = \delta_{x_0}$, the solution u_ε of (1.4) admits the representation (see equation (2.11) in [CCM20]):

$$u_\varepsilon(t, x) = \rho_t(x - x_0) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{Tt, \sqrt{T}(x-x_0)} [\Phi_{Tt}(B, \xi_{(\varepsilon, x_0)})], \quad (3.1)$$

where $\xi_{(\varepsilon, x_0)}(s, y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{d+2}{2}} \xi(\varepsilon^2 s, \varepsilon y + x_0)$ is a diffusively rescaled white noise (without time-reversal), which again *has the same law as* ξ . Hence, letting $\mathbf{E}_x^{\beta, T}$ denote the expectation under the polymer measure

$$d\mathbf{P}_x^{\beta, T} = \frac{\Phi_T}{\mathcal{Z}_T(x)} d\mathbf{P}_x,$$

of time horizon T with inverse temperature β started at $B_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have by the heat kernel scaling $\rho(Tt, \sqrt{T}x) = T^{-\frac{d}{2}} \rho_t(x)$ and the change of variable $X = \sqrt{T}(x - x_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{Tt}(X) \mathbf{E}_{0,0}^{Tt, X} [\Phi_{Tt}(B, \xi)] f(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}X + x_0) dX \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{Tt} \mathbf{E}_0^{\beta, Tt} [f(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt} + x_0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Then, the continuous analogue of the CLT in [CY06] implies that in the weak disorder,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{Tt} \mathbf{E}_0^{\beta, Tt} [f(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt} + x_0)] \xrightarrow{L^1} \mathcal{Z}_\infty \mathbf{E}_{x_0} [f(B_t)], \quad (3.3)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is almost the same as [CY06, Theorem 5.1], see Appendix A, and hence Theorem 2.3 holds.

3.2 Case of continuous and bounded initial condition

In this case, the Feynmann-Kac formula leads to the following representation similar to (1.6) (see also (2.4) in [CCM20]) with $\xi^{(\varepsilon, t)} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \xi$,

$$u_\varepsilon(t, x) = \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt}) \Phi_{Tt}(B; \xi^{(\varepsilon, t)})] \quad (3.4)$$

$$\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt}) \Phi_{Tt}(B; \xi)] \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x}^{\beta, Tt} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt})],$$

where the equality in distribution holds jointly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for any fixed t .

Therefore, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon(t, x) f(x) dx \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x}^{\beta, Tt} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt})] dx. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, by shift-invariance in distribution of the white noise, and thus of the partition function and the polymer measure,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x}^{\beta, Tt} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt})] - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_x [u_0(B_t)] \right| \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(0) \mathbf{E}_0^{\beta, Tt} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt} + x)] - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(0) \mathbf{E}_x [u_0(B_t)] \right| \right] \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence is as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by (3.3).

This implies with dominated convergence theorem that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int f(x) \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_{\sqrt{T}x}^{\beta, Tt} [u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_{Tt})] dx - \int f(x) \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_x [u_0(B_t)] dx \right| \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

Combined with (3.6), what remains to show in order to prove Theorem 2.1 is that

$$\int f(x) \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbf{E}_x [u_0(B_t)] dx \rightarrow \int f(x) \mathbf{E}_x [u_0(B_t)] dx,$$

where the RHS equals $\int \bar{u}(t, x) f(x) dx$.

Lemma 3.1. For any $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int u_0(y) \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}y) \mathbb{E}_y[f(B_t)] dy - \int u_0(y) \mathbb{E}_y[f(B_t)] dy \right| \right] = 0.$$

Proof. Let us define for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\ell > 0$, and $M > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\zeta) &= \mathbb{E}_\zeta [\Phi_\ell; B[0, \ell] \subset \mathbf{R}_{\ell, \ell}(\zeta)] \\ \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell, M}(\zeta) &= \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\zeta) \wedge M, \end{aligned}$$

where the event $\{B[0, \ell] \subset \mathbf{R}_{\ell, \ell}(\zeta)\}$ means that the graph of Brownian motion B in time $[0, \ell]$ lies in $\mathbf{R}_{\ell, \ell}(\zeta)$. In this section, we simply write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}, T^{\frac{d}{4}}}(\zeta).$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) \leq \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \right| \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}, T^{\frac{d}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) \right| + \mathbb{E} \left| \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) \right| \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \right| \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}; \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}} \geq T^{\frac{d}{4}} \right] + \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\Phi_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}; B[0, T^{\frac{1}{4}}] \not\subset \mathbf{R}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}, T^{\frac{d}{4}}}(0) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}} - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt} \right| \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}; \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}} \geq T^{\frac{d}{4}} \right] + \mathbb{P}_0 \left(B[0, T^{\frac{1}{4}}] \not\subset \mathbf{R}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}, T^{\frac{d}{4}}}(0) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{Z}_{T^{\frac{1}{4}}} - \mathcal{Z}_{Tt} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

all of which converge to 0 uniformly in y by uniform integrability of \mathcal{Z}_T .

Next we will prove

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(x) \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] - f(x) \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)] \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] \right) dx \right)^2 = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Indeed, since if $|x - y| > T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(1 + 2\delta_\phi)$ where $\delta_\phi > 0$ is a constant such that $\text{Supp}(\phi) \subset \{x : |x| < \delta_\phi\}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}y)$ are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(x) \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] - f(x) \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)] \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] \right) dx \right)^2 \\ & = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} dy dz f(x) f(y) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] \mathbb{E}_y[u_0(B_t)] \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)] \right) \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}y) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}y)] \right) \right] \\ & \leq \iint_{|x-y| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(1+2\delta_\phi)} dy dz |f(x) f(y) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] \mathbb{E}_y[u_0(B_t)]| \\ & \quad \times \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)] \right) \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}y) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}y)] \right) \right] \right| \\ & \leq CT^{-\frac{d}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(0)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by the lemma below and uniform integrability of $(\mathcal{Z}_T)_{T \geq 0}$.

Lemma 3.2. [CN19, Lemma 3.3] Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a non-negative, uniformly integrable family of random variables. Then, for any $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$a(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E} [(X_t \wedge a(t))^2] \rightarrow 0.$$

Putting things together, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{Z}_{T_t}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathbb{E}_y[u_0(B_t)] dx \right| \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| \left| \mathcal{Z}_{T_t}(\sqrt{T}x) - \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) \right| \mathbb{E}_x[|u_0(B_t)|] dx \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(x) \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] - f(x) \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x)] \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] \right) dx \right| \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| \left| \mathbb{E} \mathcal{Z}_{T_t}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_T(\sqrt{T}x) \right| \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)] dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last three terms converge to 0. ■

3.3 Case of stationary initial condition

Proof. We recall that for almost sure realization ξ

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty(\xi) = \lim_{T_0 \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{T_0}(\xi) = \lim_{T_0 \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\exp \left(\beta \int_0^{T_0} \phi(y - B_s) \xi(ds, dy) - \frac{\beta^2 V(0) T_0}{2} \right) \right].$$

Then, that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$u_\epsilon^{stat}(t, x) = \lim_{T_0 \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\exp \left(\beta \int_0^{T_0} \phi(y - B_s) \xi^{(\epsilon, t, x)}(ds, dy) - \frac{\beta^2 V(0) T_0}{2} \right) \right].$$

In particular, the same argument as in (3.6) with $u_0 \equiv 1$ yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_\epsilon^{stat}(t, x) - u_\epsilon(t, x)) f(x) dx \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (\mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_{T_t}(\sqrt{T}x)) dx$$

and hence this converges to 0 for any $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the shift-invariance of the white noise and the uniform integrability of $\{\mathcal{Z}_T(0) : T \geq 0\}$. Thus, Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.1. ■

4 Proofs of the Edwards-Wilkinson limits for SHE

4.1 Heuristics

We explain here shortly the strategy of proof of Theorems 2.5-2.7. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case $u_0 \equiv 1$ and consider only 1-dimensional convergence in time. For multi-dimensional convergence, we refer the reader to Section 4.4.

Equation (1.6) tells us that for fixed t , $u_\epsilon(t, \cdot) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{Z}_{T_t}(\sqrt{T} \cdot)$ (we let $T = \epsilon^{-2}$), so in order to prove convergence in (2.4), it is enough to show that the martingale

$$(Z^{(T)}(f)) : \tau \in [0, \infty) \rightarrow T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (\mathcal{Z}_{T_\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - 1) dx. \quad (4.1)$$

converges to an adequate Gaussian process². To this end, we rely on the functional central limit theorem for martingales [JS87, Theorem 3.11 in Chap. 8], which states that if the quadratic variation $\langle Z^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau$ converges towards a deterministic function as $T \rightarrow \infty$, then the continuous process $Z^{(T)}(f)$ converges in distribution towards a Gaussian process whose covariance structure is given by the limiting quadratic variation.

We have,

$$\langle Z^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau = T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \iint f(x)f(y) \langle \mathcal{Z}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{Z}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle_{Tt}, \quad (4.2)$$

and since

$$dZ_s(x) = \beta E_x \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(B_s - b) \Phi_s \xi(ds, db) \right] = \beta \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \phi(z - b) E_{0,x}^{s,z} [\Phi_s] \xi(ds, db) \rho_s(z) dz,$$

we find, using that $V = \phi \star \phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{Z}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{Z}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle_{T\tau} \\ &= \beta^2 \int_0^{T\tau} \iint V(z_1 - z_2) \rho_s(z_1 - \sqrt{T}x) \rho_s(z_2 - \sqrt{T}y) \times E_{0,\sqrt{T}x}^{s,z_1} [\Phi_s] E_{0,\sqrt{T}y}^{s,z_2} [\Phi_s] ds dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= \beta^2 T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_0^\tau \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} V(Z) \rho_\sigma(z - x) \rho_\sigma(z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z - y) \times \\ & \quad \times E_{0,\sqrt{T}x}^{T\sigma,\sqrt{T}z} [\Phi_{T\sigma}] E_{0,\sqrt{T}y}^{T\sigma,\sqrt{T}z+Z} [\Phi_{T\sigma}] d\sigma dz dZ, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where we have set $s = T\sigma$, $z_1 = \sqrt{T}z$ and $z_2 = z_1 + Z$ while using the scaling property $\rho_{T\sigma}(\sqrt{T}z) = T^{-\frac{d}{2}} \rho_\sigma(z)$ and symmetry of V . (Note that we see here the correct scaling appearing).

