

A Normalized Fully Convolutional Approach to Head and Neck Cancer Outcome Prediction

William Le¹

Francisco Perdigon Romero¹

Samuel Kadoury¹

Polytechnique Montral

WILLIAM.LE@POLYMTL.CA

FRANCISCO.PERDIGON@POLYMTL.CA

SAMUEL.KADOURY@POLYMTL.CA

Abstract

In medical imaging, radiological scans of different modalities serve to enhance different sets of features for clinical diagnosis and treatment planning. This variety enriches the source information that could be used for outcome prediction. Deep learning methods are particularly well-suited for feature extraction from high-dimensional inputs such as images. In this work, we apply a CNN classification network augmented with a FCN preprocessor sub-network to a public TCIA head and neck cancer dataset. The training goal is survival prediction of radiotherapy cases based on pre-treatment FDG PET-CT scans, acquired across 4 different hospitals. We show that the preprocessor sub-network in conjunction with aggregated residual connection leads to improvements over state-of-the-art results when combining both CT and PET input images.

Keywords: Classification, head and neck cancer, deep learning, PET-CT, UNet, FCN, multi-domain, radiotherapy, outcome survival prediction

1. Introduction

Cancer treatment planning remains a long process for the patient: from pre-treatment staging to post-therapy follow-up, many factors could have changed that can impact the effectiveness of the treatment. One of the key decisions of the physician is the choice of line of therapy, for which automatic outcome prediction can be beneficial. In head and neck cancer cases, positron emission tomography with fluorodeoxyglucose integrated with computed tomography (FDG PET-CT) for diagnosis and treatment planning ([Castaldi et al., 2013](#)) can be used as inputs to deep learning-based medical image analysis models.

In previous works using this Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA) Head-Neck-PET-CT dataset ([Vallières et al., 2017](#)), random forests were used to classify overall survival (OS) based on a combination of both PET and CT extracted radiomics features and clinical information ([Vallières et al., 2017](#)). More recently, an end-to-end convolutional neural network (CNN) was used to successfully predict radiotherapy outcomes using only the planning CT scans as input using the same dataset ([Diamant et al., 2019](#)).

In this work, we show that combining PET and CT image inputs improves binary classification performance. For this purpose, a CNN architecture was implemented using residual connections [He et al. \(2015\)](#), which have been shown to reduce vanishing gradient problems through identity shortcuts and thus allowing deeper models, and aggregated convolutions [Xie et al. \(2016\)](#), which have been shown to reduce the number of parameters in

a layer without loss of performance. The combination of these two methods lead to a reduction in model size compared to previous state-of-the-art work by [Diamant et al. \(2019\)](#). Furthermore, a fully-convolutional network (FCN) sub-network is used as a preprocessor. [Drozdal et al. \(2018\)](#) showed previously that this technique acts as an image normalization on medical images for liver tumor segmentation. We hypothesize that reusing this method for multi-modality inputs for classification, in combination with the aforementioned modifications, will lead to overall performance improvements when predicting patient survival from pre-treatment FDG PET-CT.

2. Methodology and Experiments

The Head-Neck-PET-CT([Vallieres et al., 2017](#)) dataset used in this study consisted of 298 head and neck cancer patients acquired from 4 different institutions in Quebec. Each patient had a pre-radiotherapy FDG PET-CT scan. Both PET and CT volumes were converted to 2D images using largest primary GTV lesion area slice selection. Images were normalized to 0 mean and unit standard deviation. The PET image was up-scaled to 512×512 before being concatenated to the CT image to form the $512 \times 512 \times 2$ input image. The dataset presented only 56 (19%) cases with the OS target (death). Thus a resampling strategy with data augmentation (random flip, random shifts of 40%, random rotations of 20 deg) was used to rebalance the dataset.

