BIRATIONAL CONJUGACIES BETWEEN ENDOMORPHISMS ON THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

SERGE CANTAT AND JUNYI XIE

1. The statement. – Let **k** be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. If f_1 and f_2 are two endomorphisms of a projective surface X over **k** and f_1 is conjugate to f_2 by a birational transformation of X, then f_1 and f_2 have the same topological degree. When X is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$, f_1 (resp. f_2) is given by homogeneous formulas of the same degree d without common factor, and d is called the degree, or algebraic degree of f_1 ; in that case the topological degree.

Theorem A. Let **k** be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let f_1 and f_2 be dominant endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ over **k**. Let $h: \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ be a birational map such that $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$. If the degree d of f_1 is ≥ 2 , there exists an isomorphism $h': \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}} \to \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $h' \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h'$.

Moreover, h itself is in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}})$, except may be if f_1 is conjugate by an element of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}})$ to

- (1) the composition of $g_d : [x : y : z] \mapsto [x^d : y^d : z^d]$ and a permutation of *the coordinates,*
- (2) or the endomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x^d, y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j y^{d-j})$ of the open subset $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbf{k}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$, for some coefficients $a_j \in \mathbf{k}$.

Theorem A is proved in Sections 2 to 6. A counter-example is given in Section 7 when char(\mathbf{k}) $\neq 0$. The case d = 1 is covered by [1]; in particular, there are automorphisms $f_1, f_2 \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}})$ which are conjugate by some birational transformation but not by an automorphism.

Example 1. When $f_1 = f_2$ is the composition of g_d and a permutation of the coordinates and *h* is the Cremona involution $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x^{-1} : y^{-1} : z^{-1}]$, we have $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$.

Example 2. When

$$f_1(x,y) = (x^d, y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j y^{d-j})$$
 and $f_2(x,y) = (x^d, y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j (B/A)^j x^j y^{d-j})$

Date: 2019.

The last-named author is partially supported by project "Fatou" ANR-17-CE40-0002-01, the first-named author by the french academy of sciences (fondation del Duca).

with $a_j \in \mathbf{k}$ then h(x, y) = (Ax, Bxy) conjugates f_1 to f_2 if A and B are roots of unity of order dividing d - 1, and $\deg(h) = 2$. On the other hand, h'[x : y : z] = [Az/B : y : x] is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 that conjugates f_1 to f_2 .

Acknowledgement. – Theorem A answers a question of T. Gauthier and G. Vigny in dimension 2. We thank them for sharing their ideas. We also thank D.-Q. Zhang for answering our questions on the theorem of R. V. Gurjar and D. V. Paz for pointing out a mistake in the first version of Section 7.

2. The exceptional locus. – If $h : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a birational map, we denote by $\operatorname{Ind}(h)$ its indeterminacy locus (a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{k})$), and by $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$ its exceptional set, i.e. the union of the curves contracted by h (a finite union of irreducible curves). Let $U_h = \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \operatorname{Exc}(h)$ be the complement of $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$; it is a Zariski dense open subset of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a curve, we denote by $h_\circ(C)$ the strict transform of C, i.e. the Zariski closure of $h(C \setminus \operatorname{Ind}(f))$.

Proposition 3. If h is a birational transformation of the projective plane, then (1) $Ind(h) \subseteq Exc(h)$, (2) $h|_{U_h}(U_h) = U_{h^{-1}}$, and (3) $h|_{U_h} : U_h \to U_{h^{-1}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. There is a smooth projective surface *X* and two birational morphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2 : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $h = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$; we choose *X* minimal, in the sense that there is no (-1)-curve *C* of *X* which is contracted by both π_1 and π_2 ([8]).

