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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a graded marked surface. We construct a string model for the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$, via the graded DMS (=decorated marked surface) $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$. We prove an isomorphism between the braid twist group of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and the spherical twist group of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$, and $\mathbf{q}$-intersection formulas. We also give a topological realization of the Lagrangian immersion $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\mathbf{S})$ is the topological Fukaya category associated to $\mathbf{S}$, that is triangle equivalent to the bounded derived category of some graded gentle algebra. This generalizes previous work [16, 18] in the Calabi-Yau-3 case and also unifies the 'Calabi-Yau- $\infty$ ' case $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\mathbf{S})$ (cf. [5, 23]).


## Contents

Introduction ..... 1

1. Derived categories of differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebras ..... 4
2. Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ categories from graded decorated marked surfaces ..... 9
3. String model on log DMS ..... 22
4. Intersection formulas ..... 31
5. General case ..... 41
6. Topological realization of Lagrangian immersion ..... 43
References ..... 47

## Introduction

This paper generalizes the results of topological realization of Calabi-Yau-3 gentle type differential graded algebras in $[16,18]$ to the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ version, which also unifies the construction for the Calabi-Yau- $\infty$ case (i.e. the bounded derived categories of graded gentle algebras, cf. [5, 15, 23]) via Lagrangian immersions.

[^0]Stability conditions on Fukaya type categories. In the seminal works [3, 5], Bridgeland-Smith (BS) and Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich (HKK) show, respectively, that the stability conditions on two Fukaya type categories $\mathcal{D}$ from a surface $\mathbf{S}$ can be identified with meromorphic quadratic differentials with corresponding predescribed singularities. Here, a stability condition consists of a heart (an abelian category, that is equivalent to the heart of a bounded t-structure) and a central charge (a group homomorphism) $Z: K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $K(\mathcal{D})$ is the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}$. The key ingredients on their approach are the following:
$1^{\circ}$. A (simple closed) arc $\eta$ on $\mathbf{S}$ corresponds to an (indecomposable) object $X_{\eta}$ in $\mathcal{D}$.
$2^{\circ}$. A quadratic differential $\phi$ determines a family of special arcs $\eta_{j}$ on $\mathbf{S}$ (the saddle trajectories) and the corresponding objects $X_{\eta_{j}}$ determine a heart in $\mathcal{D}$.
$3^{\circ}$. The length of $\eta_{j}$ with respect to $\phi$ gives the central charge of $X_{\eta_{j}}$, i.e. via the formula

$$
Z\left(X_{\eta_{j}}\right)=\int_{\eta_{j}} \sqrt{\phi}
$$

In fact, [3] dexterously bypassed $1^{\circ}$ to get $2^{\circ}$ using cluster theory and [16] filled the gap with further applications on understanding the symmetries (i.e. fundamental groups) of spaces of stability conditions in [13].
One interesting question is about the relation between [3] and [5]. In [6, 7], we introduce the $q$-deformations of stability conditions and quadratic differentials to give an answer. On the categorical level, HKK's topological Fukaya category $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\mathbf{S})$ can be embedded into a Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$ with a distinguish automorphism $[\mathbb{X}]$ as a grading shift functor. When specifying $\mathbb{X}$ to be 3 , i.e. taking the orbit quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$ by the automorphism $[\mathbb{X}-3]$, one recovers Calabi-Yau-3 category $\mathcal{D}_{3}(\mathbf{S})$ in the setting of BS (which is the subcategory of a certain derived Fukaya category [22]).
In this sequel, we focus on ingredient $1^{\circ}$ of the story, i.e. the topological realization of (objects and morphisms in) categories, that we construct a string model for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$.

Previous works on string models. The previous related works on various categories of (graded) gentle algebras are summarized as follows:

- The derived category of the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ type $A_{n}$ algebra was constructed and investigated in [14] via a decorated disk, where it was shown that there is a faithful action of the (classical) braid group on the category and the $\mathbf{q}$-dimension of the morphism space between two certain objects is equal to the $\mathbf{q}$-intersection number between the corresponding arcs.
- The derived categories of Calabi-Yau-3 gentle type (dg) algebras were studied in $[16,18]$ via decorated marked surfaces (without punctures), where it was shown that closed arcs correspond to reachable spherical objects (up to shift), the braid twist group is isomorphic to the spherical twist group and the intersection number between two closed arcs equals half of the dimension of the total homomorphism space between the corresponding spherical objects.
- The derived categories of graded gentle algebras appeared in [5] as topological Fukaya categories of surfaces, where it was showed that the indecomposable objects correspond to admissible curves with local systems.
- Later, it was shown in [23] (resp. [15]) that the derived categories of (ungraded) finite dimensional gentle algebras (resp. homological smooth graded gentle algebras) are obtained in this way. The formula connecting dimensions of homomorphism spaces between objects and graded intersection numbers was also given.
- The cluster categories of Jacobian gentle algebras were studied in [1, 25] via triangulated marked surfaces without punctures, where the correspondence between curves and valued closed curves and indecomposable objects, the interpretation of the Auslander-Reiten translation via rotation of marked points along the boundary, and the equality between the intersection of two curves and the dimension of Ext ${ }^{1}$ of the corresponding objects were given.
- Gentle algebras were realized as tiling algebras associated to partial triangulations of marked surfaces in [2], where it was shown that there is a bijection between indecomposable modules and permissible curves. An interpretation of the Auslander-Reiten translation via the pivot elementary move and a method on how to read morphisms from relative positions of curves were also given.

String model with double grading. The input data in our story is a graded marked surface $\mathbf{S}=(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$, where $\mathbf{S}=(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Y})$ is a marked surface and $\lambda$ is a line field/grading. This is the model for the derived categories of graded gentle algebras. We construct the graded DMS $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ from $\mathbf{S}$ by pulling the marked points in $\mathbf{Y}$ into the interior of $\mathbf{S}$, which naturally introduces another grading, denoted by $\mathbb{X}$ and known as the Adams grading in topology. To realize this grading, one can use the log surface model (cf. § 2.4).
Then we construct the double graded version of string model on the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$ associated to $\mathbf{S}$ and prove the following main result (cf. Theorem 5.5).

Theorem A. Let $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ be a graded DMS. There is a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ with an associated differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebra $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ and the Calabi-Yau-X category

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S}):=\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)
$$

such that the following hold.

- There is a bijection

$$
X: \widetilde{\eta} \mapsto X_{\widetilde{\eta}}
$$

from the set of double graded closed arcs on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ to the set of reachable spherical objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$.

- X induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota: \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \cong \mathrm{ST}_{*}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the braid twist group of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and the spherical twist group of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$, sending a braid twist $b_{\eta}$ to the spherical twist $\phi_{X_{\eta}}$.

- For any pair of double graded closed arcs $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\tau}})=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}\right) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Int $^{\mathbf{q}}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{q}$-intersection defined in (2.12) and $\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ is the $\mathbf{q}$-dimension of double graded Hom defined in (1.1).

The main difficulty on generalizing the previous work $[14,16,17,18]$ lies on the lack of corresponding cluster theory (which is specific to the Calabi-Yau-3 case).

One of the applications is that we obtain the topological realization of Lagrangian immersion (Theorem 6.6), that our model contains the string model for the Calabi-Yau$\infty$ category $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\mathbf{S})$ associated to a graded marked surface $\mathbf{S}$, which is triangle equivalent to the bounded derived category of the graded gentle algebra $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ associated to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

Contents. The paper is organized as follows. In $\S 1$, we review the basics of differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebras and their derived categories. In $\S 2$, we introduce the graded decorated marked surfaces (DMS) as our topological model. In § 3, we describe the string model on graded DMS and in $\S 4$ we prove the main result with technical assumptions. Then we remove such assumptions in $\S 5$ and finish the paper with the description of a topological realization of the Lagrangian immersion in § 6 .
In Table 1, we list our convention of notations of topological objects.
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## 1. Derived categories of differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-Graded algebras

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{k}$ denotes an algebraically closed field. We review the categorical preliminaries on differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-graded stuffs.
1.1. Differential graded $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebras. A $\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}$-graded (or $\mathbb{X}$-graded for short) $\mathbf{k}$-module is a $\mathbf{k}$-module $V$ which decomposes into a direct sum

$$
V=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}
$$

where each $V_{i}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-module. The shift $V[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$, for $\varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, is defined to be the $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module whose components $V[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]_{i}=V_{i+\varsigma}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A morphism $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ between two $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules is a k-linear map such that $f\left(V_{i}\right) \subset V_{i}^{\prime}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A complex of $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules is a collection of morphisms $\left\{f^{j}: V^{j} \rightarrow V^{j+1}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules with $f^{j+1} \circ f^{j}=0$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
A $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}$-graded (or $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded for short) $\mathbf{k}$-module is a $\mathbf{k}$-module $V$ which decomposes into a direct sum

$$
V=\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V^{j}
$$

TABLE 1. List of notations

| S | a topological surface with non-empty boundaries |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{Y})$ | a set of open (closed) marked points on $\partial \mathbf{S}$ |
| $\lambda$ | a grading on $\mathbf{S}$, or a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\lambda}$ | a graded marked surface ( $\mathbf{S}, \lambda$ ) |
| $\triangle$ | a set of decorations on $\mathbf{S}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\triangle}$ | a decorated marked surface (DMS) |
| c | a cut, i.e. a pairing between the set $\mathbf{Y}$ and the set $\triangle$ |
| $\Lambda$ | a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ | a graded DMS associated to $\mathbf{S}^{\lambda}$ w.r.t. some cut $\mathbf{c}$ |
| $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ | the log surface associated to $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ |
| $\bar{\sigma}$ | the inverse of a curve $\sigma$, i.e. $\bar{\sigma}(t)=\bar{\sigma}(1-t)$ |
| $\widetilde{\sigma}$ | a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded curve as a lift of $\dot{\sigma}$ |
| $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ | a $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}$-graded curve as a lift of $\dot{\sigma}$ |
| Int? ${ }_{\text {? }}(a, b)$ | (various) intersection number between $a$ and $b$ |
| $\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{?}(a, b)$ | the index of an intersection $p$ between $a$ and $b$ |
| $\mathrm{AC}(?)$ | the set of admissible closed curves in ? |
| $\mathrm{CA}(?)$ | the set of closed arcs in ? |
| $\widetilde{\text { A }}$ | an open full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{S}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ |
| $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}\right)$ | a closed full formal arc system dual to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{S}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ |

where each

$$
V^{j}=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}^{j}
$$

is an $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module. Each element $x$ in $V_{i}^{j}$ is called to have bi-degree $j+i \mathbb{X}$. We also denote by $|x|_{1}=j$ and $|x|_{2}=i$. The shift $V[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$, for $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded k-module whose components $V[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]_{i}^{j}=V_{i+\varsigma}^{j+\varrho}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. A morphism $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules of bi-degree $\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map such that $f\left(V_{i}^{j}\right) \subset\left(V^{\prime}\right)_{i+\varsigma}^{j+\varrho}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for any $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, we have a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}-\mathrm{gr}}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)=\bigoplus_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}-\mathrm{gr}}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)_{\varrho}^{\varsigma},
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2} \text {-gr }}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)_{\varrho}^{\varsigma}$ consists of the morphisms from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ of bi-degree $\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}$.
A differential graded $(=d g) \mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module is a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module $V$ endowed with a differential $d_{V}$, i.e. a morphism $d_{V}: V \rightarrow V$ of bi-degree 1 such that $d_{V} \circ d_{V}=0$. Equivalently, a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module is a complex of $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules. The shift $V[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$ of a $\mathrm{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module $V$, for $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the shift endowed with the
differential $(-1)^{\varrho} d_{V}$. For a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module $V$, denote by $H^{*}(V)$ the homology of $V$ with respect to the differential $d_{V}$. Note that $H^{*}(V)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module.
Let $\mathcal{C}_{d g}^{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{k})$ be the category whose objects are $\mathrm{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-modules and whose morphism space from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ is a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2} \text {-gr }}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ and whose differential $d$ is given by

$$
d(f)=d_{V^{\prime}} \circ f-(-1)^{\varrho} f \circ d_{V}
$$

for $f$ a morphism of bi-degree $\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}$.
A $d g \mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra is a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module $\left(\Gamma, d_{\Gamma}\right)$ endowed with a multiplication

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{i}^{j} \otimes \Gamma_{i^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}} & \rightarrow & \Gamma_{i+i^{\prime}}^{j+j^{\prime}} \\
x \otimes y & \mapsto & x y
\end{array}
$$

such that the Leibniz rule holds:

$$
d_{\Gamma}(x y)=d_{\Gamma}(x) y+(-1)^{j} x d_{\Gamma}(y)
$$

for all $x \in \Gamma_{i}^{j}$ and all $y \in \Gamma$.
Let $\left(\Gamma, d_{\Gamma}\right)$ be a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. A $d g \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module is a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-module $\left(M, d_{M}\right)$ endowed with a $\Gamma$-action from the right

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{i}^{j} \otimes \Gamma_{u}^{v} & \rightarrow & M_{i+u}^{j+v} \\
m \otimes a & \mapsto & m a
\end{array}
$$

such that the Leibniz rule holds

$$
d_{M}(m a)=d_{M}(m) a+(-1)^{j} d_{\Gamma}(a)
$$

for all $m \in M_{i}^{j}$ and all $a \in \Gamma$. For two dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules $M$ and $N$, we define $\mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}(M, N)$ to be the dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{d g}^{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{k})}(M, N)$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}(M, N)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{d g}^{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{k})}(M, N) \mid f(m a)=f(m) a \text { for any } m \in M, a \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

The category $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ of $d g \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules is the category whose objects are the dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules, and whose morphisms are $Z^{0} \mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}(M, N)_{0}$, which consisting of the morphisms $f \in \mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}(M, N)$ of bi-degree 0 satisfying $d(f)=0$. The homotopy category $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ is the category whose objects are the $\operatorname{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules, and whose morphisms are $H^{0} \mathcal{H o m}{ }_{\Gamma}(M, N)_{0}$. The homotopy category $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ is a triangulated category whose suspension functor is the given by $M \mapsto M[1]$. The derived category $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ of dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules is the localization of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ at the full subcategory of acyclic $\operatorname{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules. Note that in each of the categories $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma), H(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, the $\operatorname{map} M \mapsto M[\mathbb{X}]$ induces an exact/triangle equivalence.

