

# Dominant in-plane symmetric elastoresistance in CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>

P. Wiecki,<sup>1</sup> A.-A. Haghighirad,<sup>1</sup> F. Weber,<sup>1</sup> M. Merz,<sup>1</sup> R. Heid,<sup>1</sup> and A. E. Böhmer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Quantum Materials and Technologies, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

(Dated: May 29, 2020)

We study the elastoresistance of the highly correlated material CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> in all symmetry channels. Neutralizing its thermal expansion by means of a piezoelectric-based strain cell is demonstrated to be essential. The elastoresistance response in the in-plane symmetric channel is found to be large, while the response in the symmetry-breaking channels is weaker and provides no evidence for a divergent nematic susceptibility. Rather, our results can be interpreted naturally within the framework of a coherence-incoherence crossover, where the low-temperature coherent state is sensitively tuned by the in-plane atomic distances.

PACS numbers:

In recent years, strain has been increasingly recognized as a valuable parameter for tuning the electronic properties of strongly-correlated quantum materials [1–3]. In contrast to hydrostatic pressure, strain application offers the ability to probe distortions of varying symmetries and to expand lattice parameters as well as compress them. The strain dependence of the electrical resistivity, known as elastoresistance, has emerged as a simple and powerful tool to study a wide class of materials [4–7]. For example, in the iron-based superconductors, elastoresistance measurements have been crucial to the understanding of the electronically-driven nematic state and its relation to superconductivity [8–10]. Due to the intrinsic resistivity anisotropy of the nematic state, the resistance changes induced by anisotropic strains can be used as a measure of the nematic susceptibility [8]. Nematicity, the breaking of rotational symmetry via electronic interactions, has indeed emerged as a key research area in correlated electron systems [11–16].

The iron-based superconductor CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> hosts a unique strongly-correlated electronic state [17–19]. These correlations have been shown to grow with hole-doping in the isostructural series Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> up to its endmember KFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and then grow further upon iso-electronic substitution of K by the larger Rb and Cs ions, where the highest values are reached [19]. The Sommerfeld coefficient,  $\gamma$ , which characterizes such correlations, amounts to 180 mJ/mol/K<sup>2</sup> in CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, a value comparable to some heavy-fermion compounds. Furthermore, resistivity, magnetization, NMR and thermal expansivity measurements all found evidence for a crossover between a low-temperature heavy Fermi-liquid regime and a high temperature regime of reduced quasiparticle coherence [18–24]. These properties are signatures of heavy-Fermion behavior, which, uncommonly, emerges in these *d*-electron systems. It is believed to arise from proximity to an orbital-selective Mott phase, resulting from large Hund’s coupling [25]. Similar to the chemical pressure from substituting larger ions, physical in-plane-symmetric tensile strain may further amplify this behavior, as can be inferred from the thermal expansivity

data [17, 19], as well as from LDA+DMFT calculations in a fictitious “stretched” CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> system [26].

Recently, a novel electronic nematicity has been proposed in CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and RbFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [27–29]. Elastoresistance measurements reported that in these materials, the nematic direction is along [100] (in tetragonal notation), in contrast to the [110] direction typical for undoped iron-based superconductors such as BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. A unique electronic *XY*-nematic state was proposed in the crossover region in the Ba<sub>1-x</sub>Rb<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> series [28]. Furthermore, a temperature-driven transition to an ordered nematic state in RbFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> was proposed on the basis of elastoresistance. STM measurements on RbFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> have found a symmetry breaking in the electronic structure and magnetic vortex shapes consistent with such an ordered nematic state [30]. Nevertheless, such a phase transition has not yet been confirmed by thermodynamic probes. Several theoretical scenarios for the novel nematicity in these strongly-correlated iron-based systems have been proposed [31–33].

These recent results ask for a close examination of the relative effect of strains in all different symmetry channels on equal footing. Furthermore, as a direct consequence of its strain-dependent correlations, CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> has an unusually large thermal expansivity [19], requiring an experimental environment where the sample strain can be controlled over a large range. Here, we present differential elastoresistance measurements of CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> obtained in a piezo-based strain cell, which allows for full control of the strain state of the sample. We find that this material is primarily sensitive to in-plane symmetric strains and does not exhibit a diverging nematic susceptibility. These results show that the orbital-selective Mott physics which dominate in this system are sensitively tuned by the average in-plane interatomic distances but do not entail nematicity.

