arXiv:2005.14076v1 [math.CO] 28 May 2020

On the index of unbalanced signed bicyclic graphs *

Changxiang He[1], Yuying Li[1], Haiying Shan[2], Wenyan Wang[1 †]

1. College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China
2. Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

Abstract: In this paper, we focus on the index (largest eigenvalue) of the adjacency matrix of connected signed graphs. We give some general results on the index when the corresponding signed graph is perturbed. As applications, we determine the first five largest index among all unbalanced bicyclic graphs on $n \geq 36$ vertices together with the corresponding extremal signed graphs whose index attain these values.

Keywords: Eigenvalue, index, unbalanced signed graph, bicyclic graph

1 Introduction

Given a simple graph $G = (V(G),\ E(G))$, let $\sigma : E(G) \to \{+1,\ -1\}$ be a mapping defined on the set $E(G)$, then we call $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ the *signed graph* with *underlying graph* G and *sign function* (or *signature*) σ. Obviously, G and Γ share the same set of vertices (i.e. $V(\Gamma) = V(G)$) and have equal number of edges (i.e. $\mid E(\Gamma) \mid = \mid E(G) \mid$). An edge e is *positive* (*negative*) if $\sigma(e) = +1$ (resp. $\sigma(e) = -1$).

Actually, each concept defined for the underlying graph can be transferred with signed graphs. For example, the degree of a vertex v in G is also its degree in Γ. Furthermore, if some subgraph of the underlying graph is observed, then the sign function for the signed subgraph is the restriction of the previous one. Thus, if $v \in V(G)$, then $\Gamma - v$ denotes the signed subgraph having $G - v$ as the underlying graph, while its signature is the restriction from $E(G)$ to $E(G - v)$ (note, all edges incident to v are deleted). Let $U \subset V(G)$, then $\Gamma[U]$ or $G(U)$ denotes the (signed) induced subgraph arising from U, while $\Gamma - U = \Gamma[V(G)\backslash U]$. Let C be a cycle in Γ, the sign of C is given by $\sigma(C) = \Pi_{e \in C}\sigma(e)$. A cycle whose sign is + (resp.−) is called *positive* (resp. *negative*). Alternatively, we can say that a cycle is positive if it contains an even number of negative edges. A signed graph is *balanced* if all cycles are positive; otherwise it is *unbalanced*. There has been a variety of applications of balance, see [9].

The *adjacency matrix* of a signed graph $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ whose vertices are $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $A(\Gamma) = (a_{ij})$, where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma(v_i v_j), & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E(\Gamma), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

*Research supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (Grant No. 12ZR1420300), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11101284,11201303 and 11301340).

Clearly, $A(\Gamma)$ is real symmetric and so all its eigenvalues are real. The characteristic polynomial $\det(xI - A(\Gamma))$ of the adjacency matrix $A(\Gamma)$ of a signed graph Γ is called the *characteristic polynomial* of Γ and is denoted by $\phi(\Gamma, x)$. The eigenvalues of $A(\Gamma)$ are called the *eigenvalues* of Γ. The largest eigenvalue is often called the *index* , denoted by $\lambda(\Gamma)$.

Suppose $\theta : V(G) \to \{+1, -1\}$ is any sign function. *Switching* by θ means forming a new signed graph $\Gamma^\theta = (G, \sigma^\theta)$ whose underlying graph is the same as G, but whose sign function is defined on an edge uv by $\sigma^\theta(uv) = \theta(u)\sigma(uv)\theta(v)$. Note that switching does not change the signs or balance of the cycles of Γ. If we define a (diagonal) signature matrix D^θ with $d_v = \theta(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then $A(\Gamma^\theta) = D^\theta A(\Gamma) D^\theta$. Two graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 are called *switching equivalent*, denoted by $\Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_2$, if there exists a switching function θ such that $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1^\theta$, or equivalently $A(\Gamma_2) = D^\theta A(\Gamma_1) D^\theta$.

Theorem 1.1 *[7] Let Γ be a signed graph. Then Γ is balanced if and only if $\Gamma = (G, \sigma) \sim (G, +1)$.*

Switching equivalence is a relation of equivalence, and two switching equivalent graphs have the same eigenvalues. In fact, the signature on bridges is not relevant, hence the edges which do not lie on some cycles are not relevant for the signature and they will be always considered as positive.

One classical problem of graph spectra is to identify the extremal graphs with respect to the index in some given class of graphs. For signed graphs, since all signatures of a given tree are equivalent, the first non-trivial signature arises for unicyclic graphs, which was considered in [1]. The authors determined signed graphs achieving the minimal or the maximal index in the class of unbalanced unicyclic graphs of order $n \geq 3$. In [5], the authors characterized the unicyclic signed graphs of order n with nullity $n-2$, $n-3$, $n-4$, $n-5$ respectively. For the energy of singed graphs, see [3], [4], [6],[8],[10],[11] for details.

Here, we will consider unbalanced bicyclic graphs, and determine the first five largest index among all unbalanced bicyclic graphs with given order $n \geq 36$ together with the corresponding extremal signed graphs whose index attain these values.

