# BIRATIONAL CONJUGACIES BETWEEN ENDOMORPHISMS ON THE PROJECTIVE PLANE 

SERGE CANTAT AND JUNYI XIE

1. The statement. - Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two endomorphisms of a projective surface $X$ over $\mathbf{k}$ and $f_{1}$ is conjugate to $f_{2}$ by a birational transformation of $X$, then $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the same topological degree. When $X$ is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}, f_{1}$ (resp. $f_{2}$ ) is given by homogeneous formulas of the same degree $d$ without common factor, and $d$ is called the degree, or algebraic degree of $f_{1}$; in that case the topological degree is $d^{2}$, so, $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the same degree $d$ if they are conjugate.
Theorem A. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be dominant endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $h: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ be a birational map such that $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$. If the degree d of $f_{1}$ is $\geq 2$, there exists an isomorphism $h^{\prime}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ such that $h^{\prime} \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h^{\prime}$.

Moreover, $h$ itself is in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$, except may be if $f_{1}$ is conjugate by an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$ to
(1) the composition of $g_{d}:[x: y: z] \mapsto\left[x^{d}: y^{d}: z^{d}\right]$ and a permutation of the coordinates,
(2) or the endomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{d}, y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j} y^{d-j}\right)$ of the open subset $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$, for some coefficients $a_{j} \in \mathbf{k}$.

Theorem A is proved in Sections 2 to 6. A counter-example is given in Section 7 when $\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 0$. The case $d=1$ is covered by [1]; in particular, there are automorphisms $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$ which are conjugate by some birational transformation but not by an automorphism.

Example 1. When $f_{1}=f_{2}$ is the composition of $g_{d}$ and a permutation of the coordinates and $h$ is the Cremona involution $[x: y: z] \mapsto\left[x^{-1}: y^{-1}: z^{-1}\right]$, we have $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$.

Example 2. When

$$
f_{1}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j} y^{d-j}\right) \text { and } f_{2}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j}(B / A)^{j} x^{j} y^{d-j}\right)
$$

with $a_{j} \in \mathbf{k}$ then $h(x, y)=(A x, B x y)$ conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$ if $A$ and $B$ are roots of unity of order dividing $d-1$, and $\operatorname{deg}(h)=2$. On the other hand, $h^{\prime}[x: y: z]=$ $[A z / B: y: x]$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$.

Acknowledgement. - Theorem A answers a question of T. Gauthier and G. Vigny in dimension 2. We thank them for sharing their ideas. We also thank D.-Q. Zhang for answering our questions on the theorem of R. V. Gurjar and D. V. Paz for pointing out a mistake in the first version of Section 7.
2. The exceptional locus. - If $h: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a birational map, we denote by $\operatorname{Ind}(h)$ its indeterminacy locus (a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2}(\mathbf{k})$ ), and by $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ its exceptional set, i.e. the union of the curves contracted by $h$ (a finite union of irreducible curves). Let $U_{h}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{Exc}(h)$ be the complement of $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$; it is a Zariski dense open subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ is a curve, we denote by $h_{\circ}(C)$ the strict transform of $C$, i.e. the Zariski closure of $h(C \backslash \operatorname{Ind}(f))$.

Proposition 3. If h is a birational transformation of the projective plane, then (1) $\operatorname{Ind}(h) \subseteq \operatorname{Exc}(h)$, (2) $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}\left(U_{h}\right)=U_{h^{-1}}$, and (3) $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}: U_{h} \rightarrow U_{h^{-1}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. There is a smooth projective surface $X$ and two birational morphisms $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $h=\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}^{-1}$; we choose $X$ minimal, in the sense that there is no $(-1)$-curve $C$ of $X$ which is contracted by both $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}([8])$.

