

DECOMPOSITION OF TOPOLOGICAL AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS

NINY ARCILA-MAYA

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a topological Azumaya algebra of degree mn over a CW complex X . We give conditions for the positive integers m and n , and the space X so that $\mathcal{A}$ can be decomposed as the tensor product of topological Azumaya algebras of degrees m and n . Then we prove that if $m < n$ and the dimension of X is higher than $2m + 1$, $\mathcal{A}$ may not have such decomposition.

AMS subject classification. Primary 55P99; Secondary 55Q52, 55S45, 16H05.

Keywords. topological Azumaya algebra, projective unitary group.

1. Introduction

The classical theory of central simple algebras over a field was generalized by Azumaya [Azu51] and Auslander–Goldman [AG60] by introducing the concept of an Azumaya algebra over a local commutative ring and over an arbitrary commutative ring, respectively. This concept was generalized by Grothendieck [Gro66, 1.1] to the notion of a topological Azumaya algebra.

Grothendieck [Gro66, Section 2] defined the notion of an Azumaya algebra over any locally-ringed topos $(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where $X_{\text{ét}}$ is an étale topos of a scheme X , and the local ring is $\mathcal{O}_X$ is the structure sheaf of X .

Definition 1.1. A *topological Azumaya algebra of degree n* over a topological space X is a bundle of associative and unital complex algebras over X that is locally isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ where $\mathbb{C}$ has its ordinary topology, [Gro66, 1.1].

Topological Azumaya algebras are classified by pointed homotopy classes of maps to $\text{BPGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, as there is a bijective correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of topological} \\ \text{Azumaya algebras of degree } n \text{ over } X \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{principal } G\text{-bundles over } X \end{array} \right\},$$

where G is the topological group of automorphisms of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ as an algebra, [Ste51, 8.2]. The Skolem–Noether theorem asserts that this is $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$; i.e., matrices acting by conjugation.

For brevity of notation we work with U_n instead of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Our choice of notation does not affect our results because U_n included in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as the maximal compact Lie subgroup is a deformation retract, in particular the inclusion is a weak equivalence. Hence, the homotopy type of U_n is that of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The homotopy equivalence is more than an equivalence of spaces, it upgrades to one of topological groups, hence of classifying spaces.

Let a and m be positive integers. Let $\mu_m \subset U_{am}$ be the cyclic subgroup of order m consisting of scalar matrices ζI_{am} for ζ an m -th root of unity. If we have a principal U_{am}/μ_m -bundle on a topological space X , then the quotient map $q : U_{am}/\mu_m \rightarrow \text{PU}_{am}$ gives rise by an extension of structure group to a

principal PU_{am} -bundle and therefore a topological Azumaya algebra of degree am .

The tensor product of complex algebras can be extended to topological Azumaya algebras by performing the operation fiberwise. The Brauer group of a topological space X classifies topological Azumaya algebras on X up to Brauer equivalence: $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are Brauer equivalent if there exist complex vector bundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}'$, and an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}')$ of bundles of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. If X is a finite dimensional CW complex, then $\mathrm{Br}(X) \cong H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ the torsion part of the cohomology group $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$, [Gro66]. The order of a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ is called the *period* of α , and it is denoted by $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{BU}_{am}/\mu_m & & \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{A} & \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\chi_m} & K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_m} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3). \end{array}$$

The *Brauer class* of a map $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_{am}/\mu_m$ is an element in $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ which will be denoted by $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{A})$. It is defined as follows. Let χ_m denote the composite of the projection of BU_{am}/μ_m on the the first non-trivial stage of its Postnikov tower, $\mathrm{BU}_{am}/\mu_m \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2)$, and the unreduced Bockstein map, $\tilde{\beta}_m : K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, as illustrated in the diagram above. Then $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to the composite $\tilde{\beta}_m \circ \chi_m$.

Remark 1.2. For a deeper discussion on topological Azumaya algebras and the Brauer group of a topological space, we refer the reader to [AW14].

Saltman asked in [Sal99, page 35] whether there is prime decomposition for Azumaya algebras under the tensor product operation, as there is for central simple algebras over a field. Antieau–Williams answered this question for topological Azumaya algebras in [AW14b, Corollary 1.3] by showing the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *For $n > 1$ an odd integer, there exist a 6-dimensional CW complex X and a topological Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on X of degree $2n$ and period 2 such that $\mathcal{A}$ has no decomposition $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ for topological Azumaya algebras of degrees 2 and n , respectively.*

The aim of this paper is to provide conditions on a positive integer n and a topological space X such that a topological Azumaya algebra of degree n on X has a tensor product decomposition. The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let m and n be positive integers such that m and n are relatively prime and $m < n$. Let X be a CW complex such that $\dim(X) \leq 2m + 1$.*

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a topological Azumaya algebra of degree mn over X , then there exist topological Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}_m$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ of degrees m and n , respectively, such that $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_m \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$.

Theorem 1.4 is a corollary of a more general result. We prove in Theorem 3.3 that a map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}$ can be lifted to $\mathrm{BU}_{am}/\mu_m \times \mathrm{BU}_{bn}/\mu_n$ when the dimension of X is less than $2am + 2$, the positive integers a , b , m and n are such that am is relatively prime to bn , and $am < bn$. The proof of Theorem

3.3 relies significantly in the description of the homomorphisms induced on homotopy groups by the r -fold direct sum of matrices $\oplus^r : U_n \longrightarrow U_{rn}$ in the range $\{0, 1, \dots, 2n+1\}$. We call this set “*the stable range*” for U_n .

This paper is organized as follows. The second section presents preliminaries on the effect of direct sum and tensor product operations on homotopy groups of compact Lie groups related to the unitary groups U_n . The third section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.3. We explain in Remark 3.7 why the decomposition in Theorem 1.4 is not unique up to isomorphism.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express her deep gratitude to Ben Williams, her thesis advisor, for having proposed this research topic, pointing out relevant references, and having devoted a great deal of time to discuss details of the research with the author.

