arXiv:2006.00072v4 [math.AT] 17 Jun 2021

WHICH HOMOTOPY ALGEBRAS COME FROM TRANSFER?

MARTIN MARKL AND CHRISTOPHER L. ROGERS

Abstract. We characterize A_∞-structures that are equivalent to a given transferred structure over a chain homotopy equivalence or a quasi-isomorphism, answering a question posed by D. Sullivan. Along the way, we present an obstruction theory for weak A_∞-morphisms over an arbitrary commutative ring. We then generalize our results to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-structures over a field of characteristic zero, for any quadratic Koszul operad $\mathcal{P}$.

1. Introduction

An A_∞-algebra is a homotopical generalization of a differential graded associative algebra [21]. It is a chain complex (A, d) equipped with a binary operation μ_2 for which the associative law only holds up to a specified chain homotopy μ_3. This homotopy is taken to be part of the structure; it too must satisfy a law, but only up to another specified homotopy μ_4, which satisfies yet another law and so forth. See [16, Sec. 2] for the precise definition and terminology. This seemingly complicated generalization is in fact quite natural, and it endows A_∞-algebras with many desirable homological properties.

For example, given a dg associative algebra A and a chain homotopy equivalent complex A', there is in general no dg associative algebra structure on A' such that the given chain homotopy equivalence becomes a morphism of dg associative algebras. On the other hand, for A_∞-algebras one has:

Homotopy Transfer Theorem ([14, Theorem 10.3.1])**.** *Let the chain complex (A', d') be a homotopy retract of (A, d), i.e. there exists a diagram*

$$h \circlearrowright (A, d) \underset{g_1}{\overset{f_1}{\rightleftarrows}} (A', d'), \quad g_1 f_1 - \mathrm{id}_A = dh + hd \tag{1}$$

in which f_1 and g_1 are chain maps, with g_1 inducing an isomorphism on homology, and h is a chain homotopy between $g_1 f_1$ and the identity endomorphism of A.[1] *Then any A_∞-algebra structure on (A, d) can be transferred to an A_∞-algebra structure on (A', d') such that g_1 extends to a weak A_∞-morphism.*

The first author proved in [16] a much stronger result, providing simple explicit formulas not only for the transferred A_∞-structure and an extension of g_1, but also

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D99, 55S20.

Key words and phrases. A_∞-algebra, transfer, quasi-isomorphism, weak equivalence.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while the authors were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2020 semester. The first author was also supported by grant GA ČR 18-07776S, Praemium Academiæ and RVO: 67985840. The second author was also supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation/SFARI (585631,CR).

[1] In other words, g_1 is a left homotopy inverse of f_1.

for extensions of f_1 and h. Furthermore, g_1 is not required in [16] to induce an isomorphism on homology. The extensions of both f_1 and g_1 will play a crucial role in this work.

The transfers of A_∞-structures over a chain map admitting a left homotopy inverse, as given by the formulas presented in [10, 16, 17] and recalled in Sec. 3 below, have found applications in many contexts. For example, they have been used in geometry [1, 4, 5, 6, 19], homological algebra [2, 3] and mathematical physics [11, 20]. It is therefore natural to ask which A_∞-structures are equivalent to a given transferred one. This was the question posed to the first author by Dennis Sullivan during his visit to the Simons Center in June 2019. The aim of this note is to give an answer for the case when the chain map f_1 in (1) over which the transfer is performed is a *chain homotopy equivalence* as in (7). That is, in addition to the hypothesis that $g_1 f_1$ is chain homotopic to the identity id_A, we also assume that $f_1 g_1$ is chain homotopic to the identity $\mathrm{id}_{A'}$. If the ground ring is a field, then this is the same as being a quasi-isomorphism[2].

Conventions. All algebraic objects in Sections 2 - 5 are defined over a fixed commutative unital ring R, except Sec. 4.3 where R is a field. In Section 6, we restrict to the case $R = \Bbbk$, where $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic zero. All graded objects are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and unbounded; we use homological conventions for all dg objects. Given graded R-modules V and W, we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_R(V, W)$ the graded R-module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(V, W)_n := \prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{R\mathrm{Mod}}(V_k, W_{k+n})$, where $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\mathrm{Mod}}(-,-)$ denotes the internal hom in the category of R-modules. We denote by $\mathbf{s}V$ and $\mathbf{s}^{-1}V$, the suspension and desuspension, respectively, of the graded module V. Concretely, $(\mathbf{s}V)_n := V_{n-1}$ and $(\mathbf{s}^{-1}V)_n := V_{n+1}$.

Conventions and notations for A_∞-algebras and their weak and strict morphisms are taken from [16, Sec. 2]. In Sec. 6, which is separate from the rest of the paper, we assume some familiarity with Koszul operads and homotopy operadic algebras as in [14, Ch. 10].

Acknowledgment. We express our gratitude to Jim Stasheff, Dennis Sullivan and the referee for useful suggestions and comments that led to substantial improvement of our paper.

2. Summary of results

Suppose that $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (A, d, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots)$ is an A_∞-algebra, (A', d') a chain complex, and $f_1 : (A, d) \to (A', d')$ a chain map which is a chain homotopy equivalence. Then it is well known (see Sec. 3) that there exists a transferred A_∞-structure

$$(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) = (A', d', \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots)$$

on (A', d') and a lift of f_1 to a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots) \colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$. Now suppose that

$$(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}') = (A', d', \mu'_2, \mu'_3, \ldots)$$

is another A_∞-structure on (A', d'). For the purposes of exposition, let us begin with an approximation to Sullivan's question.

Question 1. *In the situation above, is the A_∞-structure $\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \{\mu'_2, \mu'_3, \ldots\}$ on the complex (A', d') equivalent to a transferred structure?*

[2] I.e., a chain map inducing a homology isomorphism.

We need to specify what precisely "is equivalent" and the adjective "transferred" mean in the above sentence. Let us start with the former one; transferred structures will be treated in the next section. First, by "is equivalent", we could mean that there exists a weak A_∞-morphism

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \ldots)\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}') \tag{2}$$

such that one of the following cases is satisfied:

Case	Relationship between $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$	Criterion for chain map $\phi_1\colon (A', d') \to (A', d')$	Criteria for higher maps $\phi_{k\geq 2}$
Strict Cases			
1	equality	$\phi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{A'}$	$\phi_k = 0\ \forall k \geq 2$
2	strictly isomorphic	ϕ_1 is an automorphism	$\phi_k = 0\ \forall k \geq 2$
Weak Cases			
3	isotopic	$\phi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{A'}$	none
4	weakly isomorphic	ϕ_1 is an automorphism	none

Other relationships are possible. Recall that a weak A_∞-morphism such as $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ in (2) above is an A_∞**-quasi-isomorphism**, or A_∞**-quism** for short, if ϕ_1 is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes. We say $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ are **weakly equivalent** or, depending on the context, that they have the same **homotopy type** if they are connected by a zig-zag of A_∞-quisms. Then "is" in Question 1 could also mean:

Homotopical Cases		
Case	Relationship between $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$	Criteria for weak morphisms between $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$
5a	A_∞-quasi-isomorphic	$\exists$ A_∞-quism $\boldsymbol{\phi}\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$
5b		$\exists$ A_∞-quism $\boldsymbol{\psi}\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}') \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$
6	weakly equivalent	$\exists$ A_∞-quisms $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \leftarrow \bullet \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$

2.1. **Main results.** There are seven variations of Question 1 to consider. Cases 1 and 2 involve comparing the isomorphism class of $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ to that of $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ in the category of A_∞-algebras and strict morphisms. As we show in Sec. 4.1, these turn out to be the only cases in which explicit formulas for the transferred structure actually matter.

