

HESSIAN ESTIMATES FOR LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE EQUATION

ARUNIMA BHATTACHARYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we derive a priori interior Hessian estimates for the Lagrangian mean curvature equation if the Lagrangian phase is supercritical and has bounded second derivatives.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study a priori interior Hessian estimates in all dimensions for the Lagrangian mean curvature equation

$$(1.1) \quad F(D^2u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i = \psi(x)$$

under the assumption that $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$ where $\delta > 0$, and ψ has bounded second derivatives. Here λ_i 's are the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix D^2u and then the phase ψ becomes a potential for the mean curvature of the Lagrangian submanifold $(x, Du(x)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. When the phase ψ is constant, denoted by c , u solves the special Lagrangian equation

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i = c$$

or equivalently,

$$\cos c \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1} - \sin c \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k} = 0.$$

Equation (1.2) originates in the special Lagrangian geometry by Harvey-Lawson [1]. The Lagrangian graph $(x, Du(x)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is called special when the argument of the complex number $(1 + i\lambda_1) \dots (1 + i\lambda_n)$ or the phase ψ is constant, and it is special if and only if $(x, Du(x))$ is a (volume minimizing) minimal surface in $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, dx^2 + dy^2)$ [1].

A dual form of (1.2) is the Monge-Ampère equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda_i = c.$$

This is the potential equation for special Lagrangian submanifolds in $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, dxdy)$ as interpreted in [2]. The gradient graph $(x, Du(x))$ is volume maximizing in this pseudo-Euclidean space as shown by Warren [3]. In the 1980s, Mealy [4] showed that an equivalent algebraic form of the above equation is the potential equation for his volume maximizing special Lagrangian submanifolds in $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, dx^2 - dy^2)$.

The arctangent operator or the logarithmic operator is concave if u is convex, or if the Hessian of u has a lower bound $\lambda \geq 0$. Certain concavity properties of the arctangent operator are still preserved for saddle u . The concavity of the arctangent operator in (1.1)

depends on the range of the Lagrangian phase. The phase $(n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$ is called critical because the level set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n | \lambda \text{ satisfying (1.1)}\}$ is convex only when $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$ [5, Lemma 2.2]. The concavity of the level set is evident for $|\psi| \geq (n-1)\frac{\pi}{2}$ since that implies $\lambda > 0$ and then F is concave. For solutions of (1.2) with critical and supercritical phases $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$, Hessian estimates have been obtained by Warren-Yuan [6, 7] and Wang-Yuan [8]. If the phase is subcritical $|\psi| < (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$, solutions of (1.2) fail to have interior estimates as shown in examples of Nadirashvili-Vlăduț [9] and Wang-Yuan [10].

Our main results in this paper are the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be a C^4 solution of (1.1) on $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\psi \in C^{1,1}(B_R)$, $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$. Then we have*

$$(1.3) \quad |D^2u(0)| \leq C_1 \exp[C_2 \max_{B_R(0)} |Du|^{2n-2} / R^{2n-2}]$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants depending on $\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_R)}$, n , and δ .

In order to link the dependence of Hessian estimates to the potential u itself, we have the following gradient estimate.

Theorem 1.2. *Let u be a C^3 solution of (1.1) on $B_{3R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\psi \in C^{1,1}(B_{3R})$, $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$. Then we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \max_{B_R(0)} |Du| \leq C_3 \text{osc}_{B_{3R}(0)} \frac{u}{R} + C_4(n)$$

where C_3 is a positive constant depending on $\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_{3R})}$, n , and δ .

Remark 1.1. For the constant critical and supercritical phase equation (1.2), the constants C_3 and C_4 are only dimensional [7, Theorem 1.2]. The constant C_4 was further reduced to 0 in Yuan's unpublished 2015 notes on special Lagrangian equations.

Remark 1.2. One application of the above estimates is the regularity (analyticity) of C^0 viscosity solutions of (1.1) where $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$; solutions of the Dirichlet problem of (1.1) with continuous boundary data enjoy interior regularity, as shown in [11, Theorem 1.1]. Another application is the regularity of convex C^0 viscosity solutions of (1.1) where $\psi \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$, as shown in [12, Theorem 1.1].

Remark 1.3. For Theorem 1.1, an assumption weaker than C^1 on ψ leads to counterexamples. For example, in two dimensions, we consider a boundary value problem of (1.1) on the unit ball $B_1(0)$ where the phase is in C^α with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: $\psi(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(\alpha^{-1}|x|^{1-\alpha})$ and $u(x) = \int_0^{|x|} t^\alpha dt$ on ∂B_1 . Now if Hessian estimates hold good for a Hölder continuous phase then by a smooth approximation of the phase and boundary data we would find a solution that is $C^{2,\alpha}$ in the interior of B_1 . However, this boundary value problem admits a non C^2 unique viscosity solution u with gradient $Du = |x|^{\alpha-1}x$, thereby proving a contradiction.

Remark 1.4. The existence of interior estimates for solutions of (1.1) with critical and supercritical phase where $\psi \in C^{1,\varepsilon_0}$, or even $|\psi| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$ where $\psi \in C^{1,1}$ are still open questions. Again if the phase is subcritical then even for the constant phase equation (1.2), singular $C^{1,\varepsilon}$ viscosity solutions were constructed in [9, 10].

For the two dimensional case, Heinz [13] derived a Hessian bound for solutions of the Monge-Ampère type equation including (1.2); Pogorelov [14] derived Hessian estimates

for solutions of these equations including (1.2) with $|\psi| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Later Pogorelov [15] constructed his famous counterexamples for the three dimensional Monge-Ampère equation $\sigma_3(D^2u) = \det(D^2u) = 1$, which also serve as counterexamples for cubic and higher order symmetric σ_k equations (see [16]). Gregori [17] extended Heinz's estimate to a gradient bound in terms of the heights of the two dimensional minimal surfaces, and for graphs with non-zero mean curvature an additional requirement on the length of the mean curvature vector was assumed. Hessian estimates for solutions to Monge-Ampère equations and the σ_k equations for $k \geq 2$ were established by Pogorelov [15] and Chou-Wang [18] under certain strict convexity constraints. For the three dimensional case, Trudinger [19], Urbas [20, 21], and Bao-Chen [22] obtained pointwise Hessian estimates in terms of certain integrals of the Hessian, for σ_k equations and the special Lagrangian equation (1.2) with $c = \pi$ respectively. Bao-Chen-Guan-Ji [23] obtained pointwise Hessian estimates for strictly convex solutions to quotient equations $\sigma_n = \sigma_k$ in terms of certain integrals of the Hessian. Recently, along the integral way, Qiu [24] proved Hessian estimates for solutions of the three dimensional quadratic Hessian equation with a $C^{1,1}$ variable right hand side. Hessian estimates for convex solutions of general quadratic Hessian equations were obtained via a new pointwise approach by Guan-Qiu [25]. For convex viscosity solutions of (1.2) Hessian estimates have been obtained by Chen-Warren-Yuan [26] and Chen-Shankar-Yuan [27]. Hessian estimates for semiconvex smooth solutions and almost convex viscosity solutions of $\sigma_2(D^2u) = 1$ were recently established by Shankar-Yuan in [28] and [29] respectively.

