

CHRISTOFFEL-MINKOWSKI FLOWS

PAUL BRYAN, MOHAMMAD N. IVAKI, JULIAN SCHEUER

ABSTRACT. We provide a curvature flow approach to the regular Christoffel–Minkowski problem. The speed of our curvature flow is of an entropy preserving type and contains a global term.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Christoffel–Minkowski problem	3
Constrained curvature flows	6
Outline	6
2. Basic conventions and evolution equations	7
3. Pinching estimate	10
4. Monotone quantity	11
5. Curvature estimates	14
6. Long time existence and convergence	16
7. The weakly convex case and completion of the proof	22
Acknowledgment	23
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

The regular Christoffel–Minkowski problem asks for sufficient and necessary conditions on a positive function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ such that there exists a smooth strictly convex body K with support function s satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad p_k(\bar{\nabla}^2 s + s\bar{g}) = \varphi.$$

Here \bar{g} is the round metric on \mathbb{S}^n , $\bar{\nabla}$ its Levi-Civita connection and p_k is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues of its argument, which in the case of (1.1) are the principal radii of curvature for the boundary of K , ∂K . Below we will introduce this problem in

Date: February 28, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Christoffel–Minkowski problem; Curvature flow.

further detail. In [GM03, Thm. 1.3], this problem was solved with the help of a sophisticated constant rank theorem under the following hypotheses:

(i)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u\varphi(u)d\omega = 0,$$

(ii)

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}\bar{g} \geq 0,$$

(iii) There is a continuous (with respect to the C^∞ -norm) homotopy from φ to the constant one function, which respects (ii).

Here $d\omega$ is the standard volume form of \mathbb{S}^n . The first of these assumptions is necessary due to the translation invariance of the problem. Assumption (ii) is sufficient but not necessary; see below. In [GM03] a flow approach by Andrews and the authors was announced for removing (iii); however, the work has never appeared. A direct verification for the validity of (iii) was presented in [STW04].

Since the elliptic method of [GM03] was introduced, it has remained an intriguing question whether these problems can be solved with a curvature flow as well. To our knowledge, except in case of the Minkowski problem where $k = n$, (cf., [CW00]), such an approach is missing. The purpose of this paper is to fill this gap and to reprove the results from [GM03, STW04] with the help of a carefully designed curvature flow.

Our proof has two main advantages compared to the existing ones: First, in the case that (ii) holds with strict inequality, we do not make use of the constant rank theorem. Second, a byproduct of our proof is an improvement and a simplification of how (iii) can be removed.

The idea of this paper is inspired by the literature on volume preserving curvature flows. Under the strong convexity assumption, i.e., (ii) holds with the strict sign, we consider a curvature flow whose fixed points are the solutions to the Christoffel–Minkowski problem. The full result then follows from a simple approximation argument. The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Christoffel–Minkowski flow). *Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 < \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ satisfies*

(a)

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u\varphi(u)d\omega = 0,$$

(b)

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}^2\varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}\bar{g} > 0.$$

Then for an arbitrary strictly convex initial hypersurface $M_0 = x(0, \mathbb{S}^n)$, there exists a unique family of embeddings

$$x: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

which satisfies the constrained curvature flow

$$(1.4) \quad \dot{x} = \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} p_k d\omega} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}(\nu) - p_k^{-\frac{1}{k}}(\lambda) \right) \nu.$$

Here $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ are the principal radii of curvature and ν is the outward pointing normal. If $C(K_t)$ denotes the centroid of the convex body bounded by

$$M_t = x(t, \mathbb{S}^n) = \partial K_t,$$

then the embeddings

$$\tilde{x}(t, \cdot) = x(t, \cdot) - C(K_t)$$

converge smoothly to a solution of the Christoffel–Minkowski problem.

In Section 7 we will weaken the strict convexity assumption on φ and, in conjunction with Theorem 1.1, reprove the following result.

Corollary 1.2 ([GM03, STW04]). *Let $1 \leq k < n$ and $0 < \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ satisfy*

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} \bar{g} \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \varphi(u) d\omega = 0.$$

Then there exists a smooth, strictly convex solution to

$$p_k(\bar{\nabla}^2 s + s \bar{g}) = \varphi.$$

Before we proceed to the proofs of these results, we review the origin of the Christoffel–Minkowski problem.

Christoffel–Minkowski problem. To briefly review the origin of the Christoffel–Minkowski problem in convex geometry, we will recall a few definitions. As always, an excellent reference for this material is [Sch13]. Let us denote the unit sphere and unit ball respectively by \mathbb{S}^n and B . By a convex body, we mean a compact convex set with non-empty interior. The set of convex bodies is denoted by \mathcal{K} . Write $V(K)$ for the volume of $K \in \mathcal{K}$. The support function of K is defined by

$$s_K(u) = \max_{x \in K} \langle x, u \rangle \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

The sum of $K, L \in \mathcal{K}$ is defined as

$$K + L = \{a + b : a \in K, b \in L\}.$$

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, due to the classical Steiner formula,

$$V(K + \varepsilon B) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{j} W_j(K) \varepsilon^j,$$

where $\{W_j(K)\}_j$ are the quermassintegrals of K or the $(n+1-j)$ -th surface area. Note that

$$W_0(K) = V(K),$$

and surface area of K is

$$(n+1)W_1(K) = S(K),$$

and also

$$W_{n+1}(K) = W_{n+1}(B)$$

is a dimensional constant.

A remarkable theorem states that given any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists a Borel measure $S_i(K)$ on the unit sphere such that for all $L \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_i(K, L) &:= \frac{1}{n+1-i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_i(K + \varepsilon L) - W_i(K)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s_L(u) dS_i(K, u). \end{aligned}$$

Due to translation invariance of W_i ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u dS_i(K, u) = 0.$$

Moreover, by the mixed quermassintegrals inequality, we have

$$W_i(K, L)^{n+1-i} \geq W_i(K)^{n-i} W_i(L),$$

and equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic. In particular, this implies that

$$(1.5) \quad \min_{L \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{W_i(K, L)}{(W_i(L))^{\frac{1}{n+1-i}}}$$

is attained only for homothetic transformations of K .

Suppose $0 \leq i < n$. The Christoffel–Minkowski problem aims at reconstructing the convex body from its $(n+1-i)$ -th surface area measure:

Given a Borel measure μ on the unit sphere with

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u d\mu(u) = 0,$$

find necessary and sufficient conditions on μ such that there exists a convex body K with $S_i(K) = \mu$.

