Algebro-geometric integration of the Q1 lattice equation via nonlinear integrable symplectic maps

Xiaoxue Xu¹, Cewen Cao¹, Frank W Nijhoff²

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, PR

China

² Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK E-mail: frank@maths.leeds.ac.uk

Abstract

The Q1 lattice equation, a member in the Adler-Bobenko-Suris list of 3D consistent lattices, is investigated. By using the multidimensional consistency, a novel Lax pair for Q1 equation is given, which can be nonlinearised to produce integrable symplectic maps. Consequently, a Riemann theta function expression for the discrete potential is derived with the help of the Baker-Akhiezer functions. This expression leads to the algebro-geometric integration of the Q1 lattice equation, based on the commutativity of discrete phase flows generated from the iteration of integrable symplectic maps.

Keywords: Q1 lattice equation, integrable symplectic maps, Baker-Akhiezer functions, algebro-geometric solutions

1 Introduction

In this paper we construct algebro-geometric solutions of the Q1 quadrilateral lattice equation,

$$\Xi^{(0,2)} \equiv \beta_1^2 (\tilde{\bar{u}} - \tilde{u})(\bar{u} - u) - \beta_2^2 (\tilde{\bar{u}} - \bar{u})(\tilde{u} - u) + \delta^2 \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2 (\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2) = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$

denoted by the symbol $\Xi^{(j,k)}$ where j,k corresponds to the number of continuous and discrete variables respectively. In (1.1) we have adopted our preferred short-hand notation for lattice systems, i.e., partial difference equations for functions $u = u_{m,n}$ depending on two discrete independent variables $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, forming a regular lattice with coordinates $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and where elementary lattice shifts are denoted by $\tilde{u} = u_{m+1,n}, \bar{u} = u_{m,n+1}$. Equation (1.1) is a member in the well-known ABS (Adler-Bobenko-Suris) list of 3D consistent lattices [1], where β_1, β_2 are (lattice) parameters associated with the two lattice directions respectively, while δ is a fixed parameter. For $\delta \neq 0$ this equation first appeared in the classification of [1], but the case $\delta = 0$ (which we will denote by $(Q1)_0$) first appeared in |2| where, due to the appearance of the canonical cross ratio of four variables, it was identified as a lattice version of the Schwarzian Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation. In the previous papers, [3, 4], we constructed algebro-geometric solutions of the $(Q1)_0$ equation, using the method of symplectic maps arising from a nonlinearisation approach [5, 6]. The present paper considers the δ -parameter extension of that equation, which amounts to a significant departure from the $\delta = 0$ case, since in a sense it 'lifts' the equation away from the KdV related lattice equations, cf. e.g. [7], and towards what one could call the Krichever-Novikov (KN) class, on which we say a bit more below. As a consequence of the presence of this parameter the corresponding Lax pair is significantly more complicated than the KdV type lattice equations, and various other simplifying features disappear. Hence, also the nonlinearisation approach to the algebro-geometric solutions is significantly different from the one for the KdV class systems. With regard to explicit solutions of (1.1)relatively little is known so far: soliton solutions were constructed in [8], while elliptic type solutions were presented in [9], along with those of all members of the ABS list apart from the top equation Q4. Elliptic N-soliton type solutions of the latter equation (where the equation itself is defined over an elliptic curve) were constructed in [10]. So far, to our knwoledge, no explicit results exist about the algebro-geometric solutions of any of the δ -parameter equations in the ABS list for higher genus q > 1. The present paper forms the first step towards filling this lacuna in the theory.

The algebra-geometric approach we employ in the present paper to solve the Q1 lattice equation (1.1) uses a variant of the theory of finite-gap integration (see [11] and references therein) based on the construction of integrable symplectic maps in combination with the discrete version of the Liouville-Arnold theory [12–16]. In contrast to the approach presented in [17–19], which employs associated completely integrable Hamiltonian systems (in terms of an auxiliary continuous time-variable) for the integration of the lattice systems, in the present approach we circumvent the need for an associated continuous variable and use the discrete equation itself as the starting point. This, through the property of multidimensional consistency, cf. [7], provides the Lax pair, the commuting matrix operators, as well as the spectral curve associated with a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, in the details of the construction of solutions.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present the relevant Lax pair as

well as the underlying continuous systems associated with the quadrilateral lattice through continuum limits. In Section 3, based on two commuting operators, we obtain the relevant symplectic map, whose integrability is proved by using the r-matrix and (quasi-) Abel-Jacobi variables. As a consequence, the discrete flows are constructed via the iteration of integrable symplectic maps in Section 4. Moreover, the evolution of the potential along the discrete flows is inverted with the help of the Baker-Akhiezer functions. As a result, the algebro-geometric integration of the Q1 lattice equation is deduced by the commutativity of two discrete phase flows sharing the same invariants. We finish the paper with some conclusive remarks in Section 5.

2 Lax pairs and associated continuous equations

By the method of multidimensional consistency, cf. [7], a basic discrete spectral problem is derived directly from equation (1.1)

$$\tilde{\chi} = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)\chi, \quad \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) = \frac{1}{B} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda b & \lambda^2 \delta^2 \beta + \beta B^2 \\ \beta & \lambda b \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $B = (b^2 - \delta^2 \beta^2)^{1/2}$, $\beta b = \tilde{u} - u$. The factor *B* is there to avoid that non-trivial relations arise from the determinantal condition of the zero-curvature relation, and one way of guaranteeing that happens is by requiring that $\det \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) = \lambda^2 - \beta^2$ is a constant. The general form (2.1) of the Lax pair for quadrilateral lattice equations was given in [20], in the context of the one for the generic case of the lattice KN system, i.e., Q4 lattice equation. The latter contains as a special case the Q1 model, cf. also [21]. In the special case of the Q1 lattice equation (1.1) the zero-curvature representation adopts the form

$$\tilde{\chi} = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_1)} \chi \equiv \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_1)}(\lambda; b_1) \chi, \quad \text{with} \quad b_1 = (\tilde{u} - u)/\beta_1,$$

$$\bar{\chi} = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_2)} \chi \equiv \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_2)}(\lambda; b_2) \chi, \quad \text{with} \quad b_2 = (\bar{u} - u)/\beta_2.$$
(2.2)

In fact, computing the difference $\bar{\tilde{\chi}} - \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}$ yields the following identity:

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(\beta_1)}\mathcal{D}^{(\beta_2)} - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(\beta_2)}\mathcal{D}^{(\beta_1)} = \frac{\Xi^{(0,2)}}{\bar{B}_1\bar{B}_2B_1B_2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 S_1 + \beta_1\beta_2\bar{B}_1\bar{B}_2S_0 & \lambda^2\delta^2 S_2 + \lambda S_3 \\ \lambda S_2 & \lambda^2 S_1 - \beta_1\beta_2B_1B_2S_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.3)

in which $B_k = (b_k^2 - \delta^2 \beta_k^2)^{1/2}$, and where the quantities S_j are given by

$$\begin{split} S_0 &= -\frac{\tilde{b}_2 b_2 + \bar{b}_1 b_1 - \delta^2 (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)}{(\tilde{B}_2 B_2 + \bar{B}_1 B_1) \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}, \\ S_1 &= -\frac{\delta^2 (\bar{u} - \bar{u} - \bar{u} + u) (I_1 J_2 + I_2 J_1)}{(\tilde{B}_2 B_1 I_2 + \bar{B}_1 B_2 I_1) \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}, \\ S_2 &= -\frac{(\bar{u} - \bar{u} - \bar{u} + u) (I_1 J_2 + I_2 J_1)}{(\tilde{B}_2 B_1 J_2 + \bar{B}_1 B_2 J_1) \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}, \\ S_3 &= \frac{\delta^2 (\bar{u} - \bar{u} - \bar{u} + u)}{(\tilde{B}_2 B_1 K_2 + \bar{B}_1 B_2 K_1) \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2} [(I_1 K_2 + I_2 K_1) (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2) - (J_1 K_2 + J_2 K_1) (\bar{u} - u)], \end{split}$$

with

$$I_1 = \tilde{b}_2 b_1 + \delta^2 \beta_1 \beta_2, \quad J_1 = \beta_1 \tilde{b}_2 + \beta_2 b_1, \quad K_1 = \beta_1 \tilde{b}_2 B_1^2 + \beta_2 b_1 \tilde{B}_2^2,$$
$$I_2 = \bar{b}_1 b_2 + \delta^2 \beta_1 \beta_2, \quad J_2 = \beta_2 \bar{b}_1 + \beta_1 b_2, \quad K_2 = \beta_2 \bar{b}_1 B_2^2 + \beta_1 b_2 \bar{B}_1^2.$$

From equation (2.3) we conclude that the zero-curvature condition (implying $\bar{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}$) is satisfied iff $\Xi^{(2,0)} = 0$, i.e. if the Q1 lattice equation (1.1) holds for the function u, since generically at least one of the entries in the matrix on the right hand side of (2.3) is nonzero. Thus, the relation (2.3) forms a bridge between the discrete zero-curvature equation and the Q1 model.