Pick a point $p \in \text{Ind}(h)$. The divisor $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$ is a tree of rational curves of negative self-intersections, with at least one (-1)-curve. If $p \notin \text{Exc}(h)$, any curve contracted by π_2 that intersects $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$ is in fact contained in $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$. But π_2 may be decomposed as a succession of contractions of (-1)-curves: since it does not contract any (-1)-curve in $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$, we deduce that π_2 is a local isomorphism along $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$. This contradicts the minimality of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$, hence $\text{Ind}(h) \subset \text{Exc}(h)$. Thus $h|_{U_h} : U_h \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is regular. Since $U_h \cap \text{Exc}(h) = \emptyset$, $h|_{U_h}$ is an open immersion, h^{-1} is well defined on $h|_{U_h}(U_h)$, and h^{-1} is an open immersion on $h|_{U_h}(U_h)$. It follows that $h|_{U_h}(U_h) \subseteq U_{h^{-1}}$. The same argument shows that $h^{-1}|_{U_{h^{-1}}} : U_{h^{-1}} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is well defined and its image is in U_h . Since $h^{-1}|_{U_{h^{-1}}} \circ h|_{U_h} = \text{id}$ and $h|_{U_h} \circ h^{-1}|_{U_{h^{-1}}} = \text{id}$; this concludes the proof.

Let f_1 and f_2 be dominant endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2_k . Let $h : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a birational map such that $f_1 = h^{-1} \circ f_2 \circ h$. Let d be the common (algebraic) degree of f_1 and f_2 . Recall that an algebraic subset D of \mathbb{P}^2_k is **totally invariant** under the action of the endomorphism g if $g^{-1}(C) = C$ (then g(C) = C, and if $\deg(g) \ge 2$, g ramifies along C).

Lemma 4. The exceptional set of h is totally invariant under the action of f_1 : $f_1^{-1}(Exc(h)) = Exc(h)$.

Proof. Since $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$, the strict transform of $f_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ by $f_2 \circ h$ is a finite set, but every dominant endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a finite map, so the strict transform of $f_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ by h is already a finite set. This means that $f_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ is contained in $\operatorname{Exc}(h)$; this implies $f_1(\operatorname{Exc}(E)) \subset E$ and then $f_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Exc}(h)) = \operatorname{Exc}(h) = f_1(\operatorname{Exc}(h))$ because f_1 is onto.

Lemma 5. If $d \ge 2$ then Exc(h) and $Exc(h^{-1})$ are two isomorphic configurations of lines, and this configuration falls in the following list:

- (P0) the empty set;
- (P1) one line in \mathbb{P}^2 ;
- (P2) two lines in \mathbb{P}^2 ;
- (P3) three lines in \mathbb{P}^2 in general position.

Proof. Assume Exc(h) is not empty; then, by Lemma 4, the curve Exc(h) is totally invariant under f_1 . According to [6, §4] and [4, Proposition 2], Exc(h) is one of the three curves listed in (P1) to (P3).

Changing h into h^{-1} and permuting the role of f_1 and f_2 , we see that $\text{Exc}(h^{-1})$ is also a configuration of type (Pi) for some *i*. Proposition 3 shows that $U_h \simeq U_{h^{-1}}$. Since the four possibilities (Pi) correspond to pairwise non-isomorphic complements, we deduce that Exc(h) and $\text{Exc}(h^{-1})$ have the same type. \Box

Remark 6. One can also refer to [7] to prove this lemma. Indeed, f_1 induces a map from the set of irreducible components of Exc(h) into itself, and since f_1 is onto, this map is a permutation; the same applies to f_2 . Thus, replacing f_1 and f_2 by f_1^m and f_2^m for some suitable $m \ge 1$, we may assume that $f_1(C) = C$ for every irreducible component C of Exc(h). Since f_1 is finite, Exc(h) has only finitely many irreducible components, and $f_1(\text{Exc}(h)) = \text{Exc}(h)$, we obtain $f_1^{-1}(C) = C$ for every component. Since f_1 acts by multiplication by d on $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$, the ramification index of f_1 along C is d > 1, and the main theorem of [7] implies that C is a line.

Remark 7. Totally invariant hypersurfaces of endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^3 are unions of hyperplanes, at most four of them (we refer to [9] for a proof and important additional references, notably the work of J.-M. Hwang, N. Nakayama and D.-Q. Zhang). So, an analog of Lemma 5 holds in dimension 3 too; but our proof in case (P1), see § 4 below, does not apply in dimension 3, at least not directly. (Note that [2] contains an important gap, since its main result is based on a wrong lemma from [3]).