From (1.5), one would expect that solutions might be found by minimizing

$$\mathcal{E}_i(L) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s_L d\mu}{(W_i(L))^{\frac{1}{n+1-i}}}$$

in a suitable class of convex bodies. In particular, the search may be restricted to the set

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{L \in \mathcal{K} : W_i(L) = 1, \text{St}(L) = 0, \mathcal{E}_i(L) \leq \mathcal{E}_i(B)\}.$$

where $\text{St}(L)$ denotes the Steiner point of L . In fact, this is the case for $i = 0$, corresponding to the prescribed surface area measure, a.k.a the Minkowski problem, provided

$$(1.7) \quad \mu(\{u : \langle u, v \rangle > 0\}) > 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Moreover, (1.6) and (1.7) together are both necessary and sufficient.

However, for $i > 0$, this method has not been successful so far. For $i = n - 1$, i.e., the Christoffel problem, Firey [Fir67] and Berg used methods based on a Green function and subharmonic functions to solve the problem completely; see also [Fir70] and [LWW19].

If the boundary of K , ∂K , is C^2 smooth and strictly convex, then

$$dS_i(K) = p_{n-i} d\omega,$$

where

$$p_\ell := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_\ell},$$

and $\{\lambda_i\}$ are the principal radii of curvature (considered as functions on the unit sphere) of ∂K . This brings us to the regular Christoffel–Minkowski problem which asks:

Given a smooth function $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, find necessary and sufficient conditions on φ such that there exists a smooth, strictly convex hypersurface whose p_k equals φ .

Guan and Ma in [GM03] using continuity methods and a sophisticated constant rank theorem found a sufficient condition on φ :

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \bar{g} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \varphi(u) d\omega = 0.$$

Guan–Ma’s sufficient condition is not necessary. In fact, [Zha94, Thm. 6.8] states the following. Let $C_e^\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n)$ denote the set of α -Hölder continuous, antipodal symmetric functions on \mathbb{S}^n , and $\mathcal{F}_e^{2,\alpha}$ denotes the set of origin-symmetric convex bodies with strictly convex, $C^{2,\alpha}$ -smooth boundaries. Suppose φ is the p_k of a convex body in $\mathcal{F}_e^{2,\alpha}$. Then there exists a $C_e^\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n)$ neighborhood \mathcal{N} of φ such that every function in \mathcal{N} is the p_k of a convex body in $\mathcal{F}_e^{2,\alpha}$. See also [Zha94, Cor. 6.9].

Constrained curvature flows. In order to get the estimates required in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is convenient to reformulate the problem in terms of the principal curvatures instead of the radii:

$$(1.8) \quad \partial_t x = (\mu(t)f(\nu) - F(\kappa))\nu.$$

Here the global term μ is defined in Theorem 1.1, and

$$F = \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_{n-k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial of the principal curvatures $\kappa = (\kappa_i)$ and

$$f = \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Due to their applications, flows of the form (1.8) have been receiving significant attention. In case $f = 1$, they appeared in the form of volume-, surface area or quermassintegral preserving flows with global term, e.g., [And01, AW18, BS18, CRS10, Hui87, IS13, McC03, McC05, Sin15] and have been used to deduce geometric inequalities for hypersurfaces. In case $\mu = 1$, they have been used to prove problems of prescribed curvature, e.g., [CW00, Ger06b]; see also [BIS20] for a much broader overview over this topic. Except for cases where the flow arises as a rescaling of a purely expanding or contracting flow, our flow (1.8) seems to be the first one with a mixed constraining term, where “mixed” means that we have a non-local and a (possibly anisotropic) local term.

Outline. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some basics, notation and evolution equations. Section 3 provides the crucial pinching estimate along (1.8) which holds in a much more general setting, as we will point out. In Section 4, using Andrews’ generalized Hölder inequality, we prove the monotonicity of certain functionals along the flow and prove uniform estimates for the support function of the adjusted flow hypersurfaces. In Section 5 we obtain the curvature estimates. The higher order estimates in Section 6 are somewhat non-standard due to the global term. We take some care here and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 7 we complete the argument on how to weaken the strict convexity assumption. This section also provides an alternative to the proof of [STW04] that removes (iii).

2. BASIC CONVENTIONS AND EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

We collect briefly our conventions on hypersurfaces of the (flat) Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For an embedding

$$x: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

of a closed hypersurface with exterior normal vector field ν , the second fundamental form is defined so that it is positive definite on spheres:

$$D_Y X = \nabla_Y X - h(X, Y)\nu.$$

Here D denotes the connection on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on M with respect to the induced metric

$$g = x^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

In a local coordinate frame $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ for M and with the convention $\nabla_i = \nabla_{e_i}$, the Codazzi equation implies that

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j e_k - \nabla_j \nabla_i e_k = h_{jk} h_i^m \partial_m x - h_{ik} h_j^m \partial_m x,$$

where the index was lifted using g . Hence the covariant Riemann tensor is given by

$$R_{ijkl} := R_{ijk}{}^m g_{lm} = (\nabla_i \nabla_j e_k - \nabla_j \nabla_i e_k)^m g_{lm} = h_{il} h_{jk} - h_{ik} h_{jl}.$$

For brevity, we introduce semi-colons to denote indices of covariant derivatives. For example, if T is a tensor, the components of its second derivative are denoted by

$$T_{;ij} = \nabla_j \nabla_i T - \nabla_{\nabla_j \partial_i} T.$$

The support function of a convex body K is defined by

$$s_K(u) = \max_{x \in K} \langle x, u \rangle, \quad u \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

For a (strictly) convex body K , the bilinear form

$$r := \bar{\nabla}^2 s_K + s_K \bar{g}$$

is (positive) non-negative definite. The eigenvalues of r with respect to \bar{g} are the principal radii of curvature.

We will use common facts about curvature functions, i.e., functions that can either be viewed as symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Weingarten map or as depending on the second fundamental form and the metric

$$F = F(\kappa_i) = F(A) = F(g, h).$$

Then we write

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}, \quad F^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h_{ij} \partial h_{kl}}.$$

We refer to [And07] for a detailed account and common properties.