Our proof of the Hessian estimates goes as follows: we first bound the Hessian of u by its integral followed by an integral of its gradient, then by the volume of the Lagrangian graph, and lastly, by the height of the Lagrangian graph, which is the gradient of the solution of (1.1). The presence of $\psi(x)$ in the non-uniformly elliptic equation (1.1) presents unique challenges. One of the difficulties is the unavailability of harmonic co-ordinates $\Delta_g x = 0$ since the Lagrangian graph $(x, Du(x)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is not a minimal surface. As a result, the linearized operator of (1.1) at u does not represent the Laplace-Beltrami operator, like in the constant phase case [8, 7]. Another hurdle is proving Jacobi inequalities, which require differentiating (1.1) twice. In the homogeneous case, one can differentiate (1.2) and recover D^3u , but upon differentiating (1.1), we end up with terms involving derivatives of ψ , which, a priori, could be large compared to the coefficients $DF(\lambda)$ of D^3u . We prove a Jacobi type inequality for $b = \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_{\max}^2}$ where its Hessian is bounded below by its gradient and the $C^{1,1}$ norm of ψ . Applying a mean value inequality for b and certain Sobolev inequalities we estimate the integral of b by a weighted volume of the non-minimal Lagrangian graph. By a conformality identity, the weighted volume element turns out to be a linear combination of the elementary symmetric functions of D^2u . The linear combination poses yet another difficulty but we take advantage of the divergence type structure to bound the weighted volume of the Lagrangian graph in terms of its height and the $C^{1,1}$ norm of ψ .

Through out this paper we assume $\psi \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$ since by symmetry $\psi \leq -(n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta$ can be treated similarly. This paper is divided into the following sections: in section two, we introduce some notations and state some well known trigonometric inequalities satisfied by solutions of (1.1), which will be used later in the proofs. In section three, we establish the gradient estimates, thereby proving Theorem 1.2. In section four, we prove the pointwise and integral Jacobi inequality. In section five, we prove a mean value inequality for functions satisfying a Jacobi type inequality on submanifolds with high co-dimension, followed by the

proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. We introduce some notations that will be used in this paper. The induced Riemannian metric on the Lagrangian submanifold $X = (x, Du(x)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$g = I_n + (D^2u)^2.$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \\ \partial_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ u_i &= \partial_i u \\ u_{ij} &= \partial_{ij} u.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for the functions defined below, the subscripts on the left do not represent partial derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}b_k &= (\ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} + \dots + \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_k^2})/k \\ h_{ijk} &= \sqrt{g^{ii}} \sqrt{g^{jj}} \sqrt{g^{kk}} u_{ijk} \\ g^{ii} &= \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Here (g^{ij}) is the inverse of the matrix g and h_{ijk} denotes the second fundamental form when the Hessian of u is diagonalized. The volume form, gradient, and inner product with respect to the metric g are given by

$$\begin{aligned}dv_g &= \sqrt{\det g} dx \\ \nabla_g v &= g^{ij} v_i X_j \\ \langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g w \rangle_g &= g^{ij} v_i w_j \\ |\nabla_g v|^2 &= \langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g v \rangle_g.\end{aligned}$$

2.2. Laplace-Beltrami operator and mean curvature formula. Taking variations of the energy functional $\int |\nabla_g v|^2 dv_g$ with respect to v , one has the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the metric g :

$$\begin{aligned}(2.1) \quad \Delta_g &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_j) = g^{ij} \partial_{ij} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} g^{ij}) \partial_j \\ &= g^{ij} \partial_{ij} - g^{jp} \psi_p u_{pq} \partial_j.\end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from the following intrinsic and then extrinsic computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_i(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_i(\sqrt{g})g^{ij} + \partial_i g^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i \ln g)g^{ij} + \partial_k g^{kj} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\partial_i g_{kl}g^{ij} - g^{kl}\partial_k g_{lb}g^{bj} \\
(2.2) \quad &= -g^{jp}g^{ab}u_{abq}u_{pq} = -g^{jp}\psi_q u_{pq}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from (2.3) and (2.4) below. The first derivative of the metric g is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_i g_{ab} = \partial_i(\delta_{ab} + u_{ak}u_{kb}) = u_{aik}u_{kb} + u_{bik}u_{ka} \stackrel{\text{at } x_0}{=} u_{abi}(\lambda_a + \lambda_b)$$

assuming the Hessian of u is diagonalized at x_0 . On taking the gradient of both sides of the Lagrangian mean curvature equation (1.1), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{a,b=1}^n g^{ab}u_{jab} = \psi_j.$$

The coefficients, given by (2.2), are in fact equal to the tangential part of the following decomposition of X_{ij} where $X = (x, Du(x))$

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{ij} &= (X_{ij})^T + (X_{ij})^N = \langle X_{ij}, X_a \rangle g^{ab}X_b + II_{ij} \\
&= u_{ijk}u_{ka}g^{ab}X_b + II_{ij} \stackrel{\text{define}}{=} \Gamma_{ij}^b X_b + II_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

where Γ_{ij}^b is the Christoffel symbol.

On taking trace with respect to the metric g and projecting to the tangential direction $X_l = (\partial_l, Du_l)$, we get

$$g^{ab}\Gamma_{ab}^m = g^{ab}u_{kab}u_{kl}g^{lm} = \psi_k u_{kl}g^{lm},$$

which is the coefficient derived in (2.2). In turn, the normal part is

$$\vec{H} = g^{ab}II_{ab} = g^{ab}(\partial_{ab}X - \Gamma_{ab}^m \partial_m X) = \Delta_g X.$$

On the other hand, directly projecting to the normal direction JX_l , we get the mean curvature vector of the Lagrangian submanifold $(x, Du(x))$

$$(2.5) \quad \vec{H} = g^{ab}\langle (-Du_{ab}, 0), -X_l \rangle g^{lm}JX_m = g^{ab}u_{lab}g^{lm}JX_m = \psi_l g^{lm}JX_m = J\nabla_g \psi$$

where ∇_g is the gradient operator for the metric g and J is the complex structure, or the $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotation matrix in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and we used (2.4) for the second last equation. Note that the above formula for mean curvature of the Lagrangian submanifold $(x, Du(x))$ was originally found in [1, (2.19)].

Remark 2.1. When ψ is constant, harmonic co-ordinates $\Delta_g x = 0$ are available, which reduces the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the minimal submanifold $\{(x, Du(x)) | x \in B_R(0)\}$ to the linearized operator of (1.2) at u . Also, note that in this paper, by our assumption on ψ , $|H|$ is bounded.

Next we state the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that the ordered real numbers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ satisfy (1.1) with $\psi \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then we have*

$$(1) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > 0, \lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|,$$

- (2) $\lambda_1 + (n-1)\lambda_n \geq 0$,
- (3) $\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 2$,
- (4) if $\psi \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$, then $D^2u \geq -\cot \delta I_n$.

Proof. Properties (1), (2), and (3) follow from [8, Lemma 2.2]. Property (4) follows from [5, Pg 1356]. \square

3. GRADIENT ESTIMATES

We prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. We assume $R = 1$ by scaling $\frac{u(Rx)}{R^2}$.