Here is the remainder of the paper. In Section 2, we study the effect of some edges moving on the index of a signed graph. In Section 3, we introduce the three classes of signed bicyclic graphs. In Section 4, we determine the first five graphs in the set of unbalanced bicyclic graphs on $n \geq 36$ vertices, and order them according to their index in decreasing order.

2 Preliminaries

The purpose of this section is to analyze how the index change when modifications are made to a signed graph. We start with one important tool which also works in signed graphs. Its general form holds for any principal submatrix of a real symmetric matrix.

Lemma 2.1 *(Interlacing theorem for signed graphs). Let $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph of order n and $\Gamma - v$ be the signed graph obtained from Γ by deleting the vertex v. If λ_i are the (adjacency) eigenvalues, then*

$$\lambda_1(\Gamma) \geq \lambda_1(\Gamma - v) \geq \lambda_2(\Gamma) \geq \lambda_2(\Gamma - v) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(\Gamma - v) \geq \lambda_n(\Gamma).$$

Lemma 2.2 *Let Γ be a signed graph with cut edge uv, and $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the index $\lambda(\Gamma)$. We have $\sigma(uv)x_u x_v \geq 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbf{x}$ is unit and $\sigma(uv) > 0$. By way of contradiction, we suppose that $x_u x_v < 0$. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be the two connected components of $\Gamma - uv$, respectively. Set $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$ are the subvectors of $\mathbf{x}$ indexed by vertices in Γ_1 and Γ_2, respectively. Let $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{y}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{x} = -4x_u x_v > 0$, which contradicts to the fact that $\mathbf{x}$ maximizes the Rayleigh quotient. □

From the above lemma, it is straightforward to derive the following result.

Corollary 2.1 *Let T be a vertex induced subtree in the signed graph Γ, and $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the index $\lambda(\Gamma)$. Then for any edge uv of T, we have $\sigma(uv)x_u x_v \geq 0$.*

Remark 1 *If T is a vertex induced subtree with root v in signed graph Γ, the above corollary implies that if $x_v \geq 0$ we can assume that all edges in T are positive and all vertices of T have non-negative coordinates in $\mathbf{x}$. This is valid because we can prove it by using switching equivalent from the leaves of the rooted subtree.*

We proceed by considering how the index change when cut edges be moved.

Lemma 2.3 *Let u, v be two vertices of the signed graph Γ, $vv_1, \ldots, vv_s$ $(s \geq 1)$ be cut edges of Γ, and $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. Let*

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma - vv_1 - \ldots - vv_s + uv_1 + \ldots + uv_s.$$

If $x_u \geq x_v \geq 0$ or $x_u \leq x_v \leq 0$, we have $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbf{x}$ is unit. Due to the Rayleigh quotient, we have

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma')\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{x} = (x_u - x_v)\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i}.$$

Lemma 2.2 tell us that $\sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i}x_v \geq 0$, one can quickly verify that $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$ when $x_u \geq x_v \geq 0$ or $x_u \leq x_v \leq 0$. □

If $vv_1, \ldots, vv_s$ are pendant edges in the above lemma, the eigenvalue equation leads to $\lambda(\Gamma)x_{v_i} = \sigma(vv_i)x_v$, which implies that $\sigma(vv_i)x_v x_{v_i} > 0$ when $x_v \neq 0$, so we can get a stronger version of the above result.

Lemma 2.4 *Let u, v be two vertices of signed graph Γ, $vv_1, \ldots, vv_s$ $(s \geq 1)$ be pendant edges of Γ, and $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. Let*

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma - vv_1 - \ldots - vv_s + uv_1 + \ldots + uv_s.$$

If $x_u \geq x_v \geq 0$ or $x_u \leq x_v \leq 0$, we have $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, if $x_u > x_v > 0$ or $x_u < x_v < 0$, then $\lambda(\Gamma') > \lambda(\Gamma)$.

In Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, the edges be moved are all cut edges. Now the perturbation, α-transform, described in the following can be seen in many books and many other papers, which can move non-cut edges from one vertex to another.

Definition 2.1 *Let Γ be a connected signed graph, uv be a non-pendant edge of Γ which is not in any triangle. Let $N_\Gamma(v)\backslash\{u\} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_d\}$ with $d \geq 1$. The signed graph*

$$\Gamma' = \alpha(\Gamma, uv) = \Gamma - vv_1 - vv_2 - \cdots - vv_d + uv_1 + uv_2 + \cdots + uv_d.$$

We say that Γ' is an α-transform of Γ on the edge uv.

All edges retain the sign they have after α-transform. In the next, we focus on how the index changes after α-transform.

Lemma 2.5 *Let uv be an edge of signed graph Γ, and $\Gamma' = \alpha(\Gamma, uv)$ be the graph obtained from Γ by α-transform on the edge uv. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. If one of the following condition holds, we have $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$:*

(1). if $\sigma(uv) > 0$, and $x_v \leq x_u \leq \lambda(\Gamma)x_v$,

(2). if $\sigma(uv) < 0$ and $x_u \geq 0,\ x_v \geq 0$.

