Draft version August 17, 2021
Typeset using LaTeX **twocolumn** style in AASTeX63

arXiv:2006.00010v2 [astro-ph.GA] 4 Aug 2020

Discovery of High-Velocity Hα Emission in the Direction of the Fermi Bubble

Dhanesh Krishnarao [1], Robert A. Benjamin [2], and L. Matthew Haffner [3]

[1] *Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, Whitewater, WI, USA*
[3] *Department of Physical Sciences, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL, USA*

(Received 2020 May 29)

Submitted to ApJL

ABSTRACT

Wisconsin H-Alpha Mapper (WHAM) observations reveal high-velocity Hα and [N II]λ6584 emission lines in the same direction and velocity as ultraviolet absorption-line features that have been previously associated with the biconical gamma-ray lobes known as the Fermi Bubbles. We measure an extinction-corrected intensity of $I_{H\alpha} = 0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ Rayleigh for emission with line center $v_{\rm LSR} = -221 \pm 3\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$, corresponding to an emission measure of $EM = 2.00^{+0.64}_{-0.63}\,{\rm cm^{-6}}$ pc. This emission arises at the same velocity as Hubble Space Telescope/Cosmic Origins Spectrograph observations of ultraviolet absorption features detected in the PDS 456 quasar sight line that passes through the northern Bubble near $l = 10\overset{\circ}{.}4, b = +11\overset{\circ}{.}2$. We estimate the total column density of ionized gas in this velocity component to be $N(H^+) = (3.28 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{18}\,{\rm cm^{-2}}$. The comparison of ionized gas emission and absorption yields an estimate for the characteristic density of $n_{e,c} = 1.8 \pm 0.6\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ and a characteristic length of $L_c = 0.56 \pm 0.21$ pc assuming 30% solar metallicity. For a temperature of $T_e = 8500^{+2700}_{-2600}$ K—consistent with the measured line widths and [N II]/Hα line ratio—the gas has a thermal pressure of $p/k = 32,000^{+15,000}_{-14,000}\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ K. Assuming the gas is ~ 6.5 kpc distant, the derived density and pressure appear to be anomalously high for gas ~ 1.3 kpc above the Galactic midplane. The large thermal pressure is comparable to both a hot halo or Fermi Bubble model, but suggest that the Hα arises in an overpressurized zone.

1. INTRODUCTION

The past two decades have seen a resurging interest in the existence of an outflow from the nucleus of the Galaxy. Observations of soft X-rays and radio continuum (Snowden et al. 1997; Sofue 2000; Almy et al. 2000) and later in the mid-infrared and hard X-rays (Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) were interpreted as emission from gas in the nucleus and bulge of the Galaxy, as were microwave observations of the "Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) haze" (Finkbeiner 2004; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008). The discovery of the "Fermi Bubbles", large lobes extending $\sim 55^\circ$ above and below the Galactic midplane visible in gamma-ray emission (Su et al. 2010; Dobler et al. 2010; Ackermann et al. 2014), has fueled even more interest in the possibility of Galactic nuclear outflows. Other continuum observations, e.g. polarized synchrotron radiation at radio wavelengths (Carretti et al. 2013), have been interpreted in the context of these gamma-ray results. These observations only provide a 2D image, but their location on the sky toward Galactic Center and relative symmetry across the Galactic plane are argued to support the hypothesis that the emission arises from the center of the Galaxy. Additionally, biconical outflows driven from galactic nuclei are also found in extragalactic systems (Bland & Tully 1988; Cecil et al. 2001; Veilleux & Rupke 2002), where supernovae, stellar winds, or active galactic nuclei can also power large-scale galactic winds (see Heckman 2002; Veilleux et al. 2020, for review).

Of particular interest are spectroscopic observations to measure the kinematics of gas associated with the 2D footprint of the Fermi Bubbles. 21-cm H I observations have shown evidence for neutral gas consistent with a constant velocity Galactic Center outflow (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2013; Di Teodoro et al. 2018; Lockman et al. 2020) within 10° of the midplane.

Corresponding author: Dhanesh Krishnarao
krishnarao@astro.wisc.edu

Quasar absorption-line spectroscopy was also used to detect signatures of outflowing and infalling gas at high latitudes above and below Galactic Center (Keeney et al. 2006). Later, Fox et al. (2015) used the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) to provide evidence for UV absorption closer to the plane that was interpreted as arising from the front and back side of the northern Fermi Bubble along a single line of sight toward the quasar PDS 456 ($l = 10°.4, b = +11°2$). This sight line passes through the 1.5 keV emission footprint from Snowden et al. (1997) and does not contain any known high-velocity H I emission (Fox et al. 2015, constrained using the Green Bank Telescope). Since then, more pencil-beam UV sight lines have been studied toward both the northern and southern Fermi Bubbles (e.g. Bordoloi et al. 2017; Karim et al. 2018).

Miller & Bregman (2016) used XMM-Newton and Suzaku X-ray observations of O VII and O VIII emission to model and constrain the properties of a hot gas halo interacting with a large-scale outflow. They found that the energetics involved were consistent with the bubbles forming from a nuclear accretion event at Sgr A*, as opposed to a central starburst. More recently, Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2019) used HST UV absorption-line ratios from the Magellanic stream to infer the presence of a Seyfert explosion $\sim$ 3.5 Myr ago, emitting large amounts of ionizing radiation into the conical region of the present-day Fermi Bubbles.

