

# BEHAVIOR NEAR THE ORIGIN OF $f'(u^*)$ IN RADIAL SINGULAR EXTREMAL SOLUTIONS

SALVADOR VILLEGAS

ABSTRACT. Consider the semilinear elliptic equation  $-\Delta u = \lambda f(u)$  in the unit ball  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with Dirichlet data  $u|_{\partial B_1} = 0$ , where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a real parameter and  $f$  is a  $C^1$  positive, nondecreasing and convex function in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $f(s)/s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . In this paper we study the behavior of  $f'(u^*)$  near the origin when  $u^*$ , the extremal solution of the previous problem associated to  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , is singular. This answers to an open problems posed by Brezis and Vázquez [2, Open problem 5].

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the following semilinear elliptic equation, which has been extensively studied:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a real parameter and the nonlinearity  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad f \text{ is } C^1, \text{ nondecreasing and convex, } f(0) > 0, \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = +\infty.$$

It is well known that there exists a finite positive extremal parameter  $\lambda^*$  such that  $(P_\lambda)$  has a minimal classical solution  $u_\lambda \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$  if  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , while no solution exists, even in the weak sense, for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ . The set  $\{u_\lambda : 0 < \lambda < \lambda^*\}$  forms a branch of classical solutions increasing in  $\lambda$ . Its increasing pointwise limit  $u^*(x) := \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda(x)$  is a weak solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , which is called the extremal solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  (see [1, 2, 9]).

The regularity and properties of extremal solutions depend strongly on the dimension  $N$ , domain  $\Omega$  and nonlinearity  $f$ . When  $f(u) = e^u$ , it was proven that  $u^* \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  if  $N < 10$  (for every  $\Omega$ ) (see [8, 11]), while  $u^*(x) = -2 \log |x|$  and  $\lambda^* = 2(N - 2)$  if  $N \geq 10$  and  $\Omega = B_1$  (see [10]). There is an analogous result for  $f(u) = (1 + u)^p$  with  $p > 1$  (see [2]). Brezis

---

The author has been supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades of Spain PGC2018-096422-B-I00 and by the Junta de Andalucía A-FQM187-UGR18.

and Vázquez [2] raised the question of determining the boundedness of  $u^*$ , depending only on the dimension  $N$ , for general smooth bounded domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and nonlinearities  $f$  satisfying (1.1). This was proven by Nedev [12] when  $N \leq 3$ ; by Cabré and Capella [4] when  $\Omega = B_1$  and  $N \leq 9$ ; by Cabré [3] when  $N = 4$  and  $\Omega$  is convex; by the author [13] when  $N = 4$ ; by Cabré and Ros-Oton [6] when  $N \leq 7$  and  $\Omega$  is a convex domain of double revolution; by Cabré, Sanchón, and Spruck [7] when  $N = 5$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f'(t)/f(t)^{1+\varepsilon} < +\infty$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Finally, in a recent paper Cabré, Figalli, Ros-Oton and Serra [5] solved completely this question by proving that  $u^*$  is bounded if  $N \leq 9$ .

Another question posed by Brezis and Vázquez [2, Open problem 5] for singular extremal solutions is the following: What is the behavior of  $f'(u^*)$  near the singularities? Does it look like  $C/r^2$ ?

This question is motivated by the fact that in the explicit examples  $\Omega = B_1$  and  $f(u) = (1+u)^p$ ,  $p > 1$  or  $f(u) = e^u$  it is always  $f'(u^*(r)) = C/r^2$  for certain positive constant  $C$ , when the extremal solution  $u^*$  is singular.

In this paper we give a negative answer to this question, by showing that, in the case in which  $\Omega = B_1$  and  $u^*$  is singular, we always have  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) \in (0, +\infty)$ . However, it is possible to give examples of  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$  satisfying (1.1) for which  $u^*$  is singular and  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) = 0$ . In fact, we exhibit a large family of functions  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$  satisfying (1.1) for which  $u^*$  is singular and  $f'(u^*)$  can have a very oscillating behavior.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\Omega = B_1$ ,  $N \geq 10$ , and that  $f$  satisfies (1.1). Suppose that the extremal solution  $u^*$  of  $(P_\lambda)$  is unbounded.*

*Then  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) \in (0, \infty)$ . Moreover*

$$\frac{2(N-2)}{\lambda^*} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda^*},$$

*where  $\lambda_1$  denotes the first eigenvalue of the linear problem  $-\Delta v = \lambda v$  in  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with Dirichlet conditions  $v = 0$  on  $\partial B_1$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that  $\Omega = B_1$ ,  $N \geq 10$ , and that  $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) = +\infty$ . Then there exists  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$  satisfying (1.1) such that the extremal solution  $u^*$  of  $(P_\lambda)$  is unbounded and*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(u^*(r))}{\varphi(r)} = 0.$$