The short time existence for (1.8) follows from standard arguments, see [Mak13] for the detailed procedure. We derive the evolution equations for the flow (1.8) on a time interval $[0, T)$, $T < \infty$. We use the linearized operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - F^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_l.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The following evolution equations along the flow (1.8).*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_{ij} &= 2(\mu(t)f - F)h_{ij}. \\ \mathcal{L}h_i^j &= F^{kl}h_{km}h_l^m h_i^j - \mu f h_m^j h_i^m + F^{kl,rs}h_{kl;i}h_{rs;}^j \\ &\quad - \mu \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l})h_i^k h_l^j - \mu \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k})h_i^{kj}. \\ \mathcal{L}F &= F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k F - \mu F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k f \\ &\quad - \mu F^{ij} \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l})h_i^k h_j^l - \mu F_{;k} \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For every fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle &= F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle - 2F \\ &\quad + \mu(f - \langle x - x_0, \nabla f \rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The evolution of the metric is standard. We start by calculating the evolution of the Weingarten operator. It follows from [Ger06a, Lem. 2.3.3] that

$$\dot{h}_i^j = -(\mu f - F)_{;i}^j - (\mu f - F)h_{ik}h^{kj}. \tag{2.3}$$

First we have to replace the term $F_{;i}^j$. There holds

$$F_{;i}^j = F^{kl}h_{kl;i}^j + F^{kl,rs}h_{kl;i}h_{rs;}^j.$$

Now we use the Codazzi, Weingarten and Gauss equation to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} h_{kl;ij} &= h_{ki;l j} \\ &= h_{ki;j l} + R_{ljk}^m h_{mi} + R_{lji}^m h_{mk} \\ &= h_{ij;kl} + (h_{jk}h_l^m h_{mi} - h_{lk}h_j^m h_{mi}) + (h_{ji}h_l^m h_{mk} - h_{li}h_j^m h_{mk}). \end{aligned}$$

We use

$$F_l^k h_m^l = h_l^k F_m^l$$

to get

$$F^{kl}h_{kl;ij} = F^{kl}h_{ij;kl} - F^{kl}h_{lk}h_j^m h_{mi} + F^{kl}h_{ij}h_l^m h_{mk}.$$

From the 1-homogeneity of F it follows that

$$F^{kl}h_{kl;ij} = F^{kl}h_{ij;kl} - Fh_j^m h_{mi} + F^{kl}h_l^m h_{mk}h_{ij}.$$

Inserting this into (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}h_i^j &= -F^{kl}h_{i;kl}^j + F^{kl}h_{kl;i}^j + F^{kl,rs}h_{kl;i}h_{rs;}^j \\
 &\quad - \mu f_{;i}^j - (\mu f - F)h_{ik}h^{kj} \\
 &= F^{kl}h_l^m h_{mk}h_i^j - \mu f h_i^m h_m^j + F^{kl,rs}h_{kl;i}h_{rs;}^j - \mu f_{;i}^j.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

We calculate the term $f_{;i}^j$. We may assume that f is extended as a zero homogeneous function to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Due to the Gaussian formula,

$$x_{;kj} = -h_{kj}\nu,$$

we obtain

$$f_{;i} = f_\nu(\nu_{;i}), \quad f_{;ij} = f_{\nu\nu}(\nu_{;i}, \nu_{;j}) + f_\nu(\nu_{;ij}).$$

Moreover, by the Weingarten equation we have

$$\nu_{;i} = h_i^k x_{;k}, \quad \nu_{;ij} = h_{i;j}^k x_{;k} - h_i^k h_{kj}\nu.$$

The result follows from inserting the expression for $f_{;ij}$ into (2.4) and using the zero homogeneity in ν .

For F we have

$$\partial_t F = F_j^i \partial_t h_i^j = F^{ij} F_{;ij} - \mu F^{ij} f_{;ij} + F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k (F - \mu f)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}F &= F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k F - \mu F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k f \\
 &\quad - \mu F^{ij} \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l}) h_i^k h_l^j - \mu F_{;i}^k \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the support function we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle &= \mu f - F - \langle x - x_0, \nabla(\mu f - F) \rangle, \\
 \partial_i \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle &= h_i^k \langle x - x_0, x_{;k} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle_{;ij} = h_{i;j}^k \langle x - x_0, x_{;k} \rangle + h_{ij} - h_{ik} h_j^k \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L} \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle = \mu f - 2F - \mu \langle x - x_0, \nabla f \rangle + F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle.$$

□

3. PINCHING ESTIMATE

Proposition 3.1. *There exist ε_0 , depending on M_0 , and f , such that along the flow (1.8) with initial hypersurface M_0 we have*

$$h_{ij} \geq \varepsilon_0 H g_{ij}.$$

Proof. Define

$$S_{ij} = h_{ij} - \varepsilon H g_{ij}.$$

For the moment, we choose ε_0 sufficiently small, such that (S_{ij}) is positive definite at $t = 0$, for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}S_{ij} &= \mathcal{L}h_{ij} - \varepsilon \mathcal{L}H g_{ij} - \varepsilon H \partial_t g_{ij} \\ &= F^{kl} h_{km} h_l^m S_{ij} - (2F - \mu f) h_{mj} h_i^m + F^{pq,rs} h_{pq;i} h_{rs;j} \\ &\quad - \mu \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;m}, x_{;l}) h_i^m h_j^l - \mu \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;m}) S_{ij};^m + \varepsilon \mu f |A|^2 g_{ij} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon F^{kl,rs} h_{kl;m} h_{rs};^m g_{ij} + \varepsilon \mu \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l}) h_m^k h^{lm} g_{ij} \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon H(\mu f - F) h_{ij} \\ &= N_{ij} + \tilde{N}_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$\tilde{N}_{ij} = F^{pq,rs} h_{pq;i} h_{rs;j} - \varepsilon F^{kl,rs} h_{kl;m} h_{rs};^m g_{ij}.$$

According to Andrews' tensor maximum principle [And07, Thm. 3.2], in order to prove that (S_{ij}) remains positive definite if so initially, we have to show

$$(3.1) \quad N_{ij} v^i v^j + \tilde{N}_{ij} v^i v^j + 2 \sup_{\Gamma} F^{kl} (2\Gamma_k^p S_{ip;l} v^i - \Gamma_k^p \Gamma_l^q S_{pq}) \geq 0,$$

whenever (S_{ij}) is non-negative definite and $S_{ij} v^i = 0$.

The curvature function F has all the properties which are needed to apply [And07, Thm. 4.1]. This proves that the sum of the second and third term of (3.1) is non-negative. Hence we only have to deal with the zero order terms. Suppose v has unit length. Using

$$h_{ij} v^i = \varepsilon H v_j,$$

we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij} v^i v^j &= -\varepsilon^2 H^2 (2F - \mu f) + \varepsilon \mu f |A|^2 - 2\varepsilon^2 H^2 (\mu f - F) \\ &\quad - \mu \varepsilon^2 H^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;m}, x_{;l}) v^m v^l + \mu \varepsilon \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l}) h_m^k h^{ml} \\ &= \varepsilon \mu f (|A|^2 - \varepsilon H^2) - \mu \varepsilon^2 H^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;m}, x_{;l}) v^m v^l \\ &\quad + \mu \varepsilon \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l}) h_m^k h^{ml}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (1.3) and compactness, there exist constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$, such that for all $w \in T\mathbb{S}^n$,

$$\beta|w|^2 \geq \bar{\nabla}^2 f(w, w) \geq (\alpha - 1)f|w|^2.$$

Hence, using $T_x M = T_{\nu(x)} \mathbb{S}^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij} v^i v^j &\geq \varepsilon \mu f(|A|^2 - \varepsilon H^2) - \mu \beta \varepsilon^2 H^2 + \varepsilon \mu (\alpha - 1) f |A|^2 \\ &\geq \varepsilon \mu f \left(\alpha |A|^2 - \varepsilon H^2 - \frac{\beta \varepsilon}{f} H^2 \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is a constant solely depending on f and M_0 , chosen small enough to ensure $S_{ij}|_{M_0} > 0$ and such that

$$\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{f} \right) < \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

□

Remark 3.2. Note that in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have not used the special structure of either the global term or the curvature function F . Under the strict convexity assumption of f the proposition is valid for every global term and every curvature function F to which Andrews' maximum principle applies. The class of such F is quite large; see [And07]. This observation might be valuable in similar prescribed curvature problems.

