

SCATTERING THEORY FOR THE SUBCRITICAL WAVE EQUATION WITH INVERSE SQUARE POTENTIAL

CHANGXING MIAO, RUIPENG SHEN, AND TENGFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We consider the scattering theory for the defocusing energy subcritical wave equations with an inverse square potential. By employing the energy flux method we establish energy flux estimates on the light cone. Then by the characteristic line method and radiation theorem, we show that the radial finite-energy solutions scatter to free waves outside of light cones. Using Morawetz estimates we then obtain the scattering theory for radial solutions with finite weighted energy initial data.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the nonlinear wave equation with an inverse-square potential in \mathbb{R}^d , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \left(-\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}\right)u = -|u|^{p-1}u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u, u_t)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $d \geq 3$, $p \in [1 + \frac{4}{d-1}, 1 + \frac{4}{d-2})$ and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$. We define

$$\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}$$

as the Friedrichs extension of the quadratic form defined on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ via

$$g \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla g(x)|^2 + a|x|^{-2}|g(x)|^2) dx.$$

The restriction $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$ ensures that the operator \mathcal{L}_a is positive definite in $\dot{H}_a^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via the sharp Hardy inequalities, and one finds that the standard Sobolev space \dot{H}^1 is equivalent to the Sobolev space \dot{H}_a^1 defined in terms of \mathcal{L}_a (see Section 2). In particular, $\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta$ when $a = 0$.

The operator \mathcal{L}_a arises often in mathematics and physics in scaling limits of more complicated problems in combustion theory, the Dirac equation with Coulomb potential, and the study of perturbations of space-time metrics such as Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström [4, 22, 49, 52]. One interesting feature of the inverse-square potential is that it shares the same scaling with the Laplacian. In general, one cannot treat \mathcal{L}_a as a perturbation of $-\Delta$, adding to the mathematical interest of this particular model.

It is easy to find that the solution u to the equation (1.1) satisfies an energy conservation law:

$$\begin{aligned} E(u, u_t) &\doteq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u(x, t)|^{p+1} \right) dx \\ &= E(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

If u is a solution to (1.1), then, for $\lambda > 0$, the associated scaling transform $u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{t}{\lambda})$ is also a solution and its norm in space $\dot{H}^{s_p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is invariant, where $s_p = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. When $s_p = 1$ (i.e., $p = p_e \doteq 1 + \frac{4}{d-2}$), we say that the equation (1.1) is energy critical. We say that the equation (1.1) is energy subcritical (or supercritical) for $s_p < 1$ (or $s_p > 1$). In particular, when p equals the conformal exponent $p_{conf} \doteq 1 + \frac{4}{d-1}$, we have $s_p = \frac{1}{2}$.

1.1. Background and Motivations. The presence of the inverse-square potential breaks translation symmetry, introducing new challenges into the analysis of (1.1). One has to work in the context of dispersive equations in the presence of broken symmetries. We first recall the study of the defocusing nonlinear wave equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = -|u|^{p-1}u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u, u_t)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $d \geq 3$ and $p \in [1 + \frac{4}{d-1}, 1 + \frac{4}{d-2}]$. For the scattering theory of the solution u , we mean that there exists a free wave solution u_\pm , i.e., a solution to the linear wave equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$, such that $u - u_\pm$ tend to zero in some suitable space as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. For the energy critical case $p = p_e$, the global well-posedness and scattering theory of finite energy solutions to the equation (1.2) has been extensively studied by Grillakis [19, 20], Shatah-Struwe [36, 37], Bahouri-Shatah [3], and Bahouri-Gérard [2]. In the case of $p \neq p_e$, there is no conservation law of same scaling of $\dot{H}^{s_p} \times \dot{H}^{s_p-1}$ that provides an a priori bound on the critical norm of the solution. Under the assumption that the $\dot{H}^{s_p} \times \dot{H}^{s_p-1}$ norm of the solution is uniformly bounded, many authors studied the scattering problem of solution for the problem (1.2), see for example [24, 38, 9, 10, 11, 34]. We also refer to [13, 14] for general norms.

For the defocusing subcritical case, one may obtain the global well-posedness for the Cauchy problem (1.2) in the energy space via the Strichartz estimates and energy conservation law, see for example [27]. Nevertheless, in this case the scattering theory in energy spaces remains open. Ginibre-Velo [18] and Hidano [21] proved that if the initial datum are in the conformal energy spaces, then the solutions scatter in both time directions. In [39], Shen established the scattering results for solutions in larger weighted spaces. Later, Dodson obtained the scattering results in the critical Sobolev spaces for solutions with radial initial datum in the critical space $\dot{B}_{1,1}^2 \times \dot{B}_{1,1}^1$ for $(d, p) = (3, 3)$ in [6] and later $\dot{H}^{s_p} \times \dot{H}^{s_p-1}$, for $d = 3$ and $3 \leq p < 5$ in [7, 8]. Miao-Yang-Zhao [30] considered the case $(d, p) = (5, 2)$ for initial datum in the critical space $\dot{B}_{1,1}^3 \times \dot{B}_{1,1}^2$. Recently, Shen developed the energy flux method and obtained scattering results for solutions with initial datum in the weighted energy spaces in [40, 41, 42, 45]. For the non-radial scattering results, we refer to Shen [43, 44] and Yang [51].

Now we consider the Cauchy problem of the defocusing wave equation with inverse square potential (1.1), which has attracted a great deal of interest in recent years, see, e.g., [4, 25, 29] and in particular [25, 26, 32, 52] for the case of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an inverse-square potential. Burq, Planchon, Stalker and Tahvildar-Zadeh [4] proved the well-posedness of (1.1) by establishing the Strichartz estimates associated with \mathcal{L}_a . We also refer to Killip-Miao-Visan-Zheng-Zhang [25] for the development of harmonic analysis tools of the operator \mathcal{L}_a by utilizing the heat kernel bounds. Later, by employing these tools and the concentration compactness arguments, Miao-Murphy-Zheng [29] proved the scattering of solutions to the problem (1.1) in the critical case, i.e., the solution approaches the linear solutions associated with the operator $\vec{S}_a(t)$. Here $\vec{S}_a(t)$ denotes the solution operator of the linear equation $\partial_t^2 u + (-\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2})u = 0$. However, the scattering of the energy subcritical situation seems still open for us.

1.2. Main Results. This paper is devoted to the study of scattering theory of solutions for (1.1) in sub-critical cases. We prove the following scattering result for solutions of (1.1) with some additional energy decay.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $3 \leq d \leq 6$, $p \in [1 + \frac{4}{d-1}, 1 + \frac{4}{d-2})$, and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + (\frac{(d-2)p-d}{2p})^2$. Let u be a radial solution to (1.1) with initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ so that the inequality

$$E_\kappa(u_0, u_1) \doteq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^\kappa + 1) (|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 + |u_1(x)|^2 + |u_0(x)|^{p+1}) dx < +\infty \quad (1.3)$$

holds for a constant $\kappa \geq \kappa_0 \doteq \frac{(d+2)-(d-2)p}{p+1}$. Then the solution u scatters, that is, there exists a finite-energy free wave v^\pm , so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v^\pm(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t^\pm(x, t)|^2) dx = 0.$$

Remark 1.2. We remark that Theorem 1.1 depend on the local theory with $(u, u_t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^1 \times L^2)$ and $|u|^{p-1}u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1 L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. The condition $|u|^{p-1}u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1 L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ will be very useful when we need to apply a smooth approximation argument. The most convenient Strichartz space is $L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}$. When $d = 3$, $\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d} = \frac{2p}{p-3} > 2$, which holds for $p \in [3, 5)$. When $d \geq 4$, the conditions

$$\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d} \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad p \in [p_{\text{conf}}, p_e)$$

imply that we need the restriction $d \leq 6$. For the higher dimension $d \geq 7$, one may consider the exotic Strichartz estimates as in [15, 50]. On the other hand, the assumption of a in Theorem 1.1 originates from the Strichartz estimates in Proposition 2.3. In fact, $(\frac{(d-2)p-d}{2p})^2$ is increasing in p and corresponds to the exponents in [29] when $d = 3, 4$ and $p = p_e$.