Definition 1.1. For any $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, define the double graded Hom

$$
\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right):=\bigoplus_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]\right)
$$

and its $\mathbf{q}$-dimension as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right):=\sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $X_{1}=X_{2}=X, \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(X, X)$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded algebra, called the Extalgebra of $X$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(X, X)$.

By definition, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left.\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right|_{\mathbf{q}=1}
$$

Remark 1.2. Let $A=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{i}$ be an ordinary graded algebra. Regard it as a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebra with $A^{0}=A$ and $A^{j}=0$, for $j \neq 0$. Then the derived category of graded $A$-modules coincides with $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

A morphism $s: L \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if its induced map $H^{*}(s): H^{*}(L) \rightarrow H^{*}(M)$ is an isomorphism. A dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module $P$ is called cofibrant if

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(P, L) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(P, s)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(P, M)
$$

is surjective for each quasi-isomorphism $s: L \rightarrow M$ that is surjective in each component. Let $P$ be a cofibrant dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module. Then the canonical map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)}(P, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)}(P, N)
$$

is bijective for all $\mathrm{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module $N$. The canonical projection from $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ admits a left adjoint functor $\mathbf{p}$ which sends a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module $M$ to a cofibrant dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-module $\mathbf{p} M$ quasi-isomorphic to $M$. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)}(M, N)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{p} M, N)=H^{0} \mathcal{H o m} m_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p} M, N)_{0}
$$

The perfect derived category $\operatorname{per}(\Gamma)$ is the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ containing $\Gamma$ and which is stable under taking shifts (i.e. $[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}], \varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), extensions and direct summands. The finite dimensional derived category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}(\Gamma)$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ consisting of those $\mathrm{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules whose homology is of finite total dimension.

For any dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\Gamma$-modules $M$ and $N$, define

$$
\mathbf{R H o m}(M, N)=\mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p} M, \mathbf{p} N)
$$

Taking homology, we have

$$
H^{*}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R H o m }}(M, N))=\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(M, N)
$$

For any object $T \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$, denote by $\langle T\rangle$ the closure of $T$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ under shifts (i.e. $[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}], \varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z})$, extensions and direct summands (e.g. $\langle\Gamma\rangle=\operatorname{per}(\Gamma)$ ). We have the following derived Morita equivalence (an $\mathbb{X}$ variation of [8, Theorem in Section 4.3]).

Theorem 1.3. There is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear triangle equivalence

$$
\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(T,-):\langle T\rangle \simeq \operatorname{per}(\mathbf{R H o m}(T, T))
$$

1.2. Dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded quiver algebras. A quiver $Q$ consists of a set $Q_{0}$ of vertices, a set $Q_{1}$ of arrows, and two functions $s, t: Q_{1} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ sending an arrow to its starting and ending vertices, respectively. We denote an arrow by $a: s(a) \rightarrow t(a)$.
A (nontrivial) path $\rho$ of length $s>0$ in $Q$ is a sequence $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}$ of arrows with $t\left(a_{i}\right)=s\left(a_{i+1}\right)$ for $1 \leq i<s$. The starting and ending of a path $\rho=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}$ are $s(\rho)=s\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $t(\rho)=t\left(a_{s}\right)$, respectively. The composition of paths $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ is $\rho \rho^{\prime}$ if it is again a path (i.e. $t(\rho)=s\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ ), or zero otherwise. To each vertex $v \in Q_{0}$, there is an associated trivial path $e_{v}$ of length 0 with $s\left(e_{v}\right)=t\left(e_{v}\right)=v$. The path algebra $\mathbf{k} Q$ of $Q$ is the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra whose basis is the family of (trivial or nontrivial) paths and whose multiplication is given by the composition of paths.
A $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}$-graded (or $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded for short) quiver is a triple $\left(Q,|\cdot|_{1},|\cdot|_{2}\right)$, where $Q$ is a quiver and $|\cdot|_{1}$ and $|\cdot|_{2}$ are maps from $Q_{1}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. An arrow $a$ in $Q_{1}$ is called to have bi-degree $\operatorname{deg}(a)=\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}$ if $|a|_{1}=\varrho$ and $|a|_{2}=\varsigma$. Any non-trivial path $\rho=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}$ has bi-degree

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left|a_{i}\right|_{1}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left|a_{i}\right|_{2}\right) \mathbb{X}
$$

and any trivial path has bi-degree 0 . Thus, the path algebra $\mathbf{k} Q$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded algebra.
A differential on a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded quiver $Q$ is a map $d: Q_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} Q$ such that for any $a \in Q_{1}$, $d(a)$ is a linear combination of paths $p$ of bi-degree $\operatorname{deg}(a)+1$ with $s(a)=s(p)$ and $t(a)=t(p)$, and such that if we extend $d$ to a $\operatorname{map} \mathbf{k} Q \rightarrow \mathbf{k} Q$ linearly and by the Leibniz rule, then $d \circ d=0$. Thus, $\Gamma:=(\mathbf{k} Q, d)$ is a $\operatorname{dg} \mathbb{X}$-graded algebra.
Let $S_{i}$ be the simple $\Gamma$-module corresponding to a vertex $i$ in $Q_{0}$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}=\bigoplus_{i \in Q_{0}} S_{i}
$$

By [10, Appendix A.15], we have the following result (cf. also [11, Lemma 2.15]).
Theorem 1.4. A basis of the Ext-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ is formed by

$$
\pi_{a}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{j}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]
$$

where $a$ is an arrow of bi-degree $(1-\varrho)-\varsigma \mathbb{X}$ or a trivial path at $i$ with $i=j$ and $\varrho=\varsigma=0$. Moreover, there is an $\mathbb{X}$-graded $A_{\infty}$ structure on $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ given by

$$
m_{r}\left(\pi_{a_{1}}, \pi_{a_{2}}, \cdots, \pi_{a_{r}}\right)=\pi_{b}
$$

whenever $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{r}$ appears in the expression of $d(b)$, and such that there is a quasiisomorphism

$$
\operatorname{RHom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})
$$

of $\mathbb{X}$-graded $A_{\infty}$ algebras.
We have the following useful consequence of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.
Corollary 1.5. There is a triangle equivalence

$$
\mathcal{D}_{f d}(\Gamma) \simeq \operatorname{per}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ is equipped with the $\mathbb{X}$-graded $A_{\infty}$ structure in Theorem 1.4.

## 2. Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ categories from graded decorated marked surfaces

In this section, we introduce the topological model for Calabi-Yau-X version of graded gentle algebras.
2.1. Marked surfaces with line field. We partially follow [5, 14]. A marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ is a compact oriented surface with non-empty boundary $\partial \mathbf{S}$ and with two finite sets $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ of marked points on $\partial \mathbf{S}$ satisfying that each connected component of $\partial \mathbf{S}$ contains the same number (at least one) of marked points in $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, and they are alternative. We call the points in $\mathbf{M}$ (resp. Y) open (resp. closed) marked points.

Let $\mathbb{P T S}$ be the real projectivization of the tangent bundle of $\mathbf{S}$. Take a line filed, or grading $\lambda$ of $\mathbf{S}$, that is, a section $\lambda: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}$. The projection $\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ with $\mathbb{R P}^{1} \simeq \mathrm{~S}^{1}$-fiber gives a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow 0
$$

or

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow 0
$$

or

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{S}}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The grading $\lambda$ is determined by a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S})([15$, Lemma 1.2]), denoted by $[\lambda]$, induced from a split of $\pi_{\mathbf{S}}$ (i.e. $\pi_{\mathbf{S}}([\lambda])=1$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right)$ ). Such a class is equivalent to a split of $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S})$ or a split of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S})$, as $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is the abelianization of $\pi_{1}$.

Definition 2.1. A graded marked surface $\mathbf{S}^{\lambda}=(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$ consists of a marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ and a line filed $\lambda$.

Let $\mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}^{\lambda}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-bundle of $\mathbf{S}$ constructed by gluing $\mathbb{Z}$ copies of $\mathbb{P T S}$ cut by $\lambda$. Thus, $\lambda$ determines a $\mathbb{Z}$-covering

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}: \mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which sends the 0 in the fiber $\mathbb{R} T_{p} \mathbf{S}^{\lambda} \cong \mathbb{R}$ to $\lambda(p)$ for any point $p$ in $\mathbf{S}$.
A morphism $(f, \widetilde{f}):(\mathbf{S}, \lambda) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{S}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ between two graded marked surfaces is an orientation preserving local diffeomorphism $f: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ such that it sends marked points to marked points and $[\lambda]=f^{*}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$, regarding $[\lambda] \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S})$, together with a map $\widetilde{f}: \mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}^{\prime \lambda^{\prime}}$ that fits into the commutative diagram


There is a natural automorphism on $(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$, called the grading shift [1], which rotates $\lambda: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}$ clockwise by an angle of $\pi$. Equivalently, [1] is (the identity map together with) the deck transformation of (2.1).
2.2. Graded DMS. Let $(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$ be a graded marked surface. The decorated marked surface (or $D M S$ for short) $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{S}$ by decorating a set $\triangle$ of points in the interior of $\mathbf{S}$, such that $|\triangle|=|\mathbf{Y}|=|\mathbf{M}|$. The points in $\triangle$ are called decorations. A cut $\mathbf{c}$ is a set of curves on $\mathbf{S}$, pairing (connecting) points in $\triangle$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ without intersections nor self-intersections.
Let $\mathbb{P} T(\mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle)$ be the real projectivization of the tangent bundle of $\mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle$. By abuse of notation, we will write $\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}=\mathbb{P} T(\mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle)$. A grading $\Lambda$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$, with value $(1,0)$ on each clockwise loop $\{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$ on $\mathbb{P} T_{p} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ for $p \notin \triangle$ and value $(-2,1)$ on each clockwise loop $l_{Z} \times\{x\}$ on $\mathbf{S}$ around any $Z \in \triangle, x \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For any simple loop $\alpha$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, we denote $\Lambda(\alpha)=\left(\Lambda_{1}(\alpha), \Lambda_{2}(\alpha)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, where $\Lambda_{1}$ is called the first grading and $\Lambda_{2}$ is called the second grading.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$ be a graded marked surface with a cut c. A grading $\Lambda$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is called compatible with $\lambda$ and $\mathbf{c}$ if $\Lambda_{1}(\alpha)=\lambda(\alpha)$, for any simple loop $\alpha$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ that does not intersect with c. A graded $D M S \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ of $(\mathbf{S}, \lambda, \mathbf{c})$ consists of the DMS $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and a grading $\Lambda$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ that is compatible with $\lambda$ and $\mathbf{c}$. For simplicity, we say $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ a graded DMS whenever it is a graded DMS of some ( $\mathbf{S}, \lambda, \mathbf{c}$ ).

