

Monitoring fetal electroencephalogram intrapartum: a systematic literature review

Aude Castel¹, Yael Frank², John Feltner³, Floyd Karp⁴, Catherine Albright², Martin G. Frasch^{2,5}

¹Dept. of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, QC, Canada

²Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

³Dept. of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁴School of Pharmacy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁵Center on Human Development and Disability, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Address of correspondence:

Martin G. Frasch
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Washington
1959 NE Pacific St
Box 356460
Seattle, WA 98195
Phone: +1-206-543-5892
Fax: +1-206-543-3915
Email: mfrasch@uw.edu

Abstract

Background: Studies about the feasibility of monitoring fetal electroencephalogram (fEEG) during labor began in the early 1940ies. Already in the late 60ies and during 70ies, clear diagnostic and prognostic benefits from intrapartum fEEG monitoring were reported, but until today, this monitoring technology has remained a scientific Orchidee.

Objectives: Our goal was to review the studies reporting the use of this technology including the insights from interpreting fEEG patterns in response to uterine contractions during labor. We also used the most relevant information gathered from clinical studies to provide recommendations for successful enrollment in the unique environment of a labor and delivery unit. Because the landscape of fEEG research has been international, we included studies in English, French, German, and Russian.

Data sources: PubMed.

Eligibility criteria: The following key-word were used: ("fetus"[MeSH Terms] OR "fetus"[All Fields] OR "fetal"[All Fields]) AND ("electroencephalography"[MeSH Terms] OR "electroencephalography"[All Fields] OR "eeg"[All Fields]) AND (Clinical Trial[ptyp] AND "humans"[MeSH Terms])

Results: From 256 screened studies, 40 studies were ultimately included in the quantitative analysis. We summarize and report features of fEEG which clearly show its potential to act as a direct biomarker of fetal brain health during delivery, ancillary to fetal heart rate monitoring. However, clinical prospective studies are needed to further establish the utility of fEEG monitoring intrapartum. We identified clinical study designs likely to succeed in bringing this intrapartum monitoring modality to the bedside.

Limitations: Despite 80 years of studies in clinical cohorts and animal models, the field of research on intrapartum fEEG is still nascent and shows great promise to augment the currently practiced electronic fetal monitoring.

Keywords: EEG, labor, fetus, neonates, infant, magnetoencephalogram, electrocorticogram.

Prospero number: CRD42020147474

Wordcount: 282

Introduction

Perinately-acquired fetal brain injury is a major cause of long-term neurodevelopmental sequelae, and the single greatest contributor to disability worldwide,(1,2) accounting for 1/10th of all disability-adjusted life years.(3) Moreover, intrapartum-related death is the 2nd leading cause of neonatal mortality and the 3rd leading cause of death in children under five.(4) Thus, there is an urgent need to identify early signs of fetal distress during labor to allow timely and targeted interventions.

Fetal acidemia contributes to perinatal brain injury,(5) and is one of the most common and potentially devastating labor complications. Acidemia occurs in about 25 per 1000 live births overall and in 73 per 1000 live preterm births;(6,7) and the risk of subsequent brain injury rises 9-fold in the setting of preterm birth. These risks are even higher with additional complications, such as intraamniotic infection or intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). Over 90% of children with perinatal brain injury, including that causing cerebral palsy, have a normal life expectancy, but many cannot fully participate in society or fulfill their developmental potential.(8)

Today, continuous fetal heart rate (FHR) monitoring is used as an indirect surrogate indicator to suspected fetal acidemia during labor and it fails at that.(9) Fetal acidemia per se is a poor proxy to fetal brain injury.(10) It is then not surprising that FHR monitoring intrapartum does not reliably predict fetal brain injury. Moreover, the fear of missing fetal distress increases the rate of cesarean delivery, with significant maternal risk.(11) About 50% of cesarean sections are deemed unnecessary. Conversely, labor is sometimes allowed to proceed when current FHR monitoring technology suggests that the fetus is tolerating it, only to discover later that fetal brain damage occurred, causing a range of signs from subtle neurologic deficits to more serious conditions like cerebral palsy.

Fetal electroencephalogram monitoring intrapartum (fEEG) was a focus of clinical research as early as the 1970s(12) and into the 1990s.(13,14) Notably, Eswaran *et al.* used a regular FHR scalp electrode and a routine GE HC Corometrics FHR monitoring device to record auditory evoked brainstem potentials, i.e., *evoked* fEEG activity.(13) Unfortunately, due to technical limitations and the difficulty of data interpretation, early research into *spontaneous* fEEG did not translate yet into clinical practice.

The goal of this article is to provide a systematic review of the current literature on intrapartum fEEG. Using the most relevant information gathered from studies on this subject, the second goal of this review was to provide recommendations in order to help ensure successful enrollment in the unique environment of a labor and delivery (L&D) unit.

Despite the paucity of recent studies on the subject, the over 50 years of literature on fEEG clearly demonstrates that fEEG represents a clinically tested bedside monitoring technology of fetal well-being during labor with a clear potential to detect fetal distress, complementary to FHR monitoring. FEEG intrapartum warrants prospective clinical research with modern technical capabilities of data acquisition and computerized interpretation.

Methods

The methods for searching and analyzing the relevant literature and for data extraction followed recommendations from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement.

The review has been registered with the PROSPERO database under the number CRD42020147474.

We conducted a literature search in the database PubMed covering all dates and using the following keywords: ("fetus"[MeSH Terms] OR "fetal"[MeSH Terms]) AND ("electroencephalography"[MeSH Terms] OR "electroencephalography"[All Fields] OR "eeg"[All Fields]) AND ("humans"[MeSH Terms]). Non-systematic literature reviews were excluded. All the studies retrieved with this search and available in English, French, German and Russian were screened for pertinence by the co-authors who are proficient in these languages. The study was completed on April 4, 2020. The eligibility criteria used to determine whether a study was included in this review or not were that the abstract and the full text described the use of EEG on the fetus during labor and provided details about how it was performed. Study selection relied on two reviewers applying the eligibility criteria and selecting studies for inclusion. More specifically, one reviewer screened all the studies and determined if they were relevant or not and the other reviewer examined all the decisions. In case of a disagreement, the second reviewer's opinion took the priority.

The following information was extracted from each study retrieved with the above-mentioned search, and logged in a preformatted spreadsheet: the article name, authors, PubMed identification number, publication year, whether it passed screening or not (1=passed, 0=excluded), eligibility (1 = passed or 0 = excluded), the study type (human or animal model), the study size (number of subjects), the gestational age of the subjects when available, the follow-up period if applicable, the electrode configuration, sampling frequency, and monitor type. For excluded studies, the reason for its exclusion was also noted: for those excluded at screening, the reason was categorized and recorded [1=EEG not mentioned in abstract or article, 2=irrelevant]. For studies considered non-eligible, the reason was also categorized and recorded (1=No EEG monitoring, 2=No information about EEG acquisition or analysis, 3=EEG done on older children or adults and 4 = fetal EEG not recorded during labor or fetal magnetoencephalogram(fMEG)).

To present individual study data, quantitative data (such as gestational age) were presented as averages and standard deviations.

A Prisma flow diagram was created and all the eligible studies reported in this diagram were reviewed and synthesized.

Each study was classified according to its level of evidence according to the Oxford Center for Evidence-Based medicine level of evidence.⁽¹⁵⁾ Level 1 represented a systematic review of inception cohort studies, systematic review of randomized trials, or n-of-1 trials. Level 2 represented either inception cohort studies, individual cross-sectional studies with the consistently applied reference standard and blinding, randomized controlled trials or observational study with

dramatic effect. Level 3 represented non-consecutive studies, or studies without consistently applied reference standards and non-randomized controlled cohort/follow-up study. Level 4 represented case series, case-control studies, or poor-quality prognostic cohort study. Finally, level 5 represented expert opinions without an explicit critical appraisal, expert recommendations, or first principles as well as case reports (or case series of less than or equal to 5 cases).

Finally, we summarized individual studies findings and used information gathered from some of the clinical studies to provide recommendations for successful enrollment in future studies in L&D units.

Results

A Prisma flow diagram showing the results of our database search and presenting the final number of studies included in the meta-analysis is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram.(16)

Our initial search yielded 248 results. Eight additional studies were added following cross-referenced review bringing the total number of identified studies to 256. Of these, 34 articles were discarded because they were in a foreign language other than French, German or Russian, 23 additional articles because they were non-systematic reviews and 1 more was excluded because we could not get access to the full text. The initial screening with abstract reviewing was therefore performed on 198 studies and the number of relevant studies was further reduced to 136: 14 additional articles were excluded because there was no EEG performed; the remainder of the studies (n = 48) was excluded because they were deemed irrelevant to the subject of our review.

Of these 136 studies, 11 were excluded because they were literature reviews that were not caught in the initial screen and one was an abstract only. The full text was examined for eligibility in the remaining 124 studies.

Of these, 4 studies were excluded because EEG was not performed as part of the experiment (only as a side test), 16 studies were excluded due to lack of information about the EEG acquisition or analysis, 22 studies were excluded because EEG (or fMEG) were performed on the fetus but not intrapartum and 40 studies were excluded because the EEG was performed on neonates after birth, or on older children (i.e., not on fetus or neonates) or adults (the mother). In an additional study, fEEG was studied just before and after labor (but not during), so it was also excluded. One last study was excluded because it was found to be a duplicate from another study written in a different language. Therefore 40 studies were ultimately included in our analysis.

