

EXTENSIONS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS BY A SMALL IDEAL

HUAXIN LIN AND PING WONG NG

ABSTRACT. We classify all essential extensions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow D \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is the unique separable simple C^* -algebra with a unique tracial state, with finite nuclear dimension and with $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ ($i = 0, 1$) which satisfies the Universal Coefficient theorem (UCT), and A is a separable amenable $\mathcal{W}$ -embeddable C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT. We actually prove more general results.

We also classify a class of amenable C^* -algebras which have only one proper closed ideal $\mathcal{W}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the goal of classifying all essentially normal operators using Fredholm indices, Brown–Douglas–Fillmore (BDF) classified all extensions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow D \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where X is a compact subset of the plane, and, later for all compact metric space X ([7], [3], [5]; see also [8]).

The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is perhaps the simplest non-unital simple C^* -algebra. In recent developments of the classification of separable simple amenable C^* -algebras, however, some other seemingly nice non-unital simple C^* -algebras arise. One piquant example is $\mathcal{W}$, which was first studied by Razak ([59]), and is a non-unital separable simple C^* -algebra with a unique tracial state and $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$, $i = 0, 1$. It is in fact stably projectionless. It is proved in [16] that $\mathcal{W}$ is the only separable stably projectionless simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension satisfying the UCT which has said properties. It is also algebraically simple. Moreover, as we will later elaborate, $\mathcal{W}$ has another very nice feature shared with $\mathcal{K}$, namely that the corona algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}) = M(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{W}$ is a purely infinite simple C^* -algebra. A natural question is whether one can classify essential extensions of the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, one immediately realizes that $KK^1(C(X), \mathcal{W}) = 0$. However, as we will see soon, there are many nontrivial essential extensions of $\mathcal{W}$ by $C(X)$ and a variety of unitary equivalence classes of these essential extensions. In other words, the classification of these essential extensions will not follow from the usual stable KK theory.

Other questions also naturally emerge. For example, how many extensions have the form

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow 0?$$

Or more generally, can one classify all the essential extensions of the form

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

for some general class of separable amenable C^* -algebras A ?

As mentioned above, the classification will not follow from the usual stable KK -theory. As one may expect, some restrictions on A will be inevitably added. If A is a separable amenable C^* -algebra, then, by [31], A can always be embedded into O_2 , the separable purely infinite simple C^* -algebra in the UCT class which has trivial K_i -group ($i = 0, 1$). Since $M(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{W}$ is simple purely infinite, O_2 can be embedded into $M(\mathcal{W})/\mathcal{W}$. This immediately implies that, for the aforementioned C^* -algebras A , essential extensions by $\mathcal{W}$ always exist. In order to have some nice description of a class of extensions, like the ones in (1.3), one may at least want to have some trivial essential extensions, i.e., those essential extensions E , in (1.3), which split. However, unlike the classical case, this is in general hopeless. Note that if (1.3) is a trivial extension then it induces a $*$ -embedding of A into $M(\mathcal{W})$. But $M(\mathcal{W})$ has a faithful tracial state, which is the extension by the unique tracial state of $\mathcal{W}$. This implies that A has a faithful tracial state. So we will assume that A has a faithful tracial state. Moreover, one may also want to have some diagonal

trivial extensions of the form (1.3). The conventional way to do this is to allow A to be embeddable into $\mathcal{W}$. We will then present a classification of these extensions (see Theorem 8.3).

Recent successes in the theory of classification of simple C^* -algebras also make it impossible to resist the attempt to classify at least some non-simple C^* -algebras. It is an ambitious and challenging task. At this stage, our experiments will be limited into the situation that K -theory is still manageable and we will avoid the cases that tracial information becomes non-traceable. One of the goals of this research is to classify some amenable C^* -algebras which has only one ideal $\mathcal{W}$. So these C^* -algebras also have the form (1.3). Since we assume that $\mathcal{W}$ is the only ideal, A will be a separable simple amenable C^* -algebra. As discussed above, we will assume that A is embeddable into $\mathcal{W}$, and A is a stably projectionless simple C^* -algebra. Let us point out that for any separable amenable C^* -algebra A which has a faithful tracial state and satisfies the UCT, $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$ is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable, where $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is the unique separable simple C^* -algebra with a unique tracial state which satisfies the UCT such that $K_0(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_0(\mathcal{Z}_0)_+ = \{0\}$, $K_1(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \{0\}$ and has finite nuclear dimension (so $K_*(A) = K_*(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0)$ and $T(A) = T(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0)$).

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the class of C^* -algebras which are essential extensions of the form (1.3) such that A is any separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras with $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$, and, as customary, A has finite nuclear dimension and satisfies the UCT. Note that, in the definition of the class $\mathcal{E}$, we do not fix the quotient algebra A . We will show that, when E_1 and E_2 are two such C^* -algebras, then $E_1 \cong E_2$, if and only if they have isomorphic Elliott invariants (see Theorem 9.6 below).

For the remainder of this introduction, we elaborate on some aspects that were earlier alluded to. Perhaps one reason for the success of the BDF theory was that their multiplier algebra $\mathbb{B}(l_2)$ and corona algebra $\mathbb{B}(l_2)/\mathcal{K}$ have particularly nice and simple structure. Among other things, $\mathbb{B}(l_2)$ has real rank zero (it is in fact a von Neumann algebra), and strict comparison, and $\mathbb{B}(l_2)/\mathcal{K}$ is simple purely infinite. For example, the the BDF–Voiculescu result, which roughly says that all essential extensions are absorbing ([72]; [1]), would not be true if the Calkin algebra $\mathbb{B}(l_2)/\mathcal{K}$ were not simple. We may further note that, even in the case that the ideal is stable, as long as the corona algebra is not simple, Kasparov's KK^1 cannot be used to classify these essential extensions up to unitary equivalence.

Recall that a nonunital σ -unital simple C^* -algebra B is said to have *continuous scale* if B has a sequential approximate unit $\{e_n\}$ such that

- (a) $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ for all n , and
- (b) for every $a \in B_+ - \{0\}$, there exists an $N \geq 1$ such that for all $m > n \geq N$,

$$e_m - e_n \lesssim a$$

where $e_m - e_n \lesssim a$ means that there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in B for which $x_k a x_k^* \rightarrow e_m - e_n$. (See [32].)

In [38] (see also [32]), it was shown that a simple nonunital nonelementary σ -unital C^* -algebra B has continuous scale if and only if the corona algebra $\mathcal{C}(B)$ is simple, and, if and only if $\mathcal{C}(B)$ is simple purely infinite. Simple continuous scale C^* -algebras are basic building blocks for generalizing extension theory (see, for example, [33], [36]) and have been much studied. As alluded earlier, aside from their basic role in the theory, the extension theory of these algebras are in themselves quite interesting. For example, unlike the case of the classical theory of absorbing extensions, there are no infinite repeats, and one needs to develop a type of nonstable absorption theory, where, among other things, the class of a trivial extension need not be the zero class. More refined considerations are required to take into account the new K theory that arises. Some results in this direction were first derived many years ago (see, for example, [33] and [36]).

As mentioned above, in the present paper, we classify a class of extensions of the Razak algebra $\mathcal{W}$ which is a C^* -algebra with continuous scale, $K_*(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ and unique trace. Unlike the previous cases, our canonical ideal $\mathcal{W}$ has no non-zero projections – in fact, the opposite of being real rank zero, $\mathcal{W}$ is stably projectionless. We note that the property of real rank zero has been presented implicitly since the beginnings of the subject (even though the term “real rank zero” was invented after the BDF papers [7], [8]). For example, the original BDF proof of the uniqueness of the neutral element (for the case of compact subset of the plane) was essentially the Weyl–von Neumann–Berg theorem, and it is well known among experts that under mild conditions on a C^* -algebra B , $M(B)$ has a Weyl–von Neumann theorem for self-adjoint operators if and only if $M(B)$ has real rank zero ([77]). And this phenomenon reoccurs throughout the original and subsequent papers. We believe that our present result is the first classification of a class of extensions of a simple projectionless C^* -algebra (in fact, the first case of a simple algebra which has real rank greater than zero).

This paper is part of a continuing series of papers following in the path of the aforementioned program. (See, for example, [40], [49], [54], [50], [52], [29].)

To further illustrate the results of this research and difference from usual stable results in the C^* -algebra extension theory, let us present one of our main results at the end the introduction. Notations and terminologies in the statement will be explained later in the text.

Theorem 1.1 (see 8.3). *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT.*

(1) *Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be two essential extensions. Then $\tau_1 \sim^u \tau_2$ if and only if $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau_2)$.*

(2) *The map*

$$(1.4) \quad \Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \cong \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$$

defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$ is a group isomorphism.

(3) *An essential extension τ is trivial and diagonal if and only if $KK(\tau) = 0$, and all trivial and diagonal extensions are unitarily equivalent. In fact, the essential trivial diagonal extensions induce the neutral element of $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$.*

(4) *An essential extension τ is trivial if and only if there exist $t \in T_f(A)$ (see Definition 2.2) and $r \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\tau_{*0}(x) = r \cdot r_A(t)(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_0(A).$$

(5) *Moreover, let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of unitary equivalence classes of essential trivial extensions of A by $\mathcal{W}$. Then*

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{T}) = \{r \cdot h : r \in [0, 1], h \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}\} \text{ (see Definition 2.6).}$$

(6) *All quasidiagonal essential extensions are trivial and are unitarily equivalent.*

(7) *In the case $\ker \rho_{f,A} = K_0(A)$, all trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent. Moreover, in this case, an essential extension τ is trivial if and only if $KK(\tau) = \{0\}$.*

(8) *In the case $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$, there are essential trivial extensions of A by $\mathcal{W}$ which are not quasidiagonal, and not all essential trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent (see (5) above).*

Acknowledgements: The first named author is partially supported by a NSF grant (DMS-1665183). Both authors would like to acknowledge the support during their visits to the Research Center of Operator Algebras at East China Normal University which is partially supported by Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM), grant #13dz2260400 and a NNSF grant (11531003).

2. NOTATION

Definition 2.1. For a C^* -algebra B , $M(B)$ denotes the multiplier algebra of B , and $\mathcal{C}(B) := M(B)/B$ is the corresponding corona algebra.

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

(of C by B)¹ we will work with the corresponding *Busby invariant* which is a monomorphism $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$. An extension is unital if the corresponding Busby invariant is a unital map. We will mainly be working with nonunital extensions.

Let $\phi, \psi : C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be two essential extensions. We say ϕ and ψ are *(weakly) equivalent* and write $\phi \sim \psi$ if there is a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that $v^*v\phi(c) = \phi(c)v^*v = \phi(c)$ and $vv^*\psi(c) = \psi(c)vv^* = \psi(c)$ for all $c \in B$ and

$$(2.1) \quad v^*\phi(c)v = \psi(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

$\mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$ denotes the collection of all (weak) equivalence classes of essential extensions $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$.

Let $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow M(B)/B = \mathcal{C}(B)$ be the quotient map. Throughout these notes π always denotes this quotient map, unless otherwise stated.

We say that ϕ and ψ are *unitarily equivalent* (and write $\phi \sim^u \psi$) if there exists a unitary $u \in M(B)$ such that

$$\phi(c) = \pi(u)\psi(c)\pi(u)^*$$

for all $c \in C$.

$\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B)$ denotes the collection of all unitary equivalence classes of essential extensions $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$.

¹In the literature, the terminology is sometimes reversed and this is sometimes called an “extension of B by C ”.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by $T(A)$ the tracial state space of A (which could be an empty set), given the weak* topology. Denote by $T_f(A)$ the set of all faithful tracial states. It is a convex subset of $T(A)$. Let $\tilde{T}(A)$ be the cone of densely defined, positive (norm) lower semi-continuous traces on A , equipped with the topology of point-wise convergence on elements of the Pedersen ideal $\text{Ped}(A)$ of A . Let B be another C^* -algebra with $T(B) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. We will use then $\phi_T : T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$ for the induced continuous affine map.

Let I be a (closed two-sided) ideal of A and $\tau \in T(I)$. It is well known that τ can be uniquely extended to a tracial state of A ($\tau(a) = \lim_{\alpha} \tau(ae_{\alpha})$ for all $a \in A$, where $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is an approximate identity for I .) In what follows we will continue to use τ for the extension. Also, when A is not unital and $\tau \in T(A)$, we will use τ for the extension on $\tilde{A}$ as well as on $M(A)$, the multiplier algebra of A .

Definition 2.3. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer and $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$. We will continue to use τ to denote the trace $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ on $A \otimes M_r$, where Tr is the standard non-normalized trace on M_r . Let $S \subset \tilde{T}(A)$ be a convex subset. Denote by $\text{Aff}(S)$ the space of all continuous real affine functions on S . Define (see [62])

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Aff}_+(S) = \{f : C(S, \mathbb{R})_+ : f \text{ affine, } f(\tau) > 0 \text{ for } \tau \neq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{LAff}_+(S) = \{f : S \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \exists \{f_n\}, f_n \nearrow f, f_n \in \text{Aff}_+(S)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{LAff}^{\sim}(S) = \{f_1 - f_2 : f_1 \in \text{LAff}_+(S) \text{ and } f_2 \in \text{Aff}_+(S)\}.$$

Note $0 \in \text{LAff}_+(S)$. For most part of this paper, $S = \tilde{T}(A)$ or $S = T(A)$ in the above definition will be used.

Recall that $T(A)$ is compact, and hence a compact convex set, when A is unital or A is simple separable finite and has continuous scale. Also, when A is simple, $T_f(A) = T(A)$.

Definition 2.4. For $\delta > 0$, we let $f_{\delta} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the unique continuous maps satisfying

$$f_{\delta}(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & t \in [0, \delta/2] \\ 1 & t \in [\delta, \infty) \\ \text{linear on} & [\delta/2, \delta]. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.5. Recall that every $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ extends uniquely to a strictly lower semicontinuous trace on $M(A)_+$, which we also denote by τ .

For $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ for $a \in A_+$ (or, $a \in M_m(A)_+$ for some integer $m \geq 1$),

$$d_{\tau}(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(f_{1/n}(a)).$$

Note that $f_{1/n}(a)$ is in the Pedersen ideal of A . It follows that $d_{\tau}(a)$ is a lower semicontinuous on $\tilde{T}(A)$. (Recall, in the case $a \in M_m(A)_+$, we continue to use τ for $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$, where Tr is the standard non-normalized trace on M_m).

Definition 2.6. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$ be the set of homomorphisms from $K_0(A)$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+$ the set of all homomorphisms $f : K_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K_0(A)_+$. Denote

$$\ker \rho_A = \{x \in K_0(A) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+\}.$$

It is possible that $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+ = \{0\}$. In that case, $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$. There is a homomorphism $r_A : T(A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+$ induced by $r_A(\tau)([p]) = \tau(p)$ for all projections $p \in M_m(\tilde{A})$. The image of r_A is denoted by $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T(A)}$ (or just $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_T$). Note that, for any $\tau \in T(A)$, $\tau([1_{\tilde{A}}]) = 1$. If A is unital and exact, then, by Corollary 3.4 of [2],

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+ = \{r \cdot s : r \in \mathbb{R}_+, s \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T(A)}\}.$$

Let Y be a locally compact metric space and $A = C_0(Y)$. Then

$$\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+ = \{r \cdot s|_{K_0(C)} : r \in \mathbb{R}_+ : s \in \text{Hom}(K_0(\tilde{A}), \mathbb{R})_{T(A)}\}.$$

Let A be a separable exact simple C^* -algebra. Choose a nonzero element $e \in \text{Ped}(A)_+$. Let $A_e = \text{Her}(e) = \overline{eAe}$. Then $r_{A_e}(T(A_e)) = \text{Hom}(K_0(A_e), \mathbb{R})_+$. By [4], the embedding $\iota : A_e \rightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism $\iota_* : K_0(A_e) \cong K_0(A)$. Then

$$\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_+ = \{r \cdot s \circ \iota_*^{-1} : r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } s \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A_e), \mathbb{R})_{T(A_e)}\}.$$

In particular,

$$\ker \rho_A = \{x \in K_0(A) : r_{A_e}(\tau)(\iota_*(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A_e)\}.$$

Denote by $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f} = \{r \cdot r_A(\tau) : r \in [0, 1], \tau \in T_f(A)\}$. Define

$$\ker \rho_{f,A} = \{x \in K_0(A) : \lambda(x) = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f}\}.$$

It should be noted that $\ker \rho_A \subset \ker \rho_{f,A} \subset K_0(A)$. Recall if A is simple, then $T_f(A) = T(A)$ (see 8.2 for more comments on $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f}$.)

Suppose that A is a simple C^* -algebra which has continuous scale, every 2-quasi-trace of A is a trace and $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$. Then $T(A)$ is compact and $\tilde{T}(A)$ is a cone with the base $T(A)$. There is an order preserving homomorphism $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(A)$ such that $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for all projections $p \in M_\infty(\tilde{A})$. For unital stably finite C^* -algebras, ρ_A can also similarly defined (see Theorem 3.3 of [2].)

Definition 2.7. For a C^* -algebra D and for $a, b \in D_+$, $a \lesssim b$ means that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that $x_n b x_n \rightarrow a$. We write $a \sim b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. To avoid possible confusion, if both p and q are projections, we write $p \approx q$ to mean that a and b are Murray–von Neumann equivalent. For $a \in D_+$, we let $\text{Her}_D(a) := \overline{aDa}$, the hereditary C^* -subalgebra of D generated by a . Sometimes, for simplicity, we write $\text{Her}(a)$ in place of $\text{Her}_D(a)$. Similarly, for a C^* -subalgebra $C \subseteq D$, we let $\text{Her}_D(C)$ or $\text{Her}(C)$ denote $\overline{CDC}$, the hereditary C^* -subalgebra of D generated by C .

Definition 2.8. Let A and C be C^* -algebras. Throughout this paper, we will write that a map $\phi : A \rightarrow C$ is *c.p.c.* if it is linear and completely positive contractive. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\delta > 0$. A c.p.c. map $\psi : A \rightarrow C$ is said to be $\mathcal{F}$ - δ -multiplicative if $\|\psi(fg) - \psi(f)\psi(g)\| < \delta$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.9. Recall that a nonunital C^* -algebra B has *almost stable rank one* if for any integer $m \geq 1$, and for any hereditary C^* -subalgebra $D \subseteq M_m(B)$, $D \subseteq \overline{GL(\tilde{D})}$.

Definition 2.10. Let A and B be two C^* -algebras and let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. We may write $KK(\phi)$ for the element in $KK(A, B)$ induced by ϕ , and $KL(\phi)$ for the element in $KL(A, B)$ induced by ϕ , respectively.

Finally, we will be a bit loose in our terminology and use the term “extension” to refer both to an extension $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ as well as the extension algebra E in the exact sequence.

3. NONSTABLE ABSORPTION

Definition 3.1. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and let B be a non-unital but σ -unital C^* -algebra with continuous scale. Let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be two essential extensions. The BDF sum of ϕ and ψ is defined to be

$$(\phi \dot{+} \psi)(\cdot) := S\phi(\cdot)S^* + T\psi(\cdot)T^*,$$

where $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ are any two isometries such that

$$SS^* + TT^* \leq 1.$$

The BDF sum $\phi \dot{+} \psi$ is well-defined (i.e., independent of choice of S and T) up to weak equivalence. If, in addition, ϕ or ψ is nonunital then the BDF sum $\phi \dot{+} \psi$ is well-defined up to unitary equivalence (e.g., see [49], [52]).

Definition 3.2. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and let B be a nonunital but σ -unital C^* -algebra. $\mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$ denotes the collection of all (weak) equivalence classes of essential extensions $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$.

We make $\mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$ into an abelian semigroup with the sum induced by the BDF sum, i.e., for all $[\phi], [\psi] \in \mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$,

$$[\phi] + [\psi] := [\phi \dot{+} \psi].$$

We often also call the sum on $\mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$ the BDF sum. Similarly, when A is nonunital, with the BDF sum, $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B)$ is also a semigroup.

The next result is well-known, but we provide it for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.3. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and let B be a σ -unital C^* -algebra. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be a nonunital essential extension. Then there exists a nonzero element $c \in \mathcal{C}(B)_+$ such that

$$c \perp \text{ran}(\phi).$$

Proof. If A is a unital C^* -algebra, then we can simply take

$$c := 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - \phi(1_A).$$

Suppose that A is nonunital. Then $D := \overline{\phi(A)\mathcal{C}(B)\phi(A)}$ is a σ -unital proper hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(B)$. Then, by Pedersen's double annihilator theorem (see Theorem 7.7 of [57]), $D^\perp$ is nonzero, and hence, we can take $c \in D^\perp \setminus \{0\}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and B be a σ -unital C^* -algebra such that $M(B)/B = \mathcal{C}(B)$ is purely infinite and simple. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be two nonunital essential extensions.*

Then $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ if and only if $\phi_1 \sim^u \phi_2$.

Moreover, if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are approximately unitarily equivalent, then there exists a sequence of unitaries $U_n \in M(B)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(U_n)^* \phi_1(a) \pi(U_n) = \phi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Proof. This is Proposition 2.1 of [52]. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let B be a σ -unital simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be three non-unital essential extensions. Suppose that there is a unitary $U \in M_2(M(B))$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \pi(U)^*(\phi_1(a) \oplus \phi_2(a))\pi(U) = \psi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Then there is a unitary $V \in M(B)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \pi(V)^*(\phi_1(a) \dot{+} \psi_2(a))\pi(V) = \psi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A,$$

where $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 : A \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{C}(B))$ is the orthogonal direct sum of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , and $\phi_1 \dot{+} \phi_2$ is the BDF sum.

Proof. Write the BDF sum as

$$(3.4) \quad \phi_1 \dot{+} \phi_2 = S\phi_1(\cdot)S^* + T\phi_2(\cdot)T^*$$

where $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ are isometries as in 3.1. Set $p_s = SS^*$ and $p_t = TT^*$. Then $p_s \perp p_t$.

As in 3.1, there are unitaries $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad v_1 S\phi_1(a)S^* v_1^* = \phi_1(a) \text{ and } v_2 T\phi_2(a)T^* v_2^* = \phi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Put $E_1 = \text{diag}(1, 0)$ and $E_2 = \text{diag}(0, 1)$. There is a partial isometry $v_3 \in M_2(\mathcal{C}(B))$ such that $v_3^* v_3 = E_1$ and $v_3 v_3^* = E_2$. Define $w = v_1 p_s + v_3 v_2 p_t$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad ww^* = v_1 p_s v_1^* + v_3 v_2 p_t v_2^* v_3^* \leq 1_{M_2(\mathcal{C}(B))} \text{ and}$$

$$(3.7) \quad w^* w = p_s v_1^* v_1 p_s + p_t v_2^* v_3^* v_3 v_2 p_t = p_s + p_t.$$

Moreover

$$(3.8) \quad w(S\phi_1(a)S^* + T\phi_2(a)T^*)w^* = \phi_1(a) \oplus \phi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Therefore

$$(3.9) \quad 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} \pi(U) w(S\phi_1(a)S^* + T\phi_2(a)T^*) w^* \pi(U)^* 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} = \psi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Since ψ is not unital, $\psi(A)^\perp \neq \{0\}$. As $\mathcal{C}(B)$ is purely infinite and simple, it has real rank zero (see [76]). Let $e_0 \in \psi(A)^\perp$ be a non-zero projection and $p = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - e_0$. Let $q = w\pi(U)p\pi(U)^*w^*$. Note

$$(3.10) \quad q = w\pi(U)p\pi(U)^*w^* \neq w\pi(U)1_{\mathcal{C}(B)}\pi(U)^*w^* \leq p_s + p_t \leq 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)}.$$

In other words, $1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - q \neq 0$. Note p and q are equivalent projections in $\mathcal{C}(B)$. This implies that $1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - q$ and $e_0 = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - p$ are equivalent in $\mathcal{C}(B)$. Thus there is a partial isometry $v_0 \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that $v_0^* v_0 = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - p$ and $v_0 v_0^* = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - q$. Set $v_1 = p\pi(U)wq + v_0$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad v_1^* v_1 = (v_0^* + p\pi(U)^*w^*q)(v_0 + qw\pi(U)p)$$

$$(3.12) \quad = v_0^* v_0 + p\pi(U)w^*qw\pi(U)p = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - p + p = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} \text{ and}$$

$$(3.13) \quad v_1 v_1^* = (v_0 + qw\pi(U)p)(v_0^* + p\pi(U)^*w^*q)$$

$$(3.14) \quad = v_0 v_0^* + qw\pi(U)p\pi(U)^*w^*q = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)} - q + q = 1_{\mathcal{C}(B)}.$$

So v_1 is a unitary. Moreover,

$$(3.15) \quad v_1(S\phi_1(a)S^* + T\phi_2(a)T^*)v_1^* = \phi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Since both ϕ and $S\phi_1(a)S^* + T\phi_2(a)T^*$ are not unital, by 3.4, there is a unitary $V \in M(B)$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad \pi(V)(S\phi_1(a)S^* + T\phi_2(a)T^*)\pi(V)^* = \phi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.6. By Proposition 3.5, from now on, we will not distinguish the usual orthogonal sums of two nonunital essential extensions and BDF sums of the same two nonunital essential extensions. Proposition 3.5 is of course known. Let us point out the following fact: Suppose $H_1, H_2 : A \rightarrow M(B)$ are two maps such that $\pi \circ H_1$ and $\pi \circ H_2$ are nonunital essential extensions. Then, in general, one may not find unitaries $U, V \in M(B)$ such that $\text{Ad } U \circ H_1 \perp \text{Ad } V \circ H_2$ even in the case that both H_1 and H_2 are diagonal maps and $\pi \circ H_1 \perp \pi \circ H_2$.