Here, we present new Wisconsin H-Alpha Mapper (WHAM) observations of Hα and [N II] towards the quasar PDS 456, where high-velocity UV absorption-lines have previously been seen with HST/Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS Fox et al. 2015). These optical spectra provide a new avenue to constrain both the physical conditions of the ionized gas that has been associated with the Fermi Bubbles as well the radiation field emerging from the Galactic Center region and within the Fermi Bubbles. In Section 2, we briefly describe the optical and UV observations. Section 3 explains our analysis methods and presents our new Hα and [N II] spectra and the inferred kinematic and physical properties. We discuss these results in Section 4 and summarize our conclusions in Section 5. All data and python notebooks to replicate the results and figures shown are available in the GitHub repository Deech08/WHAM_PDS456[1].

2. DATA

2.1. *Optical Spectra*

Optical spectra were obtained using WHAM (Haffner et al. 2003, 2010, see the WHAM-SS release documentation for details[2]), currently located at Cerro-Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) in Chile. Each 30 – 120 second observation yields a 200 km s^{-1} velocity-range spectrum around Hα or [N II] integrated over a 1° beam with a velocity resolution of $\sim$ 11 km s^{-1}. The deep spectrum presented here in Figure 1 toward PDS 456 is derived from a total of 112.5 minutes of integration time for Hα and 66 minutes for [N II] observed during the summer and early fall of 2019. These spectra are processed using `whampy` (Krishnarao 2019) by applying a flat-field and subtracting an atmospheric template and constant baseline that is fit to the spectra using `lmfit` (Newville et al. 2019). Bright Hα sources, such as Sh 2-264 ionized by λ Ori (Sahan & Haffner 2016), are used to apply night-to-night atmospheric corrections to the processed spectra. Several individual observations are then combined using bootstrap resampling to create a continuous, higher signal-to-noise spectrum. Details of the data processing can be found in Haffner et al. (2003). For the deep optical spectra presented here, we estimate a rms error of 0.0015 R/(km s^{-1}), where 1 Rayleigh (R) = $^{10^6}/_{4\pi}$ photons s^{-1} cm^{-2} sr^{-1} and corresponds to an emission measure of EM = 2.25 cm^{-6} pc for T_e = 8000 K (see Section 3.3).

We have additionally begun a mapping campaign of the sky surrounding PDS 456 at high negative velocities. These pilot observations currently consist of 120-second exposures at each pointing spaced at $\sim 1°$ intervals and are processed in the same way as described above, but without the final stacking of several spectra. This results in significantly noisier spectra with rms errors of $\sim$ 0.01 R/km s^{-1} and will be discussed further in Section 4.

2.2. *UV Spectra*

We also use the UV spectra toward PDS 456 originally presented in Fox et al. (2015), with Voigt-profile fitting results from Bordoloi et al. (2017, see their Table 2). The observations were originally taken in 2014 from COS (Green et al. 2012) on board HST using the G130M setting centered on 1291Å and G160M setting centered on 1600Å. These spectra have an FWHM = 20 km s^{-1} spectral resolution with an absolute velocity calibration accurate to within 5 km s^{-1} and a signal to noise of $\sim$ 12 − 20 per resolution element. For full details on these spectra and their processing, see Fox et al. (2014, 2015) and Bordoloi et al. (2017). In this work, we dis-

[1] Deech08/WHAM_PDS456

[2] http://www.astro.wisc.edu/wham/




Figure 1. Mean stacked Hα (purple) and [N II] (red) emission spectra toward PDS 456 from bootstrap resampling. The yellow shading around the spectra encompasses a range of $\pm 0.0015\,\mathrm{R/(km\ s^{-1})}$ while the purple/red hatched shading encompasses 1σ errors from bootstrap resampling. A dotted black line and shading run across the $0 \pm 0.0015\,\mathrm{R/(km\ s^{-1})}$ baseline. Dotted orange vertical lines are at the median velocities where UV absorption-line spectra are found at $v_{\rm LSR} = -223, 0.5, 134.5,$ and $263\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ (Fox et al. 2015; Bordoloi et al. 2017). High-velocity Hα and [N II] emission is detected near the $v_{\rm LSR} = -223\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ absorption component. The bright emission near $v_{\rm LSR} = 0\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ is from local gas emission. Only Hα observations currently extend beyond $v_{\rm LSR} = +250\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$, but a bright atmospheric line strongly contaminates this velocity range of interest. A bright atmospheric line also contaminates the [N II] spectra between $-320\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}} \lesssim v_{\rm LSR} \lesssim -243\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$, making an accurate measurement of the [N II] emission difficult in its vicinity.

play UV spectra rebinned to match one resolution element, but the Voigt-profile fitting from Fox et al. (2015) and Bordoloi et al. (2017) is done on the unbinned data. Select spectra are shown in Figure 2. While there is no detection of N V absorption, 3σ upper limits are estimated using the RMS noise of the spectra to be $\log(N_{\rm NV\ \lambda1238}) < 14.10$ and $\log(N_{\rm NV\ \lambda1242}) < 14.15$ (Fox 2020, private communication).

3. METHODS AND RESULTS

We use a combination of new optical emission lines and previously measured UV absorption-lines to constrain the temperature, density, and pressure of high-velocity gas features. Throughout this work, uncertainties are reported as 1σ errors and propagated through calculations using the `uncertainties` python package[3]

[3] https://pythonhosted.org/uncertainties/




Figure 2. Normalized UV absorption-line spectra from HST/COS toward PDS 456, showing different carbon, nitrogen, and silicon ions from top to bottom.. The dotted red vertical lines are at the velocities where UV absorption-line spectra are found as in Figure 1. This figure is reproduced from Fox et al. (2015, their Figure 2). Some absorption components, such as the Si III line near $-200\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ are contaminated by other red-shifted transitions, with these features marked with dashed black vertical lines (see Fox et al. 2015; Bordoloi et al. 2017, for details)

(see also Ku 1966). Here we briefly describe our methods of deriving these constraints.