Furthermore, if one of the following can be satisfied:

(1). if $\sigma(uv) > 0$, and $x_v < x_u < \lambda(\Gamma)x_v$,

(2). if $\sigma(uv) < 0$, and $x_u > 0,\ x_v > 0$ and $x_u \neq x_v$,

we have $\lambda(\Gamma') > \lambda(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $N_\Gamma(u)\backslash\{v\} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ and $N_\Gamma(v)\backslash\{u\} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$. The eigenvalue equation leads to the relation

$$\lambda(\Gamma)x_v = \sigma(uv)x_u + \sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(v)\backslash\{u\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i},$$

$$\lambda(\Gamma)x_u = \sigma(uv)x_v + \sum_{u_i \in N_\Gamma(u)\backslash\{v\}} \sigma(uu_i)x_{u_i}.$$

These then easily imply that

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma')\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{x} = (x_u - x_v) \sum_{v_i \in N_G(u)\backslash\{v\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i} \tag{2}$$

$$= (x_u - x_v)(\lambda(\Gamma)x_v - \sigma(uv)x_u), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma')\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{x} = (x_v - x_u) \sum_{u_i \in N_G(v)\backslash\{u\}} \sigma(uu_i)x_{u_i} \tag{4}$$

$$= (x_v - x_u)(\lambda(\Gamma)x_u - \sigma(uv)x_v). \tag{5}$$

So that if $\sigma(uv) > 0$, applying (3), we estimate that

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq (x_u - x_v)(\lambda(\Gamma)x_v - x_u).$$

Thus, $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$ when $x_v \leq x_u \leq \lambda(\Gamma)x_v$, the inequality is strict when $x_v < x_u < \lambda(\Gamma)x_v$.

If $\sigma(uv) < 0$, it seems more complicated. By (5), we know

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq (x_u - x_v)(\lambda(\Gamma)x_v + x_u).$$

The symmetry tell us that we also have

$$\lambda(\Gamma') - \lambda(\Gamma) \geq (x_v - x_u)(\lambda(\Gamma)x_u + x_v).$$

Therefore, if $x_u \geq 0,\ x_v \geq 0$, then $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$ whenever $x_u \geq v_v$ or $x_u < x_v$, and the inequality is strict when $x_u > 0,\ x_v > 0$ and $x_u \neq x_v$. □

In all figures, solid and dotted edges represent positive and negative edges, respectively.




Figure 1: The example Γ in Remark 2

Remark 2 *The conditions in Lemma 2.5 are necessary. For example, the signed graph Γ (as shown in Figure 1) with index $\lambda(\Gamma) \approx 2.214$, its positive edge v_2v_3 does not satisfy the condition in Lemma 2.5. If we let $\Gamma' = \alpha(\Gamma, v_2v_3)$, then the index $\lambda(\Gamma') = 2$ is less than $\lambda(\Gamma')$.*

However, in Lemma 2.5, if uv is a cut edge, things are easier.

Corollary 2.2 *Let uv be a cut edge of signed graph Γ, and $\Gamma' = \alpha(\Gamma, uv)$. We have $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Suppose, without loss of generality, that uv is positive. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be an unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 2.2, we can assume that $x_u \geq x_v \geq 0$. Let $N_\Gamma(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$. The eigenvalue equation leads to the relation

$$\lambda(\Gamma)x_v = x_u + \sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(v)\setminus\{u\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i}.$$

We claim that $\sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(v)\setminus\{u\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i} \geq 0$. Otherwise, we write the component of $\Gamma - uv$ containing the vertex v as U. Set $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{x}_1$ is the subvectors of $\mathbf{x}$ indexed by

vertices in $U-v$. Let $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{y}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^T A(\Gamma)\mathbf{x} = -4x_v \sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(v)\setminus\{u\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i} > 0$, which contradicts to the fact that $\mathbf{x}$ maximizes the Rayleigh quotient.

Since $\sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(v)\setminus\{u\}} \sigma(vv_i)x_{v_i} \geq 0$, we have $x_u \leq \lambda(\Gamma)x_v$. By gluing together this inequality with $x_u \geq x_v$ and Lemma 2.5, we get the assertion. □

The above lemma tell us that if T is a vertex induced subtree of signed graph with root v, then α-transform on any edge in T will not decrease the index of the signed graph. Thus, replacing T with a star (with center v and order $\mid V(T) \mid$) will not decrease the index as well.

We recall from [2] the following Schwenk's formulas

Lemma 2.6 *Let v be a vertex of signed graph Γ,*

$$\Phi(\Gamma, x) = x\Phi(\Gamma - v, x) - \sum_{uv \in E(\Gamma)} \Phi(\Gamma - u - v, x) - 2\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_v} \sigma(C)\Phi(\Gamma - C, x),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_v$ is the set of signed cycles passing through v, and $\Gamma - C$ is the signed graph obtained from Γ by deleting C.

3 Three classes of signed bicyclic graphs

A graph G of order n is called a *bicyclic graph* if G is connected and the number of edges of G is $n+1$. A signed graph whose underlying graph is a bicyclic graph, we call it *signed bicyclic graph*.

It is easy to see from the definition that G is a bicyclic graph if and only if G can be obtained from a tree T (with the same order) by adding two new edges to T.

