

Comments on λ -deformed models from 4D Chern-Simons theory

Jia Tian

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP),
Peking University,
No.5 Yiheyuan Rd, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

Abstract

We study the λ -deformation of symmetric coset models from the viewpoint of a four dimensional Chern-Simons theory [1]. In addition, by applying the “dual” boundary conditions of the ones used in the construction η -deformed PCM in the trigonometric description [2] we construct a λ -deformation type model.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	CY 4D Chern-Simons theory	3
2.1	4D Chern-Simons theory	3
2.2	Lax connection and 2D action	5
2.3	Boundary conditions	5
2.4	Comments on WZW model	7
3	Deformed sigma models	8
3.1	Trigonometric description of η -deformation	8
3.2	Trigonometric description of λ deformation	10
4	Deformed coset models	11
4.1	Symmetric coset model	12
4.2	η -deformed coset model	14
4.3	λ -deformed coset model	17
5	Discussion	18

email: wukongjiaozi@pku.edu.cn

1 Introduction

A two dimensional classical integrable field theory is defined by the Lax connection. However there has been no systematic ways to derive the Lax connection given a integrable field theory or more bravely to classify integrable field theories. Recently Costello and Yamazaki (CY) [1] introduced a new point of view on two dimensional (2D) integrable field theories from a 4 dimensional (4D) Chern-Simons theory with a meromorphic one-form ω continuing their works on integrable lattice models [5]. In this new approach, the 2D Lax connection is directly related to the 4D gauge field such that the flatness condition of the Lax connection is insured by the equation of motion of the gauge field. More significantly it provides a systematic way to construct 2D integrable field theories by specifying a meromorphic one-form and boundary conditions of the gauge fields. Many interesting 2D integrable field theories have been realized in this approach ¹[6, 7, 8, 2, 9, 10] including the Yang-Baxter deformation [11, 12, 13] and the λ -deformation [14, 15]. A very natural question is whether the construction can be generalized to include all the known classical integrable field theories. Hopefully by constructing enough examples we can understand the structure of integrability better and eventually classify integrable field theories.

In this paper our main aim is to generalize the result of λ -deformation to λ -deformed coset models [15]. The strategy which is suggested in [1] is to add cuts on the Riemann surface which the 4D Chern-Simons theory depends on. Then we can impose a involution transformation when the gauge fields across the cut. On the end hand by passing to a double cover space the deck transformation can induce another algebra involution. Requiring the gauge fields to be invariant under the combined involution leads to a constraint on the gauge fields. Solving this constraint will restrict the gauge fields to take values in the coset space.

Another purpose of this paper is to construct the λ -deformation analogue of the Yang-Baxter model with the trigonometric description which is studied in [2]. Yang-Baxter model or more precisely the η -deformed model admits two equivalent descriptions which will correspond to two different choices of holomorphic one-form and boundary conditions in the CY's approach. In [2], the author revisited the CY's construction in the trigonometric description and found a new type η -deformation. It is well known that η -deformation is related the λ -deformation through the Poisson-Lie-T-duality [16].

¹Interestingly, this one-form can be identified with a twist function which plays a crucial role in another new approach for constructing integrable field theories based on affine Gaudin model [3]. The relation between these two approaches is discussed in [4].

Therefore it is natural to study the trigonometric description of λ -deformation.

The paper has the following organization. In section 2, we present a brief review of the CY 4D Chern-Simons theory and its relation to 2D integrable field theories. In section 3 we construct the η -deformation and λ -deformation in the trigonometric description. In section 4, after implementing the construction of symmetric coset models following the suggestions given [1], we apply a similar strategy to construct η -deformation and λ -deformation of symmetric coset models.

2 CY 4D Chern-Simons theory

In this section we briefly review the derivation of 2D integrable sigma models from CY 4D Chern-Simons theory approach and comment on the construction of the WZW model in [1].

2.1 4D Chern-Simons theory

We will choose the simplest set-up: the gauge group G^2 is a semi-simple Lie group and the corresponding Lie algebra is denoted by \mathfrak{g} on which there exists a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form \langle, \rangle . The 4D gauge field A is defined on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. The action of the four-dimensional theory reads

$$S[A] = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1} \omega \wedge CS(A), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$A = A_\sigma d\sigma + A_\tau d\tau + A_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}, \quad \omega = \omega(z) dz, \quad (2.2)$$

$$CS(A) = \langle A, dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

Here ω which is a meromorphic one-form on \mathbb{CP}^1 plays the central role in the construction. The positions of poles of ω are treated as boundaries of \mathbb{CP}^1 and they are places where the resulted 2D integrable field theories live on. At the positions of zeros of ω we need to insert defect operators which describe the pole structures of the gauge fields such that their propagators are well defined.

²In general the group is complexified and one needs to impose reality condition during the construction but for our purpose considering real Lie algebra is enough

Varying the action (2.1) with respect to the gauge field A gives

$$\delta S[A] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} \omega \wedge \langle \delta A, F \rangle + \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} d\omega \wedge \langle A, \delta A \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

which leads to bulk equation of motion

$$\omega \wedge F(A) = 0, \quad F(A) = dA + A \wedge A = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

and the boundary equation of motion

$$d\omega \wedge \langle A, \delta A \rangle = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

