

Antiferromagnetism mediated by heavy electrons: singlet shielding vs “high-order” RKKY

Mi Jiang

Stewart Blusson Quantum Matter Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Can the antiferromagnetic (AF) order be induced via the local moments’ hybridization with the heavy electrons instead of conduction electrons? We address this intriguingly fundamental question via a prototypical model to describe the interplay between local moments and heavy electrons. We discover that the AF order can be mediated via the heavy electrons through the mechanism of “high-order” Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction. Moreover, the induced AF order can coexist with its metallicity in a finite regime of the phase diagram, which competes with and ultimately destroys the AF order concurrently with the breakdown of heavy electrons. The potential relevance to the heavy fermion compound $\text{Ce}_3(\text{Pt/Pd})\text{In}_{11}$ is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional Kondo/Anderson lattice model (KLM/PAM) describes the competition of antiferromagnetism and Kondo screening as a fundamental model of heavy fermion physics^{1–6}. Generally, there are two well-known exchange mechanism responsible for the formation of the antiferromagnetic phase, i.e. (1) the superexchange interaction between localized electrons via their hybridization with the conduction band and (2) the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction originating from the scattering of the conduction electrons from two localized moments⁷. The former always favors the antiferromagnetic order between localized moment but is strongly suppressed if the conduction electron band approaches to half filled⁸; while the latter’s sign and magnitude vary with the distance between localized moments and also the conduction band filling⁹. Take the conventional PAM as an example, it is well known that at small hybridization between the conduction and localized electrons, the indirect RKKY interaction induces the antiferromagnetic ground state, which competes with the paramagnetic spin liquid ground state formed by Kondo screening the local electrons by the conduction band at large hybridization.

The common thread between the aforementioned two exchange mechanism relies on the conduction electrons. It is natural to ask whether or not the heavy electrons can similarly act as a “glue” for the antiferromagnetic (AF) order between local moments. Until now, surprisingly, there has been few studies on this fundamental question despite that multiple 4f orbitals was considered in the context of Cerium volume collapse considering the inherent 4f electronic correlations¹⁰. We point out that the AF order mediated by heavy electrons is not only an abstract theoretical question but also relevant to recent discovery of the microscopic coexistence between AF and superconductivity in a particular family of heavy fermion compounds $\text{Ce}_3(\text{Pt/Pd})\text{In}_{11}$ harboring two inequivalent Ce sites^{11–14}, where the most fascinating scenario proposed for their coexistence claims that the Ce(1) sublattice is fully Kondo screened and responsible

to the superconducting state while the Ce(2) sublattice forms the magnetic ordering. Therefore, one intrinsic problem is the interplay between Ce(1) and Ce(2) sublattices, particularly the possible Ce(2) magnetic order induced by Ce(1) sublattice.

Motivated by these experimental progress, in this proof of principle study, we explore the possibility of AF order mediated by heavy electrons via a prototypical model to describe the interplay between the local moments and heavy electrons. Specifically, we discover that the hybridization between the local moments and heavy electrons can indeed induce AF order between the local moments through the so-called “high-order” RKKY interaction that resembles the conventional one mediated via the conduction electrons in standard PAM/KLM.

II. MODEL AND METHODOLOGY

To illustrate our findings, we adopt the simplest and prototypical model consisting of two distinct localized f -orbitals together with the conduction electrons on two-dimensional square lattice, which reads in the half-filled form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}) - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} (n_{i\sigma}^c + n_{i\sigma}^{f_1} + n_{i\sigma}^{f_2}) \\ & + V \sum_{i\sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{1i\sigma} + f_{1i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}) + t_\perp \sum_{i\sigma} (f_{1i\sigma}^\dagger f_{2i\sigma} + f_{2i\sigma}^\dagger f_{1i\sigma}) \\ & + U \sum_{mi} (n_{i\uparrow}^{f_m} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{i\downarrow}^{f_m} - \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger (c_{i\sigma})$ and $f_{mi\sigma}^\dagger (f_{mi\sigma})$ with $m = 1, 2$ are creation(destruction) operators for conduction and two local $f_{1,2}$ electrons on site i with spin σ . $n_{i\sigma}^{c,f_m}$ are the associated number operators. The chemical potential μ can be tuned for a desired average occupancy of three orbitals. The hopping $t = 1$ between conduction electrons on nearest neighbor sites $\langle ij \rangle$ sets the energy scale. U is the local repulsive interaction in the $f_{1,2}$ orbital. Note that in this work we only consider the case that the $f_{1,2}$ orbitals share an identical U

for simplicity although generally they can differ. The two remaining control parameters are two distinct hybridizations, namely V between c - f_1 and t_\perp between f_1 - f_2 .