A summary of the 40 eligible studies is provided in Table 1.

Critical evaluation of the level of evidence

Among the 40 eligible studies, none had a level of evidence of 1, 10 studies had a level 2, 11 studies had a level 3, 12 studies had a level 4 and 7 had a level 5. With our search criteria, we identified only a small number of studies with a high level of evidence (i.e., 2 or above), especially studies in humans. In particular, the older studies were mostly either the author's personal experience, case reports, or poor-quality cohort studies as fEEG was in the early experimental stages. However, these studies have the benefits of describing how the technique was developed and perfected over the years to allow determination of normal intrapartum fEEG pattern as well as recognition of patterns that could be indicative of fetal distress. We summarized below the most relevant information gathered from the 40 eligible studies on intrapartum fEEG. We divided them between studies in human fetuses and studies using animal models.

Results of the individual studies

Details about the condition under which the fEEG was performed and the monitoring characteristics for all eligible studies are provided in Table 2.

The first report of fetal EEG was a case report by Lindsley (1942) who studied his own child during the 7th and 8th months of his wife's pregnancy.(17) For this recording, abdominal probes were used and the tracing had a significant amount of artifacts preventing proper assessment.

Most of the eligible studies in humans date back from the 1960s-70s and more precisely originate from Rosen, Chik, and their team who are among the pioneers of fEEG recording during labor. Several of the findings described in these studies seem to overlap and are summarized below.

Studies in human subjects

Electrodes

The use of fEEG in humans using scalp electrodes during labor was initially reported by Bernstine et al. (1955).(18) Later, Rosen and his team perfected the technique.(19) A good electrode was

defined as: 1) safe to use and easily applied during labor, 2) screening out electrical artifacts such as the movement of the fetal head, maternal movements and the electrical “noise” of uterine contractions, 3) eliminating the electrical pattern of the FHR from the tracing and 4) providing EEG of a technical quality equal to that in the extrauterine environment.(20) The group tried different techniques. They initially reported the use of metal skin clips soldered to a shielded cable, coated with non-conductive plastic glue, and filed at their tip to prevent deep scalp penetration.(21) This type of electrodes was replaced by cup electrodes, initially with a platinum needle embedded in a lucid disc (20,21) (with possible skin penetration of 1-2 mm), later replaced by a central silver or platinum pin avoiding penetration of the fetal skin.(22) Although this technique seemed to provide reliable and interpretable fEEG signal, artifacts from fetal electrocardiogram (ECG) or movements of the leads remained a common occurrence and these electrodes required continuous suction to stay in place. Mann et al. (1972) described the use of a vacuum electrode similar to Rosen et al. but with a silver disc electrode used instead of their platinum needle, thus preventing puncture of the fetal scalp. The main feature of their electrode was the 100% conductivity with a silver cup, wire and plug, low resistance, good suction, and no clogging of the orifices to the vacuum source with the use of a mesh filter.(23)

In 1974, Heinrich et al. reported the use of a new intrapartum multimodal fetal monitoring device, the RFT Fetal Monitor BMT-504, that was capable of recording fEEG and tissue oxygen pressure among other parameters (ECG, pressure signals like intraamniotic pressure, temperature, heart rate) combined with either stainless steel clip electrodes or the current standard of care screw electrodes by Corometrics (USA).(24) Weller et al. (1981)(25) later described the use of a flexible electrode incorporating a guard ring surrounding the recording sites and forming the indifferent and common electrodes, with the guard ring acting as a short circuit for fECG. Suction was not needed to maintain in place this type of electrode and its pliability allowed it to be inserted through a 3 cm dilated cervix even if the two electrodes were 23 mm apart. Infrared telemetry was used to display and record fEEG, preventing power line interference, avoiding trailing leads between patient and monitoring equipment, and ensuring electrical safety. Artifacts due to the movement of leads were also prevented by incorporating the first stage of amplification in the composite assembly thus avoiding long wires carrying low-level signals. With this device, artifact-free fEEG recordings were obtained 80% of the time and uterine contraction did not affect the signal. However, in the case of a breech presentation, no fEEG could be recorded.

Problems and limitations of the technique

The two major problems associated with intrapartum fEEG precluding its routine use were technical issues and data interpretation. Placement of electrodes over the occipital area is the area where electrodes are most easily applied but because the occiput is a relatively quiet electrical area of the brain, the parietal area is preferred. Because of the limited space, there is only a limited number of electrodes that can be placed precluding comparison of homologous areas of the brain (Rosen 1965).(21) The moist scalp and uterine environment can attenuate potentials (Rosen 1965). Therefore, isolating the scalp from the environment by using suction allowed the recording of higher amplitude potentials.

Failure to obtain adequate EEG tracing was reported to most often occur when the signal was obscured by fECG (Rosen 1969).(20) Simultaneous recording of fEEG and fECG was shown to aid in the recognition of ECG artifacts (Figure 2).(26) Thankfully, newer electrodes were later

developed to help limit the number of artifacts from fECG and movements.(25) Finally, the use of infrared telemetry to transfer the fEEG to display and recording equipment helped to prevent power line interference as previously mentioned (Weller et al 1981).(25)




Figure 2. Simultaneous recording of fECG and fEEG. Artifacts from fECG effect on fEEG can be identified by recording both traces simultaneously. From (26).

Another initial limitation of the technique was the amount of information that needed to be visually interpreted. Indeed, visual interpretation had significant methodologic and interpretation bias and required certain expertise preventing routine use of fEEG as part of intrapartum monitoring. Evaluation of the value of digitized minute-to-minute and even second-to-second fluctuation in fEEG amplitude and frequency was reported by Peltzmann et al. (1973a)(27). These authors used a computer system to extrapolate the mean baseline fEEG line crosses (per 5-seconds epochs) as well as the mean integrated fEEG amplitude and presented the data in graphs with plotted point corresponding to the calculated mean by 5-seconds epochs. This represented the first steps toward simplification and standardization of fEEG signal analysis.

At the same time, computer algorithms were developed to facilitate fEEG signal interpretation and standardize their evaluation.(28) A computer program was developed to help fEEG analysis by replacing the cumbersome visual analysis in an effort to integrate fEEG in computer-assisted intrapartum data management and was shown to provide 85-95% consistency with visual interpretation.(28) This program classified fEEG patterns as Low Voltage Irregular, Mixed, High Voltage Slow, Trace Alternant, Voltage Depression, Isoelectricity, and Artifact.

Optical processing of the fEEG in the form of the spectral display as an adjunct to digital analytic technique to reduce the ambiguity in fEEG interpretation was initially described by Peltzmann et al. (1973b).(29) Years later, Kurz et al (1981) described the use of spectral power analysis performed in 30 s intervals with the results plotted continually over the course of the entire observation in waterfall style.(30) The authors suggested that continuous fEEG spectral power plotting helped detect artifacts on the fly which still occur and must be dealt with during the interpretation of the fEEG patterns. More recently, Thaler et al. (2000)(14) reported the use of real-time spectral analysis to monitor fEEG during labor as more objective analysis of fEEG signal. Real-time Fast Fourier Transform algorithm allowed the representation of the EEG signals in terms of the relative power of the various frequencies of which it is composed. These frequencies were then displayed by using a density spectral array technique which helps visualize the contribution of each frequency band to the overall power spectrum: delta (0.3 to 3 Hz), theta (4 to 7 Hz), alpha (8 to 11 Hz), sigma (12 to 14 Hz) and beta (15 to 32 Hz). The brightness of a given pixel represented the relative power present at the corresponding frequency element in the fEEG. A spectral time record appeared as a black and white or grayscale image in which a given spectrum

would take up only a single row of pixels. In addition, the display of the Spectral Edge Frequency (SEF) indicated the highest dominant frequency of the fEEG signal (i.e., the frequency below which 90% of the spectral power resides). More recently, our team created automated algorithms for unsupervised EEG-FHR monitoring and for the detection of EEG-FHR patterns pathognomonic of adaptive brain shut-down as an early response to incipient acidemia and cardiovascular decompensation. This method was shown to have a positive predictive value (PPV) of 70% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 100%.(31)

Intrapartum EEG findings

The early studies described the fEEG signal observed during labor under different conditions and while most of them were initially just observations, they allowed to gain the experience needed to determine what a normal fEEG during labor should look like and what should be interpreted as abnormal.(12,20,21,23,32–36)

To document the fEEG activity the technique of evoked response can be used (20), although results can be quite unpredictable with significant artifacts.(37)

A summary of EEG findings associated with normal labor, abnormal labor, and following drug administration is presented in Table 3.