Now, we outline the proof of Theorem 1.1 and do a few suitable reductions. For the case of $a > 0$, the proof is similar to the case $a = 0$ of [45]. In fact, one can show the

energy flux estimates on the light cones by using the energy flux formula

$$\begin{aligned} & E(t_2; B(0, t_2 - \eta)) - E(t_1; B(0, t_1 - \eta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|x|=t-\eta, t_1 < t < t_2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

By making use of the characteristic line method, one can show Theorem 1.3 holds since in this case $a \frac{u}{|x|^2}$ is a defocusing term with a good spatial decay. Next, for the solution with weighted energy, one can show the scattering results by utilizing the energy distribution estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R < |t| < T} \int_{|x| < R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{-R}^R \int_{|x| > R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

which follow from the Morawetz estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2R} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x| < R} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t|^2 + \frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt \\ & + \frac{d-1}{4R^2} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x|=R} |u|^2 dS(x) dt + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x| \geq R} \left(\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|} + (a + \lambda_d) \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} \right) dx dt \\ & \leq 2E, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

for some constant $\lambda_d \geq 0$. For more details, we refer to [45].

We only focus on the case $a < 0$ from now on. From the formula (1.4), we prove the energy flux estimates on light cones by using the Hardy inequality and the radial decay properties in Lemma 2.1 of the radial solutions. Thanks to these estimates and the radiation field for free waves, we are able to prove the following proposition by utilizing the characteristics lines method, which corresponds to the scattering result in the region outside the light cone.

Proposition 1.3 (Exterior scattering). Suppose that $3 \leq d \leq 6$, $p \in [1 + \frac{4}{d-1}, 1 + \frac{4}{d-2})$, and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + (\frac{(d-2)p-d}{2p})^2$. Let u be a radial solution to (1.1) with a finite energy. Then there exist finite-energy free waves v^\pm (solutions to the linear wave equation $\partial_t^2 v - \Delta v = 0$) so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{|x| > |t| - \eta} (|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v^\pm(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t^\pm(x, t)|^2) dx = 0, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to establish the decay estimate of the energy in the interior of light cones. Since the inequality (1.6) seems not to hold for $a < 0$, we establish a modified version of Morawetz estimates, which heavily depends on the Hardy inequalities on a local region. Employing the aforementioned modified Morawetz estimates, one can prove the energy decay in the interior of light cones by introducing a cut-off version of the kinetic energy J_u^\pm . By making use of the improved pointwise estimate (2.4) in Lemma 2.1 and the energy decay of u in exterior of light cone, we prove the scattering results for solutions with an additional energy decay.

Remark 1.4. As noted in [45], there exists radial smooth initial datum (u_0, u_1) such that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold, but (u_0, u_1) do not belong to the scaling invariant spaces $\dot{H}^{s_p} \times \dot{H}^{s_p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, suppose

$$u_0(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{2(p+d+1)}{(p+1)^2} - \varepsilon} \text{ and } |\nabla u_0(x)| \approx (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{2(p+d+1)}{(p+1)^2} - 1 - \varepsilon}, |x| \gg 1. \quad (1.7)$$

By a direct computation, we have $(u_0, 0)$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.1 with $\kappa = \kappa_0$. But $u_0 \notin L^{\frac{d(p-1)}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when ε is sufficiently small. Thus $u_0 \notin \dot{H}^{s_p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the Sobolev embedding.

Remark 1.5. For the case $-\lambda_d < a$, one may improve the result of Theorem 1.1 slightly by showing the inward/outward energy flux and the weighted Morawetz estimates as in [43]. Here $\lambda_d = (d-1)(d-3)/4$. But the minimal decay rate κ_0 of energy in (1.3) can not be further improved by the inward/outward energy theory. While for the case $a < -\lambda_d$, the inward/outward energy flux formula may be not true since the extra term $\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} dx dt$ may be infinite.

Remark 1.6. In the appendix we consider the scattering theory (which may be of interest in its own right) for the linear wave equation with inverse square potential

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \left(-\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}\right) u = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u, u_t)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1), \end{cases} \quad (LW_a)$$

where $d \geq 3$ and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$. By the Strichartz estimates of $\vec{S}_0(t)$ and the generalized Morawetz estimates, one may obtain the scattering result of solution of (LW_a) in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, by the Duhamel formula, for $t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$\vec{S}_0(-t_2)(u(t_2), u_t(t_2))(x) - \vec{S}_0(-t_1)(u(t_1), u_t(t_1))(x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \vec{S}_0(-s) \left[0, \frac{a}{|\cdot|^2} u(\cdot, s)\right](x) ds. \quad (1.8)$$

Then, by the square function estimates¹ presented in the appendix and the generalized Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \vec{S}_0(-t_1)(u(t_1), u_t(t_1)) - \vec{S}_0(-t_2)(u(t_2), u_t(t_2)) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \frac{a}{|\cdot|^2} u(x, t) \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2d}{d+2}, 2} L_t^2([t_1, t_2] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \| |x|^{-1} \|_{L_x^{d, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \frac{a}{|\cdot|^2} u(x, t) \right\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times [t_1, t_2])} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

as $t_2 > t_1 \rightarrow \infty$, where $L_x^{\alpha, \beta}$ are Lorentz spaces and we have used the generalized Morawetz estimate as in [4, Theorem 2], i.e.,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|\cdot|} u(x, t) \right\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (1.10)$$

¹One can also use the Strichartz estimates on $L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}, 2}$ here but with radial assumption if $d = 3$. For the cases $d \geq 4$, we refer to [23]. If $d = 3$, this follows from the Strichartz estimates for radial data ([46, Theorem 1.3]) and real interpolation estimates in [23, Section 6].

However, generally speaking, for scattering results in the energy space $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one may need the associated square function estimates on $L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-1},2} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ or the Strichartz estimates on $L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-1},2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. But, both these tools are not true even for radial case. In fact, it is easy to see that square function estimates do not hold via Plancherel and the fact $|\widehat{d\sigma_{S^{d-1}}}(\xi)| = O((1 + |\xi|)^{\frac{1-d}{2}})$. On the other hand, by making use of Proposition 5.2 and Hölder inequalities, we have

$$\| |e^{it|\nabla|} f \|_{L_x^q(|x|-|t| \lesssim 1)} \gtrsim \| |e^{it|\nabla|} f \|_{L_x^2(|x|-|t| \lesssim 1)} |t|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \gtrsim |t|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}. \quad (1.11)$$

This inequality shows us that $L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is acceptable if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{d-1}{q} < \frac{d-1}{2}$.

Fortunately, we can obtain scattering theory of radial finite energy solutions to the linear equation (LW_a) in the appendix by the argument of [41] and a series of estimates. This fact shows us that the radial solutions of the energy critical nonlinear wave equations scatter to free waves, see [29].