I gratefully acknowledge the anonymous referee for reading the paper carefully and providing thoughtful comments, many of which have resulted in changes to the revised version of the manuscript.

Notation. Throughout this paper, all topological spaces will have the homotopy type of a CW complex. We fix basepoints for connected topological spaces, and for topological groups we take the identities as basepoints. We write $\pi_i(X)$ in place of $\pi_i(X, x_0)$.

2. Stabilization of operations on U_n

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the following matrix operations:

- (1) The *direct sum of matrices*, $\oplus : U_m \times U_n \longrightarrow U_{m+n}$ defined by

$$A \oplus B = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (2) The *r -fold direct sum*, $\oplus^r : U_n \longrightarrow U_{rn}$ given by $A^{\oplus r} = \underbrace{A \oplus \dots \oplus A}_{r\text{-times}}$.

- (3) The *tensor product of matrices*, $\otimes : U_m \times U_n \longrightarrow U_{mn}$ defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1m}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \cdots & a_{mm}B \end{pmatrix},$$

for $A = (a_{ij}) \in U_m$.

- (4) The *r -fold tensor product*, $\otimes^r : U_n \longrightarrow U_{n^r}$ given by $A^{\otimes r} = \underbrace{A \otimes \dots \otimes A}_{r\text{-times}}$.

The homomorphisms of homotopy groups induced by the operations above will be denoted by $\oplus_*$, $\oplus_*^r$, $\otimes_*$ and $\otimes_*^r$, respectively.

We begin by recalling low degree homotopy groups of the unitary groups and the special unitary groups. The first homotopy groups of U_n can be calculated by using Bott periodicity. Bott proves in [Bot58] that

$$\pi_i(U_n) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 2n \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i < 2n \text{ is odd,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/n! & \text{if } i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Since SU_n is the universal cover of U_n , and there is a fibration $SU_n \hookrightarrow U_n \xrightarrow{\det} S^1$, it follows that

$$\pi_i(SU_n) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \pi_i(U_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now compute the low degree homotopy groups of U_{am}/μ_m and SU_{am}/μ_m . As SU_{am} is a simply connected m -cover of SU_{am}/μ_m we have

$$\pi_i(SU_{am}/\mu_m) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/m & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \pi_i(SU_{am}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

All columns as well as the two top rows of diagram (1) are short exact. The nine-lemma implies that the bottom row is also short exact.

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mu_m & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mu_m & \longrightarrow & \{1\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ SU_{am} & \hookrightarrow & U_{am} & \xrightarrow{\det} & S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ SU_{am}/\mu_m & \xrightarrow{i} & U_{am}/\mu_m & \xrightarrow{\det} & S^1. \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\pi_i(U_{am}/\mu_m) \cong \pi_i(SU_{am}/\mu_m)$ for all $i > 1$. It remains to compute the fundamental group of U_{am}/μ_m .

By exactness of the bottom row of diagram (1), the induced sequence on fundamental groups is exact,

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(SU_{am}/\mu_m) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m) \xrightarrow{\det_*} \pi_1(S^1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map $\det : U_{am} \rightarrow S^1$ has a section $t : S^1 \rightarrow U_{am}$ defined by

$$t(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & I_{am-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The section t is one of groups; in fact U_n is a semi-direct product of S^1 by SU_n . This section induces a section of $\det : U_{am}/\mu_m \rightarrow S^1$, which we also denote by t ,

$$(3) \quad 1 \longrightarrow SU_{am}/\mu_m \xrightarrow{i} U_{am}/\mu_m \xrightarrow{\det} S^1 \longrightarrow 1.$$

$\swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad t$

Since $\pi_1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, sequence (2) splits. We describe $\pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m)$ in terms of $i_* : \pi_1(SU_{am}/\mu_m) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m)$ and $t_* : \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m)$ as $\pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m) = \text{Im } i_* \oplus \text{Im } t_* \cong \mathbb{Z}/m \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

2.1. Stabilization. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \leq n$. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} s : U_m &\longrightarrow U_{m+n} \\ A &\longmapsto A \oplus I_n. \end{aligned}$$

The standard inclusion of unitary groups $U_n \hookrightarrow U_{n+1}$ is $2n$ -connected. Since the map s is equal to the consecutive composite of standard inclusions, it follows that s is $2m$ -connected. Hence, s induces a surjection in degree $2m$ and an isomorphism on homotopy groups in degrees less than $2m$.

Notation 2.1. Let stab denote $\pi_i(s)$, the homomorphism s induces on homotopy groups. Henceforth, the following isomorphism for $i < 2m$ will be needed throughout the paper

$$(4) \quad \text{stab} : \pi_i(U_m) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i(U_{m+n})$$

to identify $\pi_i(U_m)$ with $\pi_i(U_{m+n})$ for all $i < 2m$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r : U_m \rightarrow U_m$ be conjugation by $P \in U_m$. There is a basepoint preserving homotopy H from r to id_{U_m} such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $H(-, t)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. Since U_m is path-connected, there exists a path α from P to I_m in U_m . Define $H : U_m \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U_m$ by $H(A, t) = \alpha(t)A\alpha(t)^{-1}$. Observe that $H(-, t) : U_m \rightarrow U_m, A \mapsto H(A, t)$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, H is such that

$$H(I_m, t) = I_m, \quad H(A, 0) = r(A) \quad \text{and} \quad H(A, 1) = A.$$

Therefore, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $j = 1, \dots, r$ define $s_j : U_n \rightarrow U_{rn}$ by*

$$s_j(A) = \text{diag}(I_n, \dots, I_n, A, I_n, \dots, I_n),$$

where A is in the j -th position. The maps s_j and s_{j+1} are pointed homotopic for all $j = 1, \dots, r-1$.