Then, we appeal to the local limit theorem for polymers, which tells us that if $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$ and if $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(z)$ denotes a time-reversed polymer partition function starting at $(T\sigma, z)$ of time horizon $\ell = \ell(T) = o(T)$, then

$$E_{0,\sqrt{T}x}^{T\sigma,\sqrt{T}z} [\Phi_s] \approx_{L^2} \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(\sqrt{T}z),$$

where by \approx_{L^2} we mean that the difference between the RHS and LHS goes to 0 in L^2 -norm as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (see Theorem B.1 or [V06, S95]). Then, coming back to (4.2), we can argue that

$$\langle Z^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau \approx_{L^1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y) \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x) \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \Psi_T(x, y) dx dy,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_T(x, y) \\ &= \beta^2 \int_0^\tau \int V(Z) \rho_\sigma(z - x) \rho_\sigma(z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z - y) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(\sqrt{T}z + Z) dz dZ d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(z')$ become asymptotically independent for $|z - z'| \rightarrow \infty$, we can justify via a homogenization argument that

$$\Psi_T(x, y) \approx_{L^1} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_T(x, x)] \rightarrow \Psi(x, y) := \gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^\tau \rho_{2\sigma}(x - y), \quad (4.5)$$

²This gives joint convergence for finitely many test functions f thanks to the Cramér-Wold theorem

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma^2(\beta)$ is given in (2.13). By an analogous homogenization argument, we further find that

$$\langle Z^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau \rightarrow \gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^\tau \int \int f(x)f(y)\rho_{2\sigma}(x-y) d\sigma dx dy,$$

in L^1 , where the RHS is precisely equal to the variance of $\int f(x)\mathcal{U}_1(t,x) dx$ when $\tau = t$ and $u_0 \equiv 1$.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.5 (1-dimensional in time)

For some fixed t , let us define the martingale

$$\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\tau(x) = \mathcal{W}_{\tau,t,T}(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\Phi_\tau(B) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

as well as the martingale

$$(W^{(T)}(f)) : \tau \in [0, t] \rightarrow T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int f(x) \left(\mathcal{W}_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - \bar{u}(t,x) \right) dx, \quad (4.7)$$

with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_s^{(T)} := \mathcal{F}_{T^s} : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ such that $\mathcal{W}_0^{(T)} = 0$. We also define:

$$\mathcal{U}_1(t, f) := \int f(x)\mathcal{U}_1(t, x) dx, \quad (4.8)$$

with \mathcal{U}_1 given in (1.16). Relying on (3.5), we see that Theorem 2.5 (for one time t) is proven once we show that as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$W_t^{(T)}(f) \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{U}_1(t, f). \quad (4.9)$$

For $0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t$, we start again by decomposing

$$W_\tau^{(T)}(f) = W_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f) + W_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f), \quad (4.10)$$

where $W_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f)$ is defined by the relation. We first show that $W_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f)$ is going to 0 as $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in T :

Lemma 4.1. *As $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{T > 1} \mathbb{E} [W_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f)^2] \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.11)$$

By Itô calculus for the white noise we have $d\Phi_s(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(ds, db)\phi(B_s - b)\Phi_s(B)$, therefore equation (4.7) writes

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f) &= T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{T\tau_0}^{T\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(ds, db) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} f(x)\phi(z-b)\rho_s(z - \sqrt{T}x) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_{0, \sqrt{T}x}^{s, z} [\Phi_s] \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz dx. \end{aligned}$$

Having the local limit theorem in mind (see Theorem B.1 in the appendix), we define

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f) &:= T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{T\tau_0}^{T\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(ds, db) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} f(x)\phi(z-b)\rho_s(z - \sqrt{T}x) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{s, \ell(T)}(z) \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

with $\ell = \ell(T) = T^a$ and $a < \frac{1}{2}$. Precisely, we will justify that:

Lemma 4.2. For all test function f , for all $\tau \geq \tau_0$ and as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|W_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f) - M_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f)|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Finally, we will show that:

Proposition 4.3. For any test function f , all $0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t$ and as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\langle M_{\tau_0, \cdot}^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau \xrightarrow{L^1} \gamma^2(\beta) \int_{\tau_0}^T \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^3} f(x)f(y)\rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 d\sigma dz dx dy. \quad (4.14)$$

Combining (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain that for all $\tau \leq t$,

$$\langle W^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau \xrightarrow{L^1} \gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^\tau \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^3} f(x)f(y)\rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 d\sigma dz dx dy, \quad (4.15)$$

which, by the functional central limit theorem for martingales [JS87, Theorem 3.11 in Chap. 8], implies that $(W_\tau^{(T)}(f))_{\tau \leq t}$ converges to the centered Gaussian process with variance given by the RHS of (4.15). Since $\mathcal{Z}_1(t, f)$ is a centered Gaussian variable with the same variance as the RHS of (4.15) when $\tau = t$, this in turn entails that (4.9) holds.

We now turn to the proofs of the above-mentioned results and we begin with Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $\tau_0 > 0$. Setting,

$$\Gamma(s, z, x) = \mathbb{E}_{0, \sqrt{T}x}^{s, z} [\Phi_s] - \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(s)}(\sqrt{T}x) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{s, \ell(s)}(z), \quad (4.16)$$

we obtain using that $\int \phi(z_1 - b)\phi(z_2 - b) db = V(z_1 - z_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[|W_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f) - M_{\tau_0, \tau}^{(T)}(f)|^2 \right] \\ &= T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{T\tau_0}^{T\tau} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^4} f(x)f(y)V(z_1 - z_2)\rho_s(z_1 - \sqrt{T}x)\rho_s(z_2 - \sqrt{T}y) \mathbb{E}_{z_1} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{E}_{z_2} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}[\Gamma(s, z_1, \sqrt{T}x)\Gamma(s, z_2, \sqrt{T}y)] ds dz_1 dz_2 dx dy \\ &= \int_{\tau_0}^\tau \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^4} f(x)f(y)V(Z)\rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}z} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\sigma T}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}z+Z} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\sigma T}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z, \sqrt{T}x)\Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z+Z, \sqrt{T}y) \right] d\sigma dz dZ dx dy \\ &= \int_{\tau_0}^\tau \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^4} f(x)f(y)V(Z)\rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z) \\ & \quad \times \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \mathbb{E} \left[\Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z, \sqrt{T}x)\Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z+Z, \sqrt{T}y) \right] d\sigma dz dZ dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $s = T\sigma$, $z_1 = \sqrt{T}z$, $z_2 = \sqrt{T}z + Z$ and we have used the scaling property of Brownian motion and $\bar{u}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_x[u_0(B_t)]$. For all fixed z, σ, x , the quantity $\mathbb{E} \Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z, \sqrt{T}x)^2$ vanishes as $T \rightarrow \infty$ by the local limit theorem for polymers (Theorem B.1; see also the weaker statement as in [V06] which suffices here), so we obtain by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and dominated convergence that the last display goes to 0.

To obtain a domination, we use that u_0 (and hence \bar{u}) is bounded and we observe that $\mathbb{E} \Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z, \sqrt{T}x)^2$ is uniformly bounded by a constant since for all z ,

$$(i) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{t,z}[\Phi_t] \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{t,0}[\Phi_t]; \text{ and } (ii) \text{ for } \beta < \beta_{L^2}, \sup_t \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{t,0}[\Phi_t]^2 < \infty, \quad (4.17)$$

where property (i) comes from shear-invariance property of white noise as in [CC18, p.20] and property (ii) follows from [V06, Corollary 3.8] (adapting slightly the proof to consider the simpler case of a single copy of the Brownian bridge, similarly to [CN19, Proposition 3.2] in the discrete case). \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By (4.17) and Chapman-Kolmogorov formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [W_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f)^2] &= T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_0^{T\tau_0} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^4} f(x)f(y)V(Z)\rho_s(z - \sqrt{T}x)\rho_s(z + Z - \sqrt{T}y)\bar{u}(t - \sigma, z) \\ &\quad \times \bar{u}(t - \sigma, z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z)\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{0,\sqrt{T}x}^{s,z}[\Phi_\sigma] \mathbb{E}_{0,\sqrt{T}x}^{s,z+Z}[\Phi_\sigma] \right] ds dz dZ dx dy \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{\tau_0} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^3} |f(x)f(y)|V(Z)\rho_\sigma(y - x + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) d\sigma dx dy dZ \\ &\leq C \|f\|_\infty \|V\|_1 \|f\|_1 \tau_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where in the second inequality we have made the same change of variables as above, while in the last inequality we have bounded $f(y)$ by its supremum and then integrated in y . \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Note that the second part of the proposition is a consequence of the first part thanks to the functional central limit for martingales ([JS87, Theorem 3.11]). The proof of the first part of the proposition requires a few steps. By the same changes of variables to what was done in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we find that

$$\langle M_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f_1(x)f_2(y)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y)\Psi_T(x, y) dx dy, \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_T(x, y) &= \beta^2 \int_{\tau_0}^T \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} V(Z)\rho_\sigma(z - x)\rho_\sigma(z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z - y)\bar{u}(t - \sigma, z) \\ &\quad \bar{u}(t - \sigma, z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z)\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(\sqrt{T}z)\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma,\ell}(\sqrt{T}z + Z) dz dZ d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Also, we set

$$\Psi(x, y) = \gamma^2(\beta) \int_{\tau_0}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\sigma(z - x)\rho_\sigma(z - y)\bar{u}(t - \sigma, z)^2 d\sigma dz.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \langle M_{\tau_0}^{(T)}(f) \rangle_\tau - \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} f(x)f(y)\Psi(x, y) d\sigma dz dx dy \right| \right] \\ &\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)f(y)| \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \mathbb{E} [|\Psi_T(x, y) - \Psi(x, y)|] dx dy \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$+ \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) - 1 \right] \Psi(x, y) dx dy \right|. \quad (4.21)$$

Thus, it is enough to show that (4.20) and (4.21) converge to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Since we know that $\Psi(x, y)$ is bounded and for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\ell \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_\ell(x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(y)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(x)\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(y)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_\infty^2] < \infty, \quad (4.22)$$

when $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, (4.20) follows when the following two lemmas are proved. \blacksquare

We first deal with this last term:

Lemma 4.4. *For all $0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $u_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|\Psi_T(x, y) - \Psi(x, y)| = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Lemma 4.5. *For all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y) \left(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) - 1 \right) \Psi(x, y) dx dy \right] \right| = 0.$$

Before turning to the proof of this estimate, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *For each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the family $(\mathcal{Z}_t(x)\mathcal{Z}_t(y))_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly integrable.*

Proof of Lemma 4.6. When we apply the Doob's inequality to an L^2 -bounded martingale $\{\mathcal{Z}_t(x) : t \geq 0\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_t(x)\mathcal{Z}_t(y)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_t(x)\mathcal{Z}_t(y)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_t^2\right] \leq C\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_\infty^2], \quad (4.24)$$

where we have used the spatial shift invariance of $\mathcal{Z}_t(x)$. Thus, we obtain that for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_t(y)\mathcal{Z}_t(y) : \mathcal{Z}_t(x)\mathcal{Z}_t(y) \geq M] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_t(y)\mathcal{Z}_t(y) : \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_t(x)\mathcal{Z}_t(y) \geq M\right], \quad (4.25)$$

where the RHS converges to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$ from (4.24). \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 4.4. The proof is divided into several steps of approximation.

(Step 1):

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_T(x, y) \\ & \approx_{L^1} \beta^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \iint V(Z)\rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) d\sigma dz dZ, \end{aligned}$$

where the \approx_{L^1} sign means that the difference between the left and right hand sides goes to 0 in L^1 -norm.

We remark that for any $\sigma \in [\tau_0, \tau]$, there exists a constant $C = C_{\tau_0}$ such that

$$\rho_\sigma(x) \leq C, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Therefore, the dominated convergence theorem with the boundedness of \bar{u} , and (4.22) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \iint V(Z) \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z+T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z) \\ & \quad \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z+T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \, d\sigma \, dz \, dZ \\ & \approx_{L^1} \beta^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \iint V(Z) \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \, d\sigma \, dz \, dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $\tau_0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z),$$

by dominated convergence theorem it suffices to show that for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and each $\tau_0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^2 \iint V(Z) \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \\ & \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \, dz \, dZ \\ & \xrightarrow{L^1} \gamma^2(\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

To this end, we use the truncation method as in Section 3.2 (recall that the $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}$ notation from (2.16)).

(Step 2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^2 \int \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \mathcal{V}_T(z) \, dz \\ & \approx_{L^1} \beta^2 \int \rho_{\sigma}(z-x) \rho_{\sigma}(z-y) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) \, dz, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_T(z) & := \int V(Z) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \, dZ. \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) & := \int V(Z) \left(\{ \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) \, dZ. \end{aligned}$$

This immediately follows when we show that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{V}_T(z) - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) \right] \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.27}$$

which follows $\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \left(\{ \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell}(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $Z \in \text{Supp}V$ for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \left(\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \\
&\quad + \left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \left(\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a}\right)\right) \\
&= (\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z))(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)) \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z))\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)) \\
&\quad + \left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \left(\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

For the first three terms, taking $p, q > 1$ such that $\gamma(\sqrt{p}\beta) < \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, by Holder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z)(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z))(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)) dZ \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)) dZ \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z)(\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z) - \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z))\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) dZ \right] \\
&\leq \int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z)\mathbb{E}_{0,Z} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^\ell V(B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)}) ds} : B^{(1)}[0, \ell] \notin \mathbf{R}_{\ell, \ell} \right] dZ \\
&\leq \left(\int V(Z)\mathbb{E}_{0,Z} \left[e^{p\beta^2 \int_0^\ell V(B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)}) ds} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dZ \right) \left(\int V(Z)\mathbb{P}_0 \left(B^{(1)}[0, \ell] \notin \mathbf{R}_{\ell, \ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dZ \right), \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (Recall the notation (2.16))

For the last term, by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(z) \leq \mathcal{Z}_\ell(z)$, the uniform integrability of $\mathcal{Z}_\ell(0)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(Z)$ and the dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z) \left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) - \left(\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z)\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a}\right) \right) dZ \right] \\
&\leq \int_{\text{Supp}V} V(Z)\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z); \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}z+Z) > T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right] dZ \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (4.27).