Single crystals of CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> were grown from solution with a Cs-rich self-flux in an alumina crucible sealed in a stainless steel container. Samples were carefully characterized by single-crystal x-ray refinement [34]. Elastoresistance measurements were performed in a commer-




FIG. 1: (a) Photograph of the experimental setup for transverse and longitudinal elasto-resistance measurements with the direction of the applied strains  $\varepsilon_{xx}$  and  $\varepsilon_{yy}$  indicated. (b) Black stars: Example of the displacement  $d_0(T)$  applied to keep a 1.0 mm-long crystal in an unstrained state. Dark yellow line: Zero-strain displacement for a Titanium reference sample. Inset: Change in length as a function of temperature for Titanium and CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (both freestanding). (c) Unstrained resistance  $R_0$  of a freestanding crystal (black), and mounted in the strain cell with (red) and without (purple) active compensation for the thermal expansion mismatch. (d) Relative change in resistance  $\Delta R/R_0$  as a function of applied strain  $\varepsilon_{xx} \parallel [100]$  in longitudinal (full symbols) and transverse (empty symbols) contact configurations.

cial strain cell (Razorbill Instruments CS-100). In such a cell, the sample is fixed and suspended between two movable sample plates, the distance between which is controlled by piezoelectric stacks [35]. The samples were cut with edges along the tetragonal in-plane directions [100] or [110], with typical dimensions of  $2.0 \times 0.8 \times 0.07$  mm. The samples were fixed in the strain cell using 5-minute epoxy (DevCon) with the effective strained sample length,  $L(300\text{K})$ , typically 1.1 mm (Fig. 1(a)). The epoxy thickness was controlled to be  $50 \mu\text{m}$  below the sample and  $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$  above the sample. The sample strain was measured via a built-in capacitive displacement sensor. Resistance was measured using a four-point method on a Lake Shore 372 resistance bridge. Two different configurations of four contacts were used so current could be applied either longitudinal or transverse to the stress axis, defined as the  $x$  axis (Fig. 1(a)). Longitudinal and transverse elasto-resistance measurements were performed on separate crystals from same batch. We also conducted complementary  $x$ -ray diffraction (XRD) measurements on  $20\text{-}50 \mu\text{m}$  thick samples glued to glass substrates with the same 5-minute epoxy in a Huber 4-circle diffractometer using Mo  $K_\alpha$  radiation. Scattered  $x$ -rays were detected by a VORTEX silicon-drift point detector. The  $150 \mu\text{m}$  thickness of the glass allowed for XRD in transmission geometry.

The large thermal expansion mismatch between CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and the Titanium body of the strain cell (see inset of Fig. 1(b)) could apply a large tensile strain of up to  $\sim 0.7\%$  to the sample. Indeed, if this extreme tension is not compensated, the samples mounted in the strain cell were found to crack on cooling, resulting in dramatic changes in the resistance (Fig. 1(c)). To keep the sample in an unstrained state, we progressively reduce the dis-

tance between the sample mounting plates by an amount  $d_0(T)$  as the sample is cooled. In order to determine this zero-strain displacement  $d_0(T)$ , we first measure the zero strain displacement for a Titanium sample using a stiff calibration piece [36, 37], see Fig. 1(b). The zero-strain displacement for the sample of interest is then calculated based on its known thermal expansion difference with Titanium (see [34] for details). Figure 1(b) shows the applied  $d_0(T)$  for one particular measurement of a CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> sample with  $L(300\text{K}) = 1$  mm as an example. This compensation procedure was successful in preventing sample cracking and maintaining it near its freestanding resistance (Fig. 1(c)). Elasto-resistance is finally measured by performing a small displacement sweep about  $d_0(T)$ , with the strain defined as  $\varepsilon_{xx} = (d - d_0(T))/L(300\text{K})$ , where  $d$  is the applied total displacement.