Our end-to-end binary classification model consists of two parts: a FCN sub-network ([Drozdal et al., 2018](#)) and a fully convolutional classifier shown in Figure 1. The FCN consists of 4 downsampling blocks followed by 4 upsampling blocks. Each block is composed of a 3×3 convolution layer with SeLU activation ([Klambauer et al., 2017](#)) and uses strided convolutions to modify the output dimension. Output features of each downsampling block are concatenated with input features of each corresponding upsampling blocks.

The CNN classifier is inspired by the ResNeXt architecture([Xie et al., 2016](#)), with 2 3×3 bottleneck layers with a filter growth factor of 2 and cardinality of 32 and residual connections around each. Downsampling is done using strided convolutions in the first layer of each block. Global average pooling ([Xie et al., 2016](#)) and a fully connected layer are used to output the binary survival class.

Training is performed using categorical cross-entropy loss for classification (0: survival, 1: death) using the Adam optimizer ([Kingma and Ba, 2014](#)) with a learning rate of 0.0006 for 100 epochs and a batch size of 8. No early-stopping techniques were used. The code is implemented in Keras([Chollet et al., 2015](#)) and trained on a GeForce RTX 2080 Ti for 1 hour.

3. Results and Conclusions

Binary classification results are monitored using the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUC). Table 1 shows the progression of modifications from the CNN as presented by [Diamant et al. \(2019\)](#) up to our proposed model, using the different input modality.

The best performing model using the CNN architecture proposed by [Diamant et al. \(2019\)](#) used the masked CT input which is the same model input as was used in their report.




Figure 1: Proposed model architecture (top). The input consists of a 2 channel PET-CT image that is initially passed through a FCN (lower left). Downsampling uses convolutions with stride 2 while upsampling uses transposed convolutions. The output is then fed to an 18-layer deep CNN. Aggregated residual convolutional blocks (lower right) are repeated twice before being downsampled by setting the stride to 2. Classification is performed by taking the output vector with 256 features through a fully connected layer with softmax activation.

The performance observed is lower ($0.67 < 0.70$) due to different initial seed conditions. With the addition of the FCN preprocessor, the performance drops to 0.59 for PET, 0.65 for CT, 0.63 for masked CT but improves to 0.70 for PET+CT. This shows that the FCN works by mapping features from multiple domains (modalities) to a latent embedding that benefits classification performance.

Our proposed CNN with aggregated convolutions and residual connections (AggResCNN) performs better than previous state-of-the-art deep learning methods ($0.74 > 0.70$) and previous radiomics-based random forest methods ($0.74 > 0.65$) when considering the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUC) and using both PET and CT as inputs. With the addition of the FCN preprocessor, this performance improves to 0.76 AUC. The final proposed FCN+AggResCNN thus improves over previous methods ($0.76 > 0.70 > 0.65$) on the same dataset while having less total parameters ($683650 < 930146$).

Furthermore, the model trained on masked CT performs better than the whole CT for the CNN case ($0.67 > 0.57$) while the reverse is true for the FCN+CNN case ($0.63 < 0.65$). Similarly, the masked CT performance is greater than the full CT image for the AggResCNN ($0.69 > 0.65$), while the reverse is true for the FCN+AggResCNN (0.67

< 0.70). This suggests that the FCN learns features on information outside the GTV boundaries to be effective.

In every case, classification performance on just the PET input is worse than the rest, which suggests that this imaging modality only contributes auxiliary information that complements the CT image. The cause of this apparent worse performance can be attributed both to the lower image resolution and the absence of image information in PET away from the tumor lesion, as healthy tissues don't absorb the radioactive tracing agent. Finally,

Table 1: Classification performance of the proposed models compared to state of the art results on the Head and Neck FDG PET-CT TCIA Dataset. The first model consists of a random forest for radiomics features selection followed by another random forest for classification. The second model consists of a CNN with 3 convolutions/PReLU/max-pooling layers and 2 fully connected layers. The last three models show ablation results of our proposed FCN 18-ResNeXt trained on PET and CT images.