(Step 3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta^2 \int \rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \check{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) dz \\
&\approx_{L^1} \beta^2 \int \rho_\sigma(z-x)\rho_\sigma(z-y)\bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\check{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) \right] dz \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.4 with (4.27) and

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{V}_T(z)] \rightarrow \int \beta^2 V(Z)\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Z}_\infty(0)\mathcal{Z}_\infty(Z)] dZ = \gamma^2(\beta).$$

Since $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_1), \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_2)) = 0$ unless $|z_1 - z_2| < C_\phi T^{a-\frac{1}{2}}$ (for some constant C_ϕ depending of the size of the support of ϕ), for each $\tau_0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int \rho_\sigma(z-x) \rho_\sigma(z-y) \left(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) - \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z) \right] \right) \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z)^2 dz \right)^2 \\ &= \iint \rho_\sigma(z_1-x) \rho_\sigma(z_1-y) \rho_\sigma(z_2-x) \rho_\sigma(z_2-y) \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z_1)^2 \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z_2)^2 \text{Cov}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_1), \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_2)) dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= \iint_{|z_1-z_2| \leq C_\phi T^{a-\frac{1}{2}}} \rho_\sigma(z_1-x) \rho_\sigma(z_1-y) \rho_\sigma(z_2-x) \rho_\sigma(z_2-y) \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z_1)^2 \bar{u}(t-\sigma, z_2)^2 \text{Cov}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_1), \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z_2)) dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \leq CT^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{2\sigma}(x-y) \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(0)^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

where in the last line we have used the spatial shift invariance of $\mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(z)^2 \right]$ and the boundedness of $u(t-\sigma, x)$, $\rho_\sigma(x)$ for $\tau_0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, it is enough to show that

$$T^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(0)^2 \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

We have from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$T^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_T(0)^2 \right] \leq \int V(Z) dZ \int V(Z) T^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left\{ \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(0) \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(Z) \right\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right)^2 \right] dZ.$$

Since $T^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left\{ \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(0) \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(Z) \right\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right)^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Z}_\ell(0) \mathcal{Z}_\ell(Z)] \leq \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Z}_\infty(0)^2]$, it is enough to show that for each $Z \in \text{Supp}V$,

$$T^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left\{ \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(0) \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(Z) \right\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right)^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

This follows from Lemma 3.2 and uniform integrability of $\{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell_T}(0) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell_T}(Z) : T \geq 0\}$. \blacksquare

We are now ready to complete the proof of Proposition 4.3 by proving Lemma 4.5 but the proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 4.4, so we will only show the strategy.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y)\Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& \approx_{L^1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y) \left(\left\{ \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) \Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& \approx_{L^1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y)\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left\{ \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right\} \wedge T^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) \right] \Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& \sim \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)f(y)\mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& = \iint_{|x-y| \leq C_\phi T^{a-\frac{1}{2}}} f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& + \iint_{|x-y| > C_\phi T^{a-\frac{1}{2}}} f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x) \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\
& \rightarrow \iint f(x)f(y)\Psi(x,y) \, dx \, dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $a_n \sim b_n$ when $a_n - b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we have used the same argument in (4.27) in the first approximation, (4.29) in the second approximation, and uniform integrability of $\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y)\}_{T \geq 0}$ follows from (4.25) in the third approximation, and the last convergence follows from $\mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Z}_\infty] = 1$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x)\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Z}_\infty^2] < \infty$. \blacksquare

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2.6 (1-dimensional in time)

When $u_0 \equiv 1$ in (4.7), we have for all $\tau \in [0, \infty)$:

$$W_\tau^{(T)}(f) = Z_\tau^{(T)}(f) = T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int f(x) \left(\mathcal{Z}_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - 1 \right) dx. \quad (4.33)$$

We have seen in Section 4.2 (see also Section 4.1) that for all $\tau \geq 0$, $Z_\tau^{(T)}(f) \xrightarrow{(d)} Z_\tau(f)$ where the latter quantity is a centered Gaussian variable of variance

$$\gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^\tau \iint f(x)f(y)\rho(2\sigma, x-y) \, d\sigma \, dx \, dy. \quad (4.34)$$

When $\tau = \infty$, this last quantity is also the variance of $\mathcal{H}(f) := \int f(x)\mathcal{H}(x) \, dx$, where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is the Gaussian free field defined in (2.7). Note that it is equally the variance of $\mathcal{W}_2(t, f) = \int f(x)\mathcal{W}_2(t, x) \, dx$ from (2.6).

Now, we define $Z_\infty^{(T)}(f)$ by formula (4.33) when $\tau = \infty$, and $Z_\infty(f)$ to be the centered Gaussian variable of variance given by (4.34) when $\tau = \infty$. Since $u_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, \cdot) \stackrel{(d)}{=} Z_\infty(\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot)$ for all $t \geq 0$, we see that one-dimensional time convergences in Theorem 2.6 is proven if we can justify that $Z_\infty^{(T)}(f) \xrightarrow{(d)} Z_\infty(f)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (note that this also implies Corollary 2.7).

To this end, it is enough to show that the following (commutative) diagram holds [B99, Theorem 3.2]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_\tau^{(T)}(f) & \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} & Z_\tau(f) \\ L^2, \text{ unif. in } T \geq 1 \downarrow \tau \rightarrow \infty & & (d) \downarrow \tau \rightarrow \infty \\ Z_\infty^{(T)}(f) & & \mathcal{H}(f) \end{array}$$

Indeed, we already mentioned above that we know that the first line convergence holds. By convergence of the variance, the Gaussian variable $Z_\tau(f)$ converges as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ to $\langle \mathcal{H}, f \rangle$. So what is left to prove is the uniform convergence; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_\tau^{(T)}(f) - Z_\infty^{(T)}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} &\leq T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int \left\| \mathcal{Z}_{\tau T}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \|\mathcal{Z}_{\tau T}(0) - \mathcal{Z}_\infty(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}, \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes uniformly in $T \geq 1$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ since $\|\mathcal{Z}_\tau - \mathcal{Z}_\infty\|_{L^2} = O(\tau^{-\frac{d-2}{4}})$, which follows for example from (5.22) (recall that $\mathcal{W}_\tau = \mathcal{Z}_\tau$ when $u_0 = 0$), see also estimate (3.5) in [CCM19b].

4.4 Multidimensional convergence in the EW limits for SHE

For ease of notation, we present the proof of multidimensional convergence in Theorem 2.5 for the flat initial condition case ($u_0 \equiv 1$), although the case of general initial conditions is obtain by the same considerations. Multidimensional case of Theorems 2.10 are obtained by commuting limits $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ limit as in Section 4.3.

4.4.1 Preliminaries

Let $t > 0$ and set $u_0 \equiv 1$. We directly see from (1.6) that for all $0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_n = t$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_\varepsilon(s_1, x_1), \dots, u_\varepsilon(s_n, x_n)) \\ &= \left(\mathcal{Z}_{T(t-s_1), Tt}(\sqrt{T}x_1, \xi^{(\varepsilon, t)}), \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{T(t-s_n), Tt}(\sqrt{T}x_n, \xi^{(\varepsilon, t)}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

where for any $0 \leq U \leq T$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{U, T}(X; \xi) &= E[\Phi_{U, T}(B) | B_U = X], \quad \text{with} \\ \Phi_{U, T}(B) &= \exp \left\{ \beta \int_U^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(B_s - y) \xi(s, y) ds dy - \frac{\beta^2}{2} (T - U) V(0) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Therefore, since $\xi^{(\varepsilon, t)} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \xi$, the proof reduces to showing that for all $t > 0$, jointly for finitely many $u \in [0, t]$ and $f \in C_c^\infty$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,³

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int f(x) \left(\mathcal{Z}_{Tu, Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) - 1 \right) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \int f(x) \mathcal{U}_1(t - u, x) dx, \quad (4.37)$$

³When dealing with both joint convergence in t and f , we need to properly prove joint convergence for different f since the Cramer-Wold device will not be enough in this case

We further define, for $u \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, the process

$$(Z^{(T)}(u, f)) : \tau \in [0, \infty) \rightarrow \begin{cases} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\mathcal{Z}_{Tu, T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - 1 \right) dx & \text{if } \tau \geq u, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau < u. \end{cases} \quad (4.38)$$

Then, for all $u \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, $\tau \rightarrow Z_\tau^{(T)}(u, f)$ is a continuous martingale and such that $Z_0^{(T)}(u, f) = 0$. In view of the desired convergence (4.37), we have again in mind the functional CLT for martingales [JS87, Theorem 3.11], so we are interested in the limit of the cross-bracket $\langle Z^{(T)}(u_1, f_1), Z^{(T)}(u_2, f_2) \rangle_\tau$. We have:

Proposition 4.7. *For all test functions f_1 and f_2 in \mathcal{C}_c^∞ and $0 \leq u_2 \leq u_1 \leq t$, for all $\tau \geq u_1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Z^{(T)}(u_1, f_1), Z^{(T)}(u_2, f_2) \rangle_\tau \\ & \xrightarrow{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^{\tau-u_1} \rho(2\sigma + (u_1 - u_2), x - y) d\sigma \right) f_1(x) f_2(y) dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\text{Cov}(\mathcal{W}(t - u_1, x), \mathcal{W}(t - u_2, y)) = \gamma^2(\beta) \int_0^{t-u_1} \rho(2\sigma + (u_1 - u_2), x - y) d\sigma.$$

Proof. First observe that by a simple shift in time, we can again restrict ourselves to showing the lemma when $u_2 = 0$. Then, for all $\tau \geq u$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z^{(T)}(u, f_1), Z^{(T)}(0, f_2) \rangle_\tau &= \beta^2 \int_u^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f_1(x) f_2(y) dx dy \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(Z) \rho_{\sigma-u}(z-x) \rho_\sigma(z-y + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \mathbb{E}_{Tu, \sqrt{T}x}^{T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z} [\Phi_{Tu, T\sigma}] \mathbb{E}_{0, \sqrt{T}y}^{T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z+Z} [\Phi_{T\sigma}] dz dZ. \end{aligned}$$

By a repetition of the arguments that lead to (4.15) (namely (4.10)-(4.14), with in particular Lemma 4.4 and the proof of Proposition 4.3), we find that

$$\langle Z^{(T)}(u, f_1), Z^{(T)}(0, f_2) \rangle_\tau \approx_{L^1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f_1(x) f_2(y) \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_{Tu, Tu+\ell}(\sqrt{T}x) \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}y) \right] \Theta_T(x, y) dx dy,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_T(x, y) &= \beta^2 \int_u^\tau \iint V(Z) \rho_{\sigma-u}(z-x) \rho_\sigma(z-y) \mathbb{E} \left[\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(\sqrt{T}z) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T\sigma, \ell}(\sqrt{T}z + Z) \right] dz dZ d\sigma, \\ &= \gamma^2(\beta) \int_u^\tau \rho_{2\sigma-u}(x-y) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.39) when $u_2 = 0$. ■

4.4.2 Concluding lines for the multidimensional case

We show here the desired convergence (4.37), which also writes

$$T \rightarrow \infty, \quad Z_t^{(T)}(u, f) \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{W}_1(t-u, x) dx \quad \text{jointly in } u \in [0, t], f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty, \quad (4.40)$$

We first observe that (4.39) holds for all $0 \leq u_2 \leq u_1 \leq t$, while if $0 \leq \tau < u_1$, the cross-bracket $\langle Z^{(T)}(u_1, f_1), Z^{(T)}(u_2, f_2) \rangle_\tau$ is null and converges trivially to zero. Then, we define the quantity $C_\tau(u_1, u_2, f_1, f_2)$ to be equal to the RHS of (4.39) when $\tau \geq u_1$ and set it to 0 when $\tau < u_1$. We also define $C_\tau(u_1, u_2, f_1, f_2)$ when $u_1 > u_2$ to be $C_\tau(u_2, u_1, f_1, f_2)$.