The fractional change of resistance  $\Delta R/R_0$ , as a function of applied strain is presented in Fig. 1(d) for selected temperatures. All curves are linear within the strain range investigated ( $\pm 0.03\%$ ). Testing over much larger strain scales of more than  $0.2\%$ , made possible by our use of a strain cell, reveals only a slight non-linearity [34]. We conducted transverse and longitudinal measurements for strain applied along both [100] and [110]. For all four configurations, the slope  $d(\Delta R/R_0)/d\varepsilon$  is plotted as a function of temperature in Figs. 2(a),(b). Here, we show our estimates of the systematic error, obtained by conducting measurements with the same configuration on multiple crystals. We observe similar behavior for all configurations, featuring a prominent increase on cooling and a broad peak around 30 K. Furthermore, in all configurations, the slope has the same positive sign. This is in clear contrast to materials such as Ba(Fe,Co)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, where transverse and longitudinal elasto-resistance data have

opposite sign due to a dominating nematic response[38].

We now extract the elastoresistance coefficients  $m_\alpha$ , which relate the changes in resistance to strains in the corresponding symmetry channels,  $(\Delta R/R_0)_\alpha = m_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha$ . Here,  $\alpha$  represents the irreducible representation of the tetragonal  $D_{4h}$  point group, see the schematic illustrations in Fig. 2(e). The relevant strain components are given by  $\varepsilon_{A_{1g}} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{[100]} + \varepsilon_{[010]}) = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{[110]} + \varepsilon_{[\bar{1}10]})$ ,  $\varepsilon_{B_{1g}} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{[100]} - \varepsilon_{[010]})$  and  $\varepsilon_{B_{2g}} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{[110]} - \varepsilon_{[\bar{1}10]})$ , where we use the simplified notation  $\varepsilon_x \equiv \varepsilon_{xx}$  for the diagonal strain components [34, 39].

When uniaxial strain is applied along [100] (or [110]), the sample experiences  $A_{1g} + B_{1g}$  (or  $A_{1g} + B_{2g}$ ) strain. The sum of the longitudinal and transverse resistance change is proportional to  $(\Delta R/R_0)_{A_{1g}}$ , while the difference is proportional to  $(\Delta R/R_0)_{B_{1g}}$  [or  $(\Delta R/R_0)_{B_{2g}}$ ] [34, 39], see Fig. 2(c),(d). The sample's Poisson ratio  $\nu$  relates the strain along different directions,  $\nu_{[100]} = -\varepsilon_{[010]}/\varepsilon_{[100]}$ , and  $\nu_{[110]} = -\varepsilon_{[\bar{1}10]}/\varepsilon_{[110]}$ , with  $\nu_{[100]} \neq \nu_{[110]}$ , in general. Finally, the elastoresistance coefficients are expressed in terms of experimental quantities as,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{A_{1g}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \nu_{[100]}} \left[ \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[100]}}{d\varepsilon_{[100]}} + \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[010]}}{d\varepsilon_{[100]}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \nu_{[110]}} \left[ \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[110]}}{d\varepsilon_{[110]}} + \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[\bar{1}10]}}{d\varepsilon_{[110]}} \right] \\ m_{B_{1g}} &= \frac{1}{1 + \nu_{[100]}} \left[ \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[100]}}{d\varepsilon_{[100]}} - \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[010]}}{d\varepsilon_{[100]}} \right] \\ m_{B_{2g}} &= \frac{1}{1 + \nu_{[110]}} \left[ \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[110]}}{d\varepsilon_{[110]}} - \frac{d(\Delta R/R_0)_{[\bar{1}10]}}{d\varepsilon_{[110]}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The sample Poisson ratios were calculated from the elastic constants of the material. Estimates of the elastic constants were extracted from ab-initio calculations via the method of long waves [17, 34]. We find that  $\nu_{[100]} = 0.346$  and  $\nu_{[110]} = 0.113$ , which we take to be temperature independent.