1. EEG findings during normal labor

During labor, a low voltage baseline pattern is noted.(21) The study of 14 acceptable fEEG revealed that the voltages varied from 5 to 50 $\mu\text{V}/\text{cm}$ and the wave frequencies were found between 1 and 25 Hz.(20) A small change in electrical activity was noted after delivery and rarely low voltage (20 μV), faster (8 per second) waves compared to the fEEG trace seen 30 s after delivery, and not seen before were observed after the umbilical cord was clamped. On most tracings, the electrical activity before and after the first breath and before and after the cord was clamped did not appear to change abruptly.(21,38) As the recording continued, the electrical activity slowly increased in voltage and approached that seen in similar brain regions in neonates. About 5 min after delivery, the tracing could not be distinguished from the tracing of alert neonates several hours old. Rosen et al (1965) concluded that fEEG activity recorded early in labor has a baseline pattern similar to that of the alert neonate.(21) Studies of 125 additional fEEG by the same team confirmed that the fEEG patterns observed during normal labor were similar to those present in neonates of the same weight. The wave frequencies varied between 0.5 and 25 Hz with the predominant frequencies in the 2.5 - 5 Hz (Rosen 1970).(19) Similarly, Chachava et al (1969)(39) reported fEEG findings during 20 normal labors and found that healthy (physiological) fEEG was characterized by low-amplitude waves of 0.04 - 2 seconds duration which the authors note was within the range of the reported spectrum of antenatal fEEG frequencies observed (0.5 - 12 - 15 - 30 waves/second according to Humar & Jawinen as well as according to Bernstein & Borkowski).(18,40) They reported an amplitude of 10 - 30 μV with the observation of alpha, beta, theta, and delta waves.

Hopp et al (1972) reported simultaneous acquisition of fEEG and cardiotocogram (CTG) during labor using 3 scalp electrodes (2 biparietal and 1 midline). Normal fEEG was characterized by an amplitude ranging between 10 and 70 μV with high variability in frequencies ranging between 2 - 20 seconds. They also concluded that fEEG and neonatal EEG are basically identical and could

not be differentiated from each other (Figure 3). Borgstedt et al. (1975) also reported normal fEEG showing wave frequencies of 0.5 to 25 Hz with an amplitude generally between 50 and 100 $\mu\text{V}/\text{cm}$, similar to neonatal EEG.(22) Three studies from the same group reported similar findings with intrapartum fEEG showing alternance of active and quiet sleep phase similar to neonates.(33,34,38)




Figure 3. Intra and post-partum fetal/neonatal EEG recordings showing the great similarity between both traces. From Hopp (1972).(26)

In a study by Mann et al. (1972), adequate fEEG were obtained and studied in 50 patients.(23) The EEG prior to, during and following a very intense contraction (approximately 95 mmHg after oxytocin infusion) was characterized by a rhythm consisting of 1 to 3 Hz waves with an amplitude of about 40 to 75 μV with superimposed faster frequencies of 4 to 8 Hz and 10 to 30 μV . There were no significant changes in the fEEG signal during the uterine contraction and this fEEG was very similar to that of the same patient examined 18 hours after birth. The lack of influence of uterine contractions or expulsion on the fEEG signal was also documented by Chachava et al (1972) and Challamel et al. (1974).(33,41) Similarly, fEEG recorded during the second stage of labor did not show any alteration in frequency, amplitude and pattern of fEEG despite the increase in uterine pressure associated with maternal pushing (a contraction of abdominal wall muscles) (Rosen 1973a).(12) Conversely, in a study using simultaneous CTG and fEEG recording under conditions of intermittent hypoxia due to uterine contractions, fEEG showed a reduction of frequency and increase of wave amplitude during contractions.(42)

During spontaneous birth, a low voltage irregular activity was noted as well as artifactual distortion of the fEEG baseline characterized by large rolling waves of almost 2 s in duration due to electrodes movements when the vertex moves rapidly and the fEEG is recorded in the microvolt range (Rosen 1973a). This appears to be a common problem during the birth process.

The effect of head compression associated with cephalopelvic disproportion on fetal brain activity was studied and no significant differences in fEEG findings between the group with cephalopelvic disproportion and the group without it were noted.(43)

Using real-time spectral analysis, a more objective method of fEEG assessment, Thaler et al (2000) identified two fundamental fEEG patterns in the recording: high voltage slow activity (HVSA) (quiet behavioral state) and low voltage fast activity (LVFA) (active behavioral state).(14) FHR accelerations were typically associated with periods of LVFA but there was no relationship between uterine contractions and SEF or density spectral array (DSA) (power spectrum). The 90% SEF was found to be an excellent index of cyclic EEG activity. When combining the results of the 14 fetuses, it was found that on average, LVSA was present 60.1% of the time and HVSA was present 39.9% of the time.

2. Abnormal EEG findings

Chachava et al (1969) first reported fEEG during complicated labor and presented the case of a baby born asphyxiated and demised within 15 min postpartum.(39) The fEEG showed fast activity around 6 waves/s that was suggested to represent brain hypoxia but the changes were not considered unique and pathognomonic. High amplitude low-frequency waves were, in their experience, signs of intrapartum brain injury.

During labor, transient or persistent fEEG changes can be observed. Usually, persistent changes are considered to be abnormal if they occur between two events such as uterine contraction or expulsion efforts leading to a progressive deterioration of the fEEG activity (Revol 1977).(44)

Evaluation of the fEEG signal associated with FHR changes revealed different situations which we summarized below.

Simultaneous recording of fEEG and CTG/FHR revealed that slow waves and frequency decrease could be observed during and shortly after uterine contractions and were seen as an expression of short-term brain ischemia due to an increase in intracranial pressure (Figure 4). The vagal stimulation inducing the early decelerations in CTG was also due to an increase of intracranial pressure, but indirectly: the primary vagus stimulation trigger was seen as being due to transient cerebral hypoperfusion during uterine contraction. Fetal bradycardia, especially during contraction-associated late decelerations, was accompanied by a reduction in fEEG waves (lower frequency) and occurrence of fEEG spike potentials.(26)




Figure 4. Cardiotocogram (top) and fEEG (bottom) recorded during early cardiac deceleration. The fEEG pattern represents the change during contractions with high amplitude low-frequency waves and the recovery once the contractions ceased. From Hopp (1972).(26)

In one study by Rosen et al. (32), transient fEEG changes were noted during FHR deceleration. The fEEG appeared to lose faster rhythms, followed by a more apparent slowing. As the condition persisted, isoelectric to almost flat periods with rare bursts of fEEG were seen. Finally, a totally isoelectric interval was observed sometimes for longer than 10 s (rarely more than 30 s). As the FHR returned to its baseline rate, the reverse of this progression took place with the entire sequence from onset to return lasting from 30 s to sometimes longer than one minute. These changes were not seen with early FHR deceleration but were observed with variable decelerations and late decelerations. They were also observed during prolonged spontaneous expulsion or expulsion of a distressed infant.(33) In another study, using simultaneous CTG and fEEG recording, severe variable decelerations were also associated with waves of low amplitude and near isoelectricity and intermittent spike potentials between contractions (Figure 5).(42)

Revol et al. (1977)(44) also documented the fEEG changes during spontaneous expulsion and noted the following events: either no fEEG changes or transient fEEG changes not exceeding 20 s (Type I) (n=7); EEG changes that disappear just before the expulsion effort (Type II); and persistent fEEG changes (Type III). During expulsion, the relationship between fEEG and FHR revealed that Type I fEEG was 6 out of 7 times associated with transient or no FHR changes whereas Type II and Type III fEEG changes were associated with bradycardia. In their cases, early deceleration was only associated with fEEG changes with FHR below 90 bpm.(44) Spontaneous tachycardia (>160bpm) and bradycardia were associated with fEEG changes (decreased activity and flattening of the trace).(38) However, tachycardia following atropine administration was not associated with any fEEG changes.(38) Using spectral power analysis, Kurz et al (1981) also observed a relationship between the degree of spectral fEEG suppression and the FHR decelerations induced by uterine contractions.(30)




Figure 5. Simultaneous recording of fetal ECG (top trace), two-channel fEEG (middle two traces), and FHR (bottom trace). This figure shows fEEG changes during severe variable deceleration. The fEEG trace shows waves of low amplitude and near isoelectricity as well as intermittent spike potentials between contractions. From (42).

Simultaneous recording of fEEG and fECG during labor after premature rupture of membranes (PROM) in a group of healthy women and a group of women with nephropathy, was reported by Nemeadze et al (1978).(45) Normal fEEG characteristics were 1-16 Hz, 10 - 30 μ V, asynchronous, dysrhythmic activity; PROM had a significant effect on these parameters of fEEG. In contrast, ECG parameters did not differ between fetuses experiencing PROM and controls.

The effect of forceps birth on fetal brain activity was also evaluated.(12,21,33,34,38) FEEG recorded during labor involving forceps application required placement of the two electrodes along the sagittal suture and between the fontanelles to avoid the forceps blade (compared to their placement over the parietal region for normal birth).(12) Aperiodic, 60 μ V, 0.5-5/s slow waves were reported to become more apparent when forceps was applied or when the vertex was on the perineum and the mother bore down.(21) Forceps application was not associated with any changes in the fEEG signal but during traction an almost flat tracing was observed. Tracing resembling a burst suppression pattern could also be observed in some cases.(12) Another study comparing high and low forceps extraction revealed that high forceps extraction was always associated with fEEG changes during the traction phase and was characterized by flattening of the trace returning to normal after a few seconds if the extraction was short and not too intense.(33) Repeated and prolonged tractions were associated with persistent isoelectric trace up to the birth of the child and sometimes persisting for at least 20 minutes after birth.(33,38)

A significant correlation between the development of electrocerebral silence in the fEEG during the final hour of the first stage of labor and the development of fetal acidosis at the end of the first stage of labor was reported.(43) The rapid deterioration in the fetal EEG occurred as the pH fell and even at preacidotic levels (pH of 7.2 to 7.25) marked changes were present with the cessation of electrical activity in the fetal brain. A significant relationship was also noted between the increasing percentage of electrocerebral silence and the development of FHR deceleration patterns during labor. In the study by Wilson (1979), different from Rosen et al (1973), early FHR deceleration was associated with prolonged silence in the fEEG. Intermittent suppression of fetal brain electrical activity during FHR decelerations induced by umbilical cord occlusions and also arising at around pH values of 7.2 was reported in fetal sheep models of human labor.(46,47)