Therefore, by the multidimensional functional central limit for martingales ([JS87, Theorem 3.11]), we obtain that the family of continuous martingales $(Z^{(T)}(u, f))_u$ converges towards the family of Gaussian continuous martingales $(\tau \rightarrow (G_\tau(u, f))_u)$, whose covariance structure is given by $C_\tau(u_1, u_2, f_1, f_2)$, where this convergence holds in terms of continuous processes in τ and jointly for finitely many $u \in [0, t]$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$.

Hence, (4.40) follows from letting $\tau = t$ in this convergence and by observing that there is equality in law between the centered Gaussian families $G_t(u, f)_{u \in [0, t], f}$ and $(\int f(x) \mathcal{U}_1(t-u, x) dx)_{u \in [0, t], f}$ since they have the same covariance structure (recall that we have set $u_0(\cdot) \equiv 1$).

5 Proofs of the Edwards-Wilkinson limits for KPZ

We use a constant $C > 0$ depending on d and u , which may change from line to line. Recall that

$$\mathcal{W}_\tau(x) = \mathcal{W}_{\tau, t, T}(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\Phi_\tau u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right],$$

and note that for fixed t ,

$$h_\varepsilon(t, x) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \log \mathcal{W}_{tT}(\sqrt{T}x). \quad (5.1)$$

Since u_0 is both bounded and bounded away from 0, both $|u_0^{-1}|_\infty$ and $|u_0|_\infty$ are finite and

$$|u_0^{-1}|_\infty^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_\tau(x) \leq \mathcal{W}_\tau(x) \leq |u_0|_\infty \mathcal{Z}_\tau(x).$$

Hereafter, we use this without any comment.

For fixed $t > 0$, we define a martingale increment $d\overline{M}_\tau(x)$ (we omit the superscript T and t to make notation simple) as

$$\begin{aligned} & d\overline{M}_\tau(x) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\bar{u}(t, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(B_\tau - b) \xi(d\tau, db) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{t, \ell(T)}(B_\tau) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\bar{u}(t, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(d\tau, db) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \phi(z-b) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{t, \ell(T)}(z) \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for $\tau \in [\ell(T), tT]$ with $\ell(T) = T^a$, $a \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ for $T > 0$ large enough.

5.1 Structure of the proof for 1-dimensional time convergence in time

Itô's decomposition gives

$$\log \mathcal{W}_\tau(x) = \overline{H}_\tau(x) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{H}(x) \rangle_\tau \quad (5.3)$$

with $t \rightarrow \bar{H}_\tau(x)$ being the martingale satisfying $\bar{H}_0(x) = 0$ and

$$d\bar{H}_\tau(x) = \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)}{\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)}. \quad (5.4)$$

The main idea of our approach is to rely again on the central limit theorem for martingales and show that:

Proposition 5.1. *For any test function f , as $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \bar{H}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{U}_3(t, f), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_3(t, f) = \int f(x) \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) dx$ with $\mathcal{U}_3(t, x)$ from (2.9).

Then, we will prove that the Itô correction can be neglected in the limit:

Proposition 5.2. *For all $t > 0$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\langle \bar{H}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_{Tt} - \mathbb{E} \langle \bar{H}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_{Tt} \right) \xrightarrow{L^1} 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 combined with equations (5.1) and (5.3) imply Theorem 2.8. The proofs of the results for the GFF limit and the EW limits are then concluded as in Section 5.3 (or for the multi-time correlation see Section 5.4).

The proof for Proposition 5.1 follows the martingale strategy of Section 4. This time, because of the presence of \mathcal{W}_τ in the denominator of (5.4), the quadratic variation of H is slightly more delicate to deal with compared to the previous sections and we wish to replace H in (5.5) by another martingale with no denominator. To do so, by the local limit theorem and a homoginazation, one may expect

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_\tau(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\Phi_\tau u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \mathbb{E}_{0,x}^{\tau,z}[\Phi_\tau] \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(t)}(x) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{t,\ell(T)}(z) \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz \\ &\approx \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(t)}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \mathbb{E} \left[\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{t,\ell(T)}(z) \right] \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz = \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(t)}(x) \bar{u}(t, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one may observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)}{\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)} &= \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(d\tau, db) \mathbb{E}_x \left[\phi(B_\tau - b) \Phi_\tau u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{W}_\tau(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(d\tau, db) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \phi(z-b) \mathbb{E}_{0,x}^{\tau,z}[\Phi_\tau] \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz \\ &\approx \frac{\beta}{\bar{u}(t, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(d\tau, db) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\tau(z-x) \phi(z-b) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{\tau,\ell(T)}(z) \mathbb{E}_z \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\tau}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz \\ &= d\bar{M}_\tau(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the local limit theorem in the third line. Hence $d\bar{H}_\tau(x)$ may be replaced by $d\bar{M}_\tau(x)$. In fact, we precisely show that

Proposition 5.3. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, for all $t > 0$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\overline{H}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) - \overline{M}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \right) dx \right| \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\overline{M}_\tau(x) := \int_{T^{1-\varepsilon}}^\tau d\overline{M}_s(x).$$

The following proposition will conclude the proof of Proposition 5.1:

Proposition 5.4. For ε small enough, for any test function f , as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{M}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) dx \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{U}_3(t, f). \quad (5.7)$$

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Let $0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t$. Thanks to Lemma 4.4, we know that as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{T\tau_0}^{T\tau} d \left\langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}x), \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}y) \right\rangle_s \\ &= T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \frac{\beta^2}{\bar{u}(t, x)\bar{u}(t, y)} \int_{T\tau_0}^{T\tau} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \rho_s(z_1 - \sqrt{T}x) \rho_s(z_2 - \sqrt{T}y) V(z_1 - z_2) \\ & \quad \times \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{s, \ell(T)}(z_1) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{s, \ell(T)}(z_2) \mathbb{E}_{z_1} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_t T}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_{z_2} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_t T}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] dz_1 dz_2 ds \\ & \xrightarrow{L^1} \frac{\gamma^2(\beta)}{\bar{u}(t, x)\bar{u}(t, y)} \int_{\tau_0}^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\sigma(x - z) \rho_\sigma(y - z) \bar{u}(t - \sigma, z)^2 dz. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Therefore, again by the functional martingale central limit theorem, we find that the centered martingale $(T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} (\overline{M}_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - \overline{M}_{T\tau_0}(\sqrt{T}x)))_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t}$ converges in law (after integration with respect to a test function) to a Gaussian process with covariance given by the RHS of (5.8). Moreover, by proof of Lemma 4.1, we have as $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{\tau_0 \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int f(x) \overline{M}_{T\tau_0}(\sqrt{T}x) dx \right)^2 \right] = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

Considering that when $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\tau = t$, the RHS of (5.8) is exactly the covariance function of the Gaussian process $\bar{u}(t, x)^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1(t, x)$, we thus obtain that (5.7) holds with $\mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \bar{u}(t, x)^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1(t, x)$. Let us now justify why defined this way, $\mathcal{U}_3(t, x)$ is a solution of the SPDE (2.9).

Since $\mathcal{U}_1(t, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{U}_1(t, x) f(x) dx$ satisfies in a distributional sense,

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U}_1(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{U}_1(t, x) + \bar{u}(t, x) \xi(t, x),$$

then $\mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \bar{u}(t, x)^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1(t, x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Leftrightarrow \partial_t [\bar{u}(t, x) \mathcal{U}_3(t, x)] = \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\bar{u}(t, x) \mathcal{U}_3(t, x)] + \bar{u}(t, x) \xi(t, x) \\ & \Leftrightarrow \bar{u}(t, x) \partial_t \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{u}(t, x) \Delta \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \nabla \bar{u}(t, x) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \bar{u}(t, x) \xi(t, x). \\ & \Leftrightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \nabla \log \bar{u}(t, x) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U}_3(t, x) + \xi(t, x). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

■

The next section is divided in two parts: one is dedicated to the proof of Proposition 5.3 (which in turn will conclude the proof of Proposition 5.1), and one to the proof of Proposition 5.2.

5.2 Elements of proof

We denote by $\langle M \rangle'_s := \frac{d\langle M \rangle_s}{ds}$ and $\langle M, N \rangle'_s := \frac{d\langle M, N \rangle_s}{ds}$ the derivatives of quadratic variation and the cross-bracket of continuous martingale M and N in this section.

5.2.1 Surgery of martingales (proof of Proposition 5.3)

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *For all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{T^{1-\varepsilon}} \int f(x) \frac{d\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} dx \right)^2 \right] = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. We begin by making a few observations that we will use repeatedly in the following. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define the event

$$A_s(x) = \{\mathcal{Z}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^{-1} \leq T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}\}.$$

By Theorem 1.3 in [CCM20], we know that \mathcal{Z}_∞ admits all negative moments. Hence by Doob's inequality applied to the submartingale $(\mathcal{Z}_s^{-1})_{s \geq 0}$, we find using shift invariance that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, for sufficiently large T ,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{s \geq 0} \mathbb{P}(A_s(x)^c) \leq T^{-2d}. \quad (5.12)$$

Moreover, observe that for all x, y , almost-surely

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x) \mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}y) \right)^{-1} \left\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \right\rangle'_s \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x, \sqrt{T}y}^{\otimes 2} \left[\Phi_s^{(1)} \Phi_s^{(2)} V(B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)}) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(1)} \right) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(2)} \right) \right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x, \sqrt{T}y}^{\otimes 2} \left[\Phi_s^{(1)} \Phi_s^{(2)} u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(1)} \right) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(2)} \right) \right]} \leq \|V\|_\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

while expanding as in (4.4) and thanks to (4.17), we have for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_s &\leq CT^{-d/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} V(Z) \rho_{\frac{s}{T}}(z-x) \rho_{\frac{s}{T}}(z+T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z-y) dz dZ \\ &= CT^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(Z) \rho_{\frac{2s}{T}}(x+T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z-y) dZ, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

for some finite $C = C(\beta, \|u_0\|_\infty)$.

Now, from the last expression, we see that there is $C = C(\beta, \|f\|_\infty, \|f\|_1, \|u_0\|_\infty, \|V\|_1)$ which is finite and such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{T^{1-\varepsilon}} \int f(x) f(y) d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle_s dx dy \right] \leq CT^{-\frac{d-2}{2}-\varepsilon}, \quad (5.15)$$

(in fact this is (4.18) with $\tau_0 = T^{-\epsilon}$). Therefore, the expectation in (5.11) equals and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{T^{1-\epsilon}} \int f(x)f(y) \frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}y)} dx dy \right] \\
& \leq T^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} \int_0^{T^{1-\epsilon}} \int f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x), \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_s \right] dx dy \\
& \quad + \|V\|_\infty \int_0^{T^{1-\epsilon}} \int f(x)f(y) \mathbb{P}(A_s(x)^c \cup A_s(y)^c) dx dy ds \\
& \leq CT^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}-\epsilon} + CT^{-2d+1} = o(T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Recall $d\bar{M}_s$ from (5.2) and define

$$dL_s(z) = \bar{u}(t, z/\sqrt{T}) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} d\bar{M}_s(z). \quad (5.16)$$

Lemma 5.6. *If ϵ is sufficiently small depending on a and $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, for all $t > 0$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} \int f(x) \frac{d\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x) - dL_s(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} dx \right| = 0.$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} \int f(x) \frac{d\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x) - dL_s(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} dx \right| \\
& \leq \int f(x) \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} \frac{d(\mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) - L(\sqrt{T}x))_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} \right| dx \\
& \leq \int f(x) \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) - L(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality in the second inequality. Again by Theorem 1.3 in [CCM20] and Doob's inequality, we know that the variable $\sup_{\sigma \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_\sigma(\sqrt{T}x)^{-1}$ admits any moment. Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma \leq |u_0^{-1}|_\infty \mathcal{W}_\sigma$, the last expectation is further bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^{-1} \right) \left(\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) - L(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) - L(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling the definition in (4.16) of $\Gamma(s, z, x)$, the last expectation equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} V(z_1 - z_2) \rho_s(\sqrt{T}x, z_1) \rho_s(\sqrt{T}y, z_2) \mathbb{E}[\Gamma(s, z_1, \sqrt{T}x) \Gamma(s, z_2, \sqrt{T}x)] \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E}_{z_1} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_{z_2} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-s}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] ds dz_1 dz_2 \\
& = T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{T-\epsilon}^t \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} d\sigma dZ dz V(Z) \rho_\sigma(z) \rho_\sigma(z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \times \\
& \mathbb{E}[\Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z, \sqrt{T}x) \Gamma(T\sigma, \sqrt{T}z + Z, \sqrt{T}x)] \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}z} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\sigma}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}z+Z} \left[u_0 \left(\frac{B_{tT-\sigma}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \\
& \leq CT^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{T-\epsilon}^t \int d\sigma dZ dz V(Z) \rho_\sigma(z) \rho_\sigma(z + T^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z) \\
& \quad \times \left(\ell^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} + (1 + |z|^2) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|z|^2} (\ell T^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
& \leq CT^{-\alpha} T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_{T-\epsilon}^t \sigma^{-\frac{d}{2}} d\sigma \right) \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha > 0$ where we used in the first inequality the local limit theorem for polymers (Theorem B.1) and in the last inequality we used that $\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\sigma(x, y) \leq (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ and we have observed that

$$\int \rho_\sigma(z) (1 + |z|^2) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|z|^2} dz = \int e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2}} (1 + \sigma|y|^2) e^{\sigma c\frac{\ell}{T}|y|^2} dy \leq C$$

for T large enough and where $C = C(t) < \infty$ since $\sigma \leq t$.