Pick a point $p \in \operatorname{Ind}(h)$. The divisor $\pi_{1}^{-1}(p)$ is a tree of rational curves of negative self-intersections, with at least one $(-1)$-curve. If $p \notin \operatorname{Exc}(h)$, any curve contracted by $\pi_{2}$ that intersects $\pi_{1}^{-1}(p)$ is in fact contained in $\pi_{1}^{-1}(p)$. But $\pi_{2}$ may be decomposed as a succession of contractions of $(-1)$-curves: since it does not contract any $(-1)$-curve in $\pi_{1}^{-1}(p)$, we deduce that $\pi_{2}$ is a local isomorphism along $\pi_{1}^{-1}(p)$. This contradicts the minimality of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$, hence $\operatorname{Ind}(h) \subset \operatorname{Exc}(h)$. Thus $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}: U_{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is regular. Since $U_{h} \cap \operatorname{Exc}(h)=\emptyset,\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}$ is an open immersion, $h^{-1}$ is well defined on $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}\left(U_{h}\right)$, and $h^{-1}$ is an open immersion on $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}\left(U_{h}\right)$. It follows that $\left.h\right|_{U_{h}}\left(U_{h}\right) \subseteq U_{h^{-1}}$. The same argument shows that $\left.h^{-1}\right|_{U_{h^{-1}}}: U_{h^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is well defined and its image is in $U_{h}$. Since $\left.\left.h^{-1}\right|_{U_{h^{-1}}} \circ h\right|_{U_{h}}=$ id and $\left.\left.h\right|_{U_{h}} \circ h^{-1}\right|_{U_{h^{-1}}}=\mathrm{id}$; this concludes the proof.

Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be dominant endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$. Let $h: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a birational map such that $f_{1}=h^{-1} \circ f_{2} \circ h$. Let $d$ be the common (algebraic) degree of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. Recall that an algebraic subset $D$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ is totally invariant under the action of the endomorphism $g$ if $g^{-1}(C)=C$ (then $g(C)=C$, and if $\operatorname{deg}(g) \geq 2, g$ ramifies along $C$ ).

Lemma 4. The exceptional set of $h$ is totally invariant under the action of $f_{1}$ : $f_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))=\operatorname{Exc}(h)$.

Proof. Since $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$, the strict transform of $f_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ by $f_{2} \circ h$ is a finite set, but every dominant endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ is a finite map, so the strict transform of $f_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ by $h$ is already a finite set. This means that $f_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ is contained in $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$; this implies $f_{1}(\operatorname{Exc}(E)) \subset E$ and then $f_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))=\operatorname{Exc}(h)=f_{1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ because $f_{1}$ is onto.

Lemma 5. If $d \geq 2$ then $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ and $\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)$ are two isomorphic configurations of lines, and this configuration falls in the following list:
(P0) the empty set;
$(\mathrm{P} 1)$ one line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$;
(P2) two lines in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$;
$(\mathrm{P} 3)$ three lines in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in general position.
Proof. Assume $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ is not empty; then, by Lemma 4, the curve $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ is totally invariant under $f_{1}$. According to [6, §4] and [4, $\operatorname{Proposition~2],~} \operatorname{Exc}(h)$ is one of the three curves listed in (P1) to (P3).

Changing $h$ into $h^{-1}$ and permuting the role of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, we see that $\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)$ is also a configuration of type ( $\mathrm{P} i$ ) for some $i$. Proposition 3 shows that $U_{h} \simeq$ $U_{h^{-1}}$. Since the four possibilities ( $\mathrm{P} i$ ) correspond to pairwise non-isomorphic complements, we deduce that $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ and $\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)$ have the same type.

Remark 6. One can also refer to [7] to prove this lemma. Indeed, $f_{1}$ induces a map from the set of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ into itself, and since $f_{1}$ is onto, this map is a permutation; the same applies to $f_{2}$. Thus, replacing $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by $f_{1}^{m}$ and $f_{2}^{m}$ for some suitable $m \geq 1$, we may assume that $f_{1}(C)=C$ for every irreducible component $C$ of $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$. Since $f_{1}$ is finite, $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ has only finitely many irreducible components, and $f_{1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))=\operatorname{Exc}(h)$, we obtain $f_{1}^{-1}(C)=C$ for every component. Since $f_{1}$ acts by multiplication by $d$ on $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$, the ramification index of $f_{1}$ along $C$ is $d>1$, and the main theorem of [7] implies that $C$ is a line.