3. Normal forms. – Two configurations of the same type (P*i*) are equivalent under the action of $Aut(\mathbb{P}^2_k) = PGL_3(k)$. If we change *h* into $A \circ h \circ B$ for some well chosen pair of automorphisms (*A*, *B*), or equivalently if we change f_1 into

 $B \circ f_1 \circ B^{-1}$ and f_2 into $A^{-1} \circ f_2 \circ A$, we may assume that $\text{Exc}(h) = \text{Exc}(h^{-1})$ and that exactly one of the following situation occurs (see also [6]):

(P0).- $\operatorname{Exc}(h) = \operatorname{Exc}(h^{-1}) = \emptyset$.- Then *h* is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2_k and Theorem A is proved.

(P1).- $\operatorname{Exc}(h) = \operatorname{Exc}(h^{-1}) = \{z = 0\}$.- Then *h* induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ and f_1 and f_2 restrict to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{z = 0\}$ (that extend to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$).

(P2).- $\operatorname{Exc}(h) = \operatorname{Exc}(h^{-1}) = \{x = 0\} \cup \{z = 0\}.$ Then, U_h and $U_{h^{-1}}$ are both equal to the open set $U := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2 | x \neq 0\}$. Moreover,

$$h|_U(x,y) = (Ax, Bx^m y + C(x))$$
(1)

for some regular function C(x) on $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and

$$f_i|_U(x,y) = (x^{\pm d}, F_i(x,y))$$
 (2)

for some rational functions $F_i \in \mathbf{k}(x)[y]$ which are regular on $(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and have degree *d* (more precisely, f_i must define an endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 of degree *d*). Moreover, the signs of the exponent $\pm d$ in Equation (2) are the same for f_1 and f_2 .

(P3).- $\operatorname{Exc}(h) = \operatorname{Exc}(h^{-1}) = \{x = 0\} \cup \{y = 0\} \cup \{z = 0\}.$ In this case, each f_i is equal to $a_i \circ g_d$ where $g_d([x : y : z]) = [x^d : y^d : z^d]$ and each a_i is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ acting by permutation of the coordinates, while h is an automorphism of $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$.

4. Endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ **.** – This section proves Theorem A in case (P1):

Proposition 8. Let f_1 and f_2 be endomorphisms of \mathbb{A}^2 that extend to endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2 of degree $d \ge 2$. If h is an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 that conjugates f_1 to f_2 then h is an affine automorphism i.e. deg h = 1.

We follow the notation from [5] and denote by V_{∞} the valuative tree of $\mathbb{A}^2 =$ Spec($\mathbf{k}[x, y]$) at infinity. If g is an endomorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 , we denote by g_{\bullet} its action on V_{∞} .

Set $V_1 = \{v \in V_{\infty}; \alpha(v) \ge 0, A(v) \le 0\}$, where α and A are respectively the skewness and thinness function, as defined in page 216 of [5]; the set V_1 is a closed subtree of V_{∞} . For $v \in V_1$, $v(F) \le 0$ for every $F \in \mathbf{k}[x, y] \setminus \{0\}$. Then V_1 is invariant under each $(f_i)_{\bullet}$, and if we set

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \{ v \in V_1 ; (f_i)_{\bullet} v = v \}$$

$$(3)$$

then $\mathcal{T}_2 = h_{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_1$. Since each f_i extends to an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$, the valuation – deg is an element of $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. Also, in the terminology of [5], $\lambda_2(f_i) =$

 $\lambda_1(f_i)^2 = d^2$ and $\deg(f_i^n) = \lambda_1^n = d^n$ for all $n \ge 1$ and for i = 1 and 2, because f_1 and f_2 extend to regular endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2_k of degree *d*. So by [5, Proposition 5.3 (a)], \mathcal{T}_i is a single point or a closed segment.