Let G be a bicyclic graph. The *base of bicyclic graph* G, denoted by $\widehat{G}$, is the (unique) minimal bicyclic subgraph of G. If $\Gamma = (G, \sigma)$, then we define $\widehat{\Gamma} = (\widehat{G}, \sigma)$ as the *base of signed bicyclic graph* Γ. It is easy to see that $\widehat{G}$ is the unique bicyclic subgraph of G containing no pendant vertices, while G can be obtained from $\widehat{G}$ by attaching trees to some vertices of $\widehat{G}$.

It is well-known that there are the following three types of bicyclic graphs containing no pendant vertices:

Let $B(p, q)$ $(p \geq q \geq 3)$ be the bicyclic graph obtained from two vertex-disjoint cycles C_p and C_q by identifying vertices u of C_p and v of C_q (see Fig. 2.1). This type of graph is also known as the *infinity graph*.

Let $B(p, \ell, q)$ be the bicyclic graph obtained from two vertex-disjoint cycles C_p and C_q by joining vertices u of C_p and v of C_q by a new path $uu_1u_2\cdots u_{\ell-1}v$ with length ℓ $(\ell \geq 1)$ (see Figure 2). This type of graph is also known as the *dumbbell graph*; if the cycles are triangles, it also takes the name of *hourglass graph*.

Let $B(P_k, P_\ell, P_m)$ $(1 \leq m \leq \min\{k, \ell\})$ be the bicyclic graph obtained from three pairwise internal disjoint paths form a vertex x to a vertex y. These three paths are $xv_1v_2\cdots$, $v_{k-1}y$ with length k, $xu_1u_2\cdots$, $u_{\ell-1}y$ with length ℓ and $xw_1w_2\cdots$, $w_{m-1}y$ with length m (see Figure 3). This type of graph is also known as the *θ-graph*.

Accordingly, we denoted by $\mathcal{B}_n$ the set of all unbalanced signed bicyclic graphs of order n. We are now ready to describe the class of unbalanced signed bicyclic graphs.




Figure 2: $B(p,q)$ and $B(p,\ell,q)$




Figure 3: $B(P_k,P_\ell,P_m)$

$\mathcal{B}_n(p,q)=\{\Gamma=(G,\sigma)$ is unbalanced $\mid$ $\widehat{G}=B(p,q)$ for some $p\geq q\geq 3\}$,

$\mathcal{B}_n(p,\ell,q)=\{\Gamma=(G,\sigma)$ is unbalanced $\mid$ $\widehat{G}=B(p,\ell,q)$, for some $p\geq 3$, $q\geq 3$ and $\ell\geq 1\}$,

$\mathcal{B}_n(P_k,P_\ell,P_m)=\{\Gamma=(G,\sigma)$ is unbalanced $\mid$ $\widehat{G}=B(P_k,P_\ell,P_m)$ for some $1\leq m\leq \min\{k,l\}\}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{B}_n=\mathcal{B}_n(p,q)\dot{\cup}\mathcal{B}_n(p,\ell,q)\dot{\cup}\mathcal{B}_n(P_k,P_\ell,P_m).$$

4 The index of unbalanced signed bicyclic graphs with given order

In this section, we deal with the extremal index problems for the class of unbalanced signed bicyclic graphs with order n. We will determine the first five graphs in $\mathcal{B}_n$, and order them according to their index in decreasing order.

For the unicyclic graphs, there are exactly two switching equivalent classes. If a unicyclic signed graph is balanced, by Theorem 1.1, it is switching equivalent to one with all edges positive. Otherwise, it is switching equivalent to one with exactly one (arbitrary) negative edge on the cycle[5]. For unbalanced bicyclic signed graphs, we also have similar results.

Lemma 4.1 *If $\Gamma\in\mathcal{B}_n(p,q)\cup\mathcal{B}_n(p,\ell,q)$, then Γ is switching equivalent to one with exactly one (arbitrary) negative edge on its unbalanced cycle. If $\Gamma\in\mathcal{B}_n(P_k,P_\ell,P_m)$, then Γ is switching equivalent to one with exactly one (arbitrary) negative edge on its base.*

Proof. If $\Gamma\in\mathcal{B}_n(p,q)\cup\mathcal{B}_n(p,\ell,q)$, let e_1 and e_2 be two edges of Γ in different cycles, then $\Gamma-e_1-e_2$ is a tree, which is balanced. So by Theorem 1.1, there exists a sign function θ such that $(\Gamma-e_1-e_2)^\theta$ consisting of positive edges. Returning to the graph

Γ^θ, the edges e_1 and e_2 must have a negative sign as switching does not change the sign of a cycle.

If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n(P_k, P_\ell, P_m)$, let e_1, e_2 and e_3 be the three edges of Γ which are incident to a common 3-degree vertex in the base. Similarly, $(\Gamma - e_1 - e_2)^\theta$ consisting of positive edges. Returning to the graph Γ^θ, if exactly one of e_1 and e_2 is negative, the result follows. If both e_1 and e_2 are negative, then Γ is switching equivalent to the signed graph which has the same underlying graph as Γ, and just has one negative edge e_3. □

The following lemma is a starting point of our discussions.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $u_1u_2u_3u_4$ be a path in signed bicyclic graph Γ, and $d_{\hat{\Gamma}}(u_2) = d_{\hat{\Gamma}}(u_3) = 2$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the index $\lambda(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma' = \alpha(\Gamma, u_2u_3)$. If $x_{u_2} \geq 0$, $x_{u_3} \geq 0$, $\sigma(u_1u_2)x_{u_1} \geq 0$ and $\sigma(u_3u_4)x_{u_4} \geq 0$, then $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.5, it suffices to consider the case that u_2u_3 is a positive edge.