3.1. *Optical Line Measurements*

We measure integrated intensities, velocity centroids, and line widths of Hα and [N II] emission lines focusing on the high negative velocity region between $-270\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}} < v_{\rm LSR} < -200\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. Integrated intensities are computed with the standard "zeroth moment," while velocity centroids and line widths are derived using the method proposed in Teague & Foreman-Mackey (2018). This method more accurately identifies velocity centroids and uncertainties by fitting a quadratic model to the brightest pixel and its two nearest neighbors in a spectrum. The line width is estimated using a ra-

tio of the peak intensity identified in the above step and the zeroth moment, assuming a Gaussian line profile. This method is preferable to the traditional first and second moments or parameters estimated through Gaussian component fitting because of our relatively low signal to noise. Before finding velocity centroids and line widths, the data is first smoothed using a Savitzky-Golay filter (Savitzky & Golay 1964) with a width of $\sim 4\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$.

The optical spectra are shown in Figure 1, with the velocity region of interest enlarged. The emission near $v_{\mathrm{LSR}} = 0\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ is from local emission. The high negative velocity emission is centered at $v_{\mathrm{LSR}} = -221 \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for Hα and $v_{\mathrm{LSR}} = -230 \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for [N II], with observed integrated intensities of $I_{H\alpha} = 0.287 \pm 0.014\,\mathrm{R}$ and $I_{\mathrm{NII}} = 0.077 \pm 0.011\,\mathrm{R}$ and line widths of $\sigma_v = 14.1 \pm 2.7\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for Hα and $\sigma_v = 9.6 \pm 4.5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for [N II]. After correcting for the instrument profile, these line widths are $\sigma_v = 13.3 \pm 2.7\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for Hα and $\sigma_v = 8.4 \pm 4.5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ for [N II]. Throughout this work, we use use σ_v, the standard deviation, to describe line widths.

3.2. *Temperature and Nonthermal Broadening*

We assume the ions observed using both HST/COS (C II, Si II, Si IV) and WHAM ([N II], H II) are at thermal equilibrium with one another, exhibiting the same gas temperatures and experiencing the same input of turbulence and nonthermal broadening mechanisms. We do not consider the Si III and C IV absorption-line widths due to contamination from other red shifted transitions or skewed absorption profiles which lead to poor estimates from Voigt profile fits. Then the line widths of these ions are modeled as a function of the ionized gas temperature, T_e, mass, m, and a nonthermal broadening component, σ_{nonT} using

$$\sigma_{model}\left(T_e, m, \sigma_{nonT}\right) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2k_B T_e}{m} + \sigma_{nonT}^2\right)} \qquad (1)$$

The warm gas temperature and nonthermal broadening component are constrained using a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach implemented using `emcee` (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We use a flat prior on σ_{nonT} constrained between $1\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}} < \sigma_{nonT} < 20\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ and a Gaussian prior on T_e with a mean of $10^4\,\mathrm{K}$ and standard deviation of $3000\,\mathrm{K}$, limited to a range of $10^3\,\mathrm{K} < T_e < 10^5\,\mathrm{K}$. Our likelihood, $\mathcal{L}$, has the form

$$\log\left(\mathcal{L}\right) = -1/2 \sum_{ions} \left[\frac{\left(\sigma_{obs,ion} - \sigma_{model,ion}\left(m_{ion}\right)\right)^2}{s_{obs,ion}^2} + \log\left(s_{obs,ion}^2\right)\right] \qquad (2)$$




Figure 3. Posterior distributions from MCMC fitting of emission line width models assuming a thermal and nonthermal broadening term with a flat prior on nonthermal broadening and a Gaussian prior on the ionized gas temperature. The Gaussian prior for the temperature is shown on the lower left panel. The diagonal panels show marginalized histograms (purple), with the median (solid) and 16th and 84th percentile values (dashed) shown in yellow for the gas temperature and nonthermal broadening term. The upper right panel shows a 2D Gaussian kernel density estimate of both parameters.

where $\sigma_{obs,ion}$ is the observed line width of an ion, $s_{obs,ion}$ is the standard error of the observed line width, and m_{ion} is the atomic mass. Parameter values are derived from the median of the posterior probability distributions after 10,000 steps, with the 16th and 84th percentiles used to estimate errors. The resulting gas temperature and nonthermal broadening contribution are $T_e = 8500^{+2700}_{-2600}\,\mathrm{K}$ and $\sigma_{nonT} = 10.5^{+1.5}_{-1.6}\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$, with posterior distributions shown in Figure 3.

3.3. *Extinction Corrections*

In order to correct the optical line emission for extinction we use the 3D dust maps of Green et al. (2019) and the extinction curve of Fitzpatrick & Massa (2007). We adopt the Di Teodoro et al. (2018) kinematic model, which places the emission at a distance of $D = 6.5 \pm 0.08\,\mathrm{kpc}$. To account for the large uncertainty in constraining actual 3D distances, we use a distance of $D = 6.5 \pm 0.2\,\mathrm{kpc}$ when estimating A_V. We estimate A_V using 10,000 points distributed uniformly in position within our beam and distributed as a Gaussian around our estimated distance, resulting in $A_V = 1.5 \pm 0.2\,\mathrm{mag}$.