Cases 3 and 4 involve comparing isomorphism classes in the category of A_∞-algebras and weak morphisms, while the remaining three concern isomorphism classes in the corresponding "homotopy category". The characterization via isotopy, Case 3, is perhaps the most interesting. In Thm. 2, we exhibit a precise relationship between the isotopy class of a transfer and the homotopy type of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$. In particular, any A_∞-structure which is a target of an A_∞-quism is isotopic to a transferred one (Cor. 3). Our proofs of these results are based on an obstruction theory for A_∞-morphisms, which we develop in Sec. 5.

When R is a field, we prove in Sec. 4.3 that the three homotopical cases, 5a, 5b, and 6, are all equivalent to the existence of an A_∞-quism between the original A_∞-structure $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$.

Furthermore, as we show in Cor. 8, our results for Case 3 also provide a positive answer to Sullivan's original question, which we can now state precisely:

Question 2. *Can one formulate, in terms of the initial data $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and f_1 as above, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the A_∞-structure $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$ to be isotopic to a structure transferred over the chain homotopy equivalence f_1?*

Finally, in Sec. 6, we generalize Thm. 2 to the transfer of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-structures over a field $\Bbbk$ with $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = 0$, for any quadratic Koszul operad $\mathcal{P}$.

3. A reminder on transfers

We recall some basic features of transferred A_∞-structures. The initial data are an A_∞-algebra $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (A, d, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots)$ a chain complex (A', d'), and a chain map $f_1 \colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$. A **transfer** of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ **over a chain map** f_1 is an A_∞-structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) = (A', d', \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots)$ on A' and an extension

$$\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots) : (A, d, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots) \longrightarrow (A', d', \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots) \tag{3}$$

of the chain map f_1 into a weak A_∞-morphism.

There are two standard situations in which such transfers are known to exist: the "homology setup" and the "homotopy setup". In the former scenario, the transferred structure $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and the extension $\boldsymbol{f}$ are built inductively via homological obstruction theory, so that the end result is non-canonical. A prototype of transfer theorems of this kind was established by T. Kadeishvili in his seminal paper [12]. A very general formulation [18, Theorem 2] together with a historical account can be found in the recent paper of D. Petersen. In that work, f_1 is assumed to induce a quasi-isomorphism of certain hom complexes.

3.1. **The homotopy setup.** This is the formalism which we will use in the present work. It was thoroughly developed in [16], with special cases and partial results appearing earlier in the work of M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman [10], and S. Merkulov [17]. In this approach, which is valid over an arbitrary commutative ring, a transfer exists provided that we have a left homotopy inverse g_1 to f_1, and a chain homotopy $h \colon g_1 f_1 \simeq \mathrm{id}_A$, as in Eq. 1.

The homotopy setup, in fact, yields explicit formulas for the transfer and much more. Fix a left homotopy inverse g_1 of f_1, and a chain homotopy h, as above. Then the formulas in [16] produce an *explicit* A_∞-structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) = (A', d', \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots)$ on A', an *explicit* extension $\boldsymbol{f} \colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ of the chain map f_1, as well as an *explicit* extension


$$\boldsymbol{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots) \colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \longrightarrow (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \tag{4}$$

of the chain map g_1, and an *explicit* extension $\boldsymbol{h} = (h, h_2, h_3, \ldots)$ of the homotopy h. The extension $\boldsymbol{g}$ plays a crucial role in Sec. 4, but $\boldsymbol{h}$ will not be needed.

We recall the formulas for the transferred structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$. According to the Ansatz [16, Eq. 1], the structure operations ν_n are of the form

$$\nu_n := f_1 \circ \boldsymbol{p}_n \circ g_1^{\otimes n}, \ n \geq 2, \tag{5}$$

where the **p-kernels** [16, Section 4] $\boldsymbol{p}_n \colon A^{\otimes n} \to A$ are defined as follows. Let $\mathtt{P}_n$ denote the set of planar rooted trees whose vertices all have at least two incoming edges, with internal edges decorated by the symbol $\circ$, and which have n leaves. Elements of $\mathtt{P}_n$ encode maps and their compositions. For example, the tree

(6) 

is an element of $\mathtt{P}_7$. We assign to every tree $T \in \mathtt{P}_n$ a map $F_T \colon A^{\otimes n} \to A$ such that each $\overset{|}{\circ}$ corresponds to the homotopy $h \colon A \to A$, and each vertex with k incoming edges corresponds to the map $\mu_k \colon A^{\otimes k} \to A$. For example, the tree T in (6) is assigned to the degree 5 map $F_T = \mu_3(h{\circ}\mu_2(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes h{\circ}\mu_2){\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A \otimes h{\circ}\mu_3) \colon A^{\otimes 7} \to A$. The p-kernels in (5) are then given by

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n := \sum_{T \in \mathtt{P}_n} (-1)^{\vartheta(T)} \cdot F_T, \ n \geq 2.$$

where the sign $(-1)^{\vartheta(T)}$ depends[3] on the number of subtrees in T of a certain type. Notice that, while $\nu_2 = f_1 \circ \mu_2 \circ (g_1 \otimes g_1)$, the higher arity transfer operations $\nu_{n\geq 3}$ depend on the homotopy h, as well as f_1 and g_1.

In the rest of the paper, f_1 will always be a chain homotopy equivalence. We will call the explicit transfer given by (5) the **transfer over a chain homotopy equivalence f_1,** in contrast to a less specific transfer over a chain map f_1 defined at the beginning of this section.

4. Classifying transferred structures

In this section, we present the main results previously summarized in Sec. 2.1. In 4.1–4.3, we address the strict isomorphism, weak isomorphism, and homotopical variations of Question 1, giving us seven cases in total to consider. In Sec. 4.3, we also address Question 2, the precise version of D. Sullivan's original query.

The starting point for all results in this section is an A_∞-algebra $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$, a *chain homotopy equivalence* $f_1 \colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$, and an A_∞-algebra $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$. The goal is to compare the latter A_∞-algebra to a transfer $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ of the former over f_1 via the "homotopy setup" from Sec. 3.1.

4.1. **Strict isomorphism: Cases 1 and 2.** These are the only variations of Question 1 in which explicit formulas for the transfer matters. We simply check whether the operations μ'_n of $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ are either: (1) equal to the operations ν_n defined via Eq. 5, or (2) equal to a twist of these operations by the automorphism $\phi_1 \colon (A', d') \xrightarrow{\cong} (A', d')$.