A valuation $v \in V_{\infty}$ is **monomial** of weight (s,t) for the pair of polynomial functions $(P,Q) \in \mathbf{k}[x,y]^2$ if

- (1) *P* and *Q* generate $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ as a **k**-algebra,
- (2) if *F* is any non-zero element of $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ and $F = \sum_{i,j\geq 0} a_{ij} P^i Q^j$ is its decomposition as a polynomial function of *P* and *Q* then

$$v(F) = -\max\{si + tj; a_{i,j} \neq 0\}.$$
(4)

We say that v is monomial for the basis (P,Q) of $\mathbf{k}[x,y]$, if v is monomial for (P,Q) and some weight (s,t). In particular, $-\deg$ is monomial for (x,y), of weight (1,1).

Lemma 9. If $v \in V_1$ is monomial for (P,Q) of weight (s,t), then $s,t \ge 0$, and $\min\{s,t\} = \min\{-v(F); F \in \mathbf{k}[x,y] \setminus \mathbf{k}\}.$

Proof. First, assume that (P,Q) = (x,y). For an element v of V_1 , $v(F) \le 0$ for every F in $\mathbf{k}[x,y]$, hence s = -v(x) and t = -v(y) are non-negative; and the formula for min $\{s,t\}$ follows from the inequality $-v(F) \ge \min\{s,t\}$. To get the statement for any pair (P,Q), change v into $g_{\bullet}^{-1}v$ where g is the automorphism defined by g(x,y) = (P(x,y), Q(x,y)).

Lemma 10. *If* - deg is monomial for (P,Q), of weight (s,t), then s = t = 1 and *P* and *Q* are of degree one in $\mathbf{k}[x,y]$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, we may assume that $1 = s \le t$; thus, after an affine change of variables, we may assume that P = x. Since $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ is generated by x and Q, Q takes form Q = ay + C(x) where $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $C \in \mathbf{k}[x]$. If C is a constant, we conclude the proof. Now we assume $\deg(C) \ge 1$. Then $t = \deg(Q) = \deg(C)$. Since $y = a^{-1}(Q - C(x))$ and $-\deg$ is monomial for (x, Q) of weight (1, t), we get $1 = \deg(y) = \max\{t, \deg C\} = t$. It follows that $t = \deg Q = 1$, which concludes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 8. By [5, Proposition 5.3 (b), (d)], there exists *P* and $Q \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ such that for every $v \in \mathcal{T}_1$, *v* is monomial for (P, Q). Moreover, – deg is in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. By Lemma 10, P = x and Q = y after an affine change of coordinates. Since $\mathcal{T}_2 = h_{\bullet}\mathcal{T}_1$, for every $v \in \mathcal{T}_2$, *v* is monomial for (h^*x, h^*y) . Since – deg $\in \mathcal{T}_2$, Lemma 10 implies deg $h^*x = \text{deg } h^*y = 1$ and this concludes the proof.

5. Endomorphisms of $(\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^1_{k^*}$ – We now arrive at case (P2), namely $\operatorname{Exc}(h) = \operatorname{Exc}(h^{-1}) = \{x = 0\} \cup \{z = 0\}$, and keep the notations from Section 4. Our first goal is to prove that,

Lemma 11. *If h is not an affine automorphism of the affine plane, then after a conjugacy by an affine transformation of the plane,*

• Either f_1 and f_2 are equal to (x^d, y^d) and $h(x, y) = (Ax, Bx^m y)$ with A and B two roots of unity of order dividing d - 1 and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

• Or, up to a permutation of f_1 and f_2 ,

$$f_1(x,y) = (x^d, y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j y^{d-j})$$
 and $f_2(x,y) = (x^d, y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j (B/A)^j x^j y^{d-j})$

with $a_j \in \mathbf{k}$, and h(x, y) = (Ax, Bxy) with A and B two roots of unity of order dividing d - 1; then h'[x : y : z] = [Az/B : y : x] is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 that conjugates f_1 to f_2 .

Proof. We split the proof in two steps.

Step 1.– We assume that $f_i|_U(x,y) = (x^d, F_i(x,y))$, with d > 0.