Note that in the case  $\varphi(r) = 1/r^2$ , we would obtain  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) = 0$ . This answers negatively to [2, Open problem 5]. In fact  $r^2 f'(u^*(r))$  could be very oscillating, as the next result shows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that  $\Omega = B_1$ ,  $N \geq 10$ , and let  $0 \leq C_1 \leq C_2$ , where  $C_2 \in [2(N-2), (N-2)^2/4]$ . Then there exists  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$  satisfying (1.1) such that the extremal solution  $u^*$  of  $(P_\lambda)$  is unbounded,  $\lambda^* = 1$  and*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) = C_1,$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) = C_2.$$

Note that if  $C_1 = C_2$ , then the interval  $[2(N-2), (N-2)^2/4]$  is optimal:  $C_2 \geq 2(N-2)$  by Theorem 1.1, while  $C_1 \leq (N-2)^2/4$  by Hardy's inequality.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that  $\Omega = B_1$ ,  $N \geq 11$ , and that  $\Psi \in C(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  is a radially symmetric decreasing function satisfying*

$$\frac{2(N-2)}{r^2} \leq \Psi(r) \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4r^2}, \quad \text{for every } 0 < r \leq 1.$$

*Then there exist  $f \in C^1([0, +\infty))$  satisfying (1.1) such that  $\lambda^* = 1$  and*

$$f'(u^*(x)) = \Psi(x), \quad \text{for every } x \in \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Moreover, this function  $f$  is unique up to a multiplicative constant. That is, if  $g$  is a function with the above properties, then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $g = \alpha f(\cdot/\alpha)$  (whose extremal solution is  $\alpha u^*$ ).*

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

First of all, if  $\Omega = B_1$ , and  $f$  satisfies (1.1), it is easily seen by the Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg symmetry result that  $u_\lambda$ , the solution of  $(P_\lambda)$ , is radially decreasing for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ . Hence, its limit  $u^*$  is also radially decreasing. In fact  $u_r^*(r) < 0$  for all  $r \in (0, 1]$ , where  $u_r$  denotes the radial derivative of a radial function  $u$ . Moreover, it is immediate that the minimality of  $u_\lambda$  implies its stability. Clearly, we can pass to the limit and obtain that  $u^*$  is also stable, which means

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{B_1} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx \geq \int_{B_1} \lambda^* f'(u^*) \xi^2 dx$$

for every  $\xi \in C^\infty(B_1)$  with compact support in  $B_1$ .

On the other hand, differentiating  $-\Delta u^* = \lambda^* f(u^*)$  with respect to  $r$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad -\Delta u_r^* = \left( \lambda^* f'(u^*) - \frac{N-1}{r^2} \right) u_r^*, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1].$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $N \geq 3$  and  $\Psi : \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a radially symmetric function satisfying that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|\Psi(r)|/r^2 \leq C$ , for every  $0 < r \leq 1$ , and*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{B_1} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx \geq \int_{B_1} \Psi \xi^2 dx$$

*for every  $\xi \in C^\infty(B_1)$  with compact support in  $B_1$ .*

*Then*

i) *The problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\omega(x) &= \left(\Psi(x) - \frac{N-1}{|x|^2}\right)\omega(x) && \text{in } B_1, \\ \omega(x) &= 1 && \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases} \quad (P_\Psi)$$

has an unique solution  $\omega \in W^{1,2}(B_1)$ . Moreover  $\omega$  is radial and strictly positive in  $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ .

ii) If  $\Psi_1 \leq \Psi_2$  in  $\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy the above hypotheses and  $\omega_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the solutions of the problems  $(P_{\Psi_i})$  then  $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$  in  $\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* i) By Hardy's inequality

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_{B_1} \frac{\xi^2}{|x|^2} dx,$$

for every  $\xi \in C^\infty(B_1)$  with compact support in  $B_1$ , we can define the functional  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$I(\omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \left( \Psi - \frac{N-1}{|x|^2} \right) \omega^2 dx,$$

for every  $\omega \in X$ , where  $X = \left\{ \omega : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \omega - 1 \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1) \right\}$ .