The extracted  $m_{A_{1g}}$ ,  $m_{B_{1g}}$  and  $m_{B_{2g}}$  are shown in Fig. 2(e). The in-plane symmetric  $A_{1g}$  response clearly dominates the elastoresistance in CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and has a distinct temperature dependence. Note that the  $m_{A_{1g}}$  data agree whether the coefficient is evaluated from the [100] or [110] elastoresistance. This agreement between independent measurements suggests that our data are robust to systematic error. The  $m_{B_{1g}}$  and  $m_{B_{2g}}$  coefficients are much smaller than  $m_{A_{1g}}$  and nearly independent of temperature. As  $m_{B_{1g}}$  and  $m_{B_{2g}}$  are a measure of nematic susceptibility in the respective channels [9], we see no evidence for a nematic instability in CsFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>.

The temperature dependence of  $m_{A_{1g}}$  compares well with that of the in-plane coefficient of thermal expansion divided by temperature,  $\alpha_a/T$ , as shown in Fig. 3(a). The increase on cooling and broad maximum around 30 K are characteristic of the coherence-incoherence crossover [19]. Why do  $m_{A_{1g}}$  and  $\alpha_a/T$  have a similar temper-




FIG. 2: Slope  $d(R_{ii}/R_0)/d\varepsilon_{xx}$  ( $i = x, y$ ) as a function of temperature for (a) [100]  $\parallel x$  and (b) [110]  $\parallel x$  (b). Sum and difference of the longitudinal ( $R_{xx}$ ) and transverse ( $R_{yy}$ ) slopes for (c) [100]  $\parallel x$  and (d) [110]  $\parallel x$ . (e) Elastoresistance coefficients for strains belonging to different irreducible representations, along with their definitions represented by schematic drawings.

ature dependence? From thermodynamic relations, the linear thermal expansivity is a measure of the (symmetry-conserving) in-plane pressure derivative of the electronic entropy. Since the elastoresistance coefficient  $m_{A_{1g}}$  measures the in-plane symmetric strain derivative of the resistance, their proportionality provides evidence that the resistance, being dominated by electronic scattering, is a good gauge for the electronic entropy in this system.

Indeed, the resistance is known to be sensitive to the coherence-incoherence crossover in the AFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (A = K, Rb, Cs) compounds [22, 23]. The residual resistivity reaches very high values of near to 1000 in these compounds, due to the high scattering rate in the high-temperature incoherent state [18]. The inflection point of the resistance provides an estimate of the coherence-incoherence crossover temperature  $T^*$ . In these samples, lower  $T^*$  is associated with increases in both the Sommerfeld coefficient  $\gamma$  and  $\partial\gamma/\partial p$  [19], and increased spin-fluctuations [20, 22, 23]. As the resistance data in Fig.




FIG. 3: (a) Comparison of the  $A_{1g}$  elastoresistance coefficient with the in-plane coefficient of thermal expansion  $\alpha_a/T$  on scaled axes. (b) The resistance of  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under 1% biaxial tension, inferred from the linear  $A_{1g}$  elastoresistance coefficient. The resistance of freestanding  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $\text{A} = \text{K}$ [23, 41],  $\text{Rb}$ [22],  $\text{Cs}$ ) crystals, as well as  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under 5 GPa hydrostatic pressure [23, 41] are also shown for comparison. Stars represent the inflection point of the resistance, which is a measure of the coherence-incoherence crossover temperature  $T^*$ . Inset:  $T^*$  as a function of the lattice parameter  $a$  (structural data from [17, 42]).

3(b) shows,  $T^*$  decreases from  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  to  $\text{RbFe}_2\text{As}_2$  to  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  as the lattice parameters expand [inset in Fig. 3(b)]. Consistently, hydrostatic pressure suppresses the correlations and increases  $T^*$ , as demonstrated by the example of  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under 5 GPa. To visualize the effect of biaxial tension, we use our  $m_{A_{1g}}$  data and extrapolate the resistance of  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  linearly to 1% biaxial tension. Clearly, this results in the most correlated behavior with the lowest  $T^*$ .