1.3. The structure of this paper. This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give technical lemmas and well-posedness theory of Cauchy problem (1.1). In Section 3, we prove the energy flux formula of the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1). We establish a class of local Morawetz estimates associated with the Cauchy problem (1.1) in Section 4. In Section 5, we prove Proposition 1.3 by making use of the characteristic lines method developed in [41]. In Section 6 we establish a series of local energy estimates, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by making use of Morawetz estimates established in Section 4. In the appendix, we prove the asymptotic behaviour of linear solution, and establish the square function estimate in Lorentz space by Stein-Tomas restriction theorem.

We conclude this section by introducing some notations. We denote by $A \lesssim B$ the fact that the inequality $A \leq CB$ holds with an implicit constant C depending on p and a . We denote by $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Sometimes we also allow these constants to depend on the energy E and weighted energy E_κ , especially in the last two sections.

The derivative operator ∇ refers to the spatial variable only. $\partial_r = \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla$ denotes the derivative in the radial direction and $\nabla' = \nabla - \frac{x}{|x|} \partial_r$ denotes the angular derivative. Let $u(x, t)$ be a spatially radial function. By convention $u(r, t)$ represents the value of $u(x, t)$ when $|x| = r$.

2. TECHNICAL LEMMAS AND WELL-POSEDNESS THEORY

First, we recall the sharp Hardy inequality, for $d \geq 3$,

$$\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx. \quad (2.1)$$

For $a \in (-\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}, \infty)$, we have the rough identity as follows

$$E \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t(x, t)|^2 + |u(x, t)|^{p+1} \right) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus for any region $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t(x, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u(x, t)|^{p+1} \right) dx \lesssim E. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.1 (Pointwise Estimate, see Lemma 5.6 in [41]). All radial $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions u satisfy

$$|u(r)| \lesssim_d r^{-(d-2)/2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \quad r > 0.$$

If u also satisfies $u \in L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then its decay is stronger as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, i.e.,

$$|u(r)| \lesssim_d r^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{\frac{2}{p+3}} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{\frac{p+1}{p+3}}, \quad r > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, we recall some properties of the operator $\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}$. For $r \in (1, \infty)$, we write $\dot{H}_a^{1,r}$ and $H_a^{1,r}$ for the homogeneous and inhomogeneous space defined in terms of \mathcal{L}_a ; these spaces have norms

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_a^{1,r}} = \|\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a} f\|_{L^r}, \quad \|f\|_{H_a^{1,r}} = \|\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{L}_a} f\|_{L^r}.$$

We write $\dot{H}_a^{1,2} = \dot{H}_a^1$ and denote $\sigma = \frac{d-2}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 + a}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Equivalence of Sobolev spaces, see Theorem 1.2 in [25]). Let $d \geq 3$, $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$, and $s \in (0, 2)$.

- If $p \in (1, \infty)$ satisfies $\frac{s+\sigma}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\}$, then

$$\| |\nabla|^s f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \| \mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{s}{2}} f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

- If $p \in (1, \infty)$ satisfies $\max\{\frac{s}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{d}\}$, then

$$\| \mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{s}{2}} f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \| |\nabla|^s f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

2.1. Local theory. We consider the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \mathcal{L}_a u = F(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \\ (u, u_t)(x, 0) = (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

First, we record the Strichartz estimates.

Proposition 2.3 (Strichartz estimates [4] [29]). Let $2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r < \infty$ such that the admissible condition $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{d-1}{2r} \leq \frac{d-1}{4}$ holds, where if $d = 3$, we additionally require $q > 2$. Define γ via the scaling condition $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2} - \gamma$. Then, we have

$$\left\| \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})f + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}}g \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^\gamma} + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}) \quad (2.6)$$

provided that

$$-\min\left\{1, \sqrt{a + \frac{9}{4}} - \frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{a + \frac{1}{4}} + 1\right\} < \gamma < \min\left\{2, \sqrt{a + \frac{9}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{a + \frac{1}{4}} + 1 - \frac{1}{q}\right\}$$

when $d = 3$;

$$\begin{aligned} & -\min \left\{ \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d+3}{2(d-1)}, \sqrt{a + \frac{d^2}{4}} - \frac{d+3}{2(d-1)}, \sqrt{a + \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}} + 1 \right\} \\ & < \gamma < \min \left\{ \frac{d+1}{2}, \sqrt{a + \frac{d^2}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{a + \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}} + 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

when $d \geq 4$.

Remark 2.4. We will also need an inhomogeneous version of (2.6),

$$\left\| \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} F(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^1 \times L^2) \cap L_t^q L_x^r([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.7)$$

where parameters $(q, r, \gamma) = (q, r, 1)$ satisfy conditions in Proposition 2.3. In fact, by Strichartz estimates we have

$$\left\| \chi_{t \geq s}(t) \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} F(s) \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^1 \times L^2) \cap L_t^q L_x^r([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|F(s)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This inequality implies that

$$\left\| \int_0^T \chi_{t \geq s}(t) \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} F(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^1 \times L^2) \cap L_t^q L_x^r([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which is exactly inequality (2.7).

For the well-posedness theory for the problem (1.1), we need to use the Strichartz norm such as $\|\cdot\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}}$. Based on the discussion in Remark 1.2, for each $p \in [p_{conf}, p_e]$ with $3 \leq d \leq 6$, we have by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} (|u|^{p-1}u) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}_x^1 \times L_x^2) \cap L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq C \| |u|^{p-1}u \|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq CT^{\frac{(d+2)-(d-2)p}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} (|u|^{p-1}u - |v|^{p-1}v) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}_x^1 \times L_x^2) \cap L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq CT^{\frac{(d+2)-(d-2)p}{2}} (\|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p-1} + \|v\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{p-1}) \|u - v\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $I = [0, T]$ and

$$a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \left(\frac{(d-2)p-d}{2p} \right)^2.$$

Then, by the Duhamel formula

$$u = \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})u_0 + \sin(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})u_1 - \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}} (|u|^{p-1}u) ds,$$

one can show the local well-posedness of (1.1) via the concentration map argument. Moreover, by energy conservation, one can obtain the global existence of the solution.

Proposition 2.5 (Well-posedness theory). Assume that $3 \leq d \leq 6$ and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \left(\frac{(d-2)p-d}{2p}\right)^2$.

- (i) (Existence) For any initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there are a time interval $I \ni 0$ and a unique solution u to (1.1) on I , such that $(u, u_t) \in C(I, \dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $u \in L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (ii) (Long time perturbation) Let $I = [0, T]$ be a time interval with $0 < T < \infty$. For any positive $M < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(T, M)$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, then the following holds: If \tilde{u} be a solution to $\partial_{tt}\tilde{u} + \mathcal{L}_a\tilde{u} = -|\tilde{u}|^{p-1}\tilde{u}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(0), \partial_t \tilde{u}(0)\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &< \infty, \\ \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} &< M, \\ \|(u_0, u_1) - (\tilde{u}(0), \partial_t \tilde{u}(0))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a solution u to (1.1) on I such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L_t^{\frac{2p}{(d-2)p-d}} L_x^{2p}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} &< C(T, M)\varepsilon, \\ \sup_{t \in I} \|(u(t), \partial_t u(t)) - (\tilde{u}(t), \partial_t \tilde{u}(t))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &< C(T, M)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) (energy conservation) If $E(u_0, u_1) < \infty$, then we have $E(u(t), u_t(t)) \equiv E(u_0, u_1)$, for $t \in I_{max}$.

By (i) and (iii), we can obtain the global well-posedness of (1.1).