For any graded $\mathrm{DMS} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$, the first grading $\Lambda_{1}$ gives rise to a section $\Lambda_{1}: \mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle \rightarrow \mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, which determines a $\mathbb{Z}$-covering

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}_{\triangle}: \mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} T \mathbf{S}_{\triangle} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

similar as (2.1).
2.3. Graded curves and intersection index. Let $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ be a graded DMS. Denote by $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}=\mathbf{S} \backslash(\partial \mathbf{S} \cup \triangle)$. For a curve $c:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, we always assume $c(t) \in \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ for any $t \in(0,1)$. The inverse $\bar{c}$ of a curve $c$ is defined by $\bar{c}(t)=c(1-t)$ for any $t \in[0,1]$.
A grading $\widetilde{c}$ on a curve $c$ is given by a family of (homotopy classes of) paths in $\mathbb{P} T_{c(t)} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ from $\Lambda_{1}(c(t))$ to $\dot{c}(t)$, varying continuously with $t \in(0,1)$. The pair $(c, \widetilde{c})$ is called a graded curve, and will be simply denoted by $\widetilde{c}$ usually. Alternatively, a graded curve $\widetilde{c}$ is a lift of the tangents $\dot{c}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, in the covering (2.2), of a usual curve $c$ on $\mathbf{S}$, . Note that there are $\mathbb{Z}$ lifts of $c$, which are related by shift gradings that $\widetilde{c}[m](t)=\widetilde{c}(t)+m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For any graded curves $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ which are in minimal position with respect to each other, let $p=\sigma\left(t_{1}\right)=\tau\left(t_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle$ be a point (which is possibly in $\mathbf{M}$ ) where $\sigma$ and $\tau$ intersect transversally. The intersection index [5] of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ at $p$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})=\widetilde{\sigma}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \kappa \cdot \widetilde{\tau}^{-1}\left(t_{2}\right) \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P} T_{p} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $\kappa$ is (the homotopy class of) the path in $\mathbb{P} T_{p} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ from $\dot{\sigma}\left(t_{1}\right)$ to $\dot{\tau}\left(t_{2}\right)$ given by clockwise rotation by an angle smaller than $\pi$. Equivalently, the intersection index
$\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})$ is the shift $[i]$ such that the lift $\left.\widetilde{\tau}[i]\right|_{p}$ is in the interval

$$
\left(\left.\widetilde{\sigma}\right|_{p},\left.\widetilde{\sigma}[1]\right|_{p}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} T_{p} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle} \cong \mathbb{R}
$$

By definition, we have the following equalities.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\alpha}$ be graded curves which intersect transversely at $p \in \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ in clockwise order as in Figure 1. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\alpha})=\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\alpha}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have (see [5, Equation (2.5)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\sigma})=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Curves intersect at the same point in clockwise
The notion of intersection index can be generalized to the case $p \in \triangle$ as in [14]. Fix a small circle $l \subset \mathbf{S} \backslash \triangle$ around $p$. Let $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow l$ be an embedded arc which moves clockwise around $l$, such that $\alpha$ intersect $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ at $\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha(1)$, respectively (cf. Figure 2). The arc $\alpha$ is unique up to a change of parametrization. Fixing an arbitrary grading $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on $\alpha$, the intersection index $\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}):=\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(0)}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\alpha})-\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(1)}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\alpha}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Intersection index at a decoration
Note that formula (2.5) can be regraded as the decorated intersection version of (2.3). The decorated intersection version of (2.4) is the following.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}$ be graded curves which intersect transversely at $p \in \triangle$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\sigma})=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Loops around decorations
Proof. The first grading $\Lambda_{1}$ takes value -2 for any loop around a decoration with a fixed direction as in the left picture of Figure 3. Thus the grading changes 0 when going around a decoration with the tangent direction of the circle, as in the right picture of Figure 3. This implies the equation (2.6).
2.4. Log DMS. Next, we introduce the log DMS to unwind the second grading $\Lambda_{2}$ of DMS.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ be a graded DMS. The $\log D M S \log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ by

- taking $\mathbb{Z}$ copies of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, denoted by $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- cutting each sheet along all arcs $c_{i}^{m} \in \mathbf{c}^{m}$, where $\mathbf{c}^{m}$ is the $m$-th copy of the cut c, denoting by $c_{i \pm}^{m}$ the cut marks, and
- gluing $c_{i+}^{m}$ with $c_{i-}^{m+1}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbf{c}$ (see Figure 4).

The $\log$ surface $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ inherits the grading from the first grading $\Lambda_{1}$. The copy $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{m}$ is called the $m$-th sheet of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.
Denote by $\pi_{\triangle}: \log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ the covering map. The deck transformation is denoted by $[\mathbb{X}]$ and called the $\mathbb{X}$-grading shift. For any graded curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ onn $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ in a minimal position with respect to the cut $\mathbf{c}$, there are $\mathbb{Z}$ lifts $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}$ of a graded curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ on $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ (i.e. $\pi_{\Delta}(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}})=\widetilde{\eta}$, which are related by $\mathbb{X}$-grading shifts $[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$, $\varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Any lift $\widetilde{\eta}$ on $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ of a graded curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is called a double graded curve on $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$. Similar to the $\mathbb{R} T \mathbf{S}^{\lambda}$ case, the double graded curve $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}$ really lives in $\mathbb{R} T \log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda_{1}}$.

For any lifts $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$ in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ of graded curves $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$, we call an intersection $p$ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ is an intersection of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ with bi-index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\tau})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}}) \mathbb{X} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Log surfaces via cuts
where $_{\operatorname{ind}}^{p}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}):=\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\varsigma$ if

- $p \notin \Delta$ and some lift of $p$ is an intersection between $\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ and $\tilde{\widetilde{\tau}}[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$;
- $p \in \triangle$ and
- either the segments of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$ near $p$ are in the same sheet of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and the angle from $\tilde{\sigma}$ to $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$ clockwise around $p$ does not cross the cut $\mathbf{c}$ (see the left picture of Figure 5);
- or the segments of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}[(\varsigma-1) \mathbb{X}]$ near $p$ are in the same sheet of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and the angle from $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ to $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}[(\varsigma-1) \mathbb{X}]$ clockwise around $p$ crosses the cut $\mathbf{c}$ (see the right picture of Figure 5).


Figure 5. $\mathbb{X}$-index $\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})$ at a decoration
We generalize equations (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) to the bi-index version, which will be used later.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$ be double graded curves in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ with an intersection $p \in \mathbf{S} \backslash \partial \mathbf{S}$. If $p \notin \triangle$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})=1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p \in \triangle$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\sigma})=\mathbb{X} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}, \widetilde{\sigma})= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p \notin \triangle \\ \mathbb{X} & \text { if } p \in \triangle\end{cases}
$$

Combining this with (2.4) and (2.6), we have the required formulas.
Lemma 2.7. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\alpha}$ be double graded curves on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$. If they are in the case in Figure 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\alpha})=\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\gamma})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\gamma}}, \tilde{\alpha}) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If they are in the case in Figure 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\alpha})-\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For the case in Figure 1, by definition, we have

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\alpha})=\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\gamma})+\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\gamma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\alpha}})
$$

So we deduce (2.10) by (2.3).
For the case in Figure 2, by (2.5), we only need to show

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{X}}(\approx \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})=\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(0)}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\alpha})-\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(1)}^{\mathbb{X}}(\approx \tilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}})
$$

Assume $\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\varsigma$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(0)}^{\mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\alpha})=\varsigma^{\prime}$. By definition, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ are in one of the cases in Figure 5 and $\tilde{\alpha}\left[\varsigma^{\prime} \mathbb{X}\right]$ crosses $\tilde{\sigma}$ at some lift of $\alpha(0)$. Then in both cases in Figure 5, we have that $\widetilde{\alpha}\left[\varsigma^{\prime} \mathbb{X}\right]$ crosses $\widetilde{\tau}[\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$ at some lift of $\alpha(1)$. So we have $\operatorname{ind}_{\alpha(1)}(\widetilde{\tilde{\tau}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}})=\varsigma^{\prime}-\varsigma$, which implies the required equality.

For any $(\varrho, \varsigma) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, we denote by $\cap^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})$ the set of intersections between $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ with bi-index $\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}$. We will use the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{\triangle}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}):=\left|\cap^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}) \cap \triangle\right|, \\
& \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}}):=\left|\cap^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}) \cap \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}\right|, \\
& \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}):=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \operatorname{Int}_{\triangle}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})+\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}),
\end{aligned}
$$

for the bi-index $(\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X})$ intersection numbers at decorations, in the interior, and at all of $\mathbf{S} \backslash \partial \mathbf{S}$, respectively. The total intersection

$$
\operatorname{Int}_{?}(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Int}_{?}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})
$$

is the sum over all indices, where $?=\triangle, \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.
The following notion is useful in the paper.

Definition 2.8 (Extension of curves). Let $\sigma, \tau$ be two curves in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ with $\sigma(0)=\tau(0) \in$ $\triangle$. The (positive) extension $\tau \wedge \sigma$ of $\tau$ by $\sigma$ (with respect to the common starting point) is defined in Figure 6, which consists of the operation so-called smoothing out at such an intersection and possibly (at most) one operation-the unknotting a non-admissible intersection.


Figure 6. The extension as smoothing out and unkontting

Let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ be double graded curves on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ with underlying curves $\sigma$ and $\tau$, respectively. The extension $\widetilde{\tau} \wedge \widetilde{\sigma}$ is the double graded curve whose underlying curves is $\sigma \wedge \widetilde{\tau}$ and which inherits the double grading from $\widetilde{\tau}$.

### 2.5. Types of curves and q-intersections.

Definition 2.9. We have the following types of curves in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.

- A curve $c$ is called open (resp. closed) if $c(0)$ and $c(1)$ are open marked points (resp. decorations), i.e., in $\mathbf{M}$ (resp. $\triangle$ ).
- An open arc is an open curve without self-intersections in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$. We call two open arcs do not cross each other if they do not have intersections in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$.
- A closed arc is a closed curve without self-intersections in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ and whose two endpoints are not the same decoration.
- A closed curve is called admissible if it does not cut out a once-decorated monogon by one of its self-intersections in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$. See the upper right picture in Figure 6 for the failure of the condition.

We always consider open/closed curves up to taking inverse and homotopy relative to endpoints.

By definition, any closed arc is an admissible closed curve. Denote by $\mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \subset$ $\mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, respectively, the set of closed arcs and the set of admissible closed curves, and denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, respectively, the set of graded closed arcs and the set of graded admissible closed curves.

For any $\widetilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we call any of its lifts in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ a double graded closed arc; for any $\widetilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{A C}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we call any of its lifts in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ a double graded admissible closed curve in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{CA}^{\mathbb{X}}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \subset \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, respectively, the set of double graded closed arcs and the set of double graded admissible closed curves.
Definition 2.10 ( $\mathbf{q}$-intersections). The $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{q}$-intersection of $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})= & \sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{Int}_{\triangle}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\tau}) \\
& +\left(1+\mathbf{q}^{\mathbb{X}-1}\right) \sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we have $\left.\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(-,-)\right|_{\mathbf{q}=1}=2 \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}(-,-)$.
Define the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{q}$-intersection of a lift $\widetilde{\gamma}$ of a graded open curve $\gamma$ and $\widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}^{\mathbb{X}}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\gamma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\gamma}}, \widetilde{\tau}) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2.6. $\mathbf{D g} \mathbb{X}$-graded quiver algebras from surfaces.

Definition 2.11. An (open) full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ of a graded DMS $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ is a collection of pairwise non-crossing graded open arcs which do not intersect the cut $\mathbf{c}$, such that it divides the surface $\mathbf{S}$ into polygons, called $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygons, satisfying that each $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon contains exactly one decoration in $\triangle$ (or equivalently, contains exactly one arc in the cut $\mathbf{c}$ ).

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$. Note that any arc $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ does not cross any arc in the cut $\mathbf{c}$. So we have the lifts $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ of $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$, with each $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{m}$ in the sheet $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{m}$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}:=\cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{m}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{m}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.

Definition 2.12 (Arc segments). Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ be a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$. An arc segment is a curve $\rho:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ without self-intersections and such that its interior is in the interior of an $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$ and whose endpoints are on the edges of $P$. Any arc segment $\rho$ will be considered up to isotopy with respect to the interior of the edges of $P$.
An arc segment $\rho$ in $P$ is called positive (resp. negative), if the decoration in $P$ is on the right (resp. left) hand side of $\rho$ when going from $\rho(0)$ to $\rho(1)$. Note that the inverse of an arc segment $\rho$ in $P$ has the opposite sign of $\rho$. See the green arc segments in Figure 7.

Define an equivalent relation on positive arc segments, that $\rho_{1} \sim \rho_{2}$ if and only if $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are in two neighbor $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygons and form a digon (see Figure 8).


Figure 7. Signs of two pairs of arc segments


Figure 8. The equivalent relation on positive arc segments
For any positive arc segment $\rho$, we denote by $[\rho]$ the class of double graded curves on $\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}$ whose underlying curve is equivalent to $\rho$. Let $\mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ the set of classes $[\rho]$ of positive arc segments $\rho$. For any $\left[\rho_{1}\right],\left[\rho_{2}\right] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$, if there are representatives $\widetilde{\rho_{1}^{\prime}} \in\left[\rho_{1}\right]$ and $\widetilde{\rho_{2}^{\prime}} \in\left[\rho_{2}\right]$ such that the composition $\rho_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \rho_{2}^{\prime}$ is again a positive arc segment, we define $\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]=\left[\rho_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \rho_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ (see Figure 9).


Figure 9. Composition of positive arc segments
A class $[\rho]$ is called trivial if $\rho$ is isotopy to a segment of an arc in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, i.e. the ones in the left picture of Figure 8. A class $[\rho]$ is called loop-type if its endpoints are in the same arc of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, and together with that arc, it encloses a decoration as shown in the right picture of Figure 8.

Definition 2.13. For a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}^{\Lambda}$, there is an associated $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}=) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded quiver $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ with a map $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}:\left(Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ given by the following data.

- The vertices of $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ are (indexed by) the open arcs in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}: i:=\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Each non-trivial $[\rho] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\rho(0) \in \gamma_{i}$ and $\rho(1) \in \gamma_{j}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded arrow $b_{[p]}: i \rightarrow j$ of bi-degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right):=\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\approx \tilde{\widetilde{\rho}}$ is an arbitrary representative in $[\rho]$.

- The map $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right)=\sum_{[\rho]=\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]}(-1)^{\left.\mid b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right]_{1}} b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over all pairs $\left(\left[\rho_{1}\right],\left[\rho_{2}\right]\right)$ of non-trivial positive arc segments $\left[\rho_{1}\right],\left[\rho_{2}\right] \in \mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ satisfying $[\rho]=\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]$.