We set $M = \text{osc}_{B_1} u$. W.l.o.g we assume $M > 0$. Replacing u by $u - \min_{B_1} u + M$ we now have

$$(3.1) \quad M \leq u \leq 2M$$

in B_1 . We define

$$w = \eta |Du| + Au^2$$

with $\eta = 1 - |x|^2$ and

$$(3.2) \quad A = \frac{n}{M}.$$

If w attains its supremum on the boundary, then we are done. So we assume that w attains its supremum at an interior point $x_0 \in B_1$. We choose a co-ordinate system so that D^2u is diagonalized at x_0 . Let's assume that $u_n \geq \frac{|Du|}{\sqrt{n}} > 0$ at x_0 . For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{u_i u_{ii}}{|Du|} = |Du|_i = -\frac{\eta_i |Du| + 2A u u_i}{\eta}.$$

Observe that $u_{nn} < 0$ since $A = \frac{n}{M}$. Since $\psi \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$ we must have $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_k \geq |\lambda_n|$ by Lemma 2.1. So we see that for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$(3.4) \quad g^{nn} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} = g^{kk}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{g^{nn}} = 1 + \lambda_n^2 < C(\delta).$$

Next we define the following operator

$$Lu = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} u_{ii}.$$

Note that this is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on minimal submanifolds.

Using (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$Lu(x_0) \geq g^{nn} u_{nn} = -g^{nn} \frac{|Du|(\eta_n |Du| + 2A u u_n)}{n u_n}$$

which shows

$$(3.6) \quad Lu(x_0) \geq -g^{nn} \frac{|Du| 6n}{\eta}.$$

Recalling the definition of w , we note the following for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ at x_0

$$w_i = \eta |Du|_i + \eta_i |Du| + 2Auu_i$$

$$(3.7) \quad Lw = |Du|L\eta + 2 \sum_{a=1}^n g^{aa}\eta_a |Du|_a + \eta L(|Du|) + 2AuLu + 2A \sum_{a=1}^n g^{aa}u_a^2.$$

Next we observe the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a,b=1}^n g^{ab} \partial_{ab} |Du| \\ &= \sum_{a,b,i=1}^n g^{ab} \left[\frac{u_i u_{abi}}{|Du|} + \frac{u_{ai} u_{bi}}{|Du|} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_{aj} u_{bj} u_i u_j}{|Du|^3} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|} + \sum_{a,b,i=1}^n g^{ab} \left[\frac{u_{ai} u_{bi}}{|Du|} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_{aj} u_{bj} u_i u_j}{|Du|^3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we get the last inequality using (2.4). Assuming D^2u is diagonalized at x_0 , we get

$$(3.8) \quad L(|Du|)(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|} + \sum_{a=1}^n g^{aa} \frac{(|Du|^2 - u_a^2) \lambda_a^2}{|Du|^3} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|}.$$

We plug (3.8) in (3.7) and on applying (3.6), (3.3), (3.4) we get the following at x_0

$$\begin{aligned} Lw &\geq -2ng^{nn}|Du| - 2 \sum_{a=1}^n g^{aa}\eta_a \left(\frac{\eta_a |Du| + 2Auu_a}{\eta} \right) + \\ &\quad + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|} - 6ng^{nn} \frac{|Du|}{\eta} + \frac{2A}{n} g^{nn} |Du|^2 \\ &\geq -2ng^{nn}|Du| - 8g^{nn} \frac{|Du|}{\eta} - 8g^{nn} Au \frac{|Du|}{\eta} + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|} \\ &\quad - 6ng^{nn} \frac{|Du|}{\eta} + \frac{2A}{n} g^{nn} |Du|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $Lw(x_0) \leq 0$, we divide the above inequality by $g^{nn} \frac{|Du|}{\eta}$ and on using (3.1), (3.2) we get the following at x_0

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -2n\eta - 8 - 8Au - 6n + \frac{2A}{n} \eta |Du| + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{|Du|} \frac{\eta^2}{g^{nn} |Du|} \\ &\implies \eta |Du| \leq (12n + 4)M - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\psi_i u_i}{2|Du|^2 g^{nn}} \eta^2 M \\ &\implies \eta |Du(x_0)| \leq (12n + 4)M + \frac{|D\psi|}{2\eta |Du(x_0)|} \eta^3 MC(\delta) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.5). Now solving the above quadratic expression in $\eta |Du(x_0)|$, we get

$$\eta |Du|(x_0) \leq C_1 M + \sqrt{C_1^2 M + C_2 M}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 12n + 4 = C(n) \\ C_2 &= C(n, \|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the gradient estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |Du(0)| &\leq w(0) \leq w(x_0) \leq \eta |Du|(x_0) + Au^2(x_0) \\ &\leq C_1 M + \sqrt{C_1^2 M^2 + C_2 M} + 4nM \\ &\leq C(n, \|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}, \delta) \operatorname{osc}_{B_1} u + C(n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.1. The above proof also follows from the observation that when ψ lies in the supercritical range, u is semiconvex [Lemma 2.1]. On modifying u to the convex function $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) + \cot(\delta) \frac{|x|^2}{2}$, the gradient estimate (which is independent of the Lipschitz norm of ψ) follows from the fact that the gradient of any convex function is dominated by its oscillation.

4. THE JACOBI INEQUALITY

In this section we prove the Jacobi inequality and the integral Jacobi inequality, which is essential in proving the Hessian estimates.

Proposition 4.1. *Let u be a smooth solution of (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that the Hessian D^2u is diagonalized and the eigenvalue λ_γ is distinct from all other eigenvalues of D^2u at point x_0 . Then we have the following at x_0*

$$(4.1) \quad |\nabla_g \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_\gamma^2}|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_\gamma^2 h_{rrk}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_\gamma^2} &= \\ &= (1 + \lambda_\gamma^2) h_{rrr}^2 + \sum_{k \neq r} \left[\frac{2\lambda_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_k} + \frac{2\lambda_\gamma^2 \lambda_k}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_k} \right] h_{kk}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \neq r} \left[1 + \frac{2\lambda_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_k} + \frac{\lambda_\gamma^2 (\lambda_k + \lambda_\gamma)}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_k} \right] h_{rrk}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > j, k, j \neq r} 2\lambda_\gamma \left[\frac{1 + \lambda_k^2}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_k} + \frac{1 + \lambda_j^2}{\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_j} + (\lambda_j + \lambda_k) \right] h_{kjr}^2 \\ (4.2) \quad &+ \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{1 + \lambda_\gamma^2} \psi_{\gamma\gamma} - \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a g^{aa} \psi_a \partial_a \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_\gamma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define

$$b_\gamma = \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_\gamma^2}.$$

We assume $\gamma = 1$ for the sake of simplifying notation. On implicitly differentiating the characteristic equation

$$\det(D^2u - \lambda_1 I) = 0$$

near any point where λ_1 is distinct from the other eigenvalues we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_e \lambda_1 &= \partial_e u_{11} \\ \partial_{ee} \lambda_1 &= \partial_{ee} u_{11} + \sum_{k>1} 2 \frac{(\partial_e u_{1k})^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k} \end{aligned}$$

where e is any arbitrary unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n . On computing the derivatives of the smooth function b_1 near x_0 , we get

$$|\nabla_g b_1|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n g^{kk} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \partial_k u_{11} \right]^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_1^2 h_{11k}^2.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ee} b_1 &= \partial_{ee} \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} = \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \partial_{ee} \lambda_1 + \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{(1 + \lambda_1^2)^2} (\partial_e \lambda_1)^2. \\ \text{At } x_0, \partial_{ee} b_1 &= \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1^2} [\partial_{ee} u_{11} + \sum_{k>1} 2 \frac{(\partial_e u_{1k})^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k}] + \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{(1 + \lambda_1^2)^2} (\partial_e u_{11})^2. \end{aligned}$$