Proof. The block matrix

$$P_j = \begin{pmatrix} I_{(j-1)n} & & & \\ & 0 & I_n & \\ & I_n & 0 & \\ & & & I_{(r-j-1)n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is such that $P_j P_j = I_{rn}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r-1$. Moreover, if $A, B \in U_n$, then

$$P_j \text{diag}(I_n, \dots, I_n, A, B, I_n, \dots, I_n) P_j = \text{diag}(I_n, \dots, I_n, B, A, I_n, \dots, I_n),$$

where A and B are in positions (j, j) , $(j+1, j+1)$, and $(j+1, j+1)$, (j, j) , respectively.

From Lemma 2.2, s_j and s_{j+1} are pointed homotopic. $\square$

Notation 2.4. We call the s_j maps *stabilization maps*. As s_1 is equal to $s : U_n \rightarrow U_{n+(r-1)n}$, it follows that s_j is $2n$ -connected for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. From Lemma 2.3 the homomorphisms induced on homotopy groups by the stabilization maps are equal, hence stab also denotes $\pi_i(s_1) = \dots = \pi_i(s_r)$. Thus we identify $\pi_i(U_n)$ with $\pi_i(U_{rn})$ for $i < 2n$ through stab . The identification allows one to introduce a slight abuse of notation, namely to identify x and $\text{stab}(x)$ for $x \in \pi_i(U_n)$ and $i < 2n$.

2.2. Operations.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the homomorphism $\oplus_* : \pi_i(U_m) \times \pi_i(U_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{m+n})$ is given by*

$$\oplus_*(x, y) = \text{stab}(x) + \text{stab}(y)$$

for $x \in \pi_i(U_m)$ and $y \in \pi_i(U_n)$.

Proof. It is enough to observe that the direct sum factors as

$$\begin{aligned} U_m \times U_n &\xrightarrow{s_1 \times s_2} U_{m+n} \times U_{m+n} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} U_{m+n} \\ (A, B) &\longmapsto \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\oplus_*(x, y) = \text{mult}_* \circ (\text{stab} \times \text{stab})(x, y) = \text{stab}(x) + \text{stab}(y)$, where the last equality is true by the Eckmann-Hilton argument, [Spa81, Theorem 1.6.8]. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *If $m < n$ and $i < 2m$, then $\oplus_*(x, y) = x + y$ for $x \in \pi_i(U_m)$ and $y \in \pi_i(U_n)$.*

Proof. Since s_1 and s_2 are $2m$ -connected, the homomorphisms $\text{stab} : \pi_i(U_m) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{m+n})$ and $\text{stab} : \pi_i(U_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{m+n})$ are isomorphisms $i < 2m$ and $i < 2n$, respectively. We use these isomorphisms to identify source and target.

From Proposition 2.5, $\oplus_*(x, y) = \text{stab}(x) + \text{stab}(y) = x + y$ for $i < 2m$. $\square$

Proposition 2.7. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the homomorphism $\oplus_*^r : \pi_i(U_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{rn})$ is given by*

$$\oplus_*^r(x) = r \text{stab}(x)$$

for $x \in \pi_i(U_n)$.

Proof. Let $\Delta : U_n \rightarrow (U_n)^{\times r}$ denote the diagonal map. The r -block summation factors as

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &\xrightarrow{\Delta} (U_n)^{\times r} \xrightarrow{s_1 \times \dots \times s_r} (U_{rn})^{\times r} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} U_{rn} \\ A &\longmapsto (A, \dots, A) \longmapsto (s_1(A), \dots, s_r(A)) \longmapsto s_1(A) \cdots s_r(A). \end{aligned}$$

By the Eckmann-Hilton argument $\text{mult}_* : \pi_i(U_{rn})^r \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{rn})$ is given by

$$\text{mult}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_1 + \dots + x_r$$

for $x_j \in \pi_i(U_{rn})$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$. From this $\oplus_*^r$ takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(U_n) & & x \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_i(U_n)^{\times r} & & (x, \dots, x) \\ \text{stab}^{\times r} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_i(U_{rn})^{\times r} & & (\text{stab}(x), \dots, \text{stab}(x)) \\ \text{mult}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_i(U_{rn}) & & \underbrace{\text{stab}(x) + \dots + \text{stab}(x)}_{r \text{ times}} \end{array}$$

This proves the statement. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *If $i < 2n$, then $\oplus_*^r(x) = r x$ for $x \in \pi_i(U_n)$.*

Proof. The homomorphism $\text{stab}^{\times r} : \pi_i(U_n)^{\times r} \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{rn})^{\times r}$ is an isomorphism for all $i < 2n$ because so is $\text{stab} : \pi_i(U_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{rn})$. By Proposition 2.7 we conclude $\oplus_*^r(x) = r s_*(x) = r x$ for $i < 2n$. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $L, R : U_m \rightarrow U_{mn}$ be the maps $L(A) = A \otimes I_n$ and $R(A) = I_n \otimes A$. There is a basepoint preserving homotopy H from L to R such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $H(-, t)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $A \in U_m$.

$$L(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}I_n & \cdots & a_{1m}I_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}I_n & \cdots & a_{mm}I_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix} = A^{\oplus n}.$$

Let $P_{m,n}$ be the permutation matrix

$$P_{m,n} = [e_1, e_{n+1}, e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_{(m-1)n+1}, e_2, e_{n+2}, e_{2n+2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)n+2}, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_{2n-1}, e_{3n-1}, \dots, e_{mn-1}, e_n, e_{2n}, e_{3n}, \dots, e_{(m-1)n}, e_{mn}]$$

where, e_i is the i -th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$ written as a column vector. Observe that $L(A) = P_{m,n}R(A)P_{m,n}^{-1}$. The result follows from Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the homomorphism $\otimes_* : \pi_i(U_m) \times \pi_i(U_n) \longrightarrow \pi_i(U_{mn})$ is given by*

$$\otimes_*(x, y) = n \operatorname{stab}(x) + m \operatorname{stab}(y)$$

for $x \in \pi_i(U_m)$ and $y \in \pi_i(U_n)$.