Let us now consider continuum limits of the Q1 equation. A special sequel of continuum limits yield the following form for a semi-continuum and full continuum limit respectively,

$$\Xi^{(1,1)} \equiv \beta_1^2 \tilde{u}_x u_x - (\tilde{u} - u)^2 + \delta^2 \beta_1^4 = 0, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$\Xi^{(2,0)} \equiv u_y + \frac{1}{4} \left(u_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{xx}^2 - 4\delta^2}{u_x} \right) = 0.$$
 (2.5)

In fact, setting $\beta_2 = c_2 \varepsilon$, and $\bar{u}(x, y) = u(x + \beta_2, y - \beta_2^3/3)$, then as remains β_1 constant while $\beta_2 \to 0$, i.e. $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have the Taylor expansion

$$\Xi^{(0,2)} \equiv c_2^2 \varepsilon^2 \Xi^{(1,1)} + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

Furthermore, setting $\beta_k = c_k \varepsilon$, and $\tilde{u}(x, y) = u(x + \beta_1, y - \beta_1^3/3)$, and $\bar{u}(x, y) = u(x + \beta_2, y - \beta_2^3/3)$. As $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\Xi^{(0,2)} \equiv \frac{2}{3}u_x(c_1^2 - c_2^2)\varepsilon^2 \Xi^{(2,0)} + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

We note that equation (2.5) is a special case of the Krichever-Novikov equation, [22], which in its most general form is given by

$$u_y = u_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2u_x}(u_{xx}^2 - r(u)) + cu_x, \quad r^{(5)} = 0,$$
(2.6)

with r(u) an arbitrary quadratic polynomial with constant coefficients. V Adler, [23], discovered the following Bäcklund transformation for (2.6):

$$u_x v_x = h(u, v), \tag{2.7}$$

where h(u, v) is an arbitrary symmetric biquadratic polynomial, i.e., a polynomial of degree less than 3 in each variable. Furthermore, the nonlinear superposition principle for (2.7), i.e., the prumtability condition of the Bäcklund transform, in the most general, elliptic, form gives rise to the Q4 lattice equation, [21, 23]. In the more special case of the Q1 equation (2.7) coincides with the semicontinuous equation (2.4)) written as

$$u_x \tilde{u}_x = \beta_1^{-2} (\tilde{u} - u)^2 - \delta^2 \beta_1^2, \qquad (2.8)$$

by identifying $v = \tilde{u}$. The observation that the Bäcklund transformed quantity \tilde{u} can be identified with a lattice shift goes back to [24, 25]. On the level of the Lax pair, the semi-discrete zero curvature equation $\Lambda = \mathcal{D}_x^{(\beta)} - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)} + \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}\mathcal{U} = 0$, with \mathcal{U} given by

$$\partial_x \chi = \mathcal{U}(\lambda; u) \chi = \frac{1}{\lambda u_x} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^2 \delta^2 + u_x^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \chi, \tag{2.9}$$

gives rise to the semi-discrete equation (2.4) as comatibility condition. Indeed, by direct calculations we have

$$\Lambda = \frac{-\Xi^{(1,1)}}{\beta_1 B_1 \tilde{u}_x u_x} \Big[\frac{b_{1,x}}{B_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \delta^2 \beta_1 & \lambda^2 \delta^2 b_1 \\ b_1 & \lambda \delta^2 \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_x & 0 \\ 0 & -u_x \end{pmatrix} \Big].$$

We mention in passing that the Krichever-Novikov equation (2.6) arose in [22] from the problem of finding special finite-gap solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation associated with rank 2 holomorphic vector bundles. We mention also that already early on it was observed that the semi-discrete system is perfectly adapted for performing numerical calculations, and for producing the plots of solutions, which reveals that the algebrogeometric solutions have a practical value as standard special functions as well [26–28].

Motivated by these known facts, this paper is dedicated to the problem of constructing the algebro-geometric integration for the Q1 equation (1.1). To set up the finite-gap scheme for Q1 it is most convenient to perform the calculations from a Lax representation perspective. In the development of this method, a fundamental role is played by the Burchnall-Chaundy theory of commuting differential operators [29, 30], whose discrete analogue concerning commutative rings of periodic difference operators was developed [31, 32]. Their common eigenfunctions are vector bundles over a Riemann surface defined by the corresponding eigenvalues, and this forms the underlying geometry for the reconstruction of the potentials from a special class of spectral functions which themselves can be obtained from solving a classical Jacobi inversion problem for Abelian integrals on hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces [33–35]. Furthermore, the algebra-geometric solutions of the associated discrete systems can be expressed in terms of the Riemann theta functions associated with the Riemann surface, and a suitable choice of a homology basis of curves (see [17, 36–44] and the reference therein). The approach in this paper for the solution of the discrete equation is based on the construction of commuting integrable symplectic (dynamical) maps, which in turn can be resolved in terms of the algebro-geometric data.

3 Construction of the nonlinear integrable symplectic map

In this section we discuss how a linear map can be nonlinearized to produce a nonlinear integrable symplectic map on the symplectic manifold $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R}^{2N}, dp \wedge dq)$ with associated coordinates $(p,q) = (p_1, \ldots, p_N, q_1, \ldots, q_N)^T$, where N is a positive integer. A map \mathcal{S} : $(p,q) \mapsto (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ is a symplectic transformation [45–47], if $\mathcal{S}^*(dp \wedge dq) = dp \wedge dq$. A welldefined notion of integrability for symplectic maps was first given by Veselov [13, 14]. It is entirely analogous to that of the Liouville-Arnold in the continuous-time case, i.e., there exists N smooth functions $I_1, \ldots, I_N : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

1. The functions are invariants of the map, i.e., $S^*I_j = I_j$.

2. The invariant functions are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket, i.e., $\{I_i, I_j\} = 0.$

3. The invariant functions are functionally independent throughout the phase space. To construct an integrable nonlinear symplectic map suitable for the integration of the Q1 equation, we use the nonlinearisation method of [17–19] and apply it to the linear map associated with the spectral problem (2.1) of Q1, which is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_j \\ \tilde{q}_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j^2 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$
(3.1)

where $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are the corresponding eigenvalues. We assume, moreover, that these eigenvalues $\alpha_1^2, \ldots, \alpha_N^2$ are mutually distinct and non-zero. It turns out that the linear map (3.1) can be extended to a nonlinear integrable symplectic map by imposing a restriction

on the discrete potential¹ which is the quantity b. This fact has led in several cases to the construction of integrable symplectic maps in the process of constructing the finite gap solutions for several discrete soliton equations [17–19]. In the present case, the operator associated with the Lax pair (2.2) is the Darboux matrix $\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)$ given by (2.1). Through direct computation, we find that there is a matrix operator, i.e., the Lax matrix

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2(\lambda^2 - \langle Aq, q \rangle) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda Q_\lambda(p, q) & -Q_\lambda(Ap, p) \\ Q_\lambda(Aq, q) & -\lambda Q_\lambda(p, q) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

that commutes with $\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) - \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) \mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q) = 0, \qquad (3.3)$$

where $A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N), \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j \eta_j$ and $Q_\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \langle (\lambda^2 - A^2)^{-1} \xi, \eta \rangle$. This commutative relation (3.3) in cases considered implies a quadratic equation providing the constraints on discrete potentials [17–19].