Remark 3.3. The pinching estimate, Proposition 3.1, ensures convexity is preserved since S_{ij} remains positive definite if initially so. In fact, for our arguments, it is not a priori necessary to assume convexity is preserved. For if we let $T^* \leq T$ be the maximal time for which the flow is convex, then all our estimates are valid on $[0, T^*)$. In particular, Lemma 5.3 gives a positive lower bound on F and the flow remains strictly convex on $[0, T^*)$ yielding a contradiction if $T \neq T^*$.

4. MONOTONE QUANTITY

In the following we suppress any subscripts of the support function and understand it to depend on time,

$$s = s_{K_t}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Along the flow (1.4) we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s p_k d\omega = 0$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s \varphi d\omega \leq 0.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $p_k \varphi^{-1}$ is constant.

Proof. Using

$$\dot{s} = \mu \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} - p_k^{-\frac{1}{k}}$$

and that $\bar{\nabla}_i p_k^{ij} = 0$ (cf., [And94b, Lem. 2-12]), we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s p_k d\omega = 0.$$

Now we calculate

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s \varphi d\omega = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} p_k d\omega} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi p_k^{-\frac{1}{k}} d\omega.$$

Define

$$d\theta = \varphi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega, \quad G(x) = x^{-k}, \quad \zeta = \varphi^{\frac{1}{k}} p_k^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Due to Andrews' generalized Hölder inequality [And98, Lem. I3.3],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s \varphi d\omega = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \zeta G(\zeta) d\theta}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} G(\zeta) d\theta} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \zeta d\theta \leq 0$$

and equality holds if and only if ζ is constant. \square

We write w_- and w_+ respectively for the minimum width and the maximum width of a closed, convex hypersurface (or a convex body) with support function s . They are defined as

$$w_+ = \max_{u \in \mathbb{S}^n} (s(u) + s(-u)), \quad w_- = \min_{u \in \mathbb{S}^n} (s(u) + s(-u)).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $C(K_t)$ denote the centroid of K_t . Then along the flow (1.4) the support functions \tilde{s} of*

$$\tilde{M}_t := M_t - C(K_t)$$

are uniformly bounded above and below away from zero. In particular, the in-radii of the M_t are uniformly bounded below away from zero.

Proof. Note that

$$\tilde{s}(u) = s(u) - \langle u, C(K_t) \rangle \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Therefore, due to (4.2) and (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{s} \varphi d\omega &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s \varphi d\omega - \left\langle C(K_t), \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \varphi(u) d\omega \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s \varphi d\omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s_{K_0} \varphi d\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\max \tilde{s}$ is attained at the north pole e_{n+1} . By convexity

$$\tilde{s}(x) \geq \tilde{s}(e_{n+1})x_{n+1} \quad \text{on } \{x_{n+1} > 0\} \cap \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{s}(e_{n+1}) \frac{\min \varphi}{2} \int_{\{x_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2}\} \cap \mathbb{S}^n} d\omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s_{K_0} \varphi d\omega.$$

Thus $0 < \tilde{s} \leq a$ for some constant a . By (4.1) and that the mixed volume is monotonic in each argument (cf., [Sch13, (5.25)]), we obtain

$$b^{k+1} := \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s p_k d\omega|_{\tilde{M}_0} = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s p_k d\omega|_{\tilde{M}_t} \leq \max \tilde{s}^{k+1}.$$

By Proposition 3.1 and [And94a, Thm. 5.1] or [Sch13, Lem. 8.5.8], the ratio between the maximum width \tilde{w}_+ and the minimum width \tilde{w}_- of \tilde{M}_t is uniformly bounded above. Since

$$\tilde{w}_+ \geq \max \tilde{s} \geq b,$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{w}_- \geq c$$

for some constant c . Moreover, for any convex body K ,

$$\frac{1}{n+2} w_-(K) B \subset K - C(K) \subset \frac{n+1}{n+2} w_+(K) B,$$

see [Sch13, p. 320]). Thus the support function of \tilde{M}_t and hence its in-radius is bounded below away from zero independent of time. \square

Lemma 4.3. *μ is uniformly bounded above, and below away from zero.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.1, for a constant depending on ε , we have

$$c_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_{k-1} d\omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_k d\omega \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Moreover, by [Sch13, (5.25)] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\min \tilde{s}^k &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_k d\omega \leq \max \tilde{s}^k, \\ \min \tilde{s}^{k-1} &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} p_{k-1} d\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

5. CURVATURE ESTIMATES

We show that F is uniformly bounded above. In order to eliminate the bad first order term in the evolution of F , we will use the first order term in the evolution of the support function. To do this, we need to show that the flow hypersurfaces enclose a ball of small radius for definite amount of time. We adapt [McC05, Lem. 6.1] to our needs.

Lemma 5.1. *If $B_{4\delta}(x_0) \subset K_{t_0}$ for some $t_0 \in [0, T)$, then there exists $c_{\delta, \varepsilon} > 0$ such that $B_{2\delta}(x_0) \subset K_t$ for all $t \in [t_0, \min(t_0 + c_{\delta, \varepsilon}, T))$.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.1, there exists Λ_ε such that

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon := \max_{(0, T)} F^{ij} g_{ij}.$$

The solution $r = r(t)$ of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{r} &= -\frac{\Lambda_\varepsilon}{r}, \\ r(t_0) &= 4\delta \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$r(t) = \sqrt{16\delta^2 - 2\Lambda_\varepsilon(t - t_0)}$$

and we have

$$r(t_0 + \frac{6\delta^2}{\Lambda_\varepsilon}) = 2\delta.$$

Define

$$\psi = |x - x_0|^2 - r^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\psi} &= 2(\mu f - F) \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle + 2\Lambda_\varepsilon \\ |x - x_0|_{;ij}^2 &= 2g_{ij} - 2h_{ij} \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{L}\psi = 2\mu f \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle + 2\Lambda_\varepsilon - 2F^{ij} g_{ij} \geq 2\mu f \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle.$$