Another fEEG study in 11 cases of fetal distress revealed a loss of fEEG lability, sometimes similar to the awake state. These changes were usually transient during events or maneuvers.(33) A decrease in fEEG amplitude and frequency has been reported during the uterine hypertonicity of hyperkinesia.(33,34) Revol and his team studied fEEG changes associated with fetal distress in 37 cases (fetal distress diagnosed with a combination of abnormal scalp pH, umbilical blood pH, and Apgar score at 1 min). In 4 additional cases, some changes in fEEG were suspicious for fetal distress. The fEEG was abnormal in 39 of these 41 cases. The 26 cases for which all the aforementioned criteria of fetal distress were present had the lowest 1 min Apgar score (between 1 and 7). In 8 of these cases, *in utero* resuscitation measures allowed improvement of biological (i.e., pH) values and FHR. However, only in 2 cases did the fEEG normalize before birth which supports a delay in fEEG recovery compared to other criteria.(44) Another study looked at the correlation between abnormal fEEG findings and the 1 minute and 5 minutes Apgar scores in high-risk cases.(48) Prolonged voltage suppression periods (below 20 μ V), usually present from the beginning of the fEEG recording and persisting throughout, presented a distinctive pattern significantly correlated with a low 1 min and 5 min Apgar scores. This pattern was also correlated

with the employment of postpartum resuscitative measures and with the degree of resuscitation.(48)

In a study by Hopp et al (1973), simultaneous evaluation of fEEG, fetal ECG, and CTG, during the first and second stages of labor was shown to improve the detection of the fetus at risk of brain injury.(49) They reported a series of patterns pathognomonic for abnormal fEEG: 1) extremely high voltage activity ($> 80 \mu V$), 2) extremely low voltage activity($< 10 \mu V$), 3) spike potentials as a sign of epileptiform activity, 4) bihemispheric differences, 5) reduction of fEEG frequency during a pathologically silent FHR pattern.(26)

During the same period, Rosen and his team also reported one major fEEG abnormality, the non-transient sharp waves defined as repetitive waves always of the same polarity, generally higher in amplitude than the surrounding fEEG and generally less than 50 ms in duration.(32) When observed, they were usually present at the onset of recording and continued throughout labor and seemed to be more frequent in children neurologically abnormal at one year of age. This observation was later confirmed by retrospective fEEG evaluations to see if the infant outcome at one year of age with regard to neurological status could be predicted.(22,50) Sharp waves that appeared in isolation and not as part of burst activity were identified as abnormal. Isolated sharp waves were noted to be more frequent in newborns with abnormal neurologic findings than in those neurologically normal and were significantly associated with neurological abnormalities at one year of age.(22,50)

The retrospective comparison of intrapartum fEEG from neurologically abnormal infants at one year of age to neurologically normal children revealed that the combination of sharp waves and low voltage did not occur in the normal population suggesting that this type of activity may indicate fetal distress requiring intervention.(32,50) To further confirm these findings, the previously described computer program developed by Chik et al.(28) was used to retrospectively evaluate artifact-free EEG of these neurologically normal and neurologically abnormal infants.(51–53) In the neurologically normal group, the mixed pattern was predominant accounting for 41.2% of the 10,511 epochs evaluated. The trace alternant pattern accounted for 32.2%, high voltage slow pattern for 21.5%, and low voltage irregular pattern for 4.4% of the patterns. Less than 0.2% showed depression or isoelectric signal. In the neurologically abnormal infants, low voltage irregular activity accounted for 17.85% of the epochs, mixed activity for 30.5%, high voltage slow activity for 18.1%, and trace alternant for 33.2%. Less than 0.2% of the epochs showed depression or isoelectric signals. The number of observed fEEG patterns in abnormal cases was significantly different from normal cases. The relative frequency of low voltage irregular pattern was increased with a decrease in mixed and high voltage slow patterns. The mean relative frequency of low voltage irregular pattern was significantly greater in the 1 min lower Apgar score (less than 9). Low voltage irregular patterns were shown to occur more frequently in the neurologically abnormal group (compared to the neurologically normal group). The same group used a computer-interpreted EEG to try to predict the infant neurological outcome at one year. Using fEEG patterns alone (by looking at the relative frequency of low voltage irregular, high voltage slow, mixed, and trace alternant patterns), almost two-thirds of the neurologically normal infants and of the abnormal infants were correctly classified. Using intrapartum fEEG and FHR patterns simultaneously provided slightly better results to predict neurologically normal infants but gave the same results for the neurologically abnormal ones. Combining intrapartum data with postpartum data, including 1 minute, 5 minute Apgar scores, and neonatal neurologic

examinations, about 80% of the infants were correctly classified (Chik 1977).(53,54) These results show that combining multiple methods of peripartum fetal monitoring allows better detection of fetal distress that could affect long term neurological outcome.

3. Effect of drugs

Six studies reported their observations of fEEG following maternal general anesthesia with different drugs and described some characteristic changes.(19,27,33,34,38,42) FEEG recorded following maternal anesthesia with alfatesine at a continuous rate infusion (CRI) showed changes between 1 to 11 min (mean 3.5 min) following the beginning of the CRI. Initially, theta waves occurring in clusters altering the baseline rhythm were noted. These fEEG changes eventually disappeared to the point of reaching a discontinuous aspect with alternance of theta wave clusters and isoelectric state. Electrical silence could also be observed. Theta activity was noted to persist for about 30 min after birth. The fEEG baseline activity reappeared about 40 min after birth with the persistence of occasional theta activity during different vigilance states associated with anesthesia. FEEG changes were more pronounced if fetal distress was also present.

The effect of ketamine on fEEG showed similar changes with sharp theta activity on an initially normal baseline with a progression to fewer waves and flattening of the trace to the point of isoelectricity with occasional bursts of theta activity approximately within 3 minutes following drug administration.(38,44) Barbiturates such as sodium thiopental were associated with the more significant changes with long periods of isoelectric traces.(33,38) Meperidine and diazepam were not found to be associated with any fEEG changes in a very small case series.(27) Conversely, meperidine was associated with early fEEG changes characterized by a transient increase in delta and theta wave frequencies (2.5-5 Hz), about 50 μ V in amplitude, first seen between 1 and 2 minutes after intravenous injection of the drug followed by a trace-alternant-like pattern of bursty activity within 5 min after the mother was given the medication.(19) This pattern could last as long as 2 h after the injection. These results suggested a rapid transfer of the drug from the mother to the fetus. As the time interval after injection increased, the presence of faster, lower voltage forms (5-10 μ V, 15-25 Hz) in the beta range would become more obvious.(19)

The effect of the administration of 50 mg of pethidine was reported and revealed a reduction of amplitude and frequency of fEEG activity about 1 minute after the injection.(42) These changes were more pronounced at 4 min post-injection. At 6 min post-injection, resynchronization was observed. These effects persisted for 25 min and fEEG normalized more or less within 105 min post-injection.

Minor fEEG changes were noted with local anesthesia and were characterized by high-frequency rhythms with clusters of rhythmic theta waves.(33) EEG changes associated with anesthetic persisted for 1 to 3 days after birth. In two very small case studies, paracervical block with 1% mepivacaine was associated with a decrease in fEEG amplitude with a questionable effect on the frequency.(27,29) Lastly, caudal or paracervical carbocaine administration was shown to produce pattern changes consisting of an increase in higher voltage (50 μ V/cm) bursty waves (15-25 Hz). These changes appeared to be transient.(19) In the presence of penthrane, a trace alternant picture persisted while the gas was being administered during the terminal stages of labor.(19)

The effect of diazepam injection (10 mg) on fEEG was also reported. The fEEG frequency decreased within 30 min post-injection and the amplitude increased to 80 μ V with normalization of neonatal EEG recorded 40 min after the injection.(42)

The persistence of all recording voltages below 20 μ V with prolonged intervals of isoelectricity, described as low voltage tracing, was observed in less mature infants in the presence of analgesic medications.(32) This pattern was associated with an initially normal amplitude and the pattern of recording changing to persistent low voltage with prolonged periods of isoelectricity.

Finally, in a study comparing fEEG before and after oxygen (O₂) administration by mask to 20 mothers during labor, it was shown that O₂ administration caused fEEG changes within 1min30s to 2min after initiation of O₂ characterized by a progressive increase in amplitude and frequency of the waves (from 1-5 Hz to 8-12 Hz) reaching a maximum at 7-8 minutes followed by a decrease in the activity of the trace to return to baseline activity after 12-15 minutes in half of the cases.(55)

Studies using animal models

All the animal studies deemed eligible used a fetal sheep model. Because of the similarities between ovine and human fetal physiology,(56) this species is considered a reliable model to study fetal cerebral development.(57) First, the sheep fetus displays cerebral hemodynamics similar to that in humans. Second, the sheep fetal cardiovascular and EEG data can be derived in the unanesthetized state. Third, similar to the human fetus (58–61), the sheep fetus displays a very limited range of cerebral autoregulation under normal conditions and they both have a pressure-passive cerebral circulation when subjected to systemic hypoxia and the associated hypotension.(62,63)(64–66) Such hypotensive response is amplified in chronically hypoxic pregnancies, such as with IUGR, where fetal myocardial glycogen reserves are more rapidly depleted under conditions of umbilical cord occlusions (UCO).(67,68)

The 6 studies selected used transient UCO mimicking what can happen during labor with uterine contractions and therefore represent a good model compromise to study intrapartum fetal distress and fEEG.