If $x_{u_2} \leq x_{u_3}$, the eigenvalue equation for the index $\lambda(\Gamma)$, when restricted to the vertex u_2 becomes

$$\lambda(\Gamma)x_{u_2} = \sigma(u_1u_2)x_{u_1} + \sum_{v_i \in N_\Gamma(u_2)\setminus\{u_1,u_3\}} \sigma(u_2v_i)x_{v_i} + x_{u_3}.$$

The fact that Γ is a signed bicyclic graph and $d_{\hat{\Gamma}}(u_2) = 2$ imply that u_2v_i is a cut edge, and then $\sigma(u_2v_i)x_{v_i} \geq 0$ follows from Lemma 2.2. Hence, $x_{u_3} \leq \lambda(\Gamma)x_{u_2}$. By Lemma 2.5, we can get the desired result.

Similarly, we can prove the assertion when $x_{u_2} \geq x_{u_3}$. □

For convenience, we use $\Gamma+\widetilde{uv}$ (where $uv \notin E(\Gamma)$) to denote the signed graph obtained from Γ by adding a new negative edge uv.

Lemma 4.3 *Let Γ be a ∞-type unbalanced signed bicyclic graph, and $\hat{\Gamma} \notin \mathcal{B}_n(3,3)$, then there is some ∞-type unbalanced signed bicyclic graph Γ' such that $|V(\hat{\Gamma}')| < |V(\hat{\Gamma})|$ and $\lambda(\Gamma') \geq \lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we can assume that there is exactly one negative edge in an unbalanced cycle, and all edges in balanced cycle are positive.

Let $u_1u_2\ldots u_{g_1}$ be the unbalanced cycle of Γ with larger length, u_1u_2 be its unique negative edge, and again $\mathbf{x}$ be an unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_{u_1} \geq 0$.

If $g_1 = 3$. Let $u_1u_2'\ldots u_{g_2}'$ ($g_2 \geq 4$) be another cycle of Γ, note that $u_1u_2u_3$ is the unbalanced cycle with larger length, and $\hat{\Gamma} \notin \mathcal{B}_n(3,3)$, we find that $u_1u_2'\ldots u_{g_2}'$ is balanced. We claim that the subvector $\mathbf{x}_1$ of $\mathbf{x}$ indexed by vertices in the cycle $u_1u_2'\ldots u_{g_2}'$ is nonnegative. Otherwise, let $\mathbf{y}$ be the vector obtained from $\mathbf{x}$ by replacing all negative entries in $\mathbf{x}_1$ with their absolute, then $\mathbf{y}^TA(\Gamma)\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{x}^TA(\Gamma)\mathbf{x}$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{y}$ is also an eigenvector of $\lambda(\Gamma)$. Then we can either get the claim (by choosing $\mathbf{x}$ as $\mathbf{y}$) or a contradiction (contradicts to the fact that $\mathbf{x}^TA(\Gamma)\mathbf{x}$ maximizes the Rayleigh quotient). Note that $g_2 \geq 4$, we can get the desired Γ' by using α-transform on the edge $u_2'u_3'$. Therefore, in the next, we assume that $g_1 \geq 4$.

If all non-zero elements in $\{x_{u_3},\ x_{u_4},\ldots,x_{u_{g_1}}\}$ have the same sign, we can get the desired unbalanced signed graph by Lemma 4.2. Now we consider the case that $\{x_{u_3},\ldots,x_{u_{g_1}}\}$ have different signs.

If $x_{u_2}\geq 0,\ x_{u_3}\leq 0$, then $\Gamma'=\Gamma-u_2u_3+\widetilde{u_1u_3}$ is the desired unbalanced signed graph with unbalanced cycle $u_1u_3\ldots u_{g_1}$. If there is some edge u_iu_{i+1}, where $3\leq i\leq g_1-1$, such that $x_{u_i}\geq 0,\ x_{u_{i+1}}\leq 0$, then $\Gamma'=\Gamma-u_iu_{i+1}+u_1u_i$ is the desired unbalanced signed graph with unbalanced cycle $u_1u_2\ldots u_i$.

To complete the proof, it suffices to consider the case that there is some $3\leq s\leq g_1$ such that $x_{u_2}\leq 0,\ldots,x_{u_s}\leq 0$ and $x_{u_{s+1}}\geq 0,\ldots,x_{u_{g_1}}\geq 0$. If $g_1\geq 5$, as the larger of $s-1$ and $g-(s-1)$ is at least half of g_1 (which is equal to or greater than 3), so we can get the desired Γ' by Lemma 4.2. It remains to consider the case that $g_1=4$ and $x_{u_2}\leq 0,\ x_{u_3}\leq 0,\ x_{u_4}\geq 0$. By using the switching equivalent, we can get a signed graph with all non-negative entries corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$. By using Lemma 4.2 again, we can get the desired result. □

Lemma 4.4 *Let Γ be a θ-type unbalanced signed bicyclic graph, and $\hat{\Gamma}\notin\mathcal{B}_n(P_1,P_2,P_2)$, then there is some θ-type unbalanced signed bicyclic graph Γ' such that $|V(\hat{\Gamma}')|<|V(\hat{\Gamma})|$ and $\lambda(\Gamma')\geq\lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Suppose, without loss of generality, that there is just one negative edge in the base.