Remark 7. Totally invariant hypersurfaces of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ are unions of hyperplanes, at most four of them (we refer to [9] for a proof and important additional references, notably the work of J.-M. Hwang, N. Nakayama and D.Q. Zhang). So, an analog of Lemma 5 holds in dimension 3 too; but our proof in case (P1), see § 4 below, does not apply in dimension 3, at least not directly. (Note that [2] contains an important gap, since its main result is based on a wrong lemma from [3]).
3. Normal forms. - Two configurations of the same type ( $\mathrm{P} i$ ) are equivalent under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{PGL}_{3}(\mathbf{k})$. If we change $h$ into $A \circ h \circ B$ for some well chosen pair of automorphisms $(A, B)$, or equivalently if we change $f_{1}$ into
$B \circ f_{1} \circ B^{-1}$ and $f_{2}$ into $A^{-1} \circ f_{2} \circ A$, we may assume that $\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)$ and that exactly one of the following situation occurs (see also [6]):
(P0). $-\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)=0$.- Then $h$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ and Theorem A is proved.
(P1). $-\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)=\{z=0\}$.- Then $h$ induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ and $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ restrict to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \backslash\{z=0\}$ (that extend to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ ).
(P2). $-\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)=\{x=0\} \cup\{z=0\} .-\quad$ Then, $U_{h}$ and $U_{h^{-1}}$ are both equal to the open set $U:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^{2} \mid x \neq 0\right\}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h\right|_{U}(x, y)=\left(A x, B x^{m} y+C(x)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some regular function $C(x)$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{i}\right|_{U}(x, y)=\left(x^{ \pm d}, F_{i}(x, y)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some rational functions $F_{i} \in \mathbf{k}(x)[y]$ which are regular on $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and have degree $d$ (more precisely, $f_{i}$ must define an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d$ ). Moreover, the signs of the exponent $\pm d$ in Equation (2) are the same for $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.
(P3). $-\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)=\{x=0\} \cup\{y=0\} \cup\{z=0\} .-\quad$ In this case, each $f_{i}$ is equal to $a_{i} \circ g_{d}$ where $g_{d}([x: y: z])=\left[x^{d}: y^{d}: z^{d}\right]$ and each $a_{i}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ acting by permutation of the coordinates, while $h$ is an automorphism of $\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.
4. Endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ - This section proves Theorem A in case (P1):

Proposition 8. Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ that extend to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. If $h$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ that conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$ then $h$ is an affine automorphism i.e. $\operatorname{deg} h=1$.

We follow the notation from [5] and denote by $V_{\infty}$ the valuative tree of $\mathbb{A}^{2}=$ $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y])$ at infinity. If $g$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, we denote by $g \bullet$ its action on $V_{\infty}$.

Set $V_{1}=\left\{v \in V_{\infty} ; \alpha(v) \geq 0, A(v) \leq 0\right\}$, where $\alpha$ and $A$ are respectively the skewness and thinness function, as defined in page 216 of [5]; the set $V_{1}$ is a closed subtree of $V_{\infty}$. For $v \in V_{1}, v(F) \leq 0$ for every $F \in \mathbf{k}[x, y] \backslash\{0\}$. Then $V_{1}$ is invariant under each $\left(f_{i}\right)_{\bullet}$, and if we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i}=\left\{v \in V_{1} ;\left(f_{i}\right) \bullet v=v\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{T}_{2}=h_{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_{1}$. Since each $f_{i}$ extends to an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$, the valuation - deg is an element of $\mathcal{T}_{1} \cap \mathcal{I}_{2}$. Also, in the terminology of [5], $\lambda_{2}\left(f_{i}\right)=$
$\lambda_{1}\left(f_{i}\right)^{2}=d^{2}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}^{n}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{n}=d^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for $i=1$ and 2 , because $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ extend to regular endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ of degree $d$. So by [5], Proposition 5.3 (a)], $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ is a single point or a closed segment.