The latter term is non-negative, as long as $x_0 \in K_t$. From a continuity argument we conclude that $\min \psi$ is non-decreasing up to $t_0 + \frac{6\delta^2}{\Lambda_\varepsilon}$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *The function F and the Weingarten map A are uniformly bounded along the flow (1.8).*

Proof. Let $t_0 \in [0, T)$ and define

$$Z = \frac{F}{\langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle - \delta},$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $x_0 \in K_{t_0}$ are chosen such that

$$\langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle \geq 2\delta \quad \forall t \in [t_0, \min(t_0 + c_{\delta, \varepsilon}, T));$$

see Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 5.1. We may assume that $x_0 = 0$. By (2.1), (2.2) and (1.3), at a maximum point of Z ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}Z &\leq -\frac{\delta}{\langle x, \nu \rangle - \delta} F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k Z - \frac{\mu}{\langle x, \nu \rangle - \delta} F^{;k} \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k}) \\ &\quad + 2Z^2 + \mu \frac{\langle x, x_{;i} \rangle}{(\langle x, \nu \rangle - \delta)^2} \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k}) h^{ki} F.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\kappa_n \leq c_\varepsilon \kappa_1$. This in particular yields

$$F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k \geq c_\varepsilon F^2.$$

In addition, due to

$$0 = Z_{;k} = \frac{F_{;k}}{\langle x, \nu \rangle - \delta} - \frac{F}{(\langle x, \nu \rangle - \delta)^2} h_k^i \langle x, x_{;i} \rangle,$$

we have

$$|\nabla F| \leq c|x|Z^2.$$

Putting all these together and using Lemma 4.3 we arrive at

$$\mathcal{L}Z \leq -cZ^3 + 2Z^2 + c|x|Z^2.$$

Since the origin is in the interior of convex body K_t ,

$$|x| \leq \max |x| = \max s \leq w_+(M_t).$$

Thus Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$\max_{t \in [t_0, \min(t_0 + c_{\delta, \varepsilon}, T))} Z(t, \cdot) \leq c(M_0, \max Z(t_0)).$$

A bootstrapping argument starting at $t = 0$ shows F is uniformly bounded above. Then $\kappa_n \leq c_\varepsilon F$ yields the bound on A . \square

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant $c > 0$, which depends on the data of the flow and on T , such that along (1.8) we have*

$$F \geq c.$$

In particular, in finite time the principal curvatures range in a compact subset of Γ_+ .

Proof. By (2.1), Proposition 3.1, and Lemma 4.3, at any maximum point of F^{-1} we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{F}\right) \leq \frac{c}{F^2} F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k \leq c \frac{\kappa_n}{F} \leq c,$$

where we have used the homogeneity of F and

$$\kappa_n \leq c\kappa_1 \leq cF.$$

Therefore, $F^{-1} - ct$ is bounded by its initial value. \square

6. LONG TIME EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE

On a finite time interval, we have collected all the required estimates for establishing the higher order regularity estimates and hence the long-time existence. In this regard, one commonly used procedure is to parameterize the flow hypersurface using a graph representation over a fixed Riemannian manifold. For example, convex hypersurfaces M can be written as a graph in polar coordinates around an interior point x_0 ,

$$M = \{(\rho(y), y) : y \in \mathbb{S}^n\},$$

where around x_0 we identify the flat Euclidean space with

$$(r, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{x_0\} = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^n, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = dr^2 + r^2 \bar{g}(y).$$

Along our flow, as long as the graph functions of the flow hypersurfaces are well defined, they satisfy the equation

$$(6.1) \quad \partial_t \rho = (\mu f - F)v \equiv \Phi(t, y, \rho, \bar{\nabla} \rho, \bar{\nabla}^2 \rho),$$

where $\rho = \rho(t, y)$, cf. [Ger06a, p. 98-99]. In local curvature flow problems, i.e., in absence of a global term, one often uses the regularity theorem of Krylov and Safonov [Kry87, Sec. 5] (see e.g., [And04, Thm. 4] for a clear formulation) to deduce $C^{2,\alpha}$ -estimates for ρ from its C^2 -estimates.

In presence of a global term this practice is unjustified, since the bound one obtains depends on the time derivative of Φ , which is not yet under control in our situation.

Hence we will take a different approach, which has been employed in [CRS10, McC05], and also in [Mak13] where it is presented in great detail. We will make some adjustments to fit our needs.

Lemma 6.1. *Along the flow (1.1) on $[0, T)$, $F = F$ and $\mu f - F$ are Hölder continuous with exponent and Hölder norms independent of T . Here both functions are understood to depend on the variable $(t, y) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{S}^n$.*

Proof. We transform the evolution equation of F to an equation on the standard round sphere and apply general regularity results. To do this, we will need Lemma 5.1.

Note that the inradius is uniformly bounded from below and hence we find $\delta > 0$, such that for every $t_0 \in [0, T)$ we have a uniform graph representation of M_t over an interior sphere on the time interval

$$I_{t_0} := [t_0, \min(t_0 + c_{\delta, \varepsilon}, T)).$$

Due to Lemma 5.1, the corresponding radial function

$$\rho : I_{t_0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is uniformly bounded above and below and parameterizes the convex hypersurfaces M_t . From [Ger06a, Thm. 2.7.10] we infer that

$$v^2 = 1 + \rho^{-2} \bar{g}^{ij} \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho$$

is uniformly bounded on I_{t_0} . Since the Weingarten operator is uniformly bounded by Lemma 5.2, we also have a bound for the second derivatives,

$$|\bar{\nabla}^2 \rho|_{\bar{g}} \leq c(|\bar{\nabla} \rho|_{\bar{g}}, |A|).$$

Note that none of these bounds depend on T . On I_{t_0} and in the above constructed graph coordinates we define:

$$a^{ij} = F^{ij}, \quad b^m = F^{kl}(\bar{\Gamma}_{kl}^m - \Gamma_{kl}^m) - \mu \bar{\nabla} f(x_{;k}) g^{km} - (\mu f - F) \nu^m,$$

$$d_1 = F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k, \quad d_2 = -\mu F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k f - \mu F^{ij} h_i^k h_j^l \bar{\nabla}^2 f(x_{;k}, x_{;l}),$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}$ and Γ are the Christoffel symbols of the round and the induced metric respectively. Note that (2.1) is the evolution equation of

$$F = F(t, \xi) = F(t, y(x(t, \xi))).$$

Since we need the Hölder regularity of F with respect to the y -variable as this is the way we deal with ρ , we have to adjust the evolution equation (2.1) with the help of the relation

$$\partial_t F = \frac{d}{dt} F(t, y(x(t, \xi))) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, y) + \bar{\nabla}_m F(t, y) \partial_t x^m(t, \xi).$$