Let u_1 be one of the 3-degree vertices of $\hat{\Gamma}$, u_1u_2 be the unique negative edge. Again let $\mathbf{x}$ be an unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda(\Gamma)$ with $x_{u_1}\geq 0$.

If $x_{u_2}\geq 0$, similar to the proof of the case $g_1=3$ in Lemma 4.3, $\mathbf{x}$ is nonnegative, we can get the desired Γ' by using α-transform.

Consequently, if $x_{u_2}<0$. Let $u_1u_2'\ldots u_p'u_2$ be the longest path from u_1 to u_2. If there is some edge $u_i'u_{i+1}'$ such that $x_{u_i'}\leq 0,\ x_{u_{i+1}'}\geq 0$, then $\Gamma'=\Gamma-u_i'u_{i+1}'+u_2u_i'$ is the desired signed graph. If there is some edge $u_i'u_{i+1}'$ such that $x_{u_i'}\geq 0,\ x_{u_{i+1}'}\leq 0$, then $\Gamma'=\Gamma-u_i'u_{i+1}'+\widetilde{u_2u_i'}$ is the desired signed graph. If all non-zero entries in $x_{u_2'},\ldots,x_{u_p'}$ have the same sign, as before, we can set $\Gamma'=\alpha(\Gamma,u_2'u_3')$. □




Figure 4: Five signed graphs with maximum index in $\mathcal{B}_n$

Lemma 4.5 *Let $\Gamma_i\in\mathcal{B}_n$ (where $i=1,2,\ldots,5$) be the unbalanced signed graphs as*

shown in Figure 4, then $\lambda(\Gamma_i)$ is the largest root of the equation $f_i(x) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x) &= x^4 - nx^2 + n - 5,\\
f_2(x) &= x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 2n - 4,\\
f_3(x) &= x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 4x + 2n - 8,\\
f_4(x) &= x^3 + x^2 - (n-1)x - n + 5,\\
f_5(x) &= x^3 - x^2 - (n-2)x + n - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $\lambda(\Gamma_1) > \lambda(\Gamma_2) > \lambda(\Gamma_3) > \lambda(\Gamma_4) > \lambda(\Gamma_5)$ when $n \geq 36$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, one can get the characteristic polynomials of $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_5$ by direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\Gamma_1, x) &= x^{n-6}(x^2-1)(x^4 - nx^2 + n - 5),\\
\Phi(\Gamma_2, x) &= x^{n-4}[x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 2n - 4],\\
\Phi(\Gamma_3, x) &= x^{n-4}[x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 4x + 2n - 8],\\
\Phi(\Gamma_4, x) &= x^{n-6}(x+1)(x-1)^2[x^3 + x^2 - (n-1)x - n + 5],\\
\Phi(\Gamma_5, x) &= x^{n-5}(x+2)(x-1)[x^3 - x^2 - (n-2)x + n - 4].
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the index of graphs and applying equations above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\Gamma_2, x) - \Phi(\Gamma_1, x) &= x^{n-6}(x^2 + n - 5) > 0,\\
\Phi(\Gamma_3, x) - \Phi(\Gamma_2, x) &= 4x^{n-4}(x-1),\\
\Phi(\Gamma_4, x) - \Phi(\Gamma_3, x) &= x^{n-6}(3x^2 - 4x - n + 5).
\end{aligned}$$

The Interlacing Theorem implies that $\lambda(\Gamma_i) > \sqrt{n-2} > 1$ for $i = 2, 3$. It is not difficult to see that $\Phi(\Gamma_3, x) > \Phi(\Gamma_2, x)$ when $x \geq \lambda(\Gamma_2)$ and $\Phi(\Gamma_4, x) > \Phi(\Gamma_3, x)$ when $x \geq \lambda(\Gamma_3)$. These are exactly what we need here, $\lambda(\Gamma_1) > \lambda(\Gamma_2) > \lambda(\Gamma_3) > \lambda(\Gamma_4)$.

To compare $\lambda(\Gamma_4)$ and $\lambda(\Gamma_5)$, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
f_4(x) &= x^3 + x^2 - (n-1)x - n + 5,\\
f_5(x) &= x^3 - x^2 - (n-2)x + n - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $g(x) = f_4(x) - f_5(x) = 2x^2 - x - 2n + 9$ has the largest root $\frac{1+\sqrt{16n-71}}{4}$. One can check directly $f_5(-\infty) < 0$, $f_5(0) = n - 4 > 0$, $f_5(1) = -2 < 0$ and $f_5(\frac{1+\sqrt{16n-71}}{4}) > 0$ when $n \geq 36$. Hence, the largest root of $f_5(x) = 0$ is less than $\frac{1+\sqrt{16n-71}}{4}$, which implies that $f_4(x) < 0$ when x is the largest root of $f_5(x) = 0$. Therefore, we have $\lambda(\Gamma_4) > \lambda(\Gamma_5)$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.6 *If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is an ∞-type graph and is not switching equivalent to Γ_1, or Γ_4, then $\lambda(\Gamma) < \lambda(\Gamma_5)$.*