Proposition 3.1. The constraint for the discrete potential b in the spectral problem (2.1) satisfies

$$P^{(\beta)}(b;p,q) \equiv b^2 \mathcal{L}^{21}(\beta;p,q) + 2b \mathcal{L}^{11}(\beta;p,q) - \mathcal{L}^{12}(\beta;p,q) = 0.$$
(3.4)

Proof. First, note that the Lax matrix (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2(\lambda^2 - \langle Aq, q \rangle) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} + \frac{\sigma_3 \varepsilon_j \sigma_3}{\lambda + \alpha_j} \right), \quad \varepsilon_j = \begin{pmatrix} p_j q_j & -p_j^2 \\ q_j^2 & -p_j q_j \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we calculate $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) - \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q) = I + II$,

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\beta}{B} (\delta^2 < A\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} > +B^2) & -\lambda\delta^2 (< A\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} > - < Aq, q >) \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{B} (\delta^2 < Aq, q > +B^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$II = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) - \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)\varepsilon_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} + \frac{\sigma_3 \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \sigma_3 \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b) - \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)\sigma_3 \varepsilon_j \sigma_3}{\lambda + \alpha_j}$$

$$= \frac{b}{B} (<\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} > - < p, q >)\sigma_3 + \frac{\beta\delta^2}{B} \begin{pmatrix} - < Aq, q > \lambda (<\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} > + < p, q >) \\ 0 & < A\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} > \end{pmatrix},$$

¹This assertion is based on the observation, going back to [22], that there exists an operator commuting with a member in the Lax representation for nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, when discussing the associated finite gap classes of exact solutions.

where we use $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) \varepsilon_j$, $\sigma_3 \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) \sigma_3 = -\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(-\alpha_j; b)$. From equations (2.1) and (3.1), we have

$$\tilde{p} = (A^2 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{B} (bAp + \beta \delta^2 A^2 q + \beta B^2 q),$$

$$\tilde{q} = (A^2 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{B} (\beta p + bAq).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda;\tilde{p},\tilde{q})\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda;b) - \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda;b)\mathcal{L}(\lambda;p,q) = \frac{1}{B}[b(<\tilde{p},\tilde{q}> - < p,q>) - \beta\delta^2(+ < Aq,q>) - \beta B^2]\sigma_3$$
$$= -\frac{\beta}{B}P^{(\beta)}(b;p,q)\sigma_3,$$

where σ_3 is the usual Pauli matrix. This concludes the proof by using equation (3.3). Following the spirit of [22], we use the Burchnall-Chaundy theory [29–32] to study the commuting operators $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b)$. We shall investigate their common eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigenvalues which lie on a Riemann surface. In our case, the operator $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q)$ has two eigenvalues,

$$\pm \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \pm \sqrt{-\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}},\tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(p,q) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \det \mathcal{L}(\lambda; p,q)$$

$$= (-\delta^{2}\lambda^{2} + \delta^{2} < Aq, q > +Q_{\lambda}(Ap,p))(1 + Q_{\lambda}(Aq,q)) - \lambda^{2}Q_{\lambda}^{2}(p,q)$$

$$= -\delta^{2}\lambda^{2} + Q_{\lambda}(Ap,p) - \delta^{2}Q_{\lambda}(A^{3}q,q) + \delta^{2} < Aq, q > Q_{\lambda}(Aq,q) +$$

$$+ Q_{\lambda}(Ap,p)Q_{\lambda}(Aq,q) - \lambda^{2}Q_{\lambda}^{2}(p,q),$$
(3.6)

with $Q_{\lambda}(A^3q,q) = \lambda^2 Q_{\lambda}(Aq,q) - \langle Aq,q \rangle$. Moreover, \mathcal{F}_{λ} is a rational function of $\zeta = \lambda^2$, and has simple pole at each point α_j^2 . Inspired by the relation between the eigenvalues \mathcal{H}_{λ} and λ , gienv by (3.5), we consider the factorization of \mathcal{F}_{λ}

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} = -\delta^2 \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} (\zeta - \lambda_j^2)}{\alpha(\zeta)} = -\delta^2 \frac{R(\zeta)}{\zeta \alpha^2(\zeta)}, \quad \alpha(\zeta) = \prod_{k=1}^N (\zeta - \alpha_k^2), \quad (3.7)$$

and then construct a hyperelliptic spectral curve associated with a 2-sheeted Riemann surface of genus g = N, cf. [48–50],

$$\mathcal{R}: \xi^2 = R(\zeta). \tag{3.8}$$

Since degR = 2N + 2, the above curve (3.8) has two infinities ∞_+ , ∞_- . For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, in the non-branch case (not equal to λ_j^2, α_j^2 or 0) we call the collection of points on \mathcal{R} of the form

$$\mathfrak{p}(\zeta) = (\zeta, \xi = \sqrt{R(\zeta)}), \quad (\tau \mathfrak{p})(\zeta) = (\zeta, \xi = -\sqrt{R(\zeta)}),$$

the upper and lower sheets, respectively, where $\tau : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ is the map of changing sheets. The branch point, given by $\zeta = 0$ and $\xi = 0$, is denoted by \mathfrak{o} .

To proceed with the actual integration of the map, we first list some basic objects on \mathcal{R} [48–50]. Let $a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$ be the canonical basis of the homology group $H_1(\mathcal{R})$ and $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_g$ be the basis of holomorphic differentials:

$$\omega_l' = \sum_{s=1}^g \frac{\zeta^{g-s} \mathrm{d}\zeta}{2\sqrt{R(\zeta)}}, \quad 1 \le l \le g, \tag{3.9}$$

whose integral along a_k is denoted by a_{lk} . Then (3.9) in the vector form $\vec{\omega}' = (\omega'_1, \cdots, \omega'_g)^T$ can be normalized into $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_g)^T = C\vec{\omega}'$ with $C = (a_{lk})_{g \times g}^{-1}$.

Periodic vectors $\vec{\delta}_k$, \vec{B}_k are defined as integrals of $\vec{\omega}$ along a_k , b_k , respectively. They span a lattice \mathscr{T} , which defines the Jacobian variety $J(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{C}^g/\mathscr{T}$. The matrix $B = (\vec{B}_1, \ldots, \vec{B}_g)$ is used to construct the Riemann theta functions which will be used in Section 4,

$$\theta(z,B) = \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \pi \sqrt{-1} (\langle Bz', z' \rangle + 2 \langle z, z' \rangle), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^g.$$
(3.10)

The Abel map $\mathscr{A} : \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{R}) \to J(\mathcal{R})$ defined as

$$\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}) = \int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\mathfrak{p}} \vec{\omega}, \quad \mathscr{A}(\Sigma n_k \mathfrak{p}_k) = \Sigma n_k \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}_k), \tag{3.11}$$

is the key ingredient in the Jacobi inversion problem.

As a consequence we obtain a nonlinear map arising from the linear map (3.1),

$$S_{\beta}: \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p} \\ \tilde{q} \end{pmatrix} = (A^2 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{B} \begin{pmatrix} bAp + \beta \delta^2 A^2 q + \beta B^2 q \\ \beta p + bAq \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{b=f_{\beta}(p,q)}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $b = f_{\beta}(p,q)$ is given by the roots of quadratic equation (3.4),

$$b = f_{\beta}(p,q) = \frac{1}{1 + Q_{\beta}(Aq,q)} \Big(-\beta Q_{\beta}(p,q) \pm \mathcal{H}_{\beta} \Big),$$
(3.13)

which is single-valued as a function of $\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2) \in \mathcal{R}$. Actually, βb are the values of the following meromorphic function on the curve \mathcal{R} :

$$\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{1 + Q_{\beta}(Aq, q)} \Big(-\beta^2 Q_{\beta}(p, q) + \frac{\delta\xi}{\alpha(\beta^2)} \Big),$$

at the points $\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2)$ and $(\tau \mathfrak{p})(\beta^2)$, respectively. Hence, the nonlinear map \mathcal{S}_{β} is well-defined.