Lemma 2.14. For any nontrivial $\left[\rho_{1}\right],\left[\rho_{2}\right] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ such that $\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]$ exists, we have

$$
\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right|_{1}=\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right|_{1}+\left|b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right|_{1}-1 .
$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{k}$ be the graded arcs in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ where $\rho_{1}(0), \rho_{1}(1), \rho_{2}(1)$ are, respectively (cf. Figure 9). For any grading $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\rho$, we may take the gradings $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}$ of $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ respectively such that $\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{2}(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}, \widetilde{\rho}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{1}(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\rho}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\rho}\right)$. Then we have $\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{1}(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, \widetilde{\rho}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{2}(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}\right)+1$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{[\rho]}\right|_{1} & =\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}, \widetilde{\rho}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\rho}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{2}(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{1}(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\rho}_{1}\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{2}(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{2}(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, \widetilde{\rho}_{2}\right)\right)+\left(\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{1}(1)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, \widetilde{\rho}_{1}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho_{1}(0)}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\rho}_{1}\right)\right)-1 \\
& =\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right|_{1}+\left|b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right|_{1}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.15. The map $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is a differential. That is, $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{2}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right)=0$ for any non-trivial $[\rho] \in \mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$.

Proof. The statement follows from a direct calculation. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{2}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right) \\
& =d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\left(\sum_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]\left[\rho_{2}\right]=[\rho]}(-1)^{\left.\mid b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right]_{1}} b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]\left[\rho_{2}\right]=\rho}(-1)^{\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right|_{1}}\left(d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\left(\left[b_{\left.\rho_{1}\right]}\right]\right) b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}+(-1)^{\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right|_{1}} b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]} d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\left(b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]\left[\rho_{2}\right]\left[\rho_{3}\right]=[\rho]}(-1)^{\left.\left.\left|b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right|_{1}+\mid b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}\right] \rho_{2}\right]}{ }^{1} 1 b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{3}\right]}+\sum_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]\left[\rho_{2}\right]\left[\rho_{3}\right]=[\rho]}(-1)^{\left.\mid b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]}\right]_{1}} b_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]} b_{\left[\rho_{3}\right]} \\
& =0 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the last equalities hold due to Leibniz rule and Lemma 2.14 respectively.

So $\left(\mathbf{k} Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}, d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ gives a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebra $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ (cf. Section 1.2), after extending $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ linearly to a map from $\mathbf{k} Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ to $\mathbf{k} Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ of bi-degree 1 via the Leibniz rule.
Remark 2.16. Note that, for any $[\rho] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ of loop-type, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right)=1-\mathbb{X}$. Moreover, (classes of) positive arc segments in $\mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ come in pairs, namely,

- a loop-type/trivial arc segment will be paired with a trivial/loop-type arc segment, where their endpoints are in the same arc of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$.
- any other (non-trivial, non-loop-type) $[\rho] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $b_{[\rho]}: i \rightarrow j$ can be uniquely paired with $[\rho]^{*} \in \mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $b_{[\rho]^{*}}: j \rightarrow i$, such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{[\rho]}\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{[\rho]^{*}}\right)=2-\mathbb{X} .
$$

For example, the green arcs in the first two pictures in Figure 7 are paired together.

Let $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ be the $\mathbb{X}$-degree zero part of $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$, i.e. the quiver with the same vertices and with arrows $b$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(b) \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{X}$. The property above basically means $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ double of $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$, in the sense of [12, Def. 6.2]. Equivalently, for any pair $\left([\rho],[\rho]^{*}\right)$, where neither of them is trivial nor loop-type, exactly one of $b_{[\rho]}$ and $b_{[\rho]^{*}}$ is in $Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$.

Let $\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ be the differential graded subalgebra of $\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ given by $\mathbf{k} Q_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ with the induced differential $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$. Then $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is the Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$ completion of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ (see [10]). So $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ is Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$, i.e., $\mathbb{X}$ is the Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.
Definition 2.17. An object $M$ in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ is called $\mathbb{X}$-spherical if

$$
\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(M, M)=\mathbf{q}^{0}+\mathbf{q}^{\mathbb{X}} .
$$

An $\mathbb{X}$-spherical object $M$ in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ induces an auto-equivalence $\phi_{M} \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$, called spherical twist [21], by

$$
\mathbf{R H o m}(M, X) \otimes M \rightarrow X \rightarrow \phi_{M}(X) \rightarrow(\mathbf{R H o m}(M, X) \otimes M)[1]
$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$. By [21, Lemma 2.11], we have the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\psi(M)}=\psi \circ \psi_{M} \circ \psi^{-1} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any spherical object $M$ and any $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.
Notations 2.18. We denote by $S_{i}$ the simple $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-m o d u l e ~ c o r r e s p o n d i n g ~ t o ~}} \widetilde{\gamma}_{i} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, by $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded Ext-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$, and by add $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded category consisting of the indecomposable direct summands of $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$. Note that $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, can be regarded as the indecomposable direct summands of $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$.

By the construction (2.15) of $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$, the $A_{\infty}$ structure introduced in Theorem 1.4 is an ordinary associated multiplication. So by Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.19. There is a triangle equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The morphisms in the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded category $\operatorname{add} \mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ can be described in the following way.

- Each non-trivial $[\rho] \in \mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\rho(0) \in \gamma_{i}$ and $\rho(1) \in \gamma_{j}$ induces a morphism $\pi_{[\rho]}:=\pi_{b_{[\rho]}}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{j}$ of bi-degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{[\rho]}\right)=1-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{\rho}\right)+\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\rho}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}$ is an arbitrary representative in $[\rho]$; each trivial $[\rho] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\rho(0), \rho(1) \in \gamma_{i}$ induces the identity $\pi_{[\rho]}=\operatorname{id}_{S_{i}}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$ of bi-degree 0 . All of $\pi_{[\rho]},[\rho] \in \operatorname{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\rho(0) \in \gamma_{i}$ and $\rho(1) \in \gamma_{j}$, form a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(S_{i}, S_{j}\right)$.

- The compositions are given by

$$
\pi_{\left[\rho_{2}\right]} \circ \pi_{\left[\rho_{1}\right]}= \begin{cases}\pi_{[\rho]} & \text { if }\left[\rho_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\rho_{2}\right]=[\rho]  \tag{2.19}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Notations 2.20. For any representative $\widetilde{\tilde{\rho}} \in[\rho] \in \mathrm{AS}^{+}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ with $\rho(0) \in \gamma_{i}$ and $\rho(1) \in \gamma_{j}$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\widetilde{\rho}}: S_{i}\left[-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right)\right] \rightarrow S_{j}\left[-\operatorname{ind}_{\rho(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{\rho}\right)\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

the morphism of bi-degree 1 induced by $\pi_{[\rho]}$.
2.7. The braid twists. The mapping class group $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ of a DMS $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ consists of the isotopy classes of the homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{S}$ that fix $\partial \mathbf{S}$ pointwise and fix $\triangle$ setwise. Recall from [16, Section 3.3] that for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, the associated braid twist $B_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{MCG}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ is defined as in Figure 10. We have the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\Psi(\alpha)}=\Psi \circ B_{\alpha} \circ \Psi^{-1} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and any $\Psi \in \operatorname{MCG}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$.


Figure 10. The braid twist
Definition 2.21. [16] The braid twist group $\mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ is the subgroup of the mapping class group $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ generated by the braid twists $B_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and any $\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, the braid twist $B_{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma})$ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ along $\alpha$ is the double graded curve whose underlying curve is $B_{\alpha}(\sigma)$ and which inherits the double grading from $\widetilde{\sigma}$. Here, inherit means that we can apply the braid twist on each sheet of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.
Definition 2.22. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \cdots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n}\right\}$ be a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$. Let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in$ $\widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ without self-intersections in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$. We call $\widetilde{\sigma}$ the dual to $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ if $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ intersects it once with intersection index 0 and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}, j \neq i$, does not intersect it. Denote by $\widetilde{s}_{i}$ the dual to $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ and by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}=\left\{\widetilde{s}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.

Note that any $\widetilde{s}_{i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}$ does not cross any arc in the cut $\mathbf{c}$ except for the endpoints. So we have the lifts $\widetilde{s}_{i}^{m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ of $\widetilde{s}_{i}$, with each $\widetilde{s}_{i}^{m}$ in the sheet $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{m}$. We denote by $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}=\left\{\widetilde{s}_{i}^{m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and by $\mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}=\left\{s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}\right\}$ the ungraded version of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}$.

Definition 2.23. We define $\operatorname{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ to be the subgroup of $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ generated by $B_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*} \cap \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$.

The following decomposition of a curve in $\widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ will be used frequently (cf. [16, Lemma 3.14]).
Lemma 2.24. Let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\operatorname{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $Z \in \triangle$ in an $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$ which $\sigma$ crosses. Take any line segment $l$ in $P$ from $Z$ to a point $p$ in an interior segment of $\sigma$ such that the
interior of $l$ does not cross $\sigma$. Let $\alpha$ be the composition of $l$ and the segment of $\sigma$ from $p$ to $\sigma(0)$ and $\beta$ be the composition of $l$ and the segment of $\sigma$ from $p$ to $\sigma(1)$, see Figure 11. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ be the double graded curves whose underlying graded curves are $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively and which inherit the double gradings from $\widetilde{\sigma}$. Then we have
(1) $\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}\right)+\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)=\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
(2) $\widetilde{\sigma}=\widetilde{\beta} \wedge \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}$.

In the case where $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $Z$ is not an endpoint of $\tilde{\sigma}$, we have further,
(3) $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}})=\frac{1}{2}$,
(4) $\alpha \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}=B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\tilde{\beta}})$.


Figure 11. A decompostion of a curve in $\widetilde{\mathrm{AC}^{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$
Proof. (1) follows from that $\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}}$ inherit the double gradings from $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and (2) follows from the definition of extension $\wedge$ in Definition 2.8. In the case where $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}{ }^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $Z$ is not an endpoint of $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}, Z$ is the only intersection of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$, so we have (3). (4) follows from the definition of braid twist.

## 3. String model on log DMS

Throughout the rest of this paper, $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ is a graded DMS and $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \cdots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n}\right\}$ is a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}^{\Lambda}$ with its dual $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\Delta}^{*}=\left\{\widetilde{s}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. We still use the notations in the previous section. That is, $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is the dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded quiver associated to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, defined by the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded quiver $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ and the differential $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$ are the simple $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ modules corresponding to $\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \cdots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n}$, respectively, and $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$. Note that each $S_{i}$ can be regarded as an indecomposable direct summand of $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$. We have already shown that there is a triangle equivalence (2.17)

$$
\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)
$$

3.1. String model. In this subsection, we shall associate to each curve $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ an object $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ in $\operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$, which then can be regarded as an object in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.
A string in $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ is a sequence of morphisms $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{p}$ of bi-degree 1 of shifts of indecomposable direct summands of $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega: S_{k_{0}}\left[\varrho_{0}+\varsigma_{0} \mathbb{X}\right] \stackrel{f_{1}}{-} S_{k_{1}}\left[\varrho_{1}+\varsigma_{1} \mathbb{X}\right] \stackrel{f_{2}}{-} \quad \stackrel{f_{p}}{-} S_{k_{p}}\left[\varrho_{p}+\varsigma_{p} \mathbb{X}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

- each $f_{i}$ is either from left to right, or from right to left;
- if both $f_{i}$ and $f_{i+1}$ point to the right, then $f_{i+1} \circ f_{i}=0$;
- if both $f_{i}$ and $f_{i+1}$ point to the left, then $f_{i} \circ f_{i+1}=0$.

The string $\omega$ gives rise to a dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$-module $X_{\omega}$ whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-m o d u l e}}$ is

$$
\left|X_{\omega}\right|=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p} S_{k_{i}}\left[\varrho_{i}+\varsigma_{i} \mathbb{X}\right]
$$

and whose differential is given by the $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq p$. By definition, $X_{\omega} \in \operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.
Construction 3.1. Let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ in a minimal position with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Suppose that $\widetilde{\sigma}$ intersects $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ at $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{p}$ in order, which are in $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{m_{0}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{p}^{m_{p}}$, respectively, and divide $\widetilde{\sigma}$ into segments $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}_{-1,0}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{0,1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma}_{p, p+1}$. Since the underlying $\sigma_{0,1}, \cdots, \sigma_{p-1, p}$ of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}_{0,1}, \cdots, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}_{p-1, p}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ are (positive or negative) arc segments (which are called the arc segments of $\sigma$ ), we can define
where

$$
f_{i}= \begin{cases}\pi \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}_{i-1, i} & \text { if } \sigma_{i-1, i} \text { is positive } \\ \pi \overline{\widetilde{\sigma}}_{i-1, i} & \text { if } \sigma_{i-1, i} \text { is negative }\end{cases}
$$

$1 \leq i \leq p$, and $\chi_{j}=-\operatorname{ind}_{V_{j}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{j}}^{0}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right), 0 \leq j \leq p$, see Figure 12, where $V_{-1}=\sigma(0)$ and $V_{p+1}=\sigma(1)$.