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) follows from the estimates (2.8)-(2.9) and standard fixed point argument, see for example [27, 38]. Moreover, by (ii) and Fatou's Lemma, time reverse invariance of (1.1), it suffices to consider the initial data in $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. From $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(u, \partial_t u) \in C(I, \dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, we have $(u, \partial_t u) \in C(I, H^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, we have the energy conservation law, by Theorem 4.1 in Strauss[47], if we take $V = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $H = W = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $A(t) = \mathcal{L}_a$ and $Z = L^2((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. \square

3. ENERGY FLUX

In this section, we consider the energy flux of the solutions given by Proposition 2.5. Given any region $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we use the following notation to represent local energy for convenience.

$$E(t; \Sigma) \doteq \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t(x, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u(x, t)|^{p+1} \right) dx. \quad (3.1)$$

We have the following energy flux formula for $t_2 > t_1 > \eta$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(t_2; B(0, t_2 - \eta)) - E(t_1; B(0, t_1 - \eta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|x|=t-\eta, t_1 < t < t_2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Since the local energy $E(t; \Sigma)$ remains uniformly bounded, the energy flux above is always bounded by a constant multiple of energy. Letting $t_1 \rightarrow \eta$ and $t_2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain the energy flux through a whole light cone:

$$\int_{|x|=t-\eta} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dS \lesssim E. \quad (3.3)$$

The limit process $t_1 \rightarrow \eta$ and $t_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ is valid at least in the radial case although it involves the integral of the negative function $\frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2}$. In fact,

- The limit $t_1 \rightarrow \eta$ can be done for a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ by making use of
$$\int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{|a|}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt \lesssim (T_2 - T_1) E < +\infty.$$
- In the radial case, we have $u(x, t) \lesssim |x|^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}}$. Thus the integral $\int_{|x|=t-\eta>1} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dS$ is always finite. This fact tell us that the limit $t_2 \rightarrow \infty$ can be done.

Next we have a key observation

$$\int_{|x|=t-\eta} |u_r + u_t|^2 dS \geq \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right)^2 \int_{|x|=t-\eta} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dS. \quad (3.4)$$

In fact, it suffices to apply the sharp Hardy inequality on $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x, |x| + \eta) \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proposition 3.1 (Energy flux). Let u be a finite-energy radial solution to (1.1). Then we have the energy flux formula

$$\begin{aligned} & E(t_2; B(0, t_2 - \eta)) - E(t_1; B(0, t_1 - \eta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{|x|=t-\eta, t_1 < t < t_2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In addition, the full energy flux through the light cone satisfies

$$\int_{|x|=t-\eta} \left(|u_r + u_t|^2 + \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + |u|^{p+1} \right) dS \lesssim E. \quad (3.6)$$

4. MORAWETZ ESTIMATES

In this section, we shall prove a class of local Morawetz estimates for solutions in Proposition 2.5. Please note that these Morawetz estimates hold for non-radial solutions as well. For this purpose, we give a Hardy inequality on a local domain.

Lemma 4.1. Let $u \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for any $R > 0$, we have

$$\int_{|x|<R} \left(|u_r(x)|^2 + a \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx = \int_{|x|<R} \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u(x) \right|^2 dx - \sigma \int_{|x|=R} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|} dS(x), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\sigma = \sigma_a \doteq \frac{d-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}} + a.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{|x|<R} \left(|u_r(x)|^2 + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \lesssim_{d,a} \int_{|x|<R} \left| u_r(x) + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u(x) \right|^2 dx + \int_{|x|=R} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|} dS(x). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Identity (4.1) follows the fact $a = \sigma^2 - (d-2)\sigma$ and integration by parts. In addition, we may choose $a_1 = a - \varepsilon > -\frac{d-2}{2}$ with a small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, define the corresponding $\sigma_1 > \sigma$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|<R} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a_1 \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx &= \int_{|x|<R} \left| \nabla u(x) + \frac{\sigma_1}{|x|} u(x) \right|^2 dx - \sigma_1 \int_{|x|=R} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|} dS(x) \\ &\geq -\sigma_1 \int_{|x|=R} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|} dS(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Taking the difference of (4.1) and (4.3), we have

$$\int_{|x|<R} \varepsilon \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{|x|<R} \left| \nabla u(x) + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u(x) \right|^2 dx + (\sigma_1 - \sigma) \int_{|x|=R} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|} dS(x).$$

Finally we may combine this with (4.1) again to finish the proof of (4.2). \square

Proposition 4.2 (Morawetz estimates). Let u be a finite-energy solution to (1.1). Then for any time $T_1 < T_2$ and radius $R > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2R} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x|<R} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t|^2 + \frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt \\ &+ \frac{d-1}{4R^2} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x|=R} |u|^2 dS(x) dt + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{|x|>R} \left(\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|} + (a + \lambda_d) \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} \right) dx dt \\ &+ \int_{|x|<R} [J_u^+(x, T_2) + J_u^-(x, T_1)] dx \leq 2E + (|a| - \lambda_d) \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, T_1)|^2 + |u(x, T_2)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The notation $J_u^\pm(x, t)$ represents

$$J_u^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R^2 - |x|^2}{R^2} \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u \right|^2 + (\mu_d + a - 2\sigma) \frac{|u|^2}{R^2} + \left| \frac{|x|}{R} u_r + \frac{(d-1)u}{2R} \pm u_t \right|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right].$$

Here $\mu_d = \frac{d^2-1}{4}$, $\lambda_d = \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4}$ and $\sigma = \frac{d-2}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 + a}$ are all constants. Our assumption on d and a guarantees that $\mu_d + a - 2\sigma \geq 3/4$.

Proof. We will calculate as though the solution is sufficiently smooth, otherwise we may apply smooth approximation techniques. Let

$$M(t) = \int_{|x|\leq R} u_t \left(x \cdot \nabla u + \frac{d-1}{2} u \right) dx + R \int_{|x|\geq R} u_t \left(\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|} \right) dx. \quad (4.5)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the sum of double integrals in the left hand side of (4.4), i.e.,

$$\text{sum of first two lines of (4.4)} = -\frac{1}{R} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} M'(t) dt = \frac{1}{R} (M(T_1) - M(T_2)). \quad (4.6)$$

Next we find upper bounds of $-M(T_2)/R$ and $M(T_1)/R$. By Cauchy-Schwarz and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2M(T_2)/R &= -2 \int_{|x| \leq R} u_t \left(\frac{|x|}{R} u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{R} \right) dx - 2 \int_{|x| \geq R} u_t \left(u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|} \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \leq R} \left(\frac{|x|}{R} u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{R} \right)^2 dx + \int_{|x| > R} \left(u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|} \right)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_t|^2 dx - \int_{|x| < R} \left| u_t + \frac{|x|}{R} u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \cdot \frac{u}{R} \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \left[\int_{|x| \leq R} \left(|x|^2 |u_r|^2 - \mu_d |u|^2 \right) dx + R^2 \int_{|x| \geq R} \left(|u_r|^2 - \lambda_d \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_t|^2 dx - \int_{|x| < R} \left| u_t + \frac{|x|}{R} u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} \cdot \frac{u}{R} \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We denote the sum enclosed in the middle bracket in (4.7) by I . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{|x| \leq R} \left(|x|^2 |u_r|^2 + a |u|^2 \right) dx - (\mu_d + a) \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + R^2 \int_{|x| \geq R} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx - (\lambda_d + a) R^2 \int_{|x| > R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &= R^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|u_r|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx - \int_0^R 2r \left[\int_{|x| < r} \left(|u_r|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \right] dr \\ &\quad - (\mu_d + a) \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx - (\lambda_d + a) R^2 \int_{|x| > R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain by Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} I &= R^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|u_r|^2 + a \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx - \int_{|x| < R} (R^2 - |x|^2) \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u \right|^2 dx \\ &\quad - (\mu_d + a - 2\sigma) \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx - (\lambda_d + a) R^2 \int_{|x| > R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this identity in (4.7) and recalling

$$E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |u_r|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \cdot \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx,$$

we have

$$\frac{-M(T_2)}{R} \leq E - \int_{|x| < R} J_u^+(x, T_2) dx - (\lambda_d + a) \int_{|x| > R} \frac{|u(x, T_2)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx.$$