Then for ϵ small enough, the RHS of (5.17) is negligible with respect to $T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}$, which by the previous displays implies the statement of the lemma. \blacksquare

The next lemma ends the proof of Proposition 5.3.

Lemma 5.7. *If ϵ is sufficiently small depending on a , for $t > 0$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} f(x) \left(\frac{dL_\sigma(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_\sigma(\sqrt{T}x)} - d\overline{M}_\sigma(\sqrt{T}x) \right) dx \right| = 0.$$

Proof. We compute as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} f(x) \frac{dL_s(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} - d\overline{M}_s(\sqrt{T}x) dx \right| \\
& \leq C \int f(x) \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} \frac{dL_s(\sqrt{T}x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} - d\overline{M}_s(\sqrt{T}x) dx \right| \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = C \int f(x) \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} - 1 \right) d\overline{M}_s(\sqrt{T}x) dx \right| dx \\
& \leq C \int f(x) \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{T^t} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)} - 1 \right)^2 d\langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] dx, \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Burkholder-Davis-Gundy in the last line. For ease of notation, we simply write $\mathcal{W}_s = \mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_s = \mathcal{Z}_s(\sqrt{T}x)$ in the proof. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the expectation inside the integral of (5.19) is further bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} \left| \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s} - 1 \right| \right) \left(\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} d\langle \bar{M}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s} - 1 \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{T^{1-\epsilon}}^{Tt} d\langle \bar{M}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Since

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s} - 1 = \mathcal{W}_s^{-1} (\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x) - \mathcal{W}_{Tt} + (\mathcal{W}_{Tt} - \mathcal{W}_s)),$$

the first term of (5.20) can be bounded from above as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x)}{\mathcal{W}_s} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} \mathcal{W}_s^{-2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} (\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)} \bar{u}(t, x) - \mathcal{W}_{Tt} + (\mathcal{W}_{Tt} - \mathcal{W}_s))^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \left[(\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \bar{u}(t, x) - \mathcal{W}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x))^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} (\mathcal{W}_{Tt} - \mathcal{W}_s)^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where we have used $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} \mathcal{W}_s^{-2} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_\infty^{-2}] < \infty$ by Doob's inequality and finite negative moments of \mathcal{Z}_∞ . With the $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\Gamma(s, x, y)$ notations from (2.16) and (4.16), the first term of (5.21) can be bounded from above as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[(\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \bar{u}(t, x) - \mathcal{W}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x))^2 \right] \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \bar{u}(t, x) - \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{Tt, \ell(T)}^\leftarrow(B_{Tt}) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{Tt}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ & \quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{W}_{Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{Tt, \ell(T)}^\leftarrow(B_{Tt}) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{Tt}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ & = 2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}^2] \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[(\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}(B_{Tt}) - 1) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{Tt}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ & \quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[\Gamma(Tt, \sqrt{T}x, B_{Tt}) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{Tt}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right]^2 \right] \\ & = 2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_{\ell(T)}^2] \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell(T)}(B_{Tt}) - \mathbb{E}[\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell(T)}(B_{Tt})]) u_0 \left(\frac{B_{Tt}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right] + O(T^{-\alpha}) \\ & \leq CP_0^{\otimes 2} \left(|B_{Tt}^{(1)} - B_{Tt}^{(2)}| \leq \ell(t) \right) + O(T^{-\alpha}) = O(T^{-\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

with some $\alpha > 0$ where we have used the local limit theorem for polymers (B.2) and (4.28). For the second term of (5.21), we use that by (5.14) there is a constant $C = C(\beta)$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{T\sigma} \right] \leq CT^{-d/2} \sigma^{-d/2}, \quad (5.22)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell(T) \leq s \leq Tt} (\mathcal{W}_{Tt} - \mathcal{W}_s)^2 \right] &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\ell(T)}^{Tt} d\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_s \right] \\ &\leq CT^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_{\frac{\ell(T)}{T}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(Z) \sigma^{-d/2} dZ d\sigma \\ &= O \left(T^{-\frac{(d-2)a}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the first term of (5.20) is bounded by $CT^{-\alpha'}$ with some $\alpha' > 0$ that depends on a . If ε is sufficiently small depending on a , (5.20) can be further bounded from above by

$$CT^{-\alpha'} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{T^{1-\varepsilon}}^{Tt} d\langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = o(T^{\frac{d-2}{4}}),$$

which we obtain thanks to (5.22) and the fact that there is a constant $C = C(\beta)$ such that for $s \in [\ell(T), Tt]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s \right] \leq C' \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s \right].$$

■

5.2.2 Reduction to a martingale (proof of Proposition 5.2)

Our goal is to prove that:

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_0^{tT} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2(\sqrt{T}x)} - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2(\sqrt{T}x)} \right] \right) dx \xrightarrow{L^1} 0. \quad (5.23)$$

The proof is composed of three steps. We first deal with the big s regime.

Lemma 5.8 (Step 1). *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(x) \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}^{tT} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} dx \right] = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

Proof. Recall that

$$A_s(x) = \{ \mathcal{Z}_s(x)^{-1} \leq T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}} \}.$$

Then, by (5.22) and (5.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}^{tT} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \right] &\leq CT^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}^{tT} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} ds + \|V\|_\infty \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}^{tT} \mathbb{P}(A_s(\sqrt{T}x)^c) ds \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} T^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)\frac{d-2}{2}} + CT^{-2d+1} \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} T^{-\frac{d-2}{4}} T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}\varepsilon} = o(T^{-\frac{d-2}{4}}). \end{aligned}$$

■

We now consider smaller s . The idea behind (5.23) for small s is that $\mathcal{Z}_s(x)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_s(x')$ decorrelate when x and x' are far away. To use this property, it is convenient to work with partition functions where the trajectories do not exit a ball of super diffusive scale. With the $\mathbb{R}_{\ell, T}(z)$ notation from (2.15), we thus define the event $F_s(x, B) = \{B[0, s] \subset \mathbb{R}_{\sqrt{s} \log T, s}(x)\}$ and denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[\Phi_s u_0(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{Tt}); F_s(x, B) \right]$. Recalling that:

$$\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s = \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{T}x} \left[\Phi_s^{(1)} \Phi_s^{(2)} V(B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)}) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(1)} \right) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(2)} \right) \right],$$

we also use the notation⁴:

$$\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(x) \rangle'_s = \mathbb{E}_x^{\otimes 2} \left[\Phi_s^{(1)} \Phi_s^{(2)} V(\mathcal{W}_s^{(1)} - \mathcal{W}_s^{(2)}) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(1)} \right) u_0 \left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{tT}^{(2)} \right); F_s^{(1)}(x), F_s^{(2)}(x) \right]$$

Hence, define $d\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(x) \rangle_s = \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(x) \rangle'_s ds$. Then, one can replace W by \widetilde{W} to prove (5.23):

Lemma 5.9. *For all $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left| \frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} - \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \right| dx ds \right] = 0.$$

Proof. The lemma is proven if we can show that the expectation of the integrand decays faster than for example T^{-2d} uniformly in t, x . For simplicity of notation, we write $\mathcal{W}_s = \mathcal{W}_s(\sqrt{T}x)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(\sqrt{T}x)$ etc. On the event $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \geq T^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} - \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2} \right| &= \left| \frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2 - \mathcal{W}_s^2) + (\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s - \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_s) \mathcal{W}_s^2}{\mathcal{W}_s^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2} \right| \\ &\leq |V|_{\infty} T^{\varepsilon} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2 - \mathcal{W}_s^2 \right| + T^{\varepsilon} \left| \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s - \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_s \right| \\ &\leq 2|V|_{\infty} T^{\varepsilon} \left| \mathcal{W}_s^2 - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} - \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2} \right| \right] \leq 2\|V\|_{\infty} T^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s^2 - \mathcal{W}_s^2 \right| \right] + \|V\|_{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \leq T^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}). \quad (5.25)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the first expectation on the RHS is bounded by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathcal{W}_s - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathcal{W}_s + \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{W}_s^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathcal{W}_s - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

while by Hölder's inequality for $p > 1$ such that $p\beta^2 < \beta_{L^2}^2$, there is a finite constant $C = C(p, \beta)$ verifying:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{W}_s - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \right|^2 &\leq 2\|u_0\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E}_0^{\otimes 2} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^s V(B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)}) ds}; F_s(0, B^{(1)})^c \right] \\ &\leq CP_0 \left(F_s(0, B^{(1)})^c \right)^{1-1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

where the last quantity goes to 0 faster than any power of T uniformly in $s > 0$.

⁴with a slight abuse of notation since $s \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s$ is not exactly a martingale

Furthermore, the second term of the RHS of (5.25) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \leq T^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_s \leq 2T^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}) + \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_s - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s \geq T^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Z}_s \leq 2|u_0^{-1}|_\infty T^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}) + T^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[|\mathcal{W}_s - \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s| \right], \\
&= O(T^{-2d}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

for all $k \geq 0$, where we have used Markov's inequality and where the first term of the second line controlled by (5.12), while the second term is dealt with by (5.26). ■

Lemma 5.10 (Step 2). *For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,*

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} - \mathbb{E} \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \right) dx ds \right)^2 \xrightarrow{L^1} 0.$$

Proof. Denote,

$$\Delta_s(x) := \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(x) \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(x)^2} - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(x) \rangle'_s}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_s(x)^2} \right]. \tag{5.28}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \Delta_s(\sqrt{T}x) dx ds \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} [\Delta_s(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_s(\sqrt{T}y)]| dx dy ds \\
&= T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int |f(x)f(y) \mathbb{E} [\Delta_s(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_s(\sqrt{T}y)]| \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \leq 2dT^{-\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\}} dx dy ds \\
&\leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \int_0^{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} T^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} T^{-\frac{d}{2}} s^{\frac{d}{2}} (\log T)^d ds + O(T^{-2d+1}) = o(T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the third line that $\Delta_s(\sqrt{T}x)$ is independent of $\Delta_s(\sqrt{T}y)$ whenever $|x-y| > 2dT^{-\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T$ and where in the last line we referred to (5.12) and (5.15) with $|\Delta_s(x)| \leq \|V\|_\infty$ a.s. to find that

$$\left| \mathbb{E} [\Delta_s(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_s(\sqrt{T}y)] \right| \leq CT^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} s^{-\frac{d}{2}} + CT^{-2d}, \tag{5.29}$$

for T large enough and let ε be small. ■

Lemma 5.11 (Step 3). *For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}^{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} - \mathbb{E} \frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} \right) dx ds \right)^2 \right] = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}^{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} - \mathbb{E} \frac{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_s}{\mathcal{W}_s^2} \right) dx ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &= 2 \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) f(y) \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)] dx dy ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)]$ above, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.12. *For all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and $s_1, s_2 \in [T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}]$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)] \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x - y| > T^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \\ T^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha} & \text{if } |s_2 - s_1| > T^{\frac{1}{4}} \text{ and } |x - y| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \\ T^{-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{1}{8}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.31)$$