Theorem 3.7. Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra, and let B be a σ -unital simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale. Then $\mathbf{Ext}(A, B)$ is an abelian group. Moreover, if A is nonunital, then $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B)$ is also an abelian group.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.10 of [52]). See also Theorem 3.5 of [49]. The second part follows from 3.4. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra and let B be a σ -unital simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale. Suppose that $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow C(B)$ are two monomorphisms with ϕ nonunital.

Then

$$(3.17) \quad \phi \sim^u \psi \oplus \psi_0$$

for some nonunital monomorphism $\psi_0 : A \rightarrow C(B)$.

Proof. This is Proposition 2.7 of [52]. $\square$

4. QUASIDIAGONALITY

Definition 4.1. Let B be a nonunital but σ -unital C^* -algebra. A sequence $\{b_n\}$ of norm one elements in B_+ is said to be a system of quasidiagonal units if the following statements are true:

- (1) $b_m \perp b_n = 0$ for all $m \neq n$.
- (2) If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in B such that $x_n \in \overline{b_n B b_n}$ for all n , then the sum $\sum x_n$ converges in the strict topology on $M(B)$.

Note that every σ -unital nonunital C^* -algebra has a system of quasidiagonal units (e.g., see Lemma 2.2 of [53]).

The first result is an exercise in the strict topology.

Lemma 4.2. Let B be a separable nonunital C^* -algebra which has almost stable rank one and let C be a separable C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\{b_n\}$ is a system of quasidiagonal units and $\phi_n : C \rightarrow \overline{b_n B b_n}$ is a sequence of c.p.c maps. For any permutation $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\lambda(n)}(c)$ converges strictly for all $c \in C$ and there exists a unitary $U \in M(B)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad U^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\lambda(n)}(c) \right) U = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Definition 4.3. Let B be a σ -unital nonunital C^* -algebra.

An element $x \in M(B)$ is said to be diagonal, if there exists a system $\{b_n\}$ of quasidiagonal units in B , and there exists a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ for which $x_n \in \overline{b_n B b_n}$ for all n , such that

$$x = \sum x_n.$$

An element $x \in M(B)$ is said to be (generalized) quasidiagonal if x is a sum of a diagonal element with an element of B .

A collection $\mathcal{S} \subseteq M(B)$ is (generalized) quasidiagonal if there exists a single system of quasidiagonal units with respect to which all the elements in $\mathcal{S}$ can be simultaneously (generalized) quasidiagonalized.

Definition 4.4. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and B a σ -unital nonunital C^* -algebra.

An extension $\phi : A \rightarrow C(B)$ is said to be (generalized) quasidiagonal if $\pi^{-1}(\phi(A))$ is a (generalized) quasidiagonal collection of operators.

For the rest of this paper, unless it is clearly false, when we write “quasidiagonal”, we mean generalized quasidiagonal.

It is easy to prove the following analogue of a classical quasidiagonality result:

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and B a nonunital but σ -unital C^* -algebra.*

Suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is a quasidiagonal extension such that ϕ can be lifted to a c.p.c. map $\Phi' : A \rightarrow M(B)$ (and $\pi \circ \Phi' = \phi$).

Then there exist a system $\{b_n\}$ of quasidiagonal units, and, for each n , a c.p.c. map $\phi_n : A \rightarrow \overline{b_n B b_n}$ such that $\phi = \pi \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi : A \rightarrow M(B)$ is the c.p.c. map defined by

$$\Phi := \sum \phi_n.$$

Moreover, $\{\phi_n\}$ is asymptotically multiplicative, i.e., for all $a, b \in A$,

$$\|\phi_n(ab) - \phi_n(a)\phi_n(b)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the above setting, we often write $\Phi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n$.

Proof. This is Proposition 2.5 of [53]. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and B be a σ -unital nonunital simple C^* -algebra. Then the pointwise norm limit of quasidiagonal extensions of B by A is quasidiagonal.*

In particular, let $h : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be an essential quasidiagonal extension and let $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be an essential extension. If there exists a sequence of unitaries $U_n \in M(B)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(U_n)^* h(a) \pi(U_n) = \sigma(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Then σ is an quasidiagonal extension.

If, in addition, B has continuous scale and the extension h is nonunital, then the unitaries can be taken to simply be in $\mathcal{C}(B)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 3.7 of [53] together with the present paper’s Proposition 3.4. □

Quasidiagonality was first defined by Halmos [24] in 1970. There is a long history of K-theoretical characterizations of quasidiagonality, going back to BDF’s observation that an essentially normal operator is quasidiagonal if and only if it induces the zero element in Ext ([7]). BDF were essentially the first to recognize that quasidiagonal extensions might be approached by K-theory, and another one of their fundamental results was that (in their setting) limits of trivial extensions correspond to quasidiagonal extensions ([8]). L. G. Brown pursued this further in [5]. Further developments in the study of quasidiagonality can be found in [65], [73], and [74]. Schochet proved that stable quasidiagonal extensions are the same as limits of stable trivial extensions and can be characterized by $\text{Pext}(K_*(A), K_*(B))$ if A is assumed to be nuclear, quasidiagonal relative to B and satisfying the University Coefficient Theorem ([67]). More recent developments in the general nonstable case, with additional regularity assumptions on B , and a historical summary, can be found in [39]. We will be implicitly using ideas with its origins in the above paper. Starting with the next result, we will be presenting various K theoretic conditions and characterizations for quasidiagonality in our setting. A good example of this is Proposition 7.18.

Theorem 4.7. *Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT and let B be a nonunital separable simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale. Suppose that there exists a nonunital essential quasidiagonal extension $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that $KL(\sigma) = 0$. If $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is a nonunital essential extension such that $KL(\phi) = 0$ then ϕ is quasidiagonal.*

Proof. Let

$$A^+ := \begin{cases} A^\sim & \text{if } A \text{ is nonunital} \\ A \oplus \mathbb{C} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\phi^+, \sigma^+ : A^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$$

be the unique unital monomorphisms that extend ϕ and σ respective. Then

$$KL(\phi^+) = KL(\sigma^+).$$

Hence, by [39] Theorem 3.7, ϕ^+ and σ^+ are approximately unitarily equivalent. Consequently, ϕ and σ are approximately unitarily equivalent. It follows from Theorem 4.6 that ϕ is quasidiagonal. □

5. STABLE UNIQUENESS

Moving towards a nonunital stable uniqueness result, we next provide some definitions and results from [16] (see also [19]).

Definition 5.1. ([16] Definition 3.13. See also [19] Definition 7.7)

Let $r_0, r_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ be maps, $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ be a map, and $s, R \geq 1$ be integers. We say that a C^* -algebra D belongs to the class $\mathbf{C}_{(r_0, r_1, T, s, R)}$ if all of the the following statements hold:

(a) For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any pair of projections $p, q \in \mathbb{M}_n(\tilde{D})$ with

$$[p] = [q] \text{ in } K_0(\tilde{D}),$$

$$p \oplus 1_{\mathbb{M}_{r_0(n)}(\tilde{D})} \sim^u q \oplus 1_{\mathbb{M}_{r_0(n)}(\tilde{D})}.$$

(a') For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any pair of projections $p, q \in \mathbb{M}_n(\tilde{D})$, if

$$[p] - [q] \geq 0,$$

then there exists a projection $p' \in \mathbb{M}_{n+r_0(n)}(\tilde{D})$ such that

$$p' \leq p \oplus 1_{\mathbb{M}_{r_0(n)}(\tilde{D})} \text{ and } p' \sim q \oplus 1_{\mathbb{M}_{r_0(n)}(\tilde{D})}.$$

(b) For any $n, k \geq 1$ and any $x \in K_0(\tilde{D})$ such that

$$-n[1_{\tilde{D}}] \leq kx \leq n[1_{\tilde{D}}],$$

$$-T(n, k)[1_{\tilde{D}}] \leq x \leq T(n, k)[1_{\tilde{D}}].$$

(c) The canonical map

$$U(\mathbb{M}_s(\tilde{D}))/U_0(\mathbb{M}_s(\tilde{D})) \rightarrow K_1(D)$$

is surjective.

(d) For any integer $n \geq 1$, if $u \in U(\mathbb{M}_n(\tilde{D}))$ and $[u] = 0$ in $K_1(D)$, then

$$u \oplus 1_{\mathbb{M}_{r_1(n)}(\tilde{D})} \in U_0(\mathbb{M}_{n+r_1(n)}(\tilde{D})).$$

(e) For all $m \geq 1$, the exponential rank

$$\text{cer}(\mathbb{M}_m(\tilde{D})) \leq R.$$

Proposition 5.2. Let B be a separable simple stably finite C^* -algebra with continuous scale, finite nuclear dimension, UCT, unique tracial state and $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$. Let $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ defined by $T(n, k) = n$. Then

$$B \in \mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.3 of [20] that $\text{cer}(M_n(\tilde{B})) \leq 6 + \epsilon$.

Since B has stable rank one and $K_0(\tilde{B})$ is weakly unperforated, it is easy to check that $B \in \mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$. $\square$

Definition 5.3. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, B be a nonunital C^* -algebra, and let $\sigma : A \rightarrow B$ be a positive map. Let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{E} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite set.

We say that σ is F - $\mathcal{E}$ full if for any $\epsilon > 0$, for any $b \in B_+$ with $\|b\| \leq 1$ and for any $a \in \mathcal{E}$, there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in B$ with $m \leq N(a)$ and $\|x_j\| \leq M(a)$, where $F(a) = (N(a), M(a))$, and such that

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^* \sigma(a) x_j - b \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

We say that σ is exactly F - $\mathcal{E}$ full if (5.1) holds with $\epsilon = 0$. If σ is F - $\mathcal{E}$ -full for every finite subset $\mathcal{E}$ of A , then we say σ is F -full.

Definition 5.4. We introduce some notation that will be used in the next result and later parts of the paper. For a linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ between C^* -algebras, we often let ϕ also denote the induced map $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{M_m} : M_m(A) \rightarrow M_m(B)$ for all m . If A and B are not unital, to simplify notation, we understand that $\phi(x)$ is $\phi^\sim(x)$ for $x \in \tilde{A}$, where $\phi^\sim : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ is the unitization of ϕ .

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $x \in A$. Suppose that $\|xx^* - 1\| < 1$ and $\|x^*x - 1\| < 1$. Then $x|x|^{-1}$ is a unitary. Let us use $[x]$ to denote $x|x|^{-1}$. Now let A be any separable amenable C^* -algebra.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset. Then there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for any C^* -algebra B and any $\mathcal{F}$ - δ -multiplicative c.p.c. map $L : A \rightarrow B$, L induces a homomorphism $[L]$ on $G(\mathcal{P})$, where $G(\mathcal{P})$ is the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{P}$, to $\underline{K}(B)$. Moreover (by choosing sufficiently small ϵ and large $\mathcal{F}$), if $L' : A \rightarrow B$ is another $\mathcal{F}$ - ϵ -multiplicative c.p.c. map such that $\|L(x) - L'(x)\| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$, then $[L']|_{G(\mathcal{P})} = [L]|_{G(\mathcal{P})}$. Such a triple $(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ is sometime called a KL -triple. In what follows, when we write $[L]|_{\mathcal{P}}$, we assume that L is at least $\mathcal{F}$ - ϵ -multiplicative so that $[L]|_{G(\mathcal{P})}$ is well defined (see 1.2 of [37], 3.3 of [14], and 2.11 of [42], or, 2.12 of [22]).

Theorem 5.5. *Let A be a nonunital separable amenable C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT, let $r_0, r_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be three maps, $s, R \geq 1$ be integers, and let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ and $L : \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U(M_m(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two additional maps.*

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, a finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$, a finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and an integer $K \geq 1$ satisfying the following:

For any C^ -algebra $B \in \mathbf{C}_{(r_0, r_1, T, s, R)}$, for any two $\mathcal{G}$ - δ -multiplicative c.p.c. maps $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$, and for any F - $\mathcal{E}$ full $\mathcal{G}$ - δ -multiplicative map $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_l(B)$ such that*

$$\text{cel}([\phi(u)][\psi(u)^*]) \leq L(u)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$[\phi]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}},$$

there exists a unitary $U \in M_{1+Kl}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|Ad(U) \circ (\phi \oplus S)(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \epsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where

$$S(a) := \text{diag}(\sigma(a), \sigma(a), \dots, \sigma(a))$$

(the “ $\sigma(a)$ ” is repeated K times in the diagonal).

Furthermore, if B has almost stable rank one, then one can choose $U \in \widetilde{M_{1+Kl}(B)}$.

Proof. This is [19] Theorem 7.9. □

Remark 5.6. Note that the finite subset $\mathcal{U}$ in the statement of 5.5 may be assumed to a subset of $U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Let $[\mathcal{U}]$ be the image of $\mathcal{U}$ in $U(M_m(\tilde{A}))/U_0(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ and let $G([\mathcal{U}])$ be the (finitely generated) subgroup generated by $[\mathcal{U}]$.

We provide some notation which will be used in Theorem 5.8 as well as the proof of Lemma 7.12, and other places.

Definition 5.7. *Let A be a nonunital C^* -algebra.*

(1) Let

$$\Pi_1 : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) = K_1(A),$$

$$\Pi_{cu} : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})),$$

and

$$\Pi_{1,cu} : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow K_1(A)$$

be the usual quotient maps.

(2) For each A , fix a homomorphism

$$J_A : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$$

so that the following short exact sequence splits

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \longrightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \xrightarrow[\quad J_A]{\quad \Pi_{1,cu}} K_1(A) \rightarrow 0$$

(see Cor. 3.3 of [68]). In other words, $\Pi_{1,cu} \circ J_A(x) = x$ for all $x \in K_1(A)$. We will also use J instead of J_A for brief. Moreover, in what follows, once A is given, we assume that J is fixed.

Fix a map $\Pi_{cu}^- : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$ such that $\Pi_{cu}(\Pi_{cu}^-(z)) = z$ for all $z \in U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$. Then, for each $u \in U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$, we write

$$u = (\Pi_{cu}^-(\Pi_{cu}(u)))u_{cu}$$

where

$$u_{cu} = (\Pi_{cu}^-(\Pi_{cu}(u)))^* u \in CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})).$$

Note that Π_{cu}^- is just a map between sets. *Once A is given, Π_{cu}^- is fixed.*

(3) Let

$$J^\sim : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$$

be given by

$$J^\sim := \Pi_{cu}^- \circ J.$$

(4) For $u \in U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$, once Π_{cu}^- is fixed, one may uniquely write

$$(5.3) \quad u = \Pi_{cu}^-(J \circ \Pi_1(u)) u_{0,cu},$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad u_{0,cu} = \Pi_{cu}^-(J \circ \Pi_1(u))^* u \in U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A})).$$

Denote by $J_0^\sim : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$ defined by $J_0^\sim(u) = J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))^* u (= u_{0,cu} \text{ as (5.4)})$. For a fixed C^* -algebra A , let us fix one splitting map J and a map Π_{cu}^- above which then determine $J^\sim$ and $J_0^\sim$.

- (5) Suppose that B is another C^* -algebra and $h : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. Denote by $h^\dagger : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))$ the induced homomorphism. Denote by $h^\ddagger : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))$ the homomorphism defined by $h^\ddagger \circ J$ as J is fixed. Note, in the case that B has stable rank one (see Cor. 3.4 and its remark of [68]), $U(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B})) = U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$. In this case, $h^\dagger$ is a homomorphism from $U(\tilde{A})/CU(\tilde{A})$ to $U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$ and $h^\dagger$ maps $K_1(A)$ to $U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$.
- (6) Denote by $\Delta : U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}))/\rho_B(K_0(\tilde{B}))$ the determinant map which is an isometric isomorphism (see section 3 of [68] and Proposition 3.23 of [22]).

Theorem 5.8. *Let A be a nonunital separable amenable C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT, let $r_0, r_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be three maps, $s, R \geq 1$ be integers, and let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ and $L : J^\sim(K_1(A)) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two additional maps.*

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, a finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset J^\sim(K_1(A))$, a finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and an integer $K \geq 1$ satisfying the following:

For any C^ -algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}_{(r_0, r_1, T, s, R)}$, for any two $\mathcal{G}$ - δ -multiplicative c.p.c. maps $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$, and for any F - $\mathcal{E}$ full $\mathcal{G}$ - δ -multiplicative map $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_l(B)$ such that*

$$\text{cel}(\lceil \phi(u) \rceil \lceil \psi(u)^* \rceil) \leq L(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ and}$$

$$[\phi]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}},$$

there exists a unitary $U \in \mathbb{M}_{1+Kl}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|Ad(U) \circ (\phi \oplus S)(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \epsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where

$$S(a) := \text{diag}(\sigma(a), \sigma(a), \dots, \sigma(a))$$

(the “ $\sigma(a)$ ” is repeated K times in the diagonal).

Furthermore, if B has almost stable rank one, then one can choose $U \in \widetilde{\mathbb{M}_{1+Kl}(B)}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that there is a map $L_1 : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which depends only on A and L such that, for any finite subset $\mathcal{U} \in M_\infty(\tilde{A})$, if

$$\text{cel}(\lceil \phi(u) \rceil \lceil \psi(u)^* \rceil) \leq L(u) \text{ for all } u \in J^\sim \circ \Pi_1(\mathcal{U}),$$

for any ϕ and ψ come later in the statement, one always has

$$(5.5) \quad \text{cel}(\lceil \phi(u) \rceil \lceil \psi(u)^* \rceil) \leq L_1(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U},$$

provided that ϕ and ψ are $\mathcal{G}_1$ - δ_1 -multiplicative, where δ is sufficiently small and $\mathcal{G}$ is sufficiently large which depends only on $\mathcal{U}$ (in the statement of) and L , as we then apply Theorem 5.5 for this L_1 (choosing $\delta < \delta_1$ large $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{G}_1$ and K and so on).

Let us provide the details for the issue. As A is given, we fix a splitting homomorphism J and a map Π_{cu}^- as in 5.7 (so $J^\sim$ and $J_0^\sim$ are also fixed). Define $L_0 : U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows. For each $u \in U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$, there is a smallest $m(u) \geq 1$ such that $u \in U_0(M_{m(u)}(\tilde{A}))$. Define $L_0(u) = \text{cel}(u)$ (in $M_m(\tilde{A})$).

Once $\mathcal{U} \in U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ is fixed. Define

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{U}_1 = \{J^\sim(\Pi_{1,cu}(u)), J_0^\sim(u) : u \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $J_0^\sim(u) \in U_0(M_m(\tilde{A}))$.

For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$, there are $h_1(u), h_2(u), \dots, h_{k(u)}(u) \in M_m(\tilde{A})_{s.a.}$ such that

$$(5.7) \quad \exp(ih_1(u)) \exp(ih_2(u)) \cdots \exp(ih_{k(u)}(u)) = J_0^\sim(u).$$

We choose a small $\delta_1 > 0$ and large $\mathcal{G}_1$ such that, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$(5.8) \quad \|\phi(J_0^\sim(u)) - \exp(i\phi(h_1(u))) \exp(i\phi(h_2(u))) \cdots \exp(i\phi(h_{k(u)}(u)))\| < 1/16\pi$$

for any $\mathcal{G}_1$ - δ_1 -multiplicative c.p.c. map ϕ from $\tilde{A}$. In particular,

$$(5.9) \quad \text{cel}(\lceil \phi(J_0^\sim(u)) \rceil) \leq \text{cel}(J_0^\sim(u)) + 1/4 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

We may also assume that

$$(5.10) \quad \lceil \phi(u) \rceil \approx_{1/64\pi} \lceil \phi(J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))) \rceil \lceil \phi(J_0^\sim(u)) \rceil \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Define $L_1(u) = L(J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))) + 2L_0(J_0^\sim(u)) + 1$ for all $u \in U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$.

Note that, as had been demonstrated, if δ_1 is small enough and $\mathcal{G}_1$ is large enough independent of ϕ or ψ (and also independent of B in the class of $\mathbf{C}_{r_0, r_1, t, s, R}$), when both ϕ and ψ are $\mathcal{G}_1$ - δ_1 -multiplicative, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$(5.11) \quad \text{cel}(\lceil \phi(u) \rceil \lceil \psi(u) \rceil^*)$$

$$(5.12) \quad \leq 1/16 + \text{cel}\phi(J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))) \lceil \phi(J_0^\sim(u)) \rceil \lceil \psi(J_0^\sim(u)) \rceil \lceil \psi(J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))) \rceil$$

$$(5.13) \quad \leq 2(L_0(J_0^\sim(u)) + 1/4) + L(J^\sim(\Pi_1(u))) \leq L_1(u).$$

In other words, (5.5) holds. The theorem then follows from Theorem 5.5. $\square$

6. EXISTENCE AND EXPONENTIAL LENGTH

Lemma 6.1. *Let A be a separable algebraically simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension which satisfies the UCT and has a unique tracial state τ_A . Suppose that A is nonunital and stably projectionless. Then we have the following:*

- (1) A is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and has stable rank one.
- (2) $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$.

Proof. By [70], A is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. It follows from [63] that A has almost stable rank one. Since A has only one tracial state, it follows from Corollary A7 of [16] that $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$. By Theorem 15.5 of [20], A is classifiable and is in class $\mathcal{D}$, which is defined in 3.9 of [20] (see also 8.1 of [15]). Therefore, by Theorem 11.5 of [15], A has stable rank one. $\square$

Definition 6.2. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the *Razak algebra*, which is a nonunital, simple, separable, nuclear, continuous scale, stably projectionless C^* -algebra with unique tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $K_*(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. $\mathcal{W}$ also has stable rank one and is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable (see [59], [71], [25]). It is proved in [16] that $\mathcal{W}$ is the only nonunital simple separable C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension, $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ ($i = 0, 1$) and with a unique tracial state which satisfies the UCT and has continuous scale. From this, one can also conclude that $\mathcal{W}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to any of its nonzero hereditary C^* -subalgebras.

Remark 6.3. *In fact, the proof of Lemma 6.1 shows that the C^* -algebra A is in the classifiable class $\mathcal{D}$ defined in [20] 3.9. We also note that it is not hard to check directly that $\mathcal{W}$ has properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 6.1. (E.g., see [25].)*

Lemma 6.4 (Theorem 1.1 of [62]). *Let B be an separable infinite dimensional simple $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebra with stable rank one, and every 2-quasi-trace of $\overline{bBb}$ is a trace for any $b \in \text{Ped}(B)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is an embedding $\phi_{w,b} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$.*

If B also has continuous scale and is stably projectionless, we may require that $\phi_{w,b}$ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements.

Moreover, if $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$ are two monomorphisms such that $d_\tau(\phi_1(a)) = d_\tau(\phi_2(a))$ holds for all $\tau \in T(B)$ and for one non-zero $a \in W_+ \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in \tilde{B}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n \circ \phi_1(c) = \phi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Proof. Since $K_0(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ and has a unique tracial state, from Proposition 6.2.3 of [62], one computes that $Cu^\sim(\mathcal{W}) = (-\infty, \infty]$. It is easy to see that, for the first part of the Lemma, we may assume that B has continuous scale (e.g., Proposition 5.4 of [15]). Since B is simple, has stable rank one and simple, and every 2-quasi-trace of B is a trace, $T(B) \neq \emptyset$. Since B has continuous scale, it is well-known that $T(B)$ is compact (see, for example, Theorem 5.3 of [15]).