We define an operator $L = \sum_{a,b=1}^n g^{ab} \partial_{ab}$. At x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad Lb_1 &= \sum_{r=1}^n g^{rr} \partial_{rr} b_1 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n g^{rr} \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \left[\partial_{rr} u_{11} + 2 \sum_{k>1} \frac{u_{1kr}^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k} \right] \\ (4.4) \quad &+ \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{(1 + \lambda_1^2)^2} g^{rr} u_{11r}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.4) with (2.3) we observe the following at x_0 for i, j fixed

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_{ij} &= \sum_{a,b=1}^n g^{ab} u_{ijab} = \psi_{ij} - g_i^{ab} u_{abj} \\ (4.5) \quad &= \psi_{ij} + \sum g^{aa} g^{bb} (\lambda_a + \lambda_b) u_{abi} u_{abj}. \end{aligned}$$

Next in (4.5) we substitute $\partial_{rr} u_{11}$ in terms of lower order derivatives and ψ . Recalling (2.1), at x_0 we have

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta_g = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \partial_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \lambda_i \psi_i \partial_i.$$

We set $i = j = 1$, and plug (4.5) in (4.4) and then regroup the terms $h_{**1}, h_{11*}, h_{*\textcircled{1}}$ to get

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta_g b_1 = Lb_1 - \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a g^{aa} \psi_a \partial_a \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} = \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \psi_{11} +$$

$$(4.8) \quad (1 - \lambda_1^2) h_{111}^2 + 2 \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_1 \lambda_a h_{aa1}^2 + 2 \sum_{k>1} \frac{\lambda_1(1 + \lambda_k^2)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k} h_{kk1}^2$$

$$(4.9) \quad + 2 \sum_{k>1} \lambda_1(\lambda_1 + \lambda_k) h_{k11}^2 + \sum_{k>1} (1 - \lambda_1^2) h_{11k} + 2 \sum_{k>1} \frac{\lambda_1(1 + \lambda_k^2)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k} h_{1k1}^2$$

$$(4.10) \quad + 2 \sum_{k>j>1} \lambda_1(\lambda_j + \lambda_k) h_{jk1}^2 + 2 \sum_{j \neq k, j, k > 1} \frac{\lambda_1(1 + \lambda_k^2)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_k} h_{jk1}^2 - \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a g^{aa} \psi_a \partial_a \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2}.$$

On simplifying we get (4.2). \square

Lemma 4.1. *Let u be a smooth solution of (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that the Hessian D^2u is diagonalized at x_0 and that the ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ of the Hessian satisfy $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$ at x_0 . Then the function $b_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}$ is smooth near x_0 and at x_0 it satisfies*

$$(4.11) \quad \Delta_g b_m \geq c(n) |\nabla_g b_m|^2 - C$$

where $C = C(\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}, \delta, n)$.

Proof. Step 1. The function b_m is symmetric in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. Thus for $m < n$, b_m is smooth in terms of the matrix entries when $\lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$ and it is still smooth in terms of x after being composed with the smooth function $D^2u(x)$, in particular near x_0 , at which $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$. For $m = n$, b_n is clearly smooth everywhere. First let's assume that the first m eigenvalues are distinct. Using (4.4) from the Proposition above, we compute $mL(b_m)$ where L is the operator defined in (4.3). As before after grouping the terms $h_{***}, h_{**\textcircled{a}}, h_{*\textcircled{a}!}$ in the summation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} mL(b_m)(x_0) &= \sum_{k \leq m} (1 + \lambda_k^2) h_{kkk}^2 + \left(\sum_{i < k \leq m} + \sum_{k < i \leq m} \right) (3 + \lambda_i^2 + 2\lambda_i \lambda_k) h_{ikk}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k \leq m < i} \frac{2\lambda_k(1 + \lambda_k \lambda_i)}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_{i \geq m < k} \frac{3\lambda_i + \lambda_k + \lambda_i^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_k)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} h_{iik}^2 \\ &+ 2 \left[\sum_{i < j < k \leq m} (3 + \lambda_i \lambda_j + \lambda_j \lambda_k + \lambda_k \lambda_i) h_{ijk}^2 \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i < j \leq m < k} \left(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j + \lambda_j \lambda_k + \lambda_k \lambda_i + \lambda_i \frac{1 + \lambda_k^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} + \lambda_j \frac{1 + \lambda_k^2}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} \right) h_{ijk}^2 \\ &+ \left. \sum_{i \leq m < j < k} \lambda_i \left[\lambda_j + \lambda_k + \frac{1 + \lambda_j^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + \frac{1 + \lambda_k^2}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} \right] h_{ijk}^2 \right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that as a function of matrices, b_m is C^2 at $D^2u(x_0)$ with eigenvalues satisfying $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m > \lambda_{m+1}$. Note that $D^2u(x_0)$ can be approximated by matrices with distinct eigenvalues. This shows that the above expression for $Lb_m(x_0)$ still holds good. Using Lemma 2.1 we can further simplify it to

$$\begin{aligned}
mL(b_m)(x_0) &= \sum_{k \leq m} (1 + \lambda^2) h_{kkk}^2 + \left(\sum_{i < k \leq m} + \sum_{k < i \leq m} \right) (3 + 3\lambda^2) h_{iik}^2 + \sum_{k \leq m < i} \frac{2\lambda(1 + \lambda\lambda_i)}{\lambda - \lambda_i} h_{iik}^2 \\
&+ \sum_{i \leq m < k} \frac{3\lambda - \lambda_k + \lambda^2(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{\lambda - \lambda_k} h_{iik}^2 + 2 \left[\sum_{i < j < k \leq m} (3 + 3\lambda^2) h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i < j \leq m < k} \left[1 + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda - \lambda_k} + \frac{\lambda^2(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{\lambda - \lambda_k} \right] h_{ijk}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i \leq m < j < k} \lambda[\lambda_j + \lambda_k + \frac{1 + \lambda_j^2}{\lambda - \lambda_j} + \frac{1 + \lambda_k^2}{\lambda - \lambda_k}] h_{ijk}^2 \right] + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \psi_{ii} \\
&\geq \sum_{k \leq m} \lambda^2 h_{kkk}^2 + \left(\sum_{i < k \leq m} + \sum_{k < i \leq m} \right) 3\lambda^2 h_{iik}^2 + \sum_{k \leq m < i} \frac{2\lambda^2 \lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} h_{iik}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \leq m < k} \frac{\lambda^2(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{\lambda - \lambda_k} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Using the C^1 continuity of b_m as a function of matrices at $D^2u(x_0)$, we can simplify (4.1) at x_0 to

$$|\nabla_g b_m|^2(x_0) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda^2 \left[\sum_{i \leq m} h_{iik} \right]^2 \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{m} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[\sum_{i \leq m} h_{iik}^2 \right]. \tag{4.13}$$

Combining (4.13) and (4.12) we get the following at x_0 :

$$m(\Delta_g b_m - \varepsilon(n)|\nabla_g b_m|^2) \geq \lambda^2 \left[\sum_{k \leq m} (1 - \varepsilon) h_{kkk}^2 + \left(\sum_{i < k \leq m} + \sum_{k < i \leq m} \right) (3 - \varepsilon) h_{iik}^2 + 2 \sum_{k \leq m < i} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} h_{iik}^2 \right] \tag{4.14}$$

$$+ \lambda^2 \left[\sum_{i \leq m \leq k} \left(\frac{\lambda + \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} - \varepsilon \right) h_{iik}^2 \right] \tag{4.15}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii} \tag{4.16}$$

$$- m \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g^{ii} \psi_i \partial_i b_m \tag{4.17}$$

with $\varepsilon(n)$ to be fixed.