A valuation $v \in V_{\infty}$ is monomial of weight $(s, t)$ for the pair of polynomial functions $(P, Q) \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]^{2}$ if
(1) $P$ and $Q$ generate $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ as a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra,
(2) if $F$ is any non-zero element of $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ and $F=\sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i j} P^{i} Q^{j}$ is its decomposition as a polynomial function of $P$ and $Q$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(F)=-\max \left\{s i+t j ; a_{i, j} \neq 0\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $v$ is monomial for the basis $(P, Q)$ of $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$, if $v$ is monomial for $(P, Q)$ and some weight $(s, t)$. In particular, - deg is monomial for $(x, y)$, of weight $(1,1)$.
Lemma 9. If $v \in V_{1}$ is monomial for $(P, Q)$ of weight $(s, t)$, then $s, t \geq 0$, and $\min \{s, t\}=\min \{-v(F) ; F \in \mathbf{k}[x, y] \backslash \mathbf{k}\}$.
Proof. First, assume that $(P, Q)=(x, y)$. For an element $v$ of $V_{1}, v(F) \leq 0$ for every $F$ in $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$, hence $s=-v(x)$ and $t=-v(y)$ are non-negative; and the formula for $\min \{s, t\}$ follows from the inequality $-v(F) \geq \min \{s, t\}$. To get the statement for any pair $(P, Q)$, change $v$ into $g_{\bullet}^{-1} v$ where $g$ is the automorphism defined by $g(x, y)=(P(x, y), Q(x, y))$.
Lemma 10. If $-\operatorname{deg}$ is monomial for $(P, Q)$, of weight $(s, t)$, then $s=t=1$ and $P$ and $Q$ are of degree one in $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$.
Proof. By Lemma 9 , we may assume that $1=s \leq t$; thus, after an affine change of variables, we may assume that $P=x$. Since $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ is generated by $x$ and $Q$, $Q$ takes form $Q=a y+C(x)$ where $a \in \mathbf{k}^{*}$ and $C \in \mathbf{k}[x]$. If $C$ is a constant, we conclude the proof. Now we assume $\operatorname{deg}(C) \geq 1$. Then $t=\operatorname{deg}(Q)=\operatorname{deg}(C)$. Since $y=a^{-1}(Q-C(x))$ and $-\operatorname{deg}$ is monomial for $(x, Q)$ of weight $(1, t)$, we get $1=\operatorname{deg}(y)=\max \{t, \operatorname{deg} C\}=t$. It follows that $t=\operatorname{deg} Q=1$, which concludes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 8 By [5, Proposition 5.3 (b), (d)], there exists $P$ and $Q \in$ $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ such that for every $v \in \mathcal{T}_{1}, v$ is monomial for $(P, Q)$. Moreover, $-\operatorname{deg}$ is in $\mathcal{I}_{1} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2}$. By Lemma 10, $P=x$ and $Q=y$ after an affine change of coordinates. Since $\mathcal{T}_{2}=h_{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_{1}$, for every $v \in \mathcal{T}_{2}, v$ is monomial for $\left(h^{*} x, h^{*} y\right)$. Since $-\operatorname{deg} \in \mathcal{I}_{2}$, Lemma 10 implies $\operatorname{deg} h^{*} x=\operatorname{deg} h^{*} y=1$ and this concludes the proof.
5. Endomorphisms of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$ - We now arrive at case $(\mathrm{P} 2)$, namely $\operatorname{Exc}(h)=\operatorname{Exc}\left(h^{-1}\right)=\{x=0\} \cup\{z=0\}$, and keep the notations from Section 4.
Our first goal is to prove that,

Lemma 11. If $h$ is not an affine automorphism of the affine plane, then after a conjugacy by an affine transformation of the plane,

- Either $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are equal to $\left(x^{d}, y^{d}\right)$ and $h(x, y)=\left(A x, B x^{m} y\right)$ with $A$ and $B$ two roots of unity of order dividing $d-1$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
- Or, up to a permutation of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$,
$f_{1}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j} y^{d-j}\right)$ and $f_{2}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j}(B / A)^{j} x^{j} y^{d-j}\right)$
with $a_{j} \in \mathbf{k}$, and $h(x, y)=(A x, B x y)$ with $A$ and $B$ two roots of unity of order dividing $d-1$; then $h^{\prime}[x: y: z]=[A z / B: y: x]$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$.