The 3D dust maps predict nearly all of the dust to be located at distances $< 4\,$kpc, so that the estimated A_V would not change even with distance uncertainties as high as $\pm \sim 2\,$kpc.

The extinction-corrected intensities are then $I_{H\alpha} = 0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}\,$R and $I_{\rm NII} = 0.225^{+0.028}_{-0.025}\,$R. The resulting extinction-corrected [N II]/Hα line ratio is [N II]/Hα $= 0.26 \pm 0.05$. Assuming case B recombination and no absorption, Hα surface brightness can be related to the emission measure $EM = \int_0^\infty n_e^2 ds$ as

$$EM = \left(2.77 \mathrm{cm}^{-6}\ \mathrm{pc}\right) \left(\frac{I_{H\alpha}}{R}\right) (\epsilon_{\rm b})^{-1} \times T_4^{(0.942+0.031\ \ln T_4)} \quad (3)$$

where $T_4 = {}^{T_e}/_{10^4\,\mathrm{K}}$, and the constant term is derived from the effective recombination rate of Hα (Draine 2011) and $\epsilon_{\rm b}$ is a beam dilution factor. At the inferred temperature of $T_e = 8500^{+2700}_{-2600}\,$K and assuming $\epsilon_{\rm b} = 1$, the extinction-corrected emission measure is $EM = 2.00^{+0.64}_{-0.63}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-6}$ pc. A summary of the measured optical emission and UV absorption-line properties are shown in Table 1.

3.4. *Ionized Gas Column Density*

We estimate the ionized gas column density using the silicon absorption-line measurements, assuming that all silicon gas is either singly, doubly, or triply ionized, and at a singe gas temperature. The constrained upper limit on the H I column density toward PDS 456 of $N_{\rm HI} < 3.3 \times 10^{17}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ (Fox et al. 2015) supports this fully ionized assumption. The ionized gas column density is then

$$N_{\rm H^+} = \frac{N_{\rm SiII} + N_{\rm SiIII} + N_{\rm SiIV}}{({}^{\rm Si}/_{\rm H})} \quad (4)$$

where $({}^{\rm Si}/_{\rm H})$ is the silicon abundance.

The combination of emission, probing the warm ionized gas density squared, and absorption, probing the ionized gas column density, allows for the ionized gas density to be solved for using

$$n_e = \left(0.32\ \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right) \left(\frac{EM}{\mathrm{cm}^{-6}\ \mathrm{pc}}\right) \left(\frac{N_{\rm H^+}}{10^{18}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}}\right)^{-1} \quad (5)$$

This estimate of n_e has no dependence on the path length, L, of emitting/absorbing gas. L can instead be derived as

$$L = (9.62\ \mathrm{pc}) \left(\frac{N_{\rm H^+}}{10^{18}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{EM}{\mathrm{cm}^{-6}\ \mathrm{pc}}\right)^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

The thermal pressure is approximately ${}^{p}/_{k} = 2\ n_e\ T_e$ where the factor of 2 accounts for the fact that the gas is fully ionized.

Assuming a solar metallicity of $({}^{\rm Si}/_{\rm H})_\odot = (3.24 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$ (Asplund et al. 2009) and no depletion onto dust grains, Equation 4 yields $N_{\rm H^+} = (9.85 \pm 0.99) \times 10^{17}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. Combining with the the extinction-corrected emission measure results in estimates of the characteristic ionized gas density and length of $n_e = 6.3 \pm 2.1\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ and $L = 0.05 \pm 0.02\,$pc. These in turn provide an estimate of the thermal gas pressure, ${}^{p}/_{k} = 106,000^{+49,000}_{-48,000}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ K.

A principal caveat for these estimates is that they are derived by comparing pencil-beam absorption measurements with a 1° beam for emission. If the observed column density is significantly below the average column density in the 1° solid angle, it will produce overestimates of the density and pressure.

3.5. *Metallicity Effects*

The total ionized gas column density we estimate depends on the gas-phase metallicity, Z, as shown in Equation 4. As a result, our estimated ionized gas density and thermal pressure are linearly proportional to the metallicity, while the path length is $L\ \alpha\ {}^{1}/_{Z^2}$. Bordoloi et al. (2017) estimate the outflowing gas has a subsolar metallicity of of $Z \gtrsim 30\%$ based on photoionization modeling and O I/H I measurements toward 1H1613-097, a different quasar line of sight passing through the northern Fermi Bubble. Additionally, Keeney et al. (2006) estimated metallicities of $\gtrsim 10 - 20\%$ solar for other high-velocity clouds toward Galactic Center.

Our measure of the [N II]/Hα line ratio can serve as an independent check of the subsolar metallicity estimate. N^+ and H^+ have similar first ionization potentials of 14.5 eV and 13.6 eV, respectively. As a result, they often exhibit similar ionization levels in photoionized gas such that ${}^{N^+}/_{N^0} \approx {}^{H^+}/_{H^0}$ (Haffner et al. 1999). Then the ratio can be expressed

$$\mathrm{[N\ II]/H}\alpha = \left(1.63 \times 10^5\right) \left(\frac{N}{H}\right) T_4^{0.426}\ e^{-2.18/T_4} \quad (7)$$

where $\left(\frac{N}{H}\right)$ is the nitrogen abundance. Photoionization models predict ${}^{N^+}/_{N^0} \sim 0.8 \times {}^{H^+}/_{H^0}$ (Sembach et al. 2000), which would decrease the [N II]/Hα line ratio by 20%.