Our results differ from previous reports of nematicity in  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  and  $\text{RbFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , among them elastoresistance and STM results [28, 30]. Most notably, our  $m_{B_{1g}}$  and  $m_{B_{2g}}$  elastoresistance coefficients are nearly temperature-independent, in contrast to the previous report[28]. Those data were obtained by gluing samples to the side of a piezoelectric stack, the technique most frequently used in the literature [8]. In order to




FIG. 4: (a) Solid lines: Temperature dependence of the change of sample length of a glass substrate[40] (red) and freestanding  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$ [19] (black), as measured in a capacitance dilatometer. Squares: change of the  $a$  lattice parameter of  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  measured by x-ray diffraction, both freestanding (black squares) and glued to the glass substrate on a first cooling (red squares). (b) Resistance of a  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  sample glued to glass on a first cooling (solid orange line) and on subsequent warming (dashed orange line). This is compared with the resistance of a free standing crystal (black). (c)-(f) X-ray intensity of longitudinal scans through the (4 0 0) Bragg reflection at indicated temperatures. Error bars are smaller than the data points. Solid lines: single Gaussian fits from which the lattice parameters in (a) were extracted.

understand the effects of gluing a  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  sample to a substrate or sample holder of low thermal expansivity, such as a piezoelectric stack, we performed complementary resistance and XRD measurements on samples glued to thin glass plates. Fig. 4 shows the temperature dependent length changes of the freestanding sample[19], the glass substrate[40] and the glued sample. Note that the sample length change measured directly using capacitance dilatometry and the length change inferred from the lattice parameters measured via XRD agree well for freestanding  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , where both techniques can be used. Upon initial cooling, the length change of the glued sample follows closely the length change of the substrate down to  $\sim 200$  K [Fig. 4(a)]. Thus, the sample experiences elastic biaxial tension of up to  $\sim 0.15\%$ . However,

this tension is largely released below 200 K, demonstrating that sample and substrate are no longer perfectly bonded. In the same temperature range, the resistance shows discontinuous jumps [Fig. 4(b)]. Returning the sample to 300 K after cooling to base temperature reveals a large hysteresis. The behavior strongly suggests microscopic cracking of the sample, resulting in the strain release observed in XRD. This sample damage is a consequence of the unusually large thermal expansivity of  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  and will affect any experiment in which the sample is glued to a substrate.

In conclusion, we have shown that the elastoresistance of  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  is dominated by the in-plane symmetric  $A_{1g}$  strain component and that  $A_{1g}$  strain sensitively induces modifications of the electronic entropy and correlations, which are reflected in the resistance. Furthermore, the absence of a divergent response in the symmetry-breaking  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{2g}$  channels shows that  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$  is not near a nematic instability. Our results shed new light on the physics of the heavily hole-doped iron-based compounds close to an orbital-selective Mott transition. The experiment also highlights the importance of studying elastoresistance in all symmetry channels and demonstrates the method's versatility in the investigation of quantum materials beyond nematicity.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge support by the Helmholtz Association under contract number VH-NG-1242. The contribution from M. M. was supported by the Karlsruhe Nano Micro Facility (KNMF). We acknowledge valuable discussions with J. Schmalian, M. Kessler and K. Grube.