We first prove Lemma 5.11 using the lemma above. Indeed, for ϵ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) f(y) \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}y)] dx dy \mathbf{1}_{\{|s_1 - s_2| > T^{\frac{1}{4}}\}} ds_1 ds_2 \\ & \leq T^{-\frac{d}{4}-\frac{1}{32}} \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) f(y) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x - y| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}\}} dx dy ds_1 ds_2 \\ & \leq T^{-(\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon)d} T^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha} T^{1+2\epsilon} = o(T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) f(y) \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)] dx dy \mathbf{1}_{\{|s_1 - s_2| \leq T^{\frac{1}{4}}\}} ds_1 ds_2 \\ & \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{1}{8}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) f(y) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x - y| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}\}} dx dy = o(T^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 5.11. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 5.12. The first line in the RHS of (5.31) is immediate from the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. The third line comes from (5.29). We now prove the second line. Recall that $\ell(T) = T^a$ with a small constant $a > 0$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}x) \Delta_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}}{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2} \right], \quad (5.32) \end{aligned}$$

where the second term in the RHS of (5.32) is $O(T^{-\frac{d}{2}+4\epsilon d}) \leq CT^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha}$ with some $\alpha > 0$ for sufficiently small ϵ . Next, we focus on the first term. Since $\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_{s_1} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_1}^{-2} \leq |V|_\infty$, we can safely exchange back $\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rangle'_{s_i} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{s_i}^{-2}$ with $\langle W \rangle'_{s_i} W_{s_i}^{-2}$ inside this expectation for $i = 1, 2$ (this has a cost decaying faster than any power of T , see the proof of Lemma 5.9). Now, recall $dL_s(z)$ from (5.16) and $\langle L(z) \rangle'_s := \frac{d}{ds} \langle L(z) \rangle_s$.

Then, by the error in LLT (Theorem B.1) and the proof of Lemma 5.6, for ε small, there is some $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s_2 \in [T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}]$ with T large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}|\langle \mathcal{W}(z) \rangle'_{s_2} - \langle L(z) \rangle'_{s_2}| \leq CT^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha},$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Thus, for $s_1 < s_2$ with $|s_2 - s_1| \geq T^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and ε small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2}; \mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y) \geq T^{-\varepsilon}, \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}y)}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)} \leq T^\varepsilon \right] + T^{-2d} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \frac{|\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2} - \langle L((\sqrt{T}y)) \rangle'_{s_2}|}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2}; \mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y) \geq T^{-\varepsilon} \right] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \frac{\langle L((\sqrt{T}y)) \rangle'_{s_2}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)^2}; \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}y)}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)} \leq T^\varepsilon \right] + T^{-2d} \\ & \leq \|V\|_\infty T^{2\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} [|\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle'_{s_2} - \langle L \rangle'_{s_2}|] \\ & \quad + CT^\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}y)}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)} \right)^2 \langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}; \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\ell(T)}(\sqrt{T}y)}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}(\sqrt{T}y)} \leq T^\varepsilon \right] + T^{-2d} \\ & \leq CT^{2\varepsilon} T^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha} + CT^{2\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_1}(\sqrt{T}x)^2} \cdot \langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2} \right] + T^{-2d} \\ & \leq CT^{2\varepsilon} T^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha} + CT^{4\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} [\langle \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{T}x) \rangle'_{s_1}] \mathbb{E} [\langle \overline{M}(\sqrt{T}y) \rangle'_{s_2}] + 2T^{-2d} \leq CT^{-\frac{d}{4}-\alpha'}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha' > 0$ and where in the first inequality we used Markov inequality and Lemma 5.13 below to get

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_{s_1} < T^{-\varepsilon}) + \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\ell(T)}}{\mathcal{W}_{s_2}} > T^\varepsilon\right) \leq O(T^{-2d}),$$

and in the last line, that $\mathcal{Z}_{s_1}^{-2} \langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle'_{s_1}$ and $\langle \overline{M} \rangle'_{s_2}$ are independent for $s_2 - s_1 > T^{\frac{1}{4}}$, with expectations of the later quantities being respectively bounded above by $CT^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} s_1^{-\frac{d}{2}} + T^{-2d}$ (via (5.15) and (5.27)) and $Cs_2^{-\frac{d}{2}}$. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.13. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_s}{\mathcal{Z}_t} \right)^k \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} (\mathcal{Z}_t)^{-k} \right] < \infty.$$

Proof. Recall:

$$\Phi_{s,t} = \exp \left(\beta \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(z - B_r) \xi(dr, dz) - \frac{\beta^2(t-s)V(0)}{2} \right).$$

We have defined the polymer measure as

$$d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_s} \rho_s(0, x) \mathbb{E}_{s,x}[\Phi_{s,t}] dx.$$

Since $d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s}$ is a probability measure, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{Z_s}{Z_t}\right)^k &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s} \mathbb{E}_{s,x}[\Phi_{s,t}]\right)^{-k} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s} (\mathbb{E}_{s,x}[\Phi_{s,t}])^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{t \geq s} \frac{Z_s}{Z_t} \right)^k \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s} \sup_{t \geq s} (\mathbb{E}_{s,x}[\Phi_{s,t}])^{-k} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mathbb{P}_x^{\beta,s} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq s} (Z_{t-s})^{-k} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} (Z_t)^{-k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Doob's submartingale inequality, we further see that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} (Z_t)^{-k} < \infty$ since $t \rightarrow (Z_t)^{-k}$ is a submartingale and by finite negative moments of the partition function. \blacksquare

5.3 Proof of Theorem 2.10 in the 1-dimensional case

Note that the proof in the 1-dimensional case already entails Corollary 2.11.

Define, for $\tau \in [0, \infty]$,

$$Y_\tau^{(T)} := T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int f(x) \left(\log Z_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E} \log Z_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) \right) dx, \quad (5.33)$$

and observe that when $h_0 \equiv 0$, then $W_\tau = Z_\tau$ and $\mathcal{U}_3(\tau, f) \stackrel{(d)}{=} Z_\tau(f)$, where the latter quantity is a Gaussian variable with variance given by (4.34). Hence, we know from Section 5 that for all $\tau \geq 0$, $Y_\tau^{(T)}(f) \xrightarrow{(d)} Z_\tau(f)$.

Recall that Gaussian free field $\mathcal{H}(f)$ has variance given by formula (4.34) when $\tau = \infty$ and that $h_\varepsilon^{stat}(t, \cdot) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \log Z_\infty(\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, one-dimensional time convergences in Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11 follow once we prove that $Y_\infty^{(T)}(f) \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathcal{H}(f)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Again, it is enough to prove that the following (commutative) diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\tau^{(T)}(f) & \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} & Z_\tau(f) \\ \mathbb{P}, \text{ unif. in } T \geq 1 \downarrow \tau \rightarrow \infty & & (d) \downarrow \tau \rightarrow \infty \\ Y_\infty^{(T)}(f) & & \mathcal{H}(f) \end{array}$$

The convergence in the first line is settled. By convergence of the variance, the Gaussian variable $Z_\tau(f)$ converges as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ to $\langle \mathcal{H}, f \rangle$. To prove the uniform convergence, we write that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |Y_\tau^{(T)}(f) - Y_\infty^{(T)}(f)| &\leq T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int 2\mathbb{E} \left| \log Z_{T\tau}(\sqrt{T}x) - \log Z_\infty(\sqrt{T}x) \right| |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_\infty T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} |\log Z_{T\tau}(0) - \log Z_\infty(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

We will show the following:

$$\sup_{T \geq 1} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} |\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty - \log \mathcal{Z}_{T\tau}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.34)$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} (\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty - \log \mathcal{Z}_{T\tau}) = T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \left(\int_{T\tau}^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{Z}_s}{\mathcal{Z}_s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{T\tau}^\infty \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle_s}{\mathcal{Z}_s^2} \right).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define

$$A_{\tau, T} = \left\{ \sup_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_s^{-1} \leq \tau^\varepsilon T^\varepsilon \right\}.$$

By the same argument as for (5.12), we know that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(A_{\tau, T}^c) \leq C\tau^{-2d}T^{-2d}$ and moreover that $\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathbb{E} |\log \mathcal{Z}_s|^2 < \infty$. Therefore by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sup_{T \geq 1} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[|\log \mathcal{Z}_\infty - \log \mathcal{Z}_{T\tau}| \mathbf{1}_{A_{\tau, T}^c} \right] \leq C\tau^{-d}.$$

On the other hand, thanks to (5.22),

$$T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{T\tau}^\infty \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle_s}{\mathcal{Z}_s^2} \mathbf{1}_{A_{\tau, T}} \right] \leq T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \tau^\varepsilon T^\varepsilon \int_{T\tau}^\infty \mathbb{E} d\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle_s \leq C\tau^{-\frac{d-2}{2} + \varepsilon} T^{-\frac{d-2}{4} + \varepsilon},$$

while by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{T\tau}^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{Z}_s}{\mathcal{Z}_s} \right| &\leq T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{T\tau}^\infty \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle_s}{\mathcal{Z}_s^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_s^{-2} \right]^{1/2} T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{T\tau}^\infty d\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle_s \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\tau^{-\frac{d-2}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

For ε small enough we finally obtain (5.34).

5.4 Multidimensional convergence in the EW limits for KPZ

To ease the presentation, we restrict ourselves to the case where $h_0 \equiv 0$, although a repetition of the argument would lead to the result for the general initial conditions that we have been considering. Note that in this case $\mathcal{U}_3 = \mathcal{U}_1$.

By identity (4.35), we see that what we need to show is that jointly for finitely many $u \in [0, t]$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, (see (4.36) for definitions)

$$\begin{aligned} &T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int f(x) \left(\log \mathcal{Z}_{Tu, Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \mathcal{Z}_{Tu, Tt}(\sqrt{T}x) \right] \right) dx \\ &\xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{U}_3(t-u, x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Following the same strategy as in Section 5.1, we are reduced to showing that

$$\overline{M}_\tau^{(T)}(u, f) \xrightarrow{(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mathcal{U}_3(\tau-u, x) dx, \quad \text{jointly in } u \in [0, \tau], f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty, \quad (5.35)$$

where (see (5.2) for definition)

$$\overline{M}_\tau^{(T)}(u, f) := T^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \int_{Tu \vee T^{1-\varepsilon}}^{T\tau} d\overline{M}_{\tau_1}(\sqrt{T}x) dx.$$

As in Section 4.4.2, convergence in (5.35) follows from convergence of the cross-brackets $(\overline{M}^{(T)}(u_1, f), \overline{M}^{(T)}(u_2, g))_\tau$ towards the RHS of (4.39) and the multidimensional functional central limit for martingales ([JS87, Theorem 3.11]). See the proof of Proposition 4.7. Note that convergence of the quadratic variation comes from the argument of Lemma 4.4, c.f. the proof of Proposition 5.4. Multidimensional convergence for the stationary case (Theorem 2.10) comes again via exchanging limits as in Section 5.3.

A Law of large numbers for Dirac

Similar arguments as in [CY] can be applied for the proof of Theorem 2.2 (Case of Dirac initial condition), that is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon-2t} \mathbf{E}_0^{\beta, \varepsilon^{-2}t} [f(\varepsilon B_{Tt} + x_0)] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\infty \mathbf{E}_{x_0} [f(B_t)].$$

This is an analogue of Theorem 5.1 in [CY06] and our proof is a modification. Let $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{W}}, \mathbf{P}_0)$ be the d -dimensional Wiener space:

$$\mathbb{W} := \{w \in C([0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d); w(0) = 0\}$$

with the σ -field $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{W}}$ defined as the smallest σ -field on \mathbb{W} for which the coordinate maps $t \mapsto w(t)$ are measurable for each $t \geq 0$.

The proof should be divided into several steps.