Proof. By the mixed-product property of the tensor product of matrices

$$A \otimes B = (A \otimes I_n)(I_m \otimes B) = L(A)R(B).$$

Lemma 2.9 gives $L_* = \oplus_*^n : \pi_i(U_m) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_{mn})$. Proposition 2.7 now yields $\otimes_*(x, y) = n \operatorname{stab}(x) + m \operatorname{stab}(y)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *If $m < n$ and $i < 2m$, then $\otimes_*(x, y) = nx + my$ for $x \in \pi_i(U_m)$ and $y \in \pi_i(U_n)$.*

Proof. The statement follows from Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.10. $\square$

Proposition 2.12. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the homomorphism $\otimes_*^r : \pi_i(U_n) \longrightarrow \pi_i(U_{nr})$ is given by*

$$\otimes_*^r(x) = r n^{r-1} \operatorname{stab}(x)$$

for $x \in \pi_i(U_n)$.

Corollary 2.13. *If $i < 2n$, then $\otimes_*^r(x) = r n^{r-1} x$ for $x \in \pi_i(U_n)$.*

Proof. Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.12 yield the result. $\square$

2.2.1. Tensor product on the quotient. Let a, b, m and n be positive integers so that $m < n$. The tensor product operation $\otimes : U_{am} \times U_{bn} \longrightarrow U_{abmn}$ sends the group $\mu_m \times \mu_n$ to μ_{mn} . In consequence, the operation descends to the quotient

$$(5) \quad \otimes : U_{am}/\mu_m \times U_{bn}/\mu_n \longrightarrow U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}.$$

Proposition 2.14. *If $i > 1$, the homomorphism*

$$\otimes_* : \pi_i(U_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_i(U_{bn}/\mu_n) \longrightarrow \pi_i(U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn})$$

is given by

$$\otimes_*(x, y) = bn \operatorname{stab}(x) + am \operatorname{stab}(y)$$

for $x \in \pi_i(U_{am}/\mu_m)$ and $y \in \pi_i(U_{bn}/\mu_n)$.

Proof. There is a map of fibrations

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mu_m \times \mu_n & \hookrightarrow & U_{am} \times U_{bn} & \longrightarrow & U_{am}/\mu_m \times U_{bn}/\mu_n \\ \downarrow \text{mult} & & \downarrow \otimes & & \downarrow \otimes \\ \mu_{mn} & \hookrightarrow & U_{abmn} & \longrightarrow & U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}. \end{array}$$

From the homomorphism of long exact sequences associated to the fibrations in diagram (6) we obtain a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(U_{am}) \times \pi_i(U_{bn}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_i(U_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_i(U_{bn}/\mu_n) \\ \downarrow \otimes_* & & \downarrow \otimes_* \\ \pi_i(U_{abmn}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_i(U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}). \end{array}$$

for $i > 1$. This diagram and Proposition 2.10 gives $\otimes_*(x, y) = \oplus_*^{bn}(x) + \oplus_*^{am}(y) = bn \text{stab}(x) + am \text{stab}(y)$ for all $i > 1$. $\square$

In the following proposition, we identify $\pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m)$ with $\text{Im } i_* \oplus \text{Im } t_* \cong \mathbb{Z}/m \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, where i and t are the maps in diagram (3). We also identify $\mathbb{Z}/m$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n$ with the subgroups $\{0, n, 2n, \dots, (m-1)n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/mn$ and $\{0, m, 2m, \dots, (n-1)m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/mn$, respectively.

Proposition 2.15. *The homomorphism*

$$\otimes_* : \pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(U_{bn}/\mu_n) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn})$$

is given by

$$\otimes_*(\alpha + x, \beta + y) = (\alpha + \beta) + (bnx + amy)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m \subset \mathbb{Z}/mn$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n \subset \mathbb{Z}/mn$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since the determinant of a tensor product is the product of powers of the determinants, we define $\phi : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ by $\phi(v, \omega) = v^{bn}\omega^{am}$ so that the diagram below is a map of fibrations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{SU}_{am}/\mu_m \times \text{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n & \xhookrightarrow{i \times i} & U_{am}/\mu_m \times U_{bn}/\mu_n & \xrightarrow{\det \times \det} & S^1 \times S^1 \\ \downarrow \otimes & & \downarrow \otimes & & \downarrow \phi \\ \text{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} & \xhookrightarrow{i} & U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} & \xrightarrow{\det} & S^1. \end{array}$$

(Dotted arrows: $t \times t$ from $U_{am}/\mu_m \times U_{bn}/\mu_n$ to $S^1 \times S^1$, and t from U_{abmn}/μ_{mn} to S^1 .)

This map of fibrations induces a homomorphism of short exact sequences

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\text{SU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\text{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_*} & \pi_1(\text{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}) \\ \downarrow i_* \times i_* & & \downarrow i_* \\ \pi_1(U_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(U_{bn}/\mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_*} & \pi_1(U_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}) \\ \downarrow t_* \times t_* & & \downarrow t_* \\ \pi_1(S^1) \times \pi_1(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \pi_1(S^1). \end{array}$$

We want to determine the homomorphism $\otimes_*$ in the middle of diagram (7). In order to do this, we will determine $\otimes_* : \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn})$, and show that the short exact sequences in diagram (7) split compatibly so that $\otimes_* : \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{bn}/\mu_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn})$ is equal to

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(\pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n) \right) \oplus \left(\pi_1(S^1) \times \pi_1(S^1) \right) \\ \downarrow \otimes_* \oplus \phi_* \\ \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}) \oplus \pi_1(S^1). \end{array}$$

We begin by observing that there exists a similar map of fibrations to the one in diagram (6), but with the spaces SU_{am} and SU_{bn} instead of U_{am} and U_{bn} , respectively. In this case we obtain the commutative square,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n \\ \downarrow \otimes_* & & \downarrow \psi \\ \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}/mn, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/m$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n$ are considered as subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/mn$, and $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/mn$ is addition. From this $\otimes_* : \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{bn}/\mu_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn})$ is equal to the addition.