With a solar nitrogen abundance of $({}^{\rm N}/_{\rm H})_\odot = (6.76 \pm 0.78) \times 10^{-5}$ (Asplund et al. 2009), and our estimated gas temperature, $T_e = 8500^{+2700}_{-2600}\,$K, the predicted line ratio from Equation 7 is [N II]/H$\alpha = 0.79^{+0.76}_{-0.73}$, with the large error resulting from the large error on T_e. If instead, we consider 30% solar nitrogen abundance, then [N II]/H$\alpha = 0.24^{+0.23}_{-0.22}$. While both can be consistent with our measured line ratio of [N II]/H$\alpha = 0.26 \pm 0.05$ due to the uncertainty in estimated temperatures, our

Ion	Instrument	$v_{\rm LSR}$	Intensity	Intensity	log(N)	σ_v
		(km s^{-1})	(R)	[Dereddened] (R)	(cm^{-2})	(km s^{-1})
H I/21-cm[a]	GBT				< 17.48	N/A
H II/Hα	WHAM	-221 ± 5	0.287 ± 0.014	$0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$		13.3 ± 2.7
[N II]	WHAM	-230 ± 5	0.077 ± 0.011	$0.225^{+0.028}_{-0.025}$		8.4 ± 4.5
Si II	HST/COS	-223 ± 2			13.02 ± 0.08	9.3 ± 2.8
Si III[b]	HST/COS	-197 ± 2			13.13 ± 0.02	27.8 ± 1.6
Si IV	HST/COS	-231 ± 2			12.9 ± 0.06	13.4 ± 2.4
C II	HST/COS	-220 ± 6			13.8 ± 0.14	14.1 ± 5.7
C IV	HST/COS	-233 ± 2			13.79 ± 0.03	22.6 ± 1.5
N V[c]	HST/COS	N/A			< 14.10	N/A
H I/21-cm[a]	GBT				< 17.48	N/A
H II/Hα[d]	WHAM	N/A	< 1.24	N/A		N/A
Si II	HST/COS	264 ± 2			13.37 ± 0.02	25.1 ± 1.6
Si III	HST/COS	259 ± 2			12.85 ± 0.04	12.9 ± 1.6
Al II	HST/COS	263 ± 3			13.53 ± 0.63	0.6 ± 2.1

[a] 3 σ upper limit from (Fox et al. 2015) constrained with the Green Bank Telescope (GBT).
[b] Partial Ly β contamination at $z = 0.175539$.
[c] 3 σ upper limit
[d] 3σ upper limit coincident with a bright atmosphere line (see Section 3.7).

Table 1. Measured emission and absorption-line centroids, intensities, column densities, and velocity widths from WHAM (this work) and HST/COS (Fox et al. 2015; Bordoloi et al. 2017). Extinction corrections use 3D dust models from Green et al. (2019) and assume a distance of 6.5 ± 0.2 kpc.

relatively low measured line ratio could better support a subsolar metallicity as suggested in Bordoloi et al. (2017).

At 30% metallicity, our measured ionized column is $N_{\rm H^+} = (3.28 \pm 0.33)\ \times 10^{18}\,{\rm cm^{-2}}$, resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} n_e &= 1.8 \pm 0.6\,{\rm cm^{-3}} \\ L &= 0.56 \pm 0.21\,{\rm pc} \\ p/k &= 32,000^{+15,000}_{-14,000}\,{\rm cm^{-3}\ K}. \end{aligned}$$

3.6. *Beam Dilution Effects*

In the derivations above, a beam dilution factor of $\epsilon_{\rm b} = 1$ is used under the assumption that the emitting gas fills the WHAM beam. At the assumed distance of $D \sim 6.5$ kpc, the 1° WHAM beam subtends ~ 115 pc, but our measured characteristic lengths, L, are at least 2 orders of magnitude smaller. Our filled beam assumption is only valid if the emitting gas lies in a very thin sheet, spanning hundreds of parsecs across the sky but only a fraction of a parsec along our line of sight. While this geometry is possible with the Hα emission originating in a compressed zone along the Fermi Bubble shell, it is not possible to rule out the possibility of a smaller, higher-density pocket of gas instead of a thin sheet with a single line of sight. As an extreme example, if the emitting gas originated from a sphere with diameter $d = L = 0.56 \pm 0.21$ pc as estimated with a 30% metallicity, then $\epsilon = (2.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$. This results in a true emission measure and electron density that is $42,000 \pm 32,000$ times greater than our estimate. However, we expect that a geometry closer to a thin sheet is most likely based on existing MHD or geometric models (e.g. Sarkar et al. 2015; Miller & Bregman 2016, see also Figure 4 and its discussion).

3.7. *High Positive Velocity Components*

We detect no significant Hα or [N II] emission around $v_{\rm LSR} \sim +135\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$ where UV absorption is observed. 3σ upper limits for Hα and [N II] for this component using an RMS noise of $0.0015\,{\rm R/(km\ s^{-1})}$ are both 0.124 R. Since the dust is primarily limited to the foreground in 3D models, we assume the same $A_V = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ mag from Green et al. (2019). Then assuming the same gas temperature as above, our extinction-corrected emission measure upper limit is $EM < 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\,{\rm cm^{-6}}$ pc. Combining with UV absorption column densities from Bordoloi et al. (2017) yields

$$\begin{aligned} n_e &< \left(4.2 \pm 1.4\,{\rm cm^{-3}}\right)\ (Z/Z_\odot) \\ L &> (0.11 \pm 0.04\,{\rm pc})\ (Z/Z_\odot)^{-2} \\ p/k &< \left(75,000 \pm 34,000\,{\rm cm^{-3}\ K}\right)\ (Z/Z_\odot), \end{aligned}$$

where Z is the metallicity.