It follows from Theorem 7.3 of [15] (see also Theorem 6.2.3 of [62]) that when B is stably projectionless, $Cu^\sim(B) = K_0(B) \sqcup \text{LAff}^\sim(T(B))$ (recall that $T(B)$ is compact as B has continuous scale). This also holds for the case that B is not stably projectionless (see the proof of Proposition 6.1.1 of [62]; in fact, here we can replace the trace space by $T(pM_m(B)p)$ for some nonzero projection $p \in M_m(B)$, and for some $m \geq 1$).

Fix a strictly positive element $e_W \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\|e_W\| = 1$ and a strictly positive element $e_B \in B$ with $\|e_B\| = 1$. Then e_W is represented by $1 \in (-\infty, \infty]$ in $Cu^\sim(\mathcal{W})$. Note also $d_\tau(e_B) = 1$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Choose $0 < a < 1$. Define a map $j : (-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow \text{LAff}^\sim(T(B)) \subseteq Cu^\sim(B)$ by $j(r) = ar$, where we view ar as a constant function on $T(B)$. Thus, j is a morphism from $Cu^\sim(\mathcal{W})$ to $Cu^\sim(B)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.0.1 of [62], there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi_{w,b} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$, such that

$$Cu^\sim(\phi_{w,b}) = j.$$

In the case that B is stably projectionless, e_B is not a projection. So if, in the previous paragraph, we choose $a = 1$, then $\phi_{w,b}(e_W)$ is Cuntz equivalent to e_B . Since B has stably rank one, $\text{Her}(\phi_{w,b}(e_W))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Her}(e_B) = B$. So we may also assume that $\phi_{w,b}$ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements.

The second part of the Lemma follows immediately from the fact that $Cu^\sim(\mathcal{W}) = (-\infty, \infty]$ and Theorem 1.1 of [62]. □

Definition 6.5. Let B be a separable (nonunital) simple C^* -algebra with stable rank one and with continuous scale such that $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$. Then $U(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B})) = U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$. Fix $J : K_1(B) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$. Then, by 5.7, one may write

$$(6.1) \quad U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B}) = (\text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}))/\mathbb{Z}) \oplus J(K_1(\tilde{B})).$$

Recall $\text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B})) \cong \text{Aff}(T(B)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that D is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of B which also has continuous scale. Suppose that $e_D \in D$ is a strictly positive element of D . There is an affine homeomorphism $\gamma_D : T(B) \rightarrow T(D)$ defined by $\gamma_D(\tau)(d) = (1/d_\tau(e_D))(\tau)(d)$ for all $d \in D \subset B$ and $\tau \in \partial(T(B))$, where $\partial(T(B))$ is the set of the extremal points of $T(B)$ (see the proof of Lemma 6.4 of [46]). Denote by $\gamma^D : \text{Aff}(T(D)) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(B))$ the induced linear map defined by $\gamma^D(f)(\tau) = f(\gamma_D(\tau))$ for all $f \in \text{Aff}(T(D))$ and $\tau \in T(B)$.

Let $\gamma^{\tilde{D}} : \text{Aff}(T(\tilde{D}))/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}))/\mathbb{Z}$ be the map induced by γ^D .

Let $j_D : D \rightarrow B$ be the inclusion map. Note that, if $u \in \tilde{D}$ is a unitary, then we may write $u = e^{2\pi i \theta} \cdot 1_{\tilde{D}} + u_d$, where $\theta \in (-1, 1]$ and $u_d \in D$. Note that $j_D(u) = e^{2\pi i \theta} \cdot 1_{\tilde{B}} + u_d$. Also $(j_D)_* : K_1(D) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, by Proposition 4.5 of [20], $j_D^\dagger : U(\tilde{D})/CU(\tilde{D}) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 6.6. *Let B be a nonunital separable finite simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension and with continuous scale. Suppose that every quasitrace of B is a trace.*

- (1) *For each $t \in (0, 1)$ there are elements $a_t, a_{1-t} \in B_+$ such that $a_t a_{1-t} = 0$, $d_\tau(a_t) = t$ and $d_\tau(a_{1-t}) = 1 - t$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$ and $a_t + a_{1-t}$ is a strictly positive element of B ;*
- (2) *Suppose, in addition, that B is finite and $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$, and B satisfies the UCT.*

Then, for any $t \in (0, 1)$, for any $a_t \in B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $d_\tau(a_t) = t$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$, there is an isomorphism $\phi_t : B \rightarrow B_t := \text{Her}(a_t)$ such that $KL(\phi_t) = KL(\text{id}_B)$, $(\phi_t)_T = \gamma_{B_t}^{-1} : T(B_t) \rightarrow T(B)$ (so $\gamma_{B_t}^{-1}(\tau)(\phi_t(b)) = \tau(b)$ (see Definition 6.5 for γ_{B_t}) for all $b \in B$ and $\tau \in T(B)$), and

$$(\phi_t)^\dagger|_{J(K_1(B))} = (j_{B_t}^\dagger)^{-1}|_{J(K_1(B))} \text{ and } (\phi_t)^\dagger|_{\text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}))/\mathbb{Z}} = \overline{\gamma_{B_t}^{B_t}}.$$

Moreover, for any $u \in U_0(\tilde{B})$,

$$(6.2) \quad \text{dist}(uCU(\tilde{B}), j_{B_t}^\dagger(\phi_t^\dagger(u))) \leq (1-t)\text{dist}(u, 1_{\tilde{B}}).$$

Proof. It follows from [70] that B is $\mathcal{Z}$ stable. By Theorem 6.8 of [17] (see also [9] Theorem 2.5), $Cu(B) = V(B) \sqcup \text{LAff}_+(T(B))$. For both part (1) and (2), one may assume that B is finite and $T(B) \neq \emptyset$.

If B is not stably projectionless, then there is a projection $e \in M_m(B)$ for some $m \geq 1$. It follows Cor. 3.1 of [69] that $eM_m(B)e$ is a unital simple $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebra. By Theorem 6.7 of [64], $eM_m(B)e$ has stable rank one. It follows that B has stable rank one.

Fix a strictly positive element e_B of B . Note that $d_\tau(e_B) = 1$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. For any $t \in (0, 1)$, choose elements $a'_{1-t}, a'_t \in B_+$ which are not projections (as $Cu(B) = V(B) \sqcup \text{LAff}_+(T(B))$) such that $d_\tau(a'_{1-t}) = 1-t$ and $d_\tau(a'_t) = t$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Let $b = a'_{1-t} \oplus a'_t \in M_2(B)_+$. Then $d_\tau(b) = 1$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Therefore $d_\tau(b) = d_\tau(e_B)$ and both b and e_B are not projections. If B is not stably projections, applying Theorem 3 of [11] (see also Theorem 3.3 of [9]), as and $eM_m(B)e$ is unital and has stable rank one, if B is stably projectionless, applying (the last part of) Theorem 1.2 of [63], one obtains a isomorphism $h : \overline{bM_2(B)b} \rightarrow B$. Let $a_t = h(a'_t)$ and $a_{1-t} = h(a'_{1-t})$. Then $a_t a_{1-t} = 0$ and $a_t + a_{1-t}$ is a strictly positive element of B .

For part (2), note that since B is finite and $\mathcal{Z}$ stable, it is stably finite. Note also that since $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$, B is stably projectionless. By Theorem 15.6 of [20], $B \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and $B \in B_T$ as defined there. Then, part (2) follows from Theorem 12.8 of [20]. In fact, note that $K_i(\text{Her}(a_t)) = K_i(B)$, $i = 0, 1$. Define $\kappa_0 = \text{id}_{K_0(B)}$, $\kappa_1 = \text{id}_{K_1(B)}$. Note that $B_t := \text{Her}(a_t)$ also has continuous scale as $d_\tau(a_t)$ is continuous on $T(B)$ (see Proposition 5.4 of [15]). Let $\kappa_T := (\gamma_{B_t})^{-1} : T(B_t) \rightarrow T(B)$ be as defined in 6.5. Note also that since $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$, $U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B}) \cong \text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}))/\mathbb{Z} \oplus K_1(B)$. Let $\kappa_{cu} : U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B}_t)/CU(\tilde{B}_t)$ be the map defined by

$$(6.3) \quad \kappa_{cu}|_{J_B(K_1(B))} = (j_{B_t}^\dagger)^{-1}|_{J_B(K_1(B))} \text{ and } \kappa_{cu}|_{\text{Aff}(T(\tilde{B}_t))/\mathbb{Z}} = \overline{\gamma_{B_t}^{B_t}}$$

Then, by Theorem 12.8 of [20], there is a homomorphism $h : B \rightarrow B_t$ such that $KL(h) = KK(\text{id}_B)$, $h^\dagger = \kappa_{cu}$, and $s(h(b)) = \kappa_T^{-1}(s)(b)$ for all $s \in T(B_t)$ and all $b \in B$.

For the last part of the lemma, let $u \in U_0(\tilde{B})$. Write $u = \exp(i2\pi a)w$, where $a = \alpha \cdot 1_{\tilde{B}} + a_b$, where $a_b \in B_{s.a.}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w \in CU(\tilde{B})$. Moreover, we may assume that $\Delta(u)(\tau) = \alpha + \tau(a_b)$ for all $\tau \in T(\tilde{B})$ (see [68] and Corollary 2.12 of [?] as well as (6) of 5.7). We compute that

$$(6.4) \quad j_{B_t}^\dagger(h_t^\dagger(u)) = \overline{\exp(i2\pi(\alpha \cdot 1_{\tilde{B}} + h_t(a_b)))},$$

where $\tau(h_t(a_b)) = t\tau(a_b)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Therefore

$$(6.5) \quad \overline{u(j_{B_t}^\dagger(h_t^\dagger(u)))^*} = \overline{\exp(i2\pi(a_b - h_t(a_b)))}.$$

Note that

$$(6.6) \quad \tau(a_b - h_t(a_b)) = (1-t)\tau(a_b) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B).$$

Then (6.2) follows from the fact that Δ is an isometric isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *Let B be an algebraically simple, σ -unital C^* -algebra and let C be a σ -unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\sigma : C \rightarrow B$ is a nonzero homomorphism.*

Then there exists a map:

$$F : C_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that for every finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, σ is F - $\mathcal{E}$ full.

Proof. Let $A = \sigma(C)$. Then $A \subset B$ is a σ -unital C^* -subalgebra. Then Proposition 5.6 of [15] applies. $\square$

Definition 6.8. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. We say that A is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable if there is a monomorphism $\phi : A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}$.

Since $\mathcal{W}$ is projectionless, if A is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable, then A is non-unital. Let $e_A \in A$ be a strictly positive element. Consider $a = \phi(e_A)$. There is an isomorphism $s : \overline{a\mathcal{W}a} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$. Then $s \circ \phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is an embedding which maps e_A to a strictly positive element of $\mathcal{W}$. So, if it is needed, one may assume that ϕ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements.

Remark 6.9. If A is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable, then $T_f(A) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, A is not purely infinite. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ be the unique tracial state of $\mathcal{W}$. Then the normalization of $\tau_{\mathcal{W}} \circ \phi$ is a faithful tracial state of A .

Recall that $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is the unique separable stably projectionless simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension which satisfies the UCT and which has a unique tracial state and $K_0(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \{0\}$ (see Cor. 15.7 of [20]).

Theorem 6.10. Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and let B be a separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension and with continuous scale. Suppose that $\ker \rho_B = K_0(B)$ and both A and B satisfies the UCT.

Then, for any $x \in KL(A, B)$, there is a monomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that $KL(h) = x$.

Proof. By Theorem 15.6 of [20], $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$. It follows from Theorem 10.8 of [20] that there exists a sequence of c.p.c. maps $\phi_n : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$(6.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(a)\phi_n(b) - \phi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and } [\{\phi_n\}] = x.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\phi_n : A \rightarrow B \otimes M_{r(n)}$ for some sequence $\{r(n)\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Since $B \otimes M_{r(n)}$ is also a separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension and with continuous scale, and satisfies the UCT, by part (2) of 6.6, and by replacing ϕ_n by $\phi_{\frac{1}{r(n)}} \circ \phi_n$, we may assume that $\phi_n : A \rightarrow B$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots \mathcal{F}_n, \dots$ be a dense sequence of the unit ball of A . Let $\{\epsilon_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < 1$.

Fix an embedding $\iota_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$. For each $u \in U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), $\iota_A(u)$ (which we mean $(\iota_A \otimes \text{id}_{M_m})(u)$) is in $U_0(M_m(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}))$. Define $L_1 : \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U(M_m(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $L_1(u) = \text{cel}(\iota_A(u))$ for $u \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U(M_m(A))$.

By Lemma 6.4, there is a homomorphism $\phi_{w,b} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$ which maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements. Put $\sigma_A := \phi_{w,b} \circ \iota_A : A \rightarrow B$. Note that

$$(6.8) \quad \text{cel}(\sigma_A(u)) \leq L_1(u) \text{ for all } u \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U(M_m(A)).$$

By Lemma 6.7, let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ be such that σ_A is F -full in B .

Let $L = L_1 + 2\pi + 1$. Let $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the map defined by $T(n, k) = n$. We will apply Theorem 5.5. Note, by Proposition 5.2, $B \in \mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$. So let $r_0 = r_1 = 0$, $s = 1$ and $R = 1$.

For the above data, and for each n , let $\delta_n > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{G}_n \subset A$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(A)$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(M_{m(n)}(\tilde{A})) \cap J^{\sim}(K_1(A))$ (in place of $\mathcal{U}$) be a finite subset (for some integer $m(n)$), $\mathcal{E}_n \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of $\mathcal{E}$) be a finite subset, and integer $K_n \geq 1$ (in place of K) as provided by Theorem 5.8 for $\epsilon_n/2$ (in place of ϵ) and for $\mathcal{F}_n$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) as well as the given L and T above). Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that ϕ_n is $\mathcal{G}_n$ - δ_n -multiplicative, and $\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil$ is well defined for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$. We may also assume that $K_n \leq K_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$, define

$$(6.9) \quad z_n^{(1)} = J_B(\Pi_{1,cu}(\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil)).$$

Then, for each $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$,

$$(6.10) \quad \lceil \phi(u) \rceil = z_n^{(0)} z_n^{(1)} \text{ and } z_n^{(0)} := \lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil J_B(\Pi_{1,cu}(\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil^*)) \in U_0(M_{m(n)}(\tilde{B})).$$

Define, for each n ,

$$(6.11) \quad \lambda'_n = \max\{\text{cel}(\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil J_B(\Pi_{1,cu}(\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil^*))) : u \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Define

$$\lambda_n = \max\{\text{cel}(\lceil \phi_n(u) \rceil \lceil \phi_{n+1}(u^*) \rceil) : u \in \mathcal{U}_n\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Choose $J_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(6.12) \quad 2(\lambda'_n + \lambda_n) + 7\pi/J_n < 1 \text{ and } J_{n+1} > J_n > K_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Choose $t_n \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$(6.13) \quad t_{n+1} < t_n, \quad (1 - t_n) > 2J_n(4(K_n + 1) + 1)t_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(6.14) \quad N_n = 2J_n(4(K_n + 1) + 1), \quad d_n = (1/N_n)(1 - t_n), \quad r_{n+1} = (t_n - t_{n+1}).$$

One can check that

$$(6.15) \quad 0 < t_n < d_n, \quad r_{n+1} < 2J_n d_n + (t_n - t_{n+1}) < (2J_n + 1)d_n,$$

$$(6.16) \quad t_n + 2J_n d_n + r_{n+1} < (4J_n + 2)d_n, \quad 8J_n(K_n + 1) > (4J_n + 2)K_n \text{ and}$$

$$(6.17) \quad (1 - t_{n+1}) = r_{n+1} + (1 - t_n) = r_{n+1} + 2J_n d_n + 8J_n(K_n + 1)d_n \\ = r_{n+1} + 2J_n d_n + (N_n - 2J_n)d_n,$$

$$(6.18) \quad N_n - 2J_n \geq 4(K_n + 1)2J_n.$$

By Lemma 6.6, choose $a_{t_n}, a_{1-t_n} \in B$ such that $a_{t_n} a_{1-t_n} = 0$ and $d_\tau(a_{t_n}) = t_n$ and $d_\tau(a_{1-t_n}) = 1 - t_n$ for all $\tau \in B$. Also let $a_{r_n} \in \text{Her}(a_{1-t_n})$ such that $d_\tau(a_{r_n}) = r_n$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$(6.19) \quad s_n : B \rightarrow B_{n,1} := \text{Her}(a_{d_n}) \subset \text{Her}(a_{1-t_n}),$$

$$(6.20) \quad s_n^r : B \rightarrow B_{n,r} := \text{Her}(a_{r_n}) \subset B, \text{ and}$$

$$(6.21) \quad s_n^0 : B \rightarrow B_{n,0} := \text{Her}(a_{t_n}) \subset \text{Her}(a_{t_{n-1}}) \subset B$$

be the isomorphisms given by part (2) of Lemma 6.6, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $KL(s_n) = KL(s_n^r) = KL(s_n^0) = KL(\text{id}_B)$ and

$$(6.22) \quad (s_n^0)^\dagger|_{J_B(K_1(\tilde{B}))} = (j_{B_{t_n}})^\dagger|_{J_B(K_1(\tilde{B}))} \text{ and}$$

$$(6.23) \quad \text{dist}(z, (j_{B_{t_n}})^\dagger((s_n^0)^\dagger(z))) \leq (1 - t_n)\text{dist}(z, \bar{1}) \text{ for all } z \in U_0(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B}).$$

Moreover, viewing $\text{Her}(a_{t_{n+1}}) \subset \text{Her}(a_{t_n})$ and denoting $j'_{B_{t_{n+1}}} : B_{t_{n+1}} \rightarrow B_{t_n}$ to be the embedding,

$$(6.24) \quad \overline{(s_n^0)^\dagger(x)(j'_{B_{t_{n+1}}})^\dagger((s_{n+1}^0)^\dagger(x^{-1}))} = \bar{1} \text{ for all } x \in J_{B_{t_n}}(K_1(\widetilde{B_{t_n}}))$$

and, as at the end of proof of 6.6,

$$(6.25) \quad \text{dist}((s_n^0)^\dagger(z), (j'_{B_{t_{n+1}}})^\dagger((s_{n+1}^0)^\dagger(z))) \leq (t_n - t_{n+1})\text{dist}(z, \bar{1}) \text{ for all } z \in U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{B})/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B})).$$

Define $\Lambda_{n,0} := s_n^0 \circ \phi_n : A \rightarrow B_{n,0}$. We may assume that

$$(6.26) \quad [\Lambda_{n,0}]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = x|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = [\Lambda_{n+1,0}]|_{\mathcal{P}_n},$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2) of Lemma 6.6, $\text{Her}(a_{1-t_n}) \cong M_{N_n}(B_{n,1})$. Moreover, define, for each n ,

$$(6.27) \quad S_n := \bigoplus_{N_n} s_n \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_{1-t_n}) = M_{N_n}(B_{n,1}),$$

$$(6.28) \quad R_n := s_n^r \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_{r_n}),$$

$$(6.29) \quad S_n^l := \bigoplus_{2J_n} s_n \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow M_{2J_n}(B_{n,1}) \subset \text{Her}(a_{1-t_n}), \text{ and}$$

$$(6.30) \quad S'_n := \bigoplus_{N_n - 2J_n} s_n \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow M_{N_n - 2J_n}(B_{n,1}).$$

Note that each $s_n \circ \sigma_A$ is F -full in $B_{n,1}$. By (6.17) and the second part of Lemma 6.4, maps $\bigoplus_{N_{n+1}} s_{n+1} \circ \phi_{w,b}$ and $(s_{n+1}^r \circ \phi_{w,b} \oplus \bigoplus_{2J_n} s_n \circ \phi_{w,b} \oplus \bigoplus_{N_n - 2J_n} s_n \circ \phi_{w,b})$ induce the same map on $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{W}) = (0, \infty]$. Since $K_0(\mathcal{W}) = 0$, they induce the same map on $\widetilde{\text{Cu}}(\mathcal{W})$. As $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ ($i = 0, 1$), by Theorem 1.11 of [62], there are unitaries $v_{n,k} \in \widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{1-t_{n+1}})}$ such that

$$(6.31) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n,k}^* \left(\bigoplus_{N_{n+1}} s_{n+1} \circ \phi_{w,b}(c) \right) v_{n,k} = (s_{n+1}^r \circ \phi_{w,b} \oplus \bigoplus_{2J_n} s_n \circ \phi_{w,b} \oplus \bigoplus_{N_n - 2J_n} s_n \circ \phi_{w,b})(c)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{W}$. By replacing $v_{n,k}$ by $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}v_{n,k}$ for some $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, we may assume that $v_{n,k} = 1_{\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{1-t_{n+1}})}} + \bar{v}_{n,k}$ for some $\bar{v}_{n,k} \in \text{Her}(a_{1-t_{n+1}})$. Set $v'_{n,k} = 1_{\bar{B}} + \bar{v}_{n,k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Define

$$\Psi_n = \Lambda_{n,0} \oplus S_n^l = \Lambda_{n,0} \oplus \bigoplus_{s_n \circ \sigma_A}^{2J_n} s_n \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow \text{Her}(B_{n,0} \oplus M_{2J_n}(B_{n,1})) \subset B_{n,0,1},$$

$$\text{where } B_{n,0,1} := \text{Her}(B_{n,0} \oplus M_{2J_n}(B_{n,1})) \oplus \text{Her}(a_{r_{n+1}}),$$

$$\Psi'_n = \Lambda_{n+1,0} \oplus \bigoplus_{s_n \circ \sigma_A}^{2J_n} s_n \circ \sigma_A \oplus s_{n+1}^r \circ \sigma_A : A \rightarrow \text{Her}(B_{n+1,0} \oplus M_{2J_n}(B_{n,1})) \oplus \text{Her}(a_{r_{n+1}}).$$

Define $\Lambda_n := \Lambda_{n,0} \oplus S_n = \Psi_n \oplus S'_n : A \rightarrow B$ and define $\Lambda'_{n+1} = \Lambda_{n+1,0} \oplus R_{n+1} \oplus S_n^l \oplus S'_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that

$$(6.32) \quad \Lambda'_{n+1} = \Psi'_n \oplus S'_n.$$

By (6.31),

$$(6.33) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (v'_{n,k})^* \Lambda_{n+1}(a) v'_{n,k} = \Lambda'_{n+1}(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Now consider maps $\Psi_n, \Psi'_n : A \rightarrow B_{n+1,0}$ (recall $B_{n+1,0} \subset B_{n,0}$, see (6.21)). Then, since $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$, by (6.26),

$$(6.34) \quad [\Psi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = [\Psi'_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = x|_{\mathcal{P}_n}.$$

Note that, viewing $\Lambda_{n,0}$ and $\Lambda_{n+1,0}$ as maps from A into $\text{Her}(a_{t_n})$ (as $t_{n+1} \leq t_n$) (computing in $U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{t_n})})/CU(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{t_n})})))$ and omitting $(j'_{B_{t_{n+1}}})^\dagger$), we have that

$$(6.35) \quad \overline{[\Lambda_{n,0}(u)][\Lambda_{n+1,0}(u^*)]} = \overline{s_n^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)])s_{n+1}^{(0)}([\phi_{n+1}(u)]^*)}$$

$$(6.36) \quad = \overline{s_n^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)])s_{n+1}^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)]^*)s_{n+1}^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)][\phi_{n+1}(u)]^*)}$$

$$(6.37) \quad = \overline{s_n^{(0)}(z_n^{(0)})s_{n+1}^{(0)}(z_n^{(0)*})s_n^{(0)}(z_n^{(1)})s_{n+1}^{(0)}(z_n^{(1)*})s_{n+1}^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)][\phi_{n+1}(u)]^*)}$$

$$(6.38) \quad = \overline{s_n^{(0)}(z_n^{(0)})s_{n+1}^{(0)}(z_n^{(0)*})} \cdot \overline{1} \cdot \overline{s_{n+1}^{(0)}([\phi_n(u)][\phi_{n+1}(u)]^*)} \quad (\text{by (6.24)})$$

(recall the notation in (6.9) and (6.10)). Recall by Theorem 4.4 of [20] (see also Theorem 15.5 and the end of 3.9 of [20] for notation),

$$(6.39) \quad \text{cel}(w) \leq 7\pi \text{ for all } w \in CU(M_m(\tilde{D}))$$

for any hereditary C^* -subalgebra D of B .