In order to prove the compatibility, we observe that even though diagram (8) below does not commute, Claim 2.16 implies that it is commutative up to a pointed homotopy. Therefore, the induced diagram on homotopy groups does commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(S^1) \times \pi_1(S^1) & \xrightarrow{t_* \times t_*} & \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{bn}/\mu_n) \\ \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow \otimes_* \\ \pi_1(S^1) & \xrightarrow{t_*} & \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}). \end{array}$$

Consequently, the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_1(\mathrm{U}_{bn}/\mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Im}(i_* \times i_*) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(u_* \times u_*) \\ \downarrow \otimes_* & & \downarrow \psi \oplus \phi_* \\ \pi_1(\mathrm{SU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Im} i_* \oplus \mathrm{Im} u_*, \end{array}$$

this is, $\otimes_*(\alpha + x, \beta + y) = \psi(\alpha, \beta) + \phi_*(x, y)$ for $\alpha + x \in \mathbb{Z}/m \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta + y \in \mathbb{Z}/n \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. By the Eckmann-Hilton argument and Corollary 2.11, $\otimes_*(\alpha + x, \beta + y) = \psi(\alpha, \beta) + (bnx + amy)$. $\square$

Claim 2.16. Diagram (8) commutes up to a pointed homotopy,

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{t \times t} & \mathrm{U}_{am}/\mu_m \times \mathrm{U}_{bn}/\mu_n \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \otimes \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathrm{U}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the stabilization maps $s_j : U_1 \rightarrow U_{abmn}$ for $j = 1, \dots, abmn$. Let $v, \omega \in S^1$, then

$$t(\phi(v, \omega)) = \begin{pmatrix} v^{bn} \omega^{am} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{abmn-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } t(v) \otimes t(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} vt(\omega) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & t(\omega) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & t(\omega) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $t \circ \phi$ is equal to the composite

$$S^1 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\Delta \times \Delta} (S^1)^{\times bn} \times (S^1)^{\times am} \xrightarrow{g} U_{abmn}^{\times bn} \times U_{abmn}^{\times am} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} U_{abmn}$$

where $g = (s_1 \times \cdots \times s_1, s_1 \times \cdots \times s_1)$, and $t \otimes t$ is equal to

$$S^1 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\Delta \times \Delta} (S^1)^{\times bn} \times (S^1)^{\times am} \xrightarrow{h} U_{abmn}^{\times bn} \times U_{abmn}^{\times am} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} U_{abmn}.$$

where $h = (s_1 \times s_2 \times \cdots \times s_{bn}, s_1 \times s_{bn+1} \times \cdots \times s_{(am-1)bn+1})$. By Lemma 2.3, $t \circ \phi$ and $t \otimes t$ are pointed homotopic. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Proposition 3.1. *Let a, b, m and n be positive integers such that am and bn are relatively prime and $am < bn$. Then there exist positive integers u and v satisfying $|vn(bn)^n - um(am)^m| = 1$, so that there exist a positive integer N and a homomorphism $T : U_{am} \times U_{bn} \rightarrow U_N$ such that*

- (1) *the homomorphism T factors through $\tilde{T} : U_{am}/\mu_m \times U_{bn}/\mu_n \rightarrow U_N$, and*
- (2) *the homomorphisms induced on homotopy groups*

$$\tilde{T}_i : \pi_i(U_{am}/\mu_m) \times \pi_i(U_{bn}/\mu_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(U_N)$$

are given by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{T}_i(x, y) = um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y & \text{if } 1 < i < 2am, \\ \tilde{T}_i(\alpha + x, \beta + y) = um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We first construct T .

Since am and bn are relatively prime, so are $m(am)^m$ and $n(bn)^n$. Hence there exist positive integers u and v such that $vn(bn)^n - um(am)^m = \pm 1$. Let N denote $u(am)^m + v(bn)^n$. We define T using the operations described in Section 2, as the composite

$$U_{am} \times U_{bn} \xrightarrow{(\otimes^m, \otimes^n)} U_{(am)^m} \times U_{(bn)^n} \xrightarrow{(\oplus^u, \oplus^v)} U_{u(am)^m} \times U_{v(bn)^n} \xrightarrow{\oplus} U_N.$$

- (1) We must show that $\mu_m \times \mu_n$ is contained in $\text{Ker}(T)$. Let α and β be m -th and n -th roots of unity, respectively. Note that the element $(\alpha I_{am}, \beta I_{bn})$ is sent to $(I_{u(am)^m}, I_{v(bn)^n})$ by $(\otimes^m, \otimes^n)$, hence to the identity by the composite T defined above.
- (2) We first observe that Corollaries 2.6, 2.8 and 2.13 imply

$$T_i : \pi_i(U_m) \times \pi_i(U_n) \longrightarrow \pi_i(U_N)$$

$$(x, y) \longmapsto um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y$$

for all $i < 2am$.

From part (1) there is a map of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mu_m \times \mu_n & \hookrightarrow & U_{am} \times U_{bn} & \longrightarrow & U_{am} / \mu_m \times U_{bn} / \mu_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow \tilde{T} \\ \{I_N\} & \longrightarrow & U_N & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & U_N. \end{array}$$

Case 1. Let $i > 1$. From the long exact sequence, diagram (9) commutes.