- 
- [1] Clifford W. Hicks, Daniel O. Brodsky, Edward A. Yelland, Alexandra S. Gibbs, Jan A. N. Bruin, Mark E. Barber, Stephen D. Edkins, Keigo Nishimura, Shingo Yonezawa, Yoshiteru Maeno and Andrew P. Mackenzie, Strong Increase of  $T_c$  of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  Under Both Tensile and Compressive Strain, *Science* **344**, 283 (2014).
- [2] Alexander Steppke, Lishan Zhao, Mark E. Barber, Thomas Scaffidi, Fabian Jerzembeck, Helge Rosner, Alexandra S. Gibbs, Yoshiteru Maeno, Steven H. Simon, Andrew P. Mackenzie and Clifford W. Hicks, Strong peak in  $T_c$  of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  under uniaxial pressure, *Science* **355**, eaaf9398 (2017).
- [3] H.-H. Kim, S. M. Souliou, M. E. Barber, E. Lefrançois, M. Minola, M. Tortora, R. Heid, N. Nandi, R. A. Borzi, G. Garbarino, A. Bosak, J. Porras, T. Loew, M. König, P. J. W. Moll, A. P. Mackenzie, B. Keimer, C. W. Hicks, M. Le Tacon, Uniaxial pressure control of competing orders in a high-temperature superconductor, *Science* **362**, 1040 (2018).
- [4] Scott C. Riggs, M. C. Shapiro, Akash V Maharaj, S. Raghu, E. D. Bauer, R. E. Baumbach, P. Giraldo-Gallo, Mark Wartenbe and I. R. Fisher, Evidence for a nematic component to the hidden-order parameter in  $\text{URu}_2\text{Si}_2$  from differential elastoresistance measurements, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6425 (2015).
- [5] K. Ishida, S. Hosoi, Y. Teramoto, T. Usui, Y. Mizukami, K. Itaka, Y. Matsuda, T. Watanabe, T. Shibauchi, Divergent nematic susceptibility near the pseudogap critical point in a cuprate superconductor, arXiv:1908.07167 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [6] Elliott W. Rosenberg, Jiun-Haw Chu, Jacob P. C. Ruff, Alexander T. Hristov, and Ian R. Fisher, Divergence of the quadrupole-strain susceptibility of the electronic nematic system  $\text{YbRu}_2\text{Ge}_2$ , *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **116**, 7232 (2019).
- [7] Na Hyun Jo, Lin-Lin Wang, Peter P. Orth, Sergey L. Budko and Paul C. Canfield, Magnetoelastoresistance in  $\text{WTe}_2$ : Exploring electronic structure and extremely large magnetoresistance under strain, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **116**, 25524 (2019).
- [8] Jiun-Haw Chu, Hsueh-Hui Kuo, James G. Analytis and Ian R. Fisher, Divergent Nematic Susceptibility in an Iron Arsenide Superconductor, *Science* **337**, 710 (2012).
- [9] Hsueh-Hui Kuo, Jiun-Haw Chu, Johanna C. Palmstrom, Steven A. Kivelson, Ian R. Fisher, Ubiquitous signatures of nematic quantum criticality in optimally doped Fe-based superconductors, *Science* **352**, 958 (2016).
- [10] M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, A. A. Haghighirad, N. R. Davies, A. McCollam, A. Narayanan, S. F. Blake, Y. L. Chen, S. Ghannadzadeh, A. J. Schofield, M. Hoesch, C. Meingast, T. Wolf, and A. I. Coldea, Emergence of the nematic electronic state in FeSe, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155106 (2015).
- [11] R. A. Borzi, S. A. Grigera, J. Farrell, R. S. Perry, S. J. S. Lister, S. L. Lee, D. A. Tennant, Y. Maeno and A. P. Mackenzie, Formation of a Nematic Fluid at High Fields in  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7$ , *Science* **315**, 214 (2007).
- [12] V. Hinkov, D. Haug, B. Fauqué, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, A. Ivanov, C. Bernhard, C. T. Lin and B. Keimer, Electronic Liquid Crystal State in the High-Temperature Superconductor  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}$ , *Science* **319**, 597 (2008).
- [13] R. M. Fernandes, A. V. Chubukov and J. Schmalian, What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors?, *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 97 (2014).
- [14] J. Wu, A. T. Bollinger, X. He and I. Božović, Spontaneous breaking of rotational symmetry in copper oxide superconductors, *Nature* **547**, 432 (2017).
- [15] F. Ronning, T. Helm, K. R. Shirer, M. D. Bachmann, L. Balicas, M. K. Chan, B. J. Ramshaw, R. D. McDonald, F. F. Balakirev, M. Jaime, E. D. Bauer and P. J. W. Moll, Electronic in-plane symmetry breaking at field-tuned quantum criticality in  $\text{CeRhIn}_5$ , *Nature* **548**, 313 (2017).
- [16] Shingo Yonezawa, Kengo Tajiri, Suguru Nakata, Yuki Nagai, Zhiwei Wang, Kouji Segawa, Yoichi Ando and Yoshiteru Maeno, Thermodynamic evidence for nematic superconductivity in  $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ , *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 123 (2017).
- [17] Felix Eilers, Kai Grube, Diego A. Zocco, Thomas Wolf, Michael Merz, Peter Schweiss, Rolf Heid, Robert Eder, Rong Yu, Jian-Xin Zhu, Qimiao Si, Takasada Shibauchi, and Hilbert v. Löhneysen, Strain-Driven Approach to Quantum Criticality in  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  with  $A = \text{K, Rb, and Cs}$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 237003 (2016).
- [18] F. Hardy, A. E. Böhrer, D. Aoki, P. Burger, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, R. Heid, P. Adelman, Y. X. Yao, G. Kotliar, J. Schmalian, and C. Meingast, Evidence of Strong Correlations and Coherence-Incoherence Crossover in the Iron