It is immediate that

$$I'(\omega)(v) = \int_{B_1} \nabla \omega \nabla v dx - \int_{B_1} \left( \Psi - \frac{N-1}{|x|^2} \right) \omega v dx; \quad \omega \in X, v \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1).$$

Therefore to prove the existence of a solution of  $(P_\Psi)$  it is sufficient to show that  $I$  has a global minimum in  $X$ . To do this, we first prove that  $I$  is bounded from below in  $X$ . Taking  $v = \omega - 1$  in (2.3) and applying CauchySchwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(\omega) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \Psi(\omega - 1)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \left( \Psi - \frac{N-1}{|x|^2} \right) \omega^2 dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \Psi(-2\omega + 1) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{N-1}{|x|^2} \omega^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{-C(2|\omega| + 1) + (N-1)\omega^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{-C - C^2}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I$  is bounded from below in  $X$ . Take  $\{w_n\} \subset X$  such that  $I(w_n) \rightarrow \inf I$ . Let us show that  $\{w_n\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}$ . To this end, taking into account the above inequalities and that  $-C(2|s| + 1) + (N-1)s^2 \geq -C(2|s| + 1) + 2s^2 \geq s^2 - C - C^2$  for every  $N \geq 3$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$I(\omega_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{-C(2|\omega_n| + 1) + (N-1)\omega_n^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{\omega_n^2 - C - C^2}{|x|^2} dx.$$

From this  $\int_{B_1} \omega_n^2/|x|^2$  is bounded. Therefore  $\int_{B_1} \Psi \omega_n^2$  is also bounded. From the definition of  $I$  we conclude that  $\int_{B_1} |\nabla \omega_n|^2$  is bounded, which clearly implies that  $\{\omega_n\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}$ .

Since  $X$  is a weakly closed subset of  $W^{1,2}$ , we have that, up to a subsequence,  $\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega_0 \in X$ . Taking  $v = \omega_n - \omega_0$  in (2.3) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & I(\omega_n) - I(\omega_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} |\nabla(\omega_n - \omega_0)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \Psi(\omega_n - \omega_0)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)(\omega_n - \omega_0)^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &+ \int_{B_1} \nabla \omega_0 \nabla(\omega_n - \omega_0) dx - \int_{B_1} \Psi \omega_0(\omega_n - \omega_0) dx + \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)\omega_0(\omega_n - \omega_0)}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_1} \nabla \omega_0 \nabla(\omega_n - \omega_0) dx - \int_{B_1} \Psi \omega_0(\omega_n - \omega_0) dx + \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)\omega_0(\omega_n - \omega_0)}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega_n - \omega_0 \rightharpoonup 0$ , taking limit as  $n$  tends to infinity in the above inequality we conclude

$$(\inf I) - I(\omega_0) \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $I$  which attains its minimum at  $\omega_0$ . The existence of solution of  $(P_\Psi)$  is proven.

To show the uniqueness of solution suppose that there exists two solutions  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  of the same problem  $(P_\Psi)$ . Then  $\omega_2 - \omega_1 \in W_0^{1,2}$ . By (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I'(\omega_2)(\omega_2 - \omega_1) - I'(\omega_1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1) \\ &= \int_{B_1} |\nabla(\omega_2 - \omega_1)|^2 dx - \int_{B_1} \Psi(\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2 dx + \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2}{|x|^2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ . The uniqueness is proven.

The radial symmetry of the solution of  $(P_\Psi)$  follows easily from the uniqueness of solution and the radially of the function  $\Psi(x) - (N-1)/|x|^2$  and the boundary condition of the problem.

Finally, to prove that the solution  $\omega$  of  $(P_\Psi)$  is strictly positive in  $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$  suppose, contrary to our claim, that there exists  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\omega(r_0) = 0$  (with radial notation). Thus the function  $v$  defined by  $v = \omega$  in  $B_{r_0}$  and  $v = 0$  in  $B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}}$  is in  $W_0^{1,2}(B_1)$ . By (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = I'(\omega)(v) &= \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx - \int_{B_{r_0}} \Psi \omega^2 dx + \int_{B_{r_0}} \frac{(N-1)\omega^2}{|x|^2} dx \\
&\geq \int_{B_{r_0}} \frac{(N-1)\omega^2}{|x|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\omega = 0$  in  $B_{r_0}$ . In particular  $\omega(r_0) = \omega'(r_0) = 0$  (with radial notation), which implies, by the uniqueness of the corresponding Cauchy problem, that  $\omega = 0$  in  $(0, 1]$ . This contradicts  $\omega(1) = 1$ .

ii) Consider the function  $v = (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ = \max\{0, \omega_1 - \omega_2\} \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1)$  in the weak formulation of problem  $(P_{\Psi_1})$ . We have

$$0 = \int_{B_1} \left( \nabla \omega_1 \nabla (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ - \Psi_1 \omega_1 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ + \frac{(N-1)\omega_1 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+}{|x|^2} \right) dx$$