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(U_{am}) \times \pi_i(U_{bn}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_i(U_{am} / \mu_m) \times \pi_i(U_{bn} / \mu_n) \\ \downarrow T_i & & \downarrow \tilde{T}_i \\ \pi_i(U_N) & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \pi_i(U_N). \end{array}$$

Thus, $\tilde{T}_i(x, y) = T_i(x, y) = um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y$ for $1 < i < 2m$.

Case 2. Let $i = 1$. From the long exact sequence there is a homomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(U_{am}) \times \pi_1(U_{bn}) & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1(U_{am} / \mu_m) \times \pi_1(U_{bn} / \mu_n) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n \\ \downarrow T_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{T}_1 & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(U_N) & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \pi_1(U_N) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The top short exact sequence splits. By direct inspection we obtain $\tilde{T}_1(\alpha + x, \beta + y) = T_1(x, y) = um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y$.

□

3.1. A left homotopy inverse. Let a, b, m and n be positive integers. By applying the classifying-space functor to the homomorphism (5), we obtain a map

$$(10) \quad F_{\otimes} : BU_{am} / \mu_m \times BU_{bn} / \mu_n \rightarrow BU_{abmn} / \mu_{mn}.$$

If we take the quotient by μ_{am} and μ_{bn} in (10), we write $f_{\otimes}$ instead of $F_{\otimes}$.

Let J be the map

$$\begin{aligned} J : BU_{am} / \mu_m \times BU_{bn} / \mu_n &\longrightarrow BU_{abmn} / \mu_{mn} \times BU_N \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto (F_{\otimes}(x, y), B\tilde{T}(x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

where the integer N is the one provided by Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *Let a, b, m and n be positive integers such that am and bn are relatively prime and $am < bn$. The map J is $(2am + 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. We want to prove that the induced homomorphism on homotopy groups

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_i(BU_{am} / \mu_m) \times \pi_i(BU_{bn} / \mu_n) \\ \downarrow J_i \\ \pi_i(BU_{abmn} / \mu_{mn}) \times \pi_i(BU_N) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism for all $i < 2am + 1$ and an epimorphism for $i = 2am + 1$.

Observe that the homotopy groups of the spaces involved are trivial in odd degrees below $2am + 2$, hence it suffices to prove that J_i is an isomorphism for all i even and $i < 2am + 1$.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Let $i < 2am + 1$ and $i \neq 2$. For this case computations can be done at the level of the universal covers of the groups $U_{am}/\mu_m, U_{bn}/\mu_n$ and U_{abmn}/μ_{mn} .

The homomorphism (11) takes the form

$$J_i : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

Propositions 2.15 and 3.1 yield

$$J_i(x, y) = (bnx + amy, um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y).$$

Thereby, the homomorphism (11) is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} bn & am \\ m(am)^{m-1}u & n(bn)^{n-1}v \end{pmatrix},$$

which is invertible. This proves J_i is an isomorphism.

Case 2. Let $i = 2$. The homomorphism (11) takes the form

$$J_2 : (\mathbb{Z}/m \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/mn \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

Propositions 2.15 and 3.1 yield

$$J_2(x + \alpha, y + \beta) = (\psi(\alpha, \beta) + (bnx + amy), um(am)^{m-1}x + vn(bn)^{n-1}y).$$

Recall that $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/mn$ is addition where $\mathbb{Z}/m$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n$ are considered as subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/mn$, see proof of Proposition 2.15. The homomorphism ψ is an isomorphism. From this and the invertibility of the matrix above, J_2 is an isomorphism. $\square$

3.2. Factorization through $F_\otimes : BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n \rightarrow BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let a, b, m and n be positive integers such that am and bn are relatively prime and $am < bn$. Let X be a topological space with the homotopy type of a finite dimensional CW complex such that $\dim(X) \leq 2am + 1$.*

Every map $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}$ can be lifted to $BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n$ along the map $F_\otimes$ up to a pointed homotopy.

Proof. Diagrammatically speaking, we want to find a map

$$\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n : X \rightarrow BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n$$

such that diagram (12) commutes up to homotopy

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n & \downarrow F_\otimes \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}. \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.2 yields a map $J : BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n \longrightarrow BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} \times BU_N$ where N is some positive integer. Observe that $F_\otimes$ factors through $BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} \times BU_N$, so we can write $F_\otimes$ as the composite of J and the projection proj_1 shown in diagram (13).

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n & \xrightarrow{J} & BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} \times BU_N \\ \downarrow F_\otimes & \swarrow \text{proj}_1 & \\ BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} & & \end{array}$$

Since J is $(2am+1)$ -connected and $\dim(X) \leq 2am+1$, then by Whitehead's theorem

$$J_\# : [X, BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n] \rightarrow [X, BU_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} \times BU_N]$$

is a surjection, [Spa81, Corollary 7.6.23].

Let s denote a section of proj_1 . The surjectivity of $J_\#$ implies $s \circ \mathcal{A}$ has a preimage $\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n : X \rightarrow BU_{am}/\mu_m \times BU_{bn}/\mu_n$ such that $J \circ (\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n) \simeq s \circ \mathcal{A}$.