Bright OH line contamination from the upper atmosphere in our Hα spectrum coincides with the UV absorption feature near $v_{\rm LSR} \sim +263\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$. Larger residuals from this line are seen in Figure 1 near $v_{\rm LSR} \sim +260\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$. Also, our current [N II] observations do not extend to this high positive velocity region. We can estimate an approximate 3σ upper limit for Hα emission at the high positive velocity component using an enhanced RMS noise of $0.015\,{\rm R/\left(km\ s^{-1}\right)}$ to be $I_{H\alpha} < 1.24\,{\rm R}$. If the ionized gas density decreases as a function of height above the disk midplane, then the intrinsic emission measure would likely be lower than what is seen for the high negative velocity component. This further reduces our chances to measure any high positive velocity emission from the far side of the Fermi Bubble.

4. DISCUSSION

High-velocity ultraviolet absorption-lines detected in sight lines toward the inner Galaxy have previously been interpreted in the context of an outflow associated with the Fermi Bubble. The addition of optical emission line observations provides a test of this hypothesis. If the UV absorbing and Hα emitting gas originates at a hydrodynamic interface between the outflowing Fermi Bubbles and ambient halo gas, we would expect two key signatures: (1) gas at high pressures, and (2) a bipolar geometry with velocity gradients as a function of latitude and longitude. Our Hα observations toward PDS 456 indicate that the first signature is indeed present; the measured thermal pressure is anomalously high for warm ionized gas $\sim 1.3\,{\rm kpc}$ above the Galactic midplane. In the solar neighborhood, assuming a midplane density of $n_{e,0} = 0.03 - 0.08\ {\rm cm^{-3}}$ and scale height of $1.0 - 1.8$ kpc, warm ionized gas with temperature $T_e = 8000$ K located 1.3 kpc above the plane would only have a thermal pressure of $p/k \sim 100 - 600\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ K. Savage et al. (2017) measured a thermal pressure of $p/k \sim 10^5\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ K in an ultraviolet absorption component detected at $-114\,{\rm km\ s^{-1}}$ in the spectrum of LS 4825, a B1 Ib-II star at distance of $\sim 21\,{\rm kpc}$. Assuming this absorption arises in the vicinity of Galactic Center, the gas is $\sim 1\,{\rm kpc}$ below the Galactic plane.

Our derived pressures of the warm ionized gas are comparable to the thermal pressure predicted by models of hot, X-ray-emitting gas surrounding the Galaxy. A model of the Milky Way's hot halo based on an analysis of O VII and O VIII X-ray emission lines (Miller & Bregman 2015) predicts a density and pressure of $n_e = 3\ \times 10^{-3}\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ and $p/k = 12,000\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ K at the modeled distance. It also predicts a pressure of $p/k \sim 54,000{\rm cm^{-3}}\ K$ for the high-pressure component seen toward LS 4825, assuming a solar metallicity. In a follow-up work, they incorporated a Fermi Bubble shell model and intended to explain an excess of O VII and O VIII X-ray emission in the inner Galaxy (Miller & Bregman 2016). This work yielded a shell temperature of $\log T_e/K = 6.7^{+0.25}_{-0.1}$ and density $n_e = (10 \pm 0.3)\ \times 10^{-4}\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$, corresponding to a thermal pressure $p/k = 10,000^{+19,000}_{-3000}\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$ K at solar metallicity (Miller & Bregman 2016)[4]. The fraction of their $L_{\rm Hot} = 1\,{\rm kpc}$ shell occupied by warm ionized gas with our characteristic length of $L_{\rm Warm} = 0.56 \pm 0.21\,{\rm pc}$ is $L_{\rm Warm}/L_{\rm Hot} = (5.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$.

The concordance between our thermal pressure estimates and the expected thermal pressure of a hot gas halo supports the hypothesis that the observed absorption is associated with warm ionized gas above the central Galaxy. Uncertainties in our thermal pressure measurements, combined with the uncertainties in the pressure estimate of the hot halo and Fermi Bubble shell, do not allow us to say whether the gas arises in the bipolar shell of a nuclear outflow or from gas embedded in a hot medium, similar to the observed H I (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2013; Di Teodoro et al. 2018; Lockman et al. 2020).

While UV absorption-line observations are limited by the availability of background targets and 21-cm observations only probe sparsely distributed neutral gas, mapping extended optical line emission has the potential to trace the continuity of gas kinematics above and below the inner Galaxy. To this end, we initiated a campaign to spectroscopically map the sky at high velocities surrounding the footprint of the Fermi Bubbles. In Figure 4, we show early results from mapping a small region surrounding PDS 456 at high negative velocities. We see evidence for extended emission at high negative velocities in the vicinity of PDS 456, shown in the top left panel. The top right panel shows the estimated extinction in A_V from Green et al. (2019) out to a distance of 6.5 kpc.

Most Hα detections lie in regions with substantial foreground dust. If high-velocity Hα were pervasive in the inner Galaxy, the relatively low dust columns for sight lines with $l > 15°$ and $b > 5°$ mean that the Hα emission should be brighter and easier to detect. The lack of observed emission in this region combined with the presence of Hα emission in dustier directions closer to the Galactic Center suggests that the high-velocity

[4] Since Miller & Bregman (2016) report hydrogen particle density, we multiply by two to get the total particle density of fully ionized gas.

emission might be due to a coherent central structure, possibly related to the Fermi Bubble.