Proof. We split the proof in two steps.
Step 1.- We assume that $\left.f_{i}\right|_{U}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, F_{i}(x, y)\right)$, with $d>0$.
Since $f_{i}$ extends to a degree $d$ endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$, we can write $F_{1}(x, y)=$ $a_{0} y^{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{j}(x) y^{d-j}$ where $a_{0} \in \mathbf{k}^{*}$ and the $a_{j} \in \mathbf{k}[x]$ satisfy $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{j}\right) \leq j$ for all $j$. Changing the coordinates to $(x, b y)$ with $b^{d}=a_{0}$, we assume $a_{0}=1$. We can also conjugate $f_{1}$ by the automorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, y+\frac{1}{d} a_{1}(x)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume $a_{1}=0$. Altogether, the change of coordinates $(x, y) \mapsto(x, b y+$ $\left.\frac{1}{d} a_{1}(x)\right)$ is affine because $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1}\right) \leq 1$, and conjugates $f_{1}$ to an endomorphism $\left(x^{d}, F_{1}(x, y)\right)$ normalized by $F_{1}(x, y)=y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j}(x) y^{d-j}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{j}\right) \leq j$. Similarly, we may assume that $F_{2}(x, y)=y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} b_{j}(x) y^{d-j}$ for some polynomial functions $b_{j}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{j}\right) \leq j$ for all $j$.

Now, with the notation used in Equation (11), the two terms of the conjugacy relation $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \circ f_{1}=\left(A x^{d}, B x^{d m}\left(y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j}(x) y^{d-j}\right)+C\left(x^{d}\right)\right)  \tag{6}\\
& f_{2} \circ h=\left(A^{d} x^{d},\left(B x^{m} y+C(x)\right)^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} b_{j}(A x)\left(B x^{m} y+C(x)\right)^{d-j}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives $A^{d-1}=1$, and comparing the terms of degree $d$ in $y$ we get $B^{d-1}=$ 1. Then, looking at the term of degree $d-1$ in $y$, we obtain $C(x)=0$. Thus $h(x, y)=\left(A x, B x^{m} y\right)$ for some roots of unity $A$ and $B$, the orders of which divide $d-1$. Since $h$ is not an automorphism, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \neq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Permuting the role of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ (or changing $h$ in its inverse), we suppose $m \geq 1$. Coming back to (6) and (7), we obtain the sequence of equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}(A x)=a_{j}(x)\left(B x^{m}\right)^{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all indices $j$ between 2 and $d$. On the other hand, $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ are elements of $\mathbf{k}[x]$ of degree at most $j$. Since $m \geq 1$, there are only two possibilities.
(a) All $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ are equal to 0 ; then $f_{1}(x, y)=f_{2}(x, y)=\left(x^{d}, y^{d}\right)$, which concludes the proof.
(b) Some $a_{j}$ is different from 0 and $m=1$. Then all coefficients $a_{j}$ are constant, and $b_{j}(x)=a_{j}\left(\frac{B x}{A}\right)^{j}$ for all indices $j=2, \ldots, d$.
In case (b), we set $\alpha=B / A$ (a root of unity of order dividing $d-1$ ), and use homogeneous coordinates to write

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}[x: y: z]=\left[x^{d}: y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j} z^{j} y^{d-j}: z^{d}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& f_{2}[x: y: z]=\left[x^{d}: y^{d}+\sum_{j=2}^{d} a_{j} \alpha^{j} x^{j} y^{d-j}: z^{d}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The conjugacy $h[x: y: z]=\left[A x z: B x y: z^{2}\right]$ is not a linear projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, but the automorphism defined by $[x: y: z] \mapsto[z / \alpha: y: x]$ conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$.