Before proceeding, we remark that in the heavy fermion compounds with multiple crystallographic inequivalent local moment sites, such as $\text{Ce}_3(\text{Pt/Pd})\text{In}_{11}$ ^{11–14}, the local environment of two Ce ions are different leading to distinct Kondo interaction strengths with the conduction electrons. Here our focus is on the sole effects of the heavy electrons from c - f_1 Kondo singlets on the additional f_2 local moments. Thus, we neglect the c - f_2 hybridization to avoid the additional Kondo screening from c electrons and associated complexity. The more realistic modelling of the heavy fermion compounds is left for future investigation.

In addition, to explicitly investigate the AF order without charge fluctuation, we stick on the half-filled systems by setting $\mu = 0$ so that the c and $f_{1,2}$ orbitals are individually half-filled, which also ensures that the superexchange interaction between local moments is suppressed⁸ as discussed before so that only the RKKY-type interaction can take the role of mediating the AF order.

To gather some initial insights of this model, it is worthwhile elaborating on some limiting cases. In the absence of Hubbard interaction U , the three-orbital unit cell gives rise to three energy bands such that the system hosts a metallic ground state for any finite V, t_\perp at half-filling. As discussed later, turning on U opens the orbital-selective spectral gap. In the extreme case of $t_\perp \ll V$, the system separates into conventional PAM plus additional individual local moments; in contrary, if $t_\perp \gg V$, the system becomes a conduction band plus individual strongly bound dimers.

To fully take into account all the energy scales on the equal footing, we use the well established numerical technique of finite temperature determinant Quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC)¹⁵ to explore the physics of Eq. 1. As a celebrated computational method, DQMC provides an approximation-free solution in the presence of strong correlations. Besides, finite size scaling can facilitate the extraction of the AF order parameter reliably so that all the quantities throughout the paper are extracted values in the thermodynamic limit.

Throughout the paper, we concentrate on the characteristic intermediate coupling strength $U = 4.0t$, where it has been widely believed that the critical c - f hybridization strength separating the Kondo singlet and antiferromagnetic insulating ground states in PAM is $V_c \sim 1.0t$ ^{6,16}. Because the major purpose of this work is the AF order induced by heavy electrons, we only explore the systems with $V/t \geq 1.2$ such that the c - f_1 subsystem is readily within the heavy electron regime. Besides, all the physical quantities are obtained through finite-size scaling in lattice sizes as large as $N = 10 \times 10$ at lowest temperature $T = 0.025t$ with periodic boundary.

Our major findings are illustrated in the tentative phase diagram Figure 1 and summarized as follows:

1. **Singlet shielding (SS)**: f_2 local moments are effectively standing alone and shielded from the heavy electrons (c - f_1 singlets);
2. f_2 -**AF^I**: f_2 -AF ordered insulator via “high-order” RKKY coexisting with c - f_1 heavy electrons;
3. f_2 -**AF^M**: f_2 -AF order with metallic feature coexisting with partially broken heavy electrons (metallic c electrons);
4. **Orbital-selective metallicity**: f_2 -AF disappears due to broken heavy electrons; both c and f_2 exhibit metallicity while f_1 remains insulating.

Some remarks follow in order. First of all, in all phases, the f_1 orbital exhibits the stable insulating behavior even though c and f_2 exhibit metallic behavior at large t_\perp . Besides, f_1 orbital does not host the AF order unless at relatively small $V/t = 1.2, 1.6$ due to the combined effects of proximity effect from f_2 -AF and weakened c - f_1 Kondo screening. Secondly, there is a crossover (blue dashed) line separating the f_2 -AF^I and f_2 -AF^M phases, which is supported by the strong metallic tendency of c and f_2 orbitals that competes with and ultimately destroys the f_2 -AF order. Finally, at sufficiently large t_\perp , c - f_2 -M phase will be replaced by strongly coupled f_1 - f_2 dimers together with the free conduction electrons. In Fig. 1, in addition, the red dashed line highlights the t_\perp at which the f_2 -AF structure factor reaches its maximum. Note that the f_2 -AF rapidly turns on upon its emergence and gradually disappears. In what follows, we start providing the concrete numerical evidence to support the phase diagram in detail.




FIG. 1: Tentative phase diagram of Eq. 1 at half-filling at lowest simulated temperature $T = 0.025t$. The gray and cyan regimes exhibit the f_2 -AF order mediated via heavy electrons (c - f_1). The red dashed line highlights the position with maximal AF structure factor. See text for details.

III. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES




FIG. 2: (a) Finite-size scaling of $S_{AF}^{f_m}/N$ at fixed $V/t = 2.0$; (b) Evolution of extrapolated $S_{AF}^{f_m}/N$ with t_{\perp} for diverse V at $T = 0.025t$. The dashed (solid) lines are for f_1 (f_2) orbital.

We first illustrate our findings of the induced f_2 -AF order mediated via heavy electrons (c - f_1 singlets) by the magnetic properties. The AF order is manifested by the AF structure factor of the $f_{1,2}$ local moments

$$S_{AF}^{f_m}(V_1, V_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j)} \langle (n_{i\uparrow}^{f_m} - n_{i\downarrow}^{f_m})(n_{j\uparrow}^{f_m} - n_{j\downarrow}^{f_m}) \rangle \quad (2)$$

with $m = 1, 2$ at $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$, where \mathbf{R}_i denotes the coordinates of site i and N is the lattice size.

Figure 2(a) shows the finite-size scaling of $S_{AF}^{f_m}/N$ at fixed $V/t = 2.0$ with dashed/solid lines denoting $m = 1, 2$ respectively. Clearly, at small t_{\perp} , the c - f_1 singlets shields the additional f_2 local moments and the two subsystems are effectively separated so that both show absence of AF order. With increasing t_{\perp} , the f_2 -AF order emerges while f_1 local moments remain forming the Kondo singlets with the conduction electrons, which indicates that the f_2 -AF order is not induced by the proximity effect from a “ f_1 -AF” order liberated by the t_{\perp} hybridization. In the standard PAM ($t_{\perp} = 0$), the RKKY interaction scales

as $\sim J^2/W$ with $J \sim V^2/U$ and W the conduction bandwidth. Here we claim that f_2 -AF is realized through a mechanism of “high-order” RKKY interaction with modified $J \sim V^2 t_{\perp}^2/U$ via an indirect c - f_2 hybridization, which competes with the Kondo screening scaling as $\sim W e^{-W/J}$. As expected, further increasing t_{\perp} leads to the gradual diminish of f_2 -AF due to the strong f_1 - f_2 hybridization, which finally results in individual strongly bound dimers.

To make further progress, Fig. 2(b) demonstrates the evolution of extrapolated $S_{AF}^{f_m}/N$ with t_{\perp} for diverse V , where the general peak structure of f_2 -AF order (solid lines) and the absence of f_1 -AF order in most cases (dashed lines) can be seen. Additionally, the f_2 -AF rapidly turns on upon its emergence and gradually disappears. It is natural that stronger V requires larger critical t_{\perp} to overcome the c - f_1 Kondo screening to partially liberate the conduction electrons for its essential role in mediating the “high-order” RKKY that induces f_2 -AF order. In contrary, only the systems of “light” heavy electrons with relatively small Kondo screening, e.g. $V/t = 1.2, 1.6$ (blue and orange dashed lines) clearly exhibit the f_1 -AF order whose maximum are concomitant with that of f_2 -AF. This observation indicates the feedback among c - f_1 - f_2 orbitals: (a) t_{\perp} tends to break the heavy electrons to liberate the c -electrons; (b) c -electrons mediate the f_2 -AF order via “high-order” RKKY; (c) the induced f_2 -AF order has proximity effect to induce the potential f_1 -AF order unfavored by heavy electrons. Certainly, the partially liberated c -electrons can also mediate the f_1 -AF order to some extent, although their combined effects quickly decay with enlarging the c - f_1 hybridization V .

To further support our scenario of “high-order” RKKY, we resort to the local spin correlations $C^{ab} = \langle (n_{\uparrow}^a - n_{\downarrow}^a)(n_{\uparrow}^b - n_{\downarrow}^b) \rangle$ between three orbitals $a, b = c, f_1, f_2$ in Figure 3(a). Apparently, C^{cf_1} ($C^{f_1 f_2}$) decreases (increases) in magnitude with turning on t_{\perp} . Nonetheless, the striking difference shows up in the indirect C^{cf_2} correlation, which exhibits a nontrivial peak, whose position is consistent with the maximal $S_{AF}^{f_2}$ shown in Fig. 2. This strongly indicates that the “heavy” c electrons dressed by f_1 local moments are mediating the f_2 -AF order in an indirect “high-order” manner. More careful comparison reveals that this common peak occurs at the specific t_{\perp} where $C^{cf_1} \approx C^{f_1 f_2}$ and also changes most rapidly. This observation implies that the homogeneous C^{cf_1} and $C^{f_1 f_2}$ spin correlations are favored for enhancing C^{cf_2} and in turn strengthening the “high-order” RKKY interaction to mediate the f_2 -AF order. In addition, the rapid evolution of C^{cf_1} and $C^{f_1 f_2}$ in this regime reflects the crucial delicate balance between C^{cf_1} and $C^{f_1 f_2}$. Furthermore, all these observations vividly implies the vital role of the heavy electrons (c - f_1 singlets) in mediating the f_2 -AF order. Note that C^{cf_1} gradually vanishes at large t_{\perp} denoting the breakdown of heavy electrons, where the f_2 -AF order disappears concurrently.