- Pnictide Superconductor  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 027002 (2013).
- [19] F. Hardy, A. E. Böhrer, L. de' Medici, M. Capone, G. Giovannetti, R. Eder, L. Wang, M. He, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, R. Heid, A. Herbig, P. Adelmann, R. A. Fisher, and C. Meingast, Strong correlations, strong coupling, and  $s$ -wave superconductivity in hole-doped  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$  single crystals, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 205113 (2016).
- [20] Z. T. Zhang, D. Dmytriieva, S. Molatta, J. Wosnitza, S. Khim, S. Gass, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Wurmehl, H.-J. Grafe, and H. Kühne, Increasing stripe-type fluctuations in  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $A = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ) superconductors probed by  $^{75}\text{As}$  NMR spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 115110 (2018).
- [21] K. Haule and G. Kotliar, Coherence-incoherence crossover in the normal state of iron oxypnictides and importance of Hund's rule coupling, New J. Phys. **11**, 025021 (2009).
- [22] Y. P. Wu, D. Zhao, A. F. Wang, N. Z. Wang, Z. J. Xiang, X. G. Luo, T. Wu, and X. H. Chen, Emergent Kondo Lattice Behavior in Iron-Based Superconductors  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $A = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 147001 (2016).
- [23] P. Wiecki, V. Taufour, D. Y. Chung, M. G. Kanatzidis, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and Y. Furukawa, Pressure dependence of coherence-incoherence crossover behavior in  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  observed by resistivity and  $^{75}\text{As}$ -NMR/NQR, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 064509 (2018).
- [24] D. Zhao, S. J. Li, N. Z. Wang, J. Li, D. W. Song, L. X. Zheng, L. P. Nie, X. G. Luo, T. Wu, and X. H. Chen, Breakdown of single spin-fluid model in the heavily hole-doped superconductor  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , Phys. Rev. B **97**, 045118 (2018).
- [25] L. de' Medici, G. Giovannetti, and M. Capone, Selective Mott Physics as a Key to Iron Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 177001 (2014).
- [26] Steffen Backes, Harald O. Jeschke, and Roser Valentí, Microscopic nature of correlations in multiorbital  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $A = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ): Hund's coupling versus Coulomb repulsion, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 195128 (2015).
- [27] J. Li, D. Zhao, Y. P. Wu, S. J. Li, D. W. Song, L. X. Zheng, N. Z. Wang, X. G. Luo, Z. Sun, T. Wu, X. H. Chen, Reemerging electronic nematicity in heavily hole-doped Fe-based superconductors, arXiv:1611.04694
- [28] K. Ishida, M. Tsujii, S. Hosoi, Y. Mizukami, S. Ishida, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Wolf, K. Grube, H. v. Löhneysen, R. M. Fernandes and T. Shibauchi, Novel electronic nematicity in heavily hole-doped iron pnictide superconductors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **117** 6424 (2020).
- [29] M. Moroni, G. Prando, S. Aswartham, I. Morozov, Z. Bukowski, B. Büchner, H. J. Grafe, and P. Carretta, Charge and nematic orders in  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $A = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ) superconductors, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 235147 (2019).
- [30] Xi Liu, Ran Tao, Mingqiang Ren, Wei Chen, Qi Yao, Thomas Wolf, Yajun Yan, Tong Zhang, and Donglai Feng, Evidence of nematic order and nodal superconducting gap along  $[110]$  direction in  $\text{RbFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , Nat. Commun. **10**, 1039 (2019).
- [31] Seichiro Onari and Hiroshi Kontani, Origin of diverse nematic orders in Fe-based superconductors:  $45^\circ$  rotated nematicity in  $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $A = \text{Cs}, \text{Rb}$ ), Phys. Rev. B **100**, 020507(R) (2019).