De Haan et al. 1997 reported fEEG changes in sheep fetuses following repeated UCO of different duration (1 min every 2.5 min or 2 min every 5 minutes) compared to sham controls.(69) During the occlusions, there was a progressive fall in fEEG intensity, more pronounced in the group with the longer UCO. FEEG activity at the final occlusion and recovery to normal sleep cycling patterns were similar in the two UCO groups. A fall in SEF during UCO followed by rapid normalization during recovery was similar in the two asphyxiated groups. Two characteristic patterns of electrophysiologic changes were noted. In the baseline period, there was normal sleep cycling characterized by an alternation of high voltage and low voltage fEEG activity. During the occlusions, the fEEG intensity decreased to eventually reach a trough at the final occlusion and recovered thereafter. In fetuses that subsequently developed only selective neuronal loss as assessed on histologic evaluation, the fEEG rapidly recovered, associated with very little epileptiform or spike activity. Conversely, fEEG tracing indicating more epileptiform activity was seen in the fetuses with most extensive neurologic damage and the EEG recovery was slower in the more severely damaged fetuses. In comparison, sham fetuses showed no changes in fEEG

activity (and had postmortem evaluation). Despite a similar frequency of the asphyxia periods, the longer episodes of cord occlusion appeared to have a greater initial effect on the fEEG with significantly more epileptiform and spike activity than the shorter one reflecting the cumulative effect of intermittent ischemia with longer hypotensive periods on fEEG and brain injury.

Thorngren-Jerneck et al. (2001) also reported the effect of UCO on fEEG and compared the fEEG signal of 3 groups: one subjected to total UCO until the cardiac arrest, one sham control group and one healthy control group.(70) The fEEG became rapidly flat during the cord occlusion in all lambs subjected to UCO and remained isoelectric during the 4 h after delivery. Conversely, the fEEG was “normal,” i.e., showing continuous activity with mixed frequencies, in sham and healthy controls during the 4 h after delivery. Using positron emission tomography, they also demonstrated that global cerebral metabolic rate was significantly reduced 4 h after fetal asphyxia induced by UCO. Their findings suggest that prolonged isoelectricity identified on EEG after birth is an indication of severe fetal distress and that a reduction in the brain’s metabolic rate represents an early indicator of global hypoxic cerebral ischemia.

In another study by Kaneko et al. (2003)(71), fetal sheep were subjected to UCO without regard to the electrocortical state activity every 90 minutes, and over 6 hours (for a total of four UCOs). The electrocortical activity was monitored continuously and assessed by visual analysis into periods of high voltage ($>100 \mu\text{V}$) and low voltage ($<50 \mu\text{V}$). Following UCO, an indeterminate electrocortical pattern became apparent with initially lower than baseline electrocortical state and then gradually increasing toward a high-voltage electrocortical state but with no evident cycling. The fetal electrocortical activity was disrupted markedly by 4 minutes of UCO, with an abrupt flattening of the electrocorticogram (ECoG). With the release of the cord occluder, the ECoG amplitude increased steadily over several minutes. These results show that UCO resulted in a progressive decrease in ECoG amplitude with most animals showing a flat ECoG by 90 s but with rapid recovery in voltage amplitude after the release of the occluder. These results are similar to what has been reported in humans following severe cardiac deceleration.(32,43)

Our team conducted several studies using a fetal sheep model of human labor and showed that certain changes in fEEG accurately predicted severe acidemia during labor with sufficient lead-time to potentially intervene and perform a cesarean section.(31,46,47)(72) We identified pathognomonic changes in fetal electrocortical activity predictive of cardiovascular decompensation and severe acidemia, and with sufficient (~60 min) lead-time to potentially intervene and perform a cesarean section using ECoG and EEG recordings, where electrodes are placed directly on the dura for optimal signal quality or sewed into fetal sheep’s skin, respectively.(46)(31)

The utility of joint fEEG-FHR monitoring is based on the consistent emergence of synchronized UCO-triggered blood pressure, and fEEG-FHR changes, prior to reaching a severe level of fetal acidemia where brain injury might occur. The fetal blood pressure showed a pathological hypotensive behavior concomitant with the EEG-FHR changes during FHR decelerations (Fig 5). These changes are thought to be due to adaptive brain shut-down, triggered at a pH of about 7.20. Of note, Yumoto *et al.* also reported a pH of 7.20 to be the critical value, below which fetal myocardial contractility begins to decrease.(73) Adaptive brain shutdown prevents the brain from passing from upper to lower ischemic flow thresholds.(74,75) When the fetal brain blood flow falls beneath the lower ischemic flow threshold, permanent neurological injury occurs.(46)




Fig. 5: Emergence of EEG-FHR pattern in a fetal sheep model. A representative 10 min recording made during the early stage of severe umbilical cord occlusions (UCOs) at a pH of about 7.2 and about 60 min prior to pH dropping to less than 7.00 indicated cardiovascular decompensation (hypotensive fetal systemic arterial blood pressure; ABP) in response to FHR deceleration triggered by UCO. It shows the pathognomonic fEEG pattern (black bar = 2.5 min). Red arrows indicate the pathognomonic burst-like EEG activity correlated in time to the FHR decelerations and pathological ABP decreases during the UCOs. UCOs continued until pH < 7.00 was reached in each fetus (about 4 hours). Fetal arterial blood samples were taken each 20 min. This timing corresponds to pH of 7.20 seen in 20% of births.(76) From Wang et al.(31) EEG = electroencephalogram, μV ; ECoG = electrocorticogram, μV ; ABP = fetal systemic arterial blood pressure, mmHg; FHR = fetal heart rate, bpm; UCOs = umbilical cord occlusions, mmHg (rise in occlusion pressure corresponds to an UCO).

Finally, the chronically instrumented non-anesthetized fetal sheep model with UCO was also used to study the presence of epileptiform activity during rewarming from moderate hypothermia, one of the undesirable outcomes associated with this common therapy for HIE.(77) Cerebral ischemia was induced by transient carotid occlusion corroborated by the onset of an isoelectric fEEG signal within 30 s of occlusion. Sheep fetuses were randomized to either cooling or sham cooling starting at 6 h after ischemia and continued until 72 h. Rebound electrical seizure events were observed in about 50% of the cooled animal and 7% of the sham-cooled animals. These results demonstrated

that following a severe ischemic insult treated with moderate cerebral hypothermia, rapid rewarming was associated with a significant but transient increase in EEG-defined seizure events.

Taken together, these findings, similar to what has been reported in humans, further emphasize the relevance of the fetal sheep model to study labor-associated fetal and neonatal cerebral ischemia and develop and validate new monitoring and therapeutic interventions.

Synthesis of results

The systematic analysis of the literature on intrapartum fEEG remains relatively scarce and somewhat outdated with a lot of redundant or confirmatory information. However, studies in human patients, corroborated by studies using animal models suggest that this monitoring modality can provide invaluable information about fetal brain activity that significantly influences and predicts the neurological development of the newborn.(22,31,51,52)

One of the key features of fEEG is the ability to potentially detect cerebral activity changes secondary to fetal distress sooner than with evaluation of FHR alone and more continuously than by relying only on fetal scalp blood pH, a technique hardly used in the modern practice.(43,46,77) If the technical difficulties associated with electrode placements have been mostly removed,(25) the problem of objective data analysis and interpretation, although improved by the use of computer algorithms (28,31,51,52) and spectral analysis (14,27,29,30) remains a significant limiting factor in democratizing the use of intrapartum fEEG as part of the routine labor monitoring. Despite compelling evidence that joint fEEG and FHR monitoring and detection of pathognomonic patterns associated with fetal distress are key features of intrapartum fetal health assessment, the development of methods allowing unsupervised monitoring of these two variables without requiring a high level of expertise, remains in its infancy.

Furthermore, as most human studies were either retrospective cohort studies or case series, more clinical prospective studies are needed to further establish the utility of fEEG monitoring intrapartum. We identified clinical study designs likely to succeed in bringing this monitoring modality as a bedside test in the unique setting of L&D and will be discussing them below.

Risk of bias across studies

To limit the risk of bias for each individual study, we ought to assess the studies at the outcome level. However, because the majority of the eligible studies, particularly the ones in humans, reported mainly descriptive findings, this turned out to be extremely challenging. Indeed, a lot of these studies just described fEEG traces of selected cases.(20,21,25,27,29,32–35,37,78). In fact, only 4 studies analyzed the fEEG in relation to the outcome at one year and are from the same group (with the same cohort for all but one study).(22,50–52)

We did try to limit bias in study selection by not just including studies in English, but also those in French, German and Russian which added 30 studies to the screening process with 14 ultimately deemed eligible.

Discussion

Summary of evidence

The review of the aforementioned eligible studies allowed us to establish some key-points about intrapartum fEEG. A normal baseline intrapartum fEEG activity was reported in several studies with evidence of alternance of sleep/wake states including two types of sleep behaviors (active and quiet).(14,21,23,35,38,79) This “normal” intrapartum fEEG activity was similar to that of a newborn of the same age and same birth weight. Similarly, several studies identified patterns suggestive of fetal distress. Drugs, in particular, if given systemically, were shown to influence fEEG activity. Finally, a correlation was established between fEEG activity, FHR deceleration, Apgar scores (1 minute and 5 minutes), and these factors were shown to be useful to predict the neurological outcome of the infants at one year of age. Animal studies using fetal sheep models and UCO were able to reproduce some of the abnormalities associated with fetal distress and showed that fEEG activity assessment could be a useful monitoring tool to help detect abnormal fetal brain activity associated with intrapartum complications.