It's more useful to rewrite (2.6) in terms of coordinates. Following the notation of [6] let Σ be the set of poles of $\omega(z)$ and ξ_x be a local holomorphic coordinate around $x \in \Sigma$. Then the boundary condition (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_{p=0}^{m_x-1} (\text{Res}_x \xi_x^p \omega) \epsilon_{ij} \frac{1}{p!} \partial_{\xi_x}^p \langle A_i, \delta A_j \rangle|_x = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $i, j = \sigma, \tau$ are the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^2 ³. If we express ω as

$$\omega(z) = \sum_x \sum_{p=0}^{m_x-1} \frac{l_p^x}{(z-x)^{p+1}} - l_\infty, \quad (2.8)$$

then the boundary condition (2.6) is

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_{p=0}^{m_x-1} \frac{l_p^x}{p!} \partial_{\xi_x}^p \epsilon_{ij} \langle A_i, \delta A_j \rangle|_x = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that the pole at infinity is also included in the expression above since $\xi_\infty = z^{-1}$. Introducing the light-cone coordinates $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma)$ and we get

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_{p=0}^{m_x-1} \frac{l_p^x}{p!} \partial_{\xi_x}^p (\langle A_+, \delta A_- \rangle - \langle A_-, \delta A_+ \rangle)|_x = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

³We will identify the \mathbb{R}^2 at the all the positions of poles.

2.2 Lax connection and 2D action

From the point of view of CY 4D Chern-Simons theory the Lax connection of the 2D integrable field theory is the fundamental object which is related to the 4D gauge field through a gauge transformation

$$A = -d\hat{g}\hat{g}^{-1} + \hat{g}L\hat{g}^{-1}, \quad (2.11)$$

for some regular $\hat{g} : \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow G$ such that $L_{\bar{z}} = 0$ or equivalently $A_{\bar{z}} = -\partial_{\bar{z}}\hat{g}\hat{g}^{-1}$. In terms of Lax connection the bulk equation of motion (2.5) takes a form of

$$[\partial_+ + L_+, \partial_- + L_-] = 0, \quad \omega \wedge \partial_{\bar{z}}L(z, \tau, \sigma) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

The first identity is the flatness condition of Lax connection. The second identity implies the positions of poles of L coincide the positions of zeros of ω . This fact guides us to make the ansatz of the Lax connection to solve the boundary conditions of the gauge fields. The field \hat{g} will become to the field of the 2D integrable field theory later on. To localize the four dimensional field theory to a two dimensional the field g_x , the 4D field \hat{g} has to satisfy the archipelago conditions introduced in [6] which we will not get into details. Substituting (2.11) into the 4D action (2.1) gives the final 2D action [6]

$$S[\{g_x\}_{x \in \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \text{Res}_x \omega \wedge L, g_x^{-1} dg_x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Sigma} (\text{Res}_x \omega) I_{WZ}[g_x]. \quad (2.13)$$

In this paper we will ignore the topological terms which can be restored easily when it is necessary. By choosing the gauge $A_{\bar{z}} = -\partial_{\bar{z}}\hat{g}\hat{g}^{-1}$, the gauge symmetry has not been fully fixed. The residue gauge symmetry transfer to the gauge symmetry which is denoted by H of g_x . Therefore if the gauge field A at x does not vanish we should remove this gauge redundancy $g_x \sim u_x g_x, u_x \in H, x \in \Sigma$ from g_x . Besides that there is also a overall gauge transformation $g_x \rightarrow g_x h, h \in G$ which does not modify the Lax connection.

2.3 Boundary conditions

Before constructing the 2D integrable field theories let us make some general comments about the boundary conditions (2.9). Because the gauge fields are regular at those sites in Σ , so they admit a Taylor expansion with respect to z . Let us focus on a generic pole x , then the gauge fields are expanded as

$$A \sim \sum_p A_{[p]}^x \xi_x^p. \quad (2.14)$$

We find that the boundary condition has no constraints on the components

$$A_{[p]}^x \text{ is arbitrary, } p \geq m_x, \quad (2.15)$$

where m_x is the order of the pole. For the remaining components the boundary condition requires

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_p l_{p+r}^x (\langle A_{+, [p]}^x, \delta A_{+, [r]}^x \rangle - \langle A_{-, [p]}^x, \delta A_{-, [r]}^x \rangle) = 0, \quad p < m_x. \quad (2.16)$$

Since $\delta A_{+, [p]}$ and $\delta A_{-, [p]}$ are independent so these two terms should vanish separately

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_p l_{p+r}^x \langle A_{+, [p]}^x, \delta A_{+, [r]}^x \rangle = \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sum_p l_{p+r}^x \langle A_{-, [p]}^x, \delta A_{-, [r]}^x \rangle_x = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

One possibility is

$$\sum_p l_{p+r} A_{+, [p]}^x = \sum_p l_{p+r} A_{-, [p]}^x = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

where the number of equations is same as the number of variables so generically we have the trivial solution which leads to the Dirichlet boundary condition. Another possibility is that we can require

$$\langle A_{[p]}^x, \delta A_{[r]}^x \rangle = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

by restricting A^x take values only in the lagrangian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Particularly for the simple poles, the boundary condition is

$$\sum_{x \in \Sigma} l_0^x (\langle A(x), \delta A(x) \rangle) = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

if some coefficients l_0^x have the same magnitude we can group them together to get a multiple-copied algebra $\mathfrak{g}^m = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, then the grouped gauge fields should take values in the lagrangian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^m . For example when ω has two simple poles x_{\pm} such that $\text{Res}_{x_+} \omega = -\text{Res}_{x_-} \omega$ we can consider the direct sum $(A_{x_+}, A_{x_-}) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ can be extended to a Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^R, \mathfrak{g}^\delta)$ defined as:

$$\mathfrak{g}_R = \{(R-1)x, (R+1)x | x \in \mathfrak{g}\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^\delta = \{x, x | x \in \mathfrak{g}\}, \quad (2.21)$$

where the R matrix satisfies the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation

$$[Rx, Ry] - R([Rx, y] + [x, Ry]) = -[x, y]. \quad (2.22)$$

With the choice of the bilinear form

$$\langle\langle(x, y), (x', y')\rangle\rangle \equiv \langle x, x'\rangle - \langle y, y'\rangle, \quad (2.23)$$

both \mathfrak{g}^δ and \mathfrak{g}_R are isotropic and lagrangian. Choosing one of them will lead to a 2D integrable field theory. The resulted two 2D integrable field theories are expected to be Poisson-Lie T-dual to each other [16]. One such example is the duality between η -deformation and λ -deformation.