- [32] Yiming Wang, Wenjun Hu, Rong Yu, and Qimiao Si, Broken mirror symmetry, incommensurate spin correlations, and  $B_{2g}$  nematic order in iron pnictides, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 100502(R) (2019).
- [33] Vladislav Borisov, Rafael M. Fernandes, and Roser Valentí, Evolution from  $B_{2g}$  Nematics to  $B_{1g}$  Nematics in Heavily Hole-Doped Iron-Based Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 146402 (2019).
- [34] see Supplemental Information, which references [43–47].
- [35] Clifford W. Hicks, Mark E. Barber, Stephen D. Edkins, Daniel O. Brodsky, and Andrew P. Mackenzie, Piezoelectric-based apparatus for strain tuning, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85**, 065003 (2014).
- [36] M. S. Ikeda, T. Worasaran, J. C. Palmstrom, J. A. W. Straquadine, P. Walmsley, and I. R. Fisher, Symmetric and antisymmetric strain as continuous tuning parameters for electronic nematic order, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 245133 (2018).
- [37] Official documentation from Razorbill Instruments.
- [38] Hsueh-Hui Kuo, Maxwell C. Shapiro, Scott C. Riggs, and Ian R. Fisher, Measurement of the elastoresistivity coefficients of the underdoped iron arsenide  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{0.975}\text{Co}_{0.025})_2\text{As}_2$ , Phys. Rev. B **88**, 085113 (2013).
- [39] J. C. Palmstrom, A. T. Hristov, S. A. Kivelson, J.-H. Chu, and I. R. Fisher, Critical divergence of the symmetric ( $A_{1g}$ ) nonlinear elastoresistance near the nematic transition in an iron-based superconductor, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 205133 (2017).
- [40] A. E. Böhrer, A. Sapkota, A. Kreyssig, S. L. Budko, G. Drachuck, S. M. Saunders, A. I. Goldman, and P. C. Canfield, Effect of Biaxial Strain on the Phase Transitions of  $\text{Ca}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 107002 (2017).
- [41] V. Taufour, N. Foroozani, M. A. Tanatar, J. Lim, U. Kaluarachchi, S. K. Kim, Y. Liu, T. A. Lograsso, V. G. Kogan, R. Prozorov, S. L. Bud'ko, J. S. Schilling, and P. C. Canfield, Upper critical field of  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  under pressure: A test for the change in the superconducting gap structure, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 220509(R) (2014).
- [42] F. F. Tafti, J. P. Clancy, M. Lapointe-Major, C. Collignon, S. Faucher, J. A. Sears, A. Juneau-Fecteau, N. Doiron-Leyraud, A. F. Wang, X.-G. Luo, X. H. Chen, S. Desgreniers, Young-June Kim, and Louis Taillefer, Sudden reversal in the pressure dependence of  $T_c$  in the iron-based superconductor  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$ : A possible link between inelastic scattering and pairing symmetry, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 134502 (2014).
- [43] A.F. Wang, B. Y. Pan, X. G. Luo, F. Chen, Y. J. Yan, J. J. Ying, G. J. Ye, P. Cheng, X. C. Hong, S. Y. Li, and X. H. Chen, Calorimetric study of single-crystal  $\text{CsFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , Phys. Rev. B **87**, 214509 (2013).
- [44] G. M. Sheldrick, A short history of SHELX, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **64**, 112 (2008).
- [45] Václav Petříček, Michal Dušek and Lukáš Palatinus, Crystallographic Computing System JANA2006: General features, Z. Kristallogr., Cryst. Mater. **229**, 345 (2014).
- [46] Paul Malinowski, Qianni Jiang, Joshua Sanchez, Zhaoyu Liu, Joshua Mutch, Preston Went, Jian Liu, Philip Ryan, Jong-Woo Kim, and Jiun-Haw Chu, Drastic suppression of superconducting  $T_c$  by anisotropic strain near a nematic quantum critical point, arXiv:1911.03390
- [47] Mingquan He, Liran Wang, Felix Ahn, Frédéric Hardy, Thomas Wolf, Peter Adelmann, Jörg Schmalian, Ilya Eremin and Christoph Meingast, Dichotomy between in-plane magnetic susceptibility and resistivity anisotropies in extremely strained  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , Nat Commun **8**, 504 (2017).