

STEINER SYMMETRIZATION ALONG A CERTAIN EQUIDISTRIBUTED SEQUENCE OF DIRECTIONS IN THE PLANE

REZA ASAD AND ALMUT BURCHARD

ABSTRACT. This note reports the results of an undergraduate research project from the year 2013-14, concerning the convergence of iterated Steiner symmetrizations in the plane. The directions of symmetrization are chosen according to the Van der Corput sequence, a classical example of a sequence that is equidistributed in S^1 with low discrepancy. It is shown here that the resulting iteration of Steiner symmetrizations converges to the symmetric decreasing rearrangement. The proof exploits the self-similarity of the sequence of angular increments, using the technique of competing symmetries.

Symmetrizations are rearrangements of functions that are used in geometry and analysis. The most important one is the symmetric decreasing rearrangement, which replaces a given nonnegative function by an equimeasurable radially decreasing function. Another example is Steiner symmetrization, which is a simpler rearrangement that produces a reflection symmetry.

In typical applications, the goal is to reduce a rotationally symmetric optimization problem to the radial case, which can be treated as a single-variable problem. To this end, one establishes suitable inequalities between a function and its symmetrization. Functionals involving the gradient (such as the Dirichlet energy) generally decrease under symmetrization, while convolution functionals (such as the Coulomb energy) increase. In many cases, one can argue that optimizers are invariant under Steiner symmetrization in all directions, and therefore radial. This strategy has been used to solve extremal problems for the perimeter, capacity, torsional rigidity, fundamental frequency, and related quantities in geometry and mathematical physics (see [7]). Symmetrization techniques have been also used to determine sharp constants for the Young, Sobolev, and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities of functional analysis (see [5, Chapters 3 and 4]).

It is often useful to approximate the symmetric decreasing rearrangement by concatenating Steiner symmetrizations along a suitable sequence of directions. For a random sequence of directions, this almost surely converges to the symmetric decreasing rearrangement. What determines whether a given sequence of directions produces convergence?

This question has received some attention in the literature. Convergence has been established for a number of explicit examples. On the other hand, there are sequences of directions that are dense, and even equidistributed in the sense of Weyl, such that the corresponding sequence of Steiner symmetrizations fails to converge. For a full discussion of the state-of-the-art we refer to a recent paper of Bianchi *et al.* [1]. The authors raise the question whether every sequence of directions that is equidistributed and has low discrepancy gives rise to a sequence of Steiner symmetrizations that converges to the symmetric decreasing rearrangement.

In this note, we consider the special case of two dimensions, and show that the *van der Corput sequence*, a classical example of a sequence of low discrepancy in S^1 , indeed produces convergence. Our proof takes advantage of the self-similar construction of this sequence. The question remains open for general sequences of low discrepancy.

We start with some definitions. Let \mathcal{C}_c^+ denote the set of nonnegative continuous functions with compact support in the complex plane. We work with the topology of uniform convergence, defined by the distance function

$$\|f - g\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z) - g(z)|.$$

Definition 1. The *symmetric decreasing rearrangement* f^* of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$ is the unique symmetric decreasing function that is equimeasurable with f , i.e., the level set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | f^*(z) > t\}$ has the same measure as the corresponding level set of f for each $t > 0$ (see Figure 1).

The function f^* is again a nonnegative continuous function with compact support. In fact, the modulus of continuity of f^* is bounded by the modulus of continuity of f , and its support is contained in the smallest centered circle that contains the support of f . The symmetric decreasing rearrangement is *non-expansive*, i.e.,

$$\|f^* - g^*\| \leq \|f - g\| \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^+),$$

see [2, Ex. 1.7, Ex. 2.14] and [5, Section 3.4].

Definition 2. The *Steiner symmetrization* of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$ is the function Sf with the property that its restriction to each line $\operatorname{Re} z = t$




FIGURE 1. The symmetric decreasing rearrangement of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$

is the unique symmetric decreasing function of $\text{Im } z$ that is equimeasurable with the corresponding restriction of f (see Figure 2). For any angle $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(2\pi)$, the *Steiner symmetrization in direction α* is given by

$$S_\alpha := R_\alpha S R_{-\alpha},$$

where the rotation R_α acts on \mathcal{C}_c^+ by $R_\alpha f(z) = f(e^{-i\alpha}z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.




FIGURE 2. A level set of f and the corresponding level set of Sf

Similar to the symmetric decreasing rearrangement, Steiner symmetrization defines a transformation on \mathcal{C}_c^+ that is non-expansive, with

$$\|Sf - Sg\| \leq \|f - g\| \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^+).$$

A useful consequence is that S_α depends continuously on the direction α . We next choose the sequence of directions.

Definition 3. The sequence $(e^{i\theta_n})_{n \geq 0}$ with arguments

$$\theta_n = \pi \sum_{j=0}^k a_j 2^{-j} \quad \text{for } n = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j 2^j$$

is called the *van der Corput sequence* on S^1 (see Figure 3).