Figure 5: Signed graphs considered in the proof of Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.8

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.2, it is not difficult to see that, we only need to prove that if $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_4,\ \Gamma_6,\ \Gamma_7,\ \Gamma_8,\ \Gamma_1^i,\ \Gamma_4^j\}$, where $1 \le i \le 6$ and $1 \le j \le 4$ (as shown in Figure 5). By direct computation, we can prove that

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_6) = \max\{\lambda(\Gamma_6), \lambda(\Gamma_7), \lambda(\Gamma_8)\},$$

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_1^1) = \max\{\lambda(\Gamma_1^1), \ldots, \lambda(\Gamma_1^6)\},$$

and

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_4^3) > \lambda(\Gamma_4^4),\ \lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_4^1) > \lambda(\Gamma_4^2).$$

Hence, we can get the desired result. □

Lemma 4.7 *If Γ is a dumbbell-type unbalanced signed graph, then $\lambda(\Gamma) < \lambda(\Gamma_5)$.*




Figure 6: Signed graphs considered in proof of Lemma 4.7

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.6, we know that for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n(p,\ell,q)$, the index of $\lambda(\Gamma) \le \max\{\lambda(\Gamma_{11}),\lambda(\Gamma_{12}),\lambda(\Gamma_{13})\} < \lambda(\Gamma_5)$ (where $\Gamma_{11},\ \Gamma_{12},\ \Gamma_{13}$ are the signed graphs shown as in 4). □

Lemma 4.8 *If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is a θ-type graph, and is not switching equivalent to Γ_2, Γ_3 or Γ_5, then $\lambda(\Gamma) < \lambda(\Gamma_5)$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that, we only need to consider the case that $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_9,\ \Gamma_{10},\ \Gamma_2^i,\ \Gamma_3^j\}$, where $1 \le i \le 7$ and $1 \le j \le 5$ (as shown in Figure 5). By direct computation, we can prove that

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_9),\ \lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_{10}),$$

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_2^1) = \max\{\lambda(\Gamma_2^1),\ldots,\lambda(\Gamma_2^4)\},$$

$$\lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_3^1) = \max\{\lambda(\Gamma_3^1),\lambda(\Gamma_3^3),\lambda(\Gamma_3^5)\},\ \lambda(\Gamma_5) > \lambda(\Gamma_3^2) > \lambda(\Gamma_3^4).$$

Hence, we can get the desired result. □

Combining Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8, we can get the following result immediately.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (where $i = 1,2,\ldots,5$) be the unbalanced signed graphs as shown in Figure 4, then*

(1). the index $\lambda(\Gamma_i)$ is the largest root of the equation $f_i(x) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x) &= x^4 - nx^2 + n - 5,\\
f_2(x) &= x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 2n - 4,\\
f_3(x) &= x^4 - (n+1)x^2 + 4x + 2n - 8,\\
f_4(x) &= x^3 + x^2 - (n-1)x - n + 5,\\
f_5(x) &= x^3 - x^2 - (n-2)x + n - 4,
\end{aligned}$$

(2). for $n \ge 36$, we have $\lambda(\Gamma_1) > \lambda(\Gamma_2) > \lambda(\Gamma_3) > \lambda(\Gamma_4) > \lambda(\Gamma_5)$,

(3). if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is not switching equivalent to $\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2,\Gamma_3,\Gamma_4$ or Γ_5, we have $\lambda(\Gamma) < \lambda(\Gamma_5)$.

References

[1] S. Akbari, F. Belardo, F. Heydari, M. Maghasedi, M. Souri, On the largest eigenvalue of signed unicyclic graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 581(2019)145-162.

[2] F. Belardo, E.M. Li Marzi, S.K. Simic, Combinatorial approach for computing the characteristic polynomial of a matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., 433(1983)1513-1523.

[3] M.A. Bhat, S. Pirzada, Unicyclic signed graphs with minimal energy, Discrete Appl. Math., 226(2017)32-39.

[4] M.A. Bhat, U. Samee, S. Pirzada, Bicyclic signed graphs with minimal and second minimal energy, Linear Algebra Appl., 551(2018)18-35.

[5] Y. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, A note on the nullity of unicyclic signed graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 438(2013)1193-1200.

[6] S. Hafeeza, R. Farooq, M. Khan, Bicyclic signed digraphs with maximal energy, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 347(2019)702-711.

[7] Y. Hou, J . Li, On the Laplacian eigenvalues of signed graphs, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 51(1)(2003)21-30.

[8] S. Pirzada, Mushtaq A. Bhat, Energy of signed digraphs, Discrete Appl. Math., 169(2014)195-205.

[9] F.S. Roberts, On balanced signed graphs and consistent marked graphs, Electron. Notes Discrete Math., 2(1999)94-105.

[10] D. Wang, Y. Hou, Unicyclic signed graphs with maximal energy, arXiv:1809.06206.