Hence in the (t, y) coordinates,

$$F: I_{t_0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies the equation

$$(6.2) \quad -\partial_t F + a^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_{ij}^2 F + b^m \bar{\nabla}_m F + d_1 F + d_2 = 0.$$

Here

$$a^{ij} = g^{ik} F_k^j$$

is uniformly elliptic (note that g_{ij} is equivalent to \bar{g}_{ij}) due to the pinching, and $d_1, |d_2|$ are bounded. In order to see that b^m is bounded as well, first we note that ν^m is bounded with respect to \bar{g} due to the convexity, and then we have to convince ourselves that the tensor $\bar{\Gamma}_{kl}^m - \Gamma_{kl}^m$ is bounded. However, since

$$g_{ij} = \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho + \rho^2 \bar{g}_{ij},$$

we see that Γ_{kl}^m is just an algebraic combination of bounded quantities (a similar argument was used in [Ger15, Lem. 7.6]). Hence (6.2) has bounded coefficients and neither their bounds nor the ellipticity constants depend on T . Hence we can apply the regularity result [Lie98,

Cor. 7.41], see also [Sch, Thm. 3.25], to obtain the Hölder regularity of F . A very similar argument applies to $\mu f - F$. \square

In the next lemma we prove the $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for ρ in several steps.

Lemma 6.2. *For any $t_0 \in [0, T)$, let $c_{\delta,\varepsilon}, x_0$ be as in Lemma 5.1 and*

$$\rho: I_{t_0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a graph representation of M_t in polar coordinates around x_0 , where

$$I_{t_0} := [t_0, \min(t_0 + c_{\delta,\varepsilon}, T)).$$

Then for some uniform $\alpha > 0$ we have

(i)

$$\rho(t, \cdot) \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n) \quad \forall t \in I_{t_0},$$

(ii)

$$\bar{\nabla} \rho(\cdot, y) \in C^{0, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(I_{t_0}) \quad \text{uniformly in } y \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

(iii)

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \rho(\cdot, y) \in C^{0, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(I_{t_0}) \quad \text{uniformly in } y \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

The bounds on the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm depend on the data of the problem and are independent of T . In particular we also have

$$|\rho|_{2+\alpha, \frac{2+\alpha}{2}; I_{t_0}} \leq \text{const},$$

where the constant only depends on the data of the problem.

Proof. (i) Fix $t_1 \in I_{t_0}$ and note that due to Lemma 6.1,

$$\psi_{t_1} := \mu(t_1)f(\nu(t_1, \cdot)) - \frac{\partial_t \rho(t_1, \cdot)}{v(t_1, \cdot)} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

Equation (6.1) implies that $\rho(t_1, \cdot)$ solves the elliptic equation

$$(6.3) \quad F(\cdot, \rho, \bar{\nabla} \rho, \bar{\nabla}^2 \rho) = \psi_{t_1}$$

with a concave operator F . Since we have uniform spatial C^2 -estimates for ρ , we can treat ρ and $\bar{\nabla} \rho$ as data of the operator and view (6.3) as the problem

$$(6.4) \quad G(\cdot, \bar{\nabla}^2 \rho) = \psi_{t_1}.$$

After invoking the Bellmann-extension to make F well-defined on $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^n$ as in [Mak13, Lem. 7.2], we can apply a result of Caffarelli–Cabré [CC95, Thm. 8.1] and the subsequent remarks (see also [Mak13, Thm. 7.3]) to (6.4) to obtain the spatial Hölder regularity at time t_1 . Since none of the constants involved here depend on T and t_1 is arbitrary, the result follows.

To prove (ii) and (iii), we apply Andrews' estimates from [And04, Sec. 3.3, 3.4]. To do this, we need to deduce an equation for the difference quotient in any direction e_ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq n$,

$$\delta_\tau \rho(t, \xi) = \frac{\rho(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell) - \rho(t, \xi)}{\tau}.$$

Here we are considering $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to be in a fixed coordinate chart of \mathbb{S}^n . A similar calculation appeared in [San17, Lem. 4.1]. Recalling that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho(t, \cdot) &= (\mu(t)f(\cdot, \rho, \bar{\nabla} \rho) - F(\cdot, \rho, \bar{\nabla} \rho, \bar{\nabla}^2 \rho))v \\ &= (\mu(t)f(\nu) - F(A))v, \end{aligned}$$

we calculate the evolution of $\delta_\tau \rho$ as follows:

$$\partial_t \delta_\tau \rho = \tau^{-1}((\mu f - F(A))|_{(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell)} - (\mu f - F(A))|_{(t, \xi)})v.$$

The crucial term arises from F . Hence, using that the domain of F is convex, we continue with

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau^{-1}(vF(A)|_{(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell)} - vF(A)|_{(t, \xi)}) \\ &= \tau^{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (v(t, \xi + s\tau e_\ell) F(sA|_{(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell)} + (1-s)A|_{(t, \xi)})) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi^\ell}(s) F(sA|_{(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell)} + (1-s)A|_{(t, \xi)}) ds \\ &\quad + \tau^{-1} \int_0^1 v(s) F_i^j(s) (h_j^i(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell) - h_j^i(t, \xi)) ds \end{aligned}$$

We can use [Ger06a, (1.5.10), Lem. 2.7.6] write h in terms of $\bar{\nabla}^2 \rho$,

$$h_j^i = \frac{1}{v\rho} \delta_j^i + \frac{1}{v^3 \rho^3} \bar{\nabla}^i \rho \bar{\nabla}_j \rho - \frac{\hat{g}^{ik}}{v\rho^2} \bar{\nabla}_{kj}^2 \rho,$$

where \hat{g}^{ik} is the inverse of

$$\hat{g}_{ik} = \rho^{-2} \bar{\nabla}_i \rho \bar{\nabla}_k \rho + \bar{g}_{ik}.$$

Defining

$$\mathcal{F}_i^j := \int_0^1 v(t, \xi + s\tau e_\ell) F_i^j(sA|_{(t, \xi + \tau e_\ell)} + (1-s)A|_{(t, \xi)}) ds$$

and using that the second spatial derivatives as well as μ are bounded, we see that $\delta_\tau \rho$ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t \delta_\tau \rho = -\mathcal{F}_i^j \delta_\tau h_j^i + \Psi_1 = \frac{\hat{g}^{ik}}{v\rho^2} \mathcal{F}_i^j \bar{\nabla}_{kj}^2 \delta_\tau \rho + \Psi_2,$$

and where uniformly in t there holds

$$|\Psi_2(t, \cdot)| \leq c(\varepsilon, |\rho(t, \cdot)|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}).$$