FIGURE 3. The Van der Corput Sequence on the unit circle.

In other words, if n has binary expansion $(a_k \dots a_0)$, then $\theta_n/(2\pi)$ has binary expansion $(0.a_0 \dots a_k)$. The van der Corput sequence has the equidistribution property that the fraction of points $(e^{i\theta_n})_{n \leq N}$ that fall into any given arc A converges to its length $\ell(A)$ as a fraction of 2π when $N \rightarrow \infty$. The *discrepancy*

$$D_N = \sup_{A \in S^1} \left| \frac{\#\{n < N : e^{i\theta_n} \in A\}}{N} - \frac{\ell(A)}{2\pi} \right|,$$

measures how quickly the sequence $(e^{i\theta_n})$ converges to the uniform distribution on S^1 . According to a theorem of Roth, the discrepancy of every sequence must exceed a constant multiple of $N^{-1} \log N$ for infinitely many N . The discrepancy of the van der Corput sequence is bounded from above and below by constant multiples of the optimal order $N^{-1} \log N$ (for all N). Sequences with this property are said to have *low discrepancy*. For more information about equidistributed sequences, we refer to [4].

Main Result. Let $(e^{i\theta_n})$ be the van der Corput sequence on the unit circle, let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$, and let f^* be its symmetric decreasing rearrangement. Then the sequence (f_n) defined by

$$(1) \quad f_0 = S_{\theta_0} f, \quad f_n := S_{\theta_n} f_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

converges uniformly to f^* .

The proof requires some auxiliary results. To keep track how much a function $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$ differs from its symmetric decreasing rearrangement, we use the functional

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{J}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) e^{-|z|^2} d^2 z.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{J} is continuous on \mathcal{C}_c^+ . The first lemma shows that Steiner symmetrizations and rotations play the roles of *competing symmetries* for this functional [3].

Lemma 1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/(2\pi)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}(R_\alpha g) = \mathcal{J}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}(S_\alpha g) \geq \mathcal{J}(g).$$

The inequality is strict unless $S_\alpha g = g$.

Proof. The rotational invariance of \mathcal{J} follows directly from the fact that the Gaussian $e^{-|z|^2}$ is radial. For the second claim, we use Fubini's theorem to write

$$\mathcal{J}(Sg) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} Sg(x + iy) e^{-y^2} dy \right) e^{-x^2} dx.$$

According to Definition 3, the vertical cross section of Sg at any given x is the one-dimensional symmetric decreasing rearrangement of the corresponding cross section of g . By [5, Theorem 3.4], the inner integral satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Sg(x + iy) e^{-y^2} dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + iy) e^{-y^2} dy,$$

with equality if and only if the integrands agree almost everywhere. Since g is continuous, this means that $\mathcal{J}(Sg) \geq \mathcal{J}(g)$, with equality if and only if $Sg = g$. The claim for S_α then follows from the rotational invariance of \mathcal{J} . \square

The next lemma says that the consecutive differences in the van der Corput sequence repeat themselves infinitely often. The convergence of similarly repetitive sequences of symmetrizations was analyzed by Van Schaftingen [8] and by Klain [?].

Lemma 2. *Let $(e^{i\theta_n})$ be the van der Corput sequence on the unit circle. The differences $\gamma_n = \theta_n - \theta_{n-1}$ satisfy*

$$\gamma_{2^j+n} = \gamma_n \quad (1 \leq n < 2^j)$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Moreover, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{2^j} = 0$ modulo 2π .

Proof. We expand $n = \sum_{i < j} a_i 2^{-i}$ and $n - 1 = \sum_{i < j} b_i 2^{-i}$ to obtain

$$\theta_{2^j+n} = \pi \left(2^{-j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} a_i 2^{-i} \right), \quad \theta_{2^j+n-1} = \pi \left(2^{-j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} b_i 2^{-i} \right).$$

The difference is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{2^j+n} - \theta_{2^j+n-1} &= \pi \left(\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} a_i 2^{-i} - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} b_i 2^{-i} \right) \\ &= \theta_n - \theta_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the first claim. We similarly compute

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{2^j} - \theta_{2^j-1} &= \pi \left(2^{-j} - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 2^{-i} \right) \\ &= -2\pi + \frac{3\pi}{2^j}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the second claim. \square

The final lemma gives a characterization of radially decreasing functions.