2.4 Comments on WZW model

The simplest holomorphic 1-form on \mathbb{CP}^1 is

$$\omega = dz/z. \quad (2.24)$$

It has simple poles at 0 and ∞ but no zeros. The boundary conditions are so called chiral Dirichlet [1]:

$$A_+|_0 = 0, \quad A_-|_\infty = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Before imposing the gauge symmetries the field contents are

$$g_0 = g \in G, \quad g_\infty = \tilde{g} \in G. \quad (2.26)$$

Since there is no zeros in the one-form we can parameterize the Lax connection as

$$L = L_+ d\sigma_+ + L_- d\sigma_-, \quad (2.27)$$

where L_\pm are regular functions on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. Substituting the ansatz (2.27) into the boundary conditions (2.25) gives

$$j_+ = L_+, \quad \tilde{j}_- = L_-. \quad (2.28)$$

Therefore the 2D action is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int (\langle j_+, j_- - \tilde{j}_- \rangle - \langle j_+ - \tilde{j}_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle) d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_- = \frac{1}{2} \int \langle j_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-, \quad (2.29)$$

where in the second equality we have used the residue gauge symmetry to fix

$$j_- = \tilde{j}_+ = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

However there is also an overall gauge symmetry which can be used to set $\tilde{g} = 1$. This suggests that the resulting 2D theory should be the trivial one instead of WZW. The better way to describe WZW is from the limit of PCM with WZ term [1].

3 Deformed sigma models

We are mostly interested in constructing λ -deformed coset model since the λ -deformed group model has been derived in [6]. In [2] the author constructed the Yang-Baxter model from a trigonometric description in contrast to the rational one considered in [6]. The two equivalent descriptions are originated from the left-right duality of Yang-Baxter model [17]. This section is dedicated to construct the λ -deformed model dual.

3.1 Trigonometric description of η -deformation

The meromorphic one-form ω in the trigonometric description is given by ⁴

$$\omega = \frac{\sinh(\alpha - z) \sinh(\alpha + z)}{\sinh \alpha \cosh \alpha \sinh^2 z} dz \quad (3.1)$$

Transferring from the cylinder to the plane via the map

$$w = \exp z, \quad (3.2)$$

we can obtain a rational one-form:

$$\omega = \frac{4(e^{2\alpha} - w^2)(e^{2\alpha}w^2 - 1)}{(e^{4\alpha} - 1)w(w^2 - 1)^2} d\omega. \quad (3.3)$$

The set of simple poles \mathfrak{p}_1 and double poles \mathfrak{p}_2 are

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 = \{0, \infty\}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_2 = \{1, -1\}. \quad (3.4)$$

At double poles $w = \pm 1$ we impose the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$A|_1 = A|_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The boundary conditions are different from those used in [2] but they are equivalent. At simple poles $w = 0, \infty$ the residues are opposite so we can choose the boundary condition

$$(A|_0, A|_\infty) \in \mathfrak{g}^R. \quad (3.6)$$

Using the gauge symmetry we can first set the fields to be

$$g_{-1} = g_- \in G, \quad g_1 = g_+ \in G, \quad (g_0, g_\infty) = (g, g), \quad (3.7)$$

⁴In this section we mostly follow the notation in [2] but consider the real algebra case.

then using the overall gauge symmetry to fix $g = 1$. The relation (2.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= L|_0, & A_\infty &= L|_\infty, \\ A_1 &= -dg_+g_+^{-1} + g_+L_1g_+^{-1}, & A_{-1} &= -dg_-g_-^{-1} + g_-L_{-1}g_-^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Considering that there are four zeros in $\omega(w)$ and to avoid the appearance of double poles in the flatness condition we take the ansatz of the Lax connection L to be

$$L_+ = \frac{V_+\omega + V'_+}{e^{2\alpha}\omega^2 - 1} + U_+, \quad L_- = \frac{V_-\omega + V'_-}{\omega^2 - e^{2\alpha}} + U_-, \quad (3.9)$$

where V_\pm, V'_\pm and U_\pm are regular functions. Substituting the ansatz into the boundary conditions (3.5) and (3.6) one can get