By (6.38), (6.25), and (6.39) (computing in $M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{t_n})})$),

$$(6.40) \quad \text{cel}([\Lambda_{n,0}(u)][\Lambda_{n+1,0}(u^*)]) \leq (t_n - t_{n+1})\lambda'_n + \lambda_n + 7\pi \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_n.$$

Then, by Lemma 4.2 of [20] and by (6.12) (computing in $M_{(2J_n+1)m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{t_n})})$)

$$(6.41) \quad \text{cel}([\Psi_n(u)][(\Lambda_{n+1,0} \oplus S_n^l)(u)]^*)$$

$$(6.42) \quad = \text{cel}([\Lambda_{n,0}(u)][\Lambda_{n+1,0}(u^*)] \oplus S_n^l(uu^*)) \leq (\lambda'_n + \lambda_n + 7\pi)/J_n + 2\pi.$$

It follows from the definition of R_{n+1} (computing in $M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_{r_{n+1}})})$) that

$$(6.43) \quad \text{cel}(R_{n+1}(u^*)) \leq L_1(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_n.$$

Hence

$$(6.44) \quad \text{cel}([\Psi_n(u)][\Psi'_n(u)^*]) \leq 1 + 2\pi + L_1(u) = L(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_n.$$

Recall $N_n - 2J_n \geq 4(K_n + 1)2J_n$ (see (6.18)). Using (6.44), (6.34) and the fact that $s_n \circ \sigma_A$ is F -full in $B_{n,1}$, and applying Theorem 5.5, we obtain a unitary $u'_n \in \tilde{B}$ such that

$$(6.45) \quad (u'_n)^*(\Lambda'_{n+1}(a))u'_n \approx_{\epsilon_n/2} \Lambda_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

It follows from (6.33) that there is a unitary $u_n \in \tilde{B}$ such that

$$(6.46) \quad (u_n)^*\Lambda_{n+1}(a)u_n \approx_{\epsilon_n} \Lambda_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Define $\Lambda_1''(a) = \Lambda_1(a)$, $\Lambda_n''(a) = u_1^* \cdots u_{n-1}^* \Lambda_n(a) u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$ for all $a \in A$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, by (6.46),

$$(6.47) \quad \Lambda_n''(a) \approx_{\epsilon_n} \Lambda_{n+1}''(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that, for any $m > n$,

$$(6.48) \quad \|\Lambda_n''(a) - \Lambda_m''(a)\| < \sum_{j=n}^m \epsilon_n \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in the unit ball, we conclude that, for each $a \in A$, $\{\Lambda_n''(a)\}$ is Cauchy in B . Let $\Phi(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n''(a)$ for each $a \in A$. It is clear that Φ is a positive linear map. Since

$$(6.49) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda_n''(ab) - \Lambda_n''(a)\Lambda_n''(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A,$$

$\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ is an homomorphism. Since σ_A is an embedding, Φ is injective. Finally, by (6.34), we have

$$(6.50) \quad KL(\Phi) = x.$$

□

Theorem 6.11. *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra with UCT. Suppose that A is $\mathcal{W}$ -embeddable. Let B be a separable simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension and with continuous scale which satisfies the UCT and $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$.*

Let $\kappa \in KL(A, B)$ and let $\kappa_{ku} : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$ be a homomorphism which is compatible with κ , i.e.,

$$\kappa(z) = \Pi_{1,cu} \circ \kappa_{ku}(z),$$

for all $z \in K_1(A)$. Then there exists a monomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$KL(h) = \kappa \text{ and } h^\dagger = \kappa_{ku}.$$

Proof. Note that we are fixing injective homomorphisms $J_A : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(\tilde{A})/CU(\tilde{A})$ and $J_B : K_1(B) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$ which split following short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \longrightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) &\xrightarrow{\Pi_{1,cu}}_{J_A} K_1(A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ 0 \longrightarrow U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B})) \longrightarrow U(M_\infty(\tilde{B}))/CU(M_\infty(\tilde{B})) &\xrightarrow{\Pi_{1,cu}}_{J_B} K_1(B) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

respectively (see 5.7). Recall that for a homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow B$, we let $\rho^\dagger : K_1(A) \rightarrow U(\tilde{B})/CU(\tilde{B})$ denote the induced map.

Note also that $K_i(A)$ is a countable abelian group for $i = 0, 1$. By Theorem 7.11 of [20], there is a stably projectionless simple C^* -algebra C , in the classifiable class and with continuous scale, such that $K_i(C) \cong K_i(A)$, $i = 0, 1$, and $T(C) = T(B)$. Let $\iota_i : K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(C)$ be a group isomorphism. By Theorem 6.10, let $\phi : A \rightarrow C$ be a $*$ -embedding such that $\phi_{*i} = \iota_i$ ($i = 0, 1$). Fix an injective homomorphism $J_C : K_1(C) \rightarrow U(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})$ such that $\Pi_{1,cu} \circ J_C = \text{id}_{K_1(C)}$ and consider the the group homomorphism

$$\phi_D : K_1(A) \rightarrow U_0(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})$$

induced by ϕ , i.e., $\phi_D := \phi^\dagger \circ J_A - J_C \circ \phi_{*1}$. In particular, $\phi_D(x) \in U_0(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})$.

Consider the group homomorphism $\lambda : K_1(C) \rightarrow U(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})$ which is defined by

$$(6.51) \quad \lambda(z) = J_C(z) - \phi_D \circ \iota_1^{-1}(z).$$

for all $z \in K_1(C)$.

Define $\lambda_1 : U(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow U(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})$ by

$$\lambda_1|_{U_0(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})} = \text{id}_{U_0(\tilde{C})/CU(\tilde{C})} \text{ and } \lambda_1|_{J_C(K_1(C))} = \lambda \circ J_C^{-1},$$

where $J_C^{-1} = \Pi_{1,cu}|_{J_C(K_1(C))}$. By Lemma 12.10 of [20], there is a homomorphism $j : C \rightarrow C$ such that $KK(j) = KK(\text{id}_C)$, $j_T = \text{id}_{T(C)}$ and $j^\dagger = \lambda_1$.

Let $\psi : A \rightarrow C$ be defined by

$$\psi = j \circ \phi.$$

Then, for all $x \in K_1(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi^\dagger(x) &= ((j \circ \phi)^\dagger \circ J_A)(x) = j^\dagger \circ \phi^\dagger \circ J_A(x) \\
 &= j^\dagger(\phi_D(x) + J_C \circ \phi_{*1}(x)) = \lambda_1(\phi_D(x) + J_C \circ \phi_{*1}(x)) \\
 &= \phi_D(x) + \lambda \circ \phi_{*1}(x) \\
 &= \phi_D(x) + J_C \circ \phi_{*1}(x) - \phi_D \circ \iota_1^{-1}(\phi_{*1}(x)) \\
 (6.52) \quad &= \phi_D(x) + J_C \circ \phi_{*1}(x) - \phi_D(x) = J_C \circ \iota_1(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the UCT, since ι_i is an isomorphism ($i = 0, 1$), it gives a KK equivalence and hence, there is a $\zeta \in KK(C, A)$ such that

$$\zeta \times KK(\psi) = KK(\text{id}_C).$$

Let $\bar{\zeta}$ be the element in $KL(C, A)$ induced by ζ . By Lemma 12.10 of [20], there is a homomorphism $h_1 : C \rightarrow B$ such that $KL(h_1) = \kappa \circ \bar{\zeta}$, $(h_1)_T^{-1}$ is the identification of $T(C)$ and $T(B)$ and

$$h_1^\dagger|_{J_C(K_1(C))} = \kappa_{ku} \circ \iota_1^{-1} \circ J_C^{-1}.$$

It follows from (6.52) that if we define $h := h_1 \circ \psi : A \rightarrow B$, then

$$h^\dagger = h_1^\dagger \circ \psi^\dagger \circ J_A = (\kappa_{ku} \circ \iota_1^{-1} \circ J_C^{-1}) \circ \psi^\dagger = (\kappa_{ku} \circ \iota_1^{-1} \circ J_C^{-1}) \circ J_C \circ \iota_1 = \kappa_{ku}.$$

Then one verifies that the map h satisfies the requirements. □

7. QUASIDISAGONAL EXTENSIONS BY $\mathcal{W}$

The following proposition is an easy fact and known to experts. We include a proof for convenience.

Proposition 7.1. *Let B be a σ -unital C^* -algebra and let $p \in M(B) \setminus B$ be a projection. Suppose that $pBp = \text{Her}(a)$ for some $a \in B_+$.*

Then $a^{1/n} \rightarrow p$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) in the strict topology (of B not just of $\text{Her}(a)$). Moreover, p is the open projection corresponding to $\text{Her}(a)$.

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity of B . Then $pe_n p$ increasingly converges to p in the strictly topology. Fix any $x \in B_+$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that, for all $n \geq N$,

$$(7.1) \quad \|x(p - pe_n p)\| < \epsilon/2$$

Note that $pe_n p \in \text{Her}(a)$. Therefore, there exists $N_n \geq 1$ such that, for all $m \geq N_n$,

$$(7.2) \quad \|a^{1/2m} pe_n p a^{1/2m} - pe_n p\| < \epsilon/2(\|x\|^2 + 1).$$

Note that

$$(7.3) \quad a^{1/2m} pe_n p a^{1/2m} = p a^{1/2m} e_n a^{1/m} p.$$

It follows that

$$(7.4) \quad (p - p a^{1/2m} e_n a^{1/m} p) \approx_{\epsilon/2(\|x\|^2 + 1)} p - pe_n p.$$

Therefore,

$$(7.5) \quad \|x(p - p a^{1/m} p)\|^2 \leq \|x(p - p a^{1/2m} e_n a^{1/2m} p)x\| \approx_{\epsilon/2} \|x(p - pe_n p)x\| < \epsilon/2.$$

In other words, as $p a^{1/m} p = a^{1/m}$,

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x(p - a^{1/m})\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in B.$$

Similarly,

$$(7.7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(p - a^{1/m})x\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in B.$$

So $a^{1/n} \rightarrow p$ in the strict topology.

On the other hand, $a^{1/m}$ also converges to the open projection p' in the strict topology in $\text{Her}(a)$. It follows that $p' = p$. □

The next lemma should also be known.

Lemma 7.2. *Let B be a separable simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale such that B and $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ have almost stable rank one. Suppose that $Cu(B) = V(B) \sqcup \text{LAff}_+(T(B))$.*

Then, if $p, q \in M_m(M(B)) \setminus M_m(B)$ are two projections (for any integer $m \geq 1$) such that $\tau(p) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$, then $p \approx q$ in $M(B)$. Moreover,

$$(7.8) \quad K_0(M(B)) \cong \text{Aff}(T(B)) \text{ and } K_0(M(B))_+ \cong \text{Aff}_+(T(B)).$$

In fact,

$$(7.9) \quad V(M(B)) = V(B) \sqcup \text{Aff}_+(T(B)).$$

Proof. Let $\{e_{i,j}\}$ be a system of matrix units for $\mathcal{K}$. In what follows we will identify B with $e_{1,1}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})e_{1,1}$. We also note that, in this way, we identify $M(B)$ with $e_{1,1}M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})e_{1,1}$. In what follows in this proof, we also identify $1_m := \sum_{i=1}^m e_{i,i}$ with the unit of $M_m(M(B))$. Moreover, for each $\tau \in T(B)$, we will also use τ for the extension of τ on $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as well as on $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Set $C = B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let $p, q \in M(C) \setminus C$ be two projections such that $\tau(p) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Let $a \in pCp$ and $b \in qCq$ be strictly positive elements of pCp and qCq , respectively. Note that neither a nor b are projections, as $p, q \notin C$. Then the fact $\tau(p) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$ implies that

$$(7.10) \quad d_\tau(a) = d_\tau(b) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B).$$

It follows that $a \sim b$ in $Cu(B)$. Since $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ has almost stable rank one, there exists a partial isometry $v \in C^{**}$ such that $c := vav^*$ is a strictly positive element of $\text{Her}(b)$,

$$(7.11) \quad v^*va = av^*v = a, \text{ and } va, v^*b \in C.$$

(See Proposition 3.3 of [63] and the paragraph above it). By Proposition 7.1,

$$(7.12) \quad va^{1/m} \rightarrow vp \text{ in the strict topology.}$$

Therefore $v = vp \in M(C)$. Also, by Proposition 7.1, $va^{1/m}v^* = c^{1/m} \rightarrow q$ in the strict topology. It follows $vpv^* = q$.

From what has been just proved, we conclude that, if $p, q \in M_m(M(B)) \setminus M_m(B)$ (for some integer $m \geq 1$) are two projections and $\tau(p) = \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$, then p and q are equivalent in $M_m(M(B))$.

Let $p \in M_m(M(B))$ be a projection. Then $\tau(p)$ may be viewed as a function in $\text{LAff}_+(T(B))$. However, $1_m - p \in M_m(M(B))$ is also a projection. Therefore $\tau(1_m - p) \in \text{LAff}_+(T(B))$ ($\tau \in T(B)$). It follows that $\tau(p)$ is an affine function in $\text{Aff}_+(T(B))$. This implies that the map

$$(7.13) \quad \rho : K_0(M(B)) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(B))$$

is an order preserving homomorphism, and we just proved that the map ρ is injective.

We now show that ρ is surjective. By the assumption, for any $f \in \text{Aff}_+(T(B)) \setminus \{0\}$, there is a nonzero positive element $a \in B$ such that $d_\tau(a) = f(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. It follows from Kasparov's absorption theorem (Theorem 2 of [30]) that there is a projection $p_1 \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $p_1(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \overline{a(B \otimes \mathcal{K})}$, where the isomorphism is unitary isomorphism of Hilbert $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ -modules.

By (7.1), replacing a with a Cuntz equivalent positive element if necessary, we may assume that $a^{1/m}$ converges to p_1 in the strict topology on $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. It follows that $\tau(p_1) = d_\tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$.

There exists an integer m such that $m \geq f(\tau) + 1$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Let $g = m - f$. Then $g \in \text{Aff}_+(T(B)) \setminus \{0\}$. From what has just been proved, we obtain a projection $q \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $\tau(q) = g$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_1 \perp q$. Then $p_1 + q = e$ is a projection in $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $\tau(e) = \tau(1_m)$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$. From the first part of the proof above, we conclude that there is $v \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$(7.14) \quad v^*v = e \text{ and } vv^* = 1_m.$$

This implies that $p := vp_1v^* \leq 1_m$. In other words, $p \in M_m(M(B))$ (see the first part of the paragraph of this proof). Note that $\tau(p) = f$. This proves that the map ρ is surjective. The rest of the proposition also follow. $\square$

Remark 7.3. As in the beginning of the proof of Theorem of 6.6, if A is a separable simple finite C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, has continuous scale and every 2-quasi-trace of A is a trace, then $Cu(B) = V(B) \sqcup \text{LAff}_+(T(B))$. Later on, we often assume that B is a separable simple finite C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and has continuous scale.

Theorem 7.4. *Let B be a σ -unital, stably projectionless, finite, simple, $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, amenable C^* -algebra with unique tracial state τ_B .*

Then $K_0(M(B)) = \mathbb{R}$, $K_1(M(B)) = \{0\}$, $K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \mathbb{R} \oplus K_1(B)$, and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \ker \rho_B = K_0(B)$. In particular, $K_0(M(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}$, $K_1(M(\mathcal{W})) = \{0\}$, $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \{0\}$.

*Moreover, if $p, q \in M_m(M(B)) \setminus M_m(B)$ (for some integer $m \geq 1$) and $\tau_B(p) = \tau_B(q)$, then there exists $v \in M(B)$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$.*

Proof. By [51], $K_1(M(B)) = \{0\}$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $K_0(M(B)) = \mathbb{R}$. Thus the six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \rightarrow & K_0(M(B)) & \rightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\mathcal{C}(B)) & \leftarrow & K_1(M(B)) & \leftarrow & K_1(B) \end{array}$$

becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\mathcal{C}(B)) & \leftarrow & 0 & \leftarrow & K_1(B) \end{array}$$

Note that the map from $K_0(B)$ into $\mathbb{R} = K_0(M(B))$ is induced by the map $\rho_B : K_0(B) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(B)) = \mathbb{R}$. However, by Corollary A7 of [16], since B has a unique tracial state, $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$. In other words, $\rho_B(K_0(B)) = \{0\}$.

It follows from the commutative diagram that

$$K_1(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \ker \rho_B \text{ and}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}$ is divisible, we may write $K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \mathbb{R} \oplus K_1(B)$. Note that B is stably projectionless. Therefore the last statement follows from 7.2 (see also Remark 7.3). $\square$

Definition 7.5. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra, and let B be a nonunital and σ -unital C^* -algebra.

A trivial extension $\phi : A \rightarrow M(B)$ is said to be *diagonal* if ϕ is quasidiagonal as in Definition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, with the additional property that the maps ϕ_n in Proposition 4.5 can be taken to be homomorphisms.

In the above setting, we often write $\phi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n$ (converges in the strict topology).

Definition 7.6. $\mathcal{T}_d$ extensions Let B be a separable simple nonunital C^* -algebra, with continuous scale and let C be a separable C^* -algebra. A monomorphism $\sigma : C \rightarrow M(B)$ is called a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension with model σ_0 if $\pi \circ \sigma$ is nonunital and if σ is a diagonal essential extension of the form

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \phi_n \circ \sigma_0 = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\overbrace{\phi_n \circ \sigma_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_n \circ \sigma_0}^n \right).$$

Here, $\sigma_0 : C \rightarrow B$ is a fixed injective $*$ -homomorphism such that $\sigma_0(e_C)$ is a strictly positive element of B , where $e_C \in C$ is a strictly positive element of C .

More precisely, this means the following:

- (1) There exists a system $\{b_n\}$ of quasidiagonal units for B .
- (2) There exists a nonzero positive element $b_{n,1} \in \overline{b_n B b_n}$ such that

$$\text{Her}(b_{n,1}) \otimes M_n \cong M_n(\overline{b_{n,1} B b_{n,1}}) = \overline{b_n B b_n}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, we may write

$$(7.15) \quad b_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n,i},$$

where $b_{n,i} := b_{n,1} \otimes e_{i,i}$, and $\{e_{i,i}\} \subset M_n$ is a system of matrix units

- (3) $\phi_n : B \rightarrow \overline{b_{n,1} B b_{n,1}}$ is an isomorphism such that $\phi_n \circ \sigma_0(e_C) = b_{n,1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $\left(\overbrace{\phi_n \circ \sigma_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_n \circ \sigma_0}^n \right) : C \rightarrow M_n(\text{Her}(b_{n,1})) \subset \text{Her}(b_n)$ is the diagonal map.

Remark 7.7. With notation as in Definition 7.6, let us suppose that $F : C_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ is a map such that σ_0 is F -full. (F exists by Lemma 6.7). Then each $\phi_n \circ \sigma_0$ is also F -full.

Note also that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^m \bigoplus^n \phi_n \circ \sigma_0(c)$ converges strictly to $\sigma(c)$ for all $c \in C$ (as $m \rightarrow \infty$). Note that $\sigma = \phi \circ \sigma_0$.

Finally, note that our definition of $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension requires that $\pi \circ \sigma$ be a nonunital essential extension.

Remark 7.8. With notation as in Definition 7.6, note that if $KK(\sigma_0) = 0$ then, since $\sigma = \psi \circ \sigma_0$ and ϕ is a $*$ -homomorphism, $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$.

Also, when C is amenable and satisfies the UCT, and when B is stably projectionless, $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, and has unique tracial state, since $K_*(M(B)) \cong (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is divisible, a sufficient condition for the above is that

$$K_0(\sigma_0) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.9. Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable. Then there exists a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$. Moreover,

$$KK(\tau) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix a $*$ -embedding $\sigma_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, which maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements. Denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ the unique tracial state of $\mathcal{W}$. Fix a system of quasidiagonal units $\{b_k\}$ as in 4.1. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$(7.16) \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(b_k) < \frac{1}{n} d_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(b_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Let $t_n = \frac{1}{n+1} d_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(b_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an element $a_n \in \text{Her}(b_n)$ with $d_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(a_n) \leq t_n$ such that $M_n(\text{Her}(a_n)) \subseteq \text{Her}(b_n)$ (by Theorem 6.6 of [17] and by strict comparison). There is, for each n , an isomorphism $\phi_n : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_n)$. Define $\sigma : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ by $\sigma(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigoplus^n \phi_n \circ \sigma_A)(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Note that, since $\{b_n\}$ is a system of quasidiagonal units, the sum above converges in the strict topology for each $a \in A$. One then checks, from Definition 7.6, that $\pi \circ \sigma$ is a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension with model σ_A .

That $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$ follows from Remark 7.8. $\square$

Proposition 7.10. Let C a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT, and let $\phi : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be a homomorphism. Then $\phi_{*0}(\ker \rho_{f,C}) = \{0\}$ and $\phi_{*1} = 0$.

If X is a connected and locally connected compact metric space, and $C := C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ for some $x_0 \in X$, then $KK(\phi) = 0$ and $KK(\pi \circ \phi) = 0$.

Proof. Recall that $K_0(M(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}$ and $K_1(M(\mathcal{W})) = \{0\}$. The first part follows from the fact that, if $p, q \in M_n(M(\mathcal{W}))$ (for some integer n) are two projections and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}(p) = \tau_{\mathcal{W}}(q)$, then there exists $v \in M_n(M(\mathcal{W}))$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. (See Theorem 7.4.)

In case that $C = C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$, since X is connected, $K_0(C) = \ker \rho_C$. It follows that $\phi_{*i} = 0$, if $i = 0, 1$. Since $K_0(M(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}$ is divisible, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_1(C), K_0(\mathbb{R})) = \{0\}$. By the UCT, $KK(\phi) = 0$. Then $KK(\pi \circ \phi) = 0$ follows. $\square$

Denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the class of simple C^* -algebras defined in Definition of 8.1 of [15]. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, for any integer $k \geq 1$, $M_k(A) \in \mathcal{D}$ (see 8.5 of [15]). Moreover, A is stably projectionless (see 9.3 of [15]). We note that $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{D}$ (see 9.6 of [15]).

Let us quote the following lemma for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 7.11 (Theorem 4.4 of [20]). Let A be a separable simple C^* -algebra in $\mathcal{D}$ and let $u \in CU(M_m(\tilde{A}))$. Then $u \in U_0(\tilde{A})$ and $\text{cel}(u) \leq 7\pi$.

Proof. Note, as mentioned above, that $M_m(A) \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $\pi : M_m(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the quotient map. Let $w = \pi(u)$ be the scalar unitary. Denote by $W \in M_m(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{\tilde{A}})$ the same scalar matrix. Then $W^*u \in \widetilde{M_m(A)}$. By Theorem 4.4 of [20], $W^*u \in U_0(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ and $\text{cel}(W^*u) \leq 6\pi$. Since $W \in M_m(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{\tilde{A}})$, we conclude that $u \in U_0(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ and $\text{cel}(u) \leq 7\pi$. $\square$

Lemma 7.12. *Let C be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Let $\sigma : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension, and let $\psi : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be a diagonal c.p.c. map of the form*

$$\psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n$$

as in Proposition 4.5 such that $\pi \circ \psi$ is a nonunital essential extension.

Then, there is a diagonal extension $h : C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that

$$\pi \circ \sigma \oplus \pi \circ \psi \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus h.$$

Proof. Fix a strictly positive element $e_C \in C$ with $\|e_C\| = 1$. By working in $M_2(M(\mathcal{W}))$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{ran}(\psi) \perp \text{ran}(\sigma)$ (see Proposition 3.5).

Since σ is a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension, using a variation on the notation of Definition 7.6, we write

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n+1} \phi_n \circ \sigma_0.$$

We also write $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{n+1} \phi_n \circ \sigma_0 = \sigma_{n,0} \oplus \sigma_{n,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_{n,n}$ and $\sigma = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \sigma_{n,j}$. Continuing to follow Definition 7.6 let

$$b_{n,j} := \sigma_{n,j}(e_C)$$

and let b_n be as in Definition 7.6, for all n, j .