The “normal” intrapartum fEEG activity was reported by several studies as a low voltage baseline pattern that varies from 5 to 50 μV per cm, with waves frequencies between 0.5 and 25 Hz. A predominant theta activity or an alternance of delta and theta activity were observed.(23,35) It is interesting to note that none of these early studies reported fEEG amplitude above 200 μV . We were able to record intrapartum fEEG with a fetal scalp electrode with amplitudes around 400 μV . The data was acquired at 1000 Hz. In this case, the amplitude of the raw signal is about twice the reported maximum of about 200 μV . (14,23,79). It is possible that this high amplitude is the result of the effect of diazepam administration as reported by Khopp (1977).(80) It is also possible that the older technologies and the filters used about 50 years ago might have prevented the capture of the intermittent faster waves with higher amplitude. This assumption is supported by the following: if we filter our recording similarly (i.e., 0.5 - 12 Hz), the fEEG tracing resembles more what these studies presented (amplitude below 200 μV) (Fig. 6). A distinctive high-/low-frequency behavioral state pattern during the first stage of labor is seen as an alternance of 10 Hz and 2 Hz fEEG activity (Fig. 6, TOP). It would, therefore, be interesting to repeat some of these older studies with the newest digital EEG technology.




Figure 6. Fetal EEG recording from the standard fetal scalp electrode during the first stage of labor. A period of ten minutes is shown with fEEG tracing (bottom) filtered 0.5-12 Hz and the corresponding power spectral analysis (top left) and wavelet transform (top left) to demonstrate the time-frequency behavior of fEEG. Note switching between delta and alpha-band activity. The

X-axis shows time, with each segment corresponding to 0.5 min for a total of 10 min. Signal processing was performed in EEGLAB using Matlab 2013b, MathWorks, Mattick, MA.

Different studies have identified distinctive patterns suggestive of fetal distress and potentially associated with an abnormal outcome at one year of age. Particularly, sharp waves and long voltage depression were both reported to be more commonly identified in cases of fetal distress and neurologically abnormal children at one year of age.(12,22,48,51,52)

The effect of intrapartum drug administration to the mother (for analgesia or anesthesia) was also reported in different studies and appeared more significant if the drug was given systemically (in comparison to local anesthesia).(19,27,29,33,34,38)

One of the current limitations for routine use of fEEG monitoring remains the expertise required to read and interpret the tracing. Computer algorithms and methods to digitize the fEEG signal (including spectral analysis) have been developed but have remained experimental, failing to be translated to day-to-day practice.(14,28,31) Computer-assisted fEEG reading and interpretation should be further developed to help democratize this tool allowing its routine use in an L&D unit.

The information gathered from fEEG, FHR monitoring, scalp pH measurements, Apgar score used as control measures of fetal health, and their relationships with one another were studied and the invaluable information they can provide have been demonstrated in several studies.(12,22,34,43,48,53) Therefore fEEG and FHR monitoring should ideally be part of the standard of care for intrapartum surveillance allowing earlier detection of fetal distress and identification of infants at risk of abnormal neurological long term outcomes to allow timely course corrections before the irreversible injury occurs.

Animal studies, and more precisely the ones using sheep model and UCO mimicking condition of fetal ischemia have proven useful to yield better knowledge of fEEG and its usefulness as a monitoring tool during labor.(31,46,69–71) They are also useful in comparing treatment outcomes as shown by Gerrits et al. (2005).(77) However, while the benefit of translational medicine is indisputable, proper studies in human subjects and particularly prospective studies are still required to further establish the utility of fEEG monitoring intrapartum. Because this type of studies can be very challenging to conduct, in addition to the research aspects of fEEG, the research setting, and organization of the protocol are important for eventual success.

Recommendations for successful case recruitment in clinical prospective studies

Below we summarize our experience with conducting a prospective fEEG study at an L&D unit (Fig. 7). The study recruitment process begins with two forms of passive engagement. A potential participant's first exposure to the study is an informational flyer near the L&D reception desk. As the potential participant moves through the L&D ward, they will encounter bright purple door flyers denoting another occupant's participation in the study. Both of these engagements are low to medium impact and do not require interaction with study personnel. However, the name recognition and potential assurance of other families participating in the study lay the foundation for later direct interaction with study personnel. The next step in the recruitment process is this direct interaction. L&D staff identify potential study families and communicate the room numbers

to study personnel. A recruitment-trained study staff member then approaches the family with an informed consent form and a summary sheet that further simplifies the objectives of the study. This step only gains initial interest from the family and is dependent on the placement of a fetal scalp electrode (FSE). If an FSE is utilized during the delivery, L&D staff will inform the technical study personnel to confirm consent and connect the study device. The device will record the data for future analysis.




Figure 7. Suggested study protocol. Fetal EEG recording during labor will be followed by cord blood measurements at birth to determine the degree of acidemia and the neonatal morbidity score. FSE = fetal scalp electrode; EEG = electroencephalogram; HR = heart rate.

Obtaining clinical data for assessing the automated algorithms proved more difficult than the initial study design anticipated. Recruitment of eligible families fell well below the initial study benchmarks. We, therefore, reviewed the consent and recruitment process to better communicate the goals of collecting the necessary data. Our review determined that simplifying a study's intervention down to the required effort by families and direct impact helped cut through many potential barriers to initial participant interest. Simple solutions included accompanying a three to five bullet-point summary sheet to complement the informed consent process. When reviewing the required informed consent form, the bullet point summary helped remind a laboring mother what the study required of her family. Another way we revised our process was to be cognizant of the laboring mother's attention span and the number of hospital personnel involved with the family's clinical visit. We retrained staff to keep interactions as brief as possible. Families are inundated with rounding clinical staff across multiple shifts; a lengthy interaction with study personnel for an optional study was likely to be dismissed by a laboring mother.

Upon review of other studies, we discovered that this was a common mistake in subject recruitment. Often, L&D studies overlook a subject's combination of being unfamiliar with their situation, being mentally/physically overwhelmed, having a short attention span, and being

unfamiliar with the consent process. We felt that our simple mitigating processes helped increase our potential subjects' interest.

Fetal EEG during pregnancy

Although the focus of this review was on intrapartum fEEG studies, we cannot completely overlook the valuable information gathered from antepartum fetal magnetoencephalogram (fMEG) studies. FEEG recording was first described by Lindsey (1942) in a 7-month fetus in utero and later described by Okamoto (1951) who identified EEG activity in the fetus as early as 12 weeks old.(81) In 1985, Blum et al. described a new technique, the fMEG, to record fetal brain electrical activity in utero.(82) The technique had the benefit of being non-invasive, yet allowing to obtain fMEG traces of decent quality. The technique was further perfected by Eswaran and his colleagues to minimize artifacts mainly from maternal ECG and fetal ECG as well as from the environment.(83) The fMEG allowed to study MEG patterns associated with fetal brain maturation similar to what is seen in preterm infants.(84) The technique was also used to study behavioral states and sleep patterns associated with the gestational age allowing to gain better insight into the developing brain (Haddad 2011).(85) As the knowledge on antepartum fMEG/fEEG expands further, it will necessarily affect the more specific intrapartum situation. Therefore, to better understand intrapartum fEEG, staying up to date on the literature related to the antepartum EEG/MEG monitoring is necessary.

Conclusions

In this systematic review of the literature on intrapartum fEEG, we found that if a “normal” baseline EEG activity can be successfully recorded, abnormal patterns suggestive of fetal distress can also be observed. The combination of fEEG analysis with FHR monitoring as well as Apgar score can help identify patients at risk allowing early intervention. This should also help identify when the situation is not alarming, preventing unnecessary measures such as C-section. The majority of the studies date back from the 70s with the potential that some of their data could be invalidated by newer technologies. Therefore, there is a great need for more studies on fEEG in L&D setting, in particular prospective ones.

Funding

MGF's work on this subject has been funded, in part, by Western Innovation Fund (WIF), Women's Development Council, London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada; Molly Towell Perinatal Research Foundation (MTPRF), Fonds de Recherche du Québec - Santé (FRQS), Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR), the University of Washington's Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology. We gratefully acknowledge the Perinatal Research Lab of Dr. Bryan Richardson who had supported this research in the initial stages. The referenced fetal sheep UCO models and experiments came from this lab.

Disclosures

MGF holds a patent "EEG Monitor of Fetal Health" US9,215,999 and has a start-up Health Stream Analytics LLC to develop fetal EEG technologies.

Abbreviations:

CRI: continuous rate infusion; CTG: cardiotocogram; ECoG: electrocorticogram; fECG: fetal electrocardiogram; fEEG: fetal electroencephalogram; fMEG: fetal magnetoencephalogram; FHR: fetal heart rate; IUGR: Intrauterine growth restriction; PROM: premature rupture of membrane; UCO: umbilical cord occlusion.