Lemma 3. *Let $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^+$. If $Sh = h$, and $S_{\alpha_j} h = h$ for some sequence (α_j) of non-zero angles in $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi)$ that converges to zero, then $h = h^*$.*

Proof. By assumption, h is symmetric under reflection at the real axis and at each of the lines $z = te^{i\alpha_j}$. Since the composition of a pair of reflections equals the rotation by twice the enclosed angle, h is symmetric under each of the rotations $R_{2\alpha_j}$. Expanding h and $R_{2\alpha_j} h$ in polar coordinates as a Fourier series,

$$h(re^{i\phi}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(r) e^{im\phi}, \quad R_{2\alpha_j} h(re^{i\phi}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(r) e^{im(\phi - 2\alpha_j)},$$

we see upon comparing coefficients that

$$a_m(r) (1 - e^{-2im\alpha_j}) = 0$$

for all integers m , all $r \geq 0$, and every index j . Since each $\alpha_j \neq 0$ but $\lim \alpha_j = 0$, for any given m we can choose j so large that the exponent $2m\alpha_j$ is not an integer multiple of 2π , and consequently the factor $(1 - e^{-2im\alpha_j})$ does not vanish. Therefore $a_m(r)$ must vanish identically for each $m \neq 0$, and h is radial. Finally, since $Sh = h$, the restriction of h to the imaginary axis is symmetric decreasing, and we conclude that $h = h^*$. \square

We note in passing that the conclusion of Lemma 3 remains valid, if (α_n) is *any* sequence (not necessarily converging to zero) that assumes infinitely many distinct values in $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi)$. The reason is that the corresponding reflections generates a dense subgroup of $O(2)$ [6].

Proof of the main result. Let $(e^{i\theta_n})$ be the van der Corput sequence on S^1 , and let (f_n) be the sequence constructed from f by iterated Steiner symmetrization, as in Eq. (1). Then $g_n = R_{-\theta_n}f_n$ satisfies the recursion relation

$$(3) \quad g_n = SR_{-\gamma_n}g_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where $\gamma_n = \theta_n - \theta_{n-1}$. Since Steiner symmetrization improves the modulus of continuity, the sequence (g_n) is equicontinuous, uniformly bounded, and supported on a common ball. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence (g_{n_k}) that converges uniformly to some function h . We want to show that the entire sequence converges, and that $h = f^*$.

Let \mathcal{J} be the functional defined in Eq. (2). By continuity, $\mathcal{J}(g_{n_k})$ converges to $\mathcal{J}(h)$. By Lemma 1, the value of \mathcal{J} increases monotonically along (g_n) , hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(g_n) = \sup_n \mathcal{J}(g_n) = \mathcal{J}(h)$$

along the entire sequence.

By construction, $Sh = h$. Fix $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 2 and Eq. (3),

$$\begin{aligned} SR_{-\gamma_n}g_{2^j+n-1} &= SR_{-\gamma_{2^j+n}}g_{2^j+n-1} \\ &= g_{2^j+n} \end{aligned}$$

for every j with $2^j > n$; in particular, $\mathcal{J}(SR_{-\gamma_n}g_{2^j+n-1}) = \mathcal{J}(g_{2^j+n})$. Taking $j \rightarrow \infty$ yields that

$$\mathcal{J}(SR_{-\gamma_n}h) = \mathcal{J}(h).$$

It follows from the rotational invariance of \mathcal{J} that $\mathcal{J}(S_{\gamma_n}h) = \mathcal{J}(h)$, and from the second part of Lemma 1 that

$$S_{\gamma_n}h = h \quad (n \geq 1).$$

We use this equation with $n = 2^j$. By Lemma 2, (γ_{2^j}) converges to zero in $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi)$, and by Lemma 3, h is radially decreasing. Let (g_{n_k}) be the convergent subsequence chosen in the first part of the proof. Since $h^* = h$ and $(g_{n_k})^* = f^*$ for each n , the non-expansive property of rearrangements implies that

$$\|h - f^*\| \leq \|h - g_{n_k}\|$$

for each k . We finally take $k \rightarrow \infty$ and conclude that $h = f^*$. By monotonicity, $\|f^* - g_n\|$ converges to zero along the entire sequence, and by the rotational invariance of the norm $\|f^* - f_n\|$ converges to zero as well. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by NSERC through a USRA summer project (R.A.) and a Discovery grant (A.B.)

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bianchi, A. Burchard, P. Gronchi, and A. Volčič. Convergence in shape of Steiner symmetrizations. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 61:1695–1710, 2012.
- [2] A. Burchard. *A Short Course on Rearrangement Inequalities*. <http://www.math.toronto.edu/almut/rearrange.pdf>, 2009.
- [3] E.A. Carlen and M. Loss. Extremals of functionals with competing symmetries. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 88(2):437–456, 1990.
- [4] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974.
- [5] E.H. Lieb and M. Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.
- [6] F.W. Luttmann. *Convergence under Steiner symmetrization*. Ph.D.thesis, University of Arizona, 1967.
- [7] G. Pólya and G. Szegő. *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*. Number 27 in Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [8] Jean van Schaftingen. Universal approximation of symmetrizations by polarizations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(1):177–186, 2006.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 40 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, CANADA M5S 2E4. almut@math.toronto.edu