Lemma 3.2. $\omega(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})$ is a string.
Proof. This follows from that if both $f_{i}$ and $f_{i+1}$ points to the right (resp. left), then the corresponding positive arc segments $\sigma_{i-1, i}$ and $\sigma_{i, i+1}$ (resp. $\overline{\sigma_{i, i+1}}$ and $\overline{\sigma_{i-1, i}}$ ) have no composition, which implies $f_{i+1} \circ f_{i}=0$ (resp. $f_{i} \circ f_{i+1}=0$ ) by (2.19).
Notations 3.3. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C} \mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{A}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we denote by $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}=X_{\omega(\widetilde{\sigma})}$.
By construction, we have $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}=X_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}}$ and $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]}=X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]$, for any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}$.


Figure 12. Segments of $\widetilde{\sigma}$, cut out by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$
We have the following result as a graded version of [16, Lemma 5.7 and Corolary 5.8]. Here $\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}=e_{i} \Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ with $e_{i}$ the trivial path at $i$ in $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$.
Lemma 3.4. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right)=\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})$ be the one in (3.2). Since $\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, S_{k}\right)=\delta_{i, k}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, f_{j}\right)=0
$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$, as each $f_{j}$ corresponds to a non-trivial arc segment. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]\right) \\
= & \sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, S_{k_{j}}\left[-\operatorname{ind}_{V_{j}}^{\mathbb{Z}_{j}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{j}}^{0}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)+(\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X})\right]\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}^{\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}} \cdot \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}^{\varrho}+\varsigma \mathbb{X}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}^{0}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the required formula.
By Construction 3.1, we have $X_{\widetilde{S}_{i}^{0}}=S_{i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Conversely, we have the following.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. If $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}=S_{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}=\widetilde{s}_{j}^{0}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}}^{i}, X_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{i}, S_{j}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}$ crosses $\widetilde{\sigma}$ once and the intersection index is 0 , while any other $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}, i \neq j$, does not cross $\widetilde{\sigma}$. This implies that $\widetilde{\sigma}=\widetilde{s}_{j}^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, since the $\mathbb{X}$-index of the intersection between $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is zero, we have $m=0$.

Definition 3.6. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, if $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ is $\mathbb{X}$-spherical, we call it reachable $\mathbb{X}$ spherical. Denote by $\operatorname{Sph}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ the set of reachable $\mathbb{X}$-spherical objects. Let

$$
\operatorname{Sph}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)=\operatorname{Sph}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1],[\mathbb{X}]\rangle
$$

Define $\operatorname{ST}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ to be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ generated by $\phi_{S}$ for any $S \in$ $\operatorname{Sph}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.

As in [16], in this paper we also will consider $\operatorname{ST}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ} \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$, which is the quotient of Aut $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ by the subgroup consisting of the auto-equivalences that fix every object in $\operatorname{Sph}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.
Notations 3.7. Note that for any reachable $\mathbb{X}$-spherical object $X_{\tilde{\sigma}}$, the induced twist functor $\phi_{X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}}$ only depend on $\sigma \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S})$. Thus we will write $\phi_{X_{\sigma}}$ instead.
3.2. Morphisms induced by oriented angles at decorations. Now we take another $\widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}^{\mathbb{X}}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ whose underlying arc $\tau$ is in a minimal position with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\sigma$. Note that $\tau$ may coincide with $\sigma$. By Construction 3.1, there is a string associated to $\widetilde{\tau}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}): S_{l_{0}}\left[\chi_{0}^{\prime}\right] \xrightarrow{g_{1}} S_{l_{1}}\left[\chi_{1}^{\prime}\right] \xrightarrow[g_{2}]{\longrightarrow \xrightarrow[g_{q}]{ } S_{l_{q}}\left[\chi_{q}^{\prime}\right], ~} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{i}= \begin{cases}\pi_{\widetilde{\tau}_{i-1, i}} & \text { if } \tau_{i-1, i} \text { is positive } \\ \pi_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}_{i-1, i}} & \text { if } \tau_{i-1, i} \text { is negative }\end{cases}
$$

and $\chi_{j}^{\prime}=-\operatorname{ind}_{W_{j}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{l_{j}}, \widetilde{\tau}\right)$, see Figure 13 . Then we have an object $X_{\widetilde{\tau}}:=X_{\omega(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})} \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.


Figure 13. Segments of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$, cut out by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

Construction 3.8. Assume that $\sigma(0)=\tau(0)$. There is an angle $\theta(\sigma, \tau)$ from $\sigma$ to $\tau$ clockwise at the decoration $\sigma(0)=\tau(0)$. We construct a morphism

$$
\varphi(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}) \in \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}[\nu(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})]\right)
$$

of bi-degree 0 , for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})=\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{[\tau(-1,0) \wedge \sigma(-1,0)]}\right)+\chi_{0}-\chi_{0}^{\prime} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (2.18) for the notation $\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{[\rho]}\right)$ ) induced by $\theta(\sigma, \tau)$ via the sequence of morphisms

$$
\left\{\varphi_{s}: S_{k_{s}}\left[\chi_{s}\right] \rightarrow S_{l_{s}}\left[\chi_{s}^{\prime}+\nu(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})\right]\right\}_{s \geq 0}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{s}=\varphi(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})_{s}= \begin{cases}\pi_{[\tau(-1, s) \wedge \sigma(-1, s)]}\left[\chi_{s}\right] & \text { if } \tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1} \text { is a positive arc segment } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Here $\sigma(-1, s)$ (resp. $\tau(-1, s)$ ) denotes the segment of $\sigma$ (resp. $\tau$ ) from $V_{-1}$ to $V_{s}$ (resp. from $W_{-1}$ to $\left.W_{s}\right)$.

We now give explicit descriptions of $\varphi(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$ in different cases. By construction, if $\sigma_{-1,0}$ is not isotopic to $\tau_{-1,0}$ (i.e. $\sigma$ and $\tau$ separate at the beginning), the only non-zero component in $\varphi(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$ is $\varphi_{0}=\pi_{[\tau(-1,0) \wedge \sigma(-1,0)]}\left[\chi_{0}\right]$. See Figure 14, where the green arc segment $\rho=\tau_{-1,0} \wedge \sigma_{-1,0}$ is positive.


Figure 14. Cases of $\sigma_{-1,0} \nsim \tau_{-1,0}$

If $\sigma_{-1, s}$ is isotopic to $\tau_{-1, s}$ for some $s \geq 0$ then $\nu(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\chi_{0}-\chi_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{0}, \cdots, \varphi_{s}$ are the identities; and if in addition $\sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is not isotopic to $\tau_{-1, s+1}$ any more, then there are the following cases, where $P$ denotes the $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon containing $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ and $\tau_{s, s+1}$.

- The decoration in $P$ is on the same hand side of both $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ and $\tau_{s, s+1}$. See Figure 15, where the green arc segment $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is positive.
- The decoration in $P$ is on the different hand sides of $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ and $\tau_{s, s+1}$. There are the following subcases.
$-\sigma_{s, s+1}$ does not intersect $\tau_{s, s+1}$, and none of $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ and $\tau_{s, s+1}$ has endpoints in the same edge of $P$. See the the first picture in the first row of Figure 16, where the green arc segment $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is negative.
$-\sigma_{s, s+1}$ intersects $\tau_{s, s+1}$ in $P$. Then the green curve $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is not an arc segment. See the second picture in the first row of Figure 16.


Figure 15. Cases of $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ as positive arc segments

- $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ does not intersect $\tau_{s, s+1}$, and (at least) one of $\sigma_{s, s+1}, \tau_{s, s+1}$ satisfies that its endpoints are in the same edge of $P$. See the pictures in the second row of Figure 16, where the green curve $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is not an arc segment.
- At least one of $\sigma_{s, s+1}$ and $\tau_{s, s+1}$ connects to the decoration in $P$. Then the green curve $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ is not an arc segment. The possible cases are shown in the figures in the third row of Figure 16.

So in each case in Figure 15, we have $\varphi_{s}=\pi_{[\tau(-1, s) \wedge \sigma(-1, s)]}\left[\chi_{s}\right]$, while in each case in Figure 16, we have $\varphi_{s}=0$.

Lemma 3.9. $\varphi(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\tau}}) \in Z^{0} \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}[\nu(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})]\right)_{0}$.

Proof. The proof of [18, Lemma A.6] works here. That is, in the cases in Figure 14 and 15 , this follows from (2.19); in the cases in Figure 16, this follows from that $f_{s}$ points to the right (or does not exist) while $g_{s}$ points to the left (or does not exist).

Lemma 3.10. $\varphi(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})$ is not zero in $\operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$.

Proof. The proof of [18, Lemma A.7] works here. That is, for the case $\widetilde{\sigma}_{-1,0} \sim \widetilde{\tau}_{-1,0}$ (i.e. in Figures 15 and 16), $\varphi_{0}$ is the identity by definition, which does not factor through $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$. So $\varphi(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})$ is not zero. The case $\widetilde{\sigma}_{-1,0} \nsim \widetilde{\tau}_{-1,0}$ (i.e. in Figure 14) is a little complicated, we refer to the proof of [18, Lemma A.7].

Thus, we have the following result.
Proposition 3.11. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with the common staring point $p$. Then $\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$ is a well-defined and non-zero morphism in $\operatorname{per}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ from $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ to $X_{\widetilde{\tau}}\left[\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})\right]$.

Proof. By the above two lemmas, we only need to show $\operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\nu(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau})$. Let $\rho=\tau_{-1,0} \wedge \sigma_{-1,0}$. Take an arbitrary representative $\approx \tilde{\rho} \in[\rho]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ind}_{p}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}) \xlongequal{(2.11)} \operatorname{ind}_{V_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}})-\operatorname{ind}_{W_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}) \\
& \xlongequal{(2.10)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{V_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{0}}^{0}\right)+\operatorname{ind}_{V_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{0}}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right)\right)-\left(\operatorname{ind}_{W_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{l_{0}}^{0}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{W_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{l_{0}}^{0}\right)\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(2.8)}\left(1+\chi_{0}\right)-\left(1+\chi_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1+\operatorname{ind}_{W_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{l_{0}}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right)-\operatorname{ind}_{V_{0}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{0}}^{0}, \widetilde{\tilde{\rho}}\right)\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(2.18)} \chi_{0}-\chi_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{[\rho]}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(3.5)} \nu(\tilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 16. Cases of $\tau_{-1, s+1} \wedge \sigma_{-1, s+1}$ that are negative or not arc segments

We have the following special case. Recall that $\bar{?}$ denotes the inverse of a curve/arc ?
Lemma 3.12. If $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}=\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$, then

$$
\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})=\varphi(\overline{\widetilde{\tau}}, \overline{\widetilde{\sigma}}), \varphi(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}})=\varphi(\overline{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \overline{\widetilde{\tau}})
$$

In particular, one of the above pairs is the identity of $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}=X_{\tilde{\tau}}$.

Proof. This is the last case in Figure 16, where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ might be switched.

The above result shows that for any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, the $2 \pi$ angle from $\sigma$ to itself at its starting point induces a non-identity morphism $\varphi(\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\sigma})$, which coincides with $\varphi(\overline{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \overline{\widetilde{\sigma}})$, induced by the $2 \pi$ angle from $\sigma$ to itself at its ending point. Moreover, this morphism is in fact the Calabi-Yau dual of the identity map of $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$. From now on, $\varphi(?, ?)$ always denote the non-identify angle- $2 \pi$ morphism instead of the angle-0 identify.
For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, by the construction, lifts of gradings of $\sigma$ are in the same $\langle[\mathbb{X}],[1]\rangle$-orbit. So we denote by $X_{\sigma}$ the isoclass of the object $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1],[\mathbb{X}]\rangle$ for an arbitrary lift $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of a grading of $\sigma$. So for any $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{S})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[\mathbb{X}],[1]\rangle}\left(X_{\sigma}, X_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\varphi(\sigma, \tau)$ the morphism in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$ corresponding to $\varphi(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$. Whenever we say a triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[\mathbb{X}],[1]\rangle$, we always mean the image of a triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$. We also simply denote

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\sigma}, X_{\tau}\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[\mathbb{X}],[1]\rangle}\left(X_{\sigma}, X_{\tau}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\tau}}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.13. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma_{1}(0)=\sigma_{2}(0)=\sigma_{3}(0)$. If the start segments of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are in clockwise order at the starting point, see the left picture in Figure 17, then we have

$$
\varphi\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right)
$$

in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$.

Proof. This can be checked case by case, using the construction and the composition formula (2.19), which is similar to the situation in [18].

Let $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma(0)=\tau(0)$. Let $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\tau} \wedge \widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}$. To describe the mapping cone of $\varphi(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau})$, we need the following notation. When $\widetilde{\eta}$ is the union of two curves $\widetilde{\pi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}_{2}$
(c.f. the pictures on the right in Figure 6, where $\widetilde{\eta}_{1}$ denotes the left curve in the lower picture, while $\widetilde{\widetilde{\eta}}_{2}$ denotes the right one), we denote

Similarly, in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$, we denote

$$
X_{\eta}=X_{\eta_{1}} \oplus X_{\eta_{2}},\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\eta})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \varphi\left(\bar{\tau}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{T} \\
\varphi(\eta, \bar{\sigma})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\sigma}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $(-)^{T}$ denotes the transpose of a matrix.
Proposition 3.14. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma(0)=\tau(0)$. Let $\eta=\tau \wedge \sigma$. Then we have a triangle

$$
X_{\eta} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\eta, \bar{\sigma})} X_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\sigma, \tau)} X_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\eta})} X_{\eta}
$$

in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$. In particular, we have

$$
\varphi(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\eta}) \circ \varphi(\sigma, \tau)=\varphi(\sigma, \tau) \circ \varphi(\eta, \bar{\sigma})=\varphi(\eta, \bar{\sigma}) \circ \varphi(\bar{\tau}, \bar{\eta})=0 .
$$

Proof. This follows from checking the mapping cone of $\varphi(\sigma, \tau)$ case by case.
Corollary 3.15. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma(0)=\tau(0)$. Let $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}=\widetilde{\tilde{\tau}} \wedge \widetilde{\sigma}$. Then we have a triangle
in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$, where $\nu=\operatorname{ind}_{\sigma(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}), \nu^{\prime}=\operatorname{ind}_{\tau(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\overline{\widetilde{\tau}}, \overline{\widetilde{\eta}}), \nu^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{ind}_{\eta(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}, \overline{\widetilde{\sigma}})$.
Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.14 by carefully writing down the bidegrees, using Proposition 3.11.