Remark 1. Characterizing transfers via strict isomorphism leads to an interesting side question which is also related to Thm. 2 below. Suppose that we are given a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{F} \colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ which extends a *quasi-isomorphism* of chain complexes f_1. That is, $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ is a transfer of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ over a chain map f_1. Can one enhance f_1 into a homotopy data such that $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ equals, or is strictly isomorphic to, the transfer (5) of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ over a chain homotopy equivalence f_1?

[3]We will not need the precise definition of $(-1)^{\vartheta(T)}$; see [16, Prop. 6] for details.

The answer is no in general, as the following example shows. Let $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ be the free associative R-algebra $R\langle x\rangle$ generated by an element x of degree 0. Interpret $R\langle x\rangle$ as an A_∞-algebra with the trivial differential and all structure operations except μ_2 trivial. Let $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ be the free associative R-algebra $R\langle x, u, \overline{u}\rangle$ generated by x of degree 0, u of degree 2 and $\overline{u}$ of degree 1, with the differential given by $d'x = d'\overline{u} := 0$, and $d'u := \overline{u}$. Finally, let $\boldsymbol{F}\colon \big(R\langle x\rangle, d = 0\big) \to \big(R\langle x, \overline{u}, u\rangle, d'\big)$ be the dg algebra morphism $\boldsymbol{F}(x) := x$, viewed as a strict A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{F} = (f_1, 0, 0, \ldots)$ with $f_1 := \boldsymbol{F}$.

Consider the possible left homotopy inverses g_1 of f_1. Since the differential of $R\langle x\rangle$ is trivial, g_1 must be a strict inverse, and we easily see that the only possibility is that $g_1(x^k) := x^k$ for $k \geq 0$, while g_1 is trivial on the remaining elements of $R\langle x, \overline{u}, u\rangle$. Moreover, the homotopy h witnessing $g_1 \circ f_1 \simeq \mathrm{id}$ must be zero for degree reasons. Hence, the formulas (5) for the transferred A_∞-structure give us

$$\nu_2(a, b) := \begin{cases} \mu'_2(a, b) & \text{if } a = x^k,\ b = x^l \text{ for some } k, l \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

while $\nu_n := 0$ for $n \geq 3$. It is easy to check that this transferred structure is neither equal to nor strictly isomorphic to $R\langle x, \overline{u}, u\rangle$.

4.2. **Weak isomorphism: Cases 3 and 4.** This is the most interesting variation of Question 1. The main technical tool used here is the obstruction theory developed in the next section (Sec. 5). We start with Case 3, which concerns the isotopy class of a transferred structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$.

Theorem 2. *Given a chain homotopy equivalence $f_1 : (A, d) \to (A', d')$, an A_∞-structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ on (A', d') is a transfer over the chain map f_1 if and only if it is isotopic to the transfer over the chain homotopy equivalence f_1.*

Proof. Assume that (A', d') is a transfer over the chain map f_1, i.e. that f_1 extends to a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{F} = (f_1, F_2, F_3, \ldots)\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ and promote the chain homotopy equivalence f_1 to the data

$$(7)\quad h \circlearrowleft (A, d) \underset{g_1}{\overset{f_1}{\rightleftarrows}} (A', d') \circlearrowright l \qquad g_1 f_1 - \mathrm{id}_A = dh + hd,\ f_1 g_1 - \mathrm{id}_{A'} = d'l + ld'.$$

Let $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ be the structure transferred over f_1 using f_1, g_1 and h. Then f_1 can be extended to a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{f}\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ as in (3) and g_1 can also be extended to $\boldsymbol{g}\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ as in (4). The linear term $(\boldsymbol{F} \circ \boldsymbol{g})_1$ of the composition $\boldsymbol{F} \circ \boldsymbol{g}\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ equals $f_1 \circ g_1$, which is homotopic to the identity $\mathrm{id}_{A'}$ via the homotopy l in (7). It then follows from Prop. 14 in Sec. 5 that there exists a weak A_∞-morphism of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} := (\mathrm{id}_{A'}, \phi_2, \phi_3, \ldots) : (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}').$$

Hence, $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is our desired isotopy.

The opposite implication is simple. If $\boldsymbol{\phi}\colon (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ is an isotopy, then $\boldsymbol{F} := \boldsymbol{\phi} \circ \boldsymbol{f}$ is a weak A_∞-morphism extending f_1. □

Corollary 3. *The isotopy type of the transfer over a given chain homotopy equivalence f_1 does not depend on the choices of g_1 and h in (1). If R is a field, then any A_∞-structure which is a target of an A_∞-quism enhancing f_1 is isotopic to a structure transferred over a chain homotopy equivalence enhancing f_1.*

In light of Thm. 2, we invite the reader to verify that the two A_∞-structures on the complex $R\langle x, \overline{u}, u\rangle$ considered in Remark 1 are indeed isotopic. On the other hand, if $f_1 \colon 0 \to (A', d')$ is the trivial chain map, then the class of transfers over f_1 consists of all A_∞-structures on (A', d'). Hence, the class of transfers over a chain map will not equal the isotopy class of a given transfer, in general.

The analogous result for Case 5, which involves weak isomorphism classes is:

Theorem 4. *Given a chain homotopy equivalence $f_1 : (A, d) \to (A', d')$, an A_∞-structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ is a transfer of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ over the chain map $\phi_1 \circ f_1$ for some automorphism $\phi_1 \colon (A', d') \xrightarrow{\cong} (A', d')$ if and only if it is weakly isomorphic to the transfer of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ over the chain homotopy equivalence f_1.*

The proof is a simple modification of the one given for Thm. 2, so we omit it.

4.3. **The homotopical Cases 5 and 6.** To give sensible answers for these cases, we assume that R is a field, so that f_1 is a chain homotopy equivalence if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. It then turns out that Cases (5a), (5b), and (6) are equivalent. As before, let $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$ denote a transfer of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ over the quasi-isomorphism of complexes f_1, and let $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ be an arbitrary A_∞-structure on (A', d'). Below, the relation "$\simeq$" denotes weak equivalence of A_∞-algebras.

Proposition 5. *The following six conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\exists\, A_\infty$*-quism* $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$,
(ii) $\exists\, A_\infty$*-quism* $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}') \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$,
(iii) $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}) \simeq (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$,
(iv) $\exists\, A_\infty$*-quism* $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}') \to (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$,
(v) $\exists\, A_\infty$*-quism* $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$,
(vi) $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \simeq (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$.

We need the following lemma, which is [14, Theorem 10.4.4] with $\mathcal{P}$ the operad for associative algebras; it also follows from an abstract homotopy theoretic argument [9, Sec. 3.7].

Lemma 6. *Let $(B, d, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ and $(B', d', \boldsymbol{\omega}')$ be A_∞-algebras. Then there exists an A_∞-quism $\boldsymbol{\alpha} : (B, d, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \to (B', d', \boldsymbol{\omega}')$ if and only if there exists an A_∞-quism $\boldsymbol{\beta} : (B', d', \boldsymbol{\omega}') \to (B, d, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ in the opposite direction.*

Proof of Prop. 5. Since f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes by assumption, $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is weakly equivalent to its transfer $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu})$. Weak equivalence is an equivalence relation, therefore (iii) is equivalent to (vi). On the other hand, by definition, a weak equivalence is a zig-zag of A_∞-quisms, and each arrow of this zig-zag can be inverted by Lemma 6. This makes the remaining equivalences clear. □

4.4. **Necessary and sufficient conditions for isotopy.** We now address Question 2, the precise version of D. Sullivan's original query. It is motivated by an observation concerning the characterization of weak isomorphism classes, based on a conjecture communicated to the authors by Sullivan. (Recall that isotopy is a special case of a weak isomorphism.) A form of this conjecture is proven as Thm. 7 below. Corollary 8 is then our answer to Question 2 given in the language of obstruction theory.

Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty(A, d)$ denote the set of weak isomorphism classes of A_∞-structures on a given chain complex (A, d). Following [16, Sec. 6], since f_1 is assumed to be a chain homotopy equivalence, choosing homotopy data as in (7) induces maps of sets

$$\mathsf{Tr}_{f,g,h} \colon \mathcal{A}_\infty(A, d) \to \mathcal{A}_\infty(A', d'), \quad \mathsf{Tr}_{g,f,l} \colon \mathcal{A}_\infty(A', d') \to \mathcal{A}_\infty(A, d). \tag{8}$$

Proposition 10 in [16] implies that the functions $\mathsf{Tr}_{f,g,h}$ and $\mathsf{Tr}_{g,f,l}$ are mutually inverse bijections. In the theorem below, if (A,d) is a subcomplex of (A',d'), then an **extension** of an A_∞-structure $\boldsymbol{\mu}=(\mu_2,\mu_3,\ldots)$ on (A,d) to (A',d') is an A_∞-structure $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu_2,\nu_3,\ldots)$ on (A',d') such that the restriction $\nu_n|_{A^{\otimes n}}$ equals μ_n for $n\geq 2$. Note that we formulate the second statement of the theorem in terms of weak isomorphism classes since we do not know whether bijections analogous to those in (8) exist for isotopy classes.

Theorem 7. *Suppose R is a field, and that (A,d) is a subcomplex of (A',d') such that the inclusion $\iota:(A,d)\hookrightarrow(A',d')$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Then*

(1) The isotopy class of a transfer of an A_∞-structure $(A,d,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ over the chain map ι contains an extension of the family $\boldsymbol{\mu}=(\mu_2,\mu_3,\ldots)$ to A'.
(2) Moreover, the A_∞-structure $(A,d,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ is characterized, up to weak isomorphism, by the weak isomorphism class of its extension.

Proof. Since we are working over a field, we may promote the initial setup into the data in (7), with $f_1:=\iota$, g_1 a strict left inverse π of ι, $h:=0$ and l an arbitrary chain homotopy between $\iota\pi$ and $\mathrm{id}_{A'}$. Formulas (5) then clearly determine the pieces ν_n, $n\geq 2$, of the transferred structure as the extensions $\nu_n:=\iota\circ\mu_n\circ\pi^{\otimes n}$ of μ_n. Part (1) then follows from Theorem 2.

If the same weak isomorphism class of A_∞-structures on (A',d') contains extensions of two A_∞-structures on (A,d), then these structures must be weakly isomorphic since the maps (8) of weak isomorphism classes are bijections. This proves part (2) of the theorem. □

Returning to the general situation over an arbitrary commutative ring, Thm. 2 combined with Cor. 11 below provides a characterization of transfers up to isotopy.

Corollary 8. *The obstruction to exhibit an isotopy between $(A',d',\boldsymbol{\mu}')$ and the transfer of $(A,d,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ over a chain homotopy equivalence $f_1\colon(A,d)\to(A',d')$ is an infinite sequence of homology classes determined by $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, f_1, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$:*

$$\Big\{[\kappa_n]\in H_{n-2}\big(\mathrm{Hom}_R(A^{\otimes n},A')\big)\mid n\geq 2\Big\}$$

where the differential on the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A^{\otimes n},A')$ is the canonical one induced by d and d'.

5. Obstruction theory for A_∞-morphisms

We develop in this section the tools needed to prove Thm. 2, Thm. 4, and Cor. 8. We begin by recalling some basic facts concerning dg coalgebras and A_∞-algebras, following [13, Sec. 2] and [14, Sec. 1.26].

5.1. **Coalgebras and the bar construction.** Let V be a graded R-module. We denote by $\big(\bar{T}^c(V),\bar{\Delta}\big)$ the reduced cofree conilpotent coassociative coalgebra generated by V. Recall that this is the graded coalgebra with underlying R-module $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}V^{\otimes n}$ equipped with the comultiplication $\bar{\Delta}(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n):=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i)\bigotimes(v_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n)$. We denote by $\bar{\Delta}_{(n)}\colon\bar{T}^c(V)\to\bar{T}^c(V)^{\otimes n+1}$ the nth reduced diagonal: the R-linear map defined recursively as $\bar{\Delta}_{(0)}:=\mathrm{id}$, $\bar{\Delta}_{(1)}:=\bar{\Delta}$, and $\bar{\Delta}_{(n)}:=(\bar{\Delta}\otimes\mathrm{id}^{\otimes(n-1)})\circ\bar{\Delta}_{(n-1)}$ for $n>1$. By construction, for $k<n$ we have

$$v_1\otimes v_2\otimes\cdots\otimes v_k\in\ker\bar{\Delta}_{(n-1)}\quad\forall v_1,\ldots,v_k\in V. \tag{9}$$

Given a linear map $F\colon \bar{T}^c(V) \to \bar{T}^c(W)$ and integers $m, n \geq 1$, we denote by $F_n^m\colon V^{\otimes n} \to W^{\otimes m}$ the restriction $F|_{V^{\otimes n}}$ composed with the projection $\bar{T}^c(W) \to W^{\otimes m}$. In addition, linear maps corresponding to elements of the graded R-module

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(\bar{T}^c(V), W) \cong \prod_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}_R(V^{\otimes n}, W) \tag{10}$$

will be denoted as $F^1 = (F_1^1, F_2^1, \cdots)$. Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence [13, Sec. 2.1] between degree -1 linear maps $D^1 \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\bar{T}^c(V), V)$ and degree -1 coderivations $D\colon \bar{T}^c(V) \to \bar{T}^c(V)$ given explicitly by

$$D_n^m := \sum_{\substack{i+j=m-1\\ i,j\geq 0}} \mathrm{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes D_{n-m+1}^1 \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes j} \tag{11}$$

for each $n \geq 1$. Note that $D_n^m = 0$ if $m > n$. A **codifferential** on $\bar{T}^c(V)$ is a degree -1 coderivation D as above satisfying $D \circ D = 0$, or equivalently, for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k^1 \circ D_n^k = 0. \tag{12}$$

Analogously, there is a one-to-one correspondence [13, Sec. 2.2] between degree 0 linear maps $F^1 \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\bar{T}^c(V), V')$ and coalgebra morphisms $F\colon \bar{T}^c(V) \to \bar{T}^c(V')$, given explicitly by the formulas

$$F_n^m := \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m=n} F_{i_1}^1 \otimes F_{i_2}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{i_m}^1, \tag{13}$$

for each $n \geq 1$. In particular, $F_n^m = 0$ if $m > n$. If D and D' are codifferentials on $\bar{T}^c(V)$ and $\bar{T}^c(V')$, respectively, then a coalgebra morphism $F\colon \bar{T}^c(V) \to \bar{T}^c(V')$ satisfies $D' \circ F = F \circ D$ if and only if for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} {D'}_k^1 \circ F_n^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k^1 \circ D_n^k. \tag{14}$$

In this case, $F\colon (\bar{T}^c(V), D) \to (\bar{T}^c(V'), D')$ is a **morphism** of dg-coalgebras.