References

1. Rees S, Inder T. Fetal and neonatal origins of altered brain development. *Early Hum Dev* (2005) **81**:753–761. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2005.07.004
2. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet* (2008) **371**:261–269. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60136-1
3. GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* (2016) **388**:1545–1602. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31678-6
4. Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, Perin J, Rudan I, Lawn JE, Cousens S, Mathers C, Black RE. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2000–13, with projections to inform post-2015 priorities: an updated systematic analysis. *Lancet* (2015) **385**:430–440. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61698-6
5. Parer JT, King T. Fetal heart rate monitoring: is it salvageable? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2000) **182**:982–987. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=10764485
6. Low JA. Determining the contribution of asphyxia to brain damage in the neonate. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* (2004) **30**:276–286. doi:10.1111/j.1447-0756.2004.00194.x
7. Low JA, Killen H, Derrick EJ. Antepartum fetal asphyxia in the preterm pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2003) **188**:461–465. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12592256>
8. Shepherd E, Middleton P, Makrides M, McIntyre SJ, Badawi N, Crowther CA. Antenatal and intrapartum interventions for preventing cerebral palsy: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2016) doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012077
9. Clark SL, Hamilton EF, Garite TJ, Timmins A, Warrick PA, Smith S. The limits of electronic fetal heart rate monitoring in the prevention of neonatal metabolic acidemia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2017) **216**:163.e1–163.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.10.009
10. Cahill AG, Mathur AM, Smyser CD, Mckinstry RC, Roehl KA, López JD, Inder TE, Macones GA. Neurologic Injury in Academic Term Infants. *Am J Perinatol* (2017) **34**:668–675. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1597135
11. Frasch MG. Saving the brain one heartbeat at a time. *J Physiol* (2018) **2018/04/01**: doi:10.1113/JP275776
12. Rosen MG, Scibetta JJ, Hochberg CJ. Fetal electroencephalography. IV. The FEEG during spontaneous and forceps births. *Obstet Gynecol* (1973) **42**:283–289.
13. Eswaran H, Wilson JD, Lowery CL, Sharp G, Hawk RM, Murphy P, Pennington S. Brain stem auditory evoked potentials in the human fetus during labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1999) **180**:1422–1426. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10368481>

14. Thaler I, Boldes R, Timor-Tritsch I. Real-time spectral analysis of the fetal EEG: a new approach to monitoring sleep states and fetal condition during labor. *Pediatr Res* (2000) **48**:340–345. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=10960500
15. Howick J, Chalmers I, Glasziou P, Greenhalgh T, Heneghan C, Liberati A, Moschetti I, Phillips B, Thornton H, Goddard O, et al. OCEBM levels of evidence working group. *The Oxford 2011 levels of evidence* (2011)
16. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* (2009) **6**:e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
17. Lindsley DB. Heart and brain potentials of human fetuses in utero. By Donald B. Lindsley, 1942. *Am J Psychol* (1987) **100**:641–646. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3322060>
18. Bernstine RL, Borkowski WJ, Price AH. Prenatal fetal electroencephalography. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1955) **70**:623–630. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(55)90357-4
19. Rosen MG, Scibetta JJ, Hochberg CJ. Human fetal electroencephalogram. 3. Pattern changes in presence of fetal heart rate alterations and after use of maternal medications. *Obstet Gynecol* (1970) **36**:132–140. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5422079>
20. Rosen MG, Scibetta JJ. The human fetal electroencephalogram. I. An electrode for continuous recording during labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1969) **104**:1057–1060. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5815863>
21. Rosen MG, Satran R. Fetal electroencephalography during birth. *Obstet Gynecol* (1965) **26**:740–745. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5892652>
22. Borgstedt AD, Rosen MG, Chik L, Sokol RJ, Bachelder L, Leo P. Fetal electroencephalography. Relationship to neonatal and one-year developmental neurological examinations in high-risk infants. *Am J Dis Child* (1975) **129**:35–38. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1975.02120380021006
23. Mann LI, Zwies A, Duchin S, Newman M. Human fetal electroencephalography: application of a vacuum electrode. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1972) **114**:898–903. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(72)90094-4
24. Heinrich J, Seidenschnur G. [Methods and results of intranatal intensive care. II. The RFT-fetal monitor BMT 504]. *Zentralbl Gynakol* (1974) **96**:513–523. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4844079>
25. Weller C, Dyson RJ, McFadyen IR, Green HL, Arias E. Fetal electroencephalography using a new, flexible electrode. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* (1981) **88**:983–986. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1981.tb01685.x
26. Hopp H, Heinrich J, Seidenschnur G, Beier R, Schultz H. [Preliminary results of fetal electroencephalography and cardiotocography]. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* (1972) **32**:629–634. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5071812>
27. Peltzman P, Goldstein PJ, Battagin R. Quantitative analysis of fetal electrophysiologic data. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1973) **115**:1117–1124. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(73)90562-0

28. Chik L, Rosen MG, Sokol RJ. An interactive computer program for studying fetal electroencephalograms. *J Reprod Med* (1975) **14**:154–158. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1095741>
29. Peltzman P, Goldstein PJ, Battagin R. Optical analysis of the fetal electroencephalogram. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1973) **116**:957–962. doi:10.1016/s0002-9378(16)33843-1
30. [Perinatology; obstetrics]. *Gynakol Rundsch* (1981) **21 Suppl** 3:55–78. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7319313>
31. Wang X, Durosier LD, Ross MG, Richardson BS, Frasch MG. Online detection of fetal acidemia during labour by testing synchronization of EEG and heart rate: a prospective study in fetal sheep. *PLoS One* (2014) **9**:e108119. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108119
32. Rosen MG, Scibetta J, Chik L, Borgstedt AD. An approach to the study of brain damage. The principles of fetal electroencephalography. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1973) **115**:37–47. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(73)90086-0
33. Challamel MJ, Fargier P, Barrier PY, Revol M. [Fetal EEG during labor]. *Rev Electroencephalogr Neuropsychiol Clin* (1974) **4**:429–433. doi:10.1016/s0370-4475(74)80053-5
34. Revol M, Challamel MJ, Fargier P, Bremond A, Chadenson O, Barrier PY. [An electroencephalographic study of the foetus during labour. Technique and interpretation (author's transl)]. *Rev Electroencephalogr Neuropsychiol Clin* (1977) **7**:290–301. doi:10.1016/s0370-4475(77)80008-7
35. Rosen MG, Scibetta JJ. The human fetal electroencephalogram. 2. Characterizing the EEG during labor. *Neuropadiatrie* (1970) **2**:17–26. doi:10.1055/s-0028-1091837
36. Beier R, Heinrich J, Hopp H, Seidenschnur G. [Problems in fetal electroencephalography]. *Psychiatr Neurol Med Psychol* (1973) **25**:92–97. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4713450>
37. Barden TP, Peltzman P, Graham JT. Human fetal electroencephalographic response to intrauterine acoustic signals. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1968) **100**:1128–1134. doi:10.1016/s0002-9378(15)33414-1
38. Fargier P, Bremond A, Challamel MJ, Barrier PY, Dolfus JM, Salomon B, Dargent D, Magnin P. L'électroencéphalogramme du fœtus au cours du travail et de l'accouchement. *J Gynec Obstét Biol Repr* (1974) **3**:1023–1033.
39. Chachava KV, Devdariani MG, Loladze AS. [Several variants in the electroencephalogram of the fetus in normal and pathologic states]. *Akush Ginekol* (1969) **45**:18–21. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5391415>
40. Huhmar E, Jaervinen PA. OBSERVATIONS ON FETAL ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY. *Ann Chir Gynaecol Fenn* (1963) **52**:372–375. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14047531>
41. Chachava KV, Devdariani MG, Zhordaniia ID, Loladze AS, Berulava AS. [Complex study of the mother and fetus in the 1st stage of physiological labor]. *Akush Ginekol* (1972) **48**:33–35. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5017614>
42. Hopp H, Beier R, Seidenschnur G, Heinrich J. [The significance of fetal electroencephalography for