The commutativity of diagram (12) follows from commutativity of diagram (13). Thus, the result follows. $\square$

3.3. Factorization through $f_\otimes : BPU_{am} \times BPU_{bn} \rightarrow BPU_{abmn}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a finite CW complex. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$ be a class of period m . There exists a lifting $\mathcal{A}'$ of α if and only if α is represented by a topological Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of degree am .*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \text{Br}(X)$ be a Brauer class of period m . There exists a lifting $\xi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/m)$ such that $\tilde{\beta}_m(\xi) = \alpha$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xi & & X \\ & \swarrow & \cdots & \searrow & \downarrow \alpha \\ K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_m} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) & \xrightarrow{\times m} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3). \end{array}$$

The map of fibrations below

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mu_m & \hookrightarrow & U_{am} & \hookrightarrow & U_{am}/\mu_m \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow q \\ S^1 & \hookrightarrow & U_{am} & \longrightarrow & PU_{am} \end{array}$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU_{am}/\mu_m & \xrightarrow{Bq} & BPU_{am} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_m} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3). \end{array}$$

In order to prove the proposition, we show that there exists a lifting $\mathcal{A}'$ of ξ if and only if there exists a lifting $\mathcal{A}$ of ξ , see diagram (14) below.

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m & \xrightarrow{Bq} & \text{BPU}_{am} \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{A}' & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) \\ & & \parallel & \nearrow \mathcal{A} & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_m \\ X & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_m} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \end{array}$$

If there exists a lifting $\mathcal{A}' : X \rightarrow \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m$, then the composite $Bq \circ \mathcal{A}'$ is a topological Azumaya algebra of degree am that represents the Brauer class α .

Conversely, suppose there exists an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of degree am making the outer square in the diagram below commute up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \text{BPU}_{am} \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{A}' & & \downarrow Bq & \downarrow \\ & & \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m & \xrightarrow{Bq} & \text{BPU}_{am} \\ & \searrow \xi' & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_m} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \end{array}$$

In the inner square, the induced map on the homotopy fibers of Bq and $\tilde{\beta}_m$ is a homotopy equivalence. An application of the 5-lemma implies that the inner square is a homotopy pullback square. Therefore, there exists a lifting $\mathcal{A}'$ representing α . $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let a, b, m and n be positive integers such that am and bn are relatively prime and $am < bn$. Let X be a CW complex such that $\dim(X) \leq 2am + 1$.*

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a topological Azumaya algebra of degree $abmn$ such that $\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})$ has period mn , then there exist topological Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}_m$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ of degrees am and bn , respectively, such that $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_m)) = m$, $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_n)) = n$ and $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_m \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$.

Proof. In this case we want to solve the lifting problem shown in diagram (15) up to homotopy, with $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_m)) = m$, $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_n)) = n$.

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{BPU}_{am} \times \text{BPU}_{bn} & \\ \nearrow \mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n & \downarrow f_{\otimes} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \text{BPU}_{abmn} \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.4 there exists a map $\mathcal{A}' : X \rightarrow \text{BU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}$ such that $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}')) = \text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})) = mn$. Then, by Theorem 3.3 there exists a map $\mathcal{A}'_m \times \mathcal{A}'_n : X \rightarrow \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m \times \text{BU}_{bn}/\mu_n$ such that $F_{\otimes} \circ (\mathcal{A}'_m \times \mathcal{A}'_n) \simeq \mathcal{A}'$.

Since $\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_m) \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_n) = \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_{mn})$, m and n are relatively prime, and $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}')) = mn$ then $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_m)) = m$ and $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_n)) = n$.

By Proposition 3.4 there exists a map $\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n : X \rightarrow \text{BPU}_{am} \times \text{BPU}_{bn}$ such that $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_m)) = \text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_m))$ and $\text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_n)) = \text{per}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}'_n))$.

It remains to show that diagram (15) commutes. Consider the diagram below

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m \times \text{BU}_{bn}/\mu_n & \xrightarrow{\text{B}q \times \text{B}q} & \text{BPU}_{am} \times \text{BPU}_{bn} \\ \downarrow \text{F}_\otimes & \swarrow \mathcal{A}'_m \times \mathcal{A}'_n \quad \searrow \mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n & \downarrow f_\otimes \\ & X & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \mathcal{A}' \quad \searrow \mathcal{A} & \downarrow \\ \text{BU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \text{BPU}_{abmn} \end{array}$$

Observe that the square, as well as top, bottom and left triangles, of diagram (16) commute. Hence, the right triangle commutes. $\square$

Theorem 1.4 is a corollary of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. *Let a, b, m and n be positive integers such that am and bn are relatively prime and $am < bn$. The map $\text{F}_\otimes : \text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m \times \text{BU}_{bn}/\mu_n \rightarrow \text{BU}_{abmn}/\mu_{mn}$ does not have any section.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a section σ of $\text{F}_\otimes$.

By Proposition 2.15 the map $\text{F}_\otimes$ induces a homomorphism on homotopy groups which is given by $(x, y) \mapsto bn \text{stab}(x) + am \text{stab}(y)$ for $i > 2$. In degree $2am + 2$ the homomorphism $(\text{F}_\otimes)_*$ takes the form $(\text{F}_\otimes)_* : \pi_{2am+2}(\text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, where $\pi_{2am+2}(\text{BU}_{am}/\mu_m) \cong \pi_{2am+2}(\text{BU}_{am})$ and $\pi_{2am+2}(\text{BU}_{am})$ is trivial when am is odd, and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ when am is even, see [Mim95, Page 971]. Therefore, $(\text{F}_\otimes)_*(x, y) = am \text{stab}(y)$. Thus $\text{Im}(\text{F}_\otimes)_* = am\mathbb{Z}$.

On the other side, since σ is a section of $\text{F}_\otimes$, the composite $(\text{F}_\otimes)_* \circ \sigma_*$ is the identity. This contradicts the fact that $\text{Im}((\text{F}_\otimes)_* \circ \sigma_*) \subset am\mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

In Theorem 1.4 it is proven that there exists a tensor product decomposition for topological Azumaya algebras over low dimensional CW complexes, and that such decomposition does not exist for topological Azumaya algebras over an arbitrary CW complex. The proof of Theorem 3.6 implies that for positive integers m and n where $m < n$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a topological Azumaya algebra of degree mn over a finite CW complex of dimension higher than $2m + 1$, then $\mathcal{A}$ may not be decomposable as $\mathcal{A}_m \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$. In fact, consider the unit $(2m + 2)$ -sphere, and let $\mathcal{S} : S^{2m+2} \rightarrow \text{BPU}_{mn}$ be a degree- mn topological Azumaya algebra on S^{2m+2} such that $\mathcal{S}$ generates $\pi_{2m+2}(\text{BPU}_{mn})$, then $\mathcal{S}$ cannot be decomposed as the tensor product of topological Azumaya algebras of degrees m and n .