Note that \mathcal{F}_i^j is uniformly elliptic, since $A_{|(t,\xi+\tau e_\ell)}$ as well as $A_{|(t,\xi)}$ both range in a closed, convex and strict subcone of Γ_+ , due to the pinching. Now the estimates from [And04, Sec. 3.3, 3.4] go through and yield (ii) and (iii). The full parabolic Hölder estimates (cf., [Ger06a, Ex. 2.5.3]) now follow from the definition of μ , which gives

$$\mu \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0, T)),$$

and finishes the proof of Lemma 6.2. \square

A standard bootstrapping argument involving Schauder estimates as in [Ger06a, Thm. 2.5.9] yields the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *For an arbitrary strictly convex initial hypersurface the flow (1.8) exists for all times.*

Due to the lack of a uniform lower bound on F , we cannot yet obtain uniform C^∞ -estimates on $[0, \infty)$, as the principal curvatures might leave every compact subset of Γ_+ . In the next lemma, the missing uniform lower F bound will be obtained by combining a local maximum principle [Lie98, Thm. 7.36] with the weak Harnack inequality [Lie98, Thm. 7.37] (see also the proof of [Ger15, Lem. 7.7]). Together with the pinching estimate, this ensures uniform estimates on the principal curvatures and allows us to perform the above procedure with $T = \infty$ to obtain the uniform C^∞ -estimates.

Lemma 6.4. *Along the flow (1.8), F is uniformly bounded from below,*

$$F \geq \text{const} > 0.$$

In particular, during the whole evolution the principal curvatures range in a compact subset of Γ_+ .

Proof. Returning to the proof of Lemma 6.1, we see from (6.2) that

$$L_0 F := -\partial_t F + a^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_{ij}^2 F + b^m \bar{\nabla}_m F = -d_1 F - d_2$$

in coordinate systems which are valid on intervals of fixed uniform length $c_{\delta,\varepsilon}$. We have uniform bounds on the coefficients and on the ellipticity constants. Due to the pinching estimate, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 5.2 there holds

$$-\gamma F \leq L_0 F \leq \gamma F$$

for some constant $\gamma > 0$. For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ we define

$$I_\alpha = [t_0 + (1 - \alpha)c_{\delta,\varepsilon}, t_0 + c_{\delta,\varepsilon}).$$

Applying [Lie98, Thm. 7.36] to

$$L_0(e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} F) \geq 0,$$

we obtain for any $p > 0$,

$$(6.5) \quad \sup_{I_{\alpha_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n} F \leq e^{\gamma c_{\delta, \varepsilon}} \sup_{I_{\alpha_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n} e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} F \leq c \left(\int_{I_{\alpha_2} \times \mathbb{S}^n} F^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for sufficiently small $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, where c depends on our previous established bounds and on p . Here we have implicitly used a standard covering argument to get from local parabolic cylinders to the whole of \mathbb{S}^n . Note that for every time $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\sup_{M_t} F \geq c_1 > 0$$

for a small constant. This can be seen from comparison with a circumscribed sphere, the radius of which is under control due to the support function bound.

Now we define

$$\hat{F} = e^{\gamma(t-t_0)} F$$

and obtain that

$$L_0 \hat{F} = e^{\gamma(t-t_0)} (L_0 F - \gamma F) \leq 0.$$

We apply [Lie98, Thm. 7.37] and find $p > 0$, such that

$$0 < c_1 \leq \left(\int_{[t_0, t_0 + \alpha_3] \times \mathbb{S}^n} \hat{F}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \inf_{I_{\alpha_4}} \hat{F} = c \inf_{I_{\alpha_4}} e^{\gamma(t-t_0)} F \leq c \inf_{I_{\alpha_4}} F,$$

where α_3 and α_4 are sufficiently small and the first bound follows from (6.5) and the arbitrariness of t_0 . Note that [Lie98, Thm. 7.37] requires a waiting time of $3R^2$, where R is the size of the parabolic cylinder that is used. We can easily set up a uniform R depending on the lower bound on $c_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ and a covering of \mathbb{S}^n by open balls of fixed size. This completes the proof, since t_0 is arbitrary. \square

With this lower bound on F , we can obtain time-independent regularity estimates with a bootstrapping argument:

Lemma 6.5. *Along the flow (1.8), the hypersurfaces*

$$\tilde{M}_t = M_t - C(K_t)$$

enjoy uniform C^∞ -estimates.

We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. By (4.3), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{s} \varphi d\omega = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{p}_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} \tilde{p}_k d\omega} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} d\omega - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi \tilde{p}_k^{-\frac{1}{k}} d\omega \leq 0.$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{s}\varphi d\omega$ is bounded along the flow, Lemma 6.5 implies that \tilde{M}_t subsequentially converges to solutions of

$$(6.6) \quad p_k = \gamma\varphi, \text{ where } \gamma := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} sp_k d\omega}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s\varphi d\omega}.$$

Due to Lemma 4.1, all limits of \tilde{M}_t share the same value for γ . By the Alexandrov-Fenchel-Jessen Theorem, the solution of (6.6) is unique up to translations. Since any limit of \tilde{M}_t has its centroid at the origin, the convergence is in fact independent of subsequences.

7. THE WEAKLY CONVEX CASE AND COMPLETION OF THE PROOF

In this section, we use a simple approximation together with the constant rank theorem and Theorem 1.1 to solve the Christoffel–Minkowski problem under the weaker convexity assumption on φ , i.e.,

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} \bar{g} \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u\varphi(u) d\omega = 0.$$

We mention that an approximation argument appeared [STW04, Sec. 4] to avoid the homotopy assumption (iii) used in [GM03]; however, their argument is more complicated. The following lemma gives a simpler proof for the validity of this homotopy assumption. We even prove that the homotopy can be chosen to be strictly convex for all $\tau < 1$.

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 < \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ satisfies*

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} \bar{g} \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u\varphi(u) d\omega = 0.$$

Then for each $\tau \in [0, 1)$, there exists $z_\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, such that

$$\varphi_\tau(u) := (1 - \tau + \tau\varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}(u) - \langle u, z_\tau \rangle)^{-k}$$

satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \varphi_\tau^{-\frac{1}{k}} + \varphi_\tau^{-\frac{1}{k}} \bar{g} > 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u\varphi_\tau(u) d\omega = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$|z_\tau| \leq 1 + \max \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Proof. Note that for $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$,

$$s_{L_\tau} := 1 - \tau + \tau\varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}}$$

is the support function of a convex body L_τ , which is smooth and strictly convex for $\tau < 1$. By [Iva16, Lem. 3.1], there exists a unique

point z_τ in the interior of L_τ such that

$$\begin{cases} \min_{v \in L_\tau} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} -\log(s_{L_\tau-v}) d\omega, & k = 1 \\ \min_{v \in L_\tau} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} s_{L_\tau-v}^{-k+1} d\omega, & k > 1 \end{cases}$$

is attained. Hence the support function of $L_\tau - z_\tau$ given by $\varphi_\tau^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ is positive and satisfies the required integral condition, see [Iva16, Lem. 3.1]. Since z_τ is in the interior of L_τ , the upper bound on the norm of z_τ follows. \square

We complete the proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Consider the family of functions φ_τ in the previous lemma. Due to Theorem 1.1, for each $\tau \neq 1$, there exists a smooth, strictly convex hypersurface with support function s_τ that solves

$$p_k(\bar{\nabla}^2 s_\tau + s_\tau \bar{g}) = \varphi_\tau.$$

Since L_τ converges to L_1 in the Hausdorff distance as $\tau \rightarrow 1$, by [Iva16, Thm 7.1] we have $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 1} z_\tau = 0$. This in turn implies that φ_τ converges smoothly to φ .