Also, let $\{a_n\}$ be the system of quasidiagonal units for $\mathcal{W}$ from Proposition 4.5 that corresponds to $\{\psi_n\}$. Recall (see 4.5) that

$$(7.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_n(a)\psi_n(b) - \psi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in C.$$

Since σ is a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension, as in Remark 7.7, there exists a map $F : C_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ such that for all n, j , $\sigma_{n,j} : C \rightarrow \overline{b_{n,j}\mathcal{W}b_{n,j}}$ is F -full.

Let $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \cdots$ be a sequence of finite subsets of the unit ball of C which is dense in the unit ball of C .

We will apply Theorem 5.8. Note that, by Proposition 5.2, $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$, with T as in Proposition 5.2. Let $L := 7\pi + 1$. As C is given, we fix maps J, Π_{cu}^- and $J^\sim$ as in 5.7.

For each n , let $\delta_n > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subset C$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_{n+1} \subset J^\sim(K_1(C))$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{E}_n \subset C_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, and K_n be an integer associated with $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\epsilon_n/4$ (as well as F and L above) as provided by Theorem 5.8 (for C^* -algebras in $\mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$).

We may assume that $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$, $K_n < K_{n+1}$, and $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{C}))$ for all n . Without loss of generality, we may assume that each ψ_n is $\mathcal{G}_n$ - δ_n -multiplicative and $[\psi_n(u)]$ is well defined for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$.

Moreover, without loss of generality, we may also assume (see Theorem 14.5 of [45]) that, for any n , there is a group homomorphism

$$\lambda_n : G(\Pi_1(\mathcal{U}_n)) \rightarrow U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)}))/CU(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)})) \cong \text{Aff}(T(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}))/\mathbb{Z}$$

such that

$$(7.18) \quad \text{dist}(\lambda_n(x), \Pi_{cu}([\psi_n(J^\sim(x))])) < \frac{1}{16\pi(n+1)} \text{ for all } x \in \Pi_1(\mathcal{U}),$$

where $G(\Pi_1(\mathcal{U}))$ is the subgroup generated by the finite subset $\Pi_1(\mathcal{U})$. Since $\text{Aff}(T(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)}))/\mathbb{Z}$ is divisible, there is a homomorphism $\bar{\lambda}_n : K_1(C) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}))/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{\lambda}_n$ extends λ_n .

It follows from Theorem 6.11 that, for each n , there is a monomorphism $h_n : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_n)$ such that $KL(h_n) = KL(\psi_n) = 0$ and

$$(7.19) \quad h_n^\dagger = \bar{\lambda}_n$$

Define $H : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ by $H = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$. Note, by 4.1, the sum converges strictly and H gives a diagonal extension.

Since $\mathcal{W}$ is KK contractible, we may assume that

$$(7.20) \quad [\sum_{k=n}^m h_k]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = [\sum_{k=n}^m \psi_k]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = 0 \text{ for all } m \geq n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Throwing away finitely many terms and relabelling if necessary, we may assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{\tau_W}(a_n) < d_{\tau_W}(b_{K_1,0}).$$

Let $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of $\mathbb{Z}^+$ with $n_1 = 1$ and $n_k + 2 < n_{k+1}$ for all k such that

$$\sum_{l=n_k}^{\infty} d_{\tau_W}(a_l) < d_{\tau_W}(b_{K_k,0}).$$

By (7.19) and (7.18), for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k}$, there is $v_l \in CU(M_{m(l)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_l)}))$ such that

$$(7.21) \quad h_l(u)[\psi_l(u)]^* \approx_{1/16\pi(n+1)} v_l.$$

It follows from Lemma 7.11 that, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k}$,

$$(7.22) \quad \text{cel}((\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l)(u)[(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l)(u)]^*) \leq 7\pi + 1,$$

where the length is computed in $M_{m(n_k)}(\text{Her}(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l))$.

Since $\mathcal{W}$ has stable rank one, there is a unitary $U'_k \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ such that

$$(7.23) \quad (U'_k)^* \left(\left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l \right) \mathcal{W} \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l \right) \right) U'_k \subseteq \overline{b_{K_k,0} \mathcal{W} b_{K_k,0}}.$$

For each k , consider two maps

$$\text{Ad } U'_k \circ (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l), \quad \text{Ad } U'_k \circ (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l) : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_{K_k,0}) \cong \mathcal{W}.$$

Recall that ψ_n is $\mathcal{G}_n$ - δ_n -multiplicative and $\phi_n \circ \sigma_0$ is F -full for all n . Also keeping in mind of (7.22) and (7.20) and applying Theorem 5.8, for all k , there is a unitary $u'_k \in M_{K_k+1}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(b_{K_k,0})})$ such that

$$(7.24) \quad u'_k (U'_k)^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) U'_k + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) (u_k)^* \approx_{\epsilon_n/4} (U'_k)^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) U'_k + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

For each k , there are $e_k \in \text{Her}(b_{K_k,0})_+$ and $e'_k \in U'_k{}^* \text{Her}(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l)_+ U'_k$ with $\|e_k\| \leq 1$ and $\|e'_k\| \leq 1$ such that, for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$,

$$(7.25) \quad e'_k U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) U'_k e'_k \approx_{\epsilon_n/16} U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) U'_k,$$

$$(7.26) \quad e'_k U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) U'_k e'_k \approx_{\epsilon_n/16} U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) U'_k,$$

$$(7.27) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} e_k \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) e_k \approx_{\epsilon_n/16} \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c)$$

where in (7.27), we identify $M_{K_k}(\text{Her}(b_{K_k,0}))$ with $\text{Her}(\sum_{l=1}^{K_k} b_{K,l})$.

Set $X_k = U'_k e'_k + \text{diag}(\overbrace{e_k, e_k, \dots, e_k}^{K_k})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Note $e'_k U'_k{}^* d = 0$ for all $d \in \text{ran}(\sigma)$. Then, for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$, by (7.25),

$$(7.28) \quad X_k \left(U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) U'_k + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right) X_k^* \approx_{\epsilon_n/16} \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \text{ and, by (7.26),}$$

$$(7.29) \quad X_k^* \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right) X_k \approx_{\epsilon_n/16} U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) U'_k + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c).$$

For all k , put $u_k = X_k u'_k X_k^*$. Note $u_k \in \text{Her} \left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_j + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} b_{K_k,l} \right)$. Then we have, by (7.29), (7.24), and (7.28), for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$,

$$(7.30) \quad u_k \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right) u_k^* \approx_{\epsilon_n/2} \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c).$$

Let

$$Y =_{df} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j \in M(\mathcal{W}),$$

where the sum converges strictly. Note that $\|Y\| \leq 1$.

Let $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(c)_m &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(u_k \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right) u_k^* - \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right), \\ m &= 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and} \\ \xi(c) &= Y(H(c) \oplus \sigma(c))Y^* - \psi(c) \oplus \sigma(c). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.30) that (since $\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$)

$$(7.31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(c)_n - \xi(c)\| = 0.$$

Since $\xi(c)_n \in \mathcal{W}$, one concludes that $\xi(c) \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, for any $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$,

$$(7.32) \quad \pi(Y)(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y)^* = \pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c).$$

By a similar argument, for any $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$,

$$(7.33) \quad \pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) = \pi(Y)^*(\pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ for all k and $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_k$ is dense in the unit ball of C , (7.32) and (7.33) implies that

$$(7.34) \quad \pi(Y)(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y)^* = \pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) \text{ for all } c \in C$$

and

$$(7.35) \quad \pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) = \pi(Y)^*(\pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Set $d := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)$. Then $Y \in \text{Her}(d)$. Since $\text{Her}(d)^\perp \neq \{0\}$, $\pi(Y)^*\pi(Y)$ and $\pi(Y)\pi(Y)^*$ are each not invertible. Since $C(\mathcal{W})$ is purely infinite, it has weak cancellation. Hence, by Corollary 1.10 of [36], $\pi(Y) = u(\pi(Y)^*\pi(Y))^{1/2}$, where $u \in U(C(\mathcal{W}))$. By (7.34) and (7.35),

$$(7.36) \quad \pi(Y)^*\pi(Y)(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y)^*\pi(Y) = \pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Hence

$$(7.37) \quad (\pi(Y)^*\pi(Y))^{1/2}(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))(\pi(Y)^*\pi(Y))^{1/2} = \pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Therefore, by (7.37) and (7.34),

$$\begin{aligned} u(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))u^* &= \pi(Y)(\pi \circ H(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c))\pi(Y)^* \\ &= \pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) \text{ for all } c \in C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_1(C(\mathcal{W})) = 0$ and since $C(\mathcal{W})$ is simple purely infinite, u can be lifted to a unitary in $M(\mathcal{W})$. $\square$

Corollary 7.13. *In Lemma 7.12, if $\pi \circ \psi$ is in fact a diagonal extension, i.e., $\psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n$, where each ψ_n is a homomorphism, and if $\psi_n^\dagger = 0$, then*

$$\pi \circ \psi \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Proof. Note that $KL(\psi_n) = 0$ for all n . Since $\psi_n^\dagger = 0$, $\psi_n(u) \in CU(M_{m(n)}(\mathcal{W}))$ (instead of (7.21)) for all $u \in J^\sim(K_1(A)) \cap U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)}))$. Therefore, in the proof of Lemma 7.12, (7.22) becomes,

$$(7.38) \quad \text{cel} \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(u) \right) \leq 7\pi + 1 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k}.$$

Therefore, the proof of Lemma 7.12 works when we take $h_n = 0$ for all n . $\square$

Lemma 7.14. *Let C be as in Lemma 7.12. Fix a sequence of homomorphisms*

$$\lambda_n \in \text{Hom}(K_1(C), U(\mathcal{W})/CU(\mathcal{W})).$$

Then, for every system $\{b_n\}$ of quasidiagonal units for $\mathcal{W}$, there is a diagonal homomorphism $H := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty h_n : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$, where $h_n : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_n)$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, for each n , $h_n^\dagger = \lambda_m$ for some m , and, for each k , there are infinitely many h_n 's such that $h_n^\dagger = \lambda_k$.

Proof. Let $\{b_n\}$ be a system of quasidiagonal units for $\mathcal{W}$. Write $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$, where each S_n is a countably infinite set, and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$, if $i \neq j$. For each $j \in S_n$, choose a homomorphism $h_j : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_j)$ such that $h_j^\dagger = \lambda_n$ (see Theorems 6.10 and 6.11). Then it is easy to check that $H := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} h_k$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 7.15. *Let C be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Let $\sigma : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension, and let $\psi : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be a diagonal c.p.c. map with the form*

$$\psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n$$

as in Proposition 4.5, for which $\pi \circ \psi$ is nonunital essential extension. Then,

$$\pi \circ \sigma \oplus \pi \circ \psi \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

As a consequence,

$$KK(\pi \circ \psi) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.12, we may assume that ψ is a (nonunital) diagonal extension. So suppose that $\psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n : C \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ is a diagonal homomorphism, where each $\psi_n : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_n)$ is a homomorphism, and where $\{a_n\}$ is a system of quasidiagonal units for $\mathcal{W}$.

Denote $\lambda_{2n} = \psi_n^\dagger$ and $\lambda_{2n-1} = -\lambda_{2n}$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $H : C \rightarrow M(B)$ be as in Lemma 7.14, associated with the present $\{\lambda_n\}$. It is easy to see that there is a permutation $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$h_{\gamma(2n-1)}^\dagger = -h_{\gamma(2n)}^\dagger, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define $b_k = a_{\gamma(2k-1)} + a_{\gamma(2k)}$, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{b_k\}$ is also a system of quasidiagonal units. Let $h_{n,0} : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_{\gamma(2n-1)} + a_{\gamma(2n)})$ be defined by $h_{n,0}(c) = h_{\gamma(2n-1)}(c) + h_{\gamma(2n)}(c)$ for all $c \in C$ and for all n . Now define $H_0 : C \rightarrow M(B)$ by $H_0(c) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty h_{n,0}$. Then H_0 is unitarily equivalent to H (see Lemma 4.2). However, $h_{n,0}^\dagger = 0$, for all n . It follows from Corollary 7.13 that

$$\pi \circ H_0 \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Therefore

$$(7.39) \quad \pi \circ H \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Then $\psi \oplus H$ is another diagonal extension and by the same argument as that for H ,

$$(7.40) \quad \pi \circ \psi \oplus \pi \circ H \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Hence, by (7.39),

$$(7.41) \quad \pi \circ \psi \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma,$$

Since $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$, $KK(\pi \circ \psi) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 7.16. *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Suppose that $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential extension with $KK(\tau) = 0$. Then τ is a quasidiagonal extension.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension. Note that $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$. Consider the unitizations $\widetilde{\pi \circ \sigma}, \widetilde{\tau} : \widetilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$. Then $KK(\widetilde{\pi \circ \sigma}) = KK(\widetilde{\tau})$. By Theorem 2.5 of [40], there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^* \pi \circ \sigma(a) u_n = \tau(a)$ for all $a \in A$. By Theorem 4.6, since $\mathcal{W}$ has continuous scale and τ is nonunital, τ is quasidiagonal. $\square$

Lemma 7.17. *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Suppose that $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is a quasidiagonal essential extension. Then, for any $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension $\sigma : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$,*

$$(7.42) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.8,

$$(7.43) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau_0$$

for some essential extension $\tau_0 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$. Since $KK(\tau) = 0$ and $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$, $KK(\tau_0) = 0$. By Lemma 7.16, τ_0 is quasidiagonal. Then, by Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 7.12,

$$(7.44) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau_0 \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

$\square$

We have the following K theory characterization of quasidiagonality (see the paragraph before Theorem 4.7 for some brief history and references):

Proposition 7.18. *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT, and let $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be an essential extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $KK(\tau) = 0$.
- (2) τ is quasidiagonal.
- (3) τ is unitarily equivalent to an essential trivial diagonal extension.
- (4) τ is unitarily equivalent to every essential trivial diagonal extension.
- (5) τ is in the class of zero of $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Let us recall that A is nonunital as it is a C^* -subalgebra of a stably projectionless C^* -algebra $\mathcal{W}$. It follows from Theorem 3.7 that $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$ is a group.

That (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) follows from Lemmas 7.16 and 7.15.

That (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) follows immediately from Lemma 7.16 which says that every essential quasidiagonal extension (including every essential trivial diagonal extension) is unitarily equivalent to every $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are immediate.

That (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) follows from the facts that $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$ is a group and if ρ is a trivial diagonal extension then so is $\rho \oplus \rho$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (2): From the (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5), we know that the neutral element of $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$ is the class of an essential trivial diagonal extension. But then, any essential extension which is unitarily equivalent to a trivial diagonal extension is a trivial diagonal extension. $\square$

8. CLASSIFICATION OF EXTENSIONS BY $\mathcal{W}$

Lemma 8.1. *Let B be a non-unital separable simple C^* -algebra with a unique tracial state t_B such that $M_n(B)$ has almost stable rank one for all n . Suppose that $\mathrm{Cu}(B) = V(B) \sqcup \mathrm{LAff}_+(T(B)) \cong V(B) \sqcup (0, \infty)$.*

Let A be a separable exact C^ -algebra with a faithful tracial state which satisfies the UCT.*

Then, for any $t \in T_f(A)$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, there is an embedding $\phi_A : A \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $t_B \circ \phi_A = rt$ and $\pi \circ \phi_A$ is injective.

Proof. Fix $t \in T_f(A)$. By Theorem A of [66], let D be a unital simple AF-algebra with unique tracial state τ_D and let $\psi : A \rightarrow D$ be a $*$ -embedding such that $t = \tau_D \circ \psi$.

By Lemma 7.2,

$$K_0(M(B)) \cong \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } V(M(B)) \cong V(B) \sqcup (0, \infty).$$

Let $\lambda : K_0(D) \rightarrow K_0(M(B))$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$\lambda([p]) = rt_D([p]) \text{ for all } p \in \mathrm{Proj}(D \otimes \mathcal{K}).$$

Note this gives an ordered semigroup homomorphism $\lambda_V : V(D) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \cup \{0\} \subset V(M(B))$. Note also here $(0, \infty) \cap V(B) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.2 of [58], there is a homomorphism $\phi_0 : D \rightarrow M(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $V(\phi_0) = \lambda_V$. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\phi_0(D) \subset M_m(M(B))$ for integer $m \geq 1$. One also has $[\phi_0(1_D)] = r \leq 1$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that there is a unitary $U \in M_m(M(B))$ such that

$$U^* \phi_0(1_D) U \leq 1_{M(B)}.$$

Define $\phi(d) = U^* \phi_0(d) U$ for all $d \in D$. Since D is simple, ϕ is an embedding. Then set

$$\phi_A := \phi \circ \psi.$$

One checks that the embedding ϕ_A meets the requirement. □

Remark 8.2. Recall $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f}$ is defined in 2.6. Several comments about it are in order. Firstly, under current assumptions, $K_0(A)_+$ might be zero; hence, one may not have order preserving homomorphisms in $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$. Secondly, there could still be a pairing $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$ even in the case that $K_0(A)_+ = \{0\}$. Therefore an element in $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$ need not be induced by a homomorphism from A (to $M(B)$). Thirdly, there is a possibility that, given two tracial states $t_1, t_2 \in T_f(A)$, one might have $r_A(t_1) = r_A(t_2)$. In other words, $r_A(t_1)$ and $r_A(t_2)$ may give the same element in $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f}$ and, of course, they will not be distinguished.

We are ready to present the following classification of essential extensions of $\mathcal{W}$:

Theorem 8.3. *Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT.*

- (1) *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ are two essential extensions, then $\tau_1 \sim^u \tau_2$ if and only if $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau_2)$.*
- (2) *The map*

$$(8.1) \quad \Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \cong \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$$

defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$ is a group isomorphism.

- (3) *An essential extension τ is trivial and diagonal if and only if $KK(\tau) = 0$, and all trivial and diagonal extensions are unitarily equivalent. In fact, the essential trivial diagonal extensions induce the neutral element of $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$.*
- (4) *An essential extension τ is trivial if and only if there exist $t \in T_f(A)$ and $r \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$\tau_{*0}(x) = r \cdot r_A(t)(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_0(A).$$

- (5) *Moreover, let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of unitary equivalence classes of essential trivial extensions of A by $\mathcal{W}$. Then*

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{T}) = \{r \cdot h : r \in (0, 1] \text{ and } h \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}\} \text{ (see Definition 2.6)}$$

- (6) *All quasidiagonal essential extensions are trivial and are unitarily equivalent.*
- (7) *In the case $\ker \rho_{f,A} = K_0(A)$, all trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent. Moreover, an essential extension τ is trivial if and only if $KK(\tau) = \{0\}$.*
- (8) *In the case $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$, there are essential trivial extensions of $\mathcal{W}$ by A which are not quasidiagonal, and not all essential trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent (see (5) above).*

Proof. Statements (3) and (6) follow from Proposition 7.18.

(2): That $KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$ follows from the UCT, since $K_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$ is divisible and $K_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. Note that $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$ is an abelian group. Recall, by Theorem 3.7, that $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$ is also an abelian group. It is obvious that Λ is a semigroup homomorphism. By 7.18, Λ sends zero to zero, therefore Λ is a group homomorphism.

We next show that Λ is surjective. Let $x \in KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$ be given. Note that $K_0(\tilde{A}) = K_0(A) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Define $\eta \in \text{Hom}(K_0(\tilde{A}), \mathbb{R})$ by $\eta|_{K_0(A)} = x$ and $\eta([1_{\tilde{A}}]) = [1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}]$. Then η gives an element in $KL(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$. It follows from Corollary 8.5 of [40] that there is a homomorphism $\tau_1 : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $KK(\tau_1) = \eta$. Define $\tau = \tau_1|_A$. Then one computes $KK(\tau) = x$. Since x is arbitrary in $KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$, the map Λ is surjective.

It remains to prove that Λ is injective. But, by Proposition 7.18, if $[\psi] \in \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$ is such that $\Lambda([\psi]) = 0$, i.e., $KK(\psi) = 0$, then $[\psi] = 0$ in $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W})$. Hence, Λ is injective. This completes the proof of (2).

- (1) follows from (2).

(4): Say that $\tau : A \rightarrow C(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential trivial extension. Then there is a monomorphism $H : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi \circ H = \tau$. Let $t_1(a) = t_W \circ H(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then t_1 is a faithful trace on A with $\|t_1\| \leq 1$. Let $r = \|t_1\|$. Then $t(a) = t_1(a)/r$ is a faithful tracial state of A . Hence $H_{*0}(x) = r\rho_A(t)(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(A)$. It follows that $\tau_{*0}(x) = r \cdot \rho_A(t)(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(A)$.

Conversely, suppose now that $t \in T_f(A)$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and $\tau : A \rightarrow C(\mathcal{W})$ are such that $\tau_{*0}(x) = r \cdot \rho_A(t)(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(A)$. By Lemma 8.1, there is a monomorphism $\psi_A : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $t_W \circ \phi_A(a) = r \cdot t(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then $\pi \circ \psi_A : A \rightarrow C(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential trivial extension such that

$$(8.2) \quad (\pi \circ \psi_A)_{*0} = \tau_{*0}.$$

Hence, $KK(\pi \circ \psi_A) = KK(\tau)$. So, by (1), $\tau \sim^u \pi \circ \psi_A$. It follows that τ is trivial. This completes the proof of (4).

(5) follows from (4).

(7): If $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_{f,A}$ and $H : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ is a monomorphism, then $H_{*0} = 0$. As mentioned before, $K_0(C(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}$ is divisible and $K_1(C(\mathcal{W})) = \{0\}$, this implies that $KK(\pi \circ H) = 0$. Thus, (7) follows from (3).

(8): Suppose that $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$. Then there is $t \in T_f(A)$ such that $\rho_A(t) \neq 0$. Then $\Lambda(\mathcal{T}) \neq \{0\}$. So by (5), there is a trivial essential extension τ such that $KK(\tau) \neq 0$. By (1), τ is not unitarily equivalent to a diagonal trivial extension, and, by (6), τ is not even quasidiagonal. $\square$

For the second question of the introduction, we offer the following statement:

Corollary 8.4. *There is, up to unitary equivalence, only one essential extension of the form:*

$$(8.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, this extension splits.

Proof. By Theorem 8.3,

$$(8.4) \quad \mathbf{Ext}^u(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) = \text{Hom}(K_0(\mathcal{W}), \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}.$$

$\square$

As one expected, the C^* -algebras that we were originally interested in do satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 8.3 and even (7) of Theorem 8.3.

Proposition 8.5. *Let X be a connected and locally connected compact metric space and let $x_0 \in X$ be a point. Then $C := C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable. Moreover, $K_0(C) = \ker \rho_C$.*

Proof. We first show that there is an embedding $\iota_C : C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rightarrow C_0((0, 1])$. By the Hahn–Mazurkiewicz theorem, there exists a continuous surjection $s_0 : [1/2, 1] \rightarrow X$. Let $y_0 = s_0(1/2) \in X$. By the assumption on X , there is a continuous path $s_1 : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow X$ such that $s_1(0) = x_0$ and $s_1(1/2) = y_0$. Define $s : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by $s|_{[0, 1/2]} = s_1$ and $s|_{[1/2, 1]} = s_0$. Then $s : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a continuous surjection which induces an embedding $\iota_C : C \rightarrow C_0((0, 1])$. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is projectionless, one easily embeds $C_0((0, 1])$ into $\mathcal{W}$. This shows that C is $\mathcal{W}$ -embeddable.

Let $C_1 = C(X) = \tilde{C}$. Since X is connected, ρ_{C_1} is the rank function and $\rho_{C_1}(K_0(C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(C) \rightarrow K_0(C_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

also shows that $K_0(C) = \ker \rho_{C_1} = \ker \rho_C$. $\square$

The following is a corollary of Theorem 8.3 (and Corollary 8.5).