The next step is to show that S_{β} given by (3.12) is an integrable symplectic map on $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R}^{2N}, dp \wedge dq)$. In order to get the symplecticity of S_{β} , we calculate

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\tilde{p}_j \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{q}_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j^2 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \Big(\mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}p_j \\ \mathrm{d}q_j \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{C}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}p_j \\ \mathrm{d}q_j \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}b \Big), \quad 1 \le j \le N, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}b} \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) = -\frac{b}{B^2} \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\alpha_j; b) + \frac{1}{B} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & 2\beta b \\ 0 & \alpha_j \end{pmatrix},$$

by using equation (3.12). Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathrm{d}\tilde{p}_{j} \wedge \mathrm{d}\tilde{q}_{j} - \mathrm{d}p_{j} \wedge \mathrm{d}q_{j}) = \frac{\beta}{2B^{2}} \mathrm{d}P^{(\beta)}(b; p, q) \wedge \mathrm{d}b, \qquad (3.15)$$

which implies $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta}(\mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q) = \mathrm{d}\tilde{p} \wedge \mathrm{d}\tilde{q} = \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q$, since $b = f_{\beta}(p,q)$ given by equation (3.13) satisfies the quadratic equation (3.4). Hence, \mathcal{S}_{β} is a symplectic map on $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q)$.

Having asserted the symplecticity of the map, we now turn to the construction of its invariant. In fact, by taking the determinant on equation (3.3), we have $\det \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \det \mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q)$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}.\tag{3.16}$$

Thus, invariants of the map S_{β} can be generated by the partial fraction expansion,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} = -\delta^2 \lambda^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{E_k}{\lambda^2 - \alpha_k^2},\tag{3.17}$$

where

$$E_{k} = \alpha_{k} p_{k}^{2} - \delta^{2} \alpha_{k}^{3} q_{k}^{2} + \delta^{2} < Aq, q > \alpha_{k} q_{k}^{2} - p_{k}^{2} q_{k}^{2} + \frac{\alpha_{k}}{2} \sum_{1 \le j \le N; j \ne k} \frac{(p_{k} q_{j} - p_{j} q_{k})^{2}}{\alpha_{k}^{2} - \alpha_{j}^{2}} - \frac{(p_{k} q_{j} + p_{j} q_{k})^{2}}{\alpha_{k}^{2} + \alpha_{j}^{2}}.$$
(3.18)

In fact, substituting (3.17) into the both sides of equation (3.16) and comparing the residues at α_k^2 , we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_k = E_k, \quad 1 \le k \le N. \tag{3.19}$$

The next step is to show that N invariant functions E_1, \ldots, E_N on the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2N} for the symplectic map S_β are in involution with respect to the symplectic structure,

and are functionally independent. These conditions are essential conditions to assert the Liouville integrability of symplectic maps. We employ a different approach here from previous papers, [17-19], by employing an *r*-matrix structure to exhibit the validity of these conditions. The relevant *r*-matrix structure is similar to the well known cases [51-53], and through direct calculation we find that the Lax matrix obeys the fundamental Poisson bracket

$$\{\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \bigotimes_{,} \mathcal{L}(\mu)\} = [r(\lambda,\mu), \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)] + [r'(\lambda,\mu), \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)],$$
(3.20)

where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; p, q)$ is often written as $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ for short, $\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes I$, $\mathcal{L}_2(\mu) = I \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu)$, and $r'(\lambda, \mu) = -r(\mu, \lambda)$ satisfies

with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_+$ the Pauli matrices and *I* the usual unit matrix. In addition to (3.20), there are two further matrix functions s, s' such that

$$\{\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mu)\} = [s, \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)] + [s', \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)].$$
(3.22)

This is derived from

$$\{ \mathcal{L}^{2}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}(\mu) \} = \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) \{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} +$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) \{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) +$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) \{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) +$$

$$\{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda).$$

$$(3.23)$$

Substituting (3.20) into (3.23) and by using the formula $\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu)$, we get

$$s = \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)r(\lambda,\mu) + \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)r(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu) + \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)r(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda) + r(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda),$$

$$s' = \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)r'(\lambda,\mu) + \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)r'(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu) + \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)r'(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda) + r'(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda).$$

As a consequence of the *r*-matrix structure we have the following:

Proposition 3.2. The invariants E_1, \ldots, E_N of the symplectic map S_β are in pairwise involution.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda) = -\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}I, \mathcal{L}^2(\mu) = -\mathcal{F}_{\mu}I$, we calculate

$$\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}(\mu)\}.$$
(3.24)

Hence by equation (3.22), we obtain

$$\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\} = 0, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(3.25)

Substitute the partial fraction expansion (3.17) into (3.25), then calculate the residues, we have

$$\{E_k, E_j\} = 0, \quad 1 \le j, k \le N, \tag{3.26}$$

which implies $\{E_k\}$ given by equation (3.18) are in involution.

Interestingly, by the r-matrix method, we can get the evolution of the Lax matrix along a phase flow resulting in the independence for invariant functions [17, 54]. In order to do this we calculate

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_{\lambda}} \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} / \partial q_j \\ \partial \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} / \partial p_j \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W}(\lambda, \alpha_j) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.27)$$

where t_{λ} is the flow variable corresponding to the Hamiltonian function \mathcal{F}_{λ} , then we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}(\lambda,\mu) = \frac{2}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathcal{L}^{11}(\lambda) & \mu \mathcal{L}^{12}(\lambda) \\ \mu \mathcal{L}^{21}(\lambda) & -\lambda \mathcal{L}^{11}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} - 2\delta^2 \mu \mathcal{L}^{21}(\lambda)\sigma_+.$$
(3.28)

This statement can be cast in Lax form as follows:

Lemma 3.1. The Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}(\mu)$ satisfies the evolution equation along the t_{λ} -flow,

$$d\mathcal{L}(\mu)/dt_{\lambda} = [\mathcal{W}(\lambda,\mu), \mathcal{L}(\mu)].$$
(3.29)

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda) = -\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}I$, we obtain

$$\{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu)\} = \{-\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}I \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu)\}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{L}(\mu)\} & 0 \\ 0 & -\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{L}(\mu)\} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} d\mathcal{L}(\mu)/dt_{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & d\mathcal{L}(\mu)/dt_{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.30)

By equation (3.20), we calculate the left hand side of (3.30) again and get

$$\{ \mathcal{L}^{2}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} = \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) \{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} + \{ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mu) \} \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) r'(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) - \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) r'(\lambda, \mu) +$$

$$r'(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) - \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu) r'(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda)$$

$$= [\mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda) r'(\lambda, \mu) + r'(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{1}(\lambda), \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mu)]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} [\mathcal{W}(\lambda, \mu), \mathcal{L}(\mu)] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{W}(\lambda, \mu), \mathcal{L}(\mu)] \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.31)$$

Then comparing (3.30) and (3.31), equation (3.29) is verified.

We next address the problem of parametrising the solutions. In fact, by introducing an elliptic (curvilinear) coordinate system $\{\nu_j^2\}$ defined by the zeros of the following function [45, 55]:

$$\mathcal{L}^{21}(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \frac{\alpha_j q_j^2}{\lambda^2 - \alpha_j^2} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}(\zeta)}{\alpha(\zeta)}, \quad \mathfrak{n}(\zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^{g} (\zeta - \nu_j^2), \quad (3.32)$$

we consider one component of the equation (3.29),

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}^{21}(\mu)/\mathrm{d}t_{\lambda} = 2(\mathcal{W}^{21}(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}^{11}(\mu) - \mathcal{W}^{11}(\lambda,\mu)\mathcal{L}^{21}(\mu)), \qquad (3.33)$$

at points $\mu = \nu_k, 1 \le k \le g$. Then the Dubrovin equations for our case [43, 56] is obtained²,

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{R(\nu_k^2)}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_k^2}{\mathrm{d}t_\lambda} = -\frac{2\delta}{\alpha(\zeta)}\frac{\mathfrak{n}(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \nu_k^2)\mathfrak{n}'(\nu_k^2)}.$$
(3.34)

Hence by using the Lagrange interpolation formula for polynomials, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g} \frac{(\nu_k^2)^{g-s}}{2\sqrt{R(\nu_k^2)}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_k^2}{\mathrm{d}t_\lambda} = -\frac{2\delta}{\alpha(\zeta)} \zeta^{g-s}, \quad (1 \le s \le g),$$
(3.35)

which can be rewritten in the simple form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi'_{s}}{\mathrm{d}t_{\lambda}} = \{\phi'_{s}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}\} = -\frac{2\delta}{\alpha(\zeta)}\zeta^{g-s}, \quad (1 \le s \le g)$$
(3.36)

with the help of the quasi-Abel-Jacobi variables $\vec{\phi'} = (\phi'_1, \dots, \phi'_g)^T$,

$$\phi'_{s} = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{p}(\nu_{k}^{2})} \frac{\zeta^{g-s}}{2\sqrt{R(\zeta)}} \mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad (1 \le s \le g),$$
(3.37)

 $^{^{2}}$ In [57] a discrete version of the Dubrovin equations was obtained associated with the finite-gap solutions of the lattice KdV system.

determined by the basis of holomorphic differentials in equation (3.9). Thus, we arrive at the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. The invariants E_1, \ldots, E_N of the symplectic map S_β are functionally independent throughout the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2N} .