The following two corollaries care about composition of morphisms of form $\varphi(-,-)$, where Corollary 3.16 is a generalization of [18, Lemma 3.3], with a different approach.

Corollary 3.16. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma_{1}(0)=\sigma_{2}(0)=\sigma_{3}(0)$. If the start segments of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are in counterclockwise order at the starting point, see the right picture in Figure 17, then

$$
\varphi\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=0
$$

in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$.
Proof. By using repeatedly Proposition 3.13, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) & =\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{1}}, \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}, \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{1}}, \sigma_{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last one is zero due to Proposition 3.14 and $\sigma_{3} \wedge \sigma_{1}$ might be the union of two curves.

Corollary 3.17. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma_{1}(0)=\sigma_{2}(0)$ and $\sigma_{2}(1)=\sigma_{3}(1)$, see Figure 18. Then

$$
\varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{2}}, \overline{\sigma_{3}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.14, we have $\varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{2}}, \overline{\sigma_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=0$. Using Proposition 3.13, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{2}}, \overline{\sigma_{3}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}}, \overline{\sigma_{3}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\overline{\sigma_{2}}, \overline{\sigma_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=0
$$

where $\sigma_{2} \wedge \sigma_{1}$ might be the union of two curves.


Figure 17. Admissible closed curves intersect at a decoration


Figure 18. Composition of morphisms induced from different endpoints

## 4. InTERSECTION FORMULAS

In this section, we prove our results under some assumptions first, and will remove such assumptions in Section 5.
Assumption 4.1. We impose the following assumptions in this section:
$1^{\circ}$. For any $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$, there is no self-folded edges, i.e. when going around its edges, no arc in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ will be count twice.
$2^{\circ}$. Any two $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygons share at most one $\operatorname{arc}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$.
Assumption 4.1 ensures the following consequence of Lemma 2.24, a log DMS version of [16, Lemma 3.14].
Lemma 4.2. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \tilde{\sigma}\right)>1$, i.e.

$$
\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \backslash\left\{\widetilde{s}_{i}^{0} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

there are $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\alpha}\right)+\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\beta}\right)=\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{0}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)
$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq n, \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}(\tilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}, \widetilde{\beta})=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\approx=B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}})$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)>1$, by Assumption 4.1, there is a decoration $Z$ living in the same $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$ with an arc segment of $\sigma$, such that $Z$ is not an endpoint of $\sigma$. Take a line segment $l$ in $P$ from $Z$ to a point in an arc segment of $\eta$ such that its interior does not cross $\eta$. Then by Lemma 2.24, we get the required $\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$.

The first assumption in Assumption 4.1 is equivalent to that any close curve in $\mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ has different endpoints, i.e. $\mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*} \subset \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$.

Lemma 4.3. $\mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)=\mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)=\mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$.
Proof. A similar proof with [16, Lemma 4.2] works here as follows.
Since $\mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*} \subset \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*} \subseteq \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. So for the first equality, we need to show each $\eta \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ belongs to $\mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. Using the induction on $l(\eta)=\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \eta)$, when $l=1$, by definition, we have $\eta \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. Now we consider the case $l(\eta)=r$ and suppose that any closed arc with length smaller than $r$ with $r \geq 1$ is in $\mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. Since $l(\eta) \geq 2$, by Lemma 4.2 , there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ such that $\eta=B_{\alpha}(\beta)$ and $l(\eta)=l(\alpha)+l(\beta)$. So $\alpha=b(s)$ and $\beta=b^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ for some $b, b^{\prime} \in \operatorname{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ and $s, s^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. So

$$
\eta=B_{\alpha}(\beta) \xlongequal{(2.21)} b \circ B_{s} \circ b^{\prime} \circ b^{-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}
$$

as required.
For the second equality, by the first equality, for any $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, there is a $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})$ and an $s \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ such that $\eta=b(s)$. Then we have

$$
B_{\eta}=B_{b(s)} \stackrel{(2.21)}{=} b \circ B_{s} \circ b^{-1} \in \mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})
$$

The lifted graded version of Lemma 4.3 is the following.

Lemma 4.4. $\widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)=\mathrm{BT}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
Proof. This follows directly from the action of the braid twist group on double graded admissible closed curves and Lemma 4.3.

In the case that curves do not intersect in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$, we show that the morphisms constructed in Construction 3.8 form a basis of the morphism space of the corresponding objects.

Proposition 4.5. For any $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=0$, the morphisms

$$
\left\{\varphi(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma \in\left\{\eta_{1}, \overline{\eta_{1}}\right\}, \tau \in\left\{\eta_{2}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right\}, \sigma(0)=\tau(0)\right\}
$$

form a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\eta_{1}}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right)$. In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Int}_{\triangle}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\eta_{1}}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right)
$$

Proof. Use the induction on

$$
I=\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \eta_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \eta_{2}\right)
$$

The starting case is $I=2$, where both $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are in $\mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. So the formula follows directly from the structure of $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$.
Now suppose that the proposition holds for any pair $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ with $I \leq r$ for some $r \geq 2$ and consider the case when $I=r+1$. The arcs in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ divide $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ into the segments $\eta_{1}(-1,0), \cdots, \eta_{1}(p, p+1)$ and $\eta_{2}(-1,0), \cdots, \eta_{2}(q, q+1)$, respectively in order. Since $r \geq 2$, we have $p+1>1$ or $q+1>1$. Then there is a decoration $Z$ which is in the same $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon as an arc segment of $\eta_{1}$ or $\eta_{2}$. Take a line segment $l$ from $Z$ to a point $p$ in an arc segment of $\eta_{1}$ or $\eta_{2}$ such that its interior does not cross any of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $l$ intersects $\eta_{1}$. Then by Lemma 2.24, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\sigma=\beta \wedge \alpha$. Then by Proposition 3.14, there is a triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1],[\mathbb{X}]\rangle:$

$$
X_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\alpha, \beta)} X_{\beta} \xrightarrow{\varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\eta_{1}}\right)} X_{\eta_{1}} \xrightarrow{\varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\alpha}\right)} X_{\alpha}
$$

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}\left(-, X_{\eta_{2}}\right)$ to the triangle, we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{llll} 
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\alpha}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\circ \varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\alpha}\right)} & \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\eta_{1}}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right) \\
\stackrel{\circ \varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\eta_{1}}\right)}{ } & \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\beta}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\circ \varphi(\alpha, \beta)} & \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\alpha}, X_{\eta_{2}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Since the line segment $l$ does not cross $\eta_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}^{\circ}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=0$, we have $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\alpha, \eta_{2}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\beta, \eta_{2}\right)=0$. So using Corollary 3.13, we have that

- $\eta_{2}(0)=\eta_{1}(0) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(0)=\alpha(1)$, and in this case, $\varphi\left(\bar{\alpha}, \eta_{2}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\alpha}\right)=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$;
- $\eta_{2}(1)=\eta_{1}(0) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(1)=\alpha(1)$, and in this case, $\varphi\left(\bar{\alpha}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\alpha}\right)=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right)$;
- $\eta_{2}(0)=\eta_{1}(1) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(0)=\beta(1)$, and in this case, $\varphi\left(\overline{\eta_{1}}, \eta_{2}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\eta_{1}}\right)=\varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \eta_{2}\right)$;
- $\eta_{2}(1)=\eta_{1}(1) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(1)=\beta(1)$, and in this case, $\varphi\left(\overline{\eta_{1}}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right) \circ \varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\eta_{1}}\right)=\varphi\left(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right)$;
- $\eta_{2}(0)=\beta(0) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(0)=\alpha(0)$, and in this case, $\varphi\left(\beta, \eta_{2}\right) \circ \varphi(\alpha, \beta)=\varphi\left(\alpha, \eta_{2}\right)$;
- $\eta_{2}(1)=\beta(0) \Longleftrightarrow \eta_{2}(1)=\alpha(0)$; and in this case, $\varphi\left(\beta, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right) \circ \varphi(\alpha, \beta)=\varphi\left(\alpha, \overline{\eta_{2}}\right)$.

By inductive assumption, the proposition holds for $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Then by the above exact sequence, the above correspondences and formulas, the proposition holds for $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$.

The lifted graded version of Proposition 4.5 is the following.
Proposition 4.6. For any $\widetilde{\eta}_{1}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}_{2} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}_{1}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}_{2}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}_{1}, \widetilde{\eta}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}_{1}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}_{2}}\right)
$$

and each $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}_{1}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}_{2}}[\varrho+\varsigma \mathbb{X}]\right)$ has a basis

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 3.11.

Since any double graded closed arc does not intersect itself in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$, we can describe its endomorphism space by the above result, which gives the following consequence.

Corollary 4.7. For any $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have that $X_{\widetilde{\eta}}$ is (reachable) $\mathbb{X}$-spherical.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}, \widetilde{\eta})=\mathbf{q}^{0}+\mathbf{q}^{\mathbb{X}}$, by Proposition 4.6, we have that the $\mathbf{q}$-dimension $\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)=\mathbf{q}^{0}+\mathbf{q}^{\mathbb{X}}$, which implies that $X_{\widetilde{\eta}}$ is (reachable) $\mathbb{X}$-spherical.

Now we start to investigate the compatibility between the action of braid twist and the action of spherical twist.

Proposition 4.8. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$. Then

$$
X_{B_{\alpha}(\beta)}=\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\beta}\right)
$$

Proof. For the case $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\Delta}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$, by Proposition 4.5, we have $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}\right)=0$. So $B_{\alpha}(\beta)=\beta$ and $\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\beta}\right)=X_{\beta}$, which imply the required equality.
For the case that $\beta \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, the proof of [18, Proposition 3.1] works here.
The last case is that $\beta(0)=\beta(1)$ and it is one endpoint of $\alpha$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta(0)=\beta(1)=\alpha(0)$ and the starting segments of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are in clockwise order, see Figure 19. So we have that $\beta \wedge \alpha$ is an admissible closed curve instead of a union of two admissible closed curves. By Proposition 3.13, we have $\varphi(\bar{\beta}, \overline{\beta \wedge \alpha}) \circ$ $\varphi(\alpha, \bar{\beta})=\varphi(\alpha, \overline{\beta \wedge \alpha})$. So by the octahedral axiom, we have the following commutative
diagram of triangles


Then there is a triangle

$$
X_{B_{\alpha}(\beta)} \rightarrow X_{\alpha} \oplus X_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{(\varphi(\alpha, \beta), \varphi(\alpha, \bar{\beta}))} X_{\beta} \rightarrow X_{B_{\alpha}(\beta)}
$$

which implies the required formula.


Figure 19.

The lifted graded version of Proposition 4.8 is the following.
Proposition 4.9. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{\beta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$. Then

$$
\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)=X_{B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}})} .
$$

Proof. Since $B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}})$ inherits the double grading from $\widetilde{\beta}$, the required formula follows from Proposition 4.8.

Focusing on closed arcs, we have the following lemma, which will be generalized in Proposition 4.12.