Similarly we have

$$\frac{M(T_1)}{R} \leq E - \int_{|x|<R} J_u^-(x, T_1) dx - (\lambda_d + a) \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, T_1)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx.$$

Combining these upper bounds with (4.6), we finish the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let u be a finite-energy solution to (1.1). Then for any $R > 0$ we have

$$\int_{|x|<R} J_u^+(x, R) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|>R} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + |u|^{p+1}) dx dt + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, \pm R)|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. We apply Morawetz estimate (4.4) with $T_1 = -R$ and $T_2 = R$. Our assumption $p \geq 1 + \frac{4}{d-1}$ means that $\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2(p+1)} \geq \frac{1}{p+1}$. Thus we may ignore all other positive terms in the left hand side of Morawetz estimate (4.4) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|<R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt + \int_{|x|<R} J_u^+(x, R) dx \\ & \leq 2E + (|a| - \lambda_d) \left[\int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} dx dt + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, \pm R)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the energy conservation law, we may substitute $2E$ in (4.4) by

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt,$$

then subtract the first term in the left hand side from both sides, and finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|<R} J_u^+(x, R) dx & \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|>R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt \\ & \quad + (|a| - \lambda_d) \left[\int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} dx dt + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, \pm R)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx \right] \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{|x|>R} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + |u|^{p+1}) dx dt + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, \pm R)|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we apply Hardy's inequality in the exterior region

$$\int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^3} dx dt \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x|>R} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 4.3. \square

5. METHOD OF CHARACTERISTIC LINES

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.3 by method of characteristic lines. First we state the existence and uniqueness of a radiation field for a finite energy solution to the linear wave equation.

Proposition 5.1 (Radiation field, [12, 16, 17, 45]). For any radial free wave solution v with finite energy, there exists a unique function $g_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \left(\left| r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_r(r, t) + g_+(t-r) \right|^2 + \left| r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_t(r, t) - g_+(t-r) \right|^2 \right) dr = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

On the other hand, for $g_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique radial free wave solution u with finite energy such that the equality (5.1) holds.

Next, we recall the decay property of free waves.

Proposition 5.2 (See Lemma 2.13 in [45]). Assume $d \geq 3$. Let u be a solution to the free wave equation $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)v = 0$ with initial data $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t > \eta} \left\{ \int_{|x| < t-\eta} \left(|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \right\} = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t > 0} \left\{ \int_{|x| > t+R} \left(|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \right\} = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

Assume that u is a finite-energy, radial solution to (1.1). Let $w = r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u(r, t)$, then, we have

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2)w = -r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |u|^{p-1} u - (\lambda_d + a) r^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u. \quad (5.4)$$

As in [41, 45], by the characteristic method, radial Sobolev and the integral estimate (3.6), we have the following.

Proposition 5.3. For $\tau + 1 < t_1 < t_2 < s - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(w_t + w_r)(s - t_2, t_2) - (w_t + w_r)(s - t_1, t_1)| &\lesssim (s - t_2)^{-\beta(d, p)}, \\ |(w_t - w_r)(t_2 - \tau, t_2) - (w_t - w_r)(t_1 - \tau, t_1)| &\lesssim (t_1 - \tau)^{-\beta(d, p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(d, p) = \frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2(p+1)} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ by the assumption of p .

Proof. These two inequalities can be proved in the same way. Here we only stretch the proof of the first one. By (5.4) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (w_t + w_r)(s - t, t) = -(s - t)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |u|^{p-1} u(s - t, t) - (\lambda_d + a) (s - t)^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u(s - t, t).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |(w_t + w_r)(s - t_2, t_2) - (w_t + w_r)(s - t_1, t_1)| \\ \lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[(s - t)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |u(s - t, t)|^p + (s - t)^{\frac{d-5}{2}} |u(s - t, t)| \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

We may apply Hölder inequality and the integral estimate (3.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (s - t)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |u(s - t, t)|^p dt \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (s - t)^{-\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (s - t)^{d-1} |u(s - t, t)|^{p+1} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ &\lesssim (s - t_2)^{-\beta(d, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we may use the inequality $|u(r, t)| \lesssim r^{-(d-2)/2}$ and obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (s-t)^{\frac{d-5}{2}} |u(s-t, t)| dt \lesssim (s-t_2)^{-1/2}.$$

Combining these two estimates, we complete the proof. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 5.3, we immediately have the following.

Proposition 5.4. There exist $g_{\pm} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (w_t - w_r)(t - \eta, t) &= 2g_+(\eta), & \|g_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim E/c_d, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (w_t + w_r)(s - t, t) &= 2g_-(s), & \|g_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim E/c_d. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 As a consequence of Proposition 5.3, one can verify that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} (|(w_t + w_r)(r, t) - 2g_-(r+t)|^2 + |(w_t - w_r)(r, t) - 2g_+(t-r)|^2) dr \lesssim c. \quad (5.5)$$

where $1 - \kappa_0 = 2\beta(d, p)$, c, R are positive constants. A simple calculation shows

$$\int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} |g_-(r+t)|^2 dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} (|(w_t + w_r)(r, t)|^2 + |(w_t - w_r)(r, t) - 2g_+(t-r)|^2) dr \lesssim c.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} (|w_t(r, t) - g_+(t-r)|^2 + |w_r(r, t) + g_+(t-r)|^2) dr \lesssim c.$$

Note that $w_r = r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_r + \frac{d-1}{2} r^{\frac{d-3}{2}} u$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} r^{d-3} |u(r, t)|^2 dr \lesssim \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} r^{d-3} r^{-\frac{4(d-1)}{p+3}} dr = 0,$$

by Lemma 2.1. Thus, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}}^{t+R} (|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_t(r, t) - g_+(t-r)|^2 + |r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_r(r, t) + g_+(t-r)|^2) dr \lesssim c. \quad (5.6)$$

Similarly we have for $\eta, R > 0$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-\eta}^{t+R} (|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_t(r, t) - g_+(t-r)|^2 + |r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_r(r, t) + g_+(t-r)|^2) dr = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Next, by Proposition 5.1, there exists free wave v such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty (|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} v_t(r, t) - g_+(t-r)|^2 + |r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} v_r(r, t) + g_+(t-r)|^2) dr = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Combining (5.8) with (5.7) or (5.6), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-\eta < |x| < t+R} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0} < |x| < t+R} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \lesssim c. \quad (5.10)$$

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t > 0} \left\{ \int_{|x| > t+R} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \right\} = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

In fact, this is a direct consequence of the finite propagation speed. One can also see (5.3), or employ Lemma 6.1 with $\kappa = 0$ if the decay of energy is unknown.

6. FURTHER ESTIMATES WITH ENERGY DECAY AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we consider the scattering theory of (1.1) under the condition of additional energy decay (1.3).