Step 2. Next we estimate each of the terms of the above expression. For each fixed k in the above expression, we set $t_i = h_{iik}$. For the sake of simplicity, we use the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14 + 4.15) &= Z_1 + Z_2 = Z \\
H^k(x_0) &= t_1(x_0) + \dots + t_{n-1}(x_0) + t_n(x_0) = t'(x_0) + t_n(x_0)
\end{aligned}$$

where H^k denotes the k th component of the mean curvature vector given by (2.5), i.e. the component of the mean curvature vector along $J(e_k, Du_{e_k})$ with e_k being the k^{th} eigendirection of D^2u . So far we have

$$m(\Delta_g b_m - \varepsilon(n)|\nabla_g b_m|^2) \geq Z + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii} - m \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g^{ii} \psi_i \partial_i b_m.$$

Step 2.1. We estimate the term Z by first showing that $Z_1 \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)})$. For each fixed $k \leq m$ in (4.14), we show that the $[\]_k$ term is ≥ 0 . For the case where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i , the proof follows directly. So we consider only the case where $\lambda_{n-1} > 0 > \lambda_n$. For simplifying notation we assume $k = 1$. Noting that $t_n(x_0) = H^1(x_0) - t'(x_0)$ we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [\]_1 &= \left[(1 - \varepsilon)t_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (3 - \varepsilon)t_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} t_i^2 \right] + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} t_n^2 \\ &= \left[(1 - \varepsilon)t_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (3 - \varepsilon)t_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} t_i^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} [(H^1)^2 - 2H^1 t' + t'^2] \\ &\geq \left[(1 - \varepsilon)t_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (3 - \varepsilon)t_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} t_i^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} [t'^2(1 + \delta)] + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} [(H^1)^2(1 + \frac{4}{\delta})] \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Young's inequality. Noting that $\frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \geq -\frac{2}{n}$ and (2.5), we see the following

$$\begin{aligned} [\]_1 &\geq \left[(1 - \varepsilon)t_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (3 - \varepsilon)t_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} t_i^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} [t'^2(1 + \delta)] - C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}) \\ (4.18) \quad &\geq \left[(1 - \varepsilon)t_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m (3 - \varepsilon)t_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_i}{\lambda - \lambda_i} t_i^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.19) \quad &\left[1 + \frac{2(1 + \delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} + \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{3 - \varepsilon} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. We see that (4.18) is positive, so now we need to choose $\varepsilon(n)$ suitably to make (4.19) positive,

thereby proving $Z_1 \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[1 + \frac{2(1+\delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{3-\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i} \right) \right] \\
 = & \frac{2(1+\delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left[\frac{\lambda - \lambda_n}{2\lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda - \lambda_n}{(\frac{2}{\delta} + 2)\lambda_n} + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{m-1}{3-\varepsilon} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{m+1}}{2\lambda_{m+1}} + \dots + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{n-1}}{2\lambda_{n-1}} \right] \\
 = & \frac{2(1+\delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left[\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{m-1}{3-\varepsilon} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \right] - \delta \\
 = & \frac{2(1+\delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left[\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{m-1}{3-\varepsilon} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_n} - \frac{n}{2} \right] - \delta \\
 \geq & \frac{2(1+\delta)\lambda_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \left[\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{m-1}{3-\varepsilon} - \frac{n}{2} \right] - \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the fact $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m$ and Lemma 2.1. Now as δ is arbitrarily small, and $\lambda_n < 0$ we choose $\varepsilon(n) > 0$ such that

$$\left[\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{m-1}{3-\varepsilon} - \frac{n}{2} \right] \leq 0$$

which in turn makes (4.19) positive. On simplifying, we see that

$$\varepsilon(n) \leq 2 - \frac{m}{n} - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{m}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{n}}.$$

Step 2.2 Now we estimate the term Z_2 . For each k between m and n , we have $\lambda_k > 0$, and the $[]_k$ in (4.15) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 []_k &= \sum_{i \leq m} \left[\frac{\lambda + \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} - \varepsilon \right] t_i^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{i \leq m} (1 - \varepsilon) t_i^2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

assuming $\varepsilon \leq 1$.

For $k = n$, the $[]_n$ term in (4.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 []_n &= \sum_{i \leq m} \left[\frac{\lambda + \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} - \varepsilon \right] t_i^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{i \leq m} \left[\frac{n-2}{n} - \varepsilon \right] t_i^2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.1 and the assumption of $\varepsilon \leq \frac{n-2}{n}$. So far we have shown $Z \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)})$ for $n-1 \geq m \geq 1$. When $m = n$, we have $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n > 0$ and therefore, $Z \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)})$ holds.

Step 2.3 Next we estimate the remaining terms (4.16) and (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
& m(\Delta_g b_m - \varepsilon(n)|\nabla_g b_m|^2) \geq \\
& Z + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii} - m \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g^{ii} \psi_i \partial_i b_m \geq \\
& \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \psi_{ii} - \frac{\delta}{2} m^2 |\nabla_g b_m|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{2}{\delta} g^{ii} \lambda_i^2 \psi_i^2
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Young's inequality and $Z \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)})$. Denoting $c(n) = \varepsilon(n) + \delta/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_g b_m - c(n)|\nabla_g b_m|^2 \\
& \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^1(B_1)}) - \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} [\psi_{ii} - \frac{2}{\delta} \lambda_i \psi_i^2] \right| \\
(4.20) \quad & \geq -C(\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}, \delta, n) = -C.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.1. The integral Jacobi inequality. In order to prove Hessian estimates we will need the following integral form of the Jacobi inequality (4.11).

Proposition 4.2. *Let u be a smooth solution of (1.1) on $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\psi \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$. Let*

$$(4.21) \quad b = \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_{\max}^2}$$

where λ_{\max} is the largest eigenvalue of $D^2 u$, namely, $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Then for all non-negative $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_R)$, b satisfies the integral Jacobi inequality

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{B_R} -\langle \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g b \rangle_g dv_g \geq c(n) \int_{B_R} \phi |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g - \int_{B_R} C \phi dv_g$$

where C and $c(n)$ are the constants from (4.11).

Proof. If $b_1 = \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_{\max}^2}$ is smooth everywhere, then the pointwise Jacobi inequality (4.11) implies the integral Jacobi inequality (4.22). It is easy to see that λ_{\max} is always a Lipschitz function of the entries of the Hessian of u . Since u is smooth in x , b is Lipschitz in terms of x . We now show that (4.11) holds in the viscosity sense.