Step 2.- The only remaining case is when $f_{i}=\left(x^{-d}, F_{i}(x, y)\right)$, for $i=1,2$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{d} a_{j}(x) x^{-d} y^{d-j} \text { and } F_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{d} b_{j}(x) x^{-d} y^{d-j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial functions $a_{j}, b_{j} \in \mathbf{k}[x]$ that $\operatorname{satisfy} \operatorname{deg}\left(a_{j}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(b_{j}\right) \leq j$ and $a_{0} b_{0} \neq 0$. Writing the conjugacy equation $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$ and looking at the term of degree $d$ in $y$, we get the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B x^{-m d} a_{0} x^{-d} y^{d}=b_{0}(A x)^{-d}\left(B x^{m} y\right)^{d} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the degree in $x$ we get $-m d-d=m d-d$, hence $m=0$. Moreover, $h$ conjugates $f_{1}^{2}$ to $f_{2}^{2}$; thus, by the first step, $h$ should be an affine automorphism since $m=0$ (see Equation (8)).
6. Endomorphisms of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}$. - Denote by $[x: y: z]$ the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ and by $(x, y)$ the coordinates of the open subset $V:=\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\right.$ $\{0\})^{2}$ defined by $x y \neq 0, z=1$. We write $f_{i}=a_{i} \circ g_{d}$ as in case (P3) of Section 3. Since $h$ is an automorphism of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}$, it is the composition $t_{h} \circ m_{h}$ of a
diagonal map $t_{h}(x, y)=(u x, v y)$, for some pair $(u, v) \in\left(\mathbf{k}^{*}\right)^{2}$, and a monomial map $m_{h}(x, y)=\left(x^{a} y^{b}, x^{c} y^{d}\right)$, for some matrix

$$
M_{h}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{14}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})
$$

Also, note that the group $\mathfrak{S}_{3} \subset \operatorname{Bir}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$ of permutations of the coordinates $[x: y: z]$ corresponds to a finite subgroup $S_{3}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$.

Since $m_{h}$ commutes to $g_{d}$ and $g_{d} \circ t_{h}=t_{h}^{d} \circ g_{d}$, the conjugacy equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{h} \circ\left(m_{h} \circ a_{1} \circ m_{h}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(g_{d} \circ m_{h}\right)=a_{2} \circ t_{h}^{d} \circ\left(g_{d} \circ m_{h}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The automorphisms $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are monomial maps, induced by elements $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $S_{3}$, and Equation (15) implies that $M_{h}$ conjugates $A_{1}$ to $A_{2}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$; indeed, the matrices can be recovered by looking at the action on the set of units $w x^{m} y^{n}$ in $\mathbf{k}(V)$ (or on the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(V(\mathbf{C}))$ if $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{C}$ ). There are two possibilities :
(a) either $A_{1}=A_{2}=\mathrm{Id}$, there is no constraint on $m_{h}$;
(b) or $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are non-trivial permutations, they are conjugate by an element $P \in S_{3}$, and $M_{h}= \pm A_{2}^{j} \circ P$, for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.
In both cases, $u$ and $v$ are roots of unity (there order is determined by $d$ and the $A_{i}$ ). Let $p$ be the monomial transformation associated to $P$; it is a permutation of the coordinates, hence an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$. Then, $h^{\prime}(x, y)=t_{h} \circ p$ is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)$ that conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$.
7. An example in positive characteristic. - Assume that $q=p^{s}$ with $s \geq 2$.

Set $G:=x y^{p}+(x-1) y$. Then,

$$
f_{1}(x, y)=\left(x^{q}, y^{q}+G(x, y)\right)
$$

defines an endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ that extends to an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Consider a polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ such that $2 \leq \operatorname{deg}(P) \leq \frac{q}{p}-1$. Observe that $\operatorname{deg}(G)<\operatorname{deg}(G(x, y+P(x)))<q$. Then $g(x, y)=(x, y-P(x))$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ that conjugates $f_{1}$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(x, y) & :=g \circ f_{1} \circ g^{-1}(x, y) \\
& =\left(x^{q}, y^{q}+P(x)^{q}+G(x, y+P(x))-P\left(x^{q}\right)\right)  \tag{16}\\
& =\left(x^{q}, y^{q}+G(x, y+P(x))\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As $f_{1}, f_{2}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ that extends to a regular endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (here we use the inequality $\operatorname{deg}(G(x, y+P(x)))<q$ ).