$$\begin{aligned} V_\pm &= \pm(e^{2\alpha} - 1)\frac{j_\pm^\oplus - j_\pm^\ominus}{2}, & j^\oplus &= g_+^{-1}dg_+, & j^\ominus &= g_-^{-1}dg_-, \\ V'_+ &= \frac{j_+^\oplus + j_+^\ominus}{R + \lambda_\alpha}, & V'_- &= e^{2\alpha}\frac{j_-^\oplus + j_-^\ominus}{R - \lambda_\alpha}, & \lambda_\alpha &= \frac{e^{2\alpha} + 1}{e^{2\alpha} - 1}, \\ U_\pm &= \frac{j_\pm^\oplus + j_\pm^\ominus}{2} \mp \frac{V'_\pm}{e^{2\alpha} - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where the \mathfrak{g} valued currents are defined as $j^\oplus = g_+^{-1}dg_+$ and $j^\ominus = g_-^{-1}dg_-$. To derive the 2D action we need to evaluate $\text{Res}_{\pm 1}\omega \wedge L$. One should be careful that these are residues for the double poles so they pick the coefficient of $(\omega \mp 1)$ of L in the Taylor series expansion. The results are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_1(\omega(w)L_+) &= -\frac{V_+}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2e^{2\alpha}V'_+}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, & \text{Res}_1(\omega(w)L_-) &= -\frac{V_-}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2V'_-}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, \\ \text{Res}_{-1}(\omega(w)L_+) &= \frac{V_+}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2e^{2\alpha}V'_+}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, & \text{Res}_{-1}(\omega(w)L_-) &= \frac{V_-}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2V'_-}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

which lead to the 2D action

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_- \left[\langle j_+^\oplus - j_+^\ominus, j_-^\oplus - j_-^\ominus \rangle + \langle j_+^\oplus + j_+^\ominus, \frac{(1 - \eta^2)}{1 - \eta R} (j_-^\oplus + j_-^\ominus) \rangle \right], \quad (3.12)$$

where we have introduced the deformation parameter $\eta = \frac{1}{\lambda_\alpha}$.

In general j^\oplus and j^\ominus are independent then the action actually does not describe a Yang-Baxter model. While the authors in [2] obtained the (generalized) Yang-Baxter model by imposing some relations between j^\oplus and j^\ominus . They observed that the action is invariant under swapping j^\oplus and j^\ominus so these two currents should be related by a

involution. This argument is kind of ad hoc. Perhaps a better way to view this is to notice that the parameter in the trigonometric description is related to the parameter in the rational description via a Mobius transformation [17]

$$\frac{1}{w^2} = \frac{z - \eta}{z + \eta} \quad (3.13)$$

So we should think of that the w space is a double cover of z plane. Since $w = \pm 1$ have the same preimage we should identify j^\oplus and j^\ominus . Alternatively we can think of that the cut is a topological domain wall [1] and when we cross the wall, we can apply a automorphism ρ of the algebra \mathfrak{g} as

$$j^\ominus = \rho(j^\oplus), \quad \rho^2 = 1. \quad (3.14)$$

3.2 Trigonometric description of λ deformation

Now we consider the Poisson-Lie-T-dual of the Yang-Baxter model in the trigonometric description by choosing the boundary conditions at the simple poles to be

$$(A|_0, A|_\infty) \in \mathfrak{g}^\delta. \quad (3.15)$$

Using this gauge symmetry and the overall gauge symmetry we can fix the fields to be

$$g_{-1} = g_\infty = 1, \quad g_1 = g \in G, \quad g_0 = \tilde{g} \in G. \quad (3.16)$$

In this case we will not impose the double cover condition anymore since the gauge fixing breaks the swapping symmetry. The relation (2.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= -d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1} + Ad_{\tilde{g}}L|_0, & A_1 &= -dgg^{-1} + Ad_gL|_1, \\ A_{-1} &= L|_{-1}, & A_\infty &= L|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Substituting the same ansatz (3.9) into the boundary conditions (3.5) and (3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} j_\pm &= \pm \frac{V_\pm + V'_\pm}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} + U_\pm, & \pm \frac{V'_\pm - V_\pm}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} + U_\pm &= 0, \\ U_+ &= -\partial_+ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1} + \tilde{D}(-V'_+ + U_+), \\ U_- &= -\partial_- \tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1} + \tilde{D}(-e^{-2\alpha}V'_- + U_-). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where we have defined the operator $\tilde{D} = Ad_{\tilde{g}}$. These equations can be solved by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\pm} &= \pm(e^{2\alpha} - 1)\frac{j_{\pm}}{2}, \\ V'_{\pm} &= \pm\frac{e^{2\alpha} - 1}{e^{\pm 2\alpha} - \tilde{D}^T} \left[(1 - \tilde{D}^T)\frac{j_{\pm}}{2} - \tilde{j}_{\pm} \right], \\ U_{\pm} &= \frac{j_{\pm}}{2} \mp \frac{V'_{\pm}}{e^{2\alpha} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

To derive the 2D action we need to evaluate the residues $\text{Res}_1\omega \wedge L$ and $\text{Res}_0\omega \wedge L$ which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_1(\omega(w)L_+) &= -\frac{V_+}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2e^{2\alpha}V'_+}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, & \text{Res}_1(\omega(w)L_-) &= -\frac{V_-}{e^{2\alpha} - 1} - \frac{2V'_-}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}, \\ \text{Res}_0(\omega(w)L_+) &= \frac{4e^{2\alpha}}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}(V'_+ - U_+), & \text{Res}_0(\omega(w)L_-) &= \frac{4e^{2\alpha}}{e^{4\alpha} - 1}(e^{-2\alpha}V'_- - U_-). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The resulting 2D action reads

$$\begin{aligned} S[j, \tilde{j}] &= \frac{2e^{2\alpha}}{e^{4\alpha} - 1} \int \left[(\langle \tilde{j}_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle + 2\langle \frac{\tilde{D}}{e^{-2\alpha} - \tilde{D}} \tilde{j}_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle) d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_- \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \left[\langle j_+, j_- \rangle + \frac{2}{e^{2\alpha} + 1} \langle \frac{1 - \tilde{D}}{e^{-2\alpha} - \tilde{D}} j_+, j_- \rangle \right] d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_- \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{1 + e^{2\alpha}} \int \left[\langle \frac{1}{e^{-2\alpha} - \tilde{D}} j_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle + \langle \tilde{j}_+, \frac{e^{2\alpha}}{e^{2\alpha} - \tilde{D}} j_- \rangle \right] d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

The first line in the action really describes the λ -deformed model up to a overall factor if we identify $e^{2\alpha} \equiv \lambda$. The whole action describes a λ -deformed model coupled with another λ -deformed like sigma model. This coupled model may relate to the coupled λ -models constructed in [7].