5.1.1. *The bar construction.* Lastly, we recall the functorial assignment of an A_∞-algebra $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ to the coalgebra $C(A) := \bar{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ equipped with the codifferential $\delta\colon C(A) \to C(A)$ defined as $\delta_1^1 := \mathbf{s} \circ d \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}$, and $\delta_n^1 := \mathbf{s} \circ \mu_n \circ (\mathbf{s}^{-1})^{\otimes n}$, for $n \geq 2$. The assignment is fully faithful: there is a one-to-one correspondence [13, Sec. 2.3] between weak A_∞-morphisms $\boldsymbol{f}\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ and dg coalgebra morphisms $F\colon (C(A), \delta) \to (C(A'), \delta')$ given by the formulas $F_n^1 := \mathbf{s} \circ f_n \circ (\mathbf{s}^{-1})^{\otimes n}$, for all $n \geq 1$. In what follows, $C^n(A)$ and $C^{\leq n}(A)$ denote the graded R-modules $(\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n}$ and $\bigoplus_{k\geq 1}^{n} (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes k}$, respectively.

5.2. **Operations on the Hom complex.** Let $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ and $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ be A_∞-algebras; let $\big(C(A), \delta\big)$ and $\big(C(A'), \delta'\big)$ denote their corresponding dg coalgebras. Consider the graded R-module $\mathcal{H} := \operatorname{Hom}_R\big(C(A), \mathbf{s}A'\big)$, as defined in (10), equipped with the differential

$$\partial F^1 := {\delta'}_1^1 \circ F^1 - (-1)^m F^1 \circ \delta$$

where $F^1\colon C(A) \to \mathbf{s}A'$ is a degree m R-linear map. Observe that $(\mathcal{H}, \partial)$ admits a descending filtration of dg submodules $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{H} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{H} \supseteq \cdots$

$$\mathcal{F}_r\mathcal{H} := \big\{F^1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(C(A), \mathbf{s}A') \mid F^1|_{C^{\leq r-1}(A)} = 0\big\}.$$

Via the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}_{r-1}\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{F}_r\mathcal{H} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C^{r-1}(A), \mathbf{s}A') \text{ and } \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{F}_r\mathcal{H} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C^{\leq r-1}(A), \mathbf{s}A'),$$

it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{H}, \partial)$ is **complete** with respect to the topology induced by above filtration, i.e. $\mathcal{H} \cong \varprojlim_r \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{F}_r\mathcal{H}$.

5.2.1. *A codifferential on $\bar{T}^c(\mathcal{H})$.* Given elements ${F_{(1)}}^1, {F_{(2)}}^1, \ldots, {F_{(n)}}^1 \in \mathcal{H}$, let ${F_{(1)}}^1 \otimes {F_{(2)}}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes {F_{(n)}}^1 \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ denote the usual corresponding tensor[4]. In particular, we denote by ${F^1}^{\otimes n}$ n-fold tensor product of $F^1 \in \mathcal{H}$.

The next result concerns the properties of the linear maps $\mathcal{Q}^1_n\colon \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{H}$ defined as $\mathcal{Q}^1_1(F) := \partial F$, and for $n \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{Q}^1_n\big({F_{(1)}}^1 \otimes {F_{(2)}}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes {F_{(n)}}^1\big) := {\delta'}^1_n \circ \big({F_{(1)}}^1 \otimes {F_{(2)}}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes {F_{(n)}}^1\big) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{(n-1)}. \tag{15}$$

Note that (9) implies that the maps $\mathcal{Q}^1_{n\geq 2}$ are compatible with the filtration on $\mathcal{H}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Q}^1_n\big(\mathcal{F}_{j_1}\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_{j_2}\mathcal{H}, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{j_n}\mathcal{H}\big) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_n}\mathcal{H}. \tag{16}$$

A variation of following lemma was given in [7, Sec. 4] and [7, Sec. 7.2] for the case when R is a field.

Lemma 9.

(1) The linear maps $\{\mathcal{Q}^1_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ induce, via the formulas (11)*, a degree -1 codifferential $\mathcal{Q}$ on the coalgebra $\bar{T}^c(\mathcal{H})$.*

(2) Given a degree 0 element $F^1 \in \mathcal{H}$, the assignment

$$F^1 \;\mapsto\; \mathcal{R}(F) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}^1_n({F^1}^{\otimes n}) \in \mathcal{H}_{-1} \tag{17}$$

induces a well-defined set-theoretic function $\mathcal{R}\colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_{-1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(F) = 0$ if and only if F^1 corresponds, via the formulas (13)*, to a dg coalgebra morphism $F\colon (C(A), \delta) \to (C(A'), \delta')$*

(3) For all $F^1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$, the following identity holds:

$$\mathcal{Q}^1_1\,\mathcal{R}(F) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Q}^1_n\Big({F^1}^{\otimes(n-1)-k} \otimes \mathcal{R}(F) \otimes {F^1}^{\otimes k}\Big) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof. (1) Note that $\mathcal{Q}^1_1 \circ \mathcal{Q}^1_1 = 0$, since $\mathcal{Q}^1_1 = \partial$ is a differential. Let $n > 1$. Since δ' is a codifferential on $C(A')$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n {\delta'}^1_k \circ {\delta'}^k_n = 0$, and the coLeibniz rule implies that $\bar{\Delta}_{(n-1)} \circ \delta' = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathrm{id}^{i-1} \otimes \delta' \otimes \mathrm{id}^{n-i}) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{(n-1)}$. A direct computation using these equalities, along with Eq. 11, gives $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{Q}^1_k \circ \mathcal{Q}^k_n = 0$.

(2) Since $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{H}$, Eq. 16 implies that $\mathcal{Q}^1_n({F^1}^{\otimes n}) \in \mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, the infinite summation in the definition of $\mathcal{R}(F)$ converges, since $\mathcal{H}$ is complete, and so $\mathcal{R}\colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ is a well-defined function. From combining Eq. 13 and Eq. 15 along with the identity $F^m_n = (F^1)^{\otimes m} \circ \bar{\Delta}_{(m-1)}|_{C^n(A)}$, it follows that F^1 is

[4]The notation ${F_{(i)}}^1$ should not be confused with F^1_i, i.e. the ith component of an element $F^1 = (F^1_1, F^1_2, F^1_3, \ldots) \in \mathcal{H}$.

in the zero locus of $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if the corresponding coalgebra map F satisfies Eq. 14.