Lemma A.1. [CY06, Lemma 5.3, Proposition 4.1] *Let $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma[B_s : 0 \leq s \leq t]$ and $\mathcal{G}_\infty = \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_t$. For any $F \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_\infty^{\otimes 2}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, \infty}(F) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, t}(F) \\ \mathbf{P}_{0, (2)}^{\beta, \infty}(G) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, t} \right)^{\otimes 2}(G) \end{aligned}$$

exists a.s. Moreover, $\mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, \infty}$ is a probability measure on \mathcal{G}_t for any $t \geq 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{P}_{0, (2)}^{\beta, \infty}(G) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, \infty} \right)^{\otimes 2}(G) \right] \quad \text{for any } G \in \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_t^{\otimes 2} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{P}_{0, (2)}^{\beta, \infty}$ can be extended to the probability measure on $\mathcal{G}_\infty^{\otimes 2}$ we also denote by $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{P}_{0, \beta}^{\otimes 2}$ and we have

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{P}_{0, \beta}^{\otimes 2} \ll \mathbf{P}_0^{\otimes 2} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_\infty^{\otimes 2}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Proof. The existence of the limit $\mathbf{P}_0^{\beta, \infty}(F)$ follows from the martingale convergence theorem. We consider a non-negative submartingale

$$X_t = X_t(G) := \mathbf{P}_0^{\otimes 2} \left[\Phi_t(B, \xi) \Phi_t(B', \xi) 1_G(B, B') \right],$$

and its Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(G) &= P_0^{\otimes 2}(G) + M_t + A_t \\ M_t &= E_0^{\otimes 2} \left[\left(\int_0^t \Phi_s(B, \xi) d\Phi_s(B', \xi) + \int_0^t \Phi_s(B', \xi) d\Phi_s(B, \xi) \right) 1_G(B, B') \right] \\ A_t &= \beta^2 \int_0^t P_0^{\otimes 2} [V(B_s - B'_s) \Phi_s(B, \xi) \Phi_s(B', \xi) 1_G(B, B')] ds \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to see that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_t &\leq 4 \left\langle E_0^{\otimes 2} \left[\int_0^t \Phi_s(B, \xi) d\Phi_s(B', \xi) \right] \right\rangle_t \\ &\leq 4\beta^2 E^{\otimes 4} \left[\int_0^t V(B_s^{(3)} - B_s^{(4)}) \Phi_s(B^{(1)}, \xi) \Phi_s(B^{(2)}, \xi) \Phi_s(B^{(3)}, \xi) \Phi_s(B^{(4)}, \xi) ds \right] \\ &\leq C \sup_{u \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_u^4 \int_0^t I_s ds, \\ A_t &\leq \beta^2 \sup_{u \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_u^2 \int_0^t I_s ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_t = E_0^{\beta, t} [V(B_t - B'_t)]$. In the weak disorder phase, we have that

$$\{\mathcal{Z}_\infty < \infty\} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \left\{ \int_0^\infty I_t dt < \infty \right\}.$$

Thus, $X_t(G)$, as well as $(P_0^{\beta, t})^{\otimes 2}(G)$, converges \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Also, it follows from Markov property that for $G \in \mathcal{G}_t^{\otimes 2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(P_0^{\beta, s+t} \right)^{\otimes 2}(G) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{s+t}^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} P_0^{\otimes 2} [\Phi_t(W, \xi) \Phi_t(B', \xi) : G, B_t \in dx, B'_t \in dx'] (\theta_{t,x} \circ \mathcal{Z}_s) (\theta_{t,x'} \circ \mathcal{Z}_s) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{t,x}$ is the time-space shift of ξ . The dominated convergence theorem implies (A.1).

As in the proof of [CY06, Lemma 4.2], we can show (A.1) and (A.2) by proving that for any $\{G_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{G}_\infty^{\otimes 2}$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_0^{\otimes 2}(G_m) = 0$, we can prove

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[P_{0,(2)}^{\beta, \infty}(G_m) \right] = 0.$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} P_{0,(2)}^{\beta, \infty}$ can be extended to the probability measure on $\mathcal{G}_\infty^{\otimes 2}$ and (A.2) follows. \blacksquare

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 2.3 in several steps by following the proof of [CY06, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition A.2. *Suppose $\beta < \beta_c$. Then, we have for $G \in C_b(\mathbb{W}_1)$*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} P_{0,(2)}^{\otimes 2} [G(w^{(t)}, w'^{(t)})] = P_0^{\otimes 2}[G(w, w')],$$

where $\mathbb{W}_1 = C([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $w^{(t)} = \left(\frac{w_{st}}{\sqrt{t}} \right)_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \in \mathbb{W}_1$ is Brownian rescaling of $w \in \mathbb{W}$. In particular, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}_0^{\beta, \infty} [F(w^{(t)})] - \mathbb{E}_0 [F(w)] \right| \right] = 0.$$

Proof. This proposition follows from the same argument as the step 1 in the proof of [CY06, Proposition 5.2] and the latter part of the proof of [CY06, Theorem 5.1]. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The proof is almost the same as the one in [CY06, Proposition 5.2]. Let $\bar{F} \in C_b(\mathbb{W})$ with $\mathbb{E}[\bar{F}(w)] = 0$. Then, it is enough to show that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,t}[\bar{F}(w^{(t)})]\right|\right] \rightarrow 0$.

For each $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,t}(\bar{F}(w^{(t)}))\right|\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}_t^{\beta,t}[\bar{F}(w^{(t)}) - \bar{F}(w^{(t-s)})]\right|\right] + \|\bar{F}\|_\infty \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,t} - \mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,\infty}\|_{\mathcal{G}_u}\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}_0^{\beta,\infty}[\bar{F}(w^{(t-s)})]\right|\right], \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where $\|F\|_\infty = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{W}_1} |F(w)|$ is the uniform norm of $F \in C_b(\mathbb{W}_1)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = 2 \sup\{\mu(A) - \nu(A) : A \in \mathcal{G}_t\}$ is total variation for μ and ν with probability measures on $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{G}_t)$. Then, the last term converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by Proposition A.2.

Thus, we need to estimate the first term and the second term. The second term converges to 0 by a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [CY06] so we omit the proof with one remark: By Markov property of B_t ,

$$\mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,\infty}(A) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{t,x}[\Phi_t(B) : A] \mathcal{Z}_\infty^{(t,x)} dx,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^{(t,x)} = \mathcal{Z}_\infty(\xi_{(t,x)})$ is the time-space shift of \mathcal{Z}_∞ with $\xi_{(t,x)}(s,y) = \xi(s+t, x+y)$.

The first term in (A.3) is estimated as follows: For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$2 \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Z}_t < c) \|\bar{F}\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

so that we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{P}_0^{\beta,t}[\bar{F}(w^{(t)}) - \bar{F}(w^{(t-s)})]\right|\right] \\ &\leq 2 \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Z}_t < c) \|\bar{F}\|_\infty + c \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi_t \left|\bar{F}(w^{(t)}) - \bar{F}(w^{(t-s)})\right|\right]\right] \\ &\leq \epsilon + \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_0\left[\left|\bar{F}(w^{(t)}) - \bar{F}(w^{(t-s)})\right|\right] = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the pair $(w^{(t)}, w^{(t-s)})$ converges in law to the pair (B, B) where B is a standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d . Thus, Theorem 2.3 follows. \blacksquare

B Error term in the local limit theorem for polymers

Recall the definition of $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T,\ell}(z)$ in (2.14).

Theorem B.1. *There exists a positive constant c such that for all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$, there exist $C = C(\beta)$ and some $\delta = \delta(\beta) > 0$, such that for all positive ℓ, T verifying $\ell/T \leq \delta$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0, \sqrt{T}x}^{T, \sqrt{T}y}[\Phi_T] - \mathcal{Z}_\ell(\sqrt{T}x) \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T, \ell}(\sqrt{T}y) \right)^2 \leq C \ell^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} + C (1 + |x - y|^2) e^{c \frac{\ell}{T} (x-y)^2} \left(\frac{\ell}{T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark B.2. *Note that in addition to giving a control on the error term, the theorem states that the error term still vanishes for starting and terminal points that can be distant up to sub-linear scale (i.e. $\sqrt{T}|x - y| = o(T)$), provided that ℓ is chosen accordingly. This is a significant improvement compared to the result of [V06, S95]. Another slight improvement is that we can let ℓ go up to $o(T)$ instead of $o(T^{1/2})$.*

Although we follow in our proof the two main steps of [V06], some new arguments are needed in order to obtain good and uniform estimates on the error. The main difference in our approach is the use of uniform bound on the second moments of point-to-point partition functions.

B.1 First Step

Lemma B.3. *There exist a constant $C = C(\beta) > 0$ such that for all $T > 0$, uniformly for $\ell \leq \frac{T}{2}$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T, \sqrt{T}x}[\Phi_T] - \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T, \sqrt{T}x}[\Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell, T}] \right)^2 \right] \leq C \ell^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}.$$

Proof. Since $B_s^{(1)} - B_s^{(2)} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \sqrt{2}B_s$ for two independent brownian motions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T, \sqrt{T}x}[\Phi_T - \Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell, T}] \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,0} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^T V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} - e^{\beta^2 \int_0^\ell V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} e^{\beta^2 \int_{T-\ell}^T V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} \right]^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,0} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^T V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} \int_\ell^{T-\ell} V(\sqrt{2}B_t) dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The last expectation equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[\ell, T-\ell] \times \mathbb{R}^d} V(\sqrt{2}z) \frac{\rho_t(z) \rho_{T-t}(z)}{\rho_T(0)} \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{t,z} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^t V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} \right] \mathbb{E}_{0,z}^{T-t,0} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^{T-t} V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} \right] dz dt \\ &\leq \left(\sup_t \sup_{|z| \leq R} \mathbb{E}_{0,z}^{t,0} \left[e^{\beta^2 \int_0^t V(\sqrt{2}B_s) ds} \right] \right)^2 \int_\ell^{T-\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\sqrt{2}z) \frac{\rho_t(z) \rho_{T-t}(z)}{\rho_T(0)} dt dz, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

with $\text{supp}(V) \subset B(0, \sqrt{2}R)$ where the supremum on the last line is finite by the continuous analogue of [CN19, Lemma 3.1] (see also [V06, Corollary 3.8]). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\ell^{T-\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho_t(z) \rho_{T-t}(z)}{\rho_T(0)} V(\sqrt{2}z) dt dz \\ &\leq \|V\|_\infty \left(\int_\ell^{\frac{T}{2}} \frac{T^{\frac{d}{2}}}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}(T-t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T-\ell} \frac{T^{\frac{d}{2}}}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}(T-t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt \right) \\ &\leq 2\|V\|_\infty 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_\ell^{\frac{T}{2}} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} dt \leq C \frac{T^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(T-\ell)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \ell^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the statement of the lemma follows. \blacksquare

Define

$$A_{T,\ell,x} := \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell,T}] - \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T,\ell}(\sqrt{T}x). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

B.2 Second step

Lemma B.4. *There exists a positive constant c such that for all $\beta < \beta_{L^2}$ there exist positive constants $C = C(\beta)$ and some $\delta = \delta(\beta) > 0$ such that for all positive ℓ, T verifying $\ell/T \leq \delta$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E} [A_{T,\ell,x}^2] \leq C(|x|^2 + 1)e^{c\frac{\ell}{2T}|x|^2} \left(\frac{\ell}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $\rho(s, y; t, x) = \frac{\rho_s(y)\rho_{t-s}(x-y)}{\rho_t(x)}$ denote the Brownian bridge density. Integrating over the middle point and using that $\rho_{T/2}(\sqrt{T}x) = T^{-\frac{d}{2}}\rho_{1/2}(x)$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell,T}] \\ &= T^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(T/2, \sqrt{T}y; T, \sqrt{T}x) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T/2,\sqrt{T}y} [\Phi_\ell] \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}] \, dy, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T/2,\sqrt{T}y} [\Phi_\ell] \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}] \, dy. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Hence by Jensen's inequality and the independence structure,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell,T}] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}] \, dy \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T/2,\sqrt{T}y} [\Phi_\ell] - \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}]^2 \, dy. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

We first see that by time-reversal invariance and (4.17),

$$\sup_{T,\ell \leq \frac{T}{2}, x,y} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}]^2 < \infty. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Then, we use (4.17) again with Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T/2,\sqrt{T}y} [\Phi_\ell] - \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int \rho_\ell(Z) \left(\frac{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}-\ell}(\sqrt{T}y - Z)}{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}}(\sqrt{T}y)} - 1 \right) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{\ell,Z} [\Phi_\ell] \, dZ \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \int \rho_\ell(Z_1) \rho_\ell(Z_2) \left| \frac{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}-\ell}(\sqrt{T}y - Z_1)}{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}}(\sqrt{T}y)} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}-\ell}(\sqrt{T}y - Z_2)}{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}}(\sqrt{T}y)} - 1 \right| \, dZ_1 \, dZ_2 \\ &= C \left(\int \rho_\ell(Z) \left| \frac{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}-\ell}(\sqrt{T}y - Z)}{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}}(\sqrt{T}y)} - 1 \right| \, dZ \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

for some $C = C(\beta)$.