Lemma 6.1. Let $\kappa \in [0, 1)$. Assume that initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ satisfy

$$E_\kappa(u_0, u_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^\kappa + 1) (|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 + |u_1(x)|^2 + |u_0(x)|^{p+1}) dx < +\infty.$$

Then the corresponding solution u to (1.1) satisfies for each $r > 0$

$$\int_{|x| > r+|t|} \left(|\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t(x, t)|^2 + |u(x, t)|^{p+1} \right) dx \lesssim r^{-\kappa} E_{\kappa, r}(u_0, u_1), \quad (6.1)$$

where $E_{\kappa, r}(u_0, u_1)$ is defined by

$$E_{\kappa, r}(u_0, u_1) \doteq \int_{|x| > r/2} (|x|^\kappa + 1) (|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 + |u_1(x)|^2 + |u_0(x)|^{p+1}) dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If the initial data is also radial, we have

$$|u(x, t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}} (|x| - |t|)^{-\frac{2\kappa}{p+3}} E_{\kappa, |x|-|t|}(u_0, u_1)^{\frac{2}{p+3}}, \quad |x| > |t|. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. Let us fix a radial, smooth cut-off function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \leq 1/2; \\ 1, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and define $(u_{0,r}, u_{1,r}) = \phi(x/r)(u_0, u_1)$. A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_{0,r}, u_{1,r}) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla u_{0,r}|^2 + |u_{1,r}|^2 + |u_{0,r}|^{p+1}) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x| > r/2} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |u_1|^2 + |u_0|^{p+1}) dx + \int_{r/2 < |x| < r} \frac{|u_0|^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x| > r/2} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |u_1|^2 + |u_0|^{p+1}) dx \\ &\lesssim r^{-\kappa} E_{\kappa, r}(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u^{(r)}$ be the solution to (1.1) with initial data $(u_{0,r}, u_{1,r})$. By energy conservation law we immediately have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u^{(r)}|^2 + \frac{|u^{(r)}|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t^{(r)}|^2 + |u^{(r)}|^{p+1} \right) dx \lesssim E(u_{0,r}, u_{1,r}) \lesssim r^{-\kappa} E_{\kappa,r}(u_0, u_1). \quad (6.3)$$

This proves (6.1) since we always have $u(x, t) \equiv u^{(r)}(x, t)$ for $|x| > r + |t|$ by finite speed of propagation. If the data is also radial, then we may apply Lemma 2.1 to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &= |u^{(|x|-|t|)}(x, t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}} \|u^{(|x|-|t|)}(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{\frac{2}{p+3}} \|u^{(|x|-|t|)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{\frac{p+1}{p+3}} \\ &\lesssim |x|^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}} \left((|x| - |t|)^{-\kappa} E_{\kappa, |x|-|t|}(u_0, u_1) \right)^{\frac{2}{p+3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.3) with $r = |x| - |t|$. \square

Corollary 6.2. Let (u_0, u_1) be as in Theorem 1.1. The corresponding solution u satisfies

$$\int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \lesssim R^{-\frac{p+5}{p+3}\kappa_0} E_{\kappa_0}(u_0, u_1)^{\frac{4}{p+3}}, \quad |t| \leq R.$$

Proof. By the pointwise estimate given in Lemma 6.1, if $|t| \leq R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} dx &\lesssim \int_{|x|>R} |x|^{-\frac{4(d-1)}{p+3}-2} (|x| - |t|)^{-\frac{4\kappa_0}{p+3}} E_{\kappa_0, |x|-|t|}(u_0, u_1)^{\frac{4}{p+3}} dx \\ &\lesssim R^{-\frac{p+5}{p+3}\kappa_0} E_{\kappa_0}(u_0, u_1)^{\frac{4}{p+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 6.3. Let (u_0, u_1) be as in Theorem 1.1, and let $c > 0$. The corresponding solution u satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t|^2 \right) dx = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. We start by recalling the conclusion of Corollary 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|<t} J_u^+(x, t) dx &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_{-t}^t \int_{|x|>t} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt' + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x|>t} \frac{|u(x, \pm t)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx \\ &\doteq I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of I_2 can be found in Corollary 6.2:

$$I_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{p+5}{p+3}\kappa_0} E_{\kappa_0}(u_0, u_1).$$

We may also find an upper bound of I_1 by applying (2.2) and Lemma 6.1:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \left[t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2} E + \int_{-t+t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}}^{t-t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}} \int_{|x|>t} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right) dx dt' \right] \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \left[t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2} E + \int_{-t+t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}}^{t-t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}} (t - |t'|)^{-\kappa_0} E_{\kappa_0, t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}}(u_0, u_1) dt' \right] \\ &\lesssim t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2-1} E + t^{-\kappa_0} E_{\kappa_0, t^{(1-\kappa_0)/2}}(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these upper bounds we have

$$\int_{|x|<t} J_u^+(x, t) dx = o(t^{-\kappa_0}).$$

We recall the definition of J_u^+ given in Proposition 4.2 and obtain

$$\int_{|x|<t} \left(\frac{|u|^2}{t^2} + \left| \frac{|x|}{t} u_r + \frac{(d-1)u}{2t} + u_t \right|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx = o(t^{-\kappa_0}). \quad (6.5)$$

$$\int_{|x|<t} \frac{t^2 - |x|^2}{t^2} \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u \right|^2 dx = o(t^{-\kappa_0}). \quad (6.6)$$

If $|x| < t - c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}$, then we have $\frac{t^2 - |x|^2}{t^2} \geq ct^{-\kappa_0}$. Thus (6.6) implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t - c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}} \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u \right|^2 dx = 0.$$

In addition, the universal upper bound $|u(x, t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}}$ in Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x|=t-c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|} dS(x) = 0.$$

Thus we may apply Lemma 4.1 and obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t - c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}} \left(|u_r|^2 + \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Finally we observe the inequality

$$|u_t|^2 \lesssim \frac{|u|^2}{t^2} + \left| \frac{|x|}{t} u_r + \frac{(d-1)u}{2t} \pm u_t \right|^2 + |u_r|^2$$

and complete the proof by combining (6.5) and (6.7). \square

Now, **we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1**. Let u be a radial solution to (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) satisfying $E_{\kappa_0}(u_0, u_1) \lesssim E_{\kappa}(u_0, u_1) < +\infty$. Then, for v given as in Section 5, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \leq L_1 + L_2 + L_3,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t - c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0}} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx; \\ L_2 &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t - c \cdot t^{1-\kappa_0} < |x| < t + R} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx; \\ L_3 &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| > t + R} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $c, R > 0$ are constants to be determined. We have already known $L_3 = 0$ and $L_2 \lesssim c$ by the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 and (5.10). We also have $L_1 = 0$ by a combination of

Proposition 6.3 and (5.2). In summary we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \lesssim c$$

for all positive constants c . We then make $c \rightarrow 0$ and finish the proof.

APPENDIX A.