[11] D. Wang, Y. Hou, Bicyclic signed graphs with at most one odd cycle and maximal energy, Discrete Applied Math., 260(2019)244-255.

[12] Z. Stanic, Pertubations in a signed graph and its index, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, 38(2018)841-852.

Appendix

Table 1: The characteristic polynomials of signed graphs in Section 4

Signed graph	Characteristic polynomial
Γ_1	$\Phi(\Gamma_1,x)=x^{n-6}(x^2-1)(x^4-nx^2+n-5)$
Γ_2	$\Phi(\Gamma_2,x)=x^{n-4}[x^4-(n+1)x^2+2n-4]$
Γ_3	$\Phi(\Gamma_3,x)=x^{n-4}[x^4-(n+1)x^2+4x+2n-8]$
Γ_4	$\Phi(\Gamma_4,x)=x^{n-6}(x+1)(x-1)^2[x^3+x^2-(n-1)x-n+5]$
Γ_5	$\Phi(\Gamma_5,x)=x^{n-5}(x+2)(x-1)[x^3-x^2-(n-2)x+n-4]$
Γ_6	$\Phi(\Gamma_6,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-nx^2+(3n-15)x+n-5]$
Γ_7	$\Phi(\Gamma_7,x)=x^{n-6}(x+1)[x^5-x^4-nx^3+nx^2+(3n-15)x-n+5]$
Γ_8	$\Phi(\Gamma_8,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-(n-4)x^2+(3n-11)x+n-5]$
Γ_9	$\Phi(\Gamma_9,x)=x^{n-5}(x-1)[x^4+x^3-nx^2-(n-4)x+2n-8]$
Γ_{10}	$\Phi(\Gamma_{10},x)=x^{n-5}(x-2)(x+1)[x^3+x^2-(n-2)x-n+4]$
Γ_{11}	$\Phi(\Gamma_{11},x)=x^{n-7}(x-1)^2(x+1)[x^4+x^3-(n-1)x^2-(n-1)x+2n-12]$
Γ_{12}	$\Phi(\Gamma_{12},x)=x^{n-7}(x-1)(x+1)^2[x^4-x^3-(n-1)x^2+(n-1)x+2n-12]$
Γ_{13}	$\Phi(\Gamma_{13},x)=x^{n-7}(x-1)^2[x^5+2x^4-(n-2)x^3-(2n-6)x^2+(n-3)x+2n-12]$
Γ_1^1	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^1,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-nx^2+(2n-9)x+2n-11]$
Γ_1^2	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^2,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-nx^2+(4n-23)x+2n-11]$
Γ_1^3	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^3,x)=x^{n-8}(x-1)^2(x+1)^2[x^4-(n-1)x^2+n-7]$
Γ_1^4	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^4,x)=x^{n-6}(x+1)[x^5-x^4-nx^3+nx^2+(2n-9)x-2n+11]$
Γ_1^5	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^5,x)=x^{n-6}(x+1)[x^5-x^4-nx^3+nx^2+(4n-23)x-2n+11]$
Γ_1^6	$\Phi(\Gamma_1^6,x)=x^{n-6}(x+1)(x-1)(x^4-nx^2+5n-29)$
Γ_2^1	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^1,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(3n-7)x^2-2n+8]$
Γ_2^2	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^2,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(3n-8)x^2+2x-n+5]$
Γ_2^3	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^3,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(4n-14)x^2+(2n-10)x-n+5]$
Γ_2^4	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^4,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(3n-8)x^2-2x-n+5]$
Γ_2^5	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^5,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(4n-14)x^2-(2n-10)x-n+5]$
Γ_2^6	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^6,x)=x^{n-4}[x^4-(n+1)x^2+3n-9]$
Γ_2^7	$\Phi(\Gamma_2^7,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+(5n-19)x^2-4n+20]$
Γ_3^1	$\Phi(\Gamma_3^1,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+4x^3+(3n-11)x^2-4x-2n+12]$
Γ_3^2	$\Phi(\Gamma_3^2,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+4x^3+(3n-12)x^2-2x-n+6]$
Γ_3^3	$\Phi(\Gamma_3^3,x)=x^{n-6}[x^6-(n+1)x^4+4x^3+(4n-18)x^2-(2n-10)x-n+5]$
Γ_3^4	$\Phi(\Gamma_3^4,x)=x^{n-4}[x^4-(n+1)x^2+4x+3n-13]$
Γ_3^5	$\Phi(\Gamma_3^5,x)=x^{n-5}[x^5-(n+1)x^3+4x^2+(5n-23)x-4n+20]$
Γ_4^1	$\Phi(\Gamma_4^1,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-(n-4)x^2+(2n-5)x+2n-11]$
Γ_4^2	$\Phi(\Gamma_4^2,x)=x^{n-6}(x-1)[x^5+x^4-nx^3-(n-4)x^2+(4n-19)x+2n-11]$
Γ_4^3	$\Phi(\Gamma_4^3,x)=x^{n-8}(x-1)^2(x+1)^2[x^4-(n-1)x^2+4x+n-7]$
Γ_4^4	$\Phi(\Gamma_4^4,x)=x^{n-7}(x-1)^2(x+1)[x^4+x^3-(n-1)x^2-(n-5)x+4n-24]$