(3) Let $F^1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$. The left-hand side of Eq. 18 is a sum of terms of the form $s_{m,\ell} := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} {F^1}^{\otimes(m-1)-k} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_\ell^1({F^1}^{\otimes \ell}) \otimes {F^1}^{\otimes k}$ for $m, \ell \geq 1$. From Eq. 11, we deduce that $s_{m,\ell} = \mathcal{Q}_m^1 \circ \mathcal{Q}_{m+\ell-1}^m\big({F^1}^{\otimes m+\ell-1}\big)$. The desired equality (18) will then follow from Eq. 12, or equivalently, the fact that $\mathcal{Q} \circ \mathcal{Q} = 0$. □

The next proposition shows that $(\bar{T}^c(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{Q})$ encodes the obstruction theory for dg coalgebra morphisms between $(C(A), \delta)$ and $(C(A'), \delta')$.

Proposition 10. *Let $m > 1$ and suppose $\{F_1^1, \dots F_{m-1}^1\}$ is a collection of degree 0 linear maps $F_k^1 \colon C^k(A) \to \mathbf{s}A'$ such that the corresponding coalgebra morphism $F \colon C(A) \to C(A')$ satisfies*

$$(\delta' \circ F - F \circ \delta)|_{C^{\leq m-1}(A)} = 0.$$

Then the linear map $c_m(F) \colon C^m(A) \to \mathbf{s}A'$ defined as

$$c_m(F) := \sum_{k=2}^{m} \delta_k'^1 \circ F_m^k - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} F_k^1 \circ \delta_m^k \tag{19}$$

is a degree -1 cycle in the quotient

$$\big(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}A'), \bar{\partial}\big) \cong (\mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{H}, \partial)/(\mathcal{F}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}, \partial).$$

Moreover, there exists a linear map $\tilde{F}_m^1 \colon C^m(A) \to \mathbf{s}A'$ such that the coalgebra morphism $\tilde{F} \colon C(A) \to C(A')$ corresponding to the collection $\{F_1^1, \dots F_{m-1}^1, \tilde{F}_m^1\}$ satisfies

$$(\delta' \circ \tilde{F} - \tilde{F} \circ \delta)|_{C^{\leq m}(A)} = 0$$

if and only if $c_m(F) = -\bar{\partial}\tilde{F}_m^1$.

Proof. The definition of the differential $\partial = \mathcal{Q}_1^1$ on $\mathcal{H}$ implies that we may write the induced differential on the quotient as $\bar{\partial}\tilde{F}_m^1 = (\mathcal{Q}_1^1\, \tilde{F}_m^1)|_{\mathcal{C}^m(A)} = \delta_1'^1 \circ \tilde{F}_m^1 - \tilde{F}_m^1 \circ \delta_m^m$, for any degree 0 map $\tilde{F}_m^1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}A')$. Hence, the second statement of the proposition follows directly from Eq. 14. It then remains to show that $\bar{\partial} c_m(F) = 0$, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{Q}_1^1\, c_m(F) \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}$.

Let $F^1 = (F_1^1, F_2^1, \cdots, F_{m-1}^1, 0, 0 \cdots) \in \mathcal{H}_0$. For $\ell \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{R}(F)_\ell := \mathcal{R}(F)|_{C^\ell(A)}$ denote the restriction of the map (17) to the submodule $C^\ell(A)$. Then $\mathcal{R}(F)_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \big(\delta'^1_k \circ F_\ell^k - F_k^1 \circ \delta_\ell^k\big)$. Note that F_k^1 makes no contribution to $\mathcal{R}_\ell(F^1)$ if $k > \ell$. Hence, the hypothesis for the collection $\{F_1^1, ..., F_{m-1}^1\}$ implies that $\mathcal{R}(F)_{\ell \leq m-1} = 0$, and so we have $\mathcal{R}(F) \in \mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{H}$. Since the linear maps $\{\mathcal{Q}_n^1\}$ are compatible with the filtration on $\mathcal{H}$, it follows from Eq. 18 that $\mathcal{Q}_1^1\, \mathcal{R}(F) \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{R}(F)_m = c_m(F) \in \mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{H}$, since the kth components of F^1 vanish for $k \geq m$. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}(F) - c_m(F) \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}$, and so we conclude that $\mathcal{Q}_1^1\, c_m(F) \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}\mathcal{H}$. □

Using, for each $n \geq 2$, the R-module isomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C^n(A), \mathbf{s}A')_{-1} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(A^{\otimes n}, A')_{n-2}$, we obtain as a corollary the obstruction theory for weak A_∞-morphisms.

Corollary 11. *The obstruction to lifting a chain map $f_1\colon (A,d) \to (A',d')$ to a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots)\colon (A,d,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A',d',\boldsymbol{\mu}')$ is an infinite sequence of homology classes*

$$\big\{[\kappa_n] \in H_{n-2}\big(\mathrm{Hom}_R(A^{\otimes n}, A')\big) \mid n \geq 2\big\}$$

where the differential on the hom complex $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A^{\otimes n}, A')$ is the canonical one induced by d and d'.

5.3. **Algebraic models for the interval.** We will need a notion of homotopy between morphisms of dg coalgebras.

Definition 12. A unital dg associative R-algebra $(\mathfrak{I}, d, \cdot\,)$ is a **model for the interval** if there exists unital dg algebra morphisms $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\colon \mathfrak{I} \to R$, and $\jmath\colon R \to \mathfrak{I}$ such that

(1) The composition $R \xrightarrow{\jmath} \mathfrak{I} \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_1)} R \times R$ is the diagonal.
(2) As chain maps, the morphisms $R \xrightarrow{\jmath} \mathfrak{I} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_i} R$ are deformation retractions for $i = 0, 1$.
(3) Given two maps between chain complexes $f, g\colon (V, d_V) \to (W, d_W)$, and a chain homotopy between them, there exists a corresponding chain map $\tilde{h}\colon V \to W \otimes_R \mathfrak{I}$ such that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon_0) \circ \tilde{h} = f$ and $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon_1) \circ \tilde{h} = g$.

We recall two examples. The first is the normalized cochain algebra $N(I) := (N(\Delta^1), d_N, \cup)$ on the 1-simplex with coefficients in R. As a graded R-module, $N(I)_{-1} := R\varphi_I$, and $N(I)_0 := R\varphi_0 \oplus R\varphi_1$. The differential is $d_N\varphi_0 := \varphi_I$, and $d_N\varphi_1 := -\varphi_I$, and $\cup$ denotes the usual cup product. The following lemma is well known; the proof follows from a straightforward verification, so we omit it.

Lemma 13. *The dg R algebra $N(I)$ is an algebraic model for the interval over R when equipped with the morphisms $\jmath\colon R \to N(I)$, and $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\colon N(I) \to R$ defined as: $\jmath(1_R) := \varphi_0 + \varphi_1$, $\varepsilon_0(\varphi_0) := \varepsilon_1(\varphi_1) := 1_R$, and $\varepsilon_0(\varphi_1) := \varepsilon_1(\varphi_0) := 0$.*

The second example is a graded commutative model for the case when $R = \Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic zero. This will be used in Sec. 6. We denote by $\Omega(I) := (\Bbbk[t, dt], d_{\mathrm{dR}}, \wedge)$ the polynomial de Rham algebra on the 1-simplex. As a graded vector space, $\Omega(I)_{-1} := \Bbbk[t]dt$, and $\Omega(I)_0 = \Bbbk[t]$. The differential is

$$d_{\mathrm{dR}}(f(t) + g(t)dt) = \frac{df}{dt}dt,$$

and $\wedge$ is the usual wedge product. The obvious analog of Lemma 13 holds for $\Omega(I)$, in which $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\colon \Omega(I) \to \Bbbk$ are the evaluation maps at $t = 0$, and $t = 1$, respectively.