4 Deformed coset models

In the original CY's paper [1], it is proposed that coset models can be constructed by introducing a cut in the Riemann surface as we discussed in last section. Recently in [9] the homogeneous Yang-Baxter deformed coset model is constructed in a different way. In this section, we first show the details of the construction of symmetric coset models following CY's original suggestion then extend the construction to η - and λ - deformed coset models.

4.1 Symmetric coset model

We consider a coset G/H with corresponding Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G and \mathfrak{h} of H . The coset is called symmetric if the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a Z_2 -grading:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}. \quad (4.1)$$

To construct the symmetric coset sigma model we put a cut at the interval $[-1-\lambda^2, 1+\lambda^2]$ at the Riemann sphere \mathbb{CP}^1 . Introducing a coordinate u by implementing the Joukowski transform:

$$\lambda^{-1}u + \lambda u^{-1} = z \quad (4.2)$$

we can get a double cover with a deck transformation $u \rightarrow \lambda^2 u^{-1}$ of the z -plane. The one-form dz pulls back to

$$\omega = \frac{(u - \lambda)(u + \lambda)}{\lambda u^2} du. \quad (4.3)$$

On the double cover u plane the set of simple zeros and double poles of the one-form are

$$\mathfrak{z} = \{\pm\lambda\}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_2 = \{0, \infty\}. \quad (4.4)$$

At the two boundaries $u = 0$ and $u = \infty$ there are fields

$$g_0 = g \in G, \quad g_\infty = \tilde{g} \in G. \quad (4.5)$$

Because $u = 0$ and $u = \infty$ have the same preimage so they are related by the deck transformation we should have the identification

$$g = \tilde{g} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{g} = \rho(g), \quad (4.6)$$

where ρ is a involution. The first choice will lead to a trivial theory so we will choose the second one with the Z_2 involution:

$$\rho(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}, \quad \rho(\mathfrak{m}) = -\mathfrak{m}. \quad (4.7)$$

At the double poles we apply the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$A_0 = A_\infty = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

The relation (2.11) gives

$$A_0 = -dgg^{-1} + Ad_g L|_0, \quad A_\infty = -d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1} + Ad_{\tilde{g}} L|_\infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Consider the zeros of the one-form are $\pm\lambda$ we assume that the Lax connection is given by

$$L_+ = \frac{u + \lambda}{u - \lambda} V_+ + U_+, \quad L_- = \frac{u - \lambda}{u + \lambda} V_- + U_-. \quad (4.10)$$

Substituting the ansatz into the boundary condition (4.8), one can obtain

$$V_\pm = -\frac{1}{2}(j_\pm - \tilde{j}_\pm), \quad U_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(j_\pm + \tilde{j}_\pm). \quad (4.11)$$

The residues of $\omega(u)L$ at $u = 0, \infty$ are evaluated as

$$\text{Res}_0(\omega(u)L) = 2(V_+ d\sigma_+ - V_- d\sigma_-), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\text{Res}_\infty(\omega(u)L) = -2(V_+ d\sigma_+ - V_- d\sigma_-). \quad (4.13)$$

Therefore the resulted 2D action (2.13) is

$$S[j, \tilde{j}] = - \int \langle j_+ - \tilde{j}_+, j_- - \tilde{j}_- \rangle d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-. \quad (4.14)$$

By employing the Z_2 involution (4.7) one can find

$$S = -2 \int \langle j_+^{(1)}, j_-^{(1)} \rangle d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_1, \quad (4.15)$$

$$L_\pm = j_\pm^{(0)} + \left(\frac{\lambda + u}{\lambda - u}\right)^\pm j_\pm^{(1)}, \quad j^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad j^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

These describe the standard symmetric coset model.

Alternative prescription

The choice of field contents (4.5) and (4.6) seems to be artificial. Let us understand it in our general picture. Before imposing any gauge symmetry, the field contents should be (g, \tilde{g}) . As usual, we can use the overall gauge symmetry to fix one of them to be identity, for example $(g, 1)$. The involution condition of g imposes the constraint

$$g^{-1} = \rho(g). \quad (4.16)$$

Now the relation (2.11) gives

$$A_\infty = L|_\infty, \quad A_0 = -dgg^{-1} + gL|_0g^{-1}. \quad (4.17)$$

Using the same ansatz (4.10) of the Lax connection and substituting them into the boundary conditions (4.8) one can solve

$$U_{\pm} = 0, \quad V_{\pm} = -j_{\pm}. \quad (4.18)$$

Evaluating the residue in (2.13) we find that

$$S[j] \sim \int \langle j_+, j_- \rangle d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-. \quad (4.19)$$

However this is not the final action because there is a constraint (4.16). We can solve the constraint by

$$\begin{aligned} g &\equiv \rho(g')g'^{-1}, \quad g' \in G, \\ j &= [\rho(g')g'^{-1}]^{-1}d[\rho(g')g'^{-1}] = g(\rho(j) - j)g^{-1} = -2gj^{(1)}g^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where in the last two equalities we have renamed g' with g . Therefore substituting (4.20) into (4.19) we end up with the standard action of the coset model

$$S[j^{(1)}] \sim \int \langle j_+^{(1)}, j_-^{(1)} \rangle d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-. \quad (4.21)$$