- the diagnosis of fetal disorders]. *Zentralbl Gynakol* (1976) **98**:982–989. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/973493>
43. Wilson PC, Philpott RH, Spies S, Ahmed Y, Kadichza M. The effect of fetal head compression and fetal acidemia during labour on human fetal cerebral function as measured by the fetal electroencephalogram. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* (1979) **86**:269–277. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1979.tb11254.x
 44. Revol M, Challamel MJ, Fargier P, Bremond A, Chadenson O, Barrier PY. An electroencephalographic study of the foetus during labour. Technique and interpretation (author's transl). *Rev Electroencephalogr Neuropsychiol Clin* (1977) **7**:290–301. Available at: <https://europepmc.org/abstract/med/928896>
 45. Nemeadze NO. Effect of the untimely bursting of waters on the functional state of the fetus in labor. *Akush Ginekolog* (1978) **44**:44–48. Available at: <https://europepmc.org/abstract/med/686287>
 46. Frasch MG, Keen AE, Gagnon R, Ross MG, Richardson BS. Monitoring Fetal Electrocortical Activity during Labour for Predicting Worsening Acidemia: A Prospective Study in the Ovine Fetus Near Term. *PLoS One* (2011) **6**:e22100. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022100
 47. Frasch MG, Durosier LD, Gold N, Cao M, Matuszewski B, Keenliside L, Louzoun Y, Ross MG, Richardson BS. Adaptive shut-down of EEG activity predicts critical acidemia in the near-term ovine fetus. *Physiol Rep* (2015) **3**: doi:10.14814/phy2.12435
 48. Borgstedt AD, Heriot JT, Rosen MG, Lawrence RA, Sokol RJ. Fetal electroencephalography and one-minute and five-minute Apgar scores. *J Am Med Womens Assoc* (1978) **33**:220–222. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/206586>
 49. Hopp H, Heinrich J, Seidenschnur G, Beier R. [Interpretation of simultaneously recorded fetal electroencephalograms and cardiotocograms]. *Zentralbl Gynakol* (1973) **95**:801–807. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4747684>
 50. Sokol RJ, Rosen MG, Chik L. Fetal electroencephalographic monitoring related to infant outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1977) **127**:329–330. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(77)90481-1
 51. Chik L, Sokol RJ, Rosen MG, Borgstedt AD. Computer interpreted fetal electroencephalogram. I. Relative frequency of patterns. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1976) **125**:537–540. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(76)90373-2
 52. Chik L, Sokol RJ, Rosen MG, Borgstedt AD. Computer interpreted fetal electroencephalogram. II. Patterns in infants who were neurologically abnormal at 1 year of age. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1976) **125**:541–544. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/984090>
 53. Chik L, Sokol RJ, Rosen MG, Regula GA, Borgstedt AD. Computer interpreted fetal monitoring data. Discriminant analysis of perinatal data as a model for prediction of neurologic status at one year of age. *J Pediatr* (1977) **90**:985–989. doi:10.1016/s0022-3476(77)80577-5
 54. Chik L, Sokol RJ, Rosen MG. Computer interpreted fetal electroencephalogram: sharp wave detection and classification of infants for one year neurological outcome. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* (1977) **42**:745–753. doi:10.1016/0013-4694(77)90227-9
 55. Carretti N, Arfoudi A, Gaja R. [Fetal electroencephalographic changes during labor after

- administration of oxygen to the mother]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* (1973) 2:79–86. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4807230>
56. Morrison JL, Berry MJ, Botting KJ, Darby JRT, Frasci MG, Gatford KL, Giussani DA, Gray CL, Harding R, Herrera EA, et al. Improving pregnancy outcomes in humans through studies in sheep. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* (2018) 315:R1123–R1153. Available at: <https://www.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/ajpregu.00391.2017>
 57. Back SA, Riddle A, Dean J, Hohimer AR. The instrumented fetal sheep as a model of cerebral white matter injury in the premature infant. *Neurotherapeutics* (2012) 9:359–370. doi:10.1007/s13311-012-0108-y
 58. Pryds O. Control of cerebral circulation in the high-risk Neonate. *Ann Neurol* (1991) Available at: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ana.410300302/full>
 59. Menke J, Michel E, Hillebrand S, Von Twickel J. Cross-Spectral Analysis of Cerebral Autoregulation Dynamics in High Risk Preterm Infants during the Perinatal Period1. *Pediatric* (1997) Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/pr19972556>
 60. du Plessis AJ. Cerebrovascular injury in premature infants: current understanding and challenges for future prevention. *Clin Perinatol* (2008) 35:609–41, v. doi:10.1016/j.clp.2008.07.010
 61. Soul JS, Hammer PE, Tsuji M, Saul JP, Bassan H, Limperopoulos C, Disalvo DN, Moore M, Akins P, Ringer S, et al. Fluctuating pressure-passivity is common in the cerebral circulation of sick premature infants. *Pediatr Res* (2007) 61:467–473. doi:10.1203/pdr.0b013e31803237f6
 62. Szymonowicz W, Walker AM, Yu VY, Stewart ML, Cannata J, Cussen L. Regional cerebral blood flow after hemorrhagic hypotension in the preterm, near-term, and newborn lamb. *Pediatr Res* (1990) 28:361–366. doi:10.1203/00006450-199010000-00012
 63. Papile LA, Rudolph AM, Heymann MA. Autoregulation of cerebral blood flow in the preterm fetal lamb. *Pediatr Res* (1985) 19:159–161. doi:10.1203/00006450-198502000-00001
 64. Tweed WA, Cote J, Pash M, Lou H. Arterial oxygenation determines autoregulation of cerebral blood flow in the fetal lamb. *Pediatr Res* (1983) 17:246–249. doi:10.1203/00006450-198304000-00002
 65. Helou S, Koehler RC, Gleason CA, Jones MD Jr, Traystman RJ. Cerebrovascular autoregulation during fetal development in sheep. *Am J Physiol* (1994) 266:H1069–74. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.1994.266.3.H1069
 66. Hohimer AR, Bissonnette JM. Effects of cephalic hypotension, hypertension, and barbiturates on fetal cerebral blood flow and metabolism. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (1989) 161:1344–1351. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2589461>
 67. Dhillon SK, Lear CA, Galinsky R, Wassink G, Davidson JO, Juul S, Robertson NJ, Gunn AJ, Bennet L. The fetus at the tipping point: modifying the outcome of fetal asphyxia. *J Physiol* (2018) doi:10.1113/JP274949
 68. Gunn AJ, Maxwell L, De Haan HH, Bennet L, Williams CE, Gluckman PD, Gunn TR. Delayed hypotension and subendocardial injury after repeated umbilical cord occlusion in near-term fetal lambs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2000) 183:1564–1572. doi:10.1067/mob.2000.108084

69. Paradise JL, Campbell TF, Dollaghan CA, Feldman HM, Bernard BS, Colborn DK, Rockette HE, Janosky JE, Pitcairn DL, Kurs-Lasky M, et al. Receptive Vocabulary and Cognition in 3-Year-Old Children in Relation to Otitis Media in Their First 3 Years of Life. ♦ 564. *Pediatr Res* (1997) **41**:96–96. doi:10.1203/00006450-199704001-00584
70. Thorngren-Jerneck K, Ley D, Hellström-Westas L, Hernandez-Andrade E, Lingman G, Ohlsson T, Oskarsson G, Pesonen E, Sandell A, Strand SE, et al. Reduced postnatal cerebral glucose metabolism measured by PET after asphyxia in near term fetal lambs. *J Neurosci Res* (2001) **66**:844–850. doi:10.1002/jnr.10051
71. Kaneko M, White S, Homan J, Richardson B. Cerebral blood flow and metabolism in relation to electrocortical activity with severe umbilical cord occlusion in the near-term ovine fetus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2003) **188**:961–972. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=12712094
72. Safe Prevention of the Primary Cesarean Delivery - ACOG. Available at: <https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Obstetric-Care-Consensus-Series/Safe-Prevention-of-the-Primary-Cesarean-Delivery> [Accessed May 24, 2018]
73. Yumoto Y, Satoh S, Fujita Y, Koga T, Kinukawa N, Nakano H. Noninvasive measurement of isovolumetric contraction time during hypoxemia and acidemia: Fetal lamb validation as an index of cardiac contractility. *Early Hum Dev* (2005) **81**:635–642. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2005.04.004
74. Astrup J. Energy-requiring cell functions in the ischemic brain. Their critical supply and possible inhibition in protective therapy. *J Neurosurg* (1982) **56**:482–497. doi:10.3171/jns.1982.56.4.0482
75. Attwell D, Laughlin SB. An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* (2001) **21**:1133–1145.
76. Infant Collaborative Group. Computerised interpretation of fetal heart rate during labour (INFANT): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2017) **389**:1719–1729. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30568-8
77. Gerrits LC, Battin MR, Bennet L, Gonzalez H, Gunn AJ. Epileptiform activity during rewarming from moderate cerebral hypothermia in the near-term fetal sheep. *Pediatr Res* (2005) **57**:342–346. doi:10.1203/01.PDR.0000150801.61188.5F
78. Chik L, Sokol RJ, Rosen MG, Pillay SK, Jarrell SE. Trend analysis of intrapartum monitoring data: a basis for a computerized fetal monitor. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* (1979) **22**:665–679. doi:10.1097/00003081-197909000-00013
79. Rosen MG, Scibetta J. Documenting the human fetal EEG during birth. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* (1969) **27**:661. doi:10.1016/0013-4694(69)91233-4
80. Khopp K, Zaidenshnur G, Beier R, Kheïnrikh I, Germann K. [Cardiotocographic and electroencephalographic studies in early diagnosis of fetal hypoxia]. *Akush Ginekol* (1977) 27–31. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/564614>
81. Okamoto Y, Kirikae. Electroencephalographic studies on brain of foetus, of children of premature birth and new-born, together with a note on reactions of foetus brain upon drugs. *Folia Psychiatr Neurol Jpn* (1951) **5**:135–146. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1819.1951.tb00583.x

82. Blum T, Saling E, Bauer R. First magnetoencephalographic recordings of the brain activity of a human fetus. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* (1985) **92**:1224–1229. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1985.tb04866.x
83. Preissl H, Lowery CL, Eswaran H. Fetal magnetoencephalography: current progress and trends. *Exp Neurol* (2004) **190 Suppl 1**:S28–36. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2004.06.016
84. Eswaran H, Haddad NI, Shihabuddin BS, Preissl H, Siegel ER, Murphy P, Lowery CL. Non-invasive detection and identification of brain activity patterns in the developing fetus. *Clin Neurophysiol* (2007) **118**:1940–1946. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2007.05.072
85. Haddad N, Govindan RB, Vairavan S, Siegel E, Temple J, Preissl H, Lowery CL, Eswaran H. Correlation between fetal brain activity patterns and behavioral states: an exploratory fetal magnetoencephalography study. *Exp Neurol* (2011) **228**:200–205. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.01.003