Proof. Suppose $\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j dE_j = 0$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \{\phi'_s, E_j\} = 0, \forall s$. We shall now prove that $c_j = 0, \forall j$. Substituting the expansion (3.17) into (3.36) we get

$$\{\phi_s', E_j\} = -\frac{2\delta}{\alpha'(\alpha_j^2)} (\alpha_j^2)^{g-s},$$

by calculating the residues at points $\zeta = \alpha_j^2$ $(1 \le j \le N)$. Then the coefficient matrix $(\{\phi'_s, E_j\})_{N \times N}$ is non-degenerate since its determinant is Vandermonde determinant. This completes the proof.

To summarise the results so far, the nonlinear map S_{β} defined by (3.12) has been shown to be symplectic and integrable, possessing N invariant functions E_1, \ldots, E_N , pairwise in involution and functionally independent on \mathbb{R}^{2N} .

4 Evolution of the solutions to Q1 equation

In the spirit of previous papers, [17–19], we will now discuss the actual theta function solutions of the Q1 equation (1.1) arising from the integration of the symplectic map. It is the compatibility of discrete flows that is essential for constructing these solutions, and we will implement that on the relevant Baker-Akhiezer functions [33, 58]. Thus, by considering the iteration of the integrable symplectic map S_{β} obtained in Section 3, we obtain a discrete phase flow $(p(m), q(m)) = S^m_{\beta}(p_0, q_0)$, with $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ any initial value point. Here the number of iteration coincides with the lattice variable m. Then the commutative relation (3.3) along the S^m_{β} -flow has the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_{m+1}(\lambda)\mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda), \qquad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda; p(m), q(m))$, and $\mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta)}(\lambda; b_m)$ is the Darboux matrix given by (2.1) with discrete potentials b_m, u_m satisfying

$$\beta b_m = u_{m+1} - u_m, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\beta b = \tilde{u} - u.$$
(4.2)

We note that both the eigenvalues $\pm \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$ given by the formula (3.5) and the spectral curve \mathcal{R} defined by equation (3.8) are invariant under the S^m_{β} -flow, since $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(p(m), q(m)) =$

 $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(p_0, q_0)$ by using equation (3.16). According to the Burchnall-Chaundy theory [29–32], we now investigate the common eigenvectors of the two matrix operators $\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)$. Considering $\mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)$ as a shift operator, we suppose

$$h(m+1,\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)h(m,\lambda), \qquad (4.3)$$

where $h(m, \lambda)$ is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . This is a linear equation, and then an alternative way of viewing $h(m, \lambda)$ is as a solution to the equation (4.3). Thus we discuss the fundamental solution matrix $\mathcal{M}(m, \lambda)$ and find

$$\mathcal{M}(m+1,\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda), \quad \mathcal{M}(0,\lambda) = I.$$
(4.4)

Then by induction, the solution can be written as a matrix product chain

$$\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{m-1}^{(\beta)}(\lambda)\mathcal{D}_{m-2}^{(\beta)}(\lambda)\dots\mathcal{D}_{0}^{(\beta)}(\lambda), \qquad (4.5)$$

which implies det $\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda) = (\lambda^2 - \beta^2)^m$. Fortunately, the solution space of equation (4.3) is invariant under the action of the algebra operator $\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda)$. In fact, by using the commutative relation (4.1) we have

$$(\mathcal{L}h)_{m+1} = \mathcal{L}_{m+1}(\mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}h_m) = \mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\mathcal{L}h)_m.$$

Moreover, from equations (4.1) and (4.5) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda)\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda) = \mathcal{M}(m,\lambda)\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda), \qquad (4.6)$$

which demonstrates that the evolution on the level of the Lax representation is nothing more than a (matrix) similarity transformation. Hence we consider the common eigenvectors $h_{\pm}(m, \lambda)$ associated with the eigenvalues λ and $\pm \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$, which satisfy the following formulas simultaneously:

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda)h_{\pm}(m,\lambda) = \pm \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}h_{\pm}(m,\lambda), \qquad (4.7)$$

$$h_{\pm}(m+1,\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_m^{(\beta)}(\lambda)h_{\pm}(m,\lambda). \tag{4.8}$$

Since the rank of $\mathcal{L}_m(\lambda) \mp \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}I$ is 1, the eigenvector in each case is unique (up to constant factors). Thus, the simultaneous eigenvectors $h_{\pm}(m, \lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$h_{\pm}(m,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\pm}^{(1)}(m,\lambda) \\ h_{\pm}^{(2)}(m,\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}(m,\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} c_{\lambda}^{\pm} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.9)

Substituting (4.9) into (4.7) and choosing m = 0, we deduce

$$c_{\lambda}^{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{0}^{11}(\lambda) \pm \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathcal{L}_{0}^{21}(\lambda)} = \frac{-\mathcal{L}_{0}^{12}(\lambda)}{\mathcal{L}_{0}^{11}(\lambda) \mp \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}}.$$
(4.10)

Referring to [22, 59, 60], when the rank of the commuting pair, i.e., the dimension of the eigenspace of common eigenvectors, equals to 1, the associated equations of Lax type have finite-gap solutions. Here we shall investigate the common eigenvectors $h_{\pm}(m, \lambda)$ by using the Baker-Akhiezer functions, which can be expressed by theta functions on the hyperelliptic Riemann surface corresponding to the spectral curve \mathcal{R} given by (3.8). Consequently, the discrete potentials b_m, u_m in equation (4.2) can be reconstructed in terms of coefficients of the asymptotic expansions of these Baker-Akhiezer functions, which leads to the theta-function solutions for the Q1 equation (1.1) [17–19].

Technically, separating out the two cases: m = 2k - 1, 2k, by using equation (4.5) and induction, we find that the following functions are polynomials of the argument $\zeta = \lambda^2$:

$$\mathcal{M}^{21}(2k-1,\lambda), \lambda \mathcal{M}^{22}(2k-1,\lambda), \lambda \mathcal{M}^{21}(2k,\lambda), \mathcal{M}^{22}(2k,\lambda)$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that λc_{λ}^{+} and λc_{λ}^{-} are the values of a meromorphic function on \mathcal{R} ,

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{\zeta < (\zeta - A^2)^{-1} p_0, q_0 > +\delta\xi/\alpha(\zeta)}{1 + \langle (\zeta - A^2)^{-1} A q_0, q_0 \rangle}$$

at the points $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda^2)$ and $(\tau \mathfrak{p})(\lambda^2)$, respectively. Thus, we can construct meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(m, \mathfrak{p}), (m = 2k - 1, 2k)$ on \mathcal{R} , i.e., Baker-Akhiezer functions, with the values at \mathfrak{p} and $\tau \mathfrak{p}$ as

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}(\lambda^2)) = \lambda h^{(2)}_+(2k-1,\lambda), \quad \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\tau\mathfrak{p}(\lambda^2)) = \lambda h^{(2)}_-(2k-1,\lambda), \\ \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p}(\lambda^2)) = h^{(2)}_+(2k,\lambda), \quad \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\tau\mathfrak{p}(\lambda^2)) = h^{(2)}_-(2k,\lambda),$$
(4.11)

where

$$\lambda h_{\pm}^{(2)}(2k-1,\lambda) = \mathcal{M}^{21}(2k-1,\lambda)\lambda c_{\lambda}^{\pm} + \lambda \mathcal{M}^{22}(2k-1,\lambda),$$

$$h_{\pm}^{(2)}(2k,\lambda) = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{21}(2k,\lambda)\lambda c_{\lambda}^{\pm} + \mathcal{M}^{22}(2k,\lambda).$$
(4.12)

According to the theory of Riemann surface [48–50], we now find the zeros and poles for meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(m,\mathfrak{p}), (m=2k-1,2k)$, which determine the expressions in terms of theta functions.