Velocity centroids of the emitting gas are shown in the lower panel. These velocity estimates have large errors and currently show no strong evidence for a gradient as predicted in kinematic outflow models (e.g. Bordoloi et al. 2017; Di Teodoro et al. 2018) or seen in H I observations (Lockman et al. 2020). Ultimately, this initial map is composed of low signal to noise data and cannot be used to draw definitive conclusions. Future work and continued WHAM observations will allow this initial map to be expanded to better confront model predictions and identify boundaries.

In the future, our Hα observations close to, or from within, the boundaries of the Fermi Bubble can also provide an opportunity to constrain the radiation field emerging from Galactic Center. Previously, Hα observations from WHAM of ionized gas in the Magellanic stream have been used to to diagnose the radiation field emerging from Galactic Center $\sim 4\,$Myr ago (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2013; Barger et al. 2017). The smaller distance to Galactic Center for the gas we discuss here would provide a much more recent glimpse into the ionizing radiation field emerging from Sgr A* and the CMZ, as well as more localized ionization sources, such as shocks or cooling flows.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have discovered high-velocity optical emission in the vicinity of the 2D footprint of the Fermi Bubbles at the same velocity as previously observed UV absorption features (Fox et al. 2015). As a result, we have measured model-independent constraints on the in situ physical conditions of warm gas above Galactic Center toward the quasar PDS 456. We summarize our findings to be:

1. Hα and [N II] emission are detected at high negative velocity with extinction-corrected intensities of $I_{H\alpha} = 0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}\,$R and $I_{[\rm NII]} = 0.225^{+0.028}_{0.025}\,$R.
2. Optical emission and UV absorption from low ions have line widths indicating a gas temperature of $T_e = 8500^{+2700}_{-2600}\,$K with a nonthermal contribution of $\sigma_{nonT} = 10.5^{+1.5}_{-1.6}\,$km s^{-1}.
3. The observed optical line ratio of [N II]/H$\alpha = 0.26 \pm 0.05$ is consistent with abundances of approximately 30% solar when compared to an estimate of the gas metallicity toward a different sight line probing the northern Fermi Bubble (Bordoloi et al. 2017).
4. Assuming 30% solar metallicity, the ionized gas has a characteristic density and length of $n_e = 1.8 \pm 0.6\,$cm^{-3} and $L_e = 0.56 \pm 0.21\,$pc with a thermal pressure of $p/k = 32,000^{+15,000}_{-14,000}\,$cm^{-3} K. This high thermal pressure is comparable to, but still greater than, those predicted by models of a hot gas halo in the inner Galaxy or of a Fermi Bubble shell (Miller & Bregman 2015, 2016).
5. Initial Hα spectroscopic maps of the region surrounding PDS 456 reveal extended emission at the same high negative velocities.

With future observations, WHAM can trace emission associated with the Fermi Bubbles both spatially and kinematically at large scales. Additionally, other pointed observations toward distant UV bright sources with existing HST spectra can provide sensitive column-density profiles of multiple species across different regions of the southern and northern Fermi Bubbles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andrew Fox for providing useful comments and constraints on the UV absorption-line measurements and total ionized gas column density. We acknowledge the support of the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) for WHAM development, operations, and science activities. The optical observations and work presented here were funded by NSF awards AST-0607512, AST-1108911, and AST-1714472/1715623/1940634. R.A.B would like to acknowledge support from NASA grant NNX17AJ27G. Some of this work took part under the program SoStar of the PSI2 project funded by the IDEX Paris-Saclay, ANR-11-IDEX-0003-02. The authors acknowledge Paris-Saclay University's Institut Pascal program "The Self-Organized Star Formation Process" and the Interstellar Institute for hosting discussions that nourished the development of the ideas behind this work. This work uses observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the Data Archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. These observations are associated with program 13448.

Facilities: WHAM, HST (COS)

Software: `astropy` (Robitaille et al. 2013), `matplotlib` (Hunter 2007), `seaborn` (Waskom et al. 2014), `whampy` (Krishnarao 2019), `lmfit` (Newville et al. 2019), `dustmaps` (Green 2018), `bettermoments` (Teague & Foreman-Mackey 2018), `emcee` (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013).




Figure 4. Preliminary Hα map surrounding the PDS 456 quasar composed of 120s exposures per pointing integrated between $-270\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}} < v_{\mathrm{LSR}} < -200\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$) (*top left*). Only pointings above a 1σ detection threshold are colored by their intensity, while open circles show the location of pointings with no detected emission. 2σ and 3σ detections are further outlined with blue, dotted and solid outlines, respectively. Extinction estimates from Green et al. (2019) are shown out to a distance of 6.5 kpc, with darker regions indicating more dust (*top right*). A green dashed line marks the approximate outline of the northern Fermi Bubble from Su et al. (2010). Velocity centroids as a function of Galactic longitude (*middle*) and Galactic latitude (*bottom*) are shown for measurements with errors $< 12\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. On average, the plotted velocity centroids have standard errors of $8\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. Robust least-squares linear-regression fits are shown in blue with 95% confidence intervals from 1000 bootstrap resamples.