5.3.1. *Tensoring A_∞-algebras with dg algebras.* Recall that if $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is an A_∞-algebra, and $(B, d_B, \cdot\,)$ is a dg associative algebra, then the tensor product $(A \otimes_R B, d_\otimes, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\otimes)$ is an A_∞-algebra with $d_\otimes := d \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes d_B$, and

$$\mu_{\otimes k}(x_1 \otimes b_1, x_2 \otimes b_2, \cdots, x_k \otimes b_k) := (-1)^\varepsilon \mu_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \otimes b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot b_k$$

for $k \geq 2$, where $(-1)^\varepsilon$ is the usual Koszul sign. Note that this construction is functorial: if $\phi\colon B \to B'$ is a morphism of dg algebras then $\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \phi$ is a strict morphism of A_∞-algebras.

5.4. **Lifting chain maps to weak A_∞-morphisms.** A special case of the proposition below, valid when R is a field of characteristic zero, was given in [15, Prop. 35] using different methods. In what follows, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots)\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ is a given weak A_∞-morphism, and $\Theta\colon (C(A), \delta) \to (C(A'), \delta')$ denotes the corresponding morphism of dg coalgebras.

Proposition 14. *Suppose $\psi\colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$ is a chain map that is chain homotopic to $\theta_1\colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$. Then there exists a weak A_∞-morphism $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots)\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}')$ such that $\psi_1 = \psi$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{I}, d_\mathfrak{I}, \cdot)$ be an algebraic model of the interval with $\varepsilon_{(0)}, \varepsilon_{(1)}\colon \mathfrak{I} \to R$, $\jmath\colon R \to \mathfrak{I}$ as described in Def. 12. Let $J\colon (C(A'), \delta') \to (C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I}), \delta'_\otimes)$ and $E_{(i)}\colon (C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I}), \delta'_\otimes) \to (C(A'), \delta')$ for $i = 0, 1$, denote the dg coalgebra morphisms corresponding to the strict A_∞-morphisms $\mathrm{id}_{A'} \otimes \jmath$, and $\mathrm{id}_{A'} \otimes \varepsilon_{(i)}$ respectively.

To prove the proposition, we will use the obstruction theory developed in Prop. 10 to inductively construct a dg coalgebra morphism $\mathsf{H}\colon (C(A), \delta) \to (C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I}), \delta'_\otimes)$ such that the linear component Ψ^1_1 of the composition $\Psi := E_{(1)} \circ \mathsf{H}\colon (C(A), \delta) \to (C(A'), \delta')$ satisfies $\Psi^1_1 = \mathbf{s} \circ \psi \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}$.

For the base case, let $h\colon A \to \mathbf{s}^{-1}A'$ be a chain homotopy satisfying $\psi - \theta_1 = d'h + hd$. Let $\tilde{h}\colon (A, d) \to (A' \otimes \mathfrak{I}, d'_\otimes)$ be the corresponding chain map as in Def. 12, and denote by $H\colon C(A) \to C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})$ the coalgebra morphism associated to the linear map $H^1_1 := \mathbf{s} \circ \tilde{h} \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}$. Then by construction

$$(\delta'_\otimes \circ H - H \circ \delta)|_{C^1(A)} = 0, \quad (E_{(1)} \circ H)^1_1 = \mathbf{s} \circ \psi \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}, \quad (E_{(0)} \circ H)^1_1 = \Theta^1_1.$$

Now the inductive step. Let $m \geq 2$. Suppose $H\colon C(A) \to C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})$ is a coalgebra morphism such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta'_\otimes \circ H - H \circ \delta)|_{C^{\leq m-1}(A)} = 0, \quad (E_{(1)} \circ H)^1_1 = \mathbf{s} \circ \psi \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}, \\ &(E_{(0)} \circ H)^1_k = \Theta^1_k \qquad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, m-1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Consider the cycle $c_m(H) \in \big(\mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}(A \otimes \mathfrak{I})\big), \bar{\partial}\big)$ as defined in Eq. 19. We will show that it is a boundary. Composition with $E^1_{(0)1}$ and J^1_1 gives chain maps

$$\begin{aligned} E^1_{(0)*}\colon \mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})\big) &\to \mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}A'\big), \\ J^1_*\colon \mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}A'\big) &\to \mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})\big), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since $E_{(0)}$ corresponds to a strict A_∞-morphism, ${E_{(0)}}^1_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. Therefore, it follows from the induction hypothesis (20) and the definition of $c_m(H)$ that $E^1_{(0)*}(c_m(H)) = c_m(\Theta)$. Since Θ is a dg coalgebra morphism, the cycle $c_m(\Theta)$ is a boundary. In particular, $c_m(\Theta) = -\bar{\partial}\Theta^1_m$. Since $\mathfrak{I}$ is a model for the interval, and tensor product preserves chain homotopy equivalence, there exists a chain homotopy $\lambda\colon \mathbf{s}(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I}) \to (A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})$ such that the chain maps J^1_1 and $E^1_{(0)1}$ satisfy $J^1_1 \circ E^1_{(0)1} - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{s}(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})} = {\delta'}^1_{\otimes 1} \circ \lambda + \lambda \circ {\delta'}^1_{\otimes 1}$, in addition to $E^1_{(0)1} \circ J^1_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{s}A'}$. Moreover, λ induces a chain homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R\big(C^m(A), \mathbf{s}A'\big)$. Indeed, $E^1_{(0)*} \circ J_* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ and

$$J^1_* \circ E^1_{(0)*} - \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Hom}} = \bar{\partial} \circ \lambda_* + \lambda_* \circ \bar{\partial}.$$

The above equation above implies that $-\bar{\partial}J_*(\Theta^1_m) - c_m(H) = \bar{\partial}K^1_m$, where $K^1_m := \lambda \circ c_m(H)$. By construction, $\bar{\partial}K^1_m$ is a cycle in the dg submodule $(\ker E^1_{(0)*}, \bar{\partial})$.

Since $E^1_{(0)*}$ is a deformation retraction, $\ker E^1_{(0)*}$ is acyclic, and so there exists $\widetilde{K}^1_m \in \ker E^1_{(0)*}$ such that $\bar{\partial}\widetilde{K}^1_m = \bar{\partial}K^1_m$.