Remark 3.7. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, the topological Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}_m$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ are not necessarily unique up to isomorphism. In order to

see this, we consider the Moore-Postnikov tower for $f_{\otimes}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{BPU}_m \times \text{BPU}_n & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 K(\pi_2 F, 2) & \longrightarrow & Y[2] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 K(\pi_1 F, 1) & \longrightarrow & Y[1] & \xrightarrow{k_1} & K(\pi_2 F, 3) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{BPU}_{mn} & \xrightarrow{k_0} & K(\pi_1 F, 2),
 \end{array}$$

where F is the homotopy fiber of $f_{\otimes}$, and $k_{i-1} : Y[i-1] \rightarrow K(\pi_i F, i+1)$ is the k -invariant that classifies the fiber sequence $Y[i] \rightarrow Y[i-1]$, for $i > 0$, [Hat02, Theorem 4.71].

Since the map $f_{\otimes}$ induces an isomorphism on π_2 , and π_{2i+1} for $0 < i < m$, it follows that $\text{BPU}_{mn} \simeq Y[i]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $Y[2i] \simeq Y[2i+1]$ for $1 < i < m$.

The long exact sequence of $F \rightarrow \text{BPU}_m \times \text{BPU}_n \rightarrow \text{BPU}_{mn}$ yields

$$\pi_i F \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 2 \text{ or } i \text{ is odd and } i < 2m+1, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i \neq 2, i \text{ is even and } i < 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Hence the Moore-Postnikov tower of $f_{\otimes}$ takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{BPU}_m \times \text{BPU}_n & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 K(\mathbb{Z}, 6) & \longrightarrow & Y[6] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) & \longrightarrow & Y[4] & \xrightarrow{k_5} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 7) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{BPU}_{mn} & \xrightarrow{k_3} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 5)
 \end{array}$$

Let X be a CW complex of $\dim(X) \leq 6$. Let m and n be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.4, and $m > 3$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a topological Azumaya algebra of degree mn .

Observe that the k -invariant k_3 is trivial because $H^5(\text{BPU}_{mn}; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, [AW13, Proposition 4.1]. Hence there is no obstruction to lift $\mathcal{A}$ to $Y[4]$. Similarly, we can lift the identity map $\text{id}_{\text{BPU}_{mn}}$ to $Y[4]$, in this case we obtain the splitting $Y[4] \simeq \text{BPU}_{mn} \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. Then the lifting of $\mathcal{A}$ takes the form $(\mathcal{A}, \xi) : X \rightarrow \text{BPU}_{mn} \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$.

The cohomology groups of X vanish for all degrees greater than 6, given that X is 6-dimensional. Thus $(\mathcal{A}, \xi)$ can be lifted up the Moore-Postnikov tower to $\text{BPU}_m \times \text{BPU}_n$. See diagram (17).

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{BPU}_m \times \text{BPU}_n \\ & \nearrow^{\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_n} & \downarrow \\ & & \vdots \\ & \nearrow^{(\mathcal{A}, \xi)} & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & Y[4] \simeq \text{BPU}_{mn} \times \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \xrightarrow{k_5} \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 7) \\ & \searrow_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow \\ & & \text{BPU}_{mn} \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 5) \end{array} \end{array}$$

This proves that $\mathcal{A}$ can be decomposed as $\mathcal{A}_m \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$. The lifting $(\mathcal{A}, \xi)$ is not necessarily unique. In fact, every cohomology class $\xi \in H^4(X; \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to a lifting $(\mathcal{A}, \xi)$.

References

- [AG60] Maurice Auslander and Oscar Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), no. 3, 367–409. [↑1](#)
- [AW13] Benjamin Antieau and Ben Williams, *The topological period-index problem over 6-complexes*, Journal of Topology **7** (2013Nov), no. 3, 617–640. [↑16](#)
- [AW14a] ———, *The period-index problem for twisted topological K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **18** (2014), no. 2, 1115–1148. [↑2](#)
- [AW14b] ———, *Unramified division algebras do not always contain Azumaya maximal orders*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 1, 47–56. [↑2](#)
- [Azu51] Gorô Azumaya, *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **2** (1951), 119–150. [↑1](#)
- [Bot58] Raoul Bott, *The space of loops on a Lie group*, Michigan Math. J. **5** (1958), no. 1, 35–61. [↑3](#)
- [Gro66] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer: I. Algèbres d’Azumaya et interprétations diverses*, Séminaire bourbaki: années 1964/65 1965/66, exposés 277–312, 1966, pp. 199–219. talk:290. MR1608798 [↑1, 2](#)
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002. [↑16](#)
- [Mim95] Mamoru Mimura, *Chapter 19 - Homotopy theory of Lie groups*, Handbook of Algebraic Topology, 1995, pp. 951–991. [↑15](#)
- [Sal99] David J Saltman, *Lectures on division algebras*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 94, AMS, Providence, RI; on behalf of CBMS, Washington, DC, 1999. [↑2](#)
- [Spa81] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Illustrate, Springer New York, New York, NY, 1981. [↑6, 13](#)
- [Ste51] Norman Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles. (pms-14)*, Princeton University Press, 1951. [↑1](#)

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, V6T 1Z2 Canada
 Email address: ninyam@math.ubc.ca