Consider the same function  $v = (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+$  in the weak formulation of problem  $(P_{\Psi_2})$ . Taking into account that  $\Psi_1 \leq \Psi_2$  and  $\omega_2 \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{B_1} \left( \nabla \omega_2 \nabla (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ - \Psi_2 \omega_2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ + \frac{(N-1)\omega_2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+}{|x|^2} \right) dx \\
&\leq \int_{B_1} \left( \nabla \omega_2 \nabla (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ - \Psi_1 \omega_2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ + \frac{(N-1)\omega_2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+}{|x|^2} \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the above two expressions it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int_{B_1} |\nabla (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+|^2 dx - \int_{B_1} \Psi_1 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^{+2} dx + \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^{+2}}{|x|^2} dx \\
&\geq \int_{B_1} \frac{(N-1)(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^{+2}}{|x|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies  $(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^+ = 0$ . Hence  $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ , which is our claim.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We first prove that  $\lambda^* f'(u^*(r)) \leq \lambda_1/r^2$  for every  $r \in (0, 1]$ . To see this, let  $0 < \varphi_1$  be the first eigenfunction of the linear problem  $-\Delta v = \lambda v$  in  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with Dirichlet conditions  $v = 0$  on  $\partial B_1$ . Then  $\int_{B_1} |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 = \lambda_1 \int_{B_1} \varphi_1^2$ . By density, for arbitrary  $0 < r \leq 1$ , we could take in (2.1) the radial function  $\xi = \varphi_1(\cdot/r)$  in  $B_r$  and  $\xi = 0$  in  $B_1 \setminus \overline{B_r}$ . Since  $f'$  is nondecreasing and  $u^*$  is radially decreasing, then  $f'(u^*)$  is radially decreasing. An easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1} |\nabla \xi|^2 &= \int_{B_r} |\nabla \xi|^2 = r^{N-2} \int_{B_1} |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 = \lambda_1 r^{N-2} \int_{B_1} \varphi_1^2, \\
\int_{B_1} \lambda^* f'(u^*) \xi^2 &= \int_{B_r} \lambda^* f'(u^*) \xi^2 \geq \lambda^* f'(u^*(r)) \int_{B_r} \xi^2 = \lambda^* f'(u^*(r)) r^N \int_{B_1} \varphi_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.1) we obtain the desired conclusion. Consequently  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) \leq \lambda_1/\lambda^*$ .

We now prove that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 f'(u^*(r)) \geq 2(N-2)/\lambda^*$ . To obtain a contradiction, suppose that there exists  $r_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda^* f'(u^*(r)) \leq \frac{2(N-2) - \varepsilon}{r^2},$$

for every  $r \in (0, r_0]$ . Consider now the radial function  $\omega(r) := u_r^*(r_0 r)/u_r^*(r_0)$ , defined in  $\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}$ . Applying (2.2), an easy computation shows that  $\omega(1) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\omega(r) &= \frac{1}{u_r^*(r_0)} r_0^2 (-\Delta(u_r^*(r_0 r))) \\ &= \frac{1}{u_r^*(r_0)} r_0^2 \left( \lambda^* f'(u^*(r_0 r)) - \frac{N-1}{(r_0 r)^2} \right) u_r^*(r_0 r) = \left( \Psi(r) - \frac{N-1}{r^2} \right) \omega(r), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $r \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\Psi(r) := r_0^2 \lambda^* f'(u^*(r_0 r))$ . From (2.4) we obtain  $\Psi(r) \leq \Psi_2(r) := (2(N-2) - \varepsilon)/r^2$  for every  $r \in (0, 1]$ . It is easy to check that the solution  $\omega_2$  of the problem  $(P_{\Psi_2})$  is given by  $w_2(r) = r^\alpha$  ( $0 < r \leq 1$ ) where

$$\alpha = \frac{2 - N + \sqrt{(N-4)^2 + 4\varepsilon}}{2}.$$

Therefore, applying Proposition 2.1, we can assert that  $0 < \omega(r) \leq r^\alpha$  for every  $r \in (0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $\alpha > -1$ . Hence  $\omega \in L^1(0, 1)$ . This gives  $u_r^* \in L^1(0, r_0)$ , which contradicts the unboundedness of  $u^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $N \geq 10$  and  $0 < A < B \leq 1$ . Define the radial function  $\Psi_{A,B} : \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$\Psi_{A,B}(r) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < r < A \\ \frac{2(N-2)}{r^2} & \text{if } A \leq r \leq B, \\ 0 & \text{if } B < r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Let  $\omega[A, B]$  be the unique radial solution of  $(P_{\Psi_{A,B}})$ . Then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \omega[se^{-1/s^3}, s](r) dr = +\infty.$$

*Proof.* We first observe that since  $N \geq 10$  we have  $2(N-2) \leq (N-2)^2/4$ . Hence  $0 \leq \Psi_{A,B} \leq (N-2)^2/(4r^2)$  for every  $0 < r \leq 1$ . Thus, by Hardy's inequality,  $\Psi_{A,B}$  satisfies (2.3) and we can apply Proposition 2.1.