From equations (4.9) and (4.10), we derive

$$h_{\pm}(m,\lambda)h_{\pm}^{T}(m,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{0}^{21}(\lambda)}\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda)[\mathcal{L}_{0}(\lambda) + \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}]i\sigma_{2}\mathcal{M}^{T}(m,\lambda).$$
(4.13)

Then by using equations (3.32), (4.5) and (4.6), we find one entry of the above matrix equation (4.13) satisfies

$$h_{+}^{(2)}(m,\lambda) \cdot h_{-}^{(2)}(m,\lambda) = (\zeta - \beta^2)^m \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\zeta - \nu_j^2(m)}{\zeta - \nu_j^2(0)}.$$
(4.14)

Thus, by equation (4.11), we have

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}(\zeta))\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\tau\mathfrak{p}(\zeta)) = \zeta(\zeta-\beta^2)^{2k-1}\prod_{j=1}^N\frac{\zeta-\nu_j^2(2k-1)}{\zeta-\nu_j^2(0)},$$

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p}(\zeta))\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\tau\mathfrak{p}(\zeta)) = (\zeta-\beta^2)^{2k}\prod_{j=1}^N\frac{\zeta-\nu_j^2(2k)}{\zeta-\nu_j^2(0)},$$
(4.15)

which implies zeros and some poles, while the asymptotic behaviors in the vicinity of the infinity point on \mathcal{R} will provide the remaining poles. Indeed, as $\lambda \sim \infty$, we obtain

$$c_{\lambda}^{\pm} = \pm \delta \lambda [1 + O(\lambda^{-2})], \qquad (4.16)$$

by using equation (4.10) and

$$\mathcal{L}^{11}(\lambda) = O(\lambda^{-1}), \mathcal{L}^{12}(\lambda) = \delta^2 \lambda^2 [1 + O(\lambda^{-4})],$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{21}(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda^{-2}), \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \delta \lambda [1 + O(\lambda^{-4})].$$

Besides,

$$\mathcal{M}(m,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^m Z_m^{11}[1+O(\lambda^{-2})] & \lambda^{m+1} Z_m^{12}[1+O(\lambda^{-2})] \\ \lambda^{m-1} Z_m^{21}[1+O(\lambda^{-2})] & \lambda^m Z_m^{22}[1+O(\lambda^{-2})] \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.17)

where

$$Z_m = \begin{pmatrix} Z_m^{11} & Z_m^{12} \\ Z_m^{21} & Z_m^{22} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \delta(z_m + z_m^{-1}) & \delta^2(z_m - z_m^{-1}) \\ z_m - z_m^{-1} & \delta(z_m + z_m^{-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.18)

$$z_m = \left(\frac{(b_{m-1} + \delta\beta)\cdots(b_0 + \delta\beta)}{(b_{m-1} - \delta\beta)\cdots(b_0 - \delta\beta)}\right)^{1/2},\tag{4.19}$$

by equation (4.5) and induction. Substituting (4.16) and (4.17) into (4.12), we obtain the asymptotic behaviour for $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(m,\mathfrak{p})$ near points ∞_+, ∞_- :

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}) = z_{2k-1}\zeta^{k}[1+O(\zeta^{-1})], \quad \mathfrak{p} \sim \infty_{+}, \\ \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}) = z_{2k-1}^{-1}\zeta^{k}[1+O(\zeta^{-1})], \quad \mathfrak{p} \sim \infty_{-}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p}) = z_{2k}\zeta^{k}[1+O(\zeta^{-1})], \quad \mathfrak{p} \sim \infty_{+}, \\ \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p}) = z_{2k}^{-1}\zeta^{k}[1+O(\zeta^{-1})], \quad \mathfrak{p} \sim \infty_{-}. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.20)$$

This leads to the following conclusion on the analytic behaviours of the Baker-Akhiezer functions.

Proposition 4.1. The Baker-Akhiezer functions $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}), \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p})$ on \mathcal{R} have the following divisors, respectively [48–50]:

$$\operatorname{Div}(\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p})) = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \left(\mathfrak{p}(\nu_{j}^{2}(2k-1)) - \mathfrak{p}(\nu_{j}^{2}(0)) \right) + \{\mathfrak{o}\} + (2k-1)\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}) - k\infty_{+} - k\infty_{-}$$
$$\operatorname{Div}(\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p})) = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \left(\mathfrak{p}(\nu_{j}^{2}(2k)) - \mathfrak{p}(\nu_{j}^{2}(0)) \right) + 2k\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}) - k\infty_{+} - k\infty_{-}.$$

$$(4.22)$$

Let us now introduce the Abel-Jacobi variable $\vec{\phi}(m) = \mathscr{A}(\Sigma_{k=1}^g \mathfrak{p}(\nu_k^2(m)))$ on $J(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{C}^g/\mathscr{T}$, with the help of the Able map \mathscr{A} given in (3.11). Resorting to the dipole technique developed by [61], equation (4.22) implies

$$\begin{split} \vec{\phi}(2k-1) &\equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + k\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^{+} + (k-1)\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^{-} + \vec{\Omega}_{0}^{-}, \quad (\mathrm{mod}\mathscr{T}), \\ \vec{\phi}(2k) &\equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + k\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^{+} + k\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^{-}, \quad (\mathrm{mod}\mathscr{T}), \end{split}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\vec{\phi}(m) \equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + \frac{m + \Delta_m}{2}\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^+ + \frac{m - \Delta_m}{2}\vec{\Omega}_{\beta}^- + \Delta_m\vec{\Omega}_0^-, \quad (\text{mod}\,\mathscr{T}), \tag{4.23}$$

where $\vec{\Omega}^+_{\beta} = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2)}^{\infty_+} \vec{\omega}, \vec{\Omega}^-_{\beta} = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2)}^{\infty_-} \vec{\omega}$ and $\vec{\Omega}^-_0 = \int_{\mathfrak{o}}^{\infty_-} \vec{\omega}$. Moreover, Δ_j is equal to 0 and 1 for even and odd j respectively.

A usual argument leads to the expressions for the Baker-Akhiezer functions as [17, 48–50]

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k-1,\mathfrak{p}) = C_{2k-1} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\mathfrak{p}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2),\infty_+] + (k-1)\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2),\infty_-] + \omega[\mathfrak{o},\infty_-]},$$
(4.24)

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(2k,\mathfrak{p}) = C_{2k} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{p}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\mathfrak{p}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2),\infty_+] + k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2),\infty_-]}, \tag{4.25}$$

with C_{2k-1}, C_{2k} the constants; \vec{K} the Riemann constant; $\omega[p,q]$ the dipole, a meromorphical differential having only simple poles at p, q, with residues +1, -1, respectively.

From asymptotic behaviours (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain

$$z_{2k-1} = C_{2k-1} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{+}} (k-1)\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{-}] + \omega[\mathfrak{o},\infty_{-}]} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{+})^{k},$$
(4.26)

$$z_{2k-1}^{-1} = C_{2k-1} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{+}]} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{-})^{k-1} \cdot r_{0}^{-}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$z_{2k} = C_{2k} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{+}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}), \infty_{-}]} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{+})^{k},$$
(4.28)

$$z_{2k}^{-1} = C_{2k} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}), \infty_{+}]} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{-})^{k},$$
(4.29)

where

$$r_{\beta}^{+} = \lim_{\mathfrak{p} \to \infty^{+}} \frac{1}{\zeta(\mathfrak{p})} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}), \infty_{+}]}, \quad r_{\beta}^{-} = \lim_{\mathfrak{p} \to \infty^{-}} \frac{1}{\zeta(\mathfrak{p})} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}), \infty_{-}]}, \quad r_{0}^{-} = \lim_{\mathfrak{p} \to \infty^{-}} \frac{1}{\zeta(\mathfrak{p})} e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \omega[\mathfrak{o}, \infty_{-}]}.$$