4.2 η -deformed coset model

Let us consider the one-form

$$\omega(u) = \frac{K}{2} \frac{du}{(u - \alpha^2)(u - \beta^2)}, \quad (4.22)$$

which relates to dz/z via some Mobius transformation. Introducing the coordinate $u = z^2$, the one-form pulls back to

$$\omega(z) = \frac{Kzdz}{(z - \alpha)(z - \beta)(z + \alpha)(z + \beta)}. \quad (4.23)$$

This means the z space is a double cover of u -plane with the deck transformation $z \rightarrow -z$. The one-form on z -plane has four simple poles at $\pm\alpha, \pm\beta$ with residues:

$$\text{Res}_{-\alpha}\omega = \text{Res}_{\alpha}\omega = -\text{Res}_{-\beta}\omega = -\text{Res}_{\beta}\omega = \frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}. \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore we can impose the following boundary conditions:

$$(A|_{-\alpha}, A|_{-\beta}) \in \mathfrak{g}^R, \quad (A|_{\alpha}, A|_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\bar{R}} \quad (4.25)$$

The residue gauge symmetry at the boundaries can be imposed to fix fields to be

$$g_{-\alpha} = g_{-\beta} = g \in G, \quad g_\alpha = g_\beta = \tilde{g} \in G. \quad (4.26)$$

Because the one-form only has zeros at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$ the Lax connection takes a form of

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \frac{z + \alpha}{2\alpha} V_+ - \frac{z - \alpha}{2\alpha} U_+, \\ L_- &= \frac{z + \alpha}{2z} V_- + \frac{z - \alpha}{2z} U_-, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where V_\pm and U_\pm are regular functions. Substituting the ansatz of the Lax connection (4.27) into the boundary conditions (4.25) gives the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -2j_+ &= (R_g - 1) \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} V_+ + [(R_g - 1) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha} - (R_g + 1)] U_+, \\ -2\tilde{j}_+ &= [(\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - 1) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha} - (\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} + 1)] V_+ + (\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - 1) \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} U_+, \\ -2j_- &= (R_g - 1) \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\beta} V_- + [(R_g - 1) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} - (R_g + 1)] U_-, \\ -2\tilde{j}_- &= (\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - 1) \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\beta} U_- + [(\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - 1) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} - (\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} + 1)] V_-. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Solving for V_\pm and U_\pm is cumbersome but straightforward however by evaluating the residues in (2.13) one finds that 2D action only depends on a special linear combination of U and V :

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int \mathcal{L} d\sigma_+ \wedge d\sigma_-, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \frac{K}{4} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} [(\langle U_+, j_- \rangle - \langle j_+, U_- \rangle) + (\langle V_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle - \langle \tilde{j}_+, V_- \rangle) \\ &\quad - (\langle \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} V_+ + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha} U_+, j_- \rangle - \langle j_+, -\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\beta} V_- + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} U_- \rangle) \\ &\quad - (\langle \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha} V_+ - \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\alpha} U_+, \tilde{j}_- \rangle - \langle \tilde{j}_+, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} V_- + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\beta} U_- \rangle)] \\ &= \frac{K}{4} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} [-\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} \langle V_+ - U_+, j_- - \tilde{j}_- \rangle - \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\beta} \langle j_+ - \tilde{j}_+, V_- - U_- \rangle] \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

After some algebraic manipulation of (4.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ - U_+ &= \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\tilde{j}_+ - j_+}{1 + \eta R_g + \eta \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}}}, \\ V_- - U_- &= \frac{2\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\tilde{j}_- - j_-}{1 - \eta R - \eta \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where we have introduced the new parameter

$$\eta \equiv \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2(\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore the final 2D action is

$$S = \frac{K}{4} \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \int \langle j_+ - \tilde{j}_+, \frac{1}{1 - \eta R - \eta \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}}} (j_- - \tilde{j}_-) \rangle. \quad (4.32)$$

We have not imposed the overall gauge symmetry. One can use it to set $\tilde{g} = 1$, then the resulted action is the bi-Yang-Baxter model [18]. Because our symmetric choice of positions of poles, there is only one deformation parameter η instead of two as in [6].

Alternatively one can impose the involution condition on the double cover space:

$$\tilde{g} = \rho(g), \quad \tilde{j} = \rho(j), \quad (4.33)$$

if the algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a Z_2 -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$.

Let $g = \exp(T^{(0)} + T^{(1)})$, then the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula implies

$$gBg^{-1} = B + [T^{(0)} + T^{(1)}, B] + \frac{1}{2}[T^{(0)} + T^{(1)}, [T^{(0)} + T^{(1)}, B]] + \dots \quad (4.34)$$

Separating B into two components according to the Z_2 -grading one can get

$$\begin{aligned} (gBg^{-1})^{(0)} &= [T^{(0)}, B^{(0)}] + [\text{even number of } T^{(1)}, B^{(0)}] + [\text{odd number of } T^{(1)}, B^{(1)}], \\ &\equiv D_{ab}B_b^{(0)} + D_{a\alpha}B_\alpha^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (gBg^{-1})^{(1)} &= [T^{(0)}, B^{(1)}] + [\text{even number of } T^{(1)}, B^{(1)}] + [\text{odd number of } T^{(1)}, B^{(0)}], \\ &\equiv D_{\alpha b}B_b^{(0)} + D_{\alpha\beta}B_\beta^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