Since f_i extends to a degree d endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$, we can write $F_1(x, y) = a_0 y^d + \sum_{j=1}^d a_j(x) y^{d-j}$ where $a_0 \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and the $a_j \in \mathbf{k}[x]$ satisfy $\deg(a_j) \leq j$ for all j. Changing the coordinates to (x, by) with $b^d = a_0$, we assume $a_0 = 1$. We can also conjugate f_1 by the automorphism

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(x, y + \frac{1}{d}a_1(x)\right)$$
 (5)

and assume $a_1 = 0$. Altogether, the change of coordinates $(x, y) \mapsto (x, by + \frac{1}{d}a_1(x))$ is affine because deg $(a_1) \leq 1$, and conjugates f_1 to an endomorphism $(x^d, F_1(x, y))$ normalized by $F_1(x, y) = y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j(x)y^{d-j}$ with deg $(a_j) \leq j$. Similarly, we may assume that $F_2(x, y) = y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d b_j(x)y^{d-j}$ for some polynomial functions b_j with deg $(b_j) \leq j$ for all j.

Now, with the notation used in Equation (1), the two terms of the conjugacy relation $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$ are

$$h \circ f_1 = (Ax^d, Bx^{dm}(y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j(x)y^{d-j}) + C(x^d))$$
(6)

$$f_2 \circ h = (A^d x^d, \ (Bx^m y + C(x))^d + \sum_{j=2}^d b_j (Ax) (Bx^m y + C(x))^{d-j}).$$
(7)

This gives $A^{d-1} = 1$, and comparing the terms of degree *d* in *y* we get $B^{d-1} = 1$. 1. Then, looking at the term of degree d-1 in *y*, we obtain C(x) = 0. Thus $h(x,y) = (Ax, Bx^m y)$ for some roots of unity *A* and *B*, the orders of which divide d-1. Since *h* is not an automorphism, we have

$$m \neq 0. \tag{8}$$

Permuting the role of f_1 and f_2 (or changing h in its inverse), we suppose $m \ge 1$. Coming back to (6) and (7), we obtain the sequence of equalities

$$b_j(Ax) = a_j(x)(Bx^m)^j \tag{9}$$

for all indices *j* between 2 and *d*. On the other hand, a_j and b_j are elements of $\mathbf{k}[x]$ of degree at most *j*. Since $m \ge 1$, there are only two possibilities.

- (a) All a_j and b_j are equal to 0; then $f_1(x,y) = f_2(x,y) = (x^d, y^d)$, which concludes the proof.
- (b) Some a_j is different from 0 and m = 1. Then all coefficients a_j are constant, and $b_j(x) = a_j \left(\frac{Bx}{A}\right)^j$ for all indices j = 2, ..., d.

In case (b), we set $\alpha = B/A$ (a root of unity of order dividing d - 1), and use homogeneous coordinates to write

$$f_1[x:y:z] = [x^d:y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j z^j y^{d-j}:z^d]$$
(10)

$$f_2[x:y:z] = [x^d:y^d + \sum_{j=2}^d a_j \alpha^j x^j y^{d-j}:z^d].$$
 (11)

The conjugacy $h[x : y : z] = [Axz : Bxy : z^2]$ is not a linear projective automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 , but the automorphism defined by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [z/\alpha : y : x]$ conjugates f_1 to f_2 .

Step 2.– The only remaining case is when $f_i = (x^{-d}, F_i(x, y))$, for i = 1, 2, with

$$F_1(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^d a_j(x) x^{-d} y^{d-j} \text{ and } F_2(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j(x) x^{-d} y^{d-j}$$
(12)

for some polynomial functions $a_j, b_j \in \mathbf{k}[x]$ that satisfy $\deg(a_j), \deg(b_j) \leq j$ and $a_0b_0 \neq 0$. Writing the conjugacy equation $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$ and looking at the term of degree *d* in *y*, we get the relation

$$Bx^{-md}a_0x^{-d}y^d = b_0(Ax)^{-d}(Bx^m y)^d.$$
(13)

Comparing the degree in x we get -md - d = md - d, hence m = 0. Moreover, *h* conjugates f_1^2 to f_2^2 ; thus, by the first step, *h* should be an affine automorphism since m = 0 (see Equation (8)).