We check at once that

$$\omega[A, B](r) = \begin{cases} \frac{N(N-4)B^{N-2}A^{-2}r}{(N-2)^2B^{N-4}-4A^{N-4}+2(N-2)B^N(B^{N-4}-A^{N-4})} & \text{if } 0 \leq r < A, \\ \frac{N(N-2)B^{N-2}r^{-1} - 2NA^{N-4}B^{N-2}r^{3-N}}{(N-2)^2B^{N-4}-4A^{N-4}+2(N-2)B^N(B^{N-4}-A^{N-4})} & \text{if } A \leq r \leq B, \\ \frac{((N-2)^2B^{N-4}-4A^{N-4})r + 2(N-2)B^N(B^{N-4}-A^{N-4})r^{1-N}}{(N-2)^2B^{N-4}-4A^{N-4}+2(N-2)B^N(B^{N-4}-A^{N-4})} & \text{if } B < r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

To see that  $\omega[A, B]$  is the solution of  $(P_{\Psi_{A,B}})$  it suffices to observe that  $\omega[A, B] \in C^1(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) \cap W^{1,2}(B_1)$  satisfies pointwise  $(P_{\Psi_{A,B}})$  if  $|x| \neq A, B$ .

On the other hand, taking into account that  $r^{3-N} \leq A^{4-N}r^{-1}$  if  $A \leq r \leq B$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega[A, B](r) &\geq \frac{N(N-2)B^{N-2}r^{-1} - 2NA^{N-4}B^{N-2}A^{4-N}r^{-1}}{(N-2)^2B^{N-4}-4A^{N-4}+2(N-2)B^N(B^{N-4}-A^{N-4})} \\ &\geq \frac{N(N-2)B^{N-2}r^{-1} - 2NA^{N-4}B^{N-2}A^{4-N}r^{-1}}{(N-2)^2B^{N-4}+2(N-2)B^NB^{N-4}} \\ &= \frac{N(N-4)B^2r^{-1}}{(N-2)^2+2(N-2)B^N}, \quad \text{if } A \leq r \leq B. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the positiveness of  $\omega[A, B]$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \omega[A, B](r) dr &\geq \int_A^B \omega[A, B](r) dr \geq \int_A^B \frac{N(N-4)B^2r^{-1}}{(N-2)^2+2(N-2)B^N} dr \\ &= \frac{N(N-4)B^2 \log(B/A)}{(N-2)^2+2(N-2)B^N}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking in this inequality  $A = se^{-1/s^3}$ ,  $B = s$  (for arbitrary  $0 < s \leq 1$ ), it may be concluded that

$$\int_0^1 \omega[se^{-1/s^3}, s](r) dr \geq \frac{N(N-4)}{s((N-2)^2+2(N-2)s^N)}$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $N \geq 10$  and  $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) = +\infty$ . Then there exists  $\Psi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  an unbounded radially symmetric decreasing function satisfying*

- i)  $0 < \Psi(r) \leq \frac{2(N-2)}{r^2}$  and  $\Psi'(r) < 0$  for every  $0 < r \leq 1$ .
- ii)  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)} = 0$ ,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 \Psi(r) = 2(N-2)$ .



iii)  $\int_0^1 \omega(r)dr = +\infty$ , where  $\omega$  is the radial solution of  $(P_\Psi)$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\varphi(r) \leq 2(N-2)/r^2$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ , since otherwise we can replace  $\varphi$  with  $\bar{\varphi} = \min\{\varphi, 2(N-2)/r^2\}$ . It is immediate that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) = +\infty$  implies  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \bar{\varphi}(r) = +\infty$  and that  $0 \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \Psi(r)/\varphi(r) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \Psi(r)/\bar{\varphi}(r)$ .