Model	Datasets	Parameters	AUC	Spec	Sens
Valli�res et al. (2017)	PET+CT + clinical	-	0.65	67%	55%
Diamant et al. (2019)	CT masked	930146	0.70	67%	68%
CNN	PET	930146	0.59	90%	29%
CNN	CT	930146	0.57	37%	77%
CNN	masked CT	930146	0.67	82%	52%
CNN	PET + CT	930946	0.65	99%	30%
FCN + CNN	PET	1321682	0.59	41%	77%
FCN + CNN	CT	1321682	0.65	51%	79%
FCN + CNN	masked CT	1321682	0.63	35%	90%
FCN + CNN	PET + CT	1322482	0.70	69%	71%
AggResCNN	PET	291874	0.50	100%	0%
AggResCNN	CT	291874	0.65	54%	76%
AggResCNN	masked CT	291874	0.69	51%	87%
AggResCNN	PET + CT	292114	0.74	66%	82%
FCN+AggResCNN	PET	683410	0.57	21%	94%
FCN+AggResCNN	CT	683410	0.70	46%	94%
FCN+AggResCNN	masked CT	683410	0.67	52%	82%
FCN+AggResCNN	PET + CT	683650	0.76	61%	91%

the proposed architecture using aggregated convolutions and residual connections improves over state-of-the-art deep learning methods and radiomics based machine learning method by incorporating additional imaging data in the form of PET images. With the adapted architecture, the model can extract more features that help binary survival outcome prediction all while lowering the memory cost of the model using state-of-the-art convolutional techniques.

Thus, after training on both CT and PET images, our proposed model has overall less total parameters ($683\,650 < 930\,146$) and improves in AUC by 6 percentage points over the state of the art.

References

- Paola Castaldi, Lucia Leccisotti, Francesco Bussu, Francesco Micciche, and Vittoria Rufini. Role of 18f-fdg pet-ct in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica*, 33(1):1, 2013.
- François Chollet et al. Keras. <https://keras.io>, 2015.
- André Diamant, Avishek Chatterjee, Martin Vallières, George Shenouda, and Jan Seuntjens. Deep learning in head & neck cancer outcome prediction. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), feb 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39206-1. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/2Fs41598-019-39206-1>.
- Michal Drozdal, Gabriel Chartrand, Eugene Vorontsov, Mahsa Shakeri, Lisa Di Jorio, An Tang, Adriana Romero, Yoshua Bengio, Chris Pal, and Samuel Kadoury. Learning normalized inputs for iterative estimation in medical image segmentation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 44:1–13, feb 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2017.11.005. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.media.2017.11.005>.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. *CoRR*, abs/1512.03385, 2015. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385>.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- Günter Klambauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Andreas Mayr, and Sepp Hochreiter. Self-normalizing neural networks. *CoRR*, abs/1706.02515, 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.02515>.
- Martin Vallières, Emily Kay-Rivest, Léo Jean Perrin, Xavier Liem, Christophe Furstoss, Hugo J. W. L. Aerts, Nader Khaouam, Phuc Felix Nguyen-Tan, Chang-Shu Wang, Khalil Sultanem, Jan Seuntjens, and Issam El Naqa. Radiomics strategies for risk assessment of tumour failure in head-and-neck cancer. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), aug 2017. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10371-5. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/2Fs41598-017-10371-5>.
- Martin Vallires, Emily Kay-Rivest, Lo Perrin, Xavier Liem, Christophe Furstoss, Nader Khaouam, Phuc Nguyen-Tan, Chang-Shu Wang, and Khalil Sultanem. Data from head-neck-pet-ct, 2017. URL <https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/x/24pyAQ>.
- Saining Xie, Ross B. Girshick, Piotr Dollár, Zhuowen Tu, and Kaiming He. Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks. *CoRR*, abs/1611.05431, 2016. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05431>.