6. Endomorphisms of $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{0\})^2$. – Denote by [x : y : z] the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and by (x, y) the coordinates of the open subset $V := (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{0\})^2$ defined by $xy \neq 0, z = 1$. We write $f_i = a_i \circ g_d$ as in case (P3) of Section 3. Since *h* is an automorphism of $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{0\})^2$, it is the composition $t_h \circ m_h$ of a

diagonal map $t_h(x,y) = (ux,vy)$, for some pair $(u,v) \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^2$, and a monomial map $m_h(x,y) = (x^a y^b, x^c y^d)$, for some matrix

$$M_h := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}).$$
(14)

Also, note that the group $\mathfrak{S}_3 \subset \mathsf{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$ of permutations of the coordinates [x:y:z] corresponds to a finite subgroup S_3 of $\mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Since m_h commutes to g_d and $g_d \circ t_h = t_h^d \circ g_d$, the conjugacy equation is equivalent to

$$t_h \circ (m_h \circ a_1 \circ m_h^{-1}) \circ (g_d \circ m_h) = a_2 \circ t_h^d \circ (g_d \circ m_h).$$

$$(15)$$

The automorphisms a_1 and a_2 are monomial maps, induced by elements A_1 and A_2 of S_3 , and Equation (15) implies that M_h conjugates A_1 to A_2 in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$; indeed, the matrices can be recovered by looking at the action on the set of units wx^my^n in $\mathbf{k}(V)$ (or on the fundamental group $\pi_1(V(\mathbb{C}))$ if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$). There are two possibilities :

- (a) either $A_1 = A_2 = \text{Id}$, there is no constraint on m_h ;
- (b) or A_1 and A_2 are non-trivial permutations, they are conjugate by an element $P \in S_3$, and $M_h = \pm A_2^j \circ P$, for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In both cases, *u* and *v* are roots of unity (there order is determined by *d* and the A_i). Let *p* be the monomial transformation associated to *P*; it is a permutation of the coordinates, hence an element of Aut($\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$). Then, $h'(x,y) = t_h \circ p$ is an element of Aut($\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$) that conjugates f_1 to f_2 .

7. An example in positive characteristic. – Assume that $q = p^s$ with $s \ge 2$. Set $G := xy^p + (x-1)y$. Then,

$$f_1(x,y) = (x^q, y^q + G(x,y))$$

defines an endomorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 that extends to an endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 .

Consider a polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ such that $2 \leq \deg(P) \leq \frac{q}{p} - 1$. Observe that $\deg(G) < \deg(G(x, y + P(x))) < q$. Then g(x, y) = (x, y - P(x)) is an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbf{k}}$ that conjugates f_1 to

$$f_{2}(x,y) := g \circ f_{1} \circ g^{-1}(x,y)$$

= $(x^{q}, y^{q} + P(x)^{q} + G(x, y + P(x)) - P(x^{q}))$ (16)
= $(x^{q}, y^{q} + G(x, y + P(x))).$

As f_1 , f_2 is an endomorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 that extends to a regular endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 (here we use the inequality deg(G(x, y + P(x))) < q).

Let us prove that f_1 and f_2 are not conjugate by any automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 . We assume that there exists $h \in \mathsf{PGL}_3(\overline{\mathbf{F}_q})$ such that $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$ and seek a contradiction. Consider the pencils of lines through the point [0:1:0] in \mathbb{P}^2 ; for $a \in \mathbf{F}_q$ we denote by L_a the line $\{x = az\}$, and by L_{∞} the line $\{z = 0\}$. Then

$$\{L_a ; a \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}} \cup \{\infty\}\} = \{\text{lines } L \text{ such that } f_1^{-1}L = L\}$$
(17)

$$= \{ \text{lines } L \text{ such that } f_2^{-1}L = L \};$$
(18)

in other words, the lines L_a for $a \in \mathbf{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ are exactly the lines which are totally invariant under the action of f_1 (resp. of f_2). Since *h* conjugates f_1 to f_2 , it permutes these lines. In particular, *h* fixes the point [0:1:0], and if we identify $L_a \cap \mathbb{A}^2$ to \mathbb{A}^1 with its coordinate *y* by the parametrization $y \mapsto (a, y)$ then *h* maps L_a to another line $L_{a'}$ in an affine way: $h(a, y) = (a', \alpha y + \beta)$.