We begin by constructing by induction two sequence  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset (0, 1]$  in the following way:  $x_1 = 1$  and, knowing the value of  $x_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), take  $y_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$  such that

$$x_{n+1} < y_n < x_n e^{-1/x_n^3} < x_n,$$

where  $y_n \in (0, x_n e^{-1/x_n^3})$  is chosen such that

$$\varphi(y_n) > (n+1) \frac{2(N-2)}{(x_n e^{-1/x_n^3})^2},$$

which is also possible since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) = +\infty$ . The inequality  $x_{n+1} < x_n e^{-1/x_n^3}$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$  implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence tending to zero as  $n$  goes to infinity. For this reason, to construct the radial function  $\Psi$  in  $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ , it suffices to define  $\Psi$  in every interval  $[x_{n+1}, x_n) = [x_{n+1}, y_n) \cup [y_n, x_n e^{-1/x_n^3}] \cup (x_n e^{-1/x_n^3}, x_n)$ .

First, we define

$$\Psi(r) := \frac{2(N-2)}{r^2}, \quad \text{if } x_n e^{-1/x_n^3} < r < x_n,$$

$$\Psi(y_n) := \frac{\varphi(y_n)}{n+1}.$$

By the definition of  $y_n$  we have that

$$\Psi(y_n) = \frac{\varphi(y_n)}{n+1} > \frac{2(N-2)}{(x_n e^{-1/x_n^3})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(y_n) < \varphi(y_n) \leq \frac{2(N-2)}{y_n^2}.$$

Thus, it is a simple matter to see that it is possible to take a decreasing function  $\Psi$  in  $(y_n, x_n e^{-1/x_n^3}]$  such that  $\Psi(r) < 2(N-2)/r^2$  and  $\Psi'(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (y_n, x_n e^{-1/x_n^3}]$  and  $\Psi \in C^\infty([y_n, x_n))$ .

Finally, we will define similarly  $\Psi$  in  $[x_{n+1}, y_n)$ . Taking into account that

$$\Psi(y_n) < \varphi(y_n) \leq \frac{2(N-2)}{y_n^2} < \frac{2(N-2)}{x_{n+1}^2},$$

we see at once that it is possible to take a decreasing function  $\Psi$  in  $[x_{n+1}, y_n)$  such that

$$\Psi(x_{n+1}) = \frac{2(N-2)}{x_{n+1}^2},$$

$$\partial_r^{(k)} \Psi(x_{n+1}) = \partial_r^{(k)} (2(N-2)/r^2) (x_{n+1}), \text{ for every } k \geq 1,$$

$$\Psi(r) < 2(N-2)/r^2 \text{ and } \Psi'(r) < 0 \text{ for } r \in (x_{n+1}, y_n),$$

$$\Psi \in C^\infty([x_{n+1}, x_n]).$$

Once we have constructed the radial function  $\Psi$  it is evident that  $\Psi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  an unbounded radially symmetric decreasing function satisfying i).

To prove ii) it is sufficient to observe that the sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  tend to zero and satisfy  $x_n^2 \Psi(x_n) = 2(N-2)$  and  $\Psi(y_n)/\varphi(y_n) = 1/(n+1)$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ .

It remains to prove iii). To this end consider an arbitrary  $K > 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  tends to zero, applying Lemma 2.2 we can assert that there exists a natural number  $m$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \omega[x_m e^{-1/x_m^3}, x_m](r) dr \geq K.$$

Observe that  $\Psi \geq \Psi_{x_m e^{-1/x_m^3}, x_m}$ . By Proposition 2.1 it follows that  $\omega \geq \omega[x_m e^{-1/x_m^3}, x_m]$ . Thus

$$\int_0^1 \omega(r) dr \geq \int_0^1 \omega[x_m e^{-1/x_m^3}, x_m](r) dr \geq K.$$

Since  $K > 0$  is arbitrary we conclude  $\int_0^1 \omega(r) dr = +\infty$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Consider the function  $\Psi$  of Proposition 2.3 and let  $\omega$  be the radial solution of  $(P_\Psi)$ . Since  $\Psi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  we obtain  $\omega \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) \cap W^{1,2}(B_1)$ . Define the radial function  $u$  by

$$u(r) := \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt, \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

It is obvious that  $u \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$ . Since  $u' = -\omega$  (with radial notation), we have  $u \in W^{2,2}(B_1) \subset W^{1,2}(B_1)$ . Moreover, from  $\int_0^1 \omega(r) dr = +\infty$  we see that  $u$  is unbounded.

On the other hand, since  $u' = -\omega < 0$  in  $(0, 1]$  (by Proposition 2.1), it follows that  $u$  is a decreasing  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphism between  $(0, 1]$  and  $[0, +\infty)$ . Therefore we can define  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$  by

$$f := (-\Delta u) \circ u^{-1}.$$

We conclude that  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1)$  is an unbounded solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = 1$ .