Let $x_0 \in B_R(0)$ and let $P(x)$ be a quadratic polynomial such that

$$P(x) \geq b(x) \text{ with equality holding at } x_0.$$

Now if x_0 is a smooth point of b , then from (4.11), we see that at x_0 , with $m = 1$, the following holds

$$\Delta_g P \geq c(n)|\nabla_g P|^2 - C(\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}, \delta, n).$$

Or else we would have $m > 1$, i.e. λ_1 is not distinct at x_0 . Let's suppose that we have $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$ at x_0 . Consider the function $b_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \ln \sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}$. Note that this is smooth near x_0 from Lemma 4.1. Observe that since $b(x) \geq b_k(x)$ with equality holding at x_0 , we must have

$$P(x) \geq b_k(x) \text{ with equality holding at } x_0.$$

So now applying the pointwise Jacobi inequality (4.11) to b_k , we see the following holds at x_0

$$\Delta_g P \geq c(n)|\nabla_g P|^2 - C(\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}, \delta, n).$$

So far we have shown that (4.11) holds in the viscosity sense. Applying Ishii's result [30, Theorem 1] we see that the viscosity subsolution b of (4.11) should also be a distribution subsolution. Now since b is a Lipschitz function we perform integration by parts on the first term to get

$$\int_{B_R} \Delta_g b \phi dv_g = \int_{B_R} -\langle \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g b \rangle_g dv_g.$$

On combining [30, Theorem 1] and the above equation, we get (4.22). □

5. HESSIAN ESTIMATES

5.1. Mean Value Inequality. In [31, Theorem 3.4], Michael-Simon established the mean value inequality for a non-negative subharmonic function on a m -dimensional submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^n . In order to prove the Hessian estimates, having the following mean value inequality for a variable Lagrangian phase is crucial. For completeness, we include a proof here.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a m -dimensional submanifold, $0 \in M$, and $s > 0$ satisfies $B_s(0) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that $|\vec{H}| \leq \Lambda_0$ where \vec{H} is the mean curvature vector of M . If f is a non-negative function on M such that $\Delta_g f \geq -\beta f$, then*

$$(5.1) \quad f(0) \leq C(\beta, n, \Lambda_0) \frac{\int_{B_1 \cap M} f dv_g}{Vol(B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. The symmetric matrix $\tilde{g}^{ij}(x)$ denotes the projection of \mathbb{R}^n onto the m -dimensional submanifold M , and it satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ii}(x) = m$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ij}(x) x_i x_j \leq |x|^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let U denote an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n that contains M . Let ϕ be a non decreasing $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ function such that $\phi(t) = 0$ when $t \leq 0$. For each $x_0 \in M$, we define the following two functions

$$g_0(y) = \int_M f(x) \phi(y - r) dv_g(x)$$

$$h_0(y) = \int_M f(x) |H(x)| \phi(y - r) dv_g(x)$$

where $r = |x - x_0|$. Let's assume $d(x_0, \partial U) = 1$.

Claim 1. *For $0 < y \leq 1$, we prove that*

$$(5.3) \quad -\frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right] \leq y^{-m-1} \int_0^y t h'_0(t) dt.$$

Let γ be a real valued function defined by

$$\gamma(s) = \int_s^\infty t\phi(y-t)dt.$$

Then $\gamma(s) = 0$ when $s \geq y$. Note that $\gamma(|x-x_0|)$ is in $C^2(U)$ and vanishes outside a compact subset of U if $y < 1$. So for $y < 1$ we can use $\gamma(r)$ as a test function. Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g \gamma(r) = & - \left[\phi(y-r) \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ii} - r\phi'(y-r) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ij} \left(\frac{x_i - (x_0)_i}{r} \right) \left(\frac{x_j - (x_0)_j}{r} \right) \right] - \\ & \phi(y-r) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - (x_0)_i) H_i \end{aligned}$$

where H_i 's denote the components of the mean curvature vector. So then by our assumption $\Delta_g f \geq -\beta f$ and (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} mg_0(y) - \int_M fr\phi'(y-r)dv_g(x) & \leq \int_M f|H|r\phi(y-r)dv_g + \int_M \beta f\gamma(r)dv_g \\ \implies mg_0(y) - \int_M fr\phi'(y-r)dv_g(x) & \leq \int_M f|H|r\phi(y-r)dv_g + \int_M \beta fy\phi(y-r)dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used $\gamma(r) \leq \int_r^1 t\phi(y-t)dt \leq y\phi(y-r)$ since we need $t \leq y$ for the function to be non-zero. This gives us

$$(5.4) \quad mg_0(y) - \int_M fr\phi'(y-r)dv_g(x) \leq \int_M f|H|r\phi(y-r)dv_g + \int_M \beta fy\phi(y-r)dv_g.$$

Using the inequality $r\phi'(y-r) \leq y\phi'(y-r)$ we get

$$\int_M fr\phi'(y-r)dv_g \leq yg'_0(y).$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f|H|r\phi(y-r)dv_g & = \int_M f|H| \left[\int_0^y r\phi'(t-r)dt \right] dv_g \\ & \leq \int_M f|H| \left[\int_0^y t\phi'(t-r)dt \right] dv_g \\ & = \int_0^y th'_0(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (5.4) reduces to

$$mg_0(y) - \int_M fr\phi'(y-r)dv_g(x) \leq \int_0^y th'_0(t)dt + \int_M \beta fy\phi(y-r)dv_g$$

which can be written as

$$-\frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right] \leq y^{-m-1} \int_0^y th'_0(t)dt + y^{-m} \int_M \beta f\phi(y-r)dv_g.$$

Using the fact

$$\int_0^y th'_0(t)dt \leq y \int_0^y h'_0(t)dt = yh_0(y)$$

we get

$$-\frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right] \leq \frac{s_0(y)}{y^m}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \int_M f(x) |H(x)| \phi(y-r) dv_g(x) + \int_M \beta f \phi(y-r) dv_g \\ &\leq C \int_M f \phi(y-r) dv_g(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $C = (\beta, n, \Lambda_0)$. This shows

$$-\frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right] \leq C \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right].$$

Integrating this we get

$$\sup_{t \in (0, y)} \left[\frac{g_0(t)}{t^m} \right] \leq e^{Cy} \left[\frac{g_0(y)}{y^m} \right]$$

for all $y \in (0, 1)$. Now we choose ϕ such that $\phi(s) = 1$ when $s \geq \varepsilon$ and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, (5.1) follows. □

Remark 5.1. The mean value inequality for b defined in (4.21) also follows from the observation that the potential u is semi convex, so a rotation of Yuan [32, Pg 125] can be performed on the gradient graph $(x, Du(x))$, which results in a uniformly elliptic Laplace-Beltrami operator on the rotated graph $(\bar{x}, D\bar{u}(\bar{x}))$. Then on applying the De Giorgi iteration for divergence form equations [33, Pg 197, (8.58)] to b , and given the invariance of the Jacobi inequality and integral, we obtain a MVI for it.