Hence

$$z_{2k-1}^{2} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{(\frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{\beta}})^{k-1} \cdot \frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{0}} \cdot e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{+}} (k-1)\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{-}] + \omega[\mathfrak{o},\infty_{-}] - \int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{+}]},$$

$$z_{2k}^{2} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{(\frac{r_{\beta}}{r_{\beta}})^{k} \cdot e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{+}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{-}] - \int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} k\omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^{2}),\infty_{+}]}.$$

$$(4.30)$$

Then by using equation (4.19), we have

$$\frac{b_{2k-1} + \delta\beta}{b_{2k-1} - \delta\beta} = \frac{z_{2k}^2}{z_{2k-1}^2} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_+) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_-) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_-) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_+) + \vec{\phi}(2k-1) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\cdot \frac{r_0}{r_{\beta}^-} \cdot e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\infty_+} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2), \infty_-] - \omega[\mathfrak{o}, \infty_-]}}{r_{\beta}^-}, \\
\frac{b_{2k} + \delta\beta}{b_{2k} - \delta\beta} = \frac{z_{2k+1}^2}{z_{2k}^2} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_+) + \vec{\phi}(2k+1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_-) + \vec{\phi}(2k+1) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_-) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_+) + \vec{\phi}(2k) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\cdot \frac{r_{\beta}^+}{r_0^-} \cdot e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\infty_+} \omega[\mathfrak{o}, \infty_-] - \int_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^{\infty_-} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta^2), \infty_+]},$$
(4.31)

which can be put in a unified form by introducing the function

$$\Upsilon_{m} = \frac{b_{m} + \delta\beta}{b_{m} - \delta\beta} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m+1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m+1) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{+})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(4.32)}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{\beta}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta}^{-})^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta}$$

from which we have by inverting the definition

$$b_m = \frac{\delta\beta(\Upsilon_m + 1)}{\Upsilon_m - 1}.$$
(4.33)

Substituting equation (4.2) into (4.33) we arrive at a recursive relation for the potential u_m , in terms of theta functions,

$$u_{m+1} - u_m = \frac{\delta\beta^2(\Upsilon_m + 1)}{\Upsilon_m - 1}.$$
(4.34)

In order to get the algebro-geometric solutions to equation (1.1), we introduce two distinct and non-zero lattice parameters β_1, β_2 . According to the results in Section 3, we obtain two commuting integrable maps S_{β_1}, S_{β_2} since they share the same invariants E_1, \ldots, E_N [13–16, 19]. Their iteration give rise to commuting discrete flows $S_{\beta_1}^m$ and $S_{\beta_2}^n$. Consequently the following function is well-defined on the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice:

$$(p(m,n),q(m,n)) = S^m_{\beta_1} S^n_{\beta_2}(p_0,q_0) = S^m_{\beta_1} (p(0,n),q(0,n)) = S^n_{\beta_2} S^m_{\beta_1}(p_0,q_0) = S^n_{\beta_2} (p(m,0),q(m,0)).$$

$$(4.35)$$

Furthermore, by equations (3.1) in the two special cases and (4.2), the *j*-th component satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_j \\ \tilde{q}_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_1^2)^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_1)}(\alpha_j; b_1) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1 = \frac{\tilde{u} - u}{\beta_1}$$
(4.36)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{p}_j \\ \bar{q}_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_2^2)^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}^{(\beta_2)}(\alpha_j; b_2) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_2 = \frac{\bar{u} - u}{\beta_2}, \quad (4.37)$$

which are compatible on account of the commutative relation between the maps S_{β_1} and S_{β_2} . Thus $\bar{D}^{(\beta_1)}D^{(\beta_2)} = \tilde{D}^{(\beta_2)}D^{(\beta_1)}$. Then by using equation (2.3), the evolution of the recursive relation (4.34) along the flows $S_{\beta_1}^m$ and $S_{\beta_2}^n$ leads to the solutions for Q1 lattice equation (1.1) in the form as expressed in the following.

Proposition 4.2. The Q1 equation (1.1) has special solutions $u = u_{m,n}$ satisfying

$$u_{m+1,n} - u_{m,n} = \frac{\delta\beta_1^2(\Upsilon_{m,n} + 1)}{\Upsilon_{m,n} - 1},$$
(4.38)

where

$$\Upsilon_{m,n} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m+1,n) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m+1,n) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m,n) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m,n) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{+})^{\Delta_{m+1}}}{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m+1}}} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}}{(r_{\beta_{1}}^{-})^{\Delta_{m}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{m}}\omega[\mathfrak{o},\infty_{-}] - \Delta_{m+1}} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta_{1}^{2}),\infty_{+}]},$$

$$(4.39)$$

$$\vec{\phi}(m,n) \equiv \vec{\phi}(0,0) + \frac{m + \Delta_m}{2} \vec{\Omega}_{\beta_1}^+ + \frac{m - \Delta_m}{2} \vec{\Omega}_{\beta_1}^- + \frac{n + \Delta_n}{2} \vec{\Omega}_{\beta_2}^+ + \frac{n - \Delta_n}{2} \vec{\Omega}_{\beta_2}^- + (\Delta_m + \Delta_n) \vec{\Omega}_0^-, \quad (\text{mod}\mathscr{T}),$$

and $\vec{\Omega}^+_{\beta_j} = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\beta_j^2)}^{\infty_+} \vec{\omega}, \vec{\Omega}^-_{\beta_j} = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\beta_j^2)}^{\infty_-} \vec{\omega}, \quad j = 1, 2.$ Besides,

$$u_{m,n+1} - u_{m,n} = \frac{\delta\beta_2^2(\Theta_{m,n} + 1)}{\Theta_{m,n} - 1},$$
(4.40)

where

$$\Theta_{m,n} = \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m, n+1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m, n+1) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{-}) + \vec{\phi}(m, n) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathscr{A}(\infty_{+}) + \vec{\phi}(m, n) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \frac{(r_{\beta_{2}}^{+})^{\Delta_{n+1}}}{(r_{\beta_{2}}^{-})^{\Delta_{n}}} \cdot (r_{0}^{-})^{(-1)^{\Delta_{n+1}}}.$$

$$(4.41)$$

$$\cdot e^{\int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{+}} \Delta_{n} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta_{2}^{2}), \infty_{-}] + (-1)^{\Delta_{n}} \omega[\mathfrak{o}, \infty_{-}] - \Delta_{n+1} \int_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}^{\infty_{-}} \omega[\mathfrak{p}(\beta_{2}^{2}), \infty_{+}]}.$$

This proposition forms the main and final result of the paper. We remark that based on (4.38) and (4.40) the solutions to Q1 equation (1.1), in terms of theta functions, should be integrated in order to find $u = u_{m,n}$ in the form

$$u = u_{0,n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (u_{j,n} - u_{j-1,n})$$

= $u_{m,0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_{m,j} - u_{m,j-1}).$ (4.42)

However, it is not clear yet that the sum (4.42) can be explicitly computed in closed form.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we constructed algebro-geometric solutions of the Q1 equation (1.1) in a novel way different from earlier approaches applied in e.g. KdV type systems, [17–19] where the continuous spectral problems associated with the integrable Hamiltonian systems in the Liouville sense are essential. Here only the lattice equation is the starting point which gives rise to the purely discrete Lax pair by means of the multidimensional consistency. From the latter we deduce the compatibility relations, as well as the relevant spectral curve and the associated hyperelliptic Riemann surface. Moreover, the integrability for the symplectic maps are studied with the help of the *r*-matrix. An outstanding new feature in the present approach is the revelation that the discrete systems themselves without the continuous integrability provides enough information for calculating the exact analytic solutions by the finite-gap technique. Thus, in a sense it is justified to consider the discrete integrability as the more fundamental aspect, which can subsequently be used to investigate the associated continuous integrable systems.

We note, however, that the solutions are obtained in a 'derived' form, which to obtain the solution, should still be integrated (in the discrete sense), to get the algebro-geometric solutions for Q1 lattice equation in explicit form. Whether or not those forms can be explicitly integrated remains an open problem, but there are precedents in the case of soliton solutions where that can be done (see [62]). However, the latter, which has never been achieved in the finite-gap case, is a matter for future investigation beyond the scope of the present paper.

We finish by expressing our confidence that the techniques used in the present paper for the Q1 equation can be readily extended to the remaining equations in the ABS list which are beyond the KdV type class, and notably to the problem of constructing algebrogeometric solutions of the Q4 equation which figures at the top of the list.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11426206; 11501521), State Scholarship Found of China (CSC No. 201907045035), and Graduate Student Education Research Foundation of Zhengzhou University (Grant No. YJSXWKC201913). We would like to express many thanks to Prof. Da-jun Zhang for helpful discussions.

References

 Adler V E, Bobenko A I and Suris Yu B. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 233:513-543, 2003.