Now, substituting  $u_r$  by  $-\omega$  in (2.2) it follows that

$$-\Delta(-\omega) + f'(u)(-\omega) = \frac{N-1}{r^2}(-\omega) \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq 1$$

Hence, since  $\omega$  is a solution of  $(P_\Psi)$  we obtain  $f'(u)\omega = \Psi\omega$  in  $(0, 1]$ . From  $\omega > 0$  in  $(0, 1]$  we conclude that

$$f'(u(x)) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We now prove that  $f$  satisfies (1.1). To do this, we first claim that  $\omega'(1) \geq -1$ . Since  $\Psi \leq 2(N-2)/r^2$ , applying Proposition 2.1 with  $\Psi_1 = \Psi$  and  $\Psi_2 = 2(N-2)/r^2$ , we deduce  $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ , where  $\omega_1 = \omega$  and  $\omega_2 = r^{-1}$ , as is easy to check. Since  $\omega_1(1) = \omega_2(1)$  it follows  $\omega'_1(1) \geq \omega'_2(1) = -1$ , as claimed.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = f(u(1)) &= -\Delta u(1) = -u''(1) - (N-1)u'(1) = \omega'(1) + (N-1)\omega(1) \\ &\geq (-1) + (N-1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $f'(u(r)) = \Psi(r) > 0$  for every  $r \in (0, 1]$  it follows  $f' > 0$  in  $[0, +\infty)$ . Moreover  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} f'(s) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f'(u(r)) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \Psi(r) = +\infty$ , and the superlinearity of  $f$  is proven. Finally, to show the convexity of  $f$ , it suffices to differentiate the expression  $f'(u) = \Psi$  with respect to  $r$  (with radial notation), obtaining  $u'(r)f''(u(r)) = \Psi'(r)$  in  $(0, 1]$ . Since  $u' < 0$  and  $\Psi' < 0$  we obtain  $f''(u(r)) > 0$  in  $(0, 1]$ , which gives the convexity of  $f$  in  $[0, +\infty)$ .

Finally, we show that  $u$  is a stable solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = 1$ . Since  $N \geq 10$  then  $2(N-2) \leq (N-2)^2/4$ , hence

$$f'(u(r)) = \Psi(r) \leq \frac{2(N-2)}{r^2} \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4r^2} \quad \text{for every } 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Thus, by Hardy's inequality, we conclude that  $u$  is a stable solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = 1$ .

On the other hand, in [2, Th. 3.1] it is proved that if  $f$  satisfies (1.1) and  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is an unbounded stable weak solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $u = u^*$  and  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ . Therefore we conclude that  $\lambda^* = 1$ ,  $u^* = u$  and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(u^*(r))}{\varphi(r)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)} = 0.$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Take  $\varphi(r) = 1/r^2$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$ , and consider the function  $\Psi$  of Proposition 2.3. Define

$$\Phi(r) := \frac{C_2 - C_1}{2(N-2)}\Psi(r) + \frac{C_1}{r^2},$$

for every  $0 < r \leq 1$ . Then it follows easily that  $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  is an unbounded radially symmetric decreasing function satisfying

- i)  $\Psi(r) \leq \Phi(r) \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4r^2}$  and  $\Phi'(r) < 0$  for every  $0 < r \leq 1$ .
- ii)  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 \Phi(r) = C_1$ ,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 \Phi(r) = C_2$ .
- iii)  $\int_0^1 \varpi(r) dr = +\infty$ , where  $\varpi$  is the radial solution of  $(P_\Phi)$ .

Note that iii) follows from Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.3 and the fact that  $\varpi \geq \omega$ , being  $\omega$  the radial solution of  $(P_\Psi)$ .

The rest of the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1.2. Since  $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  we obtain  $\varpi \in C^\infty(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) \cap W^{1,2}(B_1)$ . Define the radial function  $u$  by

$$u(r) := \int_r^1 \varpi(t) dt, \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that  $u \in W^{2,2}$  is a decreasing  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphism between  $(0, 1]$  and  $[0, +\infty)$ . Defining again  $f := (-\Delta u) \circ u^{-1}$ , it is obtained that  $f \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$ . Thus  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1)$  is an unbounded solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda = 1$ . It remains to prove that  $f$  satisfies (1.1). At this point, the only difference with respect to the proof of Theorem 1.2 is that  $\Phi(r) \leq \Psi_2(r) := (N-2)^2/(4r^2)$  implies that  $\varpi \leq \omega_2$ , being  $\omega_2(r) = r^{-N/2+\sqrt{N-1}+1}$  the solution of the problem  $(P_{\Psi_2})$ . Hence  $\varpi'(1) \geq \omega_2'(1) = -N/2 + \sqrt{N-1} + 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= f(u(1)) = -\Delta u(1) = -u''(1) - (N-1)u'(1) = \varpi'(1) + (N-1)\varpi(1) \\ &\geq (-N/2 + \sqrt{N-1} + 1) + (N-1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof runs as before.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Since  $0 < \Psi \leq (N-2)^2/(4r^2)$  we have that  $\Psi$  satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1. Thus we can consider the solution  $\omega$  of the problem  $(P_\Psi)$ . From  $\Psi \in C(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  it follow that  $\omega \in C^2(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) \cap W^{1,2}(B_1)$ . On the other hand, since  $\Psi(r) \geq \Psi_1(r) := 2(N-2)/r^2$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ , we have that  $\omega(r) \geq \omega_1(r) := r^{-1}$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ , where we have used that  $\omega_1$  is the solution of  $(P_{\Psi_1})$  and we have applied Proposition 2.1. Define the radial function  $u$  by

$$u(r) := \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt, \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $u(r) \geq |\log r|$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ . In particular,  $u$  is unbounded. From been proved, it follows that  $u \in C^3(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) \cap W^{2,2}(B_1)$ . Hence (with radial notation) we have that  $u$  is a decreasing  $C^3$  diffeomorphism between  $(0, 1]$  and  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus we can define  $f \in C^1([0, +\infty))$  by

$$f := (-\Delta u) \circ u^{-1}.$$

Analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 shows that  $f$  satisfies (1.1),  $\lambda^* = 1$  and  $u = u^*$ .

Finally, to prove that  $f$  is unique up to a multiplicative constant, suppose that  $g$  is a function satisfying (1.1),  $\lambda^* = 1$  and  $g'(v^*(x)) = \Psi(x)$ , for every  $x \in \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $v^*$  is the extremal solution associated to  $g$ . From (2.2) we see that

$$-\Delta v_r^* = \left( g'(v^*) - \frac{N-1}{r^2} \right) v_r^*, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1].$$

It follows immediately that  $v_r^*(r)/v_r^*(1)$  is the solution of the problem  $(P_\Psi)$ . Since this problem has an unique solution we deduce that  $v_r^*(r)/v_r^*(1) = \omega(r) = -u_r^*(r)$ , for every  $r \in (0, 1]$ . Thus  $v_r^* = \alpha u_r^*$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , which implies, since  $v^*(1) = u^*(1) = 0$ , that  $v^* = \alpha u^*$ . The proof is completed by showing that

$$g(v^*(x)) = -\Delta v^*(x) = \alpha(-\Delta u^*(x)) = \alpha f(u^*(x)) = \alpha f(v^*(x)/\alpha),$$

for every  $x \in \overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}$  and taking into account that  $v^*(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\}) = [0, +\infty)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brezis, H., Cazenave, T., Martel, Y., Ramiandrisoa, A.: *Blow up for  $u_t - \Delta u = g(u)$  revisited*, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 73-90.
- [2] Brezis, H., Vázquez, J.L.: *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 10 (1997), 443-469.
- [3] Cabré, X.: *Regularity of minimizers of semilinear elliptic problems up to dimension 4*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63 (2010), 1362-1380.
- [4] Cabré, X., Capella, A.: *Regularity of radial minimizers and extremal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006), 709-733.
- [5] Cabré, X., Figalli, A., Ros-Oton X., Serra, J.: *Stable solutions to semilinear elliptic equations are smooth up to dimension 9*, preprint arXiv:1907.09403.
- [6] Cabré, X., Ros-Oton, X.: *Regularity of stable solutions up to dimension 7 in domains of double revolution*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 38 (2013), 135-154.
- [7] Cabré, X., Sanchón, M., Spruck J.: *A priori estimates for semistable solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 36 (2016), 601-609.
- [8] Crandall, M.G., Rabinowitz, P.H.: *Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975), 207-218.
- [9] Dupaigne, L.: *Stable solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 143, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [10] Joseph, D. D., Lundgren, T. S.: *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 49 (1972/73), 241-269.
- [11] Mignot, F., Puel, J.-P.: *Sur une classe de problèmes non linéaires avec non linéarité positive, croissante, convexe*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 5 (1980), 791-836.
- [12] Nedev, G.: *Regularity of the extremal solution of semilinear elliptic equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 330 (2000), 997-1002.

- [13] Villegas, S.: *Boundedness of extremal solutions in dimension 4*, Adv. Math. 235 (2013), 126-133.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* `svillega@ugr.es`