Lemma 4.10. For any $s \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ and any $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}^{\mathbb{X}}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{X_{s}}^{\varepsilon}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)=X_{B_{s}^{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})}, \varepsilon= \pm 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we only deal the case for $\varepsilon=1$. Use the induction on $l(\eta)=\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \eta)$. When $l(\eta)=1$, we have $\eta \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$. Then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}(s, \eta)=0$, which implies (4.1) by Proposition 4.9.
Suppose (4.1) holds for $l(\eta)<r$, for some $r \geq 2$. Consider the case $l(\eta)=r$. By Lemma 4.2, there are $\approx \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}, \widetilde{\beta}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\beta}})=0$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}=B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\beta})$. By Proposition 4.9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\widetilde{\eta}}=\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Twisted by $B_{s}$, we have $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}^{\circ}}\left(B_{s}(\tilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}), B_{s}(\widetilde{\tilde{\beta}})\right)=0$ and $B_{s}(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}})=B_{B_{s}(\alpha)}\left(B_{s}(\widetilde{\tilde{\beta}})\right)$. By Proposition 4.9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})}=\phi_{X_{B_{s}(\alpha)}}\left(X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\tilde{\beta}})}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the inductive assumption, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}})}=\phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\alpha}}\right), \text { and } X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\beta})}=\phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\eta}})} & \stackrel{(4.3)}{ } \phi_{X_{B_{s}(\alpha)}}\left(X_{B_{s}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\beta}})}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(4.4)} \phi_{\phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}}\right)}\left(\phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(2.16)}\left(\phi_{X_{s}} \circ \phi_{X_{\alpha}} \circ \phi_{X_{s}}^{-1}\right)\left(\phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\phi_{X_{s}} \circ \phi_{X_{\alpha}}\right)\left(X_{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(4.2)} \phi_{X_{s}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $b_{i}=B_{s_{i}}$ for any $s_{i} \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ and by $\phi_{i}=\phi_{S_{i}}$ for any simple $S_{i}$.
Proposition 4.11. There is a canonical group homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota: \operatorname{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ST}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending the generator $b_{i}$ to the generator $\phi_{i}$. Moreover, for any $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota\left(B_{\eta}^{\varepsilon}\right)=\phi_{X_{\eta}}^{\varepsilon} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $b=b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$, for some $i_{j} \in\{1, \cdots, n\}, \varepsilon_{j} \in\{ \pm 1\}, 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi=\phi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$. If $b=1$, then $b\left(\widetilde{s}_{i}^{0}\right)=\widetilde{s}_{i}^{0}$, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. By (repeatedly using) Lemma 4.10, we have

$$
S_{i}=X_{\tilde{s}_{i}^{0}}=X_{b\left(\tilde{s}_{i}^{0}\right)}=\phi\left(X_{\tilde{s}_{i}^{0}}\right)=\phi\left(S_{i}\right) .
$$

So $\phi=1$ in $\operatorname{ST}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$. This implies the existence of the required group homomorphism $\iota$. To show (4.6), let $\eta \in \operatorname{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. By Lemma 4.3, there is a $b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} \in \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and an $s \in \mathbf{A}_{\Delta}^{*}$ such that $\eta=b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}(s)$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(B_{\eta}\right) & \xlongequal{=} \quad \iota\left(B_{b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \ldots b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}(s)}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{(2.21)} \\
& \iota\left(b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} \circ B_{s} \circ\left(b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{\text { Thm. } 4.14} \\
& \phi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} \circ \phi_{X_{s}} \circ\left(\phi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \phi_{\phi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}\left(X_{s}\right)}^{\text {Lem. } 4.10}
\end{aligned} \phi_{X_{\eta}} .
$$

The action of a braid twist on a double graded closed arc is compatible with the action of the corresponding spherical twist on the corresponding object as follows.
Proposition 4.12. For any $b \in \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and any $\widetilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
X_{b(\widetilde{\eta})}=\iota(b)\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) .
$$

In particular, when $b=B_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{CA}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
X_{B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\eta})}=\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $b=b_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$ for some $1 \leq i_{j} \leq n$ and $\varepsilon_{j} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. By using repeatedly (4.1), we get the first formula.
For the second formula, by Lemma 4.3, there is a $b^{\prime} \in \operatorname{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and an $s \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ such that $\alpha=b^{\prime}(s)$. By Proposition 4.11, we have $\iota\left(B_{\alpha}\right)=\phi_{X_{\alpha}}$. So

$$
X_{B_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})}=\iota\left(B_{\alpha}\right)\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)=\phi_{X_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)
$$

Now we process to prove Theorem A (under Assumption 4.1), the Calabi-Yau-X version of the main results in [16, 18].

Theorem 4.13. The map $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \mapsto X_{\widetilde{\eta}}$ gives a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sph}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The surjectivity follows from the Definition 3.6. For the injectivity, assume $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $X_{\widetilde{\eta}}=X_{\widetilde{\eta}}$. By Lemma 4.4, there is $b \in \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\widetilde{s}^{m} \in\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\tilde{\eta}=b\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}\right)$. So by Corollary 4.12, we have

$$
X_{\widetilde{s}^{m}}=\iota(b)^{-1}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)=\iota(b)^{-1}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}^{\prime}}\right)=X_{\left.b^{-1} \widetilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have $\widetilde{s}^{m}=b^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)$, which implies that $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}=\widetilde{\eta}^{\prime}$ as required.
Theorem 4.14. The group homomorphism in (4.5) is an isomorphism.
Proof. We have that $\iota$ in (4.5) is surjective because the generators $\phi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are in its image. So we only need to show that it is injective. Let $b \in \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ with $\iota(b)=1$. By Proposition 4.12, we have

$$
X_{b(\widetilde{\eta})}=\iota(b)\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)=X_{\widetilde{\eta}}
$$

for any $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. So by Theorem 4.13, we have $b(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})=\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}$. Hence

$$
B_{\eta}=B_{b(\eta)} \xlongequal{(2.21)} b \circ B_{\eta} \circ b^{-1},
$$

which implies $b \circ B_{\eta}=B_{\eta} \circ b$, i.e. $b$ is in the center of $\mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. But by [16], the center of $\mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}\right)$ is trivial when $\mathbf{S}$ is not a polygon. So $b=1$ as required. In the case $\mathbf{S}$ is a polygon, the isomorphism $\iota$ is shown in [14] where the groups are isomorphic to the classical braid/Artin group.

To prove the $\mathbf{q}$-intersection formula, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.15. Let $s \in \mathbf{A}_{\triangle}^{*}$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{AC}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ such that $\eta$ is contained in the two $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ polygons that are incident with $s$. Then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}(s, \eta)=0$.

Proof. Note that $s$ and $\eta$ will be represented by curves in their isotopy class that are in general position and have minimal intersections with each other and with $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$. Suppose that there is an intersection $p$ between $s$ and $\eta$ such that $p \notin \Delta$. Starting from $p$, there are two paths following $\eta$. These two paths can not intersect before hitting $s$ (cf. green circle in Figure 20) -otherwise they form a once-decorated monogon that is not admissible. Then they can either hit $s \backslash \Delta$ or $\Delta$. If they both hit $\Delta$ first, $\eta$ is isotopy to $s$, which is a contradiction. Then one of them have to hit $s \backslash \Delta$ first, cf. Figure 20. After that, such a path can not hit the previous footprint or $s$ (cf. blue circle in Figure 20), which forces it to wind the corresponding decoration before hitting it. However, this is a contradiction as it is not in a minimal position with respect to $s$.
Lemma 4.16. For any $\widetilde{s}^{m} \in\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and any $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{s}^{m}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Use the induction on $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}):=\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)$. For the case $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})=1$, we have $\widetilde{\eta} \in\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\Delta}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. So $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)=0$. Then the formula (4.8) holds by Proposition 4.6.


Figure 20.
Now suppose that the formula holds for $l(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}})<r$ for some $r \geq 2$. Consider the case $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})=r$. Since the case that $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)=0$ is contained in Proposition 4.6, we assume that $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right) \neq 0$. There are the following two cases:
(1) If each endpoint of $\widetilde{s}^{m}$ is an endpoint of $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}$, then by Lemma 4.15 , the condition $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right) \neq 0$ implies that there is a decoration $Z$ which is not an endpoint of $\widetilde{s}^{m}$ or $\widetilde{\eta}$ and which lives in the same $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$ with an arc segment of $\eta$. Then by Lemma 2.24 , there are $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\tau} \wedge \widetilde{\sigma}$, $l(\tilde{\widetilde{\eta}})=l(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})+l(\tilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$ and $\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \tilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)=\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right)+\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}}\right)$.
(2) If $\widetilde{s}^{m}$ has an endpoint $Z$ which is not an endpoint of $\widetilde{\eta}$. Then consider a line segment $l \subset s$ from $Z$ to the closest intersection between $s$ and $\eta$, which decomposes $\widetilde{\eta}$ into $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$ with $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}) \leq l(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})+l(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}) \leq l(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}})+2$. So $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\tau} \wedge \widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}\right)=\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}\right)+\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}\right)$.

In both cases, by Corollary 3.15, we have a triangle

$$
X_{\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}}\left[\nu^{\prime \prime}\right] \xrightarrow{\varphi(\overline{\widetilde{\eta}}, \overline{\tilde{\sigma}})\left[-\nu^{\prime \prime}\right]} X_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\tau}})} X_{\widetilde{\tilde{\tau}}}[\nu] \xrightarrow{\varphi(\overline{\widetilde{\tau}}, \overline{\tilde{\tilde{}}})[\nu]} X_{\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}}\left[\nu+\nu^{\prime}\right]
$$

where $\nu=\operatorname{ind}_{\sigma(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\tilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\widetilde{\tau}}), \nu^{\prime}=\operatorname{ind}_{\tau(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\overline{\widetilde{\tau}}, \overline{\tilde{\eta}}), \nu^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{ind}_{\eta(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\eta}}, \overline{\widetilde{\sigma}})$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{\tilde{S}^{m}},-\right)$ to this triangle, we have an exact sequence, which implies that it suffices to show that the formula (4.8) holds for $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$, and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{s}, \varphi(\sigma, \tau)\right)=0
$$

in the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) /\langle[1], \mathbb{X}\rangle$. By construction, $\varphi(\sigma, \tau)$ is given by the morphism induced by an angle of the $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon containing $Z$. But for case (1) the curve $\gamma \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ corresponding to $s$ is not an edge of this polygon and for case (2) the starting segments of $s, \sigma, \tau$ are in the counterclockwise order (see Figure 21). So by (2.19) and Corollary 3.16, respectively, we have that in both of these two cases, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{s}, \varphi(\sigma, \tau)\right)=0$ holds.

Now we only need to show that the formula (4.8) holds for $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$. In case (1), this follows from the inductive assumption. Next consider case (2). If both $l(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})$ and $l(\widetilde{\tau})$ are smaller than $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})$, this also follows from the inductive assumption. Otherwise, one of $l(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}})$ and $l(\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}})$ is bigger than or equals $l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})$, then the other one is not bigger then 2 . In this case, we must have $\{l(\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}), l(\widetilde{\tilde{\tau}})\}=\{2, l(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})\}$ and both of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\tau}}$ has less intersections with $s$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\circ}$ than $\widetilde{\eta}$. Use another induction on such an intersection number, one reduces the situation to the case that $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{s}_{\triangle}^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, \widetilde{\eta}\right)=0$. This completes the proof.


$$
\gamma \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}
$$

Figure 21. The composition of $\sigma$ and $\tau$

Theorem 4.17. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and any $\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, there is an $b \in \mathrm{BT}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ and $\widetilde{s}^{m} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}=b\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}\right)$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\tilde{\sigma}}, \widetilde{\tilde{\eta}}) \quad=\quad \operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}, b^{-1}(\widetilde{\tilde{\eta}})\right) \\
& \xlongequal{\text { Lem. } 4.16} \\
& \operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{s}^{m}}, X_{b^{-1}(\widetilde{\eta})}\right) \\
& \xlongequal{\text { Cor. } 4.12} \operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{S}^{m}}, \iota(b)^{-1}\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right)\right) \\
& \overline{=} \\
& \xlongequal{\text { Cor. } 4.12} \\
& \overline{=} \\
& \operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\iota(b)\left(X_{\widetilde{s}^{m}}\right), X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{b\left(\widetilde{s}^{m}\right)}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. GEneral case

Now let us consider the general case, i.e. show that all the results in Section 4 still hold when removing Assumption 4.1. Here we summarize the results:
(X1) There is a bijection $X$ as (4.7) in Theorem 4.13.
(X2) $X$ induces a group isomorphism (4.5) as in Theorem 4.14 satisfying (4.6).
(X3) Formula (4.9) holds as in Theorem 4.17.
We will refer these results as Property-X. The strategy consists of the following two steps.
$1^{\circ}$. For any graded marked surface $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, there is a graded marked surface $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$obtained from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ by adding marked points on its boundary components such that $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$ admits a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$satisfying Assumption 4.1. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$satisfies Property-X by Theorems 4.13, 4.14 and 4.17.
$2^{\circ} . \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ inherits Property-X from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$by deleting extra marked points/decorations.
However, there is no corresponding results from cluster theory that we can imported.
5.1. Step $1^{\circ}$. Let us show the claim by proving the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\left(g, b, m=\sum_{i=1}^{b} m_{i}\right)$ be the numerical data of $\mathbf{S}$, where $g$ is the genus of $\mathbf{S}, b$ is the number of boundary components of $\mathbf{S}$ and $m_{i}$ is the number of marked points in $\mathbf{M}$ on the $i$-th boundary component for any $1 \leq i \leq b$. If $m_{i} \geq 2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $m_{1} \geq 4 g$, then $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ admits a full formal arc system satisfying Assumption 4.1.

Proof. If $b=1$, take a polygon-presentation of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ where the vertex is one of the marked points. Then the left picture in Figure 22 shows a required full formal arc system (for the genus 2 case). For $b>1$, we can perform adding a boundary component operation, cf. the right picture in Figure 22, to produce the required full formal arc system inductively.


Figure 22. A full formal arc system on polygon-presentation of a genus 2 marked surface and adding a boundary component

Therefore, we can now suppose that $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$is obtained from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ by adding marked points on its boundary components (and correspondingly decorated points in its interior).

### 5.2. Step $2^{\circ}$.

Definition 5.2. A slide of a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, with respect to one of its arc $\widetilde{\gamma}=A B$ and a chosen endpoint $B$ of $\widetilde{\gamma}$, is an operation by replacing $\widetilde{\gamma}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ to form another full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}$. Here, $\widetilde{\gamma}^{\prime}=A C$ is a diagonal in the $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon $P$ on the right of $\gamma$ when going from $A$ to $B$, where $C$ is the adjacent vertex in $P$ of $B$ other than $A$. See the left picture in Figure 23.