Theorem 8.6. *Let X be a connected and locally connected compact metric space, let $x_0 \in X$ and let $C := C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$.*

(1) *All trivial essential extensions τ_0 are unitarily equivalent (in $C(\mathcal{W})$) and hence, are unitarily equivalent to a diagonal extension $\pi \circ \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}_d$.*

(2) *$[\pi \circ \sigma]$ is the class of zero in $\mathbf{Ext}^u(C, \mathcal{W})$.*

(3) *There is a group isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{Ext}^u(C, \mathcal{W}) \cong KK(C, C(\mathcal{W})) = \text{Hom}(K_0(C), \mathbb{R}).$$

There are many non-commutative C^* -algebras which are $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable including $\mathcal{W}$ itself. In fact, we have the following.

Proposition 8.7. *Let A be a stably projectionless algebraically simple separable C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension which satisfies the UCT. Suppose that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$. Then A is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable.*

Proof. Let $B = A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $C = B \otimes Q$, where Q is the UHF-algebra with $(K_0(Q), K_0(Q)_+, [1_Q]) = (\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_+, 1)$. It follows that there exists an element $c \in C_+^1$ such that $\overline{cCc}$ has continuous scale (see Remark 5.2 of [15]). Let $e_A \in A_+^1$ be a strictly positive element of A . Since A is algebraically simple, $\text{Per}(A) = A$. It follows that $e_A \otimes 1_Q$ is in $\text{Ped}(C)$. Note also $c \in \text{Ped}(A)$. It follows that there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\langle e_A \rangle \leq n\langle c \rangle$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e_A \in M_n(\overline{cAc})$ (recall $C = A \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes Q$). Put $D = M_n(\overline{cAc}) \otimes Q$. Then A is embedded in D . It suffices to show that D is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable.

Note that D is a stably projectionless simple C^* -algebra with continuous scale and satisfies the UCT. Moreover, $\ker \rho_D = K_0(D)$. Furthermore, D has finite nuclear dimension. Since D is stably projectionless, $T(D) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 15.5 of [20] (see the last line of the proof too), $D \in \mathcal{D}_0$. We then apply Theorem 12.8 of [20] as $\mathcal{W}$ has the form B_T (with $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ ($i = 0, 1$) and unique tracial state). We choose $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa_T : T(D) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{W})$, by mapping all points to one point and with κ_{cu} compatible with (κ, κ_T) . Thus Theorem 12.8 of [20] provides an embedding from D to $\mathcal{W}$. □

Remark 8.8. *Note that $A \otimes Q$ is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and nuclear. Therefore, by a recent result [10], it has finite nuclear dimension. So the assumption that A has finite nuclear dimension can be removed. Consider any separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebra A of stable rank one with $QT(A) = T(A) = \emptyset$. Assume that $\text{Cu}(A) = \text{LAff}_+(T(A))$ and has continuous scale. Then $\text{Cu}^\sim(A) = K_0(A) \cup \text{LAff}_{0+}^\sim(T_0(A))$ (see Theorem 6.2.3 of [62], also Theorem 7.3 of [15] for the statement as well as the notation). By Corollary A.7 of [16], there exists $\tau \in T(A)$ such that $\rho_A(x)(\tau) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. Note that $\text{Cu}^\sim(\mathcal{W}) = \text{LAff}_{0+}^\sim(T_0(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Define $\lambda : \text{Cu}^\sim(A) \rightarrow \text{LAff}_{0+}^\sim(T_0(\mathcal{W}))$ by $\lambda|_{K_0(A)} = 0$ and $\lambda(f)(t_W) = f(\tau)$ for all $f \in \text{LAff}_{0+}^\sim(T_0(A))$. This gives a morphism from $\text{Cu}^\sim(A)$ to $\text{Cu}^\sim(\mathcal{W})$. Let us also assume that A is an inductive limit of 1-dimensional non-commutative CW complexes with trivial $K_1(A)$. Then, by Theorem 1.0.1 of [62], A is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable. In fact, even in this case, $K_1(A)$ is trivial can be removed (see section 6 of [21]).*

Recall that there is a separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebra $\mathcal{Z}_0$ with a unique tracial state τ_z , with finite nuclear dimension and satisfies the UCT such that $K_0(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathbb{Z} = \ker \rho_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{Z}_0) = 0$. By [20], there is only one such simple C^* -algebra up to isomorphism. Note that $T(A) = T(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0)$. Moreover, as abelian groups,

$$(8.5) \quad K_i(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0) \cong K_i(A), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Proposition 8.9. *Let A be a separable exact C^* -algebra which satisfies the UCT and has a faithful amenable tracial state. Then $C := A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$ is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and $\ker \rho_{f,C} = K_0(C)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem A of [66] that there is a unital simple AF-algebra B with a unique tracial state τ_B and a monomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (which preserves the trace). There is also an embedding $\psi_{z,w} : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$. Thus we obtain an embedding $\phi_A := \phi \otimes \psi_{z,w} : A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{W}$. Note that $K_0(B \otimes \mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$. Since $B \otimes \mathcal{W}$ has only one tracial state (namely $\tau_B \otimes \tau_W$), by Theorem 7.5 of [16], $B \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}$. Thus, $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$ is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable.

To see the last part of the statement, note that every $y \in K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0)$ may be written as $x \otimes x_0$, where $x_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathbb{Z}$ is a generator. Note that every faithful tracial state of $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$ has the form $\tau \otimes \tau_z$, where $\tau \in T_f(A)$. But $\tau(x \otimes x_0) = \tau(x)\tau_z(x_0) = 0$. □

Theorem 8.10. *Let B be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which has a faithful tracial state and satisfies the UCT, and let $A = B \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$.*

- (1) *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ are two essential extensions, then $\tau_1 \sim^u \tau_2$ if and only if $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau_2)$.*
- (2) *The map*

$$(8.6) \quad \Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \cong \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$$

defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$ is a group isomorphism.

- (3) *An essential extension τ is trivial if and only if $KK(\tau) = 0$, and all essential trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent.*

For the rest of this section, we consider essential extensions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where X is a connected and locally connected compact metric space.

Lemma 8.11. *Let $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be a nonzero projection such that $[p]_{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))} \in (0, 1)$. Then p can be lifted to a projection in $M(\mathcal{W})$.*

Moreover, if $p \neq 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$ and $[p] \notin (0, 1)$, then p cannot be lifted to a nonzero projection in $M(\mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Say that $[p]_{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))} = r \in (0, 1)$. By Corollary 4.6 of [47] (see also Section 5 of [29]), let $Q \in M(\mathcal{W}) \setminus \mathcal{W}$ be a projection such that $\tau(Q) = r$. Therefore, by our computation of $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$, and since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple purely infinite,

$$\pi(Q) \sim p \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}).$$

Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple purely infinite and since $\pi(Q) \neq 1 \neq p$, there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that

$$u\pi(Q)u^* = p.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple purely infinite and since $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = 0$, u lifts to a unitary $U \in M(\mathcal{W})$. It follows that $\pi(UQU^*) = p$.

The last part follows from the fact that if $P \in M(\mathcal{W})$ is a non-zero projection, then $\tau_W(P) \in (0, 1]$. $\square$

Lemma 8.12. *Let X be a connected and locally connected compact metric space and let $x_0 \in X$. Suppose that $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential extension. Then there exists a proper subprojection $p \leq \phi(1)$ such that*

$$p\phi(f) = \phi(f)p = \phi(f) \text{ for all } f \in C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}).$$

Moreover, for all $s \in (0, 1)$ we may choose p such that there is $P \in M(\mathcal{W})$ for which $\pi(P) = p$ and $\tau_W(P) = s$.

Proof. Let e_C be a strictly positive element of $C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ for which $\|e_C\| = 1$. Let $B = \text{Her}(\phi(e_C)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$. Note that $\text{sp}(e_C) = [0, 1]$. Write $e = \phi(1)$. If $ey = 0$ for all $y \in B^\perp$, then $(1-e)y = y = y(1-e)$ for all $y \in B^\perp$. This implies that $B^\perp = (1-e)\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})(1-e)$. Then, by Theorem 15 of [55], $B = (B^\perp)^\perp = e\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})e$. So B is unital. This contradicts that $\text{sp}(e_C) = [0, 1]$. Therefore there is $y \in (B^\perp)_+$ such that $eye \neq 0$. Since $e\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})e$ has real rank zero, there is a projection $p_1 \in e\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})e$ such that $p_1 \neq e$ and $p_1b = bp_1 = b$ for all $b \in B$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple purely infinite, we can find a projection $q_1 \in (e - p_1)\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})(e - p_1)$ with $q_1 \neq e - p_1$ such that $[p_1 + q_1] = s \in (0, 1)$. If we define $p := p_1 + q_1$ then $pb = b$ for all $b \in B$.

Also, by Lemma 8.11, p lifts to a projection in $P \in M(\mathcal{W})$. Necessarily, $\tau_W(P) = s$. $\square$

Theorem 8.13. *Let X be a connected and locally connected compact metric space and $\phi, \psi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be essential extensions.*

(1) *Then $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$ if and only if*

$$\phi \sim \psi.$$

(2) *If both ϕ and ψ are unital or both are non-unital, then $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$ if and only if*

$$\phi \sim^u \psi.$$

(3) *The map*

$$\Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}(C(X), \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow KK(C(X), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \text{Hom}(K_0(C(X)), \mathbb{R})$$

defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$ is a group isomorphism.

(4) *The zero element of $\mathbf{Ext}(C(X), \mathcal{W})$ (or $KK(C(X), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$) is not the class of a trivial (splitting) extension.*

(5) *Let $\tau : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be an essential extension. Then τ is trivial if and only if*

$$KK(\tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}) = 0 \text{ and either } [\tau(1_{C(X)})] \in (0, 1) \text{ or } \tau(1_{C(X)}) = 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}.$$

Remark 8.14. *Suppose that $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ and $[p] = [1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}]$ but $p \neq 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$. Let $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be an essential extension with $KK(\phi|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}) = 0$ and $\phi(1) = p$. Then $\phi \sim \phi_0$ for a splitting essential extension with $\phi_0(1) = 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$. But ϕ is not a trivial extension itself as p cannot be lifted to a projection in $M(\mathcal{W})$ (see 8.11).*

Proof. (1): Suppose that $\phi \sim \psi$. Then there is a $w \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $w^*\phi(c)w = \psi(c)$ for all $c \in C(X)$ with $w^*w = \psi(1)$ and $ww^* = \phi(1)$. Since $M_2(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$ is simple and purely infinite, there exists a unitary $W \in M_2(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$ such that $W\psi(1) = w$. Then $W^*\phi(c)W = \psi(c)$ for all $c \in C(X)$. It follows that $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$.

Conversely, suppose that $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Let $p := \phi(1)$ and $q := \psi(1)$. By Lemma 8.12, choose a proper subprojection $p_0 \leq p$ such that $p_0\phi(f) = \phi(f)p_0 = \phi(f)$ for all $f \in C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$, and a projection $P_0 \in M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi(P_0) = p_0$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}(P_0) = s$. The same argument shows that there is a proper subprojection $q_0 \leq q$ such that $q_0\psi(f) = \psi(f)q_0 = \psi(f)$ for all $f \in C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$, and there exists a projection $Q_0 \in M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi(Q_0) = q_0$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}(Q_0) = s$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is purely infinite simple, $p_0 \sim q_0$ and $p - p_0 \sim q - q_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_0 = q_0$ and $\phi(1) = p = q = \psi(1)$.

Since $D := P_0\mathcal{W}P_0 \cong \mathcal{W}$, $M(D) = P_0M(\mathcal{W})P_0$ and $\pi(\mathcal{C}(D)) = p_0\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})p_0$, one may view $\phi|_{C(X \setminus \{x_0\})}, \psi|_{C(X \setminus \{x_0\})}$ as maps from $C(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ to $\mathcal{C}(D)$. By applying Proposition 8.5 and Theorem 8.3, one obtains a unitary $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(D) = p_0\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})p_0$ such that

$$u^*\phi(c)u = \psi(c) \text{ for all } c \in C(X \setminus \{x_0\}).$$

If we define $v := u + (p - p_0)$, then v is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{C}(B)$ such that $vv^* = \phi(1)$, $v^*v = \psi(1)$ and

$$v^*\phi(c)v = \psi(c) \text{ for all } c \in C(X).$$

This completes the proof of (1).

(2): If $\phi \sim^u \psi$ then it is immediate that $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$. Hence, we only need to prove the converse direction.

So suppose that $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$. Suppose that both $\phi(1_{C(X)})$ and $\psi(1_{C(X)})$ are equal to $1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$. Then by (1), since $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$, $\phi \sim \psi$. In other words, there is $w \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $w^*\phi_1(x)w = \phi_2(x)$ for all $x \in C(X)$. Moreover, by Definition 2.1,

$$1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})} = \phi_1(1_{C(X)}) = ww^* \text{ and } 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})} = \phi_2(1_{C(X)}) = w^*w.$$

Hence w is a unitary. Since $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple and purely infinite, every unitary in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ can be lifted to a unitary in $M(\mathcal{W})$. Hence, $\phi \sim^u \psi$.

Now suppose that both ϕ and ψ are nonunital. Then by (1), since $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$, $\phi \sim \psi$. So we have a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $vv^* = \phi(1)$, $v^*v = \psi(1)$ and $v^*\phi(c)v = \psi(c)$ for all $c \in C(X)$. Since $\phi(1), \psi(1)$ are proper subprojections of $1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$ and since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple and purely infinite, we can find a unitary $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\phi(1)u = v$. Hence, $u^*\phi(c)u = \psi(c)$ for all $c \in C(X)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple and purely infinite and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = 0$, u can be lifted to a unitary in $M(\mathcal{W})$. So $\phi \sim^u \psi$.

This completes the proof of (2).

(3) The injectivity of the group homomorphism Λ follows from (1). Hence, it remains to prove that Λ is surjective.

Let $\alpha \in KK(C(X), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$ be given. Fix a point $x_0 \in X$. Let $\iota : C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the embedding and $q : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the quotient map. So we have the following the splitting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{j} C(X) \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

which gives the splitting short exact sequence:

$$(8.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \xrightarrow{[q]} KK(C(X), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \xrightarrow{[j]} KK(C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the Kasparov product

$$\beta := [\iota] \times \alpha \in KK(C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}), \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})).$$

By Proposition 8.5 and Theorem 8.3, let $\phi : C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be an essential extension such that $KK(\phi) = \beta$.

Note that ϕ can be extended to a monomorphism $C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ which brings $1_{C(X)}$ to $1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$. So by Lemma 8.12, let $p \leq 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$ be a proper subprojection such that $p\phi(f) = \phi(f)$ for all $f \in C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})$. Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is simple and purely infinite, one may choose $q \leq 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})} - p$, a proper subprojection, such that

$$[p + q] = \alpha([1_{C(X)}]).$$

Let $\phi_1 : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be the nonunital essential extension given by

$$\phi_1|_{C(X \setminus \{x_0\})} = \phi \text{ and } \phi_1(1_{C(X)}) = p + q.$$

To see that $KK(\phi_1) = \alpha$, let us note that, we have shown that $[\iota] \times \alpha = [\iota] \times KK(\phi_1)$ in the exact sequence (8.7). However, since $[q \circ \phi_1(1_{C(X)})] = \alpha([1_{C(X)}])$, we have $\phi_1 \times [q] = \alpha \times [q]$. By (8.7),

$$\alpha = KK(\phi_1).$$

Therefore $\Lambda([\phi_1]) = \alpha$ as required. This completes the proof of the surjectivity of Λ , and hence, the proof of (3).

(4): Say that $KK(\phi) = 0$. Then $[\phi(1)] = 0 \in \mathbb{R} = K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))$. By Lemma 8.11, there is no non-zero projection $P \in M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi(P) = p$. It follows that ϕ is not liftable.

(5): Say that $\tau : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential trivial extension. Then by Theorem 8.6, $KK(\tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}) = 0$. Also, $\tau(1_{C(X)}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ must be liftable to a nonzero projection in $M(\mathcal{W})$. Hence, by Lemma 8.11, either $[\tau(1_{C(X)})]_{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))} \in (0, 1)$ or $\tau(1_{C(X)}) = 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is an essential extension such that $KK(\tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}) = 0$ and either $[\tau(1_{C(X)})]_{K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}))} \in (0, 1)$ or $\tau(1_{C(X)}) = 1_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})}$.

By the hypotheses on $\tau(1_{C(X)})$ and by Lemma 8.11, $\tau(1_{C(X)})$ can be lifted to a (nonzero) projection $P \in M(\mathcal{W})$.

Consider the extension $\tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})} : C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(PWP)$. Since $PWP \cong \mathcal{W}$ and since $KK(\tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}) = 0$, it follows, by Theorem 8.6, that there is monomorphism $H_0 : C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rightarrow PM(\mathcal{W})P$ such that

$$\pi \circ H_0 = \tau|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})}.$$

Let $H : C(X) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ be the monomorphism given by

$$H|_{C_0(X \setminus \{x_0\})} = H_0 \text{ and } H(1_{C(X)}) = P.$$

Then $\pi \circ H = \tau$, i.e., τ is trivial. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 8.15. *Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ be the n torus.*

- (1) $\mathbf{Ext}(C_0(\mathbb{T}^n \setminus \{1\}), \mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n-1}-1}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{Ext}(C(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n-1}}$.

9. CLASSIFICATION OF SOME NON-SIMPLE C^* -ALGEBRAS

This section is for the second goal of our original research plan. We study non-simple C^* -algebras E which are essential extensions of the form

$$(9.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where A is some separable stably finite simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension such that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$. In other words, E has a unique ideal $I \cong \mathcal{W}$ and $E/\mathcal{W}$ is a separable stably finite simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension such that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the class of such C^* -algebras which satisfy the UCT (Warning: here we do not assume that A is fixed, but is any separable stably finite simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension such that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$ which satisfies the UCT).

Let $\mathcal{E}_c$ be the subclass of those C^* -algebras E in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $A := \pi_E(E)$ has continuous scale, where $\pi_E : E \rightarrow E/\mathcal{W}$ is the quotient map.

In general, if E is an essential extension by $\mathcal{W}$ then E is a subalgebra of $M(\mathcal{W})$. Recall that we identify the unique tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on $\mathcal{W}$ with its unique extension to a tracial state on $M(\mathcal{W})$, which we also denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. Therefore, $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ also induces a tracial state on E which, again, we denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. There is a group homomorphism $\lambda_E : K_0(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$, i.e., $\lambda_E(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{W}}(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(E)$. Since $A = E/\mathcal{W}$ and since $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$ ($i = 0, 1$), by the six-term exact sequence in K -theory, one computes that $\pi_{E*i} : K_i(E) \rightarrow K_i(A)$ is a group isomorphism ($i = 0, 1$).

Lemma 9.1. *Let E be an essential extension of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where A is a separable amenable C^ -algebra with $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_{f,A}$ which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Let $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be the Busby invariant for the above extension.*

Then

$$\psi_{*,0} = \lambda_E \circ \pi_{E*0}^{-1} \text{ in } \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. Denote by $\pi : M(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ the quotient map. One has the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(E) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_E} & K_0(M(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow (\pi_E)_* & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi_Q} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \mathbb{R}. \end{array}$$

By Theorem 7.4, π_{*0} is a group isomorphism. Since π_{E*0} is also a group isomorphism, the lemma follows. $\square$

Before defining the classification invariant, we recall some definitions and other items.

Again, let $E \in \mathcal{E}_c$ and let $A := \pi_E(E)$. Then, as per our definitions, A is a separable stably finite simple continuous scale C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension such that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$. Since A is stably finite, A is stably projectionless. (Here is short proof: Suppose, for contradiction, that $p \in M_m(A)$ is a nonzero projection for some integer $m \geq 1$. Since $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\tau(p) \neq 0$. This contradicts that $\ker \rho_A = K_0(A)$.)

If $\tau \in T(A)$, then $\tau \circ \pi_E \in T(E)$. The map $(\pi_E)_T : T(A) \rightarrow T(E)$, defined by $(\pi_E)_T(\tau)(b) = \tau(\pi_E(b))$ for all $b \in E$ and $\tau \in T(A)$, is an affine homeomorphism onto a closed convex subset of $T(E)$. Denote by T_A the closed convex subset $(\pi_E)_T(T(A))$. Then $T(E)$ is the convex hull of T_A and τ_W . Since A has continuous scale, $T(A)$ is compact. It follows that $T(E)$ is compact. Note that T_A is a face of $T(E)$.

Let $S(K_0(\tilde{E}))$ be the state space of $K_0(\tilde{E})$, i.e., the set of all group homomorphisms $s : K_0(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $s(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K_0(\tilde{E})_+$ and $s([1_{\tilde{E}}]) = 1$. Denote $S(K_0(E)) := \{s|_{K_0(E)} : s \in S(K_0(\tilde{E}))\}$.

The map $r_E : T(E) \rightarrow S(K_0(E))$ is defined by $r_E(\tau)(x) = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(A)$ and $\tau \in T(E)$.

Now we can define our classification invariant.

Definition 9.2. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_c$. The Elliott invariant $\text{Inv}(E)$ is defined as follows:

$$(9.2) \quad \text{Inv}(E) = (K_0(E), K_1(E), T(E), r_E).$$

Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_c$. We say that $\text{Inv}(E_1)$ and $\text{Inv}(E_2)$ are isomorphic, and write $\text{Inv}(E_1) \cong \text{Inv}(E_2)$, if there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) = (K_0(E_1), K_1(E_1), T(E_1), r_{E_1}) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(E_2) = (K_0(E_2), K_1(E_2), T(E_2), r_{E_2}),$$

i.e., if there is a group isomorphism $\Gamma_i : K_i(E_1) \rightarrow K_i(E_2)$, $i = 0, 1$, and an affine homeomorphism $\Gamma_T : T(E_1) \rightarrow T(E_2)$, which maps $T_f(E_1)$ onto $T_f(E_2)$, such that

$$(9.3) \quad r_{E_2}(\tau)(\Gamma_0(x)) = r_{E_1}(\Gamma_T^{-1}(\tau))(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_0(E_1) \text{ and } \tau \in T(E_2).$$

Theorem 9.3. Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_c$. Then $E_1 \cong E_2$ if and only if

$$(9.4) \quad \text{Inv}(E_1) \cong \text{Inv}(E_2).$$

Moreover, if $\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(E_2)$ is an isomorphism, then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that Ψ induces Γ .

Proof. We have two short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_1}} A \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_2}} B \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Both A and B are separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension and continuous scale which satisfy the UCT. Moreover, $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$ and $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$.

Suppose that $\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(E_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then, one has a group isomorphism $\gamma_i := \pi_{E_2*} \circ \Gamma_i \circ \pi_{E_1*}^{-1} : K_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(B)$, $i = 0, 1$. Note that Γ induces an affine homeomorphism $\Gamma_T : T(E_1) \rightarrow T(E_2)$ which maps $T_f(E_1)$ to $T_f(E_2)$. Since Γ_T is an affine homeomorphism, it maps extreme points to extremal points. It follows that $\Gamma_T(\tau_W) = \tau_W$. Since the extreme points of the face T_A are also extreme points of $T(E)$, Γ_T maps T_A onto T_B which is also an affine homeomorphism. Since both E_1 and E_2 satisfy the UCT, A and B also satisfy the UCT. It follows that, by the classification results in [20] (see Theorem 13.1 and Theorem 15.5 of [20]), there is an isomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi_{*i} = \gamma_i$, $i = 0, 1$, and $\phi_T = (\pi_{E_1})_T^{-1} \circ \Gamma_T^{-1} \circ (\pi_{E_2})_T$. Recall that $\lambda_{E_i} : K_0(E_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\lambda_{E_i}(x) = \tau_W(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(E_i)$.