- [2] Nijhoff F W and Capel H. The discrete Korteweg-de Vries equation. Acta Appl. Math. 39:133-158, 1995.
- [3] Xu X X, Jiang M M and Nijhoff F W. Integrabe symplectic maps associated with discrete Korteweg-de Vries-type equations. *Stud. Appl. Math.* (submitted), arXiv:2001.05423v2 [math-ph] 14 Apr 2020.
- [4] Xu X X, Cao C W and Zhang G Y. Finite genus solutions to the lattice Schwarzian Korteweg-de Vries equation. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. (accepted), arXiv:2004.08689v1 [nlin.SI] 18 Apr 2020.
- [5] Cao C W. Nonlinearization of the Lax system for AKNS hierarchy. Sci. China Ser. A 33(5):528-536, 1990.
- [6] Cao C W. A classical integrable system and the involutive representation of solutions of the KdV equations. Acta Math. Sinica: New Series 7:216-223, 1991.
- [7] Hietarinta J, Joshi N and Nijhoff F W. Discrete Systems and Integrability (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 2016.
- [8] Nijhoff F W, Atkinson J and Hietarinta J. Soliton solutions for ABS lattice equations:
 I. Cauchy matrix approach. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42:404005, 2009.
- [9] Nijhoff F W and Atkinson J. Elliptic N-soliton solutions of ABS lattice equations, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2010(20):3837-3895, 2010.
- [10] Atkinson J and Nijhoff F W. A constructive approach to the soliton solutions of integrable quadrilateral lattice equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 299:283-304, 2010.
- [11] Matveev V B. 30 years of finite-gap integration theory. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 366:837-875, 2008.
- [12] Quispel G R W, Roberts J A G and Thompsonb C J. Integrable mappings and soliton equations. *Phys. Lett. A* 126:419-421, 1988.
- [13] Veselov A P. Integrable maps. Russ. Math. Surv. 46:3-45, 1991.
- [14] Veselov A P. What is an Integrable Mapping? What is Integrability? (Berlin: Springer), 1991.

- [15] Bruschi M, Ragnisco O, Santini P M and Tu G Z. Integrable symplectic maps. Phys. D 49(3):273-294, 1991.
- [16] Suris Yu B. The Problem of Integrable Discretization: Hamiltonian Approach. (Basel: Birkhäuser), 2003.
- [17] Cao C W and Xu X X. A finite genus solution of the H1 model. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45:055213, 2012.
- [18] Cao C W and Zhang G Y. A finite genus solution of the Hirota equation via integrable symplectic maps. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45:095203, 2012.
- [19] Cao C W and Zhang G Y. Integrable symplectic maps associated with the ZS-AKNS spectral problem. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45:265201, 2012.
- [20] Nijhoff F W. Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever-Novikov) system. Phys. Lett. A 297:49-58, 2002.
- [21] Adler V E and Suris Yu B. Q4: Integrable master equation related to an elliptic curve. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004(47):2523-2553, 2004.
- [22] Krichever I M and Novikov S P. Holomorphic fibering over algebraic curves and nonlinear equations. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 35(6):47-68, 1980.
- [23] Adler V E. Bäcklund transformation for the Krichever-Novikov equation. Int. Math. Res. Not. 1998(1):1-4, 1998.
- [24] Levi D and Benguria R. Bäcklund transformations and nonlinear differential difference equations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 77:5025-5027, 1980.
- [25] Levi D. Nonlinear differential difference equations as Bäcklund transformations. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14:1083-1098, 1981.
- [26] Bobenko A I and Bordag L A. Qualitative analysis of finite gap solutions of the KdV equation with help of the automorphic approach. Zapiski Nauch. Semin. LOMI 165:31-41, 1987.
- [27] Bobenko A I and Bordag L A. Periodic multiphase solutions of the KP equation. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22:1259-1274, 1989.

- [28] Belokolos E D, Bobenko A I, Enolskij V Z, Its A R and Matveev V B. Algebro-Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations. (Berlin: Spinger) 1994.
- [29] Burchnall J L and Chaundy T W. Commutative ordinary differential operators. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 21:420-440, 1923.
- [30] Burchnall J L and Chaundy T W. Commutative ordinary differential operators. Proc. R. Soc. A 118:557-583, 1928.
- [31] Naiman P B. On the theory of periodic and limit-periodic Jacobian matrices. Soviet Math. Doklady 3:383-385, 1962.
- [32] Glazman I M. Direct Methods of Qualitative Spectral Analysis of Singular Differential Operators. (Moscow: Fizmatgiz) 1963.
- [33] Akhiezer N I. Continuous analogues of orthogonal polynomials on a system of intervals. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 141(2):263-266, 1961.
- [34] Its A R and Matveev V B. Schrödinger operators with the finite-band spectrum and the N-soliton solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.* 23:343-355, 1975.
- [35] Krichever I M. Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of non-linear equations. Russ. Math. Surveys 32:185-213, 1977.
- [36] Akhiezer N I. Orthogonal polynomials on several intervals. Soviet Math. Dokl. 1:989-992, 1960.
- [37] Kac M and van Moerbeke P. On some periodic Toda lattices. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 72:1627-1629, 1975.
- [38] Dubrovin B A, Matveev V B and Novikov S P. Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-zone linear operators and Abelian varieties. *Russian Math. Surveys* 31:56-134, 1976.
- [39] Date E and Tanaka S. Periodic multi-soliton solutions of Korteweg-de Vries equation and Toda lattice. Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 59:107-128, 1976.
- [40] Flaschka H and McLaughlin D W. Canonically congugate variables for the Kortewegde Vries Equation and Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions. Prog. Theoret. Phys. 55:438-456, 1976.

- [41] Mumford D and van Moerbeke P. Spectrum of difference operators and algebraic curve. Acta Math. 143:93-154, 1979.
- [42] Krichever I M and Novikov S P. Two-dimensional Toda lattice, commuting difference operators and holomorphic bundles. *Russian Math. Surveys* 58:50-88, 2003.
- [43] Gesztesy F, Holden H, Michor J and Teschl G. Soliton Equations and There Algebrogeometric Solutions. Volume II: (1+1)-Dimensional Discrete Models. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 2009.
- [44] Geng X G, Wei J and Zeng X. Algebro-geometric integration of the modified Belov-Chaltikian lattice hierarchy. *Theor. Math. Phys.* 199:675-694, 2019.
- [45] Arnold V I. Mathematical Methods in Classical Mechanics. (Berlin: Springer), 1978.
- [46] Goldstein H. Classical Mechanics. (USA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company), 1980.
- [47] Jordan T F. Steppingstones in Hamiltonian dynamics. Amer. J. Phys. 72(8):1095-1099, 2004.
- [48] Griffiths P and Harris J. Principles of Algebraic Geometry. (New York: Wiley), 1978.
- [49] Mumford D. Tata Lectures on Theta I. (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser), 1983.
- [50] Farkas H M and Kra I. Riemann Surfaces. (New York: Springer), 1992.
- [51] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A. Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons. (Berlin: Springer), 1987.
- [52] Babelon O, Bernard D and Talon M. Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 2003.
- [53] Gerdjikov V S, Vilasi G and Yanovski A B. Integrable Hamiltonian Hierarchies. (Berlin: Springer), 2008.
- [54] Cao C W, Geng X G and Wu Y T. From the special 2+1 Toda lattice to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32:8059-8078, 1999.
- [55] Lamé G. Leçons sur les Coordonnées Curvilignes et leurs Diverses Applications. (Paris: Mallet-Bachelier), 1859.

- [56] Dubrovin B A. Periodic problems for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in the class of finite band potentials. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 9(3):215-223, 1975.
- [57] Nijhoff F W. Discrete Dubrovin equations and separation of variables for discrete systems. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 11(1):19-28, 2000.
- [58] Baker H F. Note on the foregoing paper, "commutative ordinary differential operators," by J. L. Burchnall and J. W. Chaundy. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., Ser. A 118:584-593, 1928.
- [59] Krichever I M. An algebraic geometric construction of the Zakharov-Shabat equations and of their periodic solutions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 227(2):219-294, 1976.
- [60] Krichever I M. Integration of nonlinear equations by methods of algebraic geometry. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 11(1):15-31, 1977.
- [61] Toda M. Theory of Nonlinear Lattices. (Berlin: Springer), 1981.
- [62] Atkinson J, Hietarinta J and Nijhoff F W. Soliton solutions for Q3. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41:142001, 2008.