Since g conjugates f_1 to f_2 and g fixes each of the lines L_a , we know that $f_1|_{L_a}$ is conjugated to $f_2|_{L_a}$ for every $a \in \mathbf{F}_q$; for $a = \infty$, both $f_1|_{L_{\infty}}$ and $f_2|_{L_{\infty}}$ are conjugate to $y \mapsto y^q$. Moreover

- $a = \infty$ is the unique parameter such that $f_1|_{L_a}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^q$ by an affine map $y \mapsto \alpha y + \beta$;
- a = 0 is the unique parameter such that $f_1|_{L_a}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^q y$ by an affine map;
- a = 1 is the unique parameter such that $f_1|_{L_a}$ is conjugate to $y \mapsto y^q + y^p$ by an affine map.

And the same properties hold for f_2 . As a consequence, we obtain $h(L_{\infty}) = L_{\infty}$, $h(L_0) = L_0$ and $h(L_1) = L_1$; this means that there are coefficients $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{F}_q}^*$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \overline{\mathbf{F}_q}$ such that $h(x, y) = (x, \alpha y + \beta x + \gamma)$. Writing down the relation $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$ we obtain the relation

$$\alpha y^{q} + \alpha G(x, y) + \beta x^{q} + \gamma = \alpha^{q} y^{q} + \beta^{q} x^{q} + \gamma^{q}$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

+
$$G(x, \alpha y + \beta x + \gamma + P(x)).$$
 (20)

We note that $1 < \deg G(x, y) < \deg G(x, \alpha y + \beta x + \gamma + P(x)) < q$. Compare the terms of degree q, we get $\alpha y^q + \beta x^q = \alpha^q y^q + \beta^q x^q$. It follows that

$$\alpha G(x, y) + \gamma = \gamma^{q} + G(x, \alpha y + \beta x + \gamma + P(x)).$$
(21)

Then deg $G(x, y) = \text{deg } G(x, \alpha y + \beta x + \gamma + P(x))$, which is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jérémy Blanc. Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of \mathbb{K}^n and linear automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n in the Cremona groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 119(2):225–241, 2006.
- [2] Jean-Yves Briend, Serge Cantat, and Mitsuhiro Shishikura. Linearity of the exceptional set for maps of $\mathbf{P}_k(\mathbb{C})$. *Math. Ann.*, 330(1):39–43, 2004.
- [3] Jean-Yves Briend and Julien Duval. Deux caractérisations de la mesure d'équilibre d'un endomorphisme de $P^k(\mathbb{C})$. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (93):145–159, 2001.
- [4] Dominique Cerveau and Alcides Lins Neto. Hypersurfaces exceptionnelles des endomorphismes de CP(n). Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.), 31(2):155–161, 2000.

- [5] Charles Favre and Mattias Jonsson. Dynamical compactifications of C². Ann. of Math. (2), 173(1):211–248, 2011.
- [6] John Erik Fornæss and Nessim Sibony. Complex dynamics in higher dimension. I. Number 222, pages 5, 201–231. 1994. Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992).
- [7] Rajendra Vasent Gurjar. On ramification of self-maps of P². J. Algebra, 259(1):191–200, 2003.
- [8] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [9] Andreas Höring. Totally invariant divisors of endomorphisms of projective spaces. *Manuscripta Math.*, 153(1-2):173–182, 2017.

SERGE CANTAT, IRMAR, CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, BÂTIMENTS 22-23 263 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL LECLERC, CS 74205 35042 RENNES CÉDEX *E-mail address*: serge.cantat@univ-rennes1.fr

JUNYI XIE, IRMAR, CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, BÂTIMENTS 22-23 263 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL LECLERC, CS 74205 35042 RENNES CÉDEX

E-mail address: junyi.xie@univ-rennes1.fr