Figure 23. The slide and removing
Lemma 5.3. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ be a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$satisfies Property-X. If $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}$ is a slide of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}$ also satisfies Property- $X$.

Proof. The dual $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}=\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*} \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right) \cup\left\{\widetilde{s}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $\widetilde{s}^{\prime}$ is the dual of $B C$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}$. Denote by $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ the maps defined in Construction 3.1 from $\widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ to $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}}\right)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be the direct sum of the simple $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}}$-modules. Then

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=X^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right):=\bigoplus_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\Delta}^{\prime *}} X_{\widetilde{\sigma}^{0}}^{\prime}
$$

Denote by

$$
X\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right)=\bigoplus_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}} X_{\widetilde{\sigma}^{0}}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Int}_{\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}\right)^{\circ}}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{1}^{m_{1}}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)=0$ for any $\tilde{\sigma}_{1}, \tilde{\sigma}_{2} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}$ and $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Proposition 4.6 , both of the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded algebras $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right), X^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right), X\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right)\right)$ have a basis of the form $\varphi(-,-)$, whose compositions are
give by the formulas in Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.16 and Corollary 3.17. Hence we have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded algebras

$$
\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(X\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right), X\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{\prime *}\right)\right)
$$

which induces a triangle equivalence

$$
F: \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)
$$

By the constructions of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, we have $F\left(X_{\widetilde{\eta}}^{\prime}\right)=X_{\widetilde{\eta}}$ for any $\widetilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. This implies that $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\prime}$ also satisfies Property-X.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$be a full formal arc system of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$satisfying Assumption 4.1. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$satisfies Property-X. Let $A$ be a marked point and $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be the adjacent marked points of $A$. Suppose that $M_{i} \neq A$ and the boundary arc $A M_{2}$ is in an $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$-polygon $P$, which contains the open $\operatorname{arc} \widetilde{\gamma}=A B$ as in the left picture of Figure 23. Now repeatedly using the operation slide to $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}$with respect to $\widetilde{\gamma}$ and the endpoint other than $A$, we will turn $P$ into a digon, whose edges are $A M_{2}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}$, as shown in the right picture of Figure 23. Denote the resulting full formal arc system by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{0}$. So the DMS $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{-}$obtained from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$ by removing $A$ and a decoration can be also obtained from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$by cutting along $\widehat{\gamma}$ and removing $A$. Notice that $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{-}$inherits a full formal arc system from $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{0}$, which is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}$.
Lemma 5.4. $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{-}$and $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}$satisfies Property- $X$.
Proof. This is because the corresponding dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded algebras and associated categories satisfy the following relations:

- $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}}$is a full subquiver of $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}}$;
- $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}}$is a (dg $\mathbb{X}$-graded) subalgebra of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}}$;
- $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}}\right)$is subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{+}}\right)$, generated (as a thick subcategory) by the simples corresponding to the vertices of $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-}}$.

By repeatedly deleting points, we can recover $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$with Property-X.
5.3. Final statement. Use the lemma above and induction on the marked points we add to obtain $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{+}$from $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, we have the following statement.

Theorem 5.5. Given a graded $D M S \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$, there is a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$, satisfying Property- $X$.

## 6. Topological realization of Lagrangian immersion

6.1. Derived categories of gentle algebras and Lagrangian immersion. Recall from Remark 2.16 that $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ be the $\mathbb{X}$-degree zero part of $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ with induced differential $d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$. Then we have a dg algebra $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}=\left(\mathbf{k} Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}, d_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$, which is the $\mathbb{X}$-degree zero part of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$ the bounded/finite-dimensional derived category of $\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$. Note that,
$\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$ is in fact (triangle equivalent to) the topological Fukaya category introduced in [5].
Let $Q$ be a graded quiver (i.e. its arrows are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded) and $I$ a set of paths of length at least 2 , such that $\mathbf{k} Q /\langle I\rangle$ is finite dimensional, where $\langle I\rangle$ is the ideal generated by $I$. The pair $(Q, I)$ is called gentle provided that the following hold.
$1^{\circ}$. for any vertex $i \in Q_{0}$, there are at most two arrows in and at most two arrows out;
$2^{\circ}$. for any arrow $\alpha \in Q_{1}$, there is at most one arrow $\beta \in Q_{1}$ with $\alpha \beta \in I$ and at most one arrow $\gamma \in Q_{1}$ with $\alpha \gamma \notin I$; and there is at most one arrow $\beta^{\prime} \in Q_{1}$ with $\beta \alpha \in I$ and at most one arrow $\gamma^{\prime} \in Q_{1}$ with $\gamma \alpha \notin I$.

A graded gentle algebra is (Morita equivalent to) the algebra $\mathbf{k} Q / I$ for a graded gentle pair $(Q, I)$.

Lemma $6.1([23,24]) . H^{*}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$ is a graded gentle algebra and there is a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(H^{*}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)\right)$.

Recall that $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are the simple $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-m o d u l e s ~ c o r r e s p o n d i n g ~ t o ~}} \widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$. Let $S_{i}^{0}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq n$ be the simple $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$-modules corresponding to $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$.
By [10, Lem. 4.4], there is a Lagrangian immersion (cf. [12, Def. 7.2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending $S_{i}^{0}$ to $S_{i}$ and such that there is a canonical isomorphism
$\mathbf{R H o m}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(X_{1}\right), \mathcal{L}\left(X_{2}\right)\right) \cong \mathbf{R H o m}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \oplus \mathbf{R H o m}_{\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)}\left(X_{2}, X_{1}\right)^{*}[-\mathbb{X}]$, for any pair of objects $X_{1}, X_{2}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}=\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{0}, \mathcal{S}^{0}\right)$, where $\mathcal{S}^{0}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}^{0}$.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$. Then $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ is in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)\right)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is in the zero-sheet $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{0}$ of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.

Proof. The curve $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is in the zero-sheet if and only if in the string $\omega(\tilde{\sigma})$ in (3.2), each $\chi_{i}=-\operatorname{ind}_{V_{j}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{k_{j}}^{0}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)=\varrho_{i}$ for some $\varrho_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to that $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ is in the triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ generated by the simples $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, which is $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)\right)$.

Definition 6.3. We call a curve in $\widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ a zero-level curve if it is in the zero-sheet $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{0}$ of $\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$. Denote by $\widetilde{A C}^{0}\left(\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ the subset of $\widetilde{A C}^{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right)$ consisting of zero-level curves.
6.2. Dual arc systems on graded marked surfaces. Recall that there is a set $\mathbf{M}$ of open marked points and a set $\mathbf{Y}$ of closed marked points on the surface $\mathbf{S}$.

Definition 6.4. We have the following types of curves in $\mathbf{S}$ (compared with those in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ in Definition 2.9).

- A curve $c$ is called open (resp. closed) if $c(0)$ and $c(1)$ are open (resp. closed) marked points, i.e., in $\mathbf{M}$ (resp. Y).
- An open arc (resp. closed arc) is an open (resp. closed) curve without selfintersections in $\mathbf{S} \backslash \partial \mathbf{S}$. We call two open/closed arcs do not cross each other if they do not have intersections in $\mathbf{S} \backslash \partial \mathbf{S}$.

We always consider open/closed curves up to taking inverse and homotopy relative to endpoints.

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S})$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathbf{S}))$ the set of graded closed (resp. open) curves in $\mathbf{S}$, and by $\widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S})$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathrm{OA}}(\mathbf{S}))$ the set of graded closed (resp. open) arcs in $\mathbf{S}$.
Definition 6.5. An open (resp. closed) full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$ ) on $\mathbf{S}^{\lambda}$ is a collection of pairwise non-crossing graded open (resp. closed) arcs, such that it divides $\mathbf{S}$ into $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygons (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$-polygons), each of which contains exactly one open (resp. closed) boundary segment. Here, an open (resp. closed) boundary segment is a connected component of of $\partial \mathbf{S} \backslash \mathbf{M}$ (resp. $\partial \mathbf{S} \backslash \mathbf{Y}$ ).

There is a natural operation (with respect to the cut $\mathbf{c}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{im}_{\mathbf{c}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{AC}}^{0}\left(\log \mathbf{S}_{\triangle}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending a graded closed curve $\widetilde{\sigma}$ to a zero-level curve $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ by pulling its both endpoints on $\mathbf{Y}$ to $\Delta$ via the corresponding curves in the cut $\mathbf{c}$ (see Figure 24 where the greed one is sent to the red one) with that $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ inherits the grading from $\widetilde{\sigma}$. This (the grading) is well-defined because $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ does not cross the cut $\mathbf{c}$ and the grading $\Lambda$ of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is compatible with the grading $\lambda$ of $\mathbf{S}$ and the cut $\mathbf{c}$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is an bijection. It follows that $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}$ preserves intersection indices.


Figure 24. Closed $\operatorname{arcs}$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ (in red) and in $\mathbf{S}$ (in green)
The data $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}, \mathbf{c}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}^{\Lambda}$ one-one corresponds to the data $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ as follows:

- the induced full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ on $\mathbf{S}$ (when forgetting the decoration set $\Delta$ and
- the dual arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}=\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}\right)$ on $\mathbf{S}$.

See Figure 25, where

- $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ consists of blue arcs;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}$ consists of red ones,
- c consists of dashed gray one and
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$ consists of green ones.


Figure 25. The dual arc systems $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\Delta}^{*}$ (left picture with compatible cut c) and $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$ (right picture)

Conversely, $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}\right)$ also determines $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}, \mathbf{c}\right)$ in the sense that there is exactly one decorated in each $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon; thus the cut (paring of $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\Delta$ ) is determined uniquely; and then $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\triangle}^{*}=\operatorname{im}_{\mathbf{c}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}\right)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$ is in fact a closed full formal arc system of ( $\mathbf{S}, \lambda$ ).
6.3. Topological realization. There is an analogous string model for $(\mathbf{S}, \lambda)$ as follows:

- each positive arc segment in an $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$-polygon of $\mathbf{S}$ corresponds to an arrow in $Q_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$, which induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded morphism between the simples $S_{i}^{0}, 1 \leq i \leq n$;
- each $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S})$ gives a string $\omega(\widetilde{\sigma})$, which is a sequence of morphisms of degree 1 between shifts of simples induced by the arc segments of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ divided by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$;
- define $X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^{0}$ to be $X_{\omega(\widetilde{\sigma})}^{0}$, which is the dg module of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}$ associated to the string $\omega(\widetilde{\sigma})$.
Thus, we get a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{0}: \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operation $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}$ can be thought as a topological realization of the Lagrangian immersion $\mathcal{L}$, in the sense that we have the following.
Theorem 6.6. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^{0}\right)=X_{\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}(\widetilde{\sigma})} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{i m}_{\mathbf{c}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ does not cross the cut $\mathbf{c}$, the string model for it does not contain any morphism whose associated arrow is not $\mathbb{X}$-free. So this model is the same as the string model for $\widetilde{\sigma}$. Hence we are done.

Remark 6.7. Note that the right hand side of (6.4) involves $\mathbf{c}$ while the left hand side does not. This is because although the existence of $\mathcal{L}$ in (6.4) is in general setting, the construction of grading of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ depends on the choice of the cut $\mathbf{c}$. Thus in our setting $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{c}}$ involves $\mathbf{c}$ implicitly.

An immediate corollary is that the following $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbf{q}$-intersection formula from Corollary 4.17 , that non-zero $\mathbb{X}$-degree terms all vanish.

Corollary 6.8. For any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S})$ and any $\widetilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\eta})=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(X_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^{0}, X_{\widetilde{\eta}}^{0}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can generalize the formula above to the case when $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\eta}$ are both graded closed curves (recall that the difference is that an arc in $\widehat{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S})$ has no interior self-intersections). But we leave this small refinement to [20], where we will prove such a formula for graded skew gentle algebras.
6.4. Further study: Koszul duality via graph duality. One can construct a string model for $\widetilde{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathbf{S})$, by taking the closed full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{*}$ as reference. Namely, there is a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: \widetilde{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{per}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending open arcs $\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ to the indecomposable projective modules of $H^{*}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}^{0}\right)$. People expect that (6.6) and (6.3) are topological realizations of Koszul duality, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Int}^{\mathbf{q}}(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\sigma})=\operatorname{dim}^{\mathbf{q}} \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(\rho(\widetilde{\gamma}), X^{0}(\widetilde{\sigma})\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{OA}}(\mathbf{S}), \widetilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{CC}}(\mathbf{S})$. In particular, given a silting set $\left\{\rho\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}\right)\right\}$, the corresponding curves $\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}\right\}$ will form a full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{0}$ with dual full formal arc system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{0}^{*}=\left\{\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}\right\}$, satisfying that $\left\{X^{0}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}\right)\right\}$ is the set of simples of the heart dual to $\left\{\rho\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}\right)\right\}$. The set $\left\{X^{0}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}\right)\right\}$ is also known as a simple minded collection. Such type of results for Calabi-Yau-3 version of $\operatorname{per}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{f d}\left(\Gamma_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}\right)$ can be found in Table 1 in either [16] or [17] with corresponding formula (6.7) in [18].
We plan to further investigate this for derived categories of any graded gentle algebras (without restriction of finite dimension or finite global dimension) in [19].
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