FIG. 3: (a) Local spin correlations C^{ab} between orbitals: C^{cf_2} (full symbols), C^{cf_1} (half-filled symbols), and $C^{f_1f_2}$ (unfilled symbols) (b) local moments $\langle m^2 \rangle$ of f_1 (dashed lines) and f_2 (solid lines) versus t_{\perp} for diverse V at $T = 0.025t$.

The essential physics of our model can be also described in the viewpoint of the competition and balance between t_{\perp} and V , which can be explored by investigating another indicator of the magnetic properties, namely the local moments $\langle m^2 \rangle$ of $f_{1,2}$. Fig. 3(b) illustrates its behavior of f_1 (dashed lines) and f_2 (solid lines). Naturally, the f_2 local moment decreases with t_{\perp} , which is most rapidly in the regime where the f_2 -AF order emerges. Nevertheless, the f_1 local moment does not vary much but only possesses a bump in the regime with the maximal f_2 -AF order, which can be traced to its quantum fluctuation subject to two-fold hybridization with c and f_2 . This provides further evidence on the steadily frozen behavior of f_1 orbital, whose major role is to dress the c electrons.

IV. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES

At this stage, we have mainly focussed on the SS and f_2 -AF^I regimes at moderate t_{\perp} in the phase diagram Fig. 1. To further understand the f_2 -AF^M and c - f_2 -M regimes, we have to rely on the spectral properties. The specific question we want to address is the fate of the f_2 -AF order at large $t_{\perp} \geq V$. To this aim,




FIG. 4: Approximate local DOS at Fermi level of three orbitals f_2 (full symbols), f_1 (half-filled symbols), and c (unfilled symbols) versus t_{\perp} for diverse V at $T = 0.025t$.

we examined the single-particle orbital-dependent local density of states (DOS) $N^a(\omega)$ with $a = c, f_1, f_2$ relating to the local imaginary-time Green's function $G^a(\tau) = -\sum_j \langle a_j(\tau) a_{j\pm}^\dagger(0) \rangle$ via

$$G^a(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{e^{-\omega\tau}}{e^{-\beta\omega} + 1} N^a(\omega) \quad (3)$$

To avoid the ambiguity from analytical continuation such as maximum entropy method¹⁷, we resort to the approximate formula $N^a(\omega = 0) \approx \beta G^a(\tau = \beta/2)/\pi$ assuming that the temperature is much lower than the energy scale on which there are structures in DOS¹⁸.

As shown in Figure 4, the dominant feature associated with both the f_2 -AF^M and c - f_2 -M phases at large $t_{\perp} \geq V$ is the metallic behavior of both c and f_2 orbitals while f_1 orbital is readily insulating. The stronger metallicity of the conduction electron can be easily understood as the consequence of significantly weakened c - f_1 spin correlation (Fig. 3) that liberates the c electrons so that $\beta G^c(\beta/2)$ keeps growing with t_{\perp} . Strikingly, the comparison with Fig. 2 demonstrates that the metallicity of f_2 starts within the phase with f_2 -AF order (cyan regime in Fig. 1). Therefore, the f_2 -AF^M phase displays the coexistence and competition of the metallicity and AF order of f_2 orbital. In fact, the metallicity participates in destroying the f_2 -AF order. The distinct difference between f_1 and f_2 reflects the more freedom of f_2 despite of the gradually stronger f_1 - f_2 binding, which is consistent with the smoother variation of f_1 local moment with t_{\perp} in Fig. 3(b). Apparently, we confirm that $\beta G^{f_2}(\beta/2)$ finally vanishes at sufficiently large t_{\perp} , e.g. at $V/t = 1.2$ (blue solid line), where the system becomes strongly bound f_1 - f_2 dimers plus nearly free conduction electrons.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, as a proof of principle study, we have addressed the fundamental question of whether or not the antiferromagnetic (AF) order can be induced via the local moments' hybridization with the heavy electrons instead of conduction electrons. We provided strong numerical evidence to confirm its possibility via a prototypical model through determinant QMC simulations. In particular, we claim that this AF order mediated by heavy electrons is realized by a so-called "high-order" RKKY interaction that resembles the conventional RKKY mediated via the conduction electrons in standard PAM/KLM. We emphasize that the induced AF order only emerges if the heavy electrons are present, whose breakdown coincides with the disappearance of the ordering. Moreover, we further prove that the induced AF order can coexist with its metallicity in a finite regime of the phase diagram, which competes with and ultimately destroys the AF order.