REFERENCES

Ackermann, M., Albert, A., Atwood, W. B., et al. 2014, ApJ, 793, 64, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/793/1/64

Almy, R. C., McCammon, D., Digel, S. W., Bronfman, L., & May, J. 2000, ApJ, 545, 290, doi: 10.1086/317768

Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481, doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222

Barger, K. A., Madsen, G. J., Fox, A. J., et al. 2017, ApJ, 851, 110, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa992a

Bland, J., & Tully, B. 1988, Nature, 334, 43, doi: 10.1038/334043a0

Bland-Hawthorn, J., & Cohen, M. 2003, ApJ, 582, 246, doi: 10.1086/344573

Bland-Hawthorn, J., Maloney, P. R., Sutherland, R. S., & Madsen, G. J. 2013, ApJ, 778, 58, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/778/1/58
Bland-Hawthorn, J., Maloney, P. R., Sutherland, R., et al. 2019, ApJ, 886, 45, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab44c8
Bordoloi, R., Fox, A. J., Lockman, F. J., et al. 2017, ApJ, 834, 191, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/834/2/191
Carretti, E., Crocker, R. M., Staveley-Smith, L., et al. 2013, Nature, 493, 66, doi: 10.1038/nature11734
Cecil, G., Bland-Hawthorn, J., Veilleux, S., & Filippenko, A. V. 2001, ApJ, 555, 338, doi: 10.1086/321481
Di Teodoro, E. M., McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Lockman, F. J., et al. 2018, ApJ, 855, 33, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aaad6a
Dobler, G., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2008, ApJ, 680, 1222, doi: 10.1086/587862
Dobler, G., Finkbeiner, D. P., Cholis, I., Slatyer, T., & Weiner, N. 2010, ApJ, 717, 825, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/717/2/825
Draine, B. T. 2011, Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium
Finkbeiner, D. P. 2004, ApJ, 614, 186, doi: 10.1086/423482
Fitzpatrick, E. L., & Massa, D. 2007, ApJ, 663, 320, doi: 10.1086/518158
Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306, doi: 10.1086/670067
Fox, A. J., Wakker, B. P., Barger, K. A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 147, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/787/2/147
Fox, A. J., Bordoloi, R., Savage, B. D., et al. 2015, ApJL, 799, L7, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/799/1/L7
Green, G. M. 2018, The Journal of Open Source Software, 3, 695, doi: 10.21105/joss.00695
Green, G. M., Schlafly, E., Zucker, C., Speagle, J. S., & Finkbeiner, D. 2019, ApJ, 887, 93, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab5362
Green, J. C., Froning, C. S., Osterman, S., et al. 2012, ApJ, 744, 60, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/744/1/60
Haffner, L. M., Reynolds, R. J., & Tufte, S. L. 1999, ApJ, 523, 223, doi: 10.1086/307734
Haffner, L. M., Reynolds, R. J., Tufte, S. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 149, 405, doi: 10.1086/378850
Haffner, L. M., Reynolds, R. J., Madsen, G. J., et al. 2010, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 438, The Dynamic Interstellar Medium: A Celebration of the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey, ed. R. Kothes, T. L. Landecker, & A. G. Willis, 388. https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.0612
Heckman, T. M. 2002, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 254, Galactic Superwinds Circa 2001, ed. J. S. Mulchaey & J. T. Stocke, 292
Hunter, J. D. 2007, Computing in Science & Engineering, 9, 90, doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.55
Karim, M. T., Fox, A. J., Jenkins, E. B., et al. 2018, ApJ, 860, 98, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aac167
Keeney, B. A., Danforth, C. W., Stocke, J. T., et al. 2006, ApJ, 646, 951, doi: 10.1086/505128
Krishnarao, D. 2019, The Journal of Open Source Software, 4, 1940, doi: 10.21105/joss.01940
Ku, H. 1966, NISTJ, 70C, No. 4, 263, doi: 10.6028/jres.070c.025
Lockman, F. J., Di Teodoro, E. M., & McClure-Griffiths, N. M. 2020, ApJ, 888, 51, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab55d8
McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Green, J. A., Hill, A. S., et al. 2013, ApJL, 770, L4, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/770/1/L4
Miller, M. J., & Bregman, J. N. 2015, ApJ, 800, 14, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/14
—. 2016, ApJ, 829, 9, doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/829/1/9
Newville, M., Otten, R., Nelson, A., et al. 2019, lmfit/lmfit-py 1.0.0, 1.0.0, Zenodo, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3588521
Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., Greenfield, P., et al. 2013, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 558, A33, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322068
Sahan, M., & Haffner, L. M. 2016, AJ, 151, 147, doi: 10.3847/0004-6256/151/6/147
Sarkar, K. C., Nath, B. B., & Sharma, P. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 3827, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv1806
Savage, B. D., Kim, T.-S., Fox, A. J., et al. 2017, ApJS, 232, 25, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aa8f4c
Savitzky, A., & Golay, M. J. E. 1964, Analytical Chemistry, 36, 1627
Sembach, K. R., Howk, J. C., Ryans, R. S. I., & Keenan, F. P. 2000, ApJ, 528, 310, doi: 10.1086/308173
Snowden, S. L., Egger, R., Freyberg, M. J., et al. 1997, ApJ, 485, 125, doi: 10.1086/304399
Sofue, Y. 2000, ApJ, 540, 224, doi: 10.1086/309297
Su, M., Slatyer, T. R., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2010, ApJ, 724, 1044, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/724/2/1044
Teague, R., & Foreman-Mackey, D. 2018, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 2, 173, doi: 10.3847/2515-5172/aae265
Veilleux, S., Maiolino, R., Bolatto, A. D., & Aalto, S. 2020, A&A Rv, 28, 2, doi: 10.1007/s00159-019-0121-9
Veilleux, S., & Rupke, D. S. 2002, ApJL, 565, L63, doi: 10.1086/339226
Waskom, M., Botvinnik, O., Hobson, P., et al. 2014, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.12710