Note that the condition u is smooth in section 4 can be clearly replaced by $u \in C^4$. Now we prove our main Theorem.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We first consider the case $n \neq 2$. We verify that the positive function b defined in (4.21) satisfies the requirements of the above mean value inequality. Observe that by our choice of b , we have

$$(5.5) \quad b \geq \ln \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{n} \right)} \geq \ln \sqrt{4/3}.$$

Combining the above with (4.11), we conclude that b satisfies the conditions of the above Theorem:

$$\Delta_g b \geq c(n) |\nabla_g b|^2 - C \geq -\frac{C}{\ln \sqrt{4/3}} b = -Cb$$

where $C = C(n, \|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}, \delta, \ln \sqrt{4/3})$ is the positive constant from (4.22).

For simplifying notation in the remaining proof, we assume $R = 2n+1$ and u is a solution on $B_{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then by scaling $v(x) = \frac{u(\frac{R}{2n+1}x)}{(\frac{R}{2n+1})^2}$, we get the estimate in Theorem 1.1. Also, we will denote the above constant C as $C(n, \psi, \delta)$.

Step 1. We show that the function $b^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ meets the requirements of the above MVI:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int -\langle \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \rangle_g dv_g \\
&= \int -\langle \nabla_g (\frac{n}{n-2} b^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \phi) - \frac{2n}{(n-2)^2} b^{\frac{4-n}{n-2}} \phi \nabla_g b, \nabla_g b \rangle_g dv_g \\
&\geq \int (\frac{n}{n-2} c(n) b^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \phi |\nabla_g b|^2 + \frac{2n}{(n-2)^2} b^{\frac{4-n}{n-2}} \phi |\nabla_g b|^2) dv_g - \int C \frac{n}{n-2} \phi b^{\frac{2}{n-2}} dv_g \\
&\geq - \int C \frac{n}{n-2} \frac{1}{b} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \phi dv_g \\
&\geq -C(n, \psi, \delta) \int b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \phi dv_g
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (5.5).

So now by the MVI applied to the Lipschitz function $b^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ we get

$$(5.6) \quad b(0) \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_1 \cap X} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \left(\int_{B_1} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

where $X = (x, Du(x)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is the Lagrangian submanifold, \tilde{B}_1 is the ball with radius 1 and center at $(0, Du(0))$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and B_1 is the ball with radius 1 and center at 0 in \mathbb{R}^n . Choose a cut off $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$ such that $\phi \geq 0$, $\phi = 1$ on B_1 and $|D\phi| < 2$. That gives us

$$(5.7) \quad \left[\int_{B_1} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \left[\int_{B_2} \phi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}} = \left[\int_{B_2} (\sqrt{b}\phi)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}}.$$

We assume $\sqrt{b}\phi$ to be C^1 by approximation. Applying the general Sobolev inequality [31, Theorem 2.1] to it on this Lagrangian submanifold, and using the mean curvature formula (2.5), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \left[\int_{B_2} (\sqrt{b}\phi)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(n) \left[\int_{B_2} |\nabla_g (\sqrt{b}\phi)|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_2} |\sqrt{b}\phi \nabla_g \psi|^2 dv_g \right].$$

Next we observe the following

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_g (\sqrt{b}\phi)|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \phi \nabla_g b + \sqrt{b} \nabla_g \phi \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2b} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 + 2b |\nabla_g \phi|^2 \\
(5.9) \quad &\leq \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 + 2b |\nabla_g \phi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.7, 5.8, 5.9) and plugging into (5.6), we see that

$$(5.10) \quad b(0) \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \left[\int_{B_2} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_2} b |\nabla_g \phi|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_2} \phi^2 b |\nabla_g \psi|^2 dv_g \right].$$

Step 2. Using the integral Jacobi inequality and recalling the constants C and $c(n)$ from (4.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g &\leq \frac{1}{c(n)} \left[\int_{B_2} \phi^2 \Delta_g b dv_g + \int_{B_2} \phi^2 C dv_g \right] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{c(n)} \left[\int_{B_2} \langle 2\phi \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g b \rangle dv_g + \int_{B_2} \phi^2 C dv_g \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_2} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g + \frac{2}{c(n)^2} \int_{B_2} |\nabla_g \phi|^2 dv_g + \frac{1}{c(n)} \int_{B_2} \phi^2 C dv_g \\
 (5.11) \quad &\Rightarrow \int_{B_2} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{4}{c(n)^2} \int_{B_2} |\nabla_g \phi|^2 dv_g + \frac{2}{c(n)} \int_{B_2} \phi^2 C dv_g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again using (5.5) and plugging the above inequality in (5.10) we get the following

$$(5.12) \quad b(0) \leq C(n, \psi, \phi, \delta) \left[\int_{B_2} b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx + \int_{B_2} \sqrt{\det g} dx \right].$$

Next we choose a suitable test function η such that on using the Sobolev inequality we get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} \sqrt{\det g} dx &\leq C(n) \left[\int_{B_2} \eta^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
 &\leq C(n) \left[\int_{B_2} |\nabla_g \eta|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_2} |\eta \nabla_g \psi|^2 dv_g \right] \\
 &\leq C(n) \left[\int_{B_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx + \int_{B_2} |\eta \nabla_g \psi|^2 dv_g \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.5) we get

$$\int_{B_2} \sqrt{\det g} dx \leq C(n, \psi, \eta) \int_{B_2} b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx.$$

On combining everything and plugging in (5.12), we get

$$(5.13) \quad b(0) \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \int_{B_2} b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx.$$

Step 3. Now we estimate $\int_{B_2} b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx$.

We denote $V = \sqrt{\det g}$. We see that (ref: [8, (3.2)]) on differentiating the complex identity

$$\ln V + i \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i = \ln \left[\sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k} + i \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1} \right]$$

we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_1^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n^2} \right) V = \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda_n} \right)$$

where

$$\sum = \cos \psi \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1} - \sin \psi \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k}$$

where ψ is the Lagrangian phase. On taking the trace, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} V &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \lambda_i} \\ &= \cos \psi \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} (-1)^k (n - 2k) \sigma_{2k} - \sin \psi \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq n} (-1)^k (n - 2k + 1) \sigma_{2k-1} \\ &= c_0(x) + c_1(x) \sigma_1 + \dots + c_{n-1}(x) \sigma_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where the variable coefficient c_i now depends on i, n, ψ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Hence, (5.13) becomes

$$\int_{B_2} b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \sqrt{\det g} dx \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \int_{B_2} b(c_0(x) + c_1(x) \sigma_1 + \dots + c_{n-1}(x) \sigma_{n-1}) dx.$$

Step 4. We next estimate the integrals $\int b \sigma_k dx$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ inductively, using the divergence structure of $\sigma_k(D^2 u)$. Let L_{σ_k} denote the matrix $(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial u_{ij}})$, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_k k \sigma_k(D^2 u) &= c_k \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = c_k \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right] \\ &= c_k \operatorname{div}(L_{\sigma_k} Du) = \operatorname{div}(c_k L_{\sigma_k} Du) - Dc_k \cdot L_{\sigma_k} Du. \end{aligned}$$

Let v be a smooth cut-off function on B_{r+1} such that $v = 1$ on B_r , $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $|Dv| < 2$. Note that by Lemma 2.1, we have $\sigma_k > 0$ and from (5.5) we have $b > \ln \sqrt{4/3}$, which together imply $c_k > 0$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} c_k b \sigma_k dx &\leq \int_{B_{r+1}} c_k v b \sigma_k dx \\ &= \int_{B_{r+1}} v b \frac{1}{k} [\operatorname{div}(c_k L_{\sigma_k} Du) - Dc_k \cdot L_{\sigma_k} Du] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{r+1}} [-\langle b Dv + v Db, c_k L_{\sigma_k} Du \rangle - v b Dc_k \cdot L_{\sigma_k} Du] dx \\ &\leq C(n, \psi, \phi) \|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{r+1})} \left\{ \int_{B_{r+1}} b \sigma_{k-1} dx + \right. \\ (5.14) \quad &\left. \int_{B_{r+1}} (|\nabla_g b|^2 + \operatorname{tr}(g^{ij})) \sqrt{\det g} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the argument used in [8, (3.6)].