As our motivation partly came from the potential relevance to the heavy fermion compound $\text{Ce}_3(\text{Pt/Pd})\text{In}_{11}$ ¹¹⁻¹⁴, we remark that the three orbitals c, f_1, f_2 in our prototypical model can be used to mimic Pt/Pd, Ce(1), and Ce(2) separately of $\text{Ce}_3(\text{Pt/Pd})\text{In}_{11}$ ¹⁹. Our findings implies that the experimentally observed magnetic ordering of Ce(2) (f_2 orbital) can indeed coexist microscopically with

the fully Kondo screened Ce(1) (f_1 orbital) and in fact the Ce(1) plays a significant role in forming the AF order of Ce(2) sublattice. To some extent, however, our model has intrinsic limitation due to its neglecting of conduction electron reservoir from In sites because it has been shown that the strong hybridization with the out of plane In plays an important role in other Ce-based compounds, such as Ce-115 materials²⁰. Therefore, it is requisite to explore the more appropriate models for the potential connection of our findings reported here to the realistic materials, which is left for future investigation. Another fascinating theoretical question regards on the reverse role of Ce(2) on the superconductivity claimed experimentally to be responsible by Ce(1)¹⁴. Besides, the thorough understanding and realization of the proposed "high-order" RKKY interaction in other contexts would be highly interesting.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Richard Scalettar and Jeroen Custers for fruitful discussion in the initial stage. This work was funded by the Stewart Blusson Quantum Matter Institute at University of British Columbia, and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

-
- ¹ P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 124, 41 (1961).
² S. Doniach, Physica 91B, 231 (1977); B. Cornut and B. Coqblin, Phys. Rev. B5, 441 (1972).
³ G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 755 (1984).
⁴ P. A. Lee, T. M. Rice, J. W. Serene, L. J. Sham, and J. W. Wilkins, Comments Condens. Matter Phys. 12, 99 (1986).
⁵ A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
⁶ T. Schafer, A. A. Katanin, M. Kitatani, A. Toschi, and K. Held, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 227201 (2019).
⁷ A. N. Tahvildar-Zadeh, M. Jarrell, and J. K. Freericks, Phys. Rev. B 55, R3332(R) (1997).
⁸ Q. Si, J. Lu, and K. Levin, Phys. Rev. B 45, 4930 (1992).
⁹ R. M. Fye, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2490 (1990).
¹⁰ K. Held, A. K. McMahan, and R. T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 276404 (2001).
¹¹ J. Prokleska, M. Kratochvilova, K. Uhlirova, V. Sechovsky, and J. Custers, Phys. Rev. B 92, 161114(R) (2015).
¹² M. Kratochvilova, J. Prokleska, K. Uhlirova, V. Tkac, M. Duse, V. Sechovsky, and J. Custers, Sci Rep 5, 15904 (2015).
¹³ D. Das, D. Gnida, L. Bochenek, A. Rudenko, M. Daszkiewicz, and D. Kaczorowski, Sci Rep 8, 16703 (2018).
¹⁴ S. Kambe, H. Sakai, Y. Tokunaga, R. E. Walstedt, M. Kratochvilov, K. Uhlrov, and J. Custers, Phys. Rev. B 101, 081103(R) (2020).
¹⁵ R. Blankenbecler, D.J. Scalapino, and R.L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D24, 2278 (1981).
¹⁶ W. Hu, R. T. Scalettar, E. W. Huang, and B. Moritz, Phys. Rev. B 95, 235122 (2017).
¹⁷ J.E. Gubernatis, M. Jarrell, R.N. Silver, and D.S. Sivia, Phys. Rev. B 44, 6011 (1991).
¹⁸ N. Trivedi and M. Randeria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 312 (1995).
¹⁹ Private communication with J. Custers.
²⁰ K. Haule, C. Yee, and K. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 81, 195107 (2010).