A.1. The asymptotic behaviour of linear solution. Let u be a finite-energy radial solution to the linear wave equation with an inverse-square potential

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \left(-\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2} \right) u = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u, u_t)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2. \end{cases}$$

Here $d \geq 3$ and $a > -\frac{(d-2)^2}{4}$. We prove that there exists a free wave v , i.e., a solution to the linear wave equation $\partial_t^2 v - \Delta v = 0$, so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u(\cdot, t), u_t(\cdot, t)) - (v(\cdot, t), v_t(\cdot, t))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2} = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The proof of (A.1) divides into three steps. We first prove a few estimates in step 1. Following the same argument as in Lemma 6.1, one can obtain

$$\int_{|x| > |t|+r} \left(|\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|^2} + |u_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \lesssim E_{0,r}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$|u(x, t)| \lesssim E_{0,r}^{1/2} |x|^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}, \quad |x| \geq r + |t| \quad (\text{A.3})$$

such that

$$E_{0,r} = \int_{|x| > r/2} \left(|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 + |u_1(x)|^2 \right) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

We may combine (A.2) with the universal estimate $|u(x, t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}$ and obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| > t} \frac{|u(x, \pm t)|^2}{|x|^2} dx = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We also have by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} |u(r_1, t) - u(r_2, t)| &= \int_{r_1}^{r_2} |u_r(r, t)| dr \lesssim \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{d-1} |u_r(r, t)|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{-(d-1)} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim (r_2 - r_1)^{1/2} r_1^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $(1 - \delta)|t| \leq r \leq |t| + r_0 \leq (1 + \delta)|t|$ with a small constant $\delta \ll 1$, then we have

$$|u(r, t)| \leq |u(|t| + r_0, t)| + |u(r, t) - u(|t| + r_0, t)| \lesssim (E_{0,r_0}^{1/2} + \delta^{1/2}) r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

It immediately follows that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x|=(1-\delta)t} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2}{|x|} dS(x) \lesssim \delta. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In step 2 we prove that there exists a finite-energy free wave v , so that for $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| > (1-\delta)t} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \lesssim \delta. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Define $w = r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u(r, t)$, then w satisfies

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2)w = -(\lambda_d + a)r^{\frac{d-5}{2}}u. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Note that $|u(r, t)| \lesssim r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}$, applying method of characteristic lines, we can obtain $g_+, g_- \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |(w_t + w_r)(r, t) - 2g_-(r + t)| &\lesssim r^{-1/2}, & r > 1; \\ |(w_t - w_r)(r, t) - 2g_+(t - r)| &\lesssim r^{-1/2}, & r > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have ($\delta \ll 1$, $R > 0$ are constants)

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(1-\delta)t}^{t+R} (|w_t(r, t) - g_+(t - r)|^2 + |w_r(r, t) + g_+(t - r)|^2) dr \lesssim \delta.$$

By the identity $w_r = r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u_r + \frac{d-1}{2}r^{\frac{d-3}{2}}u$ and the upper bound

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(1-\delta)t}^{t+R} r^{d-3}|u(r, t)|^2 dr \lesssim \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(1-\delta)t}^{t+R} \frac{1}{r} dr \lesssim \delta.$$

We have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(1-\delta)t}^{t+R} (|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u_t(r, t) - g_+(t - r)|^2 + |r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u_r(r, t) + g_+(t - r)|^2) dr \lesssim \delta.$$

By radiation fields, there exists a free wave v so that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(1-\delta)t < |x| < t+R} (|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2) dx \lesssim \delta.$$

Combining this with (A.2), we obtain (A.8).

In step 3 we show

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < (1-\delta)t} (|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2) dx \lesssim \delta. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We follow a similar argument to Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 (simply ignore the terms involving $|u|^{p+1}$), and obtain a Morawetz-type estimate

$$\int_{|x| < t} J_u^+(x, t) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_{-t}^t \int_{|x| > t} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2) dx dt' + \sum_{\pm} \int_{|x| > t} \frac{|u(x, \pm t)|^2}{2|x|^2} dx.$$

We claim that the right hand side converges to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In fact we may show the convergence of the first term in the same way as in Proposition 6.3 by (A.2). The convergence of the second term has been known (A.5). As a result

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t} J_u^+(x, t) dx = 0.$$

We recall the definition of J_u^+ and obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < t} \left(\frac{|u|^2}{t^2} + \left| \frac{|x|}{t} u_r + \frac{(d-1)u}{2t} \pm u_t \right|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx = 0.$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < (1-\delta)t} \left| u_r + \frac{\sigma}{|x|} u \right|^2 dx = 0.$$

Next we combine these estimates with (A.7), Proposition 5.2, and Lemma 4.1 to complete the proof of (A.10), as we did in the proof of Proposition 6.3. Finally we combine (A.8) and (A.10) to conclude

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u(x, t) - \nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |u_t(x, t) - v_t(x, t)|^2 \right) dx \lesssim \delta.$$

We then finish the proof by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.

A.2. Square function estimates. In this subsection, employing the Stein-Tomas restriction theorem in Lorentz space, we show

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is|\nabla|} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|F\|_{L_x^{\frac{2d}{d+2}, 2} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for radial function $F \in L_x^{\frac{2d}{d+2}, 2} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The proof follows the arguments of [35]. By duality, it suffices to show

$$\| |\nabla|^{-\gamma} e^{it|\nabla|} f \|_{L_x^{q, 2} L_t^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $\gamma = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{q}$ and $q \geq \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$. Utilizing the polar coordinates, we have

$$|\nabla|^{-\gamma} e^{it|\nabla|} f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{itr} r^{-\gamma} \int_{|\xi|=r} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\sigma_r^{n-1}(\xi) dr.$$

By Plancherel theorem with respect to variable t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |\nabla|^{-\gamma} e^{it|\nabla|} f(x) \|_{L_x^{q, 2} L_t^2} &= \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|\xi|=r} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\sigma_r^{n-1}(\xi) \right|^2 r^{-2\gamma} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^{q, 2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| \int_{|\xi|=r} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\sigma_r^{n-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L_x^{q, 2}}^2 r^{-2\gamma} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|\xi|=r} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma_r^{n-1}(\xi) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Stein-Tomas restriction theorem of the Lorentz spaces in [1] and the following Minkowski inequality.

$$\|f(x, y)\|_{L_x^{p, r} L_y^r} \leq \|f(x, y)\|_{L_y^r L_x^{p, r}}, \quad 1 \leq r < p < \infty. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

This completes the proof the square function estimate in Lorentz space.

For the sake of completeness, we give a proof of the Minkowski inequalities in Lorentz space. In fact, for $1 \leq r < p < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(x, y)\|_{L_x^{p,r} L_y^r} &= \left\| |f(x, y)|^r \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{p}{r},1} L_y^1}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&\approx \left(\sup_{\|g\|_{L(\frac{p}{r})',\infty} \leq 1} \int |g(x)| \int |f(x, y)|^r dy dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&= \left(\sup_{\|g\|_{L(\frac{p}{r})',\infty} \leq 1} \int \int |f(x, y)|^r |g(x)| dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&\leq \| |f|^r \|_{L_y^1 L_x^{\frac{p}{r},1}}^{\frac{1}{r}} = \|f(x, y)\|_{L_y^r L_x^{p,r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgments: We thank the anonymous referee and the associated editor for their invaluable comments which helped to improve the paper. This project was supported by the National Key R&D program of China: No.2022YFA1005700. C. Miao is supported in part by the NSF of China under grant No.11831004. R. Shen is supported by the NSF of China under grant No.11771325, No. 12071339. T. Zhao is supported in part by the NSF of China under grant No. 12101040 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (FRF-TP-20-076A1).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bak and A. Seeger. *Extensions of the Stein–Tomas theorem*. Math. Res. Lett. 18(2011), no. 4, 767–781.
- [2] H. Bahouri and P. Gérard. *High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations*. Amer. J. Math. 121(1999), 131–175.
- [3] H. Bahouri and J. Shatah. *Decay estimates for the critical semilinear wave equation*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 15(1998), no. 6, 783–789.
- [4] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. Stalker and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh. *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*. J. Funct. Anal. 203(2003), no. 2, 519–549.
- [5] M. Cwikel, *On $(L^{p_0}(A_0), L^{p_1}(A_1))_{\theta,q}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44(1974), 286–292.
- [6] B. Dodson. *Global well-posedness and scattering for the radial, defocusing, cubic wave equation with initial data in a critical Besov space*. Anal. PDE 12(2018), no. 4, 1023–1048.
- [7] B. Dodson. *Global well-posedness and scattering for the radial, defocusing, cubic nonlinear wave equation*. arXiv Preprint 1809.08284.
- [8] B. Dodson. *Global well-posedness for the radial, defocusing, nonlinear wave equation for $3 < p < 5$* . arXiv Preprint 1810.02879.
- [9] B. Dodson and A. Lawrie. *Scattering for the radial 3d cubic wave equation*. Analysis & PDE. 8(2015), no. 2, 467–497.
- [10] B. Dodson and A. Lawrie. *Scattering for radial, semi-linear, super-critical wave equations with bounded critical norm*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 218(2015), no. 3, 1459–1529.
- [11] B. Dodson, A. Lawrie, D. Mendelson, and J. Murphy. *Scattering for defocusing energy subcritical nonlinear wave equations*. arXiv Preprint 1810.03182.
- [12] T. Duyckaerts, C.E. Kenig, and F. Merle. *Scattering profile for global solutions of the energy-critical wave equation*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 21(2019), no. 7, 2117–2162.
- [13] T. Duyckaerts and T. Roy. *Blow-up of the critical Sobolev norm for nonscattering radial solutions of supercritical wave equations on \mathbb{R}^3* . Bull. Soc. Math. France, 145(2017), no. 3, 503–573.
- [14] T. Duyckaerts and J. Yang. *Blow-up of a critical Sobolev norm for energy-subcritical and energy-supercritical wave equations*. Anal. PDE 11(2018), no. 4, 983–1028.