Now, if we let $\delta = \ell/T$ and

$$F_\delta(B) = \frac{\rho_{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}(y - B_\delta)}{\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)} = (1 - 2\delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y - B_\delta|^2}{1-2\delta} + |y|^2},$$

we see via the heat kernel scaling property and identity $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}B_\ell \stackrel{(d)}{=} B_\delta$ that

$$\int \rho_\ell(Z) \left| \frac{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}-\ell}(\sqrt{T}y - Z)}{\rho_{\frac{T}{2}}(\sqrt{T}y)} - 1 \right| dZ = \mathbb{E}_0 [|F_\delta(B) - 1|]. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

By a straightforward computation, we further find that for δ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_0 [|F_\delta(B) - 1|] \\ & \leq (1 - 2\delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathbb{E}_0 \left| e^{(1-2\delta)^{-1}(-2\delta|y|^2 + 2\langle y, B_\delta \rangle - B_\delta^2)} - 1 \right| + |(1 - 2\delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}} - 1| \\ & \leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[e^{4|\langle y, B_\delta \rangle|} |-2\delta|y|^2 + 2\langle y, B_\delta \rangle - B_\delta^2| \right] + C\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $|e^x - 1| \leq e^{x \vee 0}|x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the last expectation is further bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E}_0 [e^{8|\langle y, B_\delta \rangle|}])^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[(-2\delta|y|^2 + 2\langle y, B_\delta \rangle - B_\delta^2)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C e^{c\delta|y|^2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (|y|^2 + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

for some positive constants C and c and δ small enough.

Coming back to (B.5), we obtain combining (B.6), (B.7) and (B.9) (recall $\delta = \ell/T$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_\ell \Phi_{T-\ell,T}] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}] dy \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\ell}{T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|y|^2} (|y|^2 + 1) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Then, if X_s denotes a Brownian bridge from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 0)$, the integral appearing on the RHS of (B.10) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{1,x} \left[(|B_{1/2}|^2 + 1) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|B_{1/2}|^2} \right] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{1,0} \left[(|X_{1/2} + x/2|^2 + 1) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|X_{1/2} + x/2|^2} \right] \\ & \leq 2e^{c\frac{\ell}{4T}x^2} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{1,0} [|X_{1/2}|^2 e^{\frac{\ell}{T}|X_{1/2}|^2}] + \left(\frac{|x|^2}{4} + 1 \right) \mathbb{E}_{0,0}^{1,0} [e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|X_{1/2}|^2}] \right) \\ & \leq C e^{c\frac{\ell}{4T}|x|^2} (|x|^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

for T large enough.

By the same strategy and translation invariance, we also get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \mathbb{E}_{T/2,\sqrt{T}y}^{T,\sqrt{T}x} [\Phi_{T-\ell,T}] dy - \mathbb{E}_0 [\Phi_\ell] \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{T,\ell}(\sqrt{T}x) \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\ell}{T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(1/2, y; 1, x) e^{c\frac{\ell}{T}|x-y|^2} (|x-y|^2 + 1) dy, \\ & \leq C e^{c\frac{\ell}{4T}|x|^2} (|x|^2 + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where we obtain the last line via the change of variable $z = x - y$ and the previous computation. Combining (B.10) and (B.11) ends the proof of the lemma. ■

Acknowledgments

We thank Nikos Ziguoras, Dimitris Lygkonis, Ofer Zeitouni and David Belius for interesting discussions and helpful suggestions. The work of S. Nakajima is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 19J00660 and SNSF grant 176918. C. Cosco acknowledges that this project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 692452). We also thank Chiranjib Mukherjee for bringing to our attention the work [LZ20].

References

- [AKQ14] T. ALBERTS, K. KHANIN and J. QUASTEL, The intermediate disorder regime for directed polymers in dimension $1+1$, *Ann. Probab.* **42** (2014) 1212-1256
- [AKQ14b] T. ALBERTS, K. KHANIN and J. QUASTEL, The continuum directed random polymer, *J. Stat. Phys.* **154** (2014) 305-326
- [BC20] E. BATES, S. CHATTERJEE The endpoint distribution of directed polymers, *Ann. Probab.* Volume 48, Number 2 (2020), 817-871.
- [BT10] Q. BERGER and F. TONINELLI, On the critical point of the random walk pinning model in dimension $d = 3$, *Elect. J. Prob.* **15**, 654-683, (2010).
- [BG97] L. BERTINI and N. CANCRINI, The stochastic heat equation: Feynman-Kac formula and intermittence, *J. Statist. Phys.* **78**(5-6):1377-1401, (1995).
- [B99] P. BILLINGSLEY: Convergence of probability measures, Second. New York : John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1999 *Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. ISBN 0-471-19745-9
- [B89] E. BOLTHAUSEN, A note on the diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123**(4), 529-534 (1989)
- [BGH11] M. BIRKNER, A. GREVEN and F. DEN HOLLANDER, Collision local time of transient random walks and intermediate phases in interacting stochastic systems, *Elec. J. Probab.* **16**, 552-586, (2011)
- [BS10] M. BIRKNER and R. SUN, Annealed vs quenched critical points for a random walk pinning model, *Ann. Henri Poinc.*, Prob. et Stat., Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 414-441, (2010).
- [BS11] M. BIRKNER and R. SUN, Disorder relevance for the random walk pinning model in dimension 3, *Ann Henri Poincar.* Prob. et Stat., Vol. 47, No. 1, pp. 259-293, (2011)

- [BM19] Y. BRÖKER and C. MUKHERJEE, Localization of the Gaussian multiplicative chaos in the Wiener space and the stochastic heat equation in strong disorder, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 29, 6 (2019), 3745-3785.
- [CSZ17a] F. CARAVENNA, R. SUN and N. ZYGOURAS, Polynomial chaos and scaling limits of disordered systems, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 19 (2017), 165
- [CSZ17b] F. CARAVENNA, R. SUN and N. ZYGOURAS, Universality in marginally relevant disordered systems, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 27 (2017), 3050-3112.
- [CSZ18a] F. CARAVENNA, R. SUN and N. ZYGOURAS, On the moments of the (2+1)-dimensional directed polymer and stochastic heat equation in the critical window, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 3050-3112. to appear [arXiv:1808.03586](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.03586) (2018).
- [CSZ18b] F. CARAVENNA, R. SUN and N. ZYGOURAS, The two-dimensional KPZ equation in the entire subcritical regime, *Ann. Probab.* to appear, [arXiv:1812.03911v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.03911v3)
- [CSZ19] F. CARAVENNA, R. SUN and N. ZYGOURAS, The Dickman subordinator, renewal theorems, and disordered systems *Electron. J. Probab.* Volume 24 (2019), paper no. 101, 40 pp.
- [CH02] P. CARMONA and Y. HU, On the partition function of a directed polymer in a Gaussian random environment, *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields.*, **124** (2002) 431-457
- [CD18] S. CHATTERJEE and A. DUNLAP, Constructing a solution of the (2 + 1)-dimensional KPZ equation, *Ann. Probab.*, 48 (2020), no. 2, 10141055.
- [CL17] F. COMETS and Q. LIU, Rate of convergence for polymers in a weak disorder, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **455** (2017), 312-335
- [CN95] F. COMETS and J. NEVEU, The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model of spin glasses and stochastic calculus: the high temperature case, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **166** (1995), 349-364
- [C17] F. COMETS, Directed polymers in random environments, *Lect. Notes Math.* 2175, Springer, 2017.
- [CC18] F. COMETS and C. COSCO, Brownian Polymers in Poissonian Environment: a survey, [arXiv:1805.10899](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10899). (2018)
- [CCM20] F. COMETS, C. COSCO and C. MUKHERJEE, Renormalizing the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation in weak disorder in $d \geq 3$, *Journal of Statistical Physics.* (2020)
- [CCM19b] F. COMETS, C. COSCO and C. MUKHERJEE, Space-time fluctuation of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation in $d \geq 3$ and the Gaussian free field, [arXiv:1905.03200](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03200)
- [CN19] C. COSCO and S. NAKAJIMA, Gaussian fluctuations for the directed polymer partition function for $d \geq 3$ and in the whole L^2 -region, [arXiv:1903.00997](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.00997), (2019)
- [CY06] F. COMETS and N. YOSHIDA, Directed polymers in random environment are diffusive at weak disorder, *Ann. Probab.* 34 (2006), no. 5, 1746–1770.

- [DGRZ18b] A. DUNLAP, Y. GU, LENYA RYZHIK and OFER ZEITOUNI, The random heat equation in dimensions three and higher: the homogenization viewpoint, (2018), [arXiv:1808.07557](#)
- [DGRZ20] A. DUNLAP, Y. GU, LENYA RYZHIK and OFER ZEITOUNI, Fluctuations of the solutions to the KPZ equation in dimensions three and higher, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 176 (2020), no. 3-4, 12171258.
- [GIP15] M. GUBINELLI, P. IMKELLER and N. PERKOWSKI, Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs, *Forum Math. Pi*, 3:e6, 75, 2015.
- [GP18] M. GUBINELLI and N. PERKOWSKI, Energy solutions of KPZ are unique, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(2):427-471, (2018).
- [GQT19] Y. GU, J. QUADEL and L.C. TSAI, Moments of the 2D SHE at criticality, [arXiv:1905.11310](#), (2019).
- [GRZ18] Y. GU, L. RYZHIK and O. ZEITOUNI, The Edwards-Wilkinson limit of the random heat equation in dimensions three and higher, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **363** (2018), No. 2, pp. 351-388
- [G18] Y. GU, Gaussian fluctuations of the 2D KPZ equation, *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.* 8 (2020), no. 1, 150185.
- [H13] M. HAIRER, Solving the KPZ equation, *Annals of Mathematics* **178** (2013) 558–664
- [H14] M. HAIRER, A theory of regularity structures, *Inventiones mathematicae* **198:2** (2014) 269–504
- [IS88] J. IMBRIE and T. SPENCER, Diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment, *Journal of Statistical Physics.* 52(3-4), 609-626. (1988)
- [JS87] J. JACOD and A. SHIRYAEV, *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987)
- [KM17] A. KUPIAINEN and M. MARCOZZI, Renormalization of generalized KPZ equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **166** (2017) 876–902.
- [LZ20] D. LYGKONIS and N. ZYGOURAS. Edwards-Wilkinson fluctuations for the directed polymer in the full L^2 -regime for dimensions $d \geq 3$, (2020)
- [MU17] J. MAGNEN and J. UNTERBERGER, The scaling limit of the KPZ equation in space dimension 3 and higher, *Journal of Statistical Physics.* **171:4** (2018) 543-598
- [MSZ16] C. MUKHERJEE, A. SHAMOV and O. ZEITOUNI, Weak and strong disorder for the stochastic heat equation and the continuous directed polymer in $d \geq 3$, *Electr. Comm. Prob.* **21** (2016) 12 pp.
- [S95] Y. SINAI, A remark concerning random walks with random potentials, *Fund. Math.* **147** (1995) 173–180.

- [V06] V. Vargas, A local limit theorem for directed polymers in random media: the continuous and the discrete case, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Stat.* 42(5), 521-534 (2006)