Finally, let $\widetilde{H}^1_m := J^1_1 \circ \Theta^1_m + \widetilde{K}^1_m$, and denote by $\widetilde{H}\colon C(A) \to C(A' \otimes \mathfrak{I})$ the coalgebra morphism corresponding to the collection of linear maps $\{H^1_1, \cdots, H^1_{m-1}, \widetilde{H}^1_m\}$. Then, by construction, $(E_{(1)} \circ \widetilde{H})^1_1 = (E_{(1)} \circ H)^1_1 = \mathbf{s} \circ \psi \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}$. Furthermore, $(E_{(0)} \circ \widetilde{H})^1_k = \Theta^1_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and $c_m(H) = -\bar{\partial}\widetilde{H}^1_m$. By Prop. 10, the latter equation implies that $(\delta'_\otimes \circ \widetilde{H} - \widetilde{H} \circ \delta)|_{C^{\leq m}(A)} = 0$. This completes the induction step, and hence the proof. □

6. Classifying transfers of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebras for a quadratic Koszul operad $\mathcal{P}$

We describe how to generalize Thm. 2, the classification of transfers up to isotopy, to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebras, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a symmetric operad in graded vector spaces over a field $\Bbbk$ with $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = 0$. Furthermore, we assume $\mathcal{P}$ is a quadratic Koszul operad [14, Sec. 7.2.3]. Examples of such $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebras include L_∞-algebras and C_∞-algebras (i.e., homotopy Lie and homotopy commutative algebras, respectively).

Let $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathcal{P})$ be a $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra and $f_1\colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$ a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes. Let h, g_1, and l be homotopy data as in (7). A version of the transfer theorem via the "homotopy setup" from Sec. 3.1 exists in this context provided that $g_1 f_1 = \mathrm{id}_A$, and that h satisfies the *side conditions*: $h^2 = 0,\ f_1 h = 0,\ h g_1 = 0$ [8, Theorem 5]. Assuming that this is the case, we obtain formulas for a transferred structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}_\mathcal{P})$, and weak $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-morphisms

$$\boldsymbol{f}\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathcal{P}) \rightleftarrows (A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}_\mathcal{P})\colon \boldsymbol{g},$$

as in (3) and (4). In particular, $\boldsymbol{f}$ and $\boldsymbol{g}$ are lifts of f_1 and g_1, respectively, and $f_1 \circ g_1 \simeq \mathrm{id}_A$. We now suppose that $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}'_\mathcal{P})$ is another $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra on (A', d'). Our goal is to determine, as in Thm. 2, whether or not it is isotopic to the transferred structure $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\nu}_\mathcal{P})$.

To address this, we proceed exactly as in the proof of Thm. 2. All that we need is a suitable version of the lifting result from Prop. 14, and the associated obstruction theory behind it, which we now provide. First, in analogy with Sec. 5.1.1, weak $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-morphisms $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathcal{P}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}'_\mathcal{P})$ are equivalent to dg $\mathcal{P}^!$-coalgebra morphisms $(\mathcal{C}(A), \delta) \to (\mathcal{C}(A'), \delta')$ [14, Sec. 10.2.2]. Here $\mathcal{C}(V)$ denotes the "cofree" $\mathcal{P}^!$-coalgebra generated by the graded vector space $\mathbf{s}V$, where $\mathcal{P}^!$ is the Koszul dual cooperad of $\mathcal{P}$ [14, Sec. 10.1.8]. Conveniently, an exact replica of Prop. 10 for $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebras is given in [22, Thm. A.1]. Next, we recall [22, Sec. 3.1] that the tensor product of any $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra with a dg commutative algebra, is also a $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra (cf. Sec. 5.3.1). In particular, if $\Omega(I)$ is the commutative model of the interval from Sec. 5.3, then $\big(A' \otimes \Omega(I), d'_\otimes, \boldsymbol{\mu}'_{\mathcal{P}\,\otimes}\big)$ is a $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra in the obvious way.

Finally, we observe that by setting the dg algebra $\mathfrak{I}$ to $\Omega(I)$ in the proof of Prop. 14, we obtain a proof of the analogous statement for lifting chain maps to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-morphisms. All of the required pieces are now in place to extend the proof of Thm. 2 to the $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-case:

Theorem 15. *Given a surjective chain homotopy equivalence $f_1\colon (A, d) \to (A', d')$ with homotopy data satisfying the aforementioned "side conditions," there exists a weak $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-morphism $\boldsymbol{F}\colon (A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathcal{P}) \to (A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}'_\mathcal{P})$ extending f_1 if and only if the*

$\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra $(A', d', \boldsymbol{\mu}'_{\mathcal{P}})$ is isotopic to a transfer of $(A, d, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}})$ over the chain homotopy equivalence f_1.

References

[1] M. Akaho and D. Joyce. Immersed Lagrangian Floer theory. J. Differential Geom. **86**(2010), no. 3, 381–500

[2] L. Amorim. Tensor product of filtered A_∞-algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220**(2016), no. 12, 3984–4016

[3] M. Ballard, D. Deliu, D. Favero, M.U. Isik and L. Katzarkov. On the derived categories of degree d hypersurface fibrations. Math. Ann. **371**(2018), no. 1–2, 337–370

[4] V. Baranovsky. BGG correspondence for projective complete intersections. Int. Math. Res. Notices **45**(2005), 2759–2774

[5] N. Budur and M. Rubió. L_∞ pairs and applications to singularities. Adv. Math. **354**(2019), 106754, 40 pp.

[6] G. Civan, P. Koprowski, J. Etnyre, J.M. Sabloff and A. Walker. Product structures for Legendrian contact homology. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150**(2)(2011), 291–311

[7] N. de Kleijn and F. Wierstra, Lie theory for complete curved A_∞-algebras. `arXiv:1809.07743`.

[8] V. Dotsenko, S. Shadrin and B. Vallette. Pre-Lie deformation theory. Mosc. Math. J. **16**(3)(2016), 505–543

[9] B. Keller. Introduction to A_∞-algebras and modules. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **3**(1)(2001), 1–35

[10] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations, in *Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000)*, 203–263, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.

[11] J. Hirsh and J. Millès. Curved Koszul duality theory. Math. Ann. **354**(4)(2012), 1465–1520

[12] T.V. Kadeishvili. On the homology theory of fibre spaces. Russian Math. Surveys **35**(1980), 231–238

[13] J. Kopřiva, On the homotopy transfer of A_∞ structures, Arch. Math. (Brno) **53** (2017), no. 5, 267–312

[14] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 346, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[15] M. Markl. Homotopy algebras are homotopy algebras. Forum Math. **16**(1) (2004), 129–160

[16] M. Markl. Transferring A_∞ (strongly homotopy associative) structures. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **79**(2006), 139–151. Available as preprint `arXiv:math/0401007`.

[17] S.A. Merkulov. Strongly homotopy algebras of a Kähler manifold. Int. Math. Res. Notices **3**(2009), 2017–2037

[18] D. Petersen. A closer look at Kadeishvili's theorem. Higher Structures **4**(2)(2020), 211–221

[19] A. Polishchuk and J. Tu. DG-resolutions of NC-smooth thickenings and NC-Fourier-Mukai transforms. Math. Ann. **360**(2014), no. 1–2, 79–156

[20] M. Roček and A.M. Zeitlin. Homotopy algebras of differential (super)forms in three and four dimensions. Lett. Math. Phys. **108**(12)(2018), 2669–2694

[21] J.D. Stasheff. Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I,II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108:275–312, 1963

[22] B. Vallette. Homotopy theory of homotopy algebras. Ann. Inst. Fourier **70**(2020), 683–738

The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague, The Czech Republic

Email address: `markl@math.cas.cz`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Nevada, Reno.
1664 N. Virginia Street Reno, NV 89557-0084 USA

Email address: `chrisrogers@unr.edu, chris.rogers.math@gmail.com`