In (9.3), with $\tau = \tau_W$, we have (as Γ_T maps τ_W to τ_W)

$$(9.5) \quad \tau_W(\Gamma_0(x)) = \tau_W(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_0(E_1).$$

In other words

$$(9.6) \quad \lambda_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_0 = \lambda_{E_1}.$$

Let $\sigma_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\sigma_B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ be the Busby invariants associated with E_1 and E_2 respectively. Define an essential extension $\psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ by $\psi(a) = \sigma_B \circ \phi$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{*,0} &= (\sigma_B)_{*,0} \circ \phi_{*,0} \\ &= (\lambda_{E_2} \circ \pi_{E_2*,0}^{-1}) \circ (\pi_{E_2*,0} \circ \Gamma_0 \circ \pi_{E_1*,0}^{-1}) && \text{(by Lemma 9.1 and since } \phi_{*,0} = \gamma_0) \\ &= \lambda_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_0 \circ \pi_{E_1*,0}^{-1} = \lambda_{E_1} \circ \pi_{E_1*,0}^{-1} && \text{(by (9.6))} \\ &= (\sigma_A)_{*,0} && \text{(by Lemma 9.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(9.7) \quad KK(\psi) = KK(\sigma_A).$$

It follows from Theorem 8.3 that there is a unitary $U \in M(\mathcal{W})$ such that

$$(9.8) \quad \pi(U^*)\psi(a)\pi(U) = \sigma_A(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Note that, by (9.8),

$$(9.9) \quad U^*eU \in E_1 \text{ for all } e \in E_2.$$

Define $\Psi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ by

$$(9.10) \quad \Psi(e) = U^*eU \text{ for all } e \in E_2.$$

It is a monomorphism. Note $\Psi(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}$. By (9.8), Ψ is surjective. So Ψ is an isomorphism. Moreover, as constructed, one checks that Ψ induces Γ .

Conversely, if there is an isomorphism $\Psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, then Ψ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(E_2)$. $\square$

Towards classifying C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{E}$, we again recall some terminology and other items.

Let A be a C^* -algebra with $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$ and with a strictly positive element e_A . Denote by $\Sigma_A \in \text{LAff}(\tilde{T}(A))$ the lower semicontinuous affine function defined by

$$\Sigma_A(\tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(f_{1/n}(e_A)) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A).$$

One notes that Σ_A , as a lower semi-continuous function on $\tilde{T}(A)$, is independent of the choice of e_A .

Definition 9.4. For $E \in \mathcal{E}$, define

$$(9.11) \quad \text{Inv}(E) = (K_0(E), K_1(E), \tilde{T}(E), \Sigma_E, \lambda_E).$$

Denote by $\tilde{T}_f(E)$ the set of all faithful traces in $\tilde{T}(E)$, i.e., the set of all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(E)$ for which $\tau(a) \neq 0$ for every $a \in \text{Ped}(E)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Write $A = \pi_E(E)$. Let $(\pi_E)_T : \tilde{T}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(E)$ be an affine homomorphism defined by $(\pi_E)_T(\tau)(e) = \tau \circ \pi_E(e)$ for all $e \in \text{Ped}(E)$. The cone $\tilde{T}(E)$ is generated by $(\pi_E)_T(\tilde{T}(A))$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$. We say that $\text{Inv}(E_1)$ and $\text{Inv}(E_2)$ are isomorphic, and write $\text{Inv}(E_1) \cong \text{Inv}(E_2)$, if there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) = (K_0(E_1), K_1(E_1), \tilde{T}(E_1), \Sigma_{E_1}, \lambda_{E_1}) \cong \text{Inv}(E_2) = (K_0(E_2), K_1(E_2), \tilde{T}(E_2), \Sigma_{E_2}, \lambda_{E_2}),$$

i.e., if there is a group isomorphism $\Gamma_i : K_i(E_1) \rightarrow K_i(E_2)$, $i = 0, 1$, and a topological cone isomorphism $\Gamma_T : \tilde{T}(E_1) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(E_2)$ which maps $\tilde{T}_f(E_1)$ onto $\tilde{T}_f(E_2)$ such that

$$(9.12) \quad \lambda_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_0 = \lambda_{E_1} \text{ and } \Sigma_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_T = \Sigma_{E_1}.$$

Lemma 9.5. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be an essential extension of the form:

$$(9.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that $e_1, e_2 \in E_+$ such that $d_\tau(\pi_E(e_1)) = d_\tau(\pi_E(e_2))$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ and $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Her}_E(e_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$(9.14) \quad \psi : \text{Her}_E(e_1) \cong \text{Her}_E(e_2)$$

such that $KL(\psi) = KL(\text{id}_E)$ and $\tau \circ \psi(e) = \tau(e)$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(E)$.

Proof. Since A has stable rank one (see Theorem 11.5 of [20]), it follows from [11] (see Proposition 3.3 of [63]; see also the paragraph above Proposition 3.3 of [63] and [43]) that there is an element $u \in A^{**}$ such that $u\text{Her}_A(\pi_E(e_1))u^* = \text{Her}_A(\pi_E(e_2))$. Moreover $u\pi_E(e_1), u^*\pi_E(e_2) \in A$ and $u^*u = p$ and $uu^* = q$, where p and q are open projections of A corresponding to $\pi_E(e_1)$ and $\pi_E(e_2)$, respectively. Let $x = u\pi_E(e_1) \in A$. Since A has stable rank one, by Theorem 5 of [56], for each n , there is a unitary $u_n \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$(9.15) \quad u_n\pi_E(f_{1/n}(e_1)) = u\pi_E(f_{1/n}(e_1)).$$

Since $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})) = \{0\}$ (see Theorem 7.4) and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W})$ is purely infinite simple, there is a unitary $w_n \in M(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi(w_n) = u_n$. Therefore $w_n \in \tilde{E}$. Since

$$(9.16) \quad u_n\pi_E(f_{1/n}(e_1))u_n^* \in \text{Her}_A(\pi_E(e_2)),$$

$$(9.17) \quad w_nf_{1/n}(e_1)w_n^* \in \text{Her}_E(e_2).$$

(Recall that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{Her}_E(e_2)$.) It follows that, for all n ,

$$(9.18) \quad f_{1/n}(e_1) \lesssim e_2.$$

Therefore

$$(9.19) \quad e_1 \lesssim e_2.$$

Symmetrically,

$$(9.20) \quad e_2 \lesssim e_1.$$

Hence $e_1 \sim e_2$. By (9.13) and the fact that $K_i(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$, $i = 0, 1$, applying part (ii) of Proposition 4 of [48], E has stable rank one. It follows from [11] that there is an isomorphism

$$\psi : \text{Her}_E(e_1) \cong \text{Her}_E(e_2)$$

such that $\psi(a) = U^*aU$ for some partial isometry $U \in E^{**}$ such that $U^*a, Ub \in E$ for all $a \in \text{Her}(e_1)$ and $b \in \text{Her}(e_2)$, $U^*U = P$, $UU^* = Q$, where P is the open projection corresponding to e_1 and Q is the open projection corresponding to e_2 , respectively.

Let $z = U^*e_1 \in E$. Since E has stable rank one as we just proved, by Theorem 5 of [56], for each n , there is a unitary $V_n \in \tilde{E}$ such that $V_nP_n = U^*P_n$, where P_n is the spectral projection of e_1 in A^{**} corresponding to $(1/n, \|z\|]$. It follows that $V_nf_{1/n}(e_1)af_{1/n}(e_1)V_n^* \in \text{Her}(e_2)$ and

$$(9.21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n a V_n^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n f_{1/n}(e_1) a f_{1/n}(e_1) V_n^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^* f_{1/n}(e_1) a f_{1/n}(e_1) U = \psi(a)$$

for all $a \in \text{Her}(e_1)$. It follows that $KL(\psi) = KL(\text{id}_E)$. $\square$

Theorem 9.6. *Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $E_1 \cong E_2$ if and only if there is an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma : \text{Inv}(E_1) = (K_0(E_1), K_1(E_1), \tilde{T}(E_1), \Sigma_{E_1}, \lambda_{E_1}) \cong \text{Inv}(E_2) = (K_0(E_2), K_1(E_2), \tilde{T}(E_2), \Sigma_{E_2}, \lambda_{E_2}).$$

Moreover, if such an isomorphism Γ exists, there is an isomorphism $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ which induces Γ .

Proof. We begin with two short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_1}} A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow E_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_2}} B \rightarrow 0.$$

Both A and B are separable simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras, with finite nuclear dimension which satisfy the UCT. Moreover, $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$ and $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_B$.

Let $e_0 \in (E_1)_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|e_0\| = 1$ be a strictly positive element of E_1 . Let $e_1 = f_{1/2}(e_0)$. Choose $a_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a_0 \leq \pi_{E_1}(e_1)$ and $d_\tau(a_0)$ is continuous on $\tilde{T}(A)$ (see 11.11 of [15] and Theorem 15.5 of [20]). So $\text{Her}(a_0)$ has continuous scale (see, for example, Proposition 5.4 of [15]). Choose $a'_1 \in (E_1)_+$ such that $f_{1/8}(e_0)a'_1 = a'_1$ and $\pi_{E_1}(a'_1) = a_0$ (see Lemma 7.2 of [15]). Then $a'_1 \in \text{Ped}(E_1)$. Let $e_W \in \mathcal{W}$ be a strictly positive element in $\mathcal{W}$. Since $\text{Ped}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}$, $a''_1 = a'_1 + e_W \in \text{Ped}(E_1)_+$. Let $a_1 = a''_1 / \|a''_1\|$. Then $a_1 \in M(\mathcal{W})_+$. It follows that $\tau_W(f_{1/n}(a_1)) \leq 1$ for all n . Obviously,

$$e_W \lesssim a''_1 \sim a_1.$$

We conclude that $d_{\tau_W}(a_1) = 1$. Since every $t \in \tilde{T}(E_1)$ has the form $\alpha \cdot t_A \circ \pi_{E_1} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot t_W$, where $t_A \in \tilde{T}(A)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, one also verifies that $d_\tau(a_1)$ is continuous on $\tilde{T}(E_1)$.

Put $A_1 = \text{Her}(a_0)$ and $E_{1,c} = \text{Her}(a_1)$. Note that $\pi_{E_1}(E_{1,c}) = A_1$. So $E_{1,c} \in \mathcal{E}_c$.

Let $g \in \text{Aff}(\tilde{T}(B))$ such that $g \circ \Gamma_T(\tau) = d_\tau(\pi_{E_1}(a_1))$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$. By Theorem 11.11 of [15] and Theorem 15.5 of [20], there exists $b_0 \in B_+$ such that $d_t(b_0) = g(t)$ for all $t \in \tilde{T}(B)$. Let $B_1 = \text{Her}(b_0)$. Then B_1 also has continuous scale (see Proposition 5.4 of [15]). Choose $b'_1 \in (E_2)_+$ such that $\pi_{E_2}(b'_1) = b_0$ and $b''_1 = b'_1 + e_W$. Set $b_1 = b''_1 / \|b''_1\| \in E_2 \subset M(\mathcal{W})$. Then, for any n , $\tau_W(f_{1/n}(b_1)) \leq 1$. It follows that $d_{\tau_W}(b_1) \leq 1$. Note that $e_W \lesssim b''_1 \sim b_1$. Therefore $d_{\tau_W}(b_1) = 1$. Note, for each $\tau = \alpha t_B \circ \pi_{E_2} + (1 - \alpha)t_W \in \tilde{T}(E_2)$, where $t_B \in \tilde{T}(B)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$d_\tau(b_1) = \alpha t_N(b_0) + (1 - \alpha).$$

Define $E_{2,c} = \text{Her}(b_1)$. Then $E_{2,c} \in \mathcal{E}_c$. We note that $E_{i,c}$ is a full hereditary C^* -subalgebra of E_i which contains $\mathcal{W}$ as an ideal ($i = 1, 2$). In particular, $K_i(E_{j,c}) = K_i(E_j)$, $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1, 2$.

Consider $T_a = \{\tau \in \tilde{T}(E_1) : d_\tau(a_1) = 1\}$. Since $d_\tau(a_1)$ is continuous on $\tilde{T}(E_1)$, T_a is a compact convex subset of $\tilde{T}(E_1)$. Note that $\Gamma_T(T_a) = T_g = \{t \in \tilde{T}(E_2) : d_\tau(b_1) = 1\}$. Moreover, Γ_T maps T_a affinely and homeomorphically onto T_g .

Let $\gamma_1 : T_a \rightarrow T(E_{1,c})$ by $\gamma_1(\tau)(e) = \tau(e)$ for all $e \in E_{1,c}$ and $\tau \in T_a$. Then γ_1 is an affine homeomorphism. Let $\gamma_2 : T_g \rightarrow T(E_{2,c})$ be defined by $\gamma_2(t)(d) = t(d)$ for all $d \in E_{2,c}$ and $t \in T_g$. Then γ_2 is also an affine homeomorphism.

Now define

$$(9.22) \quad \Gamma' : (K_0(E_{1,c}), K_1(E_{1,c}), T(E_{1,c}), r_{E_{1,c}}) \rightarrow (K_0(E_{2,c}), K_1(E_{2,c}), T(E_{2,c}), r_{E_{2,c}})$$

as follows. $\Gamma'_i := \Gamma_i : K_i(E_{1,c}) = K_i(E_1) \rightarrow K_i(E_2) = K_i(E_{2,c})$, $i = 0, 1$, and $\Gamma'_T := \gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_T \circ \gamma_1^{-1}$.

We also check that, since $\lambda_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_0 = \lambda_{E_1}$, for any $x \in K_0(A)$,

$$(9.23) \quad \tau_W(\Gamma'_0(x)) = \tau_W(\Gamma_0(x)) = \tau_W(x).$$

Since $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_A$ and $K_0(B) = \ker \rho_A$, (9.23) implies that

$$(9.24) \quad r_{E_{2,c}}(\tau)(\Gamma'_0(x)) = r_{E_{1,c}}(\Gamma_T^{-1}(\tau))(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_0(E_{1,c}) \text{ and } \tau \in T(E_{2,c}).$$

It follows from Theorem 9.3 that there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : E_{1,c} \rightarrow E_{2,c}$ which induces Γ' . This provides (still denote by Ψ) an isomorphism $\Psi : E_{1,c} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E_{2,c} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

By Brown's stable isomorphism theorem ([4]), we may view E_1 as a full hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $E_{1,c} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then we obtain an embedding $\Psi|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow E_{2,c} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let e_1 be a strictly positive element of E_1 and $e'_2 = \Psi(e_1)$. Let e_2 be a strictly positive element of E_2 . Since Ψ induces Γ' and since $\Sigma_{E_2} \circ \Gamma_T = \Sigma_{E_1}$, we have that

$$d_\tau(e'_2) = d_\tau(e_2) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(E_2).$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 9.5, there is an isomorphism $\psi : \text{Her}(e'_2) \cong \text{Her}(e_2)$ with $KL(\psi) = KL(\text{id}_{E_2})$ and preserves the traces. Therefore

$$(9.25) \quad E_1 \cong E_2$$

and the isomorphism preserves Γ' . □

Remark 9.7. We do not include a classification statement for the essential extensions of the form in (9.1) for the case that A does not have continuous scale in section 7. Theorem 9.6 is a classification with different favor. It should be noted though that, if A does not have continuous scale, then there may not have any trivial extensions of the form in (9.1). To see this, consider the case that $A = A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then A does not have any faithful tracial states. If there were a monomorphism $j : A \rightarrow M(\mathcal{W})$ such that

$$(9.26) \quad \pi_E \circ j = \text{id}_A,$$

then $\tau_W \circ j$ would be a faithful tracial state of A . This is not possible. So no essential extensions of the form in (9.1) splits. This explains, partially, why we choose not to include this case in section 7.

10. EXTENSIONS BY A SIMPLE C^* -ALGEBRA IN $\mathcal{I}$

In this section we consider the essential extensions of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

where B is a separable simple C^* -algebra with a unique tracial state and with finite nuclear dimension and satisfies the UCT, and C is a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable.

Definition 10.1. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the class of all nonunital stably projectionless separable simple amenable $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras with a unique tracial state which satisfies the UCT.

Note that if $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $K_0(M(B)) = \mathbb{R}$, $K_1(M(B)) = \{0\}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(B)) = K_0(B)$ and $K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \mathbb{R} \oplus K_1(B)$ (see Theorem 7.4). C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{I}$ have been classified by their Elliott invariant in [20]. All C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{I}$ have stable rank one. Moreover $\ker \rho_B = K_0(B)$ for every C^* -algebra $B \in \mathcal{I}$ (see Lemma 6.1). We will also use the fact that every hereditary C^* -subalgebra of C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{I}$ is in $\mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 10.2. Let $B \in \mathcal{I}$. Let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable. Then there are $\mathcal{T}_d$ extensions (see 7.6) $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B) = M(B)/B$ with model σ_A which factors through $\mathcal{W}$ and, in particular, $KK(\sigma_A) = 0$.

Proof. Fix an embedding $\iota_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and an embedding $\iota_{w,B} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$ (given, for example, by 6.4) which maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements (see 6.8). Put $\sigma_A = \iota_{w,B} \circ \iota_A : A \rightarrow B$. Denote by τ the unique tracial state of B . Fix a system of quasidiagonal units $\{b_k\}$ as in 4.1. Passing to a subsequence, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$(10.1) \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{\tau}(b_k) < (1/n)d_{\tau}(b_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Let $t_n = (1/n)d_{\tau}(b_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an element $a_n \in \text{Her}(b_n)$ with $d_{\tau}(a_n) = t_n$ (since B has strict comparison and since $\text{Cu}(B) \cong V(B) \sqcup (0, \infty]$ —see Proposition 11.11 of [15] and Theorem 15.5 of [20]). Moreover, $\text{Her}(b_n) \cong M_n(\text{Her}(a_n))$. By part (2) of 6.6, there is, for each n , a homomorphism $\phi_n : B \rightarrow \text{Her}(a_n)$. Define $\sigma : A \rightarrow M(B)$ by $\sigma(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigoplus^n \phi_n \circ \sigma_A)(a)$ for all $a \in A$. One then checks, from Definition 7.6, that $\pi \circ \sigma$ is a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension with model σ_A which factors through $\mathcal{W}$ and $KK(\sigma_A) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 10.3. Let C be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Suppose $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$). Let $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and let $\pi \circ \sigma : C \rightarrow M(B)/B$ be an essential $\mathcal{T}_d$ -extension with the model map σ_C factoring through $\mathcal{W}$. Then, for any essential quasidiagonal extension $\tau_q : C \rightarrow M(B)/B$, there is a trivial diagonal essential extension $\sigma_d : C \rightarrow M(B)/B$ such that

$$(10.2) \quad \tau_q \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \sigma_d \oplus \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Proof. Exactly as at the beginning of the proof of 7.12, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{ran}(\sigma) \perp \text{ran}(\psi)$.

We write

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n+1} \phi_n \circ \sigma_C$$

as in Definition 7.6 and Lemma 10.2.

Since τ_q is quasidiagonal, we may write $\psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n$ such that $\pi \circ \psi = \tau_q$ with a system of quasidiagonal units $\{a_n\}$ from Proposition 4.5 that corresponds to $\{\psi_n\}$. Recall that

$$(10.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_n(ab) - \psi_n(a)\psi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in C.$$

We now write $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n \circ \sigma_C = \sigma_{n,0} \oplus \sigma_{n,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_{n,n}$ and $\sigma = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \sigma_{n,j}$.

Since σ is a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension as in 7.7, there exists a map $F : C_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times (0, \infty)$ such that for all n, j , $\sigma_{n,j} : C \rightarrow \overline{b_{n,j} B b_{n,j}}$ is F -full.

Following Definition 7.6 let

$$b_{n,j} := \sigma_{n,j}(e_C) \text{ for all } n, j.$$

Let $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \cdots$ be a sequence of finite subsets of the unit ball of C which is dense in the unit ball of C .

We will apply Theorem 5.8. Note, by Proposition 5.2, every C^* -subalgebra of B is in $\mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$, with T as in Proposition 5.2. Let $L := 7\pi + 1$. Recall that, as C is given, we fix maps J, Π_{cu}^- and $J^\sim$ as in 5.7.

For each n , let $\delta_n > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subset C$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_{n+1} \subset J^\sim(K_1(C))$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{E}_n \subset C_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, and K_n be an integer associated with $\mathcal{F}_n$ and ϵ_n (as well as F and L above) as provided by Theorem 5.8 (for C^* -algebras in $\mathbf{C}_{0,0,1,T,7}$).

We may assume that $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$, $K_n < K_{n+1}$, $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{C}))$, $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ for all n . Without loss of generality, we may assume that each ψ_n is $\mathcal{G}_n$ - δ_n -multiplicative and $\lceil \psi_n(u) \rceil$ is well defined for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$.

Moreover, without loss of generality, we may also assume that (see Theorem 14.5 of [45]), for any n , there is a group homomorphism

$$\lambda_n : G(\Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_n)) \rightarrow U(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)})) / CU(M_{m(n)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_n)})).$$

such that

$$(10.4) \quad \text{dist}(\lambda_n(x), \Pi_{cu}(\lceil \psi_n(J^\sim(x)) \rceil)) < 1/16\pi(n+1) \text{ for all } x \in \Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_n),$$

where $G(\Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_n))$ is the subgroup generated by the finite subset $\Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_n)$. Recall that $\Pi_{cu} \circ \Pi_{cu}^-(J^\sim(x)) = J(x)$ for all $x \in K_1(C)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_n \cap K_1(C)$ generates the same group as $\Pi \circ \Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_n)$ does (in the current case, $K_1(C)$ is assumed to be finitely generated).

Moreover, since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated, we may assume that $KL(\psi_n)$ is well defined, and, since λ_n is determined by ψ_n , we may also assume that $\lambda_n \circ J$ is compatible with $KK(\psi_n)$.

Again, throwing away finitely many terms and relabelling if necessary, we may assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_\tau(a_n) < d_\tau(b_{K_1,0}),$$

where τ is the unique tracial state of B . Let $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of $\mathbb{Z}^+$ with $n_1 = 1$ and $n_k + 2 < n_{k+1}$ for all k such that

$$\sum_{l=n_k}^{\infty} d_\tau(a_l) < d_\tau(b_{K_k,0}).$$

Since B has stable rank one, there is a unitary $U'_k \in \widetilde{B}$ such that

$$(10.5) \quad (U'_k)^* \left(\left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l \right) B \left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l \right) \right) U'_k \in \overline{b_{K_k,0} B b_{K_k,0}}.$$

Put $B_{k,0} = \text{Her}((\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l) B (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} a_l))$. Note $(U'_k)^* B_{k,0} U'_k \subset \text{Her}(b_{K_k,0})$.

For each k , by Theorem 6.11, there is a homomorphism $h_k : C \rightarrow B_{k,0}$ such that $KL(h_k) = \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} KK(\psi_l)$ and $h_k^\dagger = \bar{\lambda}_n \circ J$.

In other words,

$$(10.6) \quad [\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l] \mathcal{P}_k = [h_k] \mathcal{P}_k \text{ and } h_k^\dagger|_{\Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_k)} = \lambda_n|_{\Pi_{cu}(\mathcal{U}_k)}.$$

By the second part of (10.6) and (10.4), for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k}$, there is $v_l \in CU(M_{m(l)}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(a_l)}))$ such that

$$(10.7) \quad h_l(u) \lceil \psi_l(u) \rceil^* \approx_{1/16\pi(l+1)} v_l.$$

It follows from Lemma 7.11 that, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k}$ (computed in $M_{m(n_k)}(B_{k,0})$),

$$(10.8) \quad \text{cel}(\text{Ad } U'_k \circ (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} h_l)(u) [\text{Ad } U'_k \circ (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l)(u)]^*) \leq 7\pi + 1.$$

For each k , consider two maps $\text{Ad } U'_k \circ (\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l)$, $\text{Ad } U'_k \circ h_k : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_{K_k,0})$.

Recall that ψ_n is $\mathcal{G}_n$ - δ_n -multiplicative and $\phi_n \circ \sigma_A$ is F -full for all n . Also keeping in mind of (10.6) and (10.8). Applying Theorem 5.8, for each k , there is a unitary $u'_k \in M_{K_k+1}(\widetilde{\text{Her}(b_{K_k,0})})$ such that

$$(10.9) \quad u'_k \left(U'_k{}^* h_k(c) U'_k \oplus \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c) \right) u_k^* \approx_{\epsilon_k} U'_k{}^* \sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(c) U'_k + \sum_{l=1}^{K_k} \sigma_{K_k,l}(c)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

Define $H : M(B)$ by $H(c) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(c)$ for all $c \in C$. Note the sum converges strictly and H is a homomorphism. Set $\sigma_d := \pi \circ H$.