The Z_2 involution implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{g}B\tilde{g}^{-1})^{(0)} &= D_{ab}B_b^{(0)} - D_{a\alpha}B_\alpha^{(1)}, \\ (\tilde{g}B\tilde{g}^{-1})^{(1)} &= -D_{\alpha b}B_b^{(0)} + D_{\alpha\beta}B_\beta^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Separating the generators $T^A = (T^a, T^\alpha)$ of the group G into T^a and T^α corresponding to the subgroup H and the coset G/H respectively, the adjointed action $Ad_g = D$ and the Z_2 involution ρ can be expressed as explicit matrices

$$D_{AB} = \text{Tr}(T_A g T_B g^{-1}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{array} \right\}, \quad \rho = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.38)$$

According to (4.37) the Z_2 involution acts on D as

$$\tilde{D}_{AB} = \text{Tr}(T_A \tilde{g} T_B \tilde{g}^{-1}) = \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 & -d_2 \\ -d_3 & d_4 \end{Bmatrix} = \rho D \rho. \quad (4.39)$$

Combining (4.38) and (4.39) we obtain

$$R_g + \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} = DRD^T + \rho DRD^T \rho = 2P^{(0)} R_g P^{(0)} + 2P^{(1)} R_g P^{(1)}, \quad (4.40)$$

where $P^{(0)}$ and $P^{(1)}$ is the grading-0 and grading-1 projector respectively. Then we find that in the double cover situation the 2D action is

$$S = \frac{K}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \int \langle j_+^{(1)}, P^{(1)} \frac{1}{1 - 2\eta R_g P^{(1)}} j_-^{(1)} \rangle, \quad (4.41)$$

which describes the Yang-Baxter coset model. Differ from the similar construction of Yang-Baxter coset model in [9], in our case the matrix R is the solution of the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation instead of the homogeneous one considered in [9].

4.3 λ -deformed coset model

Let us consider the same one-form

$$\omega(z) = \frac{Kzdz}{(z - \alpha)(z - \beta)(z + \alpha)(z + \beta)}. \quad (4.42)$$

but choose the “dual” boundary conditions

$$(A|_{-\alpha}, A|_{-\beta}) \in \mathfrak{g}^\delta, \quad (A|_{\alpha}, A|_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{g}^\delta \quad (4.43)$$

Taking the same ansatz (4.27) and substituting them into the new boundary conditions (4.43) give

$$\begin{aligned} j_+ &= -D^T U_+ + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} V_+ + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha} U_+, \\ \tilde{j}_+ &= -\tilde{D}^T V_+ + \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha} U_+, \\ j_- &= -\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\beta} V_- + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} U_- - D^T U_-, \\ \tilde{j}_- &= \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\beta} V_- + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\beta} U_- - \tilde{D}^T V_-, \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

where U_{\pm} and V_{\pm} are regular functions. We are aiming to construct the coset model so we again separate all the quantities into two components according to the Z_2 grading of the algebra. Using (4.38) and (4.39), one can find

$$\begin{aligned} 2j_{\pm}^{(0)} &= (1 - d_1^T)M_{\pm}^{(0)} + d_3^T N_{\pm}^{(1)}, \\ 2j_{\pm}^{(1)} &= -(\eta^{\pm} - d_4^T)N_{\pm}^{(1)} - d_2^T M_{\pm}^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

where we have defined convenient variables

$$\eta = \beta/\alpha, \quad M_{\pm} = V_{\pm} + U_{\pm}, \quad N_{\pm} = V_{\pm} - U_{\pm}. \quad (4.46)$$

Evaluating the residues at $z = \pm\beta$ in (2.13) we find the 2D action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \int (\langle M_+^{(0)}, j_-^0 \rangle + \eta \langle -N_+^{(1)}, j_-^{(1)} \rangle) - (\langle j_+^{(0)}, M_-^{(0)} \rangle + \eta^{-1} \langle j_+^{(1)}, -N_-^{(1)} \rangle) \\ &= -\frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \int \langle J_+, \Lambda j_- \rangle - \langle j_+, \Lambda^{-1} J_- \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

where

$$J_{\pm} = M_{\pm}^{(0)} - N_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (4.48)$$

From (4.45), one can solve J_{\pm} as

$$J_{\pm} = 2P^{-1}j_{\pm}, \quad P = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 - d_1^T & -d_3^T \\ -d_2^T & \eta^{\pm} - d_4^T \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (4.49)$$

Substituting (4.49) into (4.47) results

$$S = \frac{K}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \int (\langle j_+, j_- \rangle + 2 \langle j_+, \frac{1}{\Lambda^{-1} - D^T} D^T j_- \rangle) \quad (4.50)$$

which coincides with the action of the λ coset model [15] up to a overall factor which can be absorbed into K .

5 Discussion

In this paper, we have discussed (trigonometric) λ -deformed (coset) models from the viewpoint of CY 4D Chern-Simons theory. In the case of trigonometric λ -deformed model we find that the resulted 2D theory describes a coupled λ -deformed like sigma model. The situation is different from the trigonometric Yang-Baxter model where two

coupled sigma models admit a swapping symmetry which can be used to remove half of degrees of freedom. The main result of this paper is to realize the λ -deformed coset models from the 4D Chern-Simons theory by adding cuts in the Riemann surface. After introducing double cover space the involution condition appears naturally. A similar analysis is applicable for the λ -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring which we will report in the future work.