Now due to [GM03, Thm. 3.3], we have uniform C^k estimates on s_τ , independent of τ . Therefore, a subsequence of s_τ converges to a smooth function s such that $\bar{\nabla}^2 s + s \bar{g} \geq 0$ and

$$p_k(\bar{\nabla}^2 s + s \bar{g}) = \varphi.$$

By the constant rank theorem [GM03, Thm. 1.2], we have $\bar{\nabla}^2 s + s \bar{g} > 0$ and the corresponding hypersurface is strictly convex. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

PB was supported by the ARC within the research grant ‘‘Analysis of fully non-linear geometric problems and differential equations’’, number DE180100110. MI was supported by a Jerrold E. Marsden postdoctoral fellowship from the Fields Institute. JS was supported by the ‘‘Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft’’ (DFG, German research foundation) within the research scholarship ‘‘Quermassintegral preserving local curvature flows’’, grant number SCHE 1879/3-1.

REFERENCES

- [And94a] Ben Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **2** (1994), no. 2, 151–171.
- [And94b] Ben Andrews, *Entropy estimates for evolving hypersurfaces*, Commun. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994), no. 1, 53–64.

- [And98] Ben Andrews, *Evolving convex curves*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **7** (1998), no. 4, 315–371.
- [And01] Ben Andrews, *Volume-preserving anisotropic mean curvature flow*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 2, 783–827.
- [And04] Ben Andrews, *Fully nonlinear parabolic equations in two space variables*, [arxiv:0402235](#), 2004.
- [And07] Ben Andrews, *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 17–33.
- [AW18] Ben Andrews and Yong Wei, *Quermassintegral preserving curvature flow in Hyperbolic space*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **28** (2018), no. 5, 1183–1208.
- [BIS20] Paul Bryan, Mohammad N. Ivaki, and Julian Scheuer, *Parabolic approaches to curvature equations*, [arxiv:2003.14384](#), 2020.
- [BS18] Maria Chiara Bertini and Carlo Sinestrari, *Volume-preserving nonhomogeneous mean curvature flow of convex hypersurfaces*, Ann. di Mat. Pura ed Appl. **197** (2018), no. 4, 1295–1309.
- [CC95] Luis Caffarelli and Javier Cabré, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Colloquium Publications, vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, 1995.
- [CRS10] Esther Cabezas-Rivas and Carlo Sinestrari, *Volume-preserving flow by powers of the m th mean curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **38** (2010), no. 3, 441–469.
- [CW00] Kai Seng Chou and Xu Jia Wang, *A logarithmic Gauss curvature flow and the Minkowski problem*, Ann. l’Institut Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **17** (2000), no. 6, 733–751.
- [Fir67] William J. Firey, *The determination of convex bodies from their mean radius of curvature functions*, Mathematika **14** (1967), no. 1, 1–13.
- [Fir70] William J. Firey, *Intermediate Christoffel-Minkowski problems for figures of revolution*, Isr. J. Math. **8** (1970), no. 4, 384–390.
- [Ger06a] Claus Gerhardt, *Curvature problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press of Boston Inc., Somerville, 2006.
- [Ger06b] Claus Gerhardt, *Minkowski type problems for convex hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, [arxiv:0602597](#), 2006.
- [Ger15] Claus Gerhardt, *Curvature flows in the sphere*, J. Differ. Geom. **100** (2015), no. 2, 301–347.
- [GM03] Pengfei Guan and Xi Nan Ma, *The Christoffel-Minkowski problem I: Convexity of solutions of a Hessian equation*, Invent. Math. **151** (2003), no. 3, 553–577.
- [Hui87] Gerhard Huisken, *The volume preserving mean curvature flow*, J. für die Reine und Angew. Math. **1987** (1987), no. 382, 35–48.
- [IS13] Mohammad N. Ivaki and Alina Stancu, *Volume preserving centro-affine normal flows*, Commun. Anal. Geom. **21** (2013), no. 3, 671–685.
- [Iva16] Mohammad N. Ivaki, *Deforming a hypersurface by Gauss curvature and support function*, J. Funct. Anal. **271** (2016), no. 8, 2133–2165.
- [Kry87] Nicolai Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, Mathematics and its applications, vol. 7, Springer, 1987.
- [Lie98] Gary Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.

- [LWW19] Qi-Rui Li, Dongrui Wan, and Xu-Jia Wang, *The Christoffel problem by fundamental solution of the Laplace equation*, Sci. China Math. 2020 (2019), 1–14.
- [Mak13] Matthias Makowski, *Volume preserving curvature flows in Lorentzian manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **46** (2013), no. 1–2, 213–252.
- [McC03] James McCoy, *The surface area preserving mean curvature flow*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 1, 7–30.
- [McC05] James A. McCoy, *Mixed volume preserving curvature flows*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **24** (2005), no. 2, 131–154.
- [San17] Marcello Sani, *An inverse Gauss curvature flow for hypersurfaces expanding in a cone*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Konstanz, 2017.
- [Sch] Oliver C. Schnürer, *Partielle Differentialgleichungen II*, [Lecture notes](#) (in german).
- [Sch13] Rolf Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [Sin15] Carlo Sinestrari, *Convex hypersurfaces evolving by volume preserving curvature flows*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **54** (2015), no. 2, 1985–1993.
- [STW04] Weimin Sheng, Neil Trudinger, and Xu Jia Wang, *Convex hypersurfaces of prescribed weingarten curvatures*, Commun. Anal. Geom. **12** (2004), no. 1-2, 213–232.
- [Zha94] Gaoyong Zhang, *Centered Bodies and Dual Mixed Volumes*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), no. 2, 777.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY,
NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA, paul.bryan@mq.edu.au

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,
ONTARIO, M5S 2E4, CANADA, m.ivaki@utoronto.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY,
NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA, julian.scheuer@math.uni-freiburg.de