- [15] D. Foschi, *Inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 2(2005), no. 1, 1-24.
- [16] F. Friedlander. *On the radiation field of pulse solutions of the wave equation*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 269(1962), no. 1336, 53-65.
- [17] F. Friedlander. *Radiation fields and hyperbolic scattering theory*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 88(1980), no. 3, 483-515.
- [18] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. *Conformal invariance and time decay for non linear wave equations*. I. Annales de l'IHP Physique théorique. 47(1987), no. 3. 221-261.
- [19] M. Grillakis. *Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity*. Ann. of Math. 132(1990), no. 3, 485-509.
- [20] M. Grillakis. *Regularity for the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45(1992), no. 6, 749-774.
- [21] K. Hidano. *Conformal conservation law, time decay and scattering for nonlinear wave equations*. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique 91(2003), no. 1, 269-295.
- [22] H. Kalf, U. W. Schmincke, J. Walter, and R. Wüst, *On the spectral theory of Schrödinger and Dirac operators with strongly singular potentials*. In *Spectral theory and differential equations*. 182-226. Lect. Notes in Math. **448** (1975) Springer, Berlin. MR0397192
- [23] M. Keel and T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. 120(1998), no. 5, 955-980.
- [24] C. Kenig and F. Merle, *Nondispersive radial solutions to energy supercritical non-linear wave equations, with applications*. Amer. J. Math. 133(2011), no. 4, 1029-1065.
- [25] R. Killip, C. Miao, M. Visan, Y. Zhang, J. Zheng. *Sobolev spaces adapted to the Schrödinger operator with inverse-square potential*. Math. Z. 288(2018): 1273-1298.
- [26] R. Killip, C. Miao, M. Visan, J. Zhang, and J. Zheng, *The energy-critical NLS with inverse-square potential*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **37** (2017), no. 7, 3831-3866.
- [27] H. Lindblad and C. D. Sogge. *On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations*. J. Funct. Anal. 130(1995), no. 2, 357-426.
- [28] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 19(1964), 5-68.
- [29] C. Miao, J. Murphy, and J. Zheng. *The energy-critical nonlinear wave equation with an inverse-square potential.* Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire. 37(2020), no.2, 417-456.
- [30] C. Miao, J. Yang, and T. Zhao. *The global well-posedness and scattering for the 5-dimensional defocusing conformal invariant NLW with radial initial data in a critical Besov space*. Pacific J. Math. 305(2020), no. 1, 251-290.
- [31] H. Mizutani, *Scattering theory in homogeneous Sobolev spaces for Schrödinger and wave equations with rough potentials*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 61(2020), 091505.
- [32] J. Murphy, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with an inverse-square potential*. To appear in AMS Contemporary Mathematics.
- [33] B. Perthame and L. Vega. *Morrey-Campanato Estimates for Helmholtz Equations*. J. Funct. Anal. 164(1999), no. 2, 340-355.
- [34] C. Rodriguez. *Scattering for radial energy-subcritical wave equations in dimensions 4 and 5*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 42(2017), no. 6, 852-894.
- [35] A. Ruiz and L. Vega. *On local regularity of Schrödinger equations*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 1993(1993), no. 1, 13-27.
- [36] J. Shatah and M. Struwe. *Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations*. Ann. of Math. 138(1993): 503-518.
- [37] J. Shatah and M. Struwe. *Well-posedness in the energy space for semilinear wave equations with critical growth*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1994(1994), no. 7 303-309.
- [38] R. Shen. *On the energy subcritical, nonlinear wave equation in \mathbb{R}^3 with radial data*. Anal. PDE 6(2014), no. 8, 1929-1987.
- [39] R. Shen. *Scattering of solutions to the defocusing energy subcritical semi-linear wave equation in 3D*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 42.4 (2017): 495-518.
- [40] R. Shen. *Morawetz Estimates Method for Scattering of Radial Energy Sub-critical Wave Equation*. arXiv Preprint 1808.06763.
- [41] R. Shen. *Energy distribution of radial solutions to energy subcritical wave equation with an application on scattering theory*. To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [42] R. Shen. *Scattering of solutions to NLW by Inward Energy Decay*. arXiv Preprint 1909.01881.

- [43] R. Shen. *Inward/outward Energy Theory of Non-radial Solutions to 3D Semi-linear Wave Equation*. Adv. Math. 374(2020), 107384, 46pp.
- [44] R. Shen. *Inward/outward Energy Theory of Wave Equation in Higher Dimensions*. *arXiv Preprint* 1912.02428.
- [45] R. Shen. *Long time behaviour of finite-energy radial solutions to energy subcritical wave equation in higher dimensions*. *arXiv Preprint* 1912.12913.
- [46] J. Sterbenz. *Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 2005(2005), no. 4, 187-231.
- [47] W. Strauss. *On continuity of functions with values in various Banach spaces*. Pacific J. Math. 19(1966), no. 3, 543-551.
- [48] T. Tao, *Spacetime bounds for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation in three spatial dimensions*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **3** (2006), no. 2, 93–110.
- [49] J. L. Vazquez and E. Zuazua, *The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential*. J. Funct. Anal. **173** (2000), 103–153. MR1760280
- [50] M. Visan. *The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions*. Duke Math. J. 138(2007), no. 2, 281-374.
- [51] S. Yang. *Global behaviors of defocusing semilinear wave equations*. *arXiv Preprint* 1908.00606.
- [52] J. Zhang and J. Zheng, *Scattering theory for nonlinear Schrödinger with inverse-square potential*. J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 2907–2932. MR3255478

CHANGXING MIAO:

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS,
P. O. BOX 8009, BEIJING, CHINA, 100088,

Email address: miao_changxing@iapcm.ac.cn

RUIPENG SHEN:

CENTRE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, CHINA

Email address: srpgow@163.com

TENGFEI ZHAO:

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BEIJING, BEIJING
100083, CHINA

Email address: zhao_tengfei@ustb.edu.cn