It is interesting to extend the current analysis to other generalized λ -deformed models including the asymmetric λ -deformation [19] and λ -Yang-Baxter models [20]. It would be also interesting to attempt to combine the CY's approach and the affine Gaudin model approach to develop a more powerful tool.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the Tohoku University for the hospitality during the 14th Kavli Asian Winter School on Strings, Particles and Cosmology. It is my pleasure to attend M. Yamazaki's lectures at the Winter School. I also want to thank Jue Hou, Han Liu, Yijun He and Jun-Bao Wu for useful discussions.

References

- [1] K. Costello and M. Yamazaki, "Gauge Theory And Integrability, III," [arXiv:1908.02289 [hep-th]].
- [2] O. Fukushima, J. i. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, "Comments on η -deformed principal chiral model from 4D Chern-Simons theory," [arXiv:2003.07309 [hep-th]].
- [3] B. Vicedo, "On integrable field theories as dihedral affine Gaudin models," [arXiv:1701.04856 [hep-th]].
- [4] B. Vicedo, "Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory and affine Gaudin models," [arXiv:1908.07511 [hep-th]].
- [5] K. Costello, E. Witten and M. Yamazaki, "Gauge Theory and Integrability, I," doi:10.4310/ICCM.2018.v6.n1.a6 [arXiv:1709.09993 [hep-th]].
K. Costello, E. Witten and M. Yamazaki, "Gauge Theory and Integrability, II," doi:10.4310/ICCM.2018.v6.n1.a7 [arXiv:1802.01579 [hep-th]].

- [6] F. Delduc, S. Lacroix, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, “A unifying 2d action for integrable σ -models from 4d Chern-Simons theory,” doi:10.1007/s11005-020-01268-y [arXiv:1909.13824 [hep-th]].
- [7] C. Bassi and S. Lacroix, “Integrable deformations of coupled sigma-models,” JHEP **20**, 059 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2020)059 [arXiv:1912.06157 [hep-th]].
- [8] D. M. Schmidt, “Holomorphic Chern-Simons theory and lambda models: PCM case,” JHEP **04**, 060 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2020)060 [arXiv:1912.07569 [hep-th]].
- [9] O. Fukushima, J. i. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, “Yang-Baxter deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring from the viewpoint of 4D Chern-Simons theory,” [arXiv:2005.04950 [hep-th]].
- [10] K. Costello and B. Stefanski, “The Chern-Simons Origin of Superstring Integrability,” [arXiv:2005.03064 [hep-th]].
- [11] C. Klimcik, “Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality,” JHEP **0212**, 051 (2002), hep-th/0210095;
C. Klimcik, “On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model,” J. Math. Phys. **50**, 043508 (2009), arXiv:0802.3518;
- [12] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, “An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 5, 051601 (2014), [arXiv:1309.5850[hep-th]];
“Derivation of the action and symmetries of the q -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring,” JHEP **1410**, 132 (2014), [arXiv:1406.6286[hep-th]];
“On classical q -deformations of integrable sigma-models,” JHEP **11**, 192 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2013)192 [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].
- [13] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, “Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring,” JHEP **04**, 153 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].
T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, “YangBaxter sigma models based on the CYBE,” Nucl. Phys. B **893**, 287-304 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.02.009 [arXiv:1501.03665 [hep-th]].

- [14] K. Sfetsos, “Integrable interpolations: From exact CFTs to non-Abelian T-duals,” Nucl. Phys. B **880**, 225 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4560[hep-th]].
- [15] T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. M. Schmidt, “Integrable Deformations of Strings on Symmetric Spaces,” JHEP **1411**, 009 (2014), [arXiv:1407.2840[hep-th]]; K. Sfetsos and D. C. Thompson, “Spacetimes for λ -deformations,” JHEP **1412**, 164 (2014) [arXiv:1410.1886[hep-th]];
- [16] B. Vicedo, “Deformed integrable σ -models, classical R-matrices and classical exchange algebra on Drinfeld doubles,” J. Phys. A **48**, no.35, 355203 (2015) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/48/35/355203 [arXiv:1504.06303 [hep-th]].
B. Hoare and A. Tseytlin, “On integrable deformations of superstring sigma models related to $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercosets,” Nucl. Phys. B **897**, 448-478 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.06.001 [arXiv:1504.07213 [hep-th]].
- [17] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, “On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed sigma model,” JHEP **06**, 082 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)082 [arXiv:1203.3400 [hep-th]].
- [18] C. Klimcik, “Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma-model,” Lett. Math. Phys. **104**, 1095 (2014), arXiv:1402.2105;
C. Klimcik, “Poisson–Lie T-duals of the bi-Yang–Baxter models,” Phys. Lett. B **760**, 345 (2016), arXiv:1606.03016 [hep-th].
- [19] S. Driezen, A. Sevrin and D. C. Thompson, “Integrable asymmetric λ -deformations,” JHEP **04**, 094 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2019)094 [arXiv:1902.04142 [hep-th]].
J. Tian, J. Hou and B. Chen, “Asymmetric λ -deformed cosets,” Nucl. Phys. B **952**, 114944 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.114944 [arXiv:1908.10004 [hep-th]].
- [20] K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and D. C. Thompson, “Generalised integrable λ - and η -deformations and their relation,” Nucl. Phys. B **899**, 489 (2015), [arXiv:1506.05784 [hep-th]];
Y. Chervonyi and O. Lunin, “Generalized λ -deformations of $AdS_p \times S^p$,” Nucl. Phys. B **913**, 912 (2016), [arXiv:1608.06641 [hep-th]];
O. Lunin and W. Tian, “Analytical structure of the generalized λ -deformation,” Nucl. Phys. B **929**, 330 (2018) [arXiv:1711.02735 [hep-th]].