By exactly the same argument as in the later part of the proof of Lemma 7.12, from (10.9), we obtain a unitary $u \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that

$$(10.10) \quad u(\sigma_d(c) \oplus \pi \circ \sigma(c)) u^* = \pi \circ \psi(c) + \pi \circ \sigma(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Now since $\text{Her}(\pi \circ \psi(e_C) + \pi \circ \sigma(e_C))^\perp \neq \{0\}$, as $C(B)$ is purely infinite simple, there is a non-zero projection $e_1 \in \text{Her}(\pi \circ \psi(e_C) + \pi \circ \sigma(e_C))^\perp \neq \{0\}$. There is a unitary $v \in e_1 C(B) e_1$ such that $[v] = [u^*]$. Let $u_1 = u(v \oplus (1 - e_1))$. Replacing u by u_1 , we may assume that $u_1 \in U_0(C(B))$. Therefore we may assume that there a unitary $U \in M(B)$ such that $\pi(U) = u$. $\square$

Corollary 10.4. *In Lemma 10.3, if τ_q is in fact a diagonal extension, i.e., $\tau_q = \pi \circ \Psi$, where $\Psi : C \rightarrow M(B)$ is defined by $\Psi(c) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n$, where $KK(\psi_n) = 0$ and $\psi_n^\dagger = 0$, then*

$$\pi \circ \Psi \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 10.3, let each ψ_n be a homomorphism such that $KK(\psi_n) = 0$ and $\psi_n^\dagger = 0$. Since $\psi_n^\dagger = 0$, $\psi_n(u) \in CU(M_{m(n)}(\mathcal{W}))$ (in stead of (10.4)) for all $u \in J^\sim(K_1(A)) \cap U(M_{m(n)}(\text{Her}(a_n)))$. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 10.3, (10.8) becomes,

$$(10.11) \quad \text{cel}\left(\sum_{l=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \psi_l(u)\right) \leq 7\pi + 1 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_{n_k},$$

if we choose $h_l(u) = 1$. Therefore the proof works when we use $h_n = 0$ for all n . In other words,

$$\pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \Psi \oplus \pi \circ \sigma.$$

$\square$

Lemma 10.5. *Let C and B be as in 10.3. Fix two sequences*

$$(10.12) \quad \{x_n\} \subset KL(C, B) \text{ and } \{y_n\} \in \text{Hom}(K_1(C), U(B)/CU(B))$$

such that x_n and y_n are compatible, i.e., $x_n(z) = \Pi_{1, cu}(y_n(z))$ for all $z \in K_1(C)$. There is a diagonal homomorphism $h_d := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty h_n : C \rightarrow M(B)$, where $h_n : A \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_n)$ is a homomorphism and where $\{b_n\}$ is a system of quasidiagonal units. Moreover, for each m , $KK(h_m) = x_n$ and $h_m^\dagger = y_n$ for some n , and, for each k , there are infinitely many h_{n_k} 's such that $KK(h_{n_k}) = x_k$ and $h_{n_k}^\dagger = y_k$ (at the same time).

Proof. Write $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$, where each S_n is an infinite countable set, and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$, if $i \neq j$. For each $j \in S_n$, choose a homomorphism $h_j : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(b_j)$ such that $KK(h_j) = x_n$ and $h_j^\dagger = y_n$ (see Theorem 6.10). Then set $h_d := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} h_k$. It is ready to check that h_d satisfies the requirements of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 10.6. *Let C and B be as in 10.3. Then, any two diagonal essential trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\Psi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n : C \rightarrow M(B)$ be any diagonal map, where $\psi_n : C \rightarrow \overline{b_n B b_n}$ is a homomorphism, and where $\{b_n\}$ is a system of quasidiagonal units. Let $x_{2n-1} = KK(\psi_n)$, $y_{2n-1} = \psi_n^\dagger$, $x_{2n} = -KK(\psi_n)$ and $y_{2n} = -\psi_n^\dagger$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that x_n and y_n are compatible.

Let $h_d : C \rightarrow M(B)$ be as in Lemma 10.5 associated with the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$. We claim that there is a permutation $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$KK(h_{\lambda(2n-1)}) = -KK(h_{\lambda(2n)}) \text{ and } h_{\lambda(2n-1)}^\dagger = -h_{\lambda(2n)}^\dagger, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For $n = 1$, there is $\gamma(1) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $KK(h_{\gamma(1)}) = -KK(h_1)$ and $h_{\gamma(1)}^\dagger = -h_1^\dagger$.

Then, define $\lambda(1) = 1$ and $\lambda(2) = \gamma(1)$. Suppose that λ has been defined on $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ such that

$$KK(h_{\lambda(2k-1)}) = -KK(h_{\lambda(2k)}) \text{ and } h_{\lambda(2k-1)}^\dagger = -h_{\lambda(2k)}^\dagger, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Choose the smallest integer m such that $m \neq \{\lambda(1), \lambda(2), \dots, \lambda(2n)\}$. Define $m = \lambda(2n+1)$. Note that $KK(h_m) \in \{x_n\}$. Find $m' \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{\lambda(j) : 1 \leq j \leq 2n\} \cup \{m\}$ such that $KK(h_{m'}) = -KK(h_{\lambda(2n+1)})$. Note that one also has $h_{m'}^\dagger = -h_{\lambda(2n+1)}^\dagger$. Define $m' = \lambda(2n+2)$. The claim follows from the induction.

Define $a_k = d_{\lambda(2k-1)} + d_{\lambda(2k)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{a_k\}$ is also a system of matrix units. Let $h_{n,0} : C \rightarrow \text{Her}(d_{\lambda(2n-1)} + d_{\lambda(2n)})$ be defined by $h_{n,0}(c) = h_{\lambda(2n-1)}(c) + h_{\lambda(2n)}(c)$ for all $c \in C$. Now define $H_0 : C \rightarrow M(B)$ by $H_0(c) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty h_{n,0}$. Then H_0 is unitarily equivalent to h_d (see 4.2). However, $KK(h_{n,0}) = 0$ and $h_{n,0}^\dagger = 0$. It follows from Corollary 10.4 that

$$\pi \circ H_0 \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Therefore

$$(10.13) \quad \pi \circ h_d \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Hence $\Psi \oplus h_d$ is another diagonal map and if we write $\Psi \oplus h_d = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} h'_n$, then, $KK(h'_n) \in \{x_n\}$ and, for each x_n , there are infinitely many $\{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $KK(h'_{n(k)}) = x_n$ and $h'_{n(k)} = y_n$ (x_n and y_n are compatible). From what has been proved, we conclude that

$$(10.14) \quad (\pi \circ \Psi \oplus \pi \circ h_d) \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Then, by (10.13),

$$\pi \circ \Psi \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \Psi \oplus (\pi \circ h_d \oplus \pi \circ \sigma) \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

□

Theorem 10.7. *Let $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Suppose $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$).*

- (1) *If $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ are two essential extensions, then $\tau_1 \sim^u \tau_2$ if and only if $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau_2)$.*
- (2) *The map $\Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B))$ defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$ is a group isomorphism.*
- (3) *An essential extension τ is trivial and diagonal if and only if $KK(\tau) = 0$, and all trivial and diagonal extensions are unitarily equivalent.*
- (4) *All quasidiagonal essential extensions are trivial and are unitarily equivalent.*
- (5) *If $\ker \rho_{f,A} = K_0(A)$, then all trivial essential extensions are unitarily equivalent. Moreover, τ is trivial if and only if $KK(\tau) = \{0\}$.*
- (6) *If $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$, then there are essential trivial extensions of A by B which are not quasidiagonal and not all essential trivial extensions are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let us prove (3) first. Suppose that $KK(\tau) = 0$. Consider a $\mathcal{T}_d$ extension $\pi \circ \sigma$ as in Lemma 10.2. Then, $KK(\sigma) = 0$. It follows that $KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$. Let $\widetilde{\pi \circ \sigma}, \tilde{\tau} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be the unital extensions of $\pi \circ \sigma$ and τ , respectively. By Theorem 2.5 of [40], there exists a sequence of unitaries $v_n \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that

$$(10.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^*(\pi \circ \sigma(a))v_n = \tau(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Since A is not unital and $\mathcal{C}(B)$ is purely infinite, by 3.4, we obtain a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in M(B)$ such that

$$(10.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(u)^*(\pi \circ \sigma(a))\pi(u_n) = \tau(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

By Theorem 4.6, τ is a quasidiagonal extension. By Lemma 10.3 and Lemma 10.6,

$$\tau \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ h_d \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.8,

$$\tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau_1$$

for some essential extension τ_1 . We then compute that $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau) = 0$. From what has just been proved,

$$\tau_1 \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

It follows that

$$(10.17) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

This shows that if $KK(\tau) = 0$ then $\tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma$, in particular, τ is trivial and diagonal.

Conversely, suppose $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is a trivial diagonal extension. Then, by 10.6, $\tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma$. It follows that $KK(\tau) = 0$. This proves (3).

Let $\Lambda : \mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B))$ be the map defined by $\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau)$. It is a semigroup homomorphism.

To see it is surjective, let $x \in KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B))$. Note that $KK(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{C}(B)) = KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B)) \oplus KK(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}(B)) = KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B)) \oplus K_0(\mathcal{C}(B))$. Let $y := x \oplus [1_{\mathcal{C}(B)}] \in KK(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{C}(B)) \oplus K_0(\mathcal{C}(B))$. By Corollary 8.5 of [40], there exists a monomorphism $\phi : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ such that $KK(\phi) = y$. Put $\phi_0 := \phi|_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$. Then $KK(\phi_0) = x$. This shows that Λ is surjective.

Fix an essential extension $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$. Since Λ is surjective, there exists τ_{-1} such that $KK(\tau_{-1}) = -KK(\tau)$. Then $KK(\tau \oplus \tau_{-1}) = 0$. By part (3),

$$(10.18) \quad \tau \oplus \tau_{-1} \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma.$$

Let $\tau_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ be any essential extension with $KK(\tau_1) = KK(\tau)$. Then

$$(10.19) \quad \tau \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \tau \oplus ((\tau_{-1} \oplus \tau_1) \sim^u (\tau \oplus \tau_{-1}) \oplus \tau_1 \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau_1.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.8, for some essential extension τ' ,

$$(10.20) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau'.$$

One then computes that $KK(\tau') = KK(\tau)$. Therefore

$$(10.21) \quad \tau \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau' \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau.$$

Hence, also, $\tau_1 \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma \oplus \tau_1$. By (10.19),

$$(10.22) \quad \tau \sim^u \tau_1.$$

This implies that Λ is one-to-one. Since $KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B))$ is a group, this implies that $\mathbf{Ext}^u(A, B)$ is a group with zero $[\pi \circ \sigma]$. Moreover Λ is a group homomorphism. This proves (1) and (2).

To see (4) holds, let τ_q be a quasidiagonal extension. Then, by Lemma 10.3,

$$\tau_q \oplus \pi \circ \sigma \sim^u \tau_d \oplus \pi \circ \sigma.$$

By (2) and (3), $KK(\tau_q) = 0$. It follows from (3) that $\tau_q \sim^u \pi \circ \sigma$. Thus (4) holds.

To see (5), let $\tau = \pi \circ H$ for some monomorphism $H : A \rightarrow M(B)$. Since we now assume $K_0(A) = \ker \rho_{f,A}$, $H_{*0} = 0$. Hence $KK(\tau) = 0$. Then (5) follows from (3).

Finally, for (6), we note that, if $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$, then there is $t \in T_f(A)$ such that $\rho_A(t) \neq 0$.

By Lemma 8.1, for any $t \in (0, 1)$, there is a monomorphism $\psi_{A,r} : A \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $t_B \circ \phi_{A,r}(a) = r \cdot t(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then $\pi \circ \psi_{A,r} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is an essential trivial extension such that

$$(10.23) \quad KK(\pi \circ \psi_{A,r}) \neq 0.$$

Thus we produce an essential trivial extension which is not unitarily equivalent to the trivial diagonal extension $\pi \circ \sigma$ ($KK(\pi \circ \sigma) = 0$). In fact, if $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $r_1 \neq r_2$, then $\pi \circ \psi_{A,r_1}$ is not unitarily equivalent to $\pi \circ \psi_{A,r_2}$. □

Remark 10.8. One may notice that Theorem 10.7 does not describe exactly what the set $\mathcal{T}$, i.e., the trivial essential extensions look like. The next statement will do that.

Recall that $KK(A, M(B)) = \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$. So we may view $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$ as a subset of $KK(A, M(B))$. Let $[\pi] : KK(A, M(B)) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B))$ be a homomorphism induced by the quotient map $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$. Define

$$N = [\pi](\{r \cdot h : r \in [0, 1] : h \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}\}).$$

Theorem 10.9. Let $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and let A be a separable amenable C^* -algebra which is $\mathcal{W}$ embeddable and satisfies the UCT. Suppose $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$). Then

- (i) the map $[\pi]$ is one-to-one on $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$, and,
- (ii) an essential extension $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is trivial, if and only if,

$$\Lambda([\tau]) = KK(\tau) \in N = [\pi](\{r \cdot h : r \in [0, 1], h \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}\}).$$

Moreover, Λ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{T}$, the set of unitarily equivalence classes of trivial essential extensions of A by B .

Proof. Fix $t \in T_f(A)$ and $r \in (0, 1]$. By Lemma 8.1, there is a monomorphism $\psi_{A,r} : A \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $t_B \circ \psi_{A,r}(a) = r \cdot t(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Thus $KK(\psi_{A,r}) \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$. Note that $K_0(\mathcal{C}(B)) = \mathbb{R} \oplus K_1(B)$ and $[\pi]$ maps injectively from $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})$ into $\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathcal{C}(B))$ as π_{*0} is injective from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R} \oplus K_1(B)$. This proves part (i).

For (ii), suppose that τ is an essential trivial extension. Then there is a monomorphism $H : A \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $\tau = \pi \circ H$. Then $t_B \circ H$ is a bounded trace with $r := \|t_B \circ H\| \leq 1$. Therefore $t_B \circ H = r \cdot t$ for some $t \in T_f(A)$. It follows that $KK(H) \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$. Therefore $\Lambda([\tau]) \in [\pi](\text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)})$.

The converse follows from the proof of part (i). To see this, let $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ an essential extension such that $KK(\tau) \in N$. So there is $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$ such that $KK(\tau) = [\pi] \circ r \cdot \lambda$ for some $r \in [0, 1]$. Let $\psi_{A,r} : A \rightarrow M(B)$ be constructed in the proof of part (i) so that $KK(\psi_{A,r}) = \lambda$. Then $KK(\pi \circ \psi_{A,r}) = KK(\tau)$. By part (2) of Theorem 10.7, $\tau \sim^u \pi \circ \psi_{A,r}$.

The ‘‘Moreover’’ part also follows from the part (2) of Theorem 10.7. □

Remark 10.10. Theorem 10.9 uses N to describe the trivial essential extensions under the assumption of this section (see also Theorem 10.7). When $\ker \rho_{f,A} \neq K_0(A)$, $N \neq \{0\}$. In fact there are uncountably many different elements in N . Moreover, $\mathcal{T}$ is not a semigroup. One first note that, for any $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$, if τ is an essential extension with $KK(\tau) = [\pi] \circ (r \cdot \lambda)$, then τ is not a splitting extension, since there is no homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $H_{*0} = r \cdot \lambda$. Suppose that $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\Lambda(\tau) = \lambda$ and $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ is another essential trivial extension. Then $\tau + \tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\Lambda([\tau_0]) = 0$, namely, τ_0 is a trivial diagonal extension. This shows that $\mathcal{T}$ is not a semigroup.

Note that, by the UCT, there is a short exact sequence

$$(10.24) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(A), K_{*-1}(B)) \rightarrow KK(A, \mathcal{C}(B)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(\mathcal{C}(B))) \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose τ is an essential extension with $\tau_{*1} = 0$ and $\tau_{*0} \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), \mathbb{R})_{T_f(A)}$. One realizes that $KK(\tau)$ may not be in N .

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C^* -algebras*. Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 329–355.
- [2] B. Blackadar and M. Rørdam, *Extending states on preordered semigroups and existence of quasitraces on C^* -algebras*, J. Algebra **152**, (1992), 240–247.
- [3] L. G. Brown, *Operator algebras and algebraic K -theory*. Bulletin of the AMS **81** (1975), 1119–1121.
- [4] L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335–348.
- [5] L. G. Brown, *The universal coefficient theorem for Ext and quasidiagonality*. Operator algebras and group representations, Vol. I (Neptun, 1980), 60–64, Monogr. Stud. Math., 17, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1984.
- [6] L. G. Brown, *Interpolation by projections in C^* -algebras of real rank zero*. Journal of Operator Theory **26** (1991), 383–387.
- [7] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C^* -algebras*. Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theorem (Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., 1973), pp58–128. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol 345, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K -homology*, Ann. Math. **105** (1977), 265–324.
- [9] N. Brown and A. Toms, *Three applications of the Cuntz semigroup*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **19** (2007), Art. ID rnm068, 14.
- [10] J. Castillejos, S. Evington, A. Tikuisis, S. White, W. Winter *Nuclear dimension of simple C^* -algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1901.05853.
- [11] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott and C. Ivanescu. *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193.
- [12] J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, *Equivalence and traces on C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), 135–164.
- [13] P. De la Harpe and G. Skandalis, *Determinant associe a une trace sur une algebre de Banach*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **34** (1984), 241–260.
- [14] M. Dădărlat and S. Eilers, *On the classification of nuclear C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **85** (2002), 168–210.
- [15] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *Simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras of generalized tracial rank one*, J. Non-commutative geometry, to appear. (arXiv:1711.01240).
- [16] G. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *The classification of simple separable KK -contractible C^* -algebras with finite nuclear dimension*. Preprint. A copy is available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1712.09463>.
- [17] G. Elliott, L. Robert and L. Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C^* -algebra*. Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), 969–1005.
- [18] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Y. Xue, *Determinant rank of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **274** (2015), 405–436.
- [19] G. Gong and H. Lin, *On classification of nonunital simple amenable C^* -algebras, I*. Preprint. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1611.04440>.
- [20] G. Gong and H. Lin, *On classification of non-unital amenable simple C^* -algebras, II*, preprint, arXiv:1702.01073.
- [21] G. Gong and H. Lin, *On classification of non-unital amenable simple C^* -algebras, III*, a draft.
- [22] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *Classification of finite simple amenable $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1501.00135.
- [23] K. Goodearl and D. Handelman, *Rank functions and K_0 of regular rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **7** (1976), 195–216.
- [24] P. R. Halmos, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 887–933.
- [25] B. Jacelon, *A simple, monotracial, stably projectionless C^* -algebra*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **87** (2013), 365–383.
- [26] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*. Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), 359–413.
- [27] V. Kaftal, P. W. Ng and S. Zhang, *Strict comparison of positive elements in multiplier algebras*. Canad. J. of Math., **69** (2017), 373–407.
- [28] V. Kaftal, P. W. Ng and S. Zhang, *The minimal ideal in a multiplier algebra*. Journal of Operator Theorem **79** (2018), 419–462.
- [29] V. Kaftal, P. W. Ng and S. Zhang, *Purely infinite corona algebras*. Journal of Operator Theory **82** (2019), 307–355.
- [30] G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: Theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*. J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 133–150.
- [31] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, *Embedding of exact C^* -algebras in the Cuntz algebra O_2* . J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 17–53.

- [32] H. Lin, *Simple C^* -algebras with continuous scales and simple corona algebras*. Proceedings of the AMS. **112** (1991), 871–880.
- [33] H. Lin, *Extensions by C^* -algebras of real rank zero. II*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **71** (1995), 641–674.
- [34] H. Lin, *Generalized Weyl-von Neumann theorems. II*. Math. Scand. **77** (1995), 129–147.
- [35] H. Lin, *Almost multiplicative morphisms and some applications*. J. Operator Theory **37** (1997), 193–233.
- [36] H. Lin, *Extensions by C^* -algebras of real rank zero, III*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **76** (1998), 634–666.
- [37] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C^* -algebras with unique traces*, Amer. J. Math., **120** (1998), 1289–1315.
- [38] H. Lin, *Simple corona algebras*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **132** (2004), 3215–3224.
- [39] H. Lin, *Extensions by simple C^* -algebras: Quasidiagonal extensions*. Canad. J. Math. **57** (2) (2005), 351–399.
- [40] H. Lin, *Full extensions and approximate unitary equivalence*, Pacific J. Math. **229** (2007), 389–428.
- [41] H. Lin, *Simple nuclear C^* -algebras of tracial topological rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007), 601–679.
- [42] H. Lin, *Asymptotic unitary equivalence and classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **183** (2011), 385–450.
- [43] H. Lin, *Cuntz semigroups of C^* -algebras of stable rank one and projective Hilbert modules*. Preprint, 2010.
- [44] H. Lin, *Exponentials in simple $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 754–791.
- [45] H. Lin, *Locally AH algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (2015), no. 1107, vi+109 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4704-1466-5; 978-1-4704-2225-7.
- [46] H. Lin, *Homomorphisms from AH-algebras*, J. Topol. Anal. **9** (2017), 67–125. (arXiv: 1102.4631v1 (2011).)
- [47] H. Lin and P. W. Ng, *The corona algebra of stabilized Jiang–Su algebra*. J. Funct. Anal. **270** (2016), 1220–1267.
- [48] H. Lin and M. Rørdam, *Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **51** (1995), 603–613.
- [49] P. W. Ng, *Nonstable absorption*. Houston Journal of Mathematics **44** (2018), 975–1017.
- [50] P. W. Ng, *Real rank zero for purely infinite corona algebras*. Preprint.
- [51] P. W. Ng, *On the unitary group of the multiplier algebra of the Razak algebra*. Preprint. Accepted for publication at Studia Mathematica.
- [52] P. W. Ng and T. Robin, *Functorial properties of $\text{Ext}_u(C(X), B)$ when B is simple with continuous scale*. Accepted for publication at the Journal of Operator Theory.
- [53] P. W. Ng and T. Robin, *Generalized quasidiagonality for extensions*. Accepted for publication at the Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis.
- [54] P. W. Ng, *Purely infinite corona algebras, and extensions*. Preprint.
- [55] G. K. Pedersen, *SAW*-algebras and corona algebras, contribution to non-commutative topology*, J. Operator Theory, **15** (1986), 15–32.
- [56] G. K. Pedersen, *Unitary extensions and polar decompositions in a C^* -algebra*, J. Operator Theory **17** (1987), 357–364.
- [57] G. K. Pedersen, *The corona construction*. Operator Theory: Proceedings of the 1988 GPOTS-Wabash Conference (Indianapolis, IN, 1988), 49–92, Pitman Res. Notes, Math. Ser., 225, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow 1990.
- [58] F. Perera and M. Rørdam, *AF-embeddings into C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal. **217** (2004), 142–170.
- [59] S. Razak, *On the classification of simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras*. Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), 138–224.
- [60] M. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **46** (1983), 301–333.
- [61] L. Robert *On the comparison of positive elements of a C^* -algebra by lower semicontinuous traces*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58** (2009), 2509–2515.
- [62] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 2802–2836.
- [63] L. Robert, *Remarks on $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable projectionless C^* -algebras*, Glasg. Math. J. **58** (2016), 273–277.
- [64] M. Rordam, *The stable and real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing C^* -algebras*. Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), 1065–1084.
- [65] N. Salinas, *Relative quasidiagonality and KK -theory*. Houston J. Math. **18** (1992), 97–116.
- [66] C. Schafhauser, *Subalgebras of simple AF-algebras*, preprint, arXiv: 1807.07381 v2.
- [67] C. Schochet, *The fine structure of the Kasparov groups II: Relative quasidiagonality*. J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), 91–117.
- [68] K. Thomsen, *Traces, unitary characters and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$* , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **31** (1995), 1011–1029.
- [69] A. Toms and W. Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 3999–4029.
- [70] A. Tikuisis, *Nuclear dimension, $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability, and algebraic simplicity for stably projectionless C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **358** (2014), 729–778.
- [71] K-W Tsang, *On the positive tracial cones of simple stably projectionless C^* -algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. **227** (2005), 188–199.
- [72] D. Voiculescu, *A noncommutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **21** (1976), 97–113.
- [73] D. Voiculescu, *A note on quasidiagonal C^* -algebras and homotopy*. Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 267–271.
- [74] D. Voiculescu, *Around quasidiagonal operators*. Integral Equat. Operator Theory **17** (1993), 137–148.
- [75] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [76] S. Zhang, *A property of purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*. Proceedings of the AMS **109** (1990), 717–720.
- [77] S. Zhang, *K_1 groups, quasidiagonality, and interpolation by multiplier projections*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **325** (1991), 793–818.

Huaxin Lin: hlin@uoregon.edu.

Ping Wong Ng: ping.ng@louisiana.edu.