Let us prove that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are not conjugate by any automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We assume that there exists $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\right)$ such that $h \circ f_{1}=f_{2} \circ h$ and seek a
contradiction. Consider the pencils of lines through the point $[0: 1: 0]$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$; for $a \in \mathbf{F}_{q}$ we denote by $L_{a}$ the line $\{x=a z\}$, and by $L_{\infty}$ the line $\{z=0\}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{L_{a} ; a \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}} \cup\{\infty\}\right\} & =\left\{\text { lines } L \text { such that } f_{1}^{-1} L=L\right\}  \tag{17}\\
& =\left\{\text { lines } L \text { such that } f_{2}^{-1} L=L\right\} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

in other words, the lines $L_{a}$ for $a \in \mathbf{F}_{q} \cup\{\infty\}$ are exactly the lines which are totally invariant under the action of $f_{1}$ (resp. of $f_{2}$ ). Since $h$ conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$, it permutes these lines. In particular, $h$ fixes the point $[0: 1: 0]$, and if we identify $L_{a} \cap \mathbb{A}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ with its coordinate $y$ by the parametrization $y \mapsto(a, y)$ then $h$ maps $L_{a}$ to another line $L_{a^{\prime}}$ in an affine way: $h(a, y)=\left(a^{\prime}, \alpha y+\beta\right)$.

Since $g$ conjugates $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$ and $g$ fixes each of the lines $L_{a}$, we know that $\left.f_{1}\right|_{L_{a}}$ is conjugated to $\left.f_{2}\right|_{L_{a}}$ for every $a \in \mathbf{F}_{q}$; for $a=\infty$, both $\left.f_{1}\right|_{L_{\infty}}$ and $\left.f_{2}\right|_{L_{\infty}}$ are conjugate to $y \mapsto y^{q}$. Moreover

- $a=\infty$ is the unique parameter such that $\left.f_{1}\right|_{L_{a}}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^{q}$ by an affine map $y \mapsto \alpha y+\beta$;
- $a=0$ is the unique parameter such that $f_{1} \mid L_{a}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^{q}-y$ by an affine map;
- $a=1$ is the unique parameter such that $\left.f_{1}\right|_{L_{a}}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^{q}+y^{p}$ by an affine map.
And the same properties hold for $f_{2}$. As a consequence, we obtain $h\left(L_{\infty}\right)=L_{\infty}$, $h\left(L_{0}\right)=L_{0}$ and $h\left(L_{1}\right)=L_{1}$; this means that there are coefficients $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}{ }^{*}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \overline{\mathbf{F}_{q}}$ such that $h(x, y)=(x, \alpha y+\beta x+\gamma)$. Writing down the relation $h \circ f_{1}=$ $f_{2} \circ h$ we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha y^{q}+\alpha G(x, y)+\beta x^{q}+\gamma= & \alpha^{q} y^{q}+\beta^{q} x^{q}+\gamma^{q}  \tag{19}\\
& +G(x, \alpha y+\beta x+\gamma+P(x)) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $1<\operatorname{deg} G(x, y)<\operatorname{deg} G(x, \alpha y+\beta x+\gamma+P(x))<q$. Compare the terms of degree $q$, we get $\alpha y^{q}+\beta x^{q}=\alpha^{q} y^{q}+\beta^{q} x^{q}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha G(x, y)+\gamma=\gamma^{q}+G(x, \alpha y+\beta x+\gamma+P(x)) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\operatorname{deg} G(x, y)=\operatorname{deg} G(x, \alpha y+\beta x+\gamma+P(x))$, which is a contradiction.
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