We use the integral Jacobi inequality (4.22) to simplify the last integral. Combining (5.11) with (5.12) we have

$$\int_{B_2} \phi^2 |\nabla_g b|^2 dv_g \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \int_{B_{r+2}} \operatorname{tr}(g^{ij}) \sqrt{\det g} dx.$$

On rearranging constants, (5.14) reduces to the following inductive inequality

$$\int_{B_r} c_k b \sigma_k dx \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{r+1})} \left\{ \int_{B_{r+1}} b \sigma_{k-1} dx + \int_{B_{r+2}} \text{tr}(g^{ij}) \sqrt{\det g} dx \right\}.$$

Now applying the argument used in [8, (3.7)] and the trace-conformality identity we conclude that

$$b(0) \leq C(n, \psi, \delta) \left[\|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n+1})} + \|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n+1})}^{2n-2} \right].$$

On exponentiating we get

$$|D^2 u(0)| \leq C_1 \exp[C_2 \|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n+1})}^{2n-2}]$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants depending on $\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}}$, n , and δ .

Next, we consider the case $n = 2$: we may fix $\arctan \lambda_3 = \pi/2 - \delta/2$ and add $(\pi/2 - \delta/2)$ to both sides of the two dimensional supercritical equation (1.1) to get:

$$\arctan \lambda_1 + \arctan \lambda_2 + \arctan \lambda_3 = \psi(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2} \geq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

This again brings us to the three dimensional supercritical equation (1.1) for which Hessian estimates hold good by the above proof.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Yu Yuan for his guidance, support, and many useful discussions. The author is grateful to Ravi Shankar and Micah Warren for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Reese Harvey and H Blaine Lawson. Calibrated geometries. *Acta Math.*, 148:47–157, 1982.
- [2] Nigel J Hitchin. The moduli space of special lagrangian submanifolds. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 25(3-4):503–515, 1997.
- [3] Micah Warren. Calibrations associated to Monge-Ampère equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(8):3947–3962, 2010.
- [4] Jack G. Mealy. Calibrations on semi-Riemannian manifolds. *Thesis (Ph.D.) Rice University*, 1989.
- [5] Yu Yuan. Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 1355–1358, 2006.
- [6] Micah Warren and Yu Yuan. Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension 3. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(3):305–321, 2009.
- [7] Micah Warren and Yu Yuan. Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equations with large phase. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 132(3):751–770, 2010.
- [8] Dake Wang and Yu Yuan. Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 136(2):481–499, 2014.
- [9] Nikolai Nadirashvili and Serge Vlăduț. Singular solution to special Lagrangian equations. *Annales de l’I.H.P. Analyse non linéaire*, 27(5):1179–1188, 2010.
- [10] Dake Wang and Yu Yuan. Singular solutions to special Lagrangian equations with subcritical phases and minimal surface systems. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 135(5):1157–1177, 2013.
- [11] Arunima Bhattacharya. The Dirichlet problem for Lagrangian mean curvature equation. *Preprint arXiv:2005.14420*, 2020.
- [12] Arunima Bhattacharya and Ravi Shankar. Regularity for convex viscosity solutions of Lagrangian mean curvature equation. *Preprint arXiv:2006.02030*, 2020.
- [13] Erhard Heinz. On elliptic Monge-Ampère equations and Weyl’s embedding problem. *Journal D’Analyse Mathématique*, 7(1):1–52, 1959.

- [14] A. V. Pogorelov. *Monge-Ampère equations of elliptic type*. Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron with the assistance of Albert L. Rabenstein and Richard C. Bollinger. P. Noordhoff, Ltd., Groningen, 1964.
- [15] A. V. Pogorelov. *The Minkowski multidimensional problem*. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-Toronto-London, 1978. Translated from the Russian by Vladimir Oliker, Introduction by Louis Nirenberg, Scripta Series in Mathematics.
- [16] John Urbas. On the existence of nonclassical solutions for two classes of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. *Indiana University mathematics journal*, pages 355–382, 1990.
- [17] Giovanni Gregori. Compactness and gradient bounds for solutions of the mean curvature system in two independent variables. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 4(3):327–360, 1994.
- [18] Kai-Seng Chou and Xu-Jia Wang. A variational theory of the Hessian equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 54(9):1029–1064, 2001.
- [19] Neil S. Trudinger. Regularity of solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* (6), 3(3):421–430, 1984.
- [20] John Urbas. Some interior regularity results for solutions of Hessian equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 11(1):1–31, 2000.
- [21] John Urbas. An interior second derivative bound for solutions of Hessian equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 12(4):417–431, 2001.
- [22] Jiguang Bao and Jingyi Chen. Optimal regularity for convex strong solutions of special Lagrangian equations in dimension 3. *Indiana University mathematics journal*, pages 1231–1249, 2003.
- [23] Jiguang Bao, Jingyi Chen, Bo Guan, and Min Ji. Liouville property and regularity of a Hessian quotient equation. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 125(2):301–316, 2003.
- [24] Guohuan Qiu. Interior Hessian estimates for sigma-2 equations in dimension three. *Preprint arXiv:1711.00948*, 2017.
- [25] Pengfei Guan and Guohuan Qiu. Interior c^2 regularity of convex solutions to prescribing scalar curvature equations. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 168(9):1641–1663, 2019.
- [26] Jingyi Chen, Yu Yuan, and Micah Warren. A priori estimate for convex solutions to special Lagrangian equations and its application. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 62(4):583–595, 2009.
- [27] Jingyi Chen, Ravi Shankar, and Yu Yuan. Regularity for convex viscosity solutions of special Lagrangian equation. *Preprint arXiv:1911.05452*, 2019.
- [28] Ravi Shankar and Yu Yuan. Hessian estimate for semiconvex solutions to the sigma-2 equation. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 59(1):30, 2020.
- [29] Ravi Shankar and Yu Yuan. Regularity for almost convex viscosity solutions of the sigma-2 equation. *Journal of Mathematical Study*, 54(2), 2020.
- [30] Hitoshi Ishii. On the equivalence of two notions of weak solutions, viscosity solutions and distribution solutions. *Funkcial. Ekvac*, 38(1):101–120, 1995.
- [31] James H Michael and Leon M Simon. Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n . *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 26(3):361–379, 1973.
- [32] Yu Yuan. A Bernstein problem for special Lagrangian equations. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 150:117–125, 2002.
- [33] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, U.S.